THE TEXT IS FLY WITHIN THE BOOK ONLY

Ir. MENCKEN has also written

SELECTED PREJUDICES A BOOK OF BURLESQUES A BOOK OF PREFACES IN DEFENSE OF WOMEN NOTES ON DEMOCRACY TREATISE ON THE GODS TREATISE ON RIGHT AND WRONG

: has translated { THE ANTICHRIST BY F. W. NIETZSCHE

e has written

BY E. W. HOWE MAJOR CONFLICTS BY STEPHEN CRANE introductions to | THE AMERICAN DEMOCRAT BY JAMES FENIMORE COOPER CANCER: WHEN EVERYONE SHOULD KNOW ABOUT IT BY JAMES A. TOBEY

VENTURES IN COMMON SENSE



These are BORZOI BOOKS published by ALFRED A KNOPF



THE

American Language

AN INQUIRY
INTO THE DEVELOPMENT OF ENGLISH
IN THE UNITED STATES

BY

H. L. Mencken

1936 ALFRED A. KNOPF NEW YORK



out permission in writing from the publisher, except by a reviewed who may quote brief passages in a review to be printed in a magazine or newspaper.

PUBLISHED March, 1919

REVISED EDITION published December, 1921

THIRD EDITION again revised published February, 1923

FOURTH EDITION corrected, enlarged, and rewritten

published April, 1936

MANUFACTURED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO THE FOURTH EDITION

The first edition of this book, running to 374 pages, was published in March, 1919. It sold out very quickly, and so much new matter came in from readers that a revision was undertaken almost at once. This revision, however, collided with other enterprises, and was not finished and published until December, 1921. It ran to 402 pages. In its turn it attracted corrections and additions from many correspondents, and in February, 1923, I brought out a third edition, revised and enlarged. This third edition has been reprinted five times, and has had a large circulation, but for some years past its mounting deficiencies have been haunting me, and on my retirement from the editorship of the American Mercury at the end of 1933 I began to make plans for rewriting it. The task turned out to be so formidable as to be almost appalling. I found myself confronted by a really enormous accumulation of notes, including hundreds of letters from correspondents in all parts of the world and thousands of clippings from the periodical press of the British Empire, the United States and most of the countries of Continental Europe. Among the letters were many that reviewed my third edition page by page, and suggested multitudinous additions to the text, or changes in it. One of them was no less than 10,000 words long. The clippings embraced every discussion of the American language printed in the British Empire since the end of 1922 - at all events, every one that the singularly alert Durrant Press-Cutting Agency could discover. Furthermore, there were the growing files of American Speech, set up in October, 1925, and of Dialect Notes, and a large number of books and pamphlets, mostly in English but some also in German, French and other foreign languages, including even Japanese. It soon became plain that this immense mass of new material made a mere revision of the third edition out of the question. What was needed was a complete reworking, following to some extent the outlines of the earlier editions, but with many additions and a number of emendations and shortenings. That reworking has occupied me, with two or three intervals, since the beginning of 1934. The present book picks up bodily a few short passages from the third edition, but they are not many. In the main, it is a new work.

The reader familiar with my earlier editions will find that it not only presents a large amount of matter that was not available when they were written, but also modifies the thesis which they set forth. When I became interested in the subject and began writing about it (in the Baltimore Evening Sun in 1910), the American form of the English language was plainly departing from the parent stem, and it seemed at least likely that the differences between American and English would go on increasing. This was what I argued in my first three editions. But since 1923 the pull of American has become so powerful that it has begun to drag English with it, and in consequence some of the differences once visible have tended to disappear. The two forms of the language, of course, are still distinct in more ways than one, and when an Englishman and an American meet they continue to be conscious that each speaks a tongue that is far from identical with the tongue spoken by the other. But the Englishman, of late, has yielded so much to American example, in vocabulary, in idiom, in spelling and even in pronunciation, that what he speaks promises to become, on some not too remote tomorrow, a kind of dialect of American, just as the language spoken by the American was once a dialect of English. The English writers who note this change lay it to the influence of the American movies and talkies, but it seems to me that there is also something more, and something deeper. The American people now constitute by far the largest fraction of the English-speaking race, and since the World War they have shown an increasing inclination to throw off their old subservience to English precept and example. If only by the force of numbers, they are bound to exert a dominant influence upon the course of the common language hereafter. But all this I discuss at length, supported by the evidence now available, in the pages following.

At the risk of making my book of forbidding bulk I have sought to present a comprehensive conspectus of the whole matter, with references to all the pertinent literature. My experience with the three preceding editions convinces me that the persons who are really interested in American English are not daunted by bibliographical apparatus, but rather demand it. The letters that so many of them have been kind enough to send to me show that they delight in running down the by-ways of the subject, and I have tried to assist them by setting up as many guide-posts as possible, pointing into every alley as we pass along. Thus my references keep in step with the text, where they are most convenient and useful, and I have been able to dispense with the Bibliography which filled 32 pages of small type in my third edition. I have also omitted a few illustrative oddities appearing in that edition - for example, specimens of vulgar American by Ring W. Lardner and John V. A. Weaver, and my own translations of the Declaration of Independence and Lincoln's Gettsyburg Address. The latter two, I am sorry to say, were mistaken by a number of outraged English critics for examples of Standard American, or of what I proposed that Standard American should be. Omitting them will get rid of that misapprehension and save some space, and those who want to consult them will know where to find them in my third edition.

I can't pretend that I have covered the whole field in the present volume, for that field has become very large in area. But I have at least tried to cover those parts of it of which I have any knowledge, and to indicate the main paths through the remainder. The Dictionary of American English on Historical Principles, now under way at the University of Chicago under the able editorship of Sir William Craigie, will deal with the vocabulary of Americanisms on a scale impossible here, and the Linguistic Atlas in preparation by Dr. Hans Kurath and his associates at Brown University will similarly cover the large and vexatious subject of regional differences in usage. In the same way, I hope, the work of Dr. W. Cabell Greet and his associates at Columbia will one day give us a really comprehensive account of American pronunciation. There are other inquiries in progress by other scholars, all of them unheard of at the time my third edition was published. But there are still some regions into which scholarship has hardly penetrated - for example, that of the vulgar grammar -, and therein I have had to disport as gracefully as possible, always sharply conscious of the odium which attaches justly to those amateurs who, "because they speak, fancy they can speak about speech." I am surely no philologian, and my inquiries and surmises will probably be of small value to the first successor who is, but until he appears I can only go on accumulating materials, and arranging them as plausibly as possible.

In the course of the chapters following I have noted my frequent debt to large numbers of volunteer aides, some of them learned in linguistic science but the majority lay brothers as I am. My contacts with them have brought me many pleasant acquaintanceships, and some friendships that I value greatly. In particular, I am indebted to Dr. Louise Pound, professor of English at the University of Nebraska and the first editor of American Speech, whose interest in this book has been lively and generous since its first appearance; to Mr. H. W. Seaman, of Norwich, England, whose herculean struggles with the chapter on "American and English Today" deserve a much greater reward than he will ever receive on this earth; to Dr. Kemp Malone, professor of English at the Johns Hopkins, who was kind enough to read the chapter on "The Common Speech"; to the late Dr. Robert Bridges, Poet Laureate of England and founder of the Society for Pure English, who was always lavish of his wise and stimulating counsel; to Professor Dr. Heinrich Spies of Berlin, who published a critical summary of my third edition in German, under the title of "Die amerikanische Sprache," in 1927; and to the late Dr. George Philip Krapp, professor of English at Columbia, who allowed me the use of the manuscript of his excellent "History of the English Language in America" in 1922, and was very obliging in other ways down to the time of his lamented death in 1934. Above all, I am indebted to my secretary, Mrs. Rosalind C. Lohrfinck, without whose indefatigable aid the present edition would have been quite impossible. The aforesaid friends of the philological faculty are not responsible, of course, for anything that appears herein. They have saved me from a great many errors, some of them of a large and astounding character, but others, I fear, remain. I shall be grateful, as in the past, for corrections and additions sent to me at 1524 Hollins street, Baltimore.

Table of Contents

I. THE TWO STREAMS OF ENGLISH

man by the second	
The Earliest Alarms	3
2. The English Attack	12
3. American "Barbarisms"	23
4: The English Attitude Today	28
5. The Position of the Learned	49
6. The Views of Writing Men	67
7. The Political Front	79
8. Foreign Observers	85
II. THE MATERIALS OF THE INQUIRY	
1. The Hallmarks of American	90
2. What is an Americanism?	97
III. THE BEGINNINGS OF AMERICAN	
1. The First Loan-Words	104
New Words of English Material	113
3. Changed Meanings	121
4. Archaic English Words	124
IV. THE PERIOD OF GROWTH	
1. A New Nation in the Making	130
The Expanding Vocabulary	140
3. Loan-Words and Non-English Influences	150
V. THE LANGUAGE TODAY	
1. After the Civil War	164
2. The Making of New Nouns	168
3. Verbs	191
Other Parts of Speech	201
5. Foreign Influences Today	212

VI. AMERICAN AND ENGLISH

I.	The Infiltration of English by Americanisms &	223
2.	Surviving Differences	232
3.	English Difficulties with American 17	255
4.	Briticisms in the United States	264
5.	Honorifics	271
б.	Euphemisms	284
7-	Forbidden Words ?.	300
8.	Expletives	311
	VII. THE PRONUNCIATION OF AMERICAN	
ı.	Its General Characters	319
2.	The Vowels	334
3-	The Consonants	348
4.	Dialects	354
	VIII. AMERICAN SPELLING	
ı.	The Influence of Noah Webster	379
	The Advance of American Spelling	388
	The Simplified Spelling Movement	397
	The Treatment of Loan-Words	408
5.	Punctuation, Capitalization, and Abbreviation	413
	IX. THE COMMON SPEECH	1
ı.	Outlines of its Grammar	416
2.	The Verb	427
3.	The Pronoun	447
4.	The Noun	461
5.	The Adjective	463
6.	The Adverb	464
7.	The Double Negative	468
8.	Other Syntactical Peculiarities	471
1	***	
. [X. PROPER NAMES IN AMERICA	
	Surnames	474
	Given-Names	505
-	Place-Names	525
4.	Other Proper Names	544

XI. A	MERICA	AN SLANG	
. The Nature of Slang			555
. Cant and Argot			575
3			,
XII. THE FUT	URE O	F THE LANGUAGE	
. The Spread of English			590
2. English or American?		•	607
	voi ist	H DIALECTS IN AMERI	CA
			669
1. Germanic a. German	616 616	f. Lithuanian g. Polish	673
b. Dutch	621	g. Fonsii 4. Finno-Ugrian	675
c. Swedish	624	a. Finnish	675
d. Dano-Norwegian	627	b. Hungarian	680
e. Icelandic	631		682
f. Yiddish	633	a. Gaelic	682
2. Latin	636	6. Semitic	683
a. French/	636	a. Arabic	683
b. Italian	640	7. Greek	685
c. Spanish	647	a. Modern Greek	685
d. Portuguese	652	8. Asiatic	688
e. Rumanian	653	a. Chinese	688
3. Slavic	655	b. Japanese	691
a. Czech	655	9. Miscellaneous	693
b. Slovak	659	a. Armenian	693
c. Russian	662	b. Hawaiian	693
d. Ukrainian	663	c. Gipsy	696
e. Serbo-Croat	667		
•			

Table of Contents

хi

LIST OF WORDS AND PHRASES

INDEX



THE TWO STREAMS OF ENGLISH

I. THE EARLIEST ALARMS

The first American colonists had perforce to invent Americanisms, if only to describe the unfamiliar landscape and weather, flora and fauna confronting them. Half a dozen that are still in use are to be found in Captain John Smith's "Map of Virginia," published in 1612, and there are many more in the works of the New England annalists. As early as 1621 Alexander Gill was noting in his "Logonomia Anglica" that maize and canoe were making their way into English.¹ But it was reserved for one Francis Moore, who came out to Georgia with Oglethorpe in 1735, to raise the earliest alarm against this enrichment of English from the New World, and so set the tone that English criticism has maintained ever since. Thus he described Savannah, then a village only two years old:

It stands upon the flat of a Hill; the Bank of the River (which they in barbarous English call a bluff) is steep, and about forty-five foot perpendicular.²

John Wesley arrived in Georgia the same year, and from his diary for December 2, 1737, comes the Oxford Dictionary's earliest example of the use of the word. But Moore was the first to notice it, and what is better to the point, the first to denounce it, and for that pioneering he must hold his honorable place in this history. In colonial times, of course, there was comparatively little incitement to hostility to Americanisms, for the stream of Englishmen coming to America to write books about their sufferings had barely begun to flow, and

British Recognition of American Speech in the Eighteenth Century, by Allen Walker Read, *Dialect* Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. VI, 1933, p. 313. 2 A Voyage to Georgia, Begun in the

2 A Voyage to Georgia, Begun in the Year 1735; London, 1744, p. 24. Moore was something of an adventurer. He went to West Africa for the Royal Africa Company in 1730, and got into obscure difficulties on the river Gambia. But when he came to Georgia in 1735 it was in the prosaic character of storekeeper to the colony. He arrived late in the year and remained until July, 1736. In 1738 he was back, staying this time until 1743. His subsequent career is unknown. the number of American books reaching London was very small. But by 1754 literary London was already sufficiently conscious of the new words arriving from the New World for Richard Owen Cambridge, author of "The Scribleriad," to be suggesting 1 that a glossary of them would soon be in order, and two years later the finicky and always anti-American Samuel Johnson was saying, in a notice of Lewis Evans's "Geographical, Historical, Political, Philosophical, and Mechanical Essays," 2 substantially what many English reviewers still say with dogged piety:

This treatise is written with such elegance as the subject admits, tho' not without some mixture of the American dialect, a tract [i.e., trace] of corruption to which every language widely diffused must always be exposed.

As the Revolution drew on, the English discovered varieties of offensiveness on this side of the ocean that greatly transcended the philological, and I can find no record of any denunciation of Americanisms during the heat of the struggle itself. When, on July 20, 1778, a committee appointed by the Continental Congress to arrange for the "publick reception of the sieur Gerard, minister plenipotentiary of his most christian majesty," brought in a report recommending that "all replies or answers" to him should be "in the language of the United States," 3 no notice of the contumacy seems to have been taken in the Motherland. But a few months before Cornwallis was finally brought to heel at Yorktown the subject was resumed, and this time the attack came from a Briton living in America, and otherwise ardently pro-American. He was John Witherspoon (1723-94), a Scottish clergyman who had come out in 1769 to be president of Princeton in partibus infidelium.

Witherspoon took to politics when the war closed his college, and was elected a member of the New Jersey constitutional convention. In a little while he was promoted to the Continental Congress, and in it he sat for six years as its only member in holy orders. He

I In the World, No. 102, Dec. 12, 1754. Quoted by Read.

- 2 The Literary Magazine, Sept.—Oct., 1756. Evans's book was published in Philadelphia in 1755 by Benjamin Franklin and D. Hall. It was accompanied by the author's General Map of the Middle British Colonies in America.
- 3 Secret Journals of the Continental Congress, Vol. II, p. 95. In the earlier

editions of the present book I said that these instructions were issued to Franklin on his appointment as Minister to France. Where I picked up the error I don't recall. It was corrected by the late Fred Newton Scott in the Saturday Review of Literature, Oct. 11, 1924. The instructions to Franklin, dated Oct. 12, 1778, contained no mention of language.

signed both the Declaration of Independence and the Articles of Confederation, and was a member of the Board of War throughout the Revolution. But though his devotion to the American cause was thus beyond question, he was pained by the American language, and when, in 1781, he was invited to contribute a series of papers to the Pennsylvania Journal and Weekly Advertiser of Philadelphia, he seized the opportunity to denounce it, albeit in the politic terms proper to the time. Beginning with the disarming admission that "the vulgar in America speak much better than the vulgar in England, for a very obvious reason, viz., that being much more unsettled, and moving frequently from place, they are not so liable to local peculiarities either in accent or phraseology," he proceeded to argue that Americans of education showed a lamentable looseness in their "public and solemn discourses."

I have heard in this country, in the senate, at the bar, and from the pulpit, and see daily in dissertations from the press, errors in grammar, improprieties and vulgarisms which hardly any person of the same class in point of rank and literature would have fallen into in Great Britain.

Witherspoon's mention of "the senate" was significant, for he must have referred to the Continental Congress, and it is fair to assume that at least some of the examples he cited to support his charge came from the sacred lips of the Fathers. He divided these "errors in grammar, improprieties and vulgarisms" into eight classes, as follows:

- r. Americanisms, or ways of speaking peculiar to this country.
- 2. Vulgarisms in England and America.
- 3. Vulgarisms in America only.
- 4. Local phrases or terms.
- 5. Common blunders arising from ignorance.
- 6. Cant phrases.
- 7. Personal blunders.
- 8. Technical terms introduced into the language.1

By Americanisms, said Witherspoon,

I understand an use of phrases or terms, or a construction of sentences, even among people of rank and education, different from the use of the same terms

Witherspoon's papers appeared under the heading of The Druid. This list and the foregoing quotation are from No. V, printed on May 9, 1781. The subject was continued in No. VI on May 16, and in No. VII (in two parts) on May 23 and 30.

All the-papers are reprinted in The Beginnings of American English, edited by M. M. Mathews; Chicago, 1931. They are also to be found in Witherspoon's Collected Works, edited by Ashbel Green, Vol. IV; New York, 1800-01.

or phrases, or the construction of similar sentences in Great Britain. It does not follow, from a man's using these, that he is ignorant, or his discourse upon the whole inelegant; may, it does not follow in every case that the terms or phrases used are worse in themselves, but merely that they are of American and not of English growth. The word Americanism, which I have coined for the purpose, is exactly similar in its formation and significance to the word Scotticism.

Witherspoon listed twelve examples of Americanisms falling within his definition, and despite the polite assurance I have just quoted, he managed to deplore all of them. His first was the use of either to indicate more than two, as in "The United States, or either of them." This usage seems to have had some countenance in the England of the early Seventeenth Century, but it had gone out there by Witherspoon's day, and it has since been outlawed by the schoolmarm in the United States. His second caveat was laid against the American use of to notify, as in "The police notified the coroner." "In English," he said somewhat prissily, "we do not notify the person of the thing, but notify the thing to the person." But to notify, in the American sense, was simply an example of archaic English, preserved like so many other archaisms in America, and there was, and is, no plausible logical or grammatical objection to it.1 Witherspoon's third Americanism was fellow countrymen. which he denounced as "an evident tautology," and his fourth was the omission of to be before the second verb in such constructions as "These things were ordered delivered to the army." His next three were similar omissions, and his remaining five were the use of or instead of nor following neither, the use of certain in "A certain Thomas Benson" (he argued that "A certain person called Thomas Benson" was correct), the use of incident in "Such bodies are incident to these evils," and the use of clever in the sense of worthy, and of mad in the sense of angry.

It is rather surprising that Witherspoon found so few Americanisms for his list. Certainly there were many others, current in his day, that deserved a purist's reprobation quite as much as those he singled out, and he must have been familiar with them. Among the verbs a large number of novelties had come into American usage since the middle of the century, some of them revivals of archaic

until 1843, when the usage reappears in England.

The Oxford Dictionary's first example is dated 1440. After 1652 all the examples cited are American,

English verbs and others native inventions—to belittle, to advocate, to progress, to notice, to table, to raise (for to grow), to deed, to locate, to ambition, to deputize, to compromit, to appreciate (in the sense of increase in value), to eventuate, and so on. Benjamin Franklin, on his return to the United States in 1785, after nine years in France, was impressed so unpleasantly by to advocate, to notice, to progress and to oppose that on December 26, 1789 he wrote to Noah Webster to ask for help in putting them down, but they seem to have escaped Witherspoon. He also failed to note the changes of meaning in the American use of creek, shoe, lumber, corn, barn, team, store, rock, cracker and partridge. Nor did he have anything to say about American pronunciation, which had already begun to differ materially from that of Standard English.

Witherspoon's strictures, such as they were, fell upon deaf ears, at least in the new Republic. He was to get heavy support, in a little while, from the English reviews, which began to belabor everything American in the closing years of the century, but on this side of the ocean the tide was running the other way, and as the Revolution drew to its victorious close there was a widespread tendency to reject English precedent and authority altogether, in language no less than in government. In the case of the language, several logical considerations supported that disposition, though the chief force at the bottom of it, of course, was probably only national conceit. For one thing, it was apparent to the more astute politicians of the time that getting rid of English authority in speech, far from making for chaos, would encourage the emergence of home authority, and so help to establish national solidarity, then the great desideratum of desiderata. And for another thing, some of them were far-sighted enough to see that the United States, in the course of the years, would inevitably surpass the British Isles in population and wealth, and to realize that its cultural independence would grow at the same pace.

Something of the sort was plainly in the mind of John Adams when he wrote to the president of Congress from Amsterdam on September 5, 1780, suggesting that Congress set up an academy for "correcting, improving and ascertaining the English language." There were such academies, he said, in France, Spain and Italy, but the English had neglected to establish one, and the way was open for the United States. He went on:

It will have a happy effect upon the union of States to have a public standard for all persons in every part of the continent to appeal to, both for the signification and pronunciation of the language. . . . English is destined to be in the next and succeeding centuries more generally the language of the world than Latin was in the last or French is in the present age. The reason of this is obvious, because the increasing population in America, and their universal connection and correspondence with all nations will, aided by the influence of England in the world, whether great or small, force their language into general use, in spite of all the obstacles that may be thrown in their way, if any such there should be.¹

Six years before this, in January, 1774, some anonymous writer, perhaps also Adams, had printed a similar proposal in the Royal American Magazine. That it got some attention is indicated by the fact that Sir John Wentworth, the Loyalist Governor of New Hampshire, thought it of sufficient importance to enclose a reprint of it in a dispatch to the Earl of Dartmouth, Secretary of State for the Colonies, dated April 24. I quote from it briefly:

The English language has been greatly improved in Britain within a century, but its highest perfection, with every other branch of human knowledge, is perhaps reserved for this land of light and freedom. As the people through this extensive country will speak English, their advantages for polishing their language will be great, and vastly superior to what the people of England ever enjoyed. I beg leave to propose a plan for perfecting the English language in America, thro' every future period of its existence; viz: That a society for this purpose should be formed, consisting of members in each university and seminary, who shall be stiled Fellows of the American Society of Language; That the society . . . annually publish some observations upon the language, and from year to year correct, enrich and refine it, until perfection stops their progress and ends their labor.²

Whether this article was Adams's or not, he kept on returning to the charge, and in a second letter to the president of Congress, dated September 30, 1780, he expressed the hope that, after an American Academy had been set up, England would follow suit.

This I should admire. England will never more have any honor, excepting now and then that of imitating the Americans. I assure you, Sir, I am not altogether in jest. I see a general inclination after English in France, Spain and Holland, and it may extend throughout Europe. The population and commerce of America will force their language into general use.³

The letter is reprinted in full in The Beginnings of American English, before cited, pp. 41-43.

² The full text is in The Beginnings of American English, just cited.

³ For this letter I am indebted to George Philip Krapp: The English Language in America, Vol. I, p. 7.

In his first letter to the president of Congress Adams deplored the fact that "it is only very lately that a tolerable dictionary [of English] has been published, even by a private person,1 and there is not yet a passable grammar enterprised by any individual." He did not know it, but at that very moment a young schoolmaster in the backwoods of New York was preparing to meet both lacks. He was Noah Webster. Three years later he returned to Hartford, his birthplace, and brought out his "Grammatical Institute of the English Language," and soon afterward he began the labors which finally bore fruit in his "American Dictionary of the English Language" in 1828.2 Webster was a pedantic and rather choleric fellowsomeone once called him "the critic and cockcomb-general of the United States"-, and his later years were filled with ill-natured debates over his proposals for reforming English spelling, and over the more fanciful etymologies in his dictionary. But though, in this enlightened age, he would scarcely pass as a philologian, he was extremely well read for his time, and if he fell into the blunder of deriving all languages from the Hebrew of the Ark, he was at least shrewd enough to notice the relationship between Greek, Latin and the Teutonic languages before it was generally recognized. He was always at great pains to ascertain actual usages, and in the course of his journeys from State to State to perfect his copyright on his first spelling-book a he accumulated a large amount of interesting and valuable material, especially in the field of pronunciation. Much of it he utilized in his "Dissertations on the English Language," published at Boston in 1789.

In the opening essay of this work he put himself squarely behind Adams. He foresaw that the new Republic would quickly outstrip England in population, and that virtually all its people would speak English. He proposed therefore that an American standard be set up, independent of the English standard, and that it be inculcated in the schools throughout the country. He argued that it should be determined, not by "the practise of any particular class of people," but by "the general practise of the nation," with due regard, in cases

I His reference, of course, was to Johnson's Dictionary, first published in 1755.

² His Compendious Dictionary of the English Language, a sort of trial balloon, was published in 1806. There

is a brief but good account of his dictionary-making in A Survey of English Dictionaries, by M. M. Mathews; London, 1933, pp. 37-45.
3 Published in 1783. There was no national copyright until 1790.

where there was no general practise, to "the principle of analogy." He went on:

As an independent nation, our honor requires us to have a system of our own, in language as well as government. Great Britain, whose children we are, and whose language we speak, should no longer be our standard; for the taste of her writers is already corrupted,1 and her language on the decline. But if it were not so, she is at too great a distance to be our model, and to instruct us in the principles of our own tongue. . . . Several circumstances render a future separation of the American tongue from the English necessary and unavoidable. . . . Numerous local causes, such as a new country, new associations of people, new combinations of ideas in arts and sciences, and some intercourse with tribes wholly unknown in Europe, will introduce new words into the American tongue. These causes will produce, in a course of time, a language in North America as different from the future language of England as the modern Dutch, Danish and Swedish are from the German, or from one another: like remote branches of a tree springing from the same stock, or rays of light shot from the same center, and diverging from each other in proportion to their distance from the point of separation. . . . We have therefore the fairest opportunity of establishing a national language and of giving it uniformity and perspicuity, in North America, that ever presented itself to mankind. Now is the time to begin the plan.2

What Witherspoon thought of all this is not recorded. Maybe he never saw Webster's book, for he was going blind in 1789, and lived only five years longer. Webster seems to have got little support for what he called his Federal English from the recognized illuminati of the time; a indeed, his proposals for a reform of American spelling,

- 1 Later on in the same essay Webster sought to support this doctrine by undertaking an examination of Johnson, Gibbon, Hume, Robertson, Home, Kaims and Blair. Of Johnson he said: "His style is a mixture of Latin and English; an intolerable composition of Latinity, affected smoothness, scholastic accuracy, and roundness of periods." And of Gibbon: "It is difficult to comprehend his meaning and the chain of his ideas, as fast as we naturally read. . . . The mind of the reader is constantly dazzled by a glare of ornament, or charmed from the subject by the music of the language."
- 2 The successive parts of the quotation are from pp. 20, 22, 22-3, and
- 3 The members of the Philological Society of New York, organized in 1788, were for him, but they were

young men of little influence, and their society lasted only a year or so. Webster became a member on March 17, 1788, but on Dec. 20 he left New York. The president was Josiah O. Hoffman and among the members were William Dunlap, the painter and dramatist, and Samuel L. Mitchell. On Aug. 27 Ebenezer Hazard, then Postmaster-General of the Confederation, wrote to a friend in Boston that Webster was "the monarch" of the society. In April, 1788 Webster printed in his American Magazine a notice saying that its purpose was that of "ascer-taining and improving the American tongue." On July 4, 1788 the society passed a resolution approving the first part of his Grammatical Institute. See The Philological Society of New York, by Allen Walker Read, American Speech, April, 1934.

set forth in an appendix to his "Dissertations," were denounced roundly by some of them, and the rest were only lukewarm. He dedicated the "Dissertations" to Franklin, but Franklin delayed acknowledging the dedication until the last days of 1789, and then ventured upon no approbation of Webster's linguistic Declaration of Independence. On the contrary, he urged him to make war upon various Americanisms of recent growth, and perhaps with deliberate irony applauded his "zeal for preserving the purity of our language." A year before the "Dissertations" appeared, Dr. Benjamin Rush anticipated at least some of Webster's ideas in "A Plan of a Federal University," 1 and they seem to have made some impression on Thomas Jefferson, who was to ratify them formally in 1813;2 but the rest of the contemporaneous sages held aloof, and in July, 1800, the Monthly Magazine and American Review of New York printed an anonymous denunciation, headed "On the Scheme of an American Language," of the notion that "grammars and dictionaries should be compiled by natives of the country, not of the British or English, but of the American tongue." The author of this tirade, who signed himself C, displayed a violent Anglomania. "The most suitable name for our country," he said, "would be that which is now appropriated only to a part of it: I mean New England." While admitting that a few Americanisms were logical and necessary for example, Congress, president and capitol -, he dismissed all the rest as "manifest corruptions." A year later, a savant using the nom de plume of Aristarcus delivered a similar attack on Webster in a series of articles contributed to the New England Palladium and reprinted in the Port Folio of Philadelphia, the latter "a notoriously

r Contributed to the American Museum for 1788. Under the heading of Philology he said: "Instruction in this branch of literature will become the more necessary in America as our intercourse must soon cease with the bar, the stage and the pulpit of Great Britain, from whence [sic] we received our knowledge of the pronunciation of the English language. Even modern English books should cease to be the models of style in the United States. The present is the age of simplicity of writing in America. The turgid style of Johnson, the purple glare of Gibbon, and even the studied and

thick-set metaphors of Junius are all equally unnatural and should not be admitted into our country."

2 In a letter from Monticello, August 16, to John Waldo, author of Rudiments of English Grammar. On August 12, 1801 Jefferson wrote to James Madison: "I view Webster as a mere pedagogue, of very limited understanding and very strong prejudices and party passion," but this was with reference to a political matter. In his letter to Waldo, Jefferson adopted Webster's ideas categorically, and professed to believe that "an American dialect will be formed."

Federalistic and pro-British organ." "If the Connecticut lexicographer," he said, "considers the retaining of the English language as a badge of slavery, let him not give us a Babylonish dialect in its stead, but adopt, at once, the language of the aborigines." 1

But if the illuminati were thus chilly, the plain people supported Webster's scheme for the emancipation of American English heartily enough, though very few of them could have heard of it. The period from the gathering of the Revolution to the turn of the century was one of immense activity in the concoction and launching of new Americanisms, and more of them came into the language than at any time between the earliest colonial days and the rush to the West. Webster himself lists some of these novelties in his "Dissertations," and a great many more are to be found in Richard H. Thornton's "American Glossary" 2- for example, black-eye (in the sense of defeat), block (of houses), bobolink, bookstore, bootee (now obsolete), breadstuffs, buckeye, buckwheat-cake, bullsnake, bundling and buttonwood, to go no further than the b's. It was during this period, too, that the American meanings of such words as shoe, corn, bug, bureau, mad, sick, creek, barn and lumber were finally differentiated from the English meanings, and that American peculiarities in pronunciation began to make themselves felt. Despite the economic difficulties which followed the Revolution, the general feeling was that the new Republic was a success, and that it was destined to rise in the world as England declined. There was a widespread contempt for everything English, and that contempt extended to the canons of the mother-tongue.

2. THE ENGLISH ATTACK

But the Jay Treaty of 1794 gave notice that there was still some life left in the British lion, and during the following years the troubles of the Americans, both at home and abroad, mounted at so appalling a rate that their confidence and elation gradually oozed out of them. Simultaneously, their pretensions began to be attacked

delphia and London, 1912. Thornton, who died in 1925, left a large amount of additional material, and its publication was begun in Dialect Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. III, 1931.

¹ See Towards a Historical Aspect of American Speech Consciousness, by Leon Howard, American Speech, April, 1930. 2 Published in two volumes; Phila-

with pious vigor by patriotic Britishers, and in no field was the fervor of these brethren more marked than in those of literature and language. To be sure, there were Englishmen, then as now, who had a friendly and understanding interest in all things American, including even American books, and some of them took the trouble to show it, but they were not many. The general tone of English criticism, from the end of the Eighteenth Century to the present day, has been one of suspicion, and not infrequently it has been extremely hostile. The periods of remission, as often as not, have been no more than evidences of adroit politicking, as when Oxford, in 1907, helped along the graceful liquidation of the Venezuelan unpleasantness of 1895 by giving Mark Twain an honorary D.C.I.. In England all branches of human endeavor are alike bent to the service of the state, and there is an alliance between society and politics, science and literature, that is unmatched anywhere else on earth. But though this alliance, on occasion, may find it profitable to be polite to the Yankee, and even to conciliate him, there remains an active aversion under the surface, born of the incurable rivalry between the two countries, and accentuated perhaps by their common tradition and their similar speech. Americanisms are forcing their way into English all the time, and of late they have been entering at a truly dizzy pace, but they seldom get anything properly describable as a welcome, save from small sects of iconoclasts, and every now and then the general protest against them rises to a roar. As for American literature, it is still regarded in England as somewhat barbaric and below the salt, and the famous sneer of Sydney Smith, though time has made it absurd in all other respects, is yet echoed complacently in many an English review of American books.1

There is an amusing compilation of some of the earlier diatribes

"In the four quarters of the globe, who reads an American book? or goes to an American play? or looks at an American picture or statue? What does the world yet owe to American physicians or surgeons? What new substances have their chemists discovered? or what old ones have they analyzed? What new constellations have been discovered by the telescopes of Americans? What have they done in mathematics? Who drinks out of

American glasses?, or eats from American plates? or wears American coats or gowns? or sleeps in American blankets? Finally, under which of the old tyrannical governments of Europe is every sixth man a slave, whom his fellow-creatures may buy, and sell and torture." All this was a part of a review of Adam Seybert's Statistical Annals of the United States, Edinburgh Review, Jan.—May, 1820.

in William B. Cairns's "British Criticisms of American Writings, 1783-1815." Cairns is not so much concerned with linguistic matters as with literary criticism, but he reprints a number of extracts from the pioneer denunciations of Americanisms, and they surely show a sufficient indignation. The attack began in 1787, when the European Magazine and London Review fell upon the English of Thomas Jefferson's "Notes on the State of Virginia," and especially upon his use of to belittle, which, according to Thornton, was his own coinage. "Belittle!" it roared. "What an expression! It may be an elegant one in Virginia, and even perfectly intelligible; but for our part, all we can do is to guess at its meaning. For shame, Mr. Jefferson! Why, after trampling upon the honour of our country, and representing it as little better than a land of barbarism why, we say, perpetually trample also upon the very grammar of our language, and make that appear as Gothic as, from your description, our manners are rude? - Freely, good sir, will we forgive all your attacks, impotent as they are illiberal, upon our national character; but for the future spare - O spare, we beseech you, our mother-tongue! " The Gentleman's Magazine joined the charge in May, 1798, with sneers for the "uncouth . . . localities" [sic] in the "Yankey dialect" of Noah Webster's "Sentimental and Humorous Essays," and the Edinburgh followed in October, 1804, with a patronizing article upon John Quincy Adams's "Letters on Silesia." "The style of Mr. Adams," it said, "is in general very tolerable English; which, for an American composition, is no moderate praise." The usual American book of the time, it went on, was full of "affectations and corruptions of phrase," and they were even to be found in "the enlightened state papers of the two great Presidents." The Edinburgh predicted that a "spurious dialect" would prevail, "even at the Court and in the Senate of the United States." and that the Americans would thus "lose the only badge that is still worn of our consanguinity." The appearance of the five volumes of Chief Justice Marshall's "Life of George Washington," from 1804 to 1807, brought forth corrective articles from the British Critic, the Critical Review, the Annual, the Monthly, and the Eclectic. The Edinburgh, in 1808, declared that the Americans made "it

I University of Wisconsin Studies in Language and Literature, No. 1; Madison, Wis., 1918.

a point of conscience to have no aristocratical distinctions — even in their vocabulary." They thought, it went on, "one word as good as another, provided its meaning be as clear." The Monthly Mirror, in March of the same year, denounced "the corruptions and barbarities which are hourly obtaining in the speech of our transatlantic colonies [sic]," and reprinted with approbation a parody by some anonymous Englishman of the American style of the day. Here is an extract from it, with the words that the author regarded as Americanisms in italics:

In America authors are to be found who make use of new or obsolete words which no good writer in this country would employ; and were it not for my destitution of leisure, which obliges me to hasten the occlusion of these pages, as I progress I should bottom my assertation on instances from authors of the first grade; but were I to render my sketch lengthy I should illy answer the purpose which I have in view.

The British Critic, in April, 1808, admitted somewhat despairingly that the damage was already done - that "the common speech of the United States has departed very considerably from the standard adopted in England." The others, however, sought to stay the flood by invective against Marshall, and, later, against his rival biographer, the Rev. Aaron Bancroft. The Annual, in 1808, pronounced its anathema upon "that torrent of barbarous phraseology" which was pouring across the Atlantic, and which threatened "to destroy the purity of the English language." In Bancroft's "Life of George Washington" (1808), according to the British Critic, there were "new words, or old words in a new sense," all of them inordinately offensive to Englishmen, "at almost every page," and in Joel Barlow's "The Columbiad" (1807; reprinted in England in 1809) the Edinburgh found "a great multitude of words which are radically and entirely new, and as utterly foreign as if they had been adopted from the Hebrew or Chinese," and "the perversion of a still greater number of English words from their proper use or signification, by employing nouns substantive for verbs, adjectives for substantives, &c." The Edinburgh continued:

We have often heard it reported that our transatlantic brethren were beginning to take it amiss that their language should still be called English; and truly we must say that Mr. Barlow has gone far to take away that ground of reproach. The groundwork of his speech, perhaps may be English, as that of the Italian is Latin; but the variations amount already to more than a change of dialect; and really make a glossary necessary for most untravelled readers.

Some of Barlow's novelties, it must be granted, were fantastic enough - for example, to vagrate and to ameed among the verbs, imkeeled and homicidious among the adjectives, and coloniarch among the nouns. But many of the rest were either obsolete words whose use was perfectly proper in heroic poetry, or nonce-words of obvious meaning and utility. Some of the terms complained of by the Edinburgh are in good usage at this moment - for example, to utilize, to hill, to breeze, to spade (the soil), millenial, crass, and scow.1 But to the English reviewers of the time words so unfamiliar were not only deplorable on their own account; they were also proofs that the Americans were a sordid and ignoble people with no capacity for prose, or for any of the other elegances of life.2 "When the vulgar and illiterate lose the force of their animal spirits," observed the Quarterly in 1814, reviewing J. K. Paulding's "Lay of the Scottish Fiddle" (1813), "they become mere clods. . . . The founders of American society brought to the composition of their nation few seeds of good taste, and no rudiments of liberal science." To which may be added Southey's judgment in a letter to Landor in 1812: "See what it is to have a nation to take its place among civilized states before it has either gentlemen or scholars! They have in the course of twenty years acquired a distinct national character for low and lying knavery; and so well do they deserve it that no man ever had any dealings with them without having proofs of its truth." Landor, it should be said, entered a protest against this, and on a somewhat surprising ground, considering the general view. "Americans," he said, "speak our language; they read 'Paradise Lost." But he hastened to add, "I detest the American character as much as you do."

The War of 1812 naturally exacerbated this animosity, though when the works of Irving and Cooper began to be known in England some of the English reviewers moderated their tone. Irving's "Knickerbocker" was not much read there until 1815, and not much talked about until "The Sketch-Book" followed it in 1819,

quotations, was always "kindly toward America" and that the Eclectic was, "on the whole, fair." The Literary Magazine and British Review he describes as enthusiastically pro-American, but it lived only a short time.

¹ See A Historical Note on American English, by Leon Howard, American Speech, Sept., 1927.

² Cairns says that the Edinburgh, the Anti-Jacobin, the Quarterly, and the European Magazine and London Review were especially virulent. He says that the Monthly, despite my

but Scott had received a copy of it from Henry Brevoort in 1813, and liked it and said so. Byron mentioned it in a letter to his publisher, Murray, on August 7, 1821. We are told by Thomas Love Peacock that Shelley was "especially fond of the novels of Charles Brockden Brown, the American," but Cairns says there is no mention of the fact, if it be a fact, in any of Shelley's own writings, or in those of his other friends. "Knickerbocker" was published in 1809, the North American Review began in May, 1815, Bryant's "Thanatopsis" was printed in its pages in 1817, and Paulding's "The Backwoodsman," with an American theme and an American title, came out a year later, but Cooper's "Precaution" was still two years ahead, and American letters were yet in a somewhat feeble state. John Pickering, so late as 1816, said that "in this country we can hardly be said to have any authors by profession," and Justice Story, three years later, repeated the saying and sought to account for the fact. "So great," said Story, "is the call for talents of all sorts in the active use of professional and other business in America that few of our ablest men have leisure to devote exclusively to literature or the fine arts. . . . This obvious reason will explain why we have so few professional authors, and those not among our ablest men." In 1813 Jefferson, anticipating both Pickering and Story, had written to John Waldo:

We have no distinct class of literati in our country. Every man is engaged in some industrious pursuit, and science is but a secondary occupation, always subordinate to the main business of life. Few, therefore, of those who are qualified have leisure to write.

Difficulties of communication hampered the circulation of such native books as were written. "It is much to be regretted," wrote Dr. David Ramsay, of Charleston, S. C., to Noah Webster in 1806, "that there is so little intercourse in a literary way between the States. As soon as a book of general utility comes out in any State it should be for sale in all of them." Ramsay asked for little; the most he could imagine was a sale of 2,000 copies for an American work in America. But even that was apparently beyond the possibilities of the time. It would be a mistake, however, to assume that the Americans eschewed reading altogether; on the contrary, there is some evidence that they read many English books. In 1802 the Scot's Magazine reported that at a book-fair held shortly before in New York the sales ran to 520,000 volumes, and that a similar fair was

projected for Philadelphia. Six years before this the London book-seller, Henry Lemoine, made a survey of the American book trade for the *Gentleman's Magazine*. He found that very few books were being printed in the country, and ascribed the fact to the high cost of labor, but he encountered well-stocked bookstores in New York, Philadelphia and Baltimore, and plenty of customers for their importations. He went on:

Their sales are very great, for it is scarcely possible to conceive the number of readers with which every little town abounds. The common people are on a footing, in point of literature, with the middle ranks in Europe; they all read and write, and understand arithmetic. Almost every little town now furnishes a small circulating-library. . . . Whatever is useful sells, but publications on subjects merely speculative, and rather curious than important, controversial divinity, and voluminous polemical pieces, as well as heavy works on the arts and sciences, lie upon the importer's hands. They have no ready money to spare for anything but what they find useful.

But other visitors were much less impressed by the literary gusto of the young Republic. Henry Wansey, who came out in 1794, reported in his "Excursion to the United States of North America" that the American libraries were "scanty," that their collections were "almost entirely of modern books," and that they were deficient in "the means of tracing the history of questions, . . . a want which literary people felt very much, and which it will take some years to remedy." And Captain Thomas Hamilton, in his "Men and Manners in America," said flatly that "there is . . . nothing in the United States worthy of the name of library. Not only is there an entire absence of learning, in the higher sense of the term, but an absolute want of the material from which alone learning can be extracted. At present an American might study every book within the limits of the Union, and still be regarded in many parts of Europe—especially in Germany—as a man comparatively ignorant. Why

1 November, 1796. I take what follows from Cairns.

2 Salisbury, 1796. Wansey stayed but two months, and his journey was confined to the region between Boston and Philadelphia.

3 Published in Edinburgh in 1833, and reprinted in Philadelphia the same year. The book did not bear Hamilton's name, but was ascribed on the title page to "the author of 'Cyril Thornton.'" Hamilton was a younger brother to Sir William Hamilton, the metaphysician, and a friend to Sir Walter Scott. He was himself a frequent contributor to Blackwood's. "Cyril Thornton," published in 1827, was a successful novel, and remained in favor for many years. Hamilton died in 1842. "Men and Manners in America" was translated into French and twice into German.

does a great nation thus voluntarily continue in a state of intellectual destitution so anomalous and humiliating? "According to Hamilton, all the books imported from Europe for public institutions during the fiscal year 1829–30 reached a value of but \$10,829.

But whatever the fact here, there can be no doubt that the Americans were quickly aware of every British aspersion upon their culture, whether it appeared in a book or in one of the reviews. If nothing else was read, such things were certainly read, and they came with sufficient frequency, and were couched in terms of sufficient offensiveness, to keep the country in a state of indignation for years. The flood of books by English visitors began before the end of the Eighteenth Century, and though many of them were intended to be friendly, there was in even the friendliest of them enough of what Cairns calls "the British knack for saying gracious things in an ungracious way" to keep the pot of fury boiling. At the other extreme the thing went to fantastic lengths. The Quarterly Review, summing up in 1814, accused the Americans of a multitude of strange and hair-raising offenses - for example, employing naked colored women to wait upon them at table, kidnapping Scotsmen, Welshmen and Hollanders and selling them into slavery, and fighting one another incessantly under rules which made it "allowable to peel the skull, tear out the eyes, and smooth away the nose." In this holy war upon the primeval damyankee William Gifford, editor of the Anti-Jacobin in 1707-08, and after 1800 the first editor of the Quarterly, played an extravagant part,1 but he was diligently seconded by Sydney Smith, Southey, Thomas Moore and many lesser lights. "If the [English] reviewers get hold of an American publication," said J. K. Paulding in "Letters From the South" in 1817, "it is made use of merely as a pretext to calumniate us in some way or other." There is an instructive account of the whole uproar in the fifth volume of John Bach McMaster's "History of the People of the United States From the Revolution to the Civil War." McMaster says that it

I Gifford was a killer in general practise, and his onslaughts on Wordsworth, Shelley and Keats are still remembered. He retired from the Quarterly in 1824 with a fortune of £25,000—the first magazine editor in history to make it pay. On his death in 1826 he was solemnly buried in Westminster Abbey. The Quarterly, despite its anti-Ameri-

can ferocity, was regularly reprinted in Boston. But when its issue for July, 1823 appeared with an extraordinarily malignant review of William Faux's Memorable Days in America (London, 1823) the American publishers were warned that it contained a libel on "a distinguished individual at Washington," and accordingly withheld it.

was generally believed that the worst calumniators of the United States were subsidized by the British government, apparently in an effort to discourage emigration. He goes on:

The petty annoyances, the little inconveniences and unpleasant incidents met with in all journeys, were grossly exaggerated and cited as characteristic of daily life in the States. Men and women met with at the inns and taverns, in the stage-coaches and far-away country towns, were described not as so many types, but as the typical Americans. The abuse heaped on public men by partisan newspapers, the charges of corruption made by one faction against the other, the scandals of the day, were all cited as solemn truth.

Even the relatively mild and friendly Captain Hamilton condescended to such tactics. This is what he had to say of Thomas Jefferson:

The moral character of Jefferson was repulsive. Continually puling about liberty, equality, and the degrading curse of slavery, he brought his own children to the hammer, and made money of his debaucheries.¹

Such violent assaults, in the long run, were bound to breed defiance, but while they were at their worst they produced a contrary effect. "The nervous interest of Americans in the impressions formed of them by visiting Europeans," says Allan Nevins,² "and their sensitiveness to British criticism in especial, were long regarded as constituting a salient national trait." The native authors became extremely self-conscious and diffident, and the educated classes, in general, were daunted by the torrent of abuse: they could not help finding in it an occasional reasonableness, an accidental true hit. The result was uncertainty and skepticism in native criticism. "The first step of an American entering upon a literary career," said Henry Cabot Lodge, writing of the first quarter of the century,³ "was to

r See also The Cambridge History of American Literature, Vol. I; New York, 1917, pp. 205-8; As Others See Us, by John Graham Brooks; New York, 1909, Ch. VII; James Kirke Paulding, by Amos L. Herold; New York, 1926, Ch. IV; American Social History as Recorded by British Travellers, by Allan Nevins; New York, 1923, pp. 3-26 and pp. 111-138; One Hundred Years of Peace, by Henry Cabot Lodge: New York, 1913, pp. 41-55; and The English Traveller in America, 1785-1835, by Jane Louise Mesick; New York, 1922, pp. 241-45. There is a brief but

comprehensive view of the earlier period in British Recognition of American Speech in the Eighteenth Century, by Allen Walker Read, Dialect Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. VI, 1933. A bibliography of British books of American travel is in The Cambridge History of American Literature, Vol. I, pp. 468-90, and another, annotated, in Nevins, pp. 555-68.

2 American Social History as Recorded by British Travelers; New York, 1922, P. 2

York, 1923, p. 3. 3 In his essay, Colonialism in America, in Studies in History; Boston, 1884. pretend to be an Englishman in order that he might win the approval, not of Englishmen, but of his own countrymen." Cooper, in his first novel, "Precaution," (1820) chose an English scene, imitated English models, and obviously hoped to placate the English critics thereby. Irving, too, in his earliest work, showed a considerable discretion, and his "Knickerbocker" was first published anonymously. But this puerile spirit did not last long. The English libels were altogether too vicious to be received lying down; their very fury demanded that they be met with a united and courageous front. Cooper, in his second novel, "The Spy" (1821), boldly chose an American setting and American characters, and though the influence of his wife, who came of a Loyalist family, caused him to avoid any direct attack upon the English, he attacked them indirectly, and with great effect, by opposing an immediate and honorable success to their derisions. "The Spy" ran through three editions in four months, and was followed by a long line of thoroughly American novels. In 1828 Cooper undertook a detailed reply to the more common English charges in "Notions of the Americans," but he was still too cautious to sign his name to it: it appeared as "by a Travelling Bachelor." By 1834, however, he was ready to apologize formally to his countrymen for his early truancy in "Precaution." Irving, who was even more politic, and suffered moreover from Anglomania in a severe form, nevertheless edged himself gradually into the patriot band, and by 1828 he was brave enough to refuse the Quarterly's offer of a hundred guineas for an article on the ground that it was "so persistently hostile to our country" that he could not "draw a pen in its service."

The real counter-attack was carried on by lesser men — the elder Timothy Dwight, John Neal, Edward Everett, Charles Jared Ingersoll, J. K. Paulding, and Robert Walsh, Jr., among them. Neal went to England, became secretary to Jeremy Bentham, forced his way into the reviews, and so fought the English on their own ground. Walsh set up the American Review of History and Politics, the first American critical quarterly, in 1811, and eight years later published "An Appeal From the Judgments of Great Britain Respecting the United States of America." Everett performed chiefly in the North American Review (founded in 1815), to which he contributed many articles and of which he was editor from 1820 to 1824. Wirt published his "Letters of a British Spy" in 1803, and Ingersoll followed

with "Inchiquin the Jesuit's Letters on American Literature and Politics" in 1811. In January, 1814 the Quarterly reviewed "Inchiquin" in a particularly violent manner, and a year later Dwight replied to the onslaught in "Remarks on the Review of Inchiquin's Letters Published in the Quarterly Review, Addressed to the Right Honorable George Canning, Esq." Dwight ascribed the Quarterly diatribe to Southey. He went on:

Both the travelers and the literary journalists of [England] have, for reasons which it would be idle to inquire after and useless to allege, thought it proper to caricature the Americans. Their pens have been dipped in gall; and their representations have been, almost merely, a mixture of malevolence and falsehood.

Dwight rehearsed some of the counts in the Quarterly's indictment—that "the president of Yale College tells of a conflagrative brand," that Jefferson used to belittle, that to guess was on the tongues of all Americans, and so on. "You charge us," he said, "with making some words, and using others in a peculiar sense. . . . You accuse us of forming projects to get rid of the English language; 'not,' you say, 'merely by barbarizing it, but by abolishing it altogether, and substituting a new language of our own.' "His reply was to list, on the authority of Pegge's "Anecdotes of the English Language," 105 vulgarisms common in London—for example, patecary for apothecary, chimly for chimney, saace for sauce, kiver for cover, nowheres for nowhere, scholard for scholar, and hisn for his—to accuse "members of Parliament" of using diddled and gullibility 1 and to deride the English provincial dialects as "unintelligible gabble."

But in this battle across the ocean it was Paulding who got in the most licks, and the heaviest ones. In all he wrote five books dealing with the subject. The first, "The Diverting History of John Bull and Brother Jonathan" (1812) was satirical in tone, and made a considerable popular success. Three years later he followed it with a more serious work, "The United States and England," another reply to the Quarterly review of "Inchiquin." The before-mentioned "Letters From the South" came out in 1817, and in 1822

1 At that time both words were neologisms. The Oxford Dictionary's first example of gullibility is dated 1793. So late as 1818 it was denounced by the Rev. H. J. Todd, one of the improvers of Johnson's Dictionary, as "a low expression, sometimes used for cullibility." The Oxford's first example of to diddle is dated 1806.

Paulding resumed the attack with "A Sketch of Old England," a sort of reductio ad absurdum of the current English books of American travels. He had never been to England, and the inference was that many of the English travelers had never been to America. Finally, in 1825, he resorted to broad burlesque in "John Bull in America, or The New Munchausen." Now and then some friendly aid came from the camp of the enemy. Cairns shows that, while the Quarterly, the European Magazine and the Anti-Jacobin were "strongly anti-American" and "deliberately and dirtily bitter," three or four of the lesser reviews displayed a fairer spirit, and even more or less American bias. After 1824, when the North American Review gave warning that if the campaign of abuse went on it would "turn into bitterness the last drops of good-will toward England that exist in the United States," even Blackwood's became somewhat conciliatory.

3. AMERICAN "BARBARISMS"

But this occasional tolerance for things American was never extended to the American language. Most of the English books of travel mentioned Americanisms only to revile them, and even when they were not reviled they were certainly not welcomed. The typical attitude was well set forth by Captain Hamilton in "Men and Manners in America," already referred to as denying that the United States of 1833 had any libraries. "The amount of bad grammar in circulation," he said, "is very great; that of barbarisms [i.e., Americanisms] enormous." Worse, these "barbarisms" were not confined to the ignorant, but came almost as copiously from the lips of the learned.

I do not now speak of the operative class, whose massacre of their mother-tongue, however inhuman, could excite no astonishment; but I allude to the great body of lawyers and traders; the men who crowd the exchange and the hotels; who are to be heard speaking in the courts, and are selected by their fellow-citizens to fill high and responsible offices. Even by this educated and respectable class, the commonest words are often so transmogrified as to be placed beyond recognition of an Englishman.

This book, like John Bull and Brother Jonathan, seems to have had readers for a generation or more. So late as 1867 the Scribners brought out a new edition of the two in a single volume, under the title of The Bulls and Jonathans, with a preface by William I. Paulding. It still makes amusing reading. Hamilton then went on to describe some of the prevalent "bar-barisms":

The word does is split into two syllables, and pronounced do-es. Where, for some incomprehensible reason, is converted into whare, there into thare; and I remember, on mentioning to an acquaintance that I had called on a gentleman of taste in the arts, he asked "whether he shew (showed) me his pictures." Such words as oratory and dilatory are pronounced with the penult syllable long and accented: missionary becomes missionairy, angel, angel, danger, danger, etc.

But this is not all. The Americans have chosen arbitrarily to change the meaning of certain old and established English words, for reasons they cannot explain, and which I doubt much whether any European philologist could understand. The word elever affords a case in point. It has here no connexion with talent, and simply means pleasant or amiable. Thus a good-natured blockhead in the American vernacular is a elever man, and having had this drilled into me, I foolishly imagined that all trouble with regard to this word, at least, was at an end. It was not long, however, before I heard of a gentleman having moved into a elever house, of another succeeding to a elever sum of money, of a third embarking in a elever ship, and making a elever voyage, with a elever cargo; and of the sense attached to the word in these various combinations, I could gain nothing like a satisfactory explanation. . . .

The privilege of barbarizing the King's English is assumed by all ranks and conditions of men. Such words as slick, kedge and boss, it is true, are rarely used by the better orders; but they assume unlimited liberty in the use of expect, reckon, guess and calculate, and perpetrate other conversational anomalies with remorseless impunity.

This Briton, as usual, was as full of moral horror as of grammatical disgust, and put his denunciation upon the loftiest of grounds. He concluded:

I will not go on with this unpleasant subject, nor should I have alluded to it, but I feel it something of a duty to express the natural feeling of an Englishman at finding the language of Shakespeare and Milton thus gratuitously degraded. Unless the present progress of change be arrested by an increase of taste and judgment in the more educated classes, there can be no doubt that, in another century, the dialect of the Americans will become utterly unintelligible to an Englishman, and that the nation will be cut off from the advantages arising from their participation in British literature. If they contemplate such an event with complacency, let them go on and prosper; they have only to progress in their present course, and their grandchildren bid fair to speak a jargon as novel and peculiar as the most patriotic American linguist can desire.

All the other English writers of travel books took the same line, and so did the stay-at-homes who hunted and abhorred Americanisms from afar. Mrs. Frances Trollope reported in her "Domestic

1 The quotations are from pp. 127-9.

Manners of the Americans" (1832) that during her whole stay in the Republic she had seldom "heard a sentence elegantly turned and correctly pronounced from the lips of an American": there was "always something either in the expression or the accent" that jarred her feelings and shocked her taste. She concluded that "the want of refinement" was the great American curse. Captain Frederick Marryat, in "A Diary in America" (1839) observed that "it is remarkable how very debased the language has become in a short period in America," and then proceeded to specifications - for example, the use of right away for immediately, of mean for ashamed, of clever in the senses which stumped Captain Hamilton, of bad as a deprecant of general utility, of admire for like, of how? instead of what? as an interrogative, of considerable as an adverb, and of such immoral verbs as to suspicion and to opinion. Marryat was here during Van Buren's administration, when the riot of Americanisms was at its wildest, and he reported some really fantastic specimens. Once, he said, he heard "one of the first men in America" say, "Sir, if I had done so, I should not only have doubled and trebled, but I should have fourbled and fivebled my money." Unfortunately, it is hard to believe that an American who was so plainly alive to the difference between shall and will, should and would, would have been unaware of quadrupled and quintupled. No doubt there was humor in the country, then as now, and visiting Englishmen were sometimes taken for rides.

Captain Basil Hall, who was here in 1827 and 1828, and published his "Travels in North America" in 1829, was so upset by some of the novelties he encountered that he went to see Noah Webster, then seventy years old, to remonstrate. Webster upset him still further by arguing stoutly that "his countrymen had not only a right to adopt new words, but were obliged to modify the language to suit the novelty of the circumstances, geographical and political, in which they were placed." The lexicographer went on to observe judicially that "it is quite impossible to stop the progress of language — it is like the course of the Mississippi, the motion of which, at times, is scarcely perceptible; yet even then it possesses a momentum quite irresistible. Words and expressions will be forced into use, in spite of all the exertions of all the writers in the world."

"But surely," persisted Hall, "such innovations are to be deprecated?"

"I don't know that," replied Webster. "If a word becomes universally current in America, where English is spoken, why should it not take its station in the language?"

To this Hall made an honest British reply. "Because," he said, "there are words enough already."

Webster tried to mollify him by saying that "there were not fifty words in all which were used in America and not in England"—an underestimate of large proportions—, but Hall went away muttering.

Marryat, who toured the United States ten years after Hall, was chiefly impressed by the American verb to fix, which he described as "universal" and as meaning "to do anything." It also got attention from other English travelers, including Godfrey Thomas Vigne, whose "Six Months in America" was printed in 1832, and Charles Dickens, who came in 1842. Vigne said that it had "perhaps as many significations as any word in the Chinese language," and proceeded to list some of them—"to be done, made, mixed, mended, bespoken, hired, ordered, arranged, procured, finished, lent or given." Dickens thus dealt with it in one of his letters home to his family:

I asked Mr. Q. on board a steamboat if breakfast be nearly ready, and he tells me yes, he should think so, for when he was last below the steward was fixing the tables—in other words, laying the cloth. When we have been writing and I beg him . . . to collect our papers, he answers that he'll fix 'em presently. So when a man's dressing he's fixing himself, and when you put yourself under a doctor he fixes you in no time. T'other night, before we came on board here, when I had ordered a bottle of mulled claret, and waited some time for it, it was put on the table with an apology from the landlord (a lieutenant-colonel) that he fear'd it wasn't properly fixed. And here, on Saturday morning, a Western man, handing his potatoes to Mr. Q. at breakfast, inquired if he wouldn't take some of "these fixings" with his meat.¹

In another letter, written on an Ohio river steamboat on April 15, 1842, Dickens reported that "out of Boston and New York" a nasal drawl was universal, that the prevailing grammar was "more than doubtful," that the "oddest vulgarisms" were "received idioms," and that "all the women who have been bred in slave States speak more or less like Negroes." His observations on American speech

The letter appears in John Forster's Life of Charles Dickens; London, 1872-74; Book III, Chapter V. It is reprinted in Allan Nevins's American Social History as Recorded by British Travelers; New York, 1923, p. 268. It was written on a canal-boat nearing Pittsburgh, and dated March 28, 1842.

habits in his "American Notes" (1842) were so derisory that they drew the following from Emerson:

No such conversations ever occur in this country in real life, as he relates. He has picked up and noted with eagerness each odd local phrase that he met with, and when he had a story to relate, has joined them together, so that the result is the broadest caricature.¹

Almost every English traveler of the years between the War of 1812 and the Civil War was puzzled by the strange signs on American shops. Hall couldn't make out the meaning of Leather and Finding Store, though he found Flour and Feed Store and Clothing Store self-explanatory, albeit unfamiliar. Hamilton, who followed in 1833, failed to gather "the precise import" of Dry-Goods Store, and was baffled and somewhat shocked by Coffin Warehouse (it would now be Casketeria!) and Hollow Ware, Spiders, and Fire-Dogs. But all this was relatively mild stuff, and after 1850 the chief licks at the American dialect were delivered, not by English travelers, most of whom had begun by then to find it more amusing than indecent, but by English pedants who did not stir from their cloisters. The climax came in 1863, when the Very Rev. Henry Alford, D.D. dean of Canterbury, printed his "Plea for the Queen's English." 2 He said:

Look at the process of deterioration which our Queen's English has undergone at the hands of the Americans. Look at those phrases which so amuse us in their speech and books; at their reckless exaggeration and contempt for congruity; and then compare the character and history of the nation—its blunted sense of moral obligation and duty to man; its open disregard of conventional right when aggrandisement is to be obtained; and I may now say, its reckless and fruitless maintenance of the most cruel and unprincipled war in the history of the world.

1 Journal, Nov. 25, 1842.

2 A second edition followed in 1864, and an eighth was reached by 1880. There was also an American edition. In October, 1864, an American resident in England, G. Washington Moon by name, brought out a counterblast, The Dean's English. This reached a seventh edition by 1884. Moon employed the ingenious device of turning Alford's pedantries upon him. He showed that the dean was a very loose and careless writer, and often violated his own rules. Another American, Edward

S. Gould, bombarded him from the same ground in Good English, or Popular Errors in Language; New York, 1867. Alford was a favorite scholar of the time. He wrote Latin odes and a history of the Jews before he was ten years old, and in later life was the first editor of the Contemporary Review and brought out a monumental edition of the New Testament in Greek. He was born in 1810, served as dean of Canterbury from 1857 to 1871, and died in the latter year.

It will be noted that Alford here abandoned one of the chief counts in Sydney Smith's famous indictment, and substituted its exact opposite. Smith had denounced slavery, whereas Alford, by a tremendous feat of moral virtuosity, was now denouncing the war to put it down! But Samuel Taylor Coleridge had done almost as well in 1822. The usual English accusation at that time, as we have seen, was that the Americans had abandoned English altogether and set up a barbarous jargon in its place. Coleridge, speaking to his friend Thomas Allsop, took the directly contrary tack. "An American," he said, "by his boasting of the superiority of the Americans generally, but especially in their language, once provoked me to tell him that 'on that head the least said the better, as the Americans presented the extraordinary anomaly of a people without a language. [Allsop's italies] That they had mistaken the English language for baggage (which is called plunder in America), and had stolen it." And then the inevitable moral reflection: "Speaking of America, it is believed a fact verified beyond doubt that some years ago it was impossible to obtain a copy of the Newgare Calendar, as they had all been bought up by the Americans, whether to suppress the blazon of their forefathers, or to assist in their genealogical researches, I could never learn satisfactorily." 1

4. THE ENGLISH ATTITUDE TODAY

Smith, Alford and Coleridge have plenty of heirs and assigns in the England of today. There is in the United States, as everyone knows, a formidable sect of Anglomaniacs, and its influence is often felt, not only in what passes here for society, but also in the domains of politics, finance, pedagogy and journalism, but the corresponding sect of British Americophils is small and feeble, though it shows a few respectable names. It is seldom that anything specifically American is praised in the English press, save, of course, some new manifestation of American Anglomania. The realm of Uncle Shylock remains, at bottom, the "brigand confederation" of the Foreign Quarterly, and on occasion it becomes again the "loathsome creature, . . . maimed and lame, full of sores and ulcers," of Dickens.

I Letters, Conversations and Recollections of S. T. Coleridge, edited by Thomas Allsop; London, 1836.

In the field of language an Americanism is generally regarded as obnoxious ipso facto, and when a new one of any pungency begins to force its way into English usage the guardians of the national linguistic chastity belabor it with great vehemence, and predict calamitous consequences if it is not put down. If, despite these alarms, it makes progress, they often switch to the doctrine that it is really old English, and search the Oxford Dictionary for examples of its use in Chaucer's time, or even in the Venerable Bede's; 1 but while it is coming in they give it no quarter. Here the unparalleled English talent for discovering moral obliquity comes into play, and what begins as an uproar over a word sometimes ends as a holy war to keep the knavish Yankee from undermining and ruining the English Kultur and overthrowing the British Empire. The crusade has abundant humors. Not infrequently a phrase denounced as an abominable Americanism really originated in the London music-halls, and is unknown in the United States. And almost as often the denunciation of it is sprinkled with genuine Americanisms, unconsciously picked up.

The English seldom differentiate between American slang and Americanisms of legitimate origin and in respectable use: both belong to what they often call the American slanguage.² It is most unusual for an American book to be reviewed in England without some reference to its strange and (so one gathers) generally unpleasant diction. The Literary Supplement of the London *Times* is especially alert in this matter. It discovers Americanisms in the writings of even the most decorous American authors, and when none can be found it notes the fact, half in patronizing approbation and half in incredulous surprise. Of the 240 lines it gave to the first two volumes of the Dictionary of American Biography, 31 were devoted to animadversions upon the language of the learned authors.³ The Man-

that the British deserve the credit for them."

became Uncle Shylock.

3 Aug. 29, 1929. The passage is perhaps worth quoting in full: "The literary style of the articles is, in

I "This dichotomy," says Allen Walker Read in British Recognition of American Speech in the Eighteenth Century, Dialect Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. VI, 1933, p. 331, "runs through most British writing on American speech . . . : on the one hand the Americans are denounced for introducing corruptions into the language, and on the other hand those very expressions are eagerly claimed as of British origin to show

² According to American Speech (Feb. 1930, p. 250) this term was invented in 1925 or thereabout. At that time the English war debt to the United States was under acrimonious discussion, and Uncle Sam became Uncle Shylock.

chester Guardian and the weeklies of opinion follow dutifully. The Guardian, in a review of Dr. Harry Emerson Fosdick's "As I See Religion," began by praising his "telling speech," but ended by deploring sadly his use of the "full-blooded Americanisms which sometimes make even those who do not for a moment question America's right and power to contribute to the speech which we use in common wince as they read." One learns from J. L. Hammond that the late C. P. Scott, for long the editor of the Guardian, had a keen nose for Americanisms, and was very alert to keep them out of his paper. Says Hammond:

He would go bustling into a room, waving a cutting or a proof, in which was an obscure phrase, a preciosity, or an Americanism. "What does he mean by this? He talks about a *final showdown?* An Americanism, I suppose. What does it mean? Generally known? I don't know it. Taken from cards? I never heard of it." ²

This war upon Americanisms is in progress all the time, but it naturally has its pitched battles and its rest-periods between. For

most instances, suitable to their purpose. Some of them afford obvious indications of their country of origin, as when we read that Bishop Asbury was at no time a well man, or that Chester A. Arthur's chief did not uphold the power of the Conkling crowd, or that Robert Bacon announced his candidacy for the Senate, or that Governor Altgeld protested the action of President Cleveland, or that Dr. W. Beaumont, when a doctor's apprentice, learned to fill prescriptions, or that J. G. Blaine raised a family of seven children, or that Prof. B. P. Bowne tested the progress of his pupils by a written quiz. The article on Blaine contains a curious illustration of the peculiar American use of the word politician. We read that 'however much Blaine was a politician, it seems to be the fact that from 1876 he was the choice of the majority, or of the largest faction, of Republicans.' One naturally wonders why it should be thought surprising for a politician to win popular support within his own party. The explanation is that

politician is here very nearly a synonym for wire-puller or intriguer, and the point the writer wishes to make is that Blaine's influence was not wholly due to his adroit manipulation of the political machine." The following is from the Times' review of Hervey Allen's Toward the Flame (Literary Supplement, June 7, 1934): "Mr. Allen may or not feel complimented by the statement that, apart from military terms which appear strange to us, there is not an Americanism in his strong and supple prose; but the fact adds to an English reader's pleasure. Yet one would like to know what were the functions of the individual called the colonel's striker." The English term for striker, as the reviewer might have discovered by consulting the Oxford Dictionary, is batman. Both signify a military

1 Weekly edition, Aug. 26, 1932, p.

^{175.}
² C. P. Scott of the Manchester Guardian; London, 1934, p. 314.

months there may be relative quiet on the linguistic Western front, and then some alarmed picket fires a gun and there is what the German war communiqués used to call a sharpening of activity. As a general thing the English content themselves with artillery practise from their own lines, but now and then one of them boldly invades the enemy's country. This happened, for example, in 1908, when Charles Whibley contributed an extremely acidulous article on "The American Language" to the Bookman (New York) for January. "To the English traveler in America," he said, "the language which he hears spoken about him is at once a puzzle and a surprise. It is his own, yet not his own. It seems to him a caricature of English, a phantom speech, ghostly yet familiar, such as he might hear in a land of dreams." Mr. Whibley objected violently to many characteristic American terms, among them, to locate, to operate, to antagonize, transportation, commutation and proposition. "These words," he said, "if words they may be called, are hideous to the eye, offensive to the car, meaningless to the brain." The onslaught provoked even so mild a man as Dr. Henry W. Boynton to action, and in the Bookman for March of the same year he published a spirited rejoinder. "It offends them [the English]," he said, "that we are not thoroughly ashamed of ourselves for not being like them." Mr. Whibley's article was reprinted with this counterblast, so that readers of the magazine might judge the issues fairly. The controversy quickly got into the newspapers, and was carried on for months, with American patriots on one side and Englishmen and Anglomaniacs on the other.

I myself once helped to loose such an uproar, though quite unintentionally. Happening to be in London in the Winter of 1929-30, I was asked by Mr. Ralph D. Blumenfeld, the American-born editor of the *Daily Express*, to do an article for his paper on the progress of Americanisms in England since my last visit in 1922. In that article I ventured to say:

The Englishman, whether he knows it or not, is talking and writing more and more American. He becomes so accustomed to it that he grows unconscious of it. Things that would have set his teeth on edge ten years ago, or even five years ago, are now integral parts of his daily speech. . . . In a few years it will probably be impossible for an Englishman to speak, or even to write, without using Americanisms, whether consciously or unconsciously. The influence of 125,000,000 people, practically all headed in one direction, is simply too great to be resisted by any minority, however resolute.

The question whether or not this was sound will be examined in Chapters VI and XII. For the present it is sufficient to note that my article was violently arraigned by various volunteer correspondents of the Express and by contributors to many other journals. One weekly opened its protest with "That silly little fellow, II. L. Mencken, is at it again" and headed it "The American Moron," and in various other quarters I was accused of a sinister conspiracy against the mother-tongue, probably political or commercial in origin, or maybe both. At this time the American talkie was making its first appearance in England, and so there was extraordinary interest in the subject, for it was obvious that the talkie would bring in far more Americanisms than the silent movie; moreover, it would also introduce the hated American accent. On February 4, 1930 Sir Alfred Knox, a Conservative M.P., demanded in the House of Commons that the Right Hon. William Graham, P.C., then president of the Board of Trade, take steps to "protect the English language by limiting the import of American talkie films." In a press interview he said:

I don't go to the cinema often, but I had to be present at one a few days ago, when an American talkie film was shown. The words and accent were perfectly disgusting, and there can be no doubt that such films are an evil influence on our language. It is said that 30,000,000 [British] people visit the cinemas every week. What is the use of spending millions on education if our young people listen to falsified English spoken every night? 1

There had been another such uproar in 1927, when an International Conference on English was held in London, under the presidency of the Earl of Balfour. This conference hardly got beyond polite futilities, but the fact that the call for it came from the American side 2 made it suspect from the start, and its deliberations

I According to the Associated Press, Mr. Graham pointed to the Cinematographic Act as designed to encourage British films, but added: "I'm not prepared to place direct restrictions on the importations of American talking films into this country."

2 It was issued in March, 1922, and was signed by the late James W. Bright, then professor of English at the Johns Hopkins; Charles H. Grandgent of Harvard; Robert Underwood Johnson, secretary of the American Academy of Arts

and Letters; John Livingston Lowes of Harvard; John M. Manly of the University of Chicago; Charles G. Osgood of Princeton, and the late Fred Newton Scott of the University of Michigan. A reply was received in October, 1922, from an English committee consisting of the Earl of Balfour, Dr. Robert Bridges and Sir Henry Newbolt, but it was not until five years later that the conference was actually held. It will be referred to again a bit later on.

met with unconcealed hostility. On June 25, 1927, the New Statesman let go with a heavy blast, rehearsing all the familiar English objections to Americanisms. It said:

It is extremely desirable, to say the least, that every necessary effort should be made to preserve some standard of pure idiomatic English. But from what quarter is the preservation of such a standard in any way threatened? The answer is "Solely from America." Yet we are asked to collaborate with the Americans on the problem; we are to make bargains about our own tongue; there is to be a system of give and take. . . . Why should we offer to discuss the subject at all with America? We do not want to interfere with their language; why should they seek to interfere with ours? That their huge hybrid population of which only a small minority are even racially Anglo-Saxons should use English as their chief medium of intercommunication is our misfortune, not our fault. They certainly threaten our language, but the only way in which we can effectively meet that threat is by assuming — in the words of the authors of "The King's English" that "Americanisms are foreign words and should be so treated."

The proposal that a permanent Council of English be formed, with 50 American members and 50 from the British Empire, brought the New Statesman to the verge of hysterics. It admitted that such a council "might be very useful indeed," but argued that it "ought not to include more than one Scotsman and one Irishman, and should certainly not include even a single American." Thus it reasoned:

The American language is the American language, and the English language is the English language. In some respects the Americans may fairly claim superiority. Sidewalk, for example, is a better word than pavement, and fall an infinitely better word than autumn. If we do not adopt these better words it is simply because of their "American flavor"; and the instinct which makes us reject them, though unfortunate in certain cases, is profoundly right. The only way to preserve the purity of the English language is to present a steadily hostile resistance to every American innovation. From time to time we may adopt this word or that, or sometimes a whole vivid phrase. But for all serious lovers of the English tongue it is America that is the only dangerous enemy. She must develop her own language and allow us to develop ours.

The other English journals were rather less fierce in their denunciation of the council and its programme, but very few of them greeted either with anything approaching cordiality.² The *Times*,

¹ By H. W. and F. G. Fowler; Oxford, 1908.

² There is an account of their attitude, with quotations, by Dr. Kemp Malone of the Johns Hopkins, who was an American delegate to the conference, in American

Speech, April, 1928, p. 261. The conference held two sessions, both at the quarters of the Royal Society of Literature. On the first day Lord Balfour presided, and on the second day Dr. Johnson. The speakers on the first day were Lord

obviously trying to be polite, observed that "without offense it may be said that no greater assaults are made on the common language than in America," and the Spectator ventured the view that in the United States English was departing definitely from the home standard, and was greatly "imposed upon and influenced by a host of immigrants from all the nations of Europe." This insistence that Americans are not, in any cultural sense, nor even in any plausible statistical sense, Anglo-Saxons is to be found in many English fulminations upon the subject. During the World War, especially after 1917, they were hailed as blood-brothers, but that lasted only until the first mention of war-debts. Ever since 1920 they have been mongrels again, as they were before 1917, and most discussions of Americanisms include the objection that yielding to them means yielding to a miscellaneous rabble of inferior tribes, some of them, by English standards, almost savage. There was a time when the American in the English menagerie of comic foreigners was Hiram O. Simpkins or Ulysses X. Snodgrass, a Yankee of Puritan, and hence of vaguely English stock, but on some near tomorrow he will probably be Patrick Kraus, Rastus O'Brien, Ole Ginzberg, or some other such fantastic compound of races.

The complaint that Americanisms are inherently unintelligible to civilized Christians is often heard in England, though not as often as in the past. It is a fact that they frequently deal with objects and ideas that are not familiar to the English, and that sometimes they make use of metaphors rather too bold for the English imagination. In consequence, there has been a steady emission of glossaries since the earliest days, some of them on a large scale. The first seems to have been that of the Rev. Jonathan Boucher, which was probably drawn up before 1800, but was not published until 1832, when it

Balfour, Dr. Canby, George Bernard Shaw, Prof. Lloyd Jones of the British Broadcasting Corporation, Sir Israel Gollancz, Dr. Lowes, and Dr. Johnson. Those on the second day were Dr. Canby, Dr. Louise Pound of the University of Nebraska, Professor F. S. Boas, Dr. Lowes, Sir Henry Newbolt, and J. C. Squire. In addition to the speakers, those in attendance were Dr. Scott, Dr. George Philip Krapp,

Prof. W. H. Wagstaff, Prof. J. Dover Wilson, Prof. A. Lloyd James, Dr. W. A. Craigie, and John Bailey. The conference was financed by the Commonwealth Fund, with some aid from Thomas W. Lamont. Later on the support of the Commonwealth Fund was withdrawn, and so the project to form a permanent Council of English fell through.

appeared in the second edition of his "Glossary of Archaic and Provincial Words." ¹ It was followed by that of David Humphreys, one of the Hartford Wits, which was printed as an appendix to his play, "The Yankey in England," in 1815. ² A year later came John Pickering's "Vocabulary or Collection of Words and Phrases Which Have Been Supposed to be Peculiar to the United States of America." Pickering got many of his words from the current English reviews of American books, and his purpose was the double, and rather contradictory, one of proving to the English reviewers that they were good English, and of dissuading Americans from using them. ³ Robley Dunglison's glossary followed in 1829–30, John

1 Boucher, who was born in England in 1737, came out to Virginia in 1759 as a private tutor. In 1762 he returned home to take holy orders, but was soon back in Virginia as rector of Hanover parish. He also conducted a school, and one of his pupils was young John Parke Custis, Washington's stepson. Boucher made the most of this connection. In 1770 he became rector at Annapolis, and soon afterward he married an heiress and bought a plantation on the Maryland side of the Potomac. His Loyalist sentiments got him into difficulties as the Revolution approached, and in 1775 he returned to England, where he died in 1804. In 1797 he published A View of the Causes and Consequences of the American Revolution, a series of thirteen sermons. After his death his friends began the publication of his Glossary of Archaic and Provincial Words, on which he had been engaged for thirty years. The first part, covering part of the letter A, came out in 1807. In 1832 the Rev. Joseph Hunter and Joseph Stevenson undertook to continue the work, but it got no further than Bl. Boucher's brief glossary of Americanisms appeared in the introduction to this second edition. It listed but 38 words. With it was printed Absence: a Pastoral; "drawn from the life, from the manners, customs and phraseology of planters (or, to speak more pas-

torally, of the rural swains) inhabiting the Banks of the Potomac, in Maryland." Boucher accused the Americans of "making all the haste they conveniently can to rid them-selves of" the English language. "It is easy to foresee," he said, "that, in no very distant period, their language will become as independent of England as they themselves are, and altogether as unlike English as the Dutch or Flemish is unlike German, or the Norwegian unlike the Danish, or the Portuguese unlike Spanish." Absence is reprinted in Dialect Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. VII, 1933, with a commentary by Allen Walker Read.

2 It is reprinted in The Beginnings of American English, by M. M. Mathews; Chicago, 1931, pp. 56-63. About 280 terms are listed. They are mainly New England dialect forms, but one finds a few Americanisms that were in general use, and have survived, e.g., breadstuffs, spook, nip (a measure of drink), to boost, to stump, and tarnation.

3 Pickering's long introductory essay, but not his vocabulary, is reprinted in Mathews, pp. 65-76. On March 18, 1829, Dr. T. Romeyn Beck, a New York physician and antiquary, read a paper on the Pickering book before the Albany Institute. It was published in the Transactions of the Institute for 1830, and is reprinted by Mathews, pp. 78-85.

Mason Peck's in 1834,¹ J. O. Halliwell-Phillips's "Dictionary of Archaisms and Provincialisms, Containing Words Now Obsolete in England, All of Which Are Familiar and in Common Use in America" in 1850, John Russell Bartlett's "Glossary of Words and Phrases Usually Regarded as Peculiar to the United States" (about 3725 terms) in 1848, A. L. Elwin's "Glossary of Supposed Americanisms" (about 465 terms) in 1859, Maximilien Schele de Vere's "Americanisms" (about 4000 terms) in 1872, John S. Farmer's "Americanisms Old and New" (about 5000 terms) in 1889, Sylva Clapin's "New Dictionary of Americanisms" (about 5250 terms) in 1902, and Richard H. Thornton's "American Glossary" (about 3700 terms) in 1912. These were mainly the work of philological amateurs, and only Thornton's two volumes had any scientific value.

So long ago as 1913 Sir Sidney Low, who had lived in America and had a sound acquaintance with Americanisms, suggested ironically in an article in the Westminster Gazette that American be taught in the English schools. This was before the movie invasion, and he reported that the English business man was "puzzled by his ignorance of colloquial American" and "painfully hampered" thereby in his handling of American trade. He went on:

In the United States the study of the English tongue forms part of the educational scheme. . . . I think we should return the compliment. We ought to learn the American language in our schools and colleges. At present it is strangely neglected by the educational authorities. They pay attention to linguistic attainments of many other kinds, but not to this. How many thousands of youths are at this moment engaged in puzzling their brains over Latin and Greek grammar only Whitehall knows. Every well-conducted seminary has some instructor who is under the delusion that he is teaching English boys and girls to speak French with a good Parisian accent. We teach German, Italian, even Spanish, Russian, modern Greek, Arabic, Hindustani. For a moderate fee you can acquire a passing acquaintance with any of these tongues at the Berlitz Institute and the Gouin Schools. But even in these polyglot establishments there is nobody to teach you American. I have never

I Dunglison's glossary, dealing with about 190 terms, was published in three instalments in the Virginia Literary Museum. It was reprinted in Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. X, 1927, with a commentary by Allen Walker Read. Peck's appeared in his Emigrant's Guide and Gazetteer of the State of Illinois, first published in 1834, and reissued with revisions in 1836 and 1837. See John

Mason Peck and the American Language, by Elrick B. Davis, American Speech, Oct., 1926. "An examination of the vocabulary of the 1837 edition," says Davis, "shows that out of 648 specific words used in strategic positions only 313 show a history in the Oxford Dictionary complete in Peck's use of them before 1789, the year of his birth."

seen a grammar of it or a dictionary. I have searched in vain at the book-sellers for "How to Learn American in Three Weeks" or some similar compendium. Nothing of the sort exists. The native speech of one hundred millions of civilized people is as grossly neglected by the publishers as it is by the schoolmasters. You can find means to learn Hausa or Swahili or Cape Dutch in London more easily than the expressive, if difficult, tongue which is spoken in the office, the barroom, the tramcar, from the snows of Alaska to the mouths of the Mississippi, and is enshrined in a literature that is growing in volume and favor every day.

Low quoted an extract from an American novel then appearing serially in an English magazine — an extract including such Americanisms as side-stepper, saltwater-taffy, Prince-Albert (coat), boob, bartender and kidding, and many characteristically American extravagances of metaphor. It might be well argued, he said, that this strange dialect was as near to "the tongue that Shakespeare spoke" as "the dialect of Bayswater or Brixton," but that philological fact did not help to its understanding. "You might almost as well expect him [the British business man] to converse freely with a Portuguese railway porter because he tried to stumble through Caesar when he was in the Upper Fourth at school."

At the time Low published his article the invasion of England by Americanisms was just beginning in earnest, and many words and phrases that have since become commonplaces there were still strange and disquieting. Writing in the London Daily Mail a year or so later W. G. Faulkner thought it necessary to explain the meanings of hobo, hoodlum, bunco-steerer, dead-beat, flume, dub, rubberneck, drummer, sucker, dive (in the sense of a thieves' resort), clean up, graft and to feature, and another interpreter, closely following him, added definitions of hold-up, quitter, rube, shack, bandwagon, road-agent, cinch, live-wire and scab. This was in the early days of the American-made movie, and Faulkner denounced its terminology as "generating and encouraging mental indiscipline." As Hollywood gradually conquered the English cinema palaces,

In Thornton's American Glossary hobo is traced to 1891, hold-up and bunco to 1887, dive to 1882, deadbeat to 1877, hoodlum to 1872, roadagent to 1866, drummer to 1836, and flume to 1792.

2 The first American films reached England in 1907, but until 1915 they came in such small numbers that they were not separated, in the customs returns, from "optical supplies and equipment." In 1915 their total value was fixed at £47,486. But the next year it leaped to £349,919, and thereafter it mounted, with occasional recessions, to the peak of £880,240 in 1927. These values represented, of course, only the cost of the actual films, not that of the productions. In 1927 the Cinematograph Films Act was passed. It provided that all English exhibitors

such warnings became more frequent and more angry, and in 1920 the London *Daily News* began a formal agitation of the subject, with the usual pious editorials and irate letters from old subscribers. I quote a characteristic passage from one of the latter:

I visited two picture theaters today for the express purpose of collecting slang phrases and of noticing the effect of the new language on the child as well as on the adult. What the villain said to the hero when the latter started to argue with him was, "Cut out that dope," and a hundred piping voices repeated the injunction. The comic man announced his marriage to the Bell of Lumbertown by saying, "I'm bitched."

On January 22, 1920 the London bureau of the Associated Press made this report:

England is apprehensive lest the vocabularies of her youth become corrupted through incursions of American slang. Trans-Atlantic tourists in England note with interest the frequency with which resort is made to "Yankee talk" by British song and play writers seeking to enliven their productions. Bands and orchestras throughout the country when playing popular music play American selections almost exclusively. American songs monopolize the English music hall and musical comedy stage. But it is the subtitle of the American moving picture film which, it is feared, constitutes the most menacing threat to the vaunted English purity of speech.

When the American talkie began to reinforce the movie, in 1929 there was fresh outburst of indignation, but this time it had a despairist undertone. Reinforced by the spoken word, Americanisms were now coming in much faster than they could be challenged and disposed of. "Within the past few years," said Thomas Anderson in the Manchester Sunday Chronicle for January 12, 1930, "we have

would have to show at least 5% of English-made films after Sept. 30, 1928, 71/2 % after the same date in 1930, 10% after 1931, 121/2% after 1932, 15% after 1933, and 20% from Sept. 30, 1935 onward. The English duty is 1d. a foot on positives and 5d. on negatives. I am indebted for these figures and for those following to Mr. Lynn W. Meekins, American commercial attaché in London, and Mr. Henry E. Stebbins, assistant trade commissioner. 1 After the introduction of the talkie the imports of American films showed a great decline in value. They dropped from £861,592 in 1929, to £506,477 in 1930, and to

£130,847 in 1932. In part this was due to the operation of the Cinematograph Films Act, but in larger part it was produced by a change in trade practise. In the silent days many positives were sent to Eng-land, but since the talkie came in the film companies have been sending negatives and duplicating them in England. Thus the total annual footage is probably but little less than it was in 1929. Of the 476 imported films shown in England, Scotland and Wales in 1933, 330 were American, and according to Henry J. Gibbs, writing in the Blackshirt, "their value was 90 to 95% of the total."

gradually been adopting American habits of speech, American business methods, and the American outlook." To which Jameson Thomas added in the London Daily Express for January 21:

One must admit that we write and speak Americanisms. So long as Yankeeisms came to us insiduously we absorbed them carelessly. They have been a valuable addition to the language, as nimble coppers are a valuable addition to purer currency. But the talkies have presented the American language in one giant meal, and we are revolted.

But this revolt, in so far as it was real at all, was apparently confined to the aged: the young of the British species continued to gobble down the neologisms of Hollywood and to imitate the Hollywood intonation. "Seldom do I hear a child speak," wrote a correspondent of the London News Chronicle on June 15, 1931, "who has not attached several Americanisms to his vocabulary, which are brought out with deliberation at every opportunity." During the next few years the English papers printed countless protests against this corruption of the speech of British youth, but apparently to no avail. Nor was there any halt when Col. F. W. D. Bendall, C.M.G., M.A., an inspector of the Board of Education, began stumping the country in an effort to further the dying cause of linguistic purity. Nor when the chief constable — i.e., chief of police — of Wallasey, a suburb of Liverpool, issued this solemn warning in his annual report:

I cannot refrain from commenting adversely on the pernicious and growing habit of . . . youths to use Americanisms, with nasal accompaniment, in order to appear, in their own vernacular, tough guys. On one of

The following is from the Denby Herald's report of an address by Col. Bendall before the Dudley Literary Society on January 31, 1931: "He suggested that though it was true that American had a remarkable capacity for growth, there was no need to suppose that it would eventually settle the form which English must take. Such a state of affairs would necessarily result either in a wider divergence between literary and spoken English or in literary English becoming affected. The former position would lead to a loss of subtlety in spoken English and to literature's

becoming unintelligible to the masses, while to illustrate how deplorable the latter would be, the speaker read a part of Mr. Mencken's translation of the Declaration of Independence into modern American." The colonel's apparently grave acceptance of my burlesque as a serious specimen of "modern American" was matched by a sage calling himself John O'London in Is It Good English?; London, 1924, p. 92. After quoting the opening paragraph of my version, he said solemnly, "I hope 'these States' will suppress all such translations."

my officers going to search him, a young housebreaker told him to "Lay off, cop." Ob-yeahs are frequent in answer to charges, and we are promised shoots up in the burg [sic] and threatened to be bumped off.

Parallel with this alarmed hostility to the jargon of the movies and the talkies, much of it borrowed from the American underworld, there has gone on in England a steady opposition to the more decorous varieties of American. I have already mentioned the Times' sneering review of the first two volumes of the Dictionary of American Biography. Back in 1919 H. N. Brailsford, the wellknown English publicist, who has been in the United States many times and often contributes to American magazines, actually objected to the vocabulary of the extremely precious and Anglomaniacal Woodrow Wilson, then in action in Versailles. "The irruption of Mr. Wilson upon our scene," he wrote in the London Daily Herald on August 20, "threatens to modify our terminology. If one knew the American language (as I do not)," and so on.2 A little while before this a leading English medical journal had been protesting against the Americanisms in an important surgical monograph.8 Translations done in the United States are so often denounced that denouncing them has become a sort of convention. There was a storm of unusual violence, in 1925, over the plays of Luigi Pirandello. Their merit had been recognized in America earlier than in England - indeed, some of them had been forbidden, at least in English, by the English censor -, and in consequence the first translations were published in this country. What followed when they reached England was thus described by the London correspondent of the Bookman (New York) in its issue for September, 1925:

A strange situation has arisen over the Pirandello translations. These were made in America, and they contain phraseology which is peculiarly

1 Chief Constable's Report for the Year Ended 31st December, 1932; Wallasey 1922 p. 12

Wallasey, 1933, p. 13.
2 In the London Times for June 15, 1927, George Bernard Shaw was reported as saying: "When President Wilson came to this country he gave us a shock by using the word obligate instead of oblige. It showed that a man could become President in spite of that, and we asked ourselves if a man could become King of England if he used

the word obligate. We said at once that it could not be done."

3 Review in the Medical Press, Sept. 17, 1919, of an article by MacCarty and Connor in Surgery, Gynecology and Obstetrics. "In the study of the terminology of diseases of the breast," said the reviewer, "[the authors] suggest a scheme which seems simple, but unfortunately for British understanding, it is written in American."

American. As a consequence they have been generally condemned in the English press as being translations from one foreign tongue into another. . . . It will be understood that when an English reader is used to calling comfits *sweets* and finds them called *candies* he feels he is not getting an English equivalent of the Italian author's word.

This correspondence was signed Simon Pure; its actual author, I am informed, was Rebecca West. She expressed the opinion that English translations of foreign books were frequently offensive to Americans, and for like reasons. "It seems to me to be a pity," she continued, "that the habit should have grown up among Continental authors of selling 'world rights in the English language.' If the English translation does not satisfy the Americans, and the American translation does not please the English, it would surely be far better that there should be two translations." In a long reply to this, published in the Saturday Review of Literature (New York) for December 26, 1925, Ernest Boyd - himself a translator of wide experience, born in Ireland, educated there and in England, for seven years a member of the British consular service, and resident in New York since 1920 - denied that there was any hostility to English translations in this country. "English translators," he said, " are accepted at their own - or their publishers' - valuation in America," but American translators "are received with prejudice and criticized with severity" in England. The American edition of Pirandello's plays consisted of two volumes, one translated by Dr. Arthur Livingston of Columbia University, and the other by Edward Storer, an Englishman. Said Mr. Boyd:

Dr. Livingston, the American, is taken to task though his Italian scholarship is well authenticated and beyond dispute. Mr. Storer, on the contrary, is an Englishman, and his translations are so defective in places as to show a complete misunderstanding of the text, but no complaints have been raised on that score. . . . One might have thought that the proper claim would be that a competent person, and only a competent person, irrespective of nationality, should translate. But British nationality is more important than American scholarship, apparently.

The ensuing debate ran on for several years; in fact, it is still resumed from time to time, with the English champions holding stoutly to the doctrine that there can be but one form of English pure and undefiled, and that it must, shall and will be the Southern English variety. Thus Raymond Mortimer in the Nation and Athenaeum for July 28, 1928:

It is most unfortunate that American publishers should be able to buy the English as well as the American rights of foreign books. For the result usually is that these books remain permanently closed to the English reader.¹

The English objection is not alone to the American vocabulary; it is also to the characteristic American style, which begins to differ appreciably from the normal English style. In every recent discussion of the matter the despairist note that I was mentioning a few paragraphs back is audible. There was a time when the English guardians of the mother-tongue tried to haul American into conformity by a kind of force majeure, but of late they seem to be resigned to its differentiation, and are concerned mainly about the possibility that Standard English may be considerably modified by its influence. As I have noted, H. W. and F. G. Fowler, in "The King's English," were deciding so long ago as 1906 that "Americanisms are foreign words, and should be so treated." They admitted that American had its points of superiority - "Fall is better on the merits than autumn, in every way; it is short, Saxon (like the other three season names), picturesque; it reveals its derivation to everyone who uses it, not to the scholar only, like autumn"-, but they protested against taking even the most impeccable Americanisms into English. "The English and the American language and literature," they argued, "are both good things, but they are better apart than mixed." In

I Many more examples might be added, some of them not without their humors. Back in 1921 J. C. Squire (now Sir John) was protesting bitterly because an American translator of the Journal of the Goncourts "spoke of a pavement as a sidewalk." See the Literary Review of the New York Evening Post, July 23, 1921. In Dostoevsky: Letters and Reminiscences, translated from the Russian by S. S. Kotelinansky and J. Middleton Murry (New York, 1923; American binding of English sheets) there is this note, p. 282: "Saltykov, Mihail Efgrafovich (who used the pseudonym N. Schedrin), author of the Golovlevs, one of the greatest of Russian novels, which has been translated into French and American, but not yet into English." Such sneers are now answered by defiance as often as with humility.

When Dr. Edgar J. Goodspeed, professor of Biblical and patristic Greek at the University of Chicago, published his new version of the New Testament (Chicago, 1923) he boldly called it "an American translation," and in it he as boldly employed Americanisms in place of the English forms of the Authorized Version. Thus corn, meaning wheat in England but maize in America, was changed to wheat in America, was changed to wheat in Mark II, 23, Mark IV, 28, and Matthew XII, 1. Similarly, when Ezra Pound published Ta Hio: the Great Learning (University of Washington Chapbooks, No. 14; Seattle, 1928) he described it on the title-page as "newly rendered into the American language." See American and English translations of "The Oppermanns," by Edmund E. Miller, American Speech, Oct., 1935.

1010 the Encylopædia Britannica (Eleventh Edition) admitted that this falling apart had already gone so far that it was "not uncommon to meet with American newspaper articles of which an untravelled Englishman would hardly be able to understand a sentence." "The fact is," said the London Times Literary Supplement for January 21, 1926, in a review of G. P. Krapp's "The English Language in America," "that in spite of the greater frequency of intercourse the two idioms have drifted apart; farther apart than is, perhaps, generally recognized. . . . A British visitor in America, if he has any taste for the niceties of language, experiences something of the thrills of contact with a foreign idiom, for he hears and reads many things which are new to him and not a few which are unintelligible." "If the American temperament, despite its general docility, persists in its present attitude towards a standardized language," said Ernest Weekley in "Adjectives - and Other Words" (1930), "spoken American must eventually become as distinct from English as Yiddish is from classical Hebrew." Or, added Professor J. Y. T. Greig of Newcastle in "Breaking Priscian's Head" (1929), as "Spanish is from Portuguese."

This ccho of Noah Webster is itself echoed frequently by other English publicists and philologians. There is, indeed, a school of English thought which holds that the United States is not only drifting away from the mother country linguistically, but is fundamentally differentiated from it on wider cultural grounds. "Those who have had to do with Americans," said Geoffrey Grigson in the London Morning Post for February 13, 1934, "will not mistake them for our intimate cousins, our near psychic relations." He continued:

They are linked to us by many strands of sympathy, but they are a different people, or a number of different peoples. Their language, their real literature — witness Poe, Hawthorne, Melville, James, Ransom, Macleish, Hemingway — are different; and one might say even that the more they are English the more they are alien. The New Englander, for example, feels and thinks differently; his communal, political, and person "mythology" — to use a convenient word for those bodies of fiction and "belief" which unite each social entity — differentiates him completely from an Englishman. I am not sure, in fact, that we cannot more easily get to understand the soul of Frenchman, Italian, German, Spaniard even. After all, we belong geographically and spiritually to the European cultural bloc.

The late Cecil Chesterton was saying something to the same general effect in the London New Witness so long ago as 1915. "I do not believe," he wrote, "that nations ever quarrel merely because

they feel that they do not understand each other. That attitude of mind of itself tends to produce a salutary humility on the one side and a pleasantly adventurous curiosity on the other. What really produces trouble between peoples is when one is quite certain that it understands the other—and in fact doesn't. And I am perfectly certain that that has been from the first one of the primary causes of trouble between England and America." To which may be added the following from an article by Herbert Agar in the New Statesman for August 8, 1931:

The English should try to cope with their philological ignorance. They should train themselves to realize that it is neither absurd nor vulgar that a language which was once the same should in the course of centuries develop differently in different parts of the world. If such were not the case, we should all still be speaking a sort of Ur-Sanskrit. Just as French and Italian may be described as divergent forms of modern Latin, so it would be helpful to think of the language of Oxford and the language of Harvard as divergent forms of modern English. It is perhaps a pity, from the point of view of international good feeling, that the two forms have not diverged a little further. At any rate, when the Englishman can learn to think of American as a language, and not merely as a ludicrously unsuccessful attempt to speak as he himself speaks, when he can learn to have for American only the normal intolerance of the provincial mind for all foreign tongues, then there will come a great improvement in Anglo-American relations. For even though Americans realise the absurdity of the English attitude toward their language, nevertheless they remain deeply annoyed by it. This is natural, for a man's language is his very soul. It is his thoughts and almost all his consciousness. Laugh at a man's language, and you have laughed at the man himself in the most inclusive sense.

But not all Englishmen, of course, indulge themselves in the derision that Mr. Agar denounces. The prevailing tone of English opinion remains loftily anti-American, in linguistic as in other matters, but there have arisen in late years two factions which take a more moderate position, the one contending that American speech is really not the barbaric jargon it is commonly thought to be, and the other arguing boldly that its peculiarities, though maybe somewhat uncouth, nevertheless have a merit of their own. A representative spokesman of the first faction is Sir Charles Strachey, M.C.M.G., a former official of the Foreign and Colonial Offices. On May 2, 1931, he wrote to the London *Times* to protest against the assumption that the argot of Chicago gunmen is the official language of the

The article is summarized, with long extracts, in the *Literary Digest* for June 19, 1915, p. 1468.

United States. "American diplomatic correspondence," he said, " is always a model of correct English, and it would be a gross error to suppose that the United States Ambassador calls revenue the *dough* or the *berries*, and refers to his Italian colleagues as a *wop*." But this Strachey faction is not large, and as a general thing even the language of American diplomacy grates on English nerves, as I was lately noting in the case of H. N. Brailsford's objection to the style of Woodrow Wilson.

The revolutionary theory that the American language actually has some merit seems to have been launched by William Archer, a Scotsman, in an article entitled "American Today," printed somewhat prudently, not in England, but in Scribner's Magazine for February, 1899. "New words," he said, "are begotten by new conditions of life; and as American life is far more fertile of new conditions than ours, the tendency toward neologism cannot but be stronger in America than in England. American has enormously enriched the language, not only with new words, but (since the American mind is, on the whole, quicker and wittier than the English) with apt and luminous colloquial metaphors." Twenty years later Archer returned to the matter, this time on English soil, in an article written for the Westminster Gazette.1 In it he protested vigorously against the English habit of "pulling a wry face over American expressions, not because they are inherently bad, but simply because they are American. The vague and unformulated idea behind all such petty cavillings," he continued, "is that the English language is in danger of being corrupted by the importation of Americanisms, and that it behooves us to establish a sort of quarantine in order to keep out the detrimental germs. This notion is simply one of the milder phases of the Greater Stupidity."

Two years before this, Frank Dilnot, an English journalist with American experience, had come out for American in a large way. "Show me the alert Englishman," he wrote,² "who will not find a stimulation in those nuggety word-groupings which are the commonplaces in good American conversation. They are like flashes of crystal. They come from all kinds of people—who are brilliantly innocent of enriching the language. . . . The American tongue, written or spoken with its alteration from the English of England,

¹ Reprinted in the Literary Review of the New York Evening Post, July 1919, Ch. III. 23, 1921.

is a potent and penetrating instrument, rich in new vibrations, full of joy as well as shocks for the unsuspecting visitor." In May, 1920, Richard Aldington joined the American party in an article contributed to *Poetry* (Chicago). In it he made an eloquent plea for American linguistic independence, and praised the development of a characteristically American idiom by the American poets and novelists of the day. "Are Americans," he demanded,

to write the language which they speak, which is slowly but inevitably separating itself from the language of England, or are they to write a devitalized idiom learned painfully from books or from a discreet frequentation of London literary cliques? . . Englishmen of letters and literary journalists may publish these exhortations and practise their refinements: in vain — a vast and increasingly articulate part of the English-speaking and English-writing world will ignore them. Another century may see English broken into a number of dialects or even different languages, spoken in Canada, Australia, South Africa, the United States and England. The result may eventually be similar to the break-up of Latin.

This pro-American party is still small, but it can show some well-known names. The late Robert Bridges, Poet Laureate and founder of the Society for Pure English, was in sympathy with it, and it has got support from Wyndham Lewis, Edward Shanks, Virginia

The Society was organized in 1913, but the intervention of the war suspended its proceedings until 1918. The first of its Tracts was issued in October, 1919. The original committee consisted of Dr. Bridges, Henry Bradley, Sir Walter Raleigh and L. Pearsall Smith, the lastnamed an American living in England. In Tract No. I one of its purposes was stated to be the encouragement of "those who possess the word-making faculty," and another was the enrichment of Standard English with dialectic and "democratic" forms and usages. In Tract No. XXIV, 1926, Dr. Bridges protested against an allegation that the Society was "working for uniformity and standardization against idiom and freedom. Our readers," he went on, "know that this is not what we intend or desire; indeed teachers, who as a class advocate standardization of speech as the necessary basis for general tuition, sometimes complain of us as mis-

chief-makers because we do not support them more thoroughly." In 1922 Dr. Bridges wrote to Dr. H. S. Canby: "We desire as many American subscribers as possible, in order to make our Society seem as much American as it is English. [His italics.] There is a great and natural prejudice in America against English dictation in the matter of our language, and that followed, I think, as a protest against the insular contempt which the English felt a couple of generations ago for American forms of speech. We now in England feel very differently and the S.P.E. would certainly treat American usages and preferences with full respect" (Literary Review, May 20, 1922). "The S.P.E.," says J. Y. T. Greig in Breaking Priscian's Head (London, 1929), "despite its inauspicious name, has done a great deal of splendid work, but only because it happened to be founded by, and to have remained under, the control of men like Dr.

Woolf and Sir John Foster Fraser. "The Americans," said Mrs. Woolf in the Saturday Review of Literature on August 1, 1925, "are doing what the Elizabethans did—they are coining new words. They are instinctively making the language adapt itself to their needs." She continued:

In England, save for the impetus given by the war, the word-coining power has lapsed; our writers vary the metres of their poetry, remodel the rhythms of prose, but one may search English fiction in vain for a single new word. It is significant that when we want to freshen our speech, we borrow from American – poppycock, rambunctious, flip-flop, booster, good mixer. All the expressive, ugly, vigorous slang which creeps into use among us, first in talk, later in writing, comes from across the Atlantic.

In February, 1925, H. E. Moore printed an elaborate defense of Americanisms in the English Review, then edited by Austin Harrison. He contrasted the tendency to academic tightness in Standard English with the greater naturalness of American, and gave high praise to some of the salient characters of the latter—its hospitality to neologisms, its fertility in effective metaphor, its "fluid" spelling. "As this divergence of English and American," he said, "has proceeded through strata of English derision and American defiance it has tended to become deliberate and constructive. England and academic America generally have asserted the old criteria. But they have been swept aside by America's egalitarian millions, and established changes have now made any acceptance of literary Southern English impossible." "I have never found it possible," said Mr. Shanks in the London Evening Standard in 1931,

to understand why with so many people there should be an automatic objection to anything that can be called an Americanism. An Americanism is an expression adopted by those who speak our common language but who live in the United States. There are more of them than there are of us, and so one would suppose, on democratic principles, that their choice was entitled at least to our serious consideration. There are, in fact, more of them than of all the other English-speaking peoples put together, and a majority vote on the question to whom the language really belongs would certainly give a verdict against us. Yet, for many of us "Americanism" is simply a term of abuse. . . . The facts that we ought to realise and that we ignore when we talk loftily about "Americanisms" are that America is making a formidable contribution to the development of our language and that all our attempts to reject that contribution will in the long run be vain—quite apart from the other fact

Bridges, Mr. L. P. Smith, and Mr. H. W. Fowler. This was a fortunate and very rare accident. In wrong hands it would have long ago be-

come a dreadful curse, a veritable Inquisition and Congregation of the Propaganda rolled into one." that we ought to rejoice in this proof that the language is still alive and capable of learning from experience.

Writing a year later, Sir John Fraser¹ made a vigorous attack upon the dominant anti-American party, and accused it of trying absurdly to halt a process of inevitable change. He said:

Quite respectable people, very refined, even literary gents and prelates, would faint were they not so angry at the Americanization of our ways, and particularly the degradation of our speech. Why? If we take up the position that because we are British we must be right there is no argument. But if the Anglicization of the world is good, why is the Americanization of England bad? . . . Is our language to remain staid and dignified like a piece of furniture made when good Victoria was Queen, or, as we live in swiftly shifting times, aeroplanes and record-smashing cars, is there something to be said for adapting our ways of speech to the newer generations? . . . Then there is the other school, which is called the Oxford manner, though mostly adopted by people who know nothing about Oxford. With their stuffy, roof-of-the-mouth inflections [sic] they have developed a speech of their own. . . . I prefer slangy American.

In Life and Letters for April, 1934, Wyndham Lewis argued at length that, even if it were rational, it was too late for the English to stem the advance of American. He said:

While England was a uniquely powerful empire-state, ruled by an aristocratic caste, its influence upon the speech as upon the psychology of the American ex-colonies was overwhelming. But today that ascendancy has almost entirely vanished. The aristocratic caste is nothing but a shadow of itself, the cinema has brought the American scene and the American dialect nightly into the heart of England, and the Americanizing process is far advanced. . . . There has been no reciprocal movement of England into the United States; indeed, with the New American nationalism, England is deliberately kept out. . . . So the situation is this, as far as our common language is concerned: the destiny of England and the United States is more than ever one, but it is now the American influence that is paramount. The tables have effectively been turned in this respect.

Finally, I extract a few sentences of sage advice from a radio speech to his fellow-countrymen by Alistair Cooke, of the British Broadcasting Corporation:

When you hear an expression that seems a little odd to you, don't assume it was invented by a music-hall comedian trying to be smart. It was probably spoken by Lincoln or Paul Jones. . . . And when you hear a strange pronunciation remember you are not hearing a chaotic speech that anyone has deliberately changed. . . . It is the cultivated speech of a New England gentleman of 1934, and it happens in essentials also to be the cultivated speech you would have heard in London over two hundred years ago.²

I London Sunday Graphic, Jan. 3, 2 Printed as That Dreadful Ameri-1932. can, Listener, Jan. 30, 1935.

5. THE POSITION OF THE LEARNED

But these witnesses to the virtues and glories of the American language are not to be taken as representative of English opinion; nor even as spokesmen for any considerable part of it. In general, it remains almost as hostile to Americanisms as it was in the Golden Age of the critical reviews. Nor does its hostility go without support in the United States. On the contrary there has been, since the early Eighteenth Century, a party of Americans vowed to the strict policing of the national speech habits, usually with the English example in mind, and it has always had a formidable body of adherents. In 1724 Hugh Jones, professor of mathematics at William and Mary College, expressed the wish that a "Publick Standard were fix'd" to "direct Posterity, and prevent Irregularity, and confused Abuses and Corruptions in our Writings and Expressions." In Section 1 of the present chapter I have mentioned John Adams's keen interest in a project to set up an American Academy to "correct, enrich and refine" the language, "until perfection stops their progress and ends their labor." In 1806 a bill to establish such an academy was actually introduced in the Senate by Senator George Logan of Pennsylvania and given a favorable report by a committee of which John Quincy Adams was a member, but some irreverent member moved that the word national be stricken out of the title, and when this motion was carried the enterprise died. Soon afterward an American Academy of Arts and Sciences was formed in Boston, and in 1820 an American Academy of Language and Belles Lettres followed in New York, with John Quincy Adams as president. The latter appointed a committee headed by the Rev. John M. Mason, provost of Columbia College and later president of Dickinson College, "to collect throughout the United States a list of words and phrases, whether acknowledged corruptions or words of doubtful authority, which are charged up as bad English, with a view to take the best practical course for promoting the purity and uni-

I An Accidence to the English Tongue; London, 1724. This was the first English grammar written in America. For the reference to it I am indebted to American Projects For an Academy to Regulate Speech, by Allen Walker Read, Publications of the Modern Language Association, 1935. For part of what follows I am also indebted to Mr. Read.

formity of our language." And the former received from one of its members, John Pickering, that "Vocabulary or Collection of Words and Phrases Which Have Been Supposed to be Peculiar to the United States of America" (1816) which I have already noticed.

Pickering's professional career was made in the law, with occasional ventures into politics, but he passed for a scholar in his time, he was offered the chair of Oriental languages at Harvard in 1806 and that of Greek in 1814, and he published a Greek-English lexicon in 1826. The preface to his Vocabulary was largely devoted to a defense of the English reviewers. "It cannot be denied," he said, "that we have in several instances deviated from the standard of the language, as spoken and written in England at the present day. [His italics.] By this I do not mean that so great a deviation has taken place as to have rendered any considerable part of our language unintelligible to Englishmen, but merely that so many corruptions have crept into our English as to have become the subject of much animadversion and regret with the learned of Great Britain." Pickering then proceeded to argue that these animadversions and regrets were well founded, and called upon his erring countrymen to "imitate the example of the learned and modest Campbell," 1 who, though he had devoted a great part of a long life to the study of the English language, "yet thought it no disgrace to make an apology for his style," and to remember the similar diffidence of Irenaeus, Bishop of Lugdunum (Lyons) in Gaul, who prefixed a similar apology for his shaky provincial Latin to his "Adversus Hæreses." Thus Pickering summed up:

Upon an impartial consideration of the subject, therefore, it seems impossible to resist the conclusion that, although the language of the United States has perhaps changed less than might have been expected, when we consider how many years have elapsed since our ancestors brought it from England, yet it has in so many instances departed from the English standard that our scholars should lose no time in endeavoring to restore it to its purity, and to prevent further corruption. . . . As a general rule, we should undoubtedly avoid all those words which are noticed by English authors of reputation as expressions with which they are unacquainted, for although we might produce some English authority for such words, yet the very circumstance of their being thus noticed by well-educated Englishmen is a proof that they are not in use at this day in England, and, of course, ought not to be used elsewhere by those who would speak correct English.

I George Campbell (1719-96), a Scottish theologian who published a New Translation of the Gospels in 1778. He is best known, how-

ever, for his Philosophy of Rhetoric, 1776. Pickering's reference is to the preface to the Gospels.

Again I follow Pickering's italization. His theory is still entertained by multitudes of American pedagogues. They believe as he did that the natural growth of the language is wild and wicked, and that it should be regulated according to rules formulated in England. To this end they undertake periodical crusades against "bad grammar," the American scheme of pronunciation, and the general body of Americanisms - in the classroom, by means of hortatory pamphlets and leaflets, and over the air. In 1915 the National Council of Teachers of English, following that hopeful American custom which gave the nation Mothers' Day, and Safety-First, Paint-Up-Clean-Up and Eat-More-Cheese Weeks, proposed to make the first seven days of November Better-Speech Week. Ten years later the General Federation of Women's Clubs joined the movement, and for some unknown reason the time was changed to the last week in February. For awhile Better-Speech Week was much discussed in the newspapers, and it is still observed, I believe, in parts of the country. Some of the schoolmarms, despairing of effecting a wholesale reform, concentrated their efforts upon various specific crimes against their canons, and among the subsidiary weeks thus launched were Ain't-less Week and Final-G Week. They also established a Tag Day, and hung derisory tags on youngsters guilty of such indecencies as "I have got" and "It's me." This missionary effort was not confined to school-children. Efforts were also made to perfect the speech of their parents and of the public in general, and even the newspapers were besought to mend their linguistic ways. In 1925, a Los Angeles schoolmarm went about Southern California inducing women's clubs to pass the following resolution:

Whereas, we believe that if newspaper comic strips and jokes use English free from grammatical errors (except for decided character rôles) they will become more attractive to many readers, and

Whereas, we believe that this effort on the part of the newspapers will be of invaluable aid in raising the standard of American speech, therefore

Be it resolved, that we request editors of newspapers and comic writers to eliminate grammatical errors in the comic strips and jokes except for decided character rôles.²

The object of attack here, of course, was the grotesque slang that appears from nowhere, has its brief day, and then vanishes. But the

The Baltimore Evening Sun reported that this was a new project in the Baltimore public-schools on Jan. 21, 1925.

American pedagogues, with few exceptions, seem to be opposed also to more decorous Americanisms, and many of them devote themselves to teaching a pronunciation that is quite foreign to the country, and to inculcating grammatical niceties that were concocted during the earnest but innocent days when English grammar was assumed to be a kind of Latin grammar — niceties that have been long since abandoned by the English themselves. The influence of Samuel Johnson is thus still more or less potent in the American public schools, though Noah Webster was denouncing it so long ago as 1789. On this melancholy theme I shall discourse at greater length in Chapter IX.

The higher varieties of gogues are somewhat less naïve, but they nevertheless show a considerable reluctance to deal with American as the living language of a numerous and puissant people, making its own rules as it goes along and well worthy of scientific study. Very few American philologians have specialized in it, and such study of it as has been undertaken has been carried on by amateurs quite as often as by professionals. It is rare for any discussion of it to appear in such journals as Modern Language Notes, Modern Philology, Language, the American Philological Journal, the English Journal, and the Journal of English and Germanic Philology, or for it to be undertaken seriously at the annual meetings of the philological associations. Dialect Notes, the first journal to be devoted to it, was not set up until 1890, and American Speech did not follow until 1925. Both have had very meager support. Dialect Notes was launched by the American Dialect Society, which had been organized at Harvard

I For example, in Modern Language Notes, Vol. XLVIII, 1933, there is but one reference to it and that is in a review of H. C. Wyld's Universal Dictionary, 1932, by Kemp Malone. In Modern Philology, Vol. XXXII, 1933-34, there is no mention of American. In Publications of the Modern Language Association, Vol. XLVIII, 1933, which runs to 1400 pages, there is only a brief note by Sir William Craigie, editor of the Dictionary of American English, calling on American philologians for aid. In the annual bibliography of philological papers for 1932, p. 1342 ff., 45 articles on American English are listed, but 31

of them appeared in American Speech, 6 in Dialect Notes, 6 in popular magazines, and only 2 in professional journals. The Modern Language Association has a Present-Day Speech Section, but it shows little effective activity. The association, which was founded in 1883, had 4,132 members in 1032. "Under the protection of our ægis," said Dr. A. H. Thorndike in his presidential address in 1027, "are gathering languages whose applications for membership not even the secretary can read." Perhaps American will one day be one of them.

University in 1889, with the late Professor Francis J. Child, the authority on English and Scottish ballads, as its first president.1 It made very slow progress. Though there were, at that time, fully 5,000 teachers of English in the United States, including at least 500 in the colleges,2 it started off with but 140 members, and the publication of the first volume of Dialect Notes, running to 497 pages, dragged through six years. When the second volume was completed, in 1904, the society had 310 members, but many of them failed to pay their dues, and by 1912 successive purges had reduced the roll to 219. The income was then less than \$400 a year. By 1926 the membership had grown to 266 and by 1932 the income was \$776.38. Neither membership nor income appears to have increased since, for Dialect Notes now comes out only at long intervals, and its average issue is very thin. Yet its files contain a very large amount of invaluable matter, as my frequent references to them will show, and it long offered the only outlet for the work of that small minority of American scholars who took the national language seriously, and gave it scientific study - among them, Drs. Percy W. Long, C. H. Grandgent and E. S. Sheldon of Harvard, E. H. Babbitt of Columbia, George Hempl of Michigan, George T. Flom of Iowa, E. H. Sturtevant of Yale, O. F. Emerson of Western Reserve, C. S. Northrup of Cornell, J. W. Carr of Maine, L. W. Payne, Jr., of Texas, William A. Read of Louisiana, Josiah Combs of Texas Christian, John M. Manly and Allen Walker Reed of Chicago, and Louise Pound of Nebraska.

The work of Dr. Pound has been especially productive, for whereas most of the other members of the Dialect Society have

The original members included C. H. Grandgent, E. S. Sheldon, George L. Kittredge and J. M. Manly of Harvard, James W. Bright and A. Marshall Elliott of the Johns Hopkins, Eugene H. Babbitt of Columbia, O. F. Emerson and Benjamin Ide Wheeler of Cornell, W. D. Whitney and W. R. Harper of Yale, and F. A. March of Lafayette. James Russell Lowell was also a member.

2 Just how many are in practise today I do not know, but it must be a very large number. L. J. O'Rourke, in Rebuilding the English-Usage Curriculum; Washington, 1934, p. 4, says that "more than 40,000 teachers of English" aided him in his inquiry—all of them, it appears, teaching in gradeschools. The Educational Lists Company of New York and Chicago, which supplies the names and addresses of teachers to advertisers, offers a list of 18,000 names of highschool teachers teaching "English language, literature, drama and public speaking," and one of 5,750 "English teachers" in the colleges and normal-schools. Some of these, of course, also teach other subjects.

confined their investigations to the regional dialects of American, she and her pupils have studied the general speechways of the country. She took her doctorate at Heidelberg under the distinguished Anglicist, Johannes Hoops, and soon afterward joined the English faculty of the University of Nebraska. Her first contribution to Dialect Notes was published in 1905; thereafter, for twenty years, she or her pupils were represented in almost every issue. In 1925, in association with Dr. Kemp Malone of the Johns Hopkins and Dr. Arthur G. Kennedy of Stanford, she founded American Speech, becoming its first editor. She continued in that capacity until 1933, when she was succeeded by Dr. William Cabell Greet of Barnard College, Columbia University. American Speech, even more than Dialect Notes, has encouraged the study of American: its files constitute a rich mine of instructive and often very amusing stuff. But it has got but little more support from American teachers of English than its predecessor. Though its first issues contained many articles addressed to them directly, they refused to be interested, and during its later years its pages have been supplied largely by lay students of the language. During its first five years its subscription list never reached 1500 names, and at the beginning of its sixth year it had but 320 subscribers. When Dr. Pound retired in 1933 it was taken over by the Columbia University Press. Today it continues to be published at a loss, though Dr. Greet and his associates make it a very useful journal. Beginning as a monthly, it is now a quarterly.

This failure of support has greatly hampered the work of the American Dialect Society. It projected an American Dialect Dictionary immediately after its organization in 1889, and by 1930 its collection of materials embraced more than 30,000 words and phrases. But so far the lack of funds has prevented the completion of the work,² and it has had to give place to two more recent enter-

I This lack of academic interest in the American language was for many years matched by a lack of interest in American literature, but since the setting up of the quarterly, American Literature, at Duke University in 1929, partly as a result of the activities of the American Literature Group of the Modern Language Association, there has been something of an awakening. The old neglect of Whitman, Melville

and Clemens will be recalled. The first professorship of American literature seems to have been established at the Pennsylvania State College in 1894, with Dr. Fred Lewis Pattee as the incumbent. The chair is still a rare one in the American colleges.

2 Another enterprise of the Dialect Society that has suffered from inadequate support is its publication of the third volume of R. H. Thornprises, both of which seem to be adequately financed, and promise to give the study of American English great encouragement, and to set it, in combination, upon a scientific foundation. The first is the Dictionary of American English on Historical Principles, now going forward at the University of Chicago under the direction of Sir William Craigic, one of the editors of the New English Dictionary (now called the Oxford English Dictionary); the other is the Linguistic Atlas of the United States, in charge of Dr. Hans Kurath of Brown University and sponsored by the American Council of Learned Societies. The first fascicle of the former appeared early in 1936, and the first map of the latter shortly afterward. Both will require years for their completion. Sir William and Dr. Kurath are alike of foreign birth, the former being a Scotsman and the latter an Austrian.¹

ton's American Glossary. Before his death Thornton deposited his manuscript in the Widener Library, and in 1931 its publication was begun in Dialect Notes under the editorship of Percy W. Long. The first instalment ran to 112 pages, and it was hoped to complete the work by December, 1933. But the failure of expected aid caused such a slacking of pace that by that time only I had been reached. Thornton's first two volumes came out in England in 1912 in a modest edition of 2000 copies. Of these, 250 were imported by an American publisher, Selling them was slow work, and when they were exhausted at last no more were imported. In this connection it may be recalled that George Philip Krapp's The English Language in America; New York, 1925, a work of very high value, failed to find a commercial publisher, and had to be brought out "for the Modern Language Association of America" at the cost of the Carnegie Corporation. Thornton's somewhat pathetic account of his difficulties is to be found in Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. II, 1919. "Early in 1917," he said, "I made an appeal to a large number of wealthy Americans - this was before we were in the war - to help

the venture financially. To their lasting infamy, they were uniformly too unappreciative to respond."

1 On Oct. 18, 1924 the Chicago Tribune announced Sir William's appointment under these headlines:

MIDWAY SIGNS LIMEY PROF. TO DOPE YANK TALK

He was born at Dundee in 1867 and was educated at St. Andrews and at Oxford. He joined the staff of the New English Dictionary in 1897, and became joint editor in 1901. His specialty is Scandinavian, but he has also written on Anglo-Saxon, Gaelic, Scottish and modern English. He was knighted in 1928, but, rather curiously, this fact is not noted in Who's Who in America. Dr. Kurath was born in Austria in 1891 and came to America in 1907. He studied at the Universities of Wisconsin, Texas and Chicago, and took his Ph.D. at the last-named. His specialty is the comparative grammar of the Indo-European languages. He was assistant professor of German at Northwestern, 1920-27, and professor of German at Ohio State, 1927-32. In 1932 he became professor of German at Brown.

Sir William described the origin of the Dictionary of American English in the *English Journal* (Chicago) for January, 1926. The dictionaries hitherto published in the United States, he said,

have commonly noted the different usage of the two countries, in respect both of the words and of their pronunciation. In none of these dictionaries, however, has there been any attempt to make the language of the United States the sole, or even main, basis of the matter they contain. Even Webster, although he patriotically called for a "national language" as well as a national government, contented himself, when he published "An American Dictionary of the English Language," with having cited American authors as well as English. All subsequent dictionaries which have appeared in this country have adhered to the same principle - their American material is merely an addition (sometimes a very restricted addition) to that drawn from English or British sources. . . . So far as I am aware, this fact had not clearly presented itself to anyone until it occurred to me one day in the Summer of 1924, in Chicago, while I was reading some proofs of the Oxford English Dictionary. I observed that in the case of two or three words beginning with the prefix un- the older quotations (from the Seventeenth Century) were from English sources, while the later (of the Eighteenth Century) were all American. From the evidence it seemed probable that the use of the words had continued later in this country than at home. It then occurred to me that it would be interesting to know how far back the words could be traced in American use; and that thought immediately brought me up against the fact that we had no means of ascertaining this point, for the simple reason that no effort had yet been made to trace the whole vocabulary which had been in use on this side of the ocean from the Seventeenth Century to the present day. It was then a simple matter to draw the natural conclusion that what was required was a new dictionary.1

"As soon as the idea had presented itself to me," he continued, "I communicated it to Professor [John M.] Manly, [head of the department of English at Chicago], who at once took steps to interest the University of Chicago in the project." The upshot was that Sir William was made professor of English in the university, and provided with a competent staff of assistants at the cost of the General Education Board. Before sailing for the United States to

In an article in Tract No. XXVII of the Society for Pure English, 1927, Dr. Craigie noted that his idea had been anticipated, though not his plan. He said: "It is possible that this idea has occurred to more than one of those who have given their attention to the subject, but until very recently I have found it clearly expressed only in a review of a part of the Oxford Dictionary, written in 1913 by Dr. C. W. Ernst of Boston. 'The

American bonanza,' he wrote, 'is in the hands of squatters; it is yet to be worked scientifically. That is impossible at Oxford; it must be done here, whether in Washington or the University of Texas is immaterial; only let it be done. It will take at least twenty-five years to gather the materials, and twenty-five years more to digest them properly. And neither dogma nor cash can help us; the thing needed is grace.'"

take up his work, in June, 1925, he said to the London correspondent of the North American Newspaper Alliance:

The United States is now at a period in the national development which corresponds closely to the Elizabethan age in England. It is a period of intellectual creativeness and invention. The extraordinary facility that you, as a people, exhibit in the coining of picturesque and expressive slang is only one of many manifestations of this. I thoroughly approve of American slang. It is often carried to an excess, but on the other hand many of your current colloquial phrases are extremely apt, and win the admiration of even the most strict purist. In America slang gets into general conversation much more widely than in England, and is therefore more likely to win a place in permanent usage. The real test of slang is its utility. If a slang phrase fills a long-felt want it will get into the language. There are some American expressions of comparatively recent vintage which have already been adopted wherever English is spoken, and they are so particularly apt and expressive that one wonders how the idea was expressed before they were invented. One instance of this is the phrase, it's up to you.

The Dictionary of American English is of course confined to the written language. Its staff has been engaged since 1926 in a laborious search of all available American records, whether printed or in manuscript. While the staff of the Oxford English Dictionary was similarly engaged, from 1859 to 1928, it had the aid of a large corps of volunteer searchers, and some of its most valuable material came from them, but Dr. Craigie and his collaborators, James Root Hulbert, George Watson, M. M. Mathews and Allen Walker Read, have had relatively little such help. In order to keep the dictionary within reasonable bounds its plan had to be made somewhat narrow. According to Dr. Louise Pound,¹ it will deal with the following classes of words only:

1. Those descriptive of the physical features of the country, as backwoods, bluff, canyon, prairie.

2. Words connected with the material development of the country, as frame-house, log-cabin, canoe, steamboat, turnpike, railroad.

3. Terms of administration, politics, religion, trade and other activities, as Senate, caucus, Mormon, lumber, elevator.

4. Colloquialisms and slang, so far as they are specially American in origin or in later use.

But the bounds of these classes will be elastic, and not only words and phrases originating in this country will be included, but also, as Sir William Craigie has explained, "every one which has a clear

The New Dictionary of American English, American Mercury, July, 1933.

connection with the development of the country and its inhabitants. The most ordinary word may call for insertion on the latter ground, as having not only a real but often a vital connection with the life of the settlers and their descendants." No words will be included for which records before 1900 do not exist, and examples of the use of admitted words will not be carried beyond 1925. Slang and colloquialisms will be dealt with fully down to 1875, but after that date only terms that have come into literary use will be included. The work will be in no sense a dictionary of slang. Soon or late, said Dr. Craigie in 1927, it "must be supplemented by a dialect dictionary and a slang dictionary; otherwise the record will be either defective or ill balanced."

The Linguistic Atlas seems to have been first proposed by a committee of the Modern Language Association in 1924, but it owes its actual launching to Dr. E. H. Sturtevant of Yale, who interested the American Council of Learned Societies in the project in 1928. A conference of philologians was held at Yale on August 2 and 3, 1929, in connection with the Linguistic Institute of the Linguistic Society of America, and on its recommendation the Council appointed a committee to make definite plans. The chairman of this committee was Dr. Kurath, then of Ohio State University, who remains as director of the work, with his headquarters moved to Brown.¹ I-Ic has a staff of seven assistants, headed by Dr. Miles L. Hanley, secretary of the American Dialect Society,2 and the collection of materials is being furthered by other members of the society and by a small number of outside volunteers. The project has received grants from the Carnegie Corporation, the General Education Board and the Rockefeller Foundation. It proposes eventually to publish maps covering the whole country, but so far it has concentrated most of its attention upon New England. The reasons for this are thus stated:

- 1. The dialects of New England are primary as compared with those of the more Western areas, such as the Ohio region. . . .
- The other members of the committee were Drs. Leonard Bloomfield of Chicago, C. H. Carruthers of McGill, C. H. Grandgent of Harvard, Miles L. Hanley of Wisconsin, Marcus L. Hansen of Illinois, John S. Kenyon of Hiram College, George P. Krapp of Columbia, Eduard Prokosch of Yale, and G. Oscar Russell of Ohio State.
- Dr. Krapp, author of The English Language in America, died in 1934, and Dr. William A. Read of Louisiana has been added to the committee.
- 2 It is perhaps worth noting that five of the seven bear non-English surnames — Hansen, Hultzén, Lowman, Bloch and Penzl.

2. New England has striking geographical dialects; the class dialects are perhaps more distinct than in any other part of the country; there are clear urban and rural dialects; there are large elements of the population that have been only recently assimilated or that are in part still unassimilated.

3. The fact that we possess more information regarding the present linguistic situation in New England than we have for any other area will shorten and simplify the important task of selecting the dialect features that are to be

recorded in all the communities selected for study.

4. There is already available a considerable body of reliable information regarding the history of the population. . . Thus a more scientific choice of representative communities is possible.

How many maps will be published in all is not yet determined, but the number will probably run to thousands. Each will show all the reported occurrences and permutations of a given locution, and in that way the dispersion of typical words and phrases, and of characteristic pronunciations, throughout the United States and Canada will be indicated. The Atlas will cover "all phases of the spoken language - pronunciation, accentuation (intonation and stress), the inflections, syntactical features, and vocabulary." The speech of all strata of society is being investigated, and an attempt is being made to differentiate between the vocabularies of the educated and the uneducated, the young and the old, men and women. In addition to the Atlas, the Council will publish a series of monographs showing "the influence on the spoken language of movements of population, of topography and arteries of communication, of the stratification of society and the rise of the lower classes to positions of importance in their communities, of political, religious, and racial particularism, of the schools, and of cultural centers." In particular, an effort will be made to find out to what extent the early immigrations to the West carried the speech habits of the older settlements with them. Finally, phonograph records are being collected, and copies of them will be available to persons interested.

These manifestations of a new interest in the scientific study of American English among American philologians are gratifying, but it would be a mistake to assume that that interest is widespread. It seems to be confined mainly, as collaboration in Dialect Notes and American Speech has always been confined, to a relatively small group of scholars, most of them either foreigners by birth or under foreign influences. The typical native teacher of English, now as in the past, fights shy of American, and can see in it only an unseemly corruption of English. Just as the elaborate obfuscations of Eight-

eenth Century law have been preserved in American law long after their abandonment in England, so the tight rules of the Eighteenth Century purists, with their absurd grammatical niceties, their fanciful etymologies and their silly spelling-pronunciations, tend to be preserved. Noah Webster protested against this pedantry nearly a century and a half ago, but it continues to be cherished among the rank and file of American pedagogues, from the kindergarten up to the graduate school. In the American colleges and high-schools there is no faculty so weak as the English faculty. It is the common catch-all for aspirants to the birch who are too lazy or too feeble in intelligence to acquire any sort of exact knowledge, and the professional incompetence of its typical ornament is matched only by his hollow cocksureness. Most of the American philologists, socalled, of the early days - Witherspoon, Whitney, Worcester, Fowler, Cobb and their like - were uncompromising advocates of conformity to English precept and example, and combated every indication of a national independence in speech with the utmost vigilance. One of their company, true enough, stood out against the rest. He was George Perkins Marsh, and in his "Lectures on the English Language," 1 he argued that "in point of naked syntactical accuracy, the English of America is not at all inferior to that of England." But even Marsh expressed the hope that Americans would not, "with malice prepense, go about to republicanize our orthography and our syntax, our grammars and our dictionaries, our nursery hymns [sic] and our Bibles" to the point of actual separation. Moreover, he was a philologian only by courtesy; the regularly ordained brethren were all against him. The fear voiced by William C. Fowler, professor of rhetoric at Amherst, that Americans might "break loose from the laws of the English language" 2 altogether,

I They were delivered at Columbia College during the Winter of 1858-9, and were published in New York in 1859. They had reached a fourth edition by 1861. Marsh was educated for the law and went into politics. He was successively a member of the Vermont Legislature, a member of the Supreme Executive Council of the State, a Congressman, minister at Constantinople, special envoy to Greece, railroad commissioner in Vermont, and minister to Italy. Born in 1801,

he died at romantic Vallombrosa in 1882. He was an amateur philologian of considerable repute in his day, and in addition to his Lectures published The Origin and History of the English Language; New York, 1862.

² The English Language: New York, 1850; rev. ed., 1855. This was the first American text-book of English for use in colleges. Before its publication, according to Fowler himself (rev. ed., p. xi), the language was studied only "superficially"

was echoed by the whole fraternity, and so the corrective bastinado was laid on.

It remained, however, for two sages of a later day to preach the doctrine that the independent growth of American was not only immoral, but a sheer illusion. They were Richard Grant White, for long the leading American writer upon language questions, at least in popular esteem, and Thomas R. Lounsbury, for thirty-five years professor of the English language and literature in the Sheffield Scientific School at Yale, and an indefatigable controversialist. Both men were of the utmost industry in research, and both had wide audiences. White's "Words and Their Uses," published in 1872, was a mine of more or less authentic erudition, and his "Everyday English," following eight years later, was another. True enough, Fitzedward Hall, the Anglo-Indian-American philologist, disposed of some of his etymologies and otherwise did execution upon him,1 but in the main his contentions were accepted. Lounsbury was also an adept and favorite expositor. His attacks upon certain familiar follies of the grammarians were penetrating and effective, and his two books, "The Standard of Usage in English" and "The Standard of Pronunciation in English," not to mention his excellent "History of the English Language" and his numerous magazine articles. showed a sound knowledge of the early history of the language, and an admirable spirit of free inquiry. But both of these laborious scholars, when they turned from English proper to American English, displayed an unaccountable desire to deny its existence altogether, and to the support of that denial they brought a critical method that was anything but scientific. White devoted not less than eight long articles in the Atlantic Monthly 2 to a review of the fourth edition of John Russell Bartlett's "American Glossary" (1877) and when he came to the end he had disposed of nine-tenths of Bartlett's specimens and called into question the authenticity of at least half the remainder. And no wonder, for his method was simply that of erecting tests so difficult and so arbitrary that only the exceptional word or phrase could pass them, and then only by

and "in the primary schools." He goes on: "Afterward, when older in the academy, during their preparation for college, our pupils perhaps despised it, in comparison with the Latin and the Greek; and in the college they do not systemati-

cally study the language after they come to maturity."

r In Recent Exemplifications of False Philology; London, 1872.

² Americanisms, parts i-viii, April, May, July, Sept., Nov., 1878; Jan., March, May, 1879.

a sort of chance. "To stamp a word or a phrase as an Americanism," he said, "it is necessary to show that (1) it is of so-called 'American' origin - that is, that it first came into use in the United States of North America, or that (2) it has been adopted in those States from some language other than English, or has been kept in use there while it has wholly passed out of use in England." Going further, he argued that unless "the simple words in compound names" were used in America "in a sense different from that in which they are used in England" the compound itself could not be regarded as an Americanism. The absurdity of all this is apparent when it is remembered that one of his rules would bar out such obvious Americanisms as the use of sick in place of ill, of molasses for treacle, and of fall for autumn, for all these words, while archaic in England, are by no means wholly extinct; and that another would dispose of that vast category of compounds which includes such unmistakably characteristic Americanisms as joy-ride, rake-off, show-down, up-lift, out-house, rubber-neck, chair-warmer, fire-eater and back-talk.

Lounsbury went even further. In the course of a series of articles in *Harper's Magazine*, he laid down the dogma that "cultivated speech . . . affords the only legitimate basis of comparison between the language as used in England and in America," and then went on:

In the only really proper sense of the term, an Americanism is a word or phrase naturally used by an educated American which under similar conditions would not be used by an educated Englishman. The emphasis, it will be seen, lies in the word "educated."

This curious criterion, fantastic as it must have seemed to European philologians, was presently reinforced, for in his fourth article Lounsbury announced that his discussion was "restricted to the written speech of educated men." The result, of course, was a wholesale slaughter of Americanisms. If it was not possible to reject a word, like White, on the ground that some stray English poet or other had once used it, it was almost always possible to reject it on the ground that it was not admitted into the vocabulary of a college professor when he sat down to compose formal book-English. What remained was a small company, indeed — and almost the whole field of American idiom and American grammar, so full of interest for the less austere explorer, was closed without even a peek into it.

Despite its absurdity, Lounsbury's position was taken by most of the American Gelehrten of his heyday. Their heirs and assigns have receded from it somewhat, but have yet to go to the length of abandoning it altogether. They admit, in despondent moments, that an American dialect of English really exists, but they still dream of bringing it into harmony with what they choose to regard as correct English. To the latter purpose the humorless omphalophysites of the American Academy of Arts and Letters address themselves periodically, and with great earnestness. Not many of them show any capacity for sound writing, whether in English or in American, but they nevertheless propose in all solemnity to convert themselves into a sort of American counterpart of the Académie Française, and to favor the country, from time to time, with authoritative judgments in matters of speech.1 In 1916 the Academy was given \$3,000 by Mrs. E. H. Blashfield to help it "determine its duty regarding both the preservation of the English language in its beauty and integrity, and its cautious enrichment by such terms as grow out of the modern conditions." The brethren laid out this money by paying one another honoraria for reading essays on the subject at plenary sessions, and in 1925 nine of these essays were printed in a book.2 It begins with a declaration of fealty to England and ends with a furious assault upon Edgar Lee Masters, Amy Lowell and Carl Sandburg - and a grave bow to Don Marquis! The general theory under-

r The Académie itself pretends to no such omniscience. In the preface to the first edition of its dictionary (1694) it disclaimed any purpose "to make new words and to reject others at its pleasure." In the preface to the second edition (1718) it confessed that "ignorance and corruption often introduce manners of writing" and that "convenience establishes them." In the preface to the third edition (1740) it admitted that it was "forced to admit changes which the public has made," and so on. Says D. M. Robertson, in A History of the French Academy (London, 1910): "The Academy repudiates any assumption of authority over the language with which the public in its own practise has not first clothed it. So much, indeed, does it confine itself to an interpretation merely of the laws of language that its decisions are sometimes contrary to its own judgment of what is either

desirable or expedient." But despite this, its natural leaning is toward tradition, and that leaning has greatly diminished its authority. Even some of its own members repudiate its judgments. "There are," says J. C. Tressler in the English Journal, College Ed., April, 1934, p. 296, "two French languages: the Academy's and the people's. In France, as in the United States, slang has flourished and has on the whole enriched, enlivened, and invigorated the language. In France, as in other countries, the language has evolved in its own way.'

2 Academy Papers: Addresses on Language Problems by Members of the American Academy of Arts and Letters; New York, 1925. The contributors were Paul Elmer More, William M. Sloane, William C. Brownell, Brander Matthews, Bliss Perry, Paul Shorey, Henry van Dyke, and Robert Underwood Johnson.

lying it seems to be divided into two halves. The first half is to the effect that the only sound models of English are to be found in the thunderous artificialities of Eighteenth Century England, and the second teaches that the only remedy for "entire abandonment to the loose-lipped lingo of the street" is "a little study of Latin, and translation of Cicero and Virgil." It should be added in fairness that some of the contributors dissent from this two-headed theory, but even so the book presents a sufficiently depressing proof of the stupidity of the learned.¹

On June 13, 1923, there was a Conference of British and American Professors of English at Columbia University. Under the leadership of Henry van Dyke and Fred Newton Scott ² its deliberations quickly resolved themselves into a violent assault upon every evidence of Americanism in the national speech. Dr. van Dyke, unconsciously echoing Witherspoon after 142 years, denounced "the slovenly way" in which the mother-tongue was spoken in this country, "not only in the streets, but also in the pulpit, on the stage, and even in the classroom." Such "lazy, unintelligible, syncopated speech," he continued, "is like a dirty face." Apparently as an answer to a possible accusation of Anglomania—for he had been one of the most vociferous of English propagandists during the World War—, he added that he had also "heard some folks talk in Lunnon

- I An instructive account of some forerunners of the Academy, all of them dismal failures, is to be found in American Projects For an Academy to Regulate Speech, by Allen Walker Read, Publications of the Modern Language Association, 1935.
- 2 Scott, who died in 1930, was one of the signers of the call for the International Conference on English held in London in 1927. He was, in his day, a great academic dignitary, and served as president of both the Modern Language Association and the National Council of Teachers of English. He was also a member of the American Association for the Advancement of Science and of the British Association. He wrote many books, including an English grammar, a treatise on literary criticism, and another on
- æsthetics. His Standards of American Speech, and Other Papers, published in 1925, offers a good gauge of his mentality. One of its chapters is devoted to proving that "of the 10,565 lines of 'Paradise Lost,' 670, or 6.3% contain each two or more accented alliterating vowels," and another to proving that in such doublets as rough and ready 68% put the monosyllable first. On January 2, 1925 he read a paper on British and American Idiom before the Committee on Philological Sciences of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. In 1926 he contributed a paper on American Slang to Tract No. XXIV of the Society for Pure Eng-lish. In Tract No. XXVII, 1927, some of his definitions were disputed by an anonymous American.

who were hard to understand," but after this he returned to his muttons, and closed with the dictum that "the proposal to make a new American language to fit our enormous country may be regarded either as a specimen of American humor or as a serious enormity." Dr. Scott, going further, allowed that it was "for Americans not a matter of ridicule, but for the hair shirt and the lash, for tears of shame and self-abasement."

That Anglomania may have actually colored the views of both Dr. van Dyke and Dr. Scott is suggested by the case of their Corpsbruder, Dr. Brander Matthews. Before the war Matthews was a diligent collector of Americanisms, and often wrote about them with a show of liking them. But during the war he succumbed to a great upsurge of love for the Motherland, and took up a position almost identical with that of Lounsbury. Thus he once wrote in the intensely pro-English New York Times.

We may rest assured that the superficial evidences of a tendency toward the differentiation of American-English and British-English are not so significant as they may appear to the unreflecting, and that the tendency itself will be powerless against the cohesive force of our common literature, the precious inheritance of both the English-speaking peoples. . . . So long as the novelists and the newspaper men on both sides of the ocean continue to eschew Briticisms and Americanisms, and so long as they indulge in these localisms only in quotation marks, there is no danger that English will ever halve itself into a British language and an American language.

After the war Matthews did some wobbling. He undoubtedly noticed that quotation marks were no longer being used to tag Americanisms, but so late as the time of his contribution to Academy Papers, c. 1923, he continued to believe that "the divergences of speech between the United States and Great Britain are not important, and are not more marked than those between . . . Boston and Wyoming." To this he added a sort of hurrah in the form of a solemn declaration, in his character of scholar, that "to the rest of the world German is still an uncouth tongue." But by 1926 he had so far returned to his first love that he was praising Logan Pearsall Smith, albeit somewhat cautiously, for speaking kindly of Americanisms in "Words and Idioms." I quote:

I have called attention more particularly to Mr. Pearsall Smith's friendly attitude toward American words and phrases, usages and idioms, because I find here evidence of a change of heart in our kin across the sea. Time was when to stigmatize a verbal novelty as an Americanism was to condemn it

utterly. Most of those who took on themselves the duty of defending our common tongue did not doubt that the English language belonged exclusively to the British. They felt—and the feeling was natural enough—that the language was the exclusive possession of the inhabitants of the island where it had come into being. It is pleasant to see signs that this jealousy is now dying a natural death. It was pleasant indeed, to behold our right to our linguistic heritage cordially recognized in the review of Mr. Pearsall Smith's book in the Literary Supplement of the London Times.¹

If any speaker arose at the Columbia Conference to defend American speechways, the fact did not appear in the published reports. Apparently, all the assembled "professors of English," whether actual Englishmen or only American colonials, were of like mind with Drs. Scott and van Dyke. On the lower levels of pedagogy there is the same general attitude. As I have noted, the National Council of Teachers of English, like the American Academy of Arts and Letters, frequently toys with the project of setting up machinery for "purifying" the language, and there are innumerable minor bands of schoolmarms, male and female, consecrated to the same end. But there is a party in the National Council, as in the American Academy, that dissents. One of its spokesmen is J. C. Tressler, head of the English department of the Richmond Hill High-School, New York City. Writing in the English Journal, College Edition, in April, 1934, he said:

Although thousands of English teachers [i.e., in the United States] with the blood of crusaders and martyrs in their veins have for decades fought heroically against the corruption and utter ruin of English, their warfare has had by and large slight effect on the language. . . . It's hardly wise for the National Council at this late date to attempt to confine it in a strait-jacket.

The Literary Digest International Book Review, March, 1926. Apparently, Matthews forgot here that Smith was not an Englishman. Actually he was born in Philadelphia, and did not migrate to England until after passing through Haverford and Harvard. His Words and Idioms was published in London in 1925. Matthews's war-time Anglomania was not taken seriously by the English. His belief that American novelists and newspaper men would "continue to eschew

Americanisms" was derided by the London Saturday Review, a bit later on, as "obviously a war hope, like hanging the Kaiser." There is what may be called a blanket sneer at "Anglomaniac professors of English" in Breaking Priscian's Head, by J. Y. T. Greig, of Armstrong College, Newcastle (1929). "Some of them," says Professor Greig, "to judge by their obiter dicta, are as scholastically minded as the dismalest snob of a house-master in an English public school."

6. THE VIEWS OF WRITING MEN

The great majority of American writers have always held out against the dominant pedagogical opinion, in this as in other matters. In every age, of course, there have been pedantic fellows who outschoolmarmed the schoolmarms in their devotion to grammatical, syntactical and lexicographical niceties - Ambrose Bierce suggests himself as a good example 1-, and in every age there have been Anglomaniacs of great earnestness - for example, Washington Irving and Henry James,2 not to mention Matthews, van Dyke, and the other faithful colonials of the American Academy of Arts and Letters, already mentioned. But not many writers of the first distinction have belonged to either faction, and among the lesser ranks there has always been an active movement in the other direction. After the War of 1812, after the Civil War, and again after the World War there were deliberate efforts, among the literati as well as among the folk, to throw off English precept and example altogether, and among the authors concerned were such respectable figures as J. Fenimore Cooper, Walt Whitman, Mark Twain and Sinclair Lewis.

So long ago as 1820, in the twenty-seventh number of the North American Review, Edward Everett sought to turn the fire of the English reviews by arguing that the common language was not only spoken better in America than in England, but also better written. He said:

We challenge any critic who shall maintain the corruption of the English language in America to assume whatever standard he shall choose of the English, the standard of dictionaries, or of good writers, or of good company; and whatever standard be taken, we engaged to detect in English writers of

r Bierce was especially hostile to slang, but in 1909 he published a little book called "Write It Right," full of denunciations of common American idioms. His own writing, though cramped by his self-imposed rules, yet managed to be fluent, colorful and even melodious. It is possible that he was influenced by the fact that he spent nearly ten years of his early manhood in England.
2 In Afternoon Neighbors; New York, 1934, p. 43, Hamlin Garland

reports that John S. Sargent once told him of James: "Henry became excessively English in his later years and resented all Americanisms in speech. I once heard him reproving his niece. She said: 'Uncle Henry, if you will tell me how you like your tea I will fix it for you.' To this James replied: 'Pray, my dear young lady, what will you fix it with and what will you fix it to?'"

respectable standing, and in respectable English society, more provincialisms, more good words in false acceptations, and more newly coined words, than can be found in an equal number of American writers, or in American society, of the same relative respectability. We think we should begin such a comparison with the number of the Edinburgh Review for March, 1817.... For in the first article of that number we fell upon forty-six words not authorized by the standards of our language. The English language corrupted in America! What are the Columbiads, or Webster's Dictionaries, or any other name of American innovation, compared with the lucubrations of Jeremy Bentham!

In the North American for July, 1821, in a review of an anti-American article in the New London Monthly Magazine for February of the same year, Everett returned to the subject, arguing that "on the whole, the English language is better spoken here than in England," and that "there is no part of America in which the corruption of the language has gone so far as in the heart of the English counties." He did not advocate a severance of American from English, but he insisted that, in the cases of many differences already noticeable, the American practise was better than the English. "We presume," he concluded somewhat loftily, "that the press set up by the American missionaries in the Sandwich Islands will furnish a good deal better English than Mr. Bentham's Church-of-Englandism."

Everett was supported by a number of other authors of the time, including, as I have already noted, Paulding, Timothy Dwight, and J. Fenimore Cooper, whose early Anglomania was by then only a memory. In the second volume of his "Notions of the Americans," printed anonymously in 1828, but quickly recognized as his and acknowledged by him, Cooper argued stoutly against the artificial English standards, mainly out of the Eighteenth Century, that the contemporary grammarians were trying to impose upon American, and contended that it should be left to its own devices, with due regard, of course, for reason, analogy, and any plausible indigenous authority that might develop. He went on:

This we are daily doing, and I think the consequence will be that in another generation or two far more reasonable English will be used in this country than exists here now. . . . I think it will be just as much the desire of England then to be in our fashion as it was our desire twenty years ago to be in hers.

In "The American Democrat," published in 1838, Cooper set himself up as the indigenous authority he had anticipated ten years before. By this time the American language was far gone upon the grand bust that had begun with the Jackson uprising, and there was a tremendous flow of neologisms from the West. The "common faults" of the popular speech, according to Cooper, were "an ambition of effect, a want of simplicity, and a turgid abuse of terms." He denounced the democratic substitution of boss for master, and of help for servant, and preached a smug sermon upon the true meaning of lady and gentleman. "To call a laborer, one who has neither education, manners, accomplishments, tastes, associations, nor any one of the ordinary requisites, a gentleman," he said, "is just as absurd as to call one who is thus qualified a fellow. . . . [A true gentleman] never calls his wife his lady, but his wife, and he is not afraid of lessening the dignity of the human race by styling the most elevated and refined of his fellow creatures men and women." Waspish words, but they at least avoided the pedantry of the pedagogues, and yielded no more than its just due to English precedent.

The first really full-length defense of American by an American appeared in a volume of "Cambridge Essays, Contributed by Members of the University," published in London in 1855. Its author was Charles Astor Bristed, a grandson of John Jacob Astor and one of the forgotten worthies of his era. He was graduated from Yale in 1839 and then went to Cambridge, where he took his degree in 1845. After that he devoted himself to literary endeavor, and during the next thirty years lived chiefly at Washington. There he gathered a small coterie of dilettanti about him, and became a sort of forerunner of Henry Adams. In 1852 he published "Five Years in an English University," and three years later he was asked to contribute to the aforesaid volume of "Cambridge Essays." His contribution bore the title of "The English Language in America"; it remains to this day, despite a few aberrations, the most intelligent brief discussion of the subject ever printed. He began by denouncing the notion, prevalent then as now, that the study of American was somehow undignified, and proceeded to argue that it was really worth any scholar's while "to investigate the course of a great living language, transplanted from its primitive seat, brought into contact and rivalry with other civilized tongues, and exposed to various influences, all having a prima facie tendency to modify it." He then proceeded to dispose of the familiar arguments against the existence of an American form of English, later to be reassembled and reinforced by Lounsbury -

(1) that most Americanisms "can be traced to an English source,"

(2) that "the number of actually new words invented in America is very small," (3) that "the deviations from standard English which occur in America are fewer and less gross than those which may be found in England herself," and so on. Here is a specimen passage from his caveat to the first two propositions, which he grouped together as embodying a single argument:

We admit this argument to be true, so far as it goes; but it does not go so far, by any means, as its supporters imagine. They seem to forget that there is such a thing as applying a new meaning to existing words, and of this novelty the examples in America are sufficiently numerous. Thus creek is a perfectly legitimate English word, but its legitimate English meaning is "a small arm of the sea," whereas in America it is invariably used to designate a small river, except when it happens to be used to designate a large one. Draw is an old-established English verb, but the Americans have further employed it as a noun, and made it do duty for draw-bridge.

The third proposition Bristed answered thus:

This is the line of argument which sometimes develops itself into the amusingly paradoxical assertion that the Americans speak better English than the English themselves. But such reasoning is on a par with that of one who should consider himself to have demonstrated that the upper classes of America were richer than those of England by showing that the lower classes of England were poorer than those of America, or that the average wealth of the American population per head was greater than that of the English. There is no inconsistency in admitting that the worst English patois may be less intelligible than the worst American, and yet maintaining that the best currently spoken American contains appreciable deviations from the true English standard. The English provincialisms keep their place; they are confined to their own particular localities, and do not encroach on the metropolitan model. The American provincialisms are most equally distributed through all classes and localities, and though some of them may not rise above a certain level of society, others are heard everywhere. The senate or the boudoir is no more sacred from their intrusions than the farm-house or the tavern.

Bristed argued boldly that in many ways American usage was already superior to English. He defended, for example, the American use of *sick*, and the American practise, borrowed from the Northern British dialects, of sounding the *h* in such words as *which* and *wheel*. In any case, he said, the Americans were perfectly free to modify their language as they pleased, and no conceivable pressure could dissuade them. Many American inventions had already "settled down into and become established in the language. *Talented* is a familiar example. It is of little use to inveigh against such words—

there they are in full possession, and cannot be turned out." In conclusion he thus philosophized:

Possibly, some of the American expressions are in themselves, abstractly and philosophically considered, better than the English; but this is not all that the *jus et norma loquendi* demands. Every language contains idioms and phrases philosophically reprehensible, as is clearly shown by the fact that the most ordinary phrases of one language become unmeaning or ludicrous absurdities when translated literally into another. All languages contain terms which have nothing but usage to plead in their favor. In English conversation, the panegyrical adjective of all-work is *nice*, in America it is *fine*. Both people often use their pet adjective inappropriately; perhaps the Americans do so in fewer cases than the English.

It was naturally the humorous writers who first began to turn Americanisms to literary uses, for many of the new locutions that came in between the War of 1812 and the Civil War showed a grotesque fancy. As Will D. Howe says in "The Cambridge History of American Literature," there were two streams of American humor from the beginnings of the national letters - "the one following closely English models, especially Addison, Steele, Defoe, and Goldsmith in the Eighteenth Century, and Lamb, Hood, Jerrold, and Dickens in the Nineteenth Century; the other springing from American soil and the new conditions of American life, and assuming a character as new to the world as the country that produced it." To the first stream belonged the writings of Franklin, the Hartford Wits, Paulding, Irving and Holmes; to the second that of Seba Smith, Augustus Baldwin Longstreet, Joseph G. Bailey, Mrs. Frances M. Whicher, Charles G. Halpine, George H. Derby, Henry Wheeler Shaw, David R. Locke, Charles Farrar Browne, and Samuel L. Clemens (Mark Twain). The pioneers of a really indigenous humor were mainly dialect writers. Smith discovered the riches of the New England dialect ("The Life and Writings of Major Jack Downing") in 1830; he was followed by Thomas C. Haliburton ("The Clockmaker, or The Sayings and Doings of Samuel Slick") in 1837, and by James Russell Lowell ("The Biglow Papers") in 1848. The Negro dialect, as we know it today, seems to have been formulated by the song-writers for minstrel shows; it did not appear in literature until the time of the Civil War; before that, as George Philip Krapp shows in "The English Language in America," 2 it was a vague and

¹ Vol. II, p. 148.

New York, 1925; Vol. I, p. 246 ff. Krapp gives some curious examples

of early attempts at reducing Negro American to writing. His essay also deals with the Yankee,

artificial lingo which had little relation to the actual speech of the Southern blacks. The Civil War period also saw the rise of the Irish dialect, which seems to have been invented (or discovered) by Halpine, whose Miles O'Reilly sketches began to appear in 1862, and of the German dialect, which first took form in Charles Leland's "Hans Breitmann's Ballads" a year or two later. The dialect of the frontier was foreshadowed in Longstreet's "Georgia Scenes" in 1835, and in Baldwin's "Flush Times in Alabama and Mississippi" in 1853, but it did not displace the Yankee dialect as the typical American patois until Clemens published "The Jumping Frog" in 1867, and John Hay followed with "Pike County Ballads" in 1871.

These humorists, and their successors after them, were keenly conscious of the rich treasures lying in American speech, and whenever they discussed it seriously they argued for its autonomy. Clemens, who employed Americanisms with great freedom, even when he was attempting elegant writing, hailed "the vigorous new vernacular of the occidental plains and mountains" in "Roughing It" in 1872, and ten years later he printed an essay, "Concerning the American Language," in "The Stolen White Elephant," with a footnote describing it as "part of a chapter crowded out of 'A Tramp Abroad'" (1880). It is in the form of a dialogue with an Englishman met on a train. "The languages," says Mark, "were identical several generations ago, but our changed conditions and the spread of our people far to the South and far to the West have made many alterations in our pronunciation, and have introduced new words among us and changed the meaning of many old ones. . . . A nation's language is a very large matter. It is not simply a manner of speech obtaining among the educated handful; the manner obtaining among the vast uneducated multitude must be considered also. . . . I could pile up differences until I not only convinced you that English and American are separate languages, but that when I speak my native tongue in its utmost purity an Englishman can't understand me at all." Another American humorist, George Ade, came to

Southwestern, Hoosier, and Indian dialects. "The New England dialect as a literary form," he says, "is mainly popular or illiterate American English with a very occasional splash of genuine local color." Krapp reprints Lowell's seven rules for writing this dialect, as given in

the introduction to Series I of The Biglow Papers, and C. Alphonso Smith's seven rules for writing "the Southern literary dialect." See also Notes on Negro Dialect in the American Novel to 1821, by Tremaine McDowell, American Speech, April, 1930.

the same conclusion a quarter of a century later. "The American," he said in his book of travel, "Pastures New," in 1906, "must go to England in order to learn for a dead certainty that he does not speak the English language. . . . This pitiful fact comes home to every American when he arrives in London—that there are two languages, the English and the American. One is correct; the other is incorrect. One is a pure and limpid stream; the other is a stagnant pool, swarming with bacilli."

Of the more serious American writers, the first to explore the literary possibilities of the national language was Walt Whitman. Once, in conversation with his fidus Achates, Horace Traubel, he described his "Leaves of Grass" as "only a language experiment an attempt to give the spirit, the body, the man, new words, new potentialities of speech - an American . . . range of self-expression. The new world, the new times, the new peoples, the new vistas," he went on, "need a tongue according - yes, what is more, will have such a tongue - will not be satisfied until it is evolved." During the early 50's, before the first publication of the "Leaves," Whitman began the preparation of a lecture entitled "An American Primer," the burden of which is indicated by an alternative title that he toyed with but finally rejected: "The Primer of Words, For American Young Men and Women, For Literati, Orators, Teachers, Musicians, Judges, Presidents, &c." This lecture was apparently never delivered, and the manuscript remained unpublished at Whitman's death in 1892. Twelve years later, in April, 1904, it was printed in the Atlantic Monthly, with a prefatory note by Traubel. It was an eloquent plea for national independence in language, and in particular for the development of an American style, firmly grounded upon the speech of everyday. "The Americans," said Whitman, "are going to be the most fluent and melodious voiced people in the world - and the most perfect users of words."

I see that the time is nigh when the etiquette of salons is to be discharged from that great thing, the renovated English speech in America. The occasions of the English speech in America are immense, profound—stretch over ten thousand vast cities, over through thousands of years, millions of miles of meadows, farms, mountains, men. The occasions of salons are for a coterie, a bon soir or two—involve waiters standing behind chairs, silent, obedient, with backs that can bend and must often bend... Ten thousand native

The Fight of a Book for the World, by W. S. Kennedy; West Yarmouth, Mass., 1926, pref.

idiomatic words are growing, or are today already grown, out of which vast numbers could be used by American writers, with meaning and effect—words that would be welcomed by the nation, being of the national blood,—words that would give that taste of identity and locality which is so dear in literature.

Whitman ranged himself squarely against the pedagogues who, then as now, were trying to police American English, and bring it into accord with literary English. "Nobody ever actually talks," he said, "as books and plays talk." He argued that there should be a dictionary of the common speech, and that some attempt should be made to ascertain its grammar.

The Real Dictionary will give all the words that exist in use, the bad words as well as any. The Real Grammar will be that which declares itself a nucleus of the spirit of the laws, with liberty to all to carry out the spirit of the laws, even by violating them, if necessary. . . . These States are rapidly supplying themselves with new words, called for by new occasions, new facts, new politics, new combinations. Far plentier additions will be needed, and, of course, will be supplied. . . . Many of the slang words are our best; slang words among fighting men, gamblers, thieves, are powerful words. . . . The appetite of the people of These States, in popular speeches and writings, is for unhemmed latitude, coarseness, directness, live epithets, expletives, words of opprobrium, resistance. This I understand because I have the taste myself as large, as largely, as any one. I have pleasure in the use, on fit occasions, of -traitor, coward, liar, shyster, skulk, doughface, trickster, mean cuss, backslider, thief, impotent, lickspittle. . . . I like limber, lasting, fierce words. I like them applied to myself - and I like them in newspapers, courts, debates, Congress. Do you suppose the liberties and the brawn of These States have to do only with delicate lady-words? with gloved gentleman words? Bad Presidents, bad judges, bad clients, bad editors, owners of slaves, and the long ranks of Northern political suckers (robbers, traitors, suborned), monopolists, infidels, . . . shaved persons, supplejacks, ecclesiastics, men not fond of women, women not fond of men, cry down the use of strong, cutting, beautiful, rude words. To the manly instincts of the People they will be forever welcome.

At a time, says Louis Untermeyer, "when the rest of literary America was still indulging in the polite language of pulpits and the lifeless rhetoric of its libraries, Whitman not only sensed the richness and vigor of the casual word, the colloquial phrase—he championed the vitality of slang, and freshness of our quickly assimilated jargons, the indigenous beauty of vulgarisms. He even predicted that no future native literature could exist that neglected this racy speech, that the vernacular of people as opposed to the language of literati would form the living accents of the best poets to come. One has only to observe the contemporary works of Carl Sandburg,

Robert Frost, Edgar Lee Masters, Vachel Lindsay and a dozen others to see how his prophecy has been fulfilled. Words, especially the neglected words regarded as too crude and literal for literature, fascinated Whitman. The idea of an enriched language was scarcely ever out of his mind. . . . This interest . . . grew to great proportions; it became almost an obsession." 1 As everyone knows, Whitman was an assiduous word-coiner himself, and many of his inventions will be recalled - for example, the verbs to promulge, to eclaircise, to diminute, to imperturbe, to effuse, and to inure, the adjectives ostent, omnigenous, and adamic, the adverb affetuoso, and the nouns presidentiad, deliveress, civilizee, literat, acceptress, yawp, and partiolist. A large number of his coinages were in foreign, and especially in Romance metal; he believed that American should not be restricted to the materials of English, and he made frequent use of such French terms as allons, feuillage, habitan, savant, ma femme, mon cher, militaire, rapport and éclaircissement, and of such Spanish and pseudo-Spanish terms as libertad, camerado, vaquero and Americano.2 I have heard it argued that he introduced finale into common American usage; the evidence is dubious, but certainly the word is much oftener employed in the United States than in England. Most of his coinages, alas, died with him, but yawp and These States have survived. Among his literary remains were many notes upon American speechways, and he often discussed the subject with Traubel. In November, 1885, he printed an article on "Slang in America" in the North American Review, and afterward included it in "November Boughs" (1888).8

Whitman got support in his time from James Russell Lowell and John Fiske, and a little later from William Dean Howells. Lowell undertook the defense of Americanisms in his preface to the first series of "The Biglow Papers" (1848). "The English," he said,

Whitman and the American Language, New York Evening Post, May 31, 1919. Mr. Untermeyer has himself made vigorous propaganda to the same end. Since 1932 or thereabout he has been delivering a lecture entitled A New Language For a New Generation which embodies a review of the gradual separation of American from English, and a valuable discussion of the present differences.

- 2 See Walt Whitman and the French Language, by Louise Pound, American Speech, May, 1926, and Walt Whitman's Neologisms, by the same, American Mercury, Feb., 1925.
- 3 See Walt Whitman and the American Language, by Leon Howard, American Speech, Aug., 1930.

"have complained of us for coining new words. Many of those so stigmatized were old ones by them forgotten, and all make now an unquestioned part of the currency, wherever English is spoken. Undoubtedly, we have a right to make new words as they are needed by the fresh aspects under which life presents itself here in the New World; and, indeed, wherever a language is alive, it grows. It might be questioned whether we could not establish a stronger title to the ownership of the English tongue than the mother-islanders themselves. Here, past all question, is to be its great home and center. And not only is it already spoken here in greater numbers, but with a far higher popular average of correctness than in Britain." Fiske, writing home from England in 1873, reported that the English pronunciation grated upon his sensibilities. "The English," he said, "talk just like Germans. So much guttural is very unpleasant, especially as half the time I can't understand them, and have to say, 'I beg your pardon? 'Our American enunciation is much pleasanter to the ear." 1

Howells, in 1886, made a plea in Harper's for a concerted effort to put American on its own legs. "If we bother ourselves," he said, "to write what the critics imagine to be 'English,' we shall be priggish and artificial, and still more so if we make our Americans talk 'English.' . . . It has always been supposed by grammarians and purists that a language can be kept as they find it, but languages, while they live, are perpetually changing. God apparently meant them for the common people, . . . and the common people will use them freely, as they use other gifts of God. On their lips our continental English will differ more and more from the insular English, and we believe that this is not deplorable, but desirable. . . . We have only to leave our studies, editorial or other, and go into the shops and fields to find the 'spacious times of great Elizabeth' again." In the same article Howells advised the young American novelists of the day to give their ears to regional speechways, and quoted what Alphonse Daudet once said of Turgenev: "What a luxury it must be to have a big untrodden barbarian language to wade into! " "We hope," he concluded, "that our inherited English may be constantly freshened and revived from the native sources which literary decentralization will help to keep open." 2

The Life and Letters of John Fiske, by John Spencer Clark; Boston, 1917, Vol. I, p. 431.

² The Editor's Study, Harper's Magazine, Jan., 1886.

The literature of the subject has taken on large proportions in recent years, and contributions to it have been made by authors as diverse as Vachel Lindsay and Rupert Hughes, Ernest Boyd and Richard Burton. For one article on Americanisms in the philological journals there are at least fifty in the popular magazines. Burton, with a long career as a teacher of English behind him, is convinced that the pedagogical effort to police the national speech will fail. "The pundit, the pedant, and the professor," he says, "who are fain to stem the turbid tide of the popular vernacular may suffer pain; but they can have little influence on the situation. Even collegebred folk revert to type and use people's speech - when they are from under the restraining, corrective monitions of academic haunts - in a way to shock, amuse, or encourage, according to the point of view." 1 Hughes has written on the subject more than once, and always with great vigor. "Could anyone imagine an English author," he says, "hesitating to use a word because of his concern as to the ability of American readers to understand it and approve it? . . . Why should he permit the survival of the curious notion that our language is a mere loan from England, like a copper kettle that we must keep scoured and return without a dent?"

Americans who try to write like Englishmen are not only committed to an unnatural pose, but doomed as well to failure, above all among the English; for the most likeable thing about the English is their contempt for the hyphenated imitation Englishmen from the States, who only emphasize their nativity by their apish antics. The Americans who have triumphed among them have been, almost without exception, peculiarly American. . . . Let us sign a Declaration of Literary Independence and formally begin to write, not British, but Unitedstatish. For there is such a language, a brilliant, growing, glowing, vivacious, elastic language for which we have no specific name. . . . Whatever we call it, let us cease to consider it a vulgar dialect of English, to be used only with deprecation. Let us study it in its splendid efflorescence, be proud of it, and true to it. Let us put off livery, cease to be the butlers of another people's language, and try to be the masters and the creators of our own.²

Lindsay, who gave the subtitle of "Rhymes in the American Language" to "The Golden Whales of California," published in 1920, had his say on the subject under the heading of "The Real American Language" in the American Mercury for March, 1928. He began by recounting "a few delusions in regard to the United States language." One of them, he said, "is that it came in with the

r English As She is Spoken, Bookman, July, 1920. 2 Our Statish Language, Harper's Magazine, May, 1920.

ultra-flappers and the most saxophonish of the jazz, after Armistice Day." Another is that "the United States language is a New York novelty."

It is really a new vocabulary arranged on an old British framework. It is true that the new vocabulary pours every day into our growing dictionaries, but this vocabulary is apt to mislead one. A smart phrase or new word is not the United States language. The very framework is as old as the writings of Captain John Smith of Virginia... Wherever there is a touch of Virginia left, there is the United States language. The United States language is Virginia with the r put back into it... When you reach the land of "Old Dan Tucker" and "Clementine" and the places where they sing the song, "Tell Me the Tale That I Once Held So Dear," you are getting into the region of the United States language in its essential fluency... Mark Twain writes Virginian with the r put back into his alphabet when speaking in his own person.

The Irish brethren naturally range themselves on the side of autonomy for American English, and are firm believers in its merits: not many of them show any trace of Anglomania. Whenever the English reviews and newspapers begin one of their periodical denunciations of Americanisms, these Celts rush to the rescue. Thus Murray Godwin of Detroit sought the hospitality of the Irish Statesman in 1926 to flog and flay two English critics of American speechways, one writing in the Quarterly Review and the other in the Manchester Guardian Weekly. In the following burning words he paid his respects to the former:

The author of this particular piece of refined skullduggery ... quotes ... a personal notice written by a Jewish clothing merchant, and containing some characteristic Yiddish English, to prove that grammar is no longer honored even in the written language of American business men. Of course we have come to expect such tactics on the part of our British step-cousins, whose reputation for fair play has been so firmly established by tradition that it has no longer any need to be supported by example. . . . Though I feel touched damn nigh to tears when I picture this noble Briton in the throes of molding and milling this length of literary leadpipe with which to bash the blinking Yank, I shall not pass up the chance to point out that his implication that English is a pure and integrated growth, while American is a nondescript tangle of underbrush, would seem to stem from a scholarly logic turned sour by contact with the viewpoint of an intestinally-constricted and malicious if unmuscular pitwit.¹

To which may be added a few calmer reflections by Ernest Boyd:

The time has passed when the English language could be claimed as the exclusive idiom of Britain, much less of any restricted area of England. Today

I The American Slanguage, Irish Statesman, Oct. 9, 1926.

it is the tongue of millions who have no other language, but have also no other tie with the country from which English came. There is no authority which can enforce the recognition of a Standard English that does not exist, save in the imagination of a few people in London. When these people write or speak they betray their place of origin as definitely as a native of New York or Edinburgh. Their assumption that, while the latter are strange and provincial, they are standard and authoritative, is merely an illustration of self-complacent provincialism. It is an assumption which the great English-speaking world does not and cannot admit.¹

7. THE POLITICAL FRONT

The American newspapers labor the subject constantly, but not often with much perspicacity. In general, they favor freedom for American from English-imposed rules, but there is a minority that pleads for conformity. Now and then politicians looking for popularity raise the banner of independence, and propose to give it reality by the characteristic American device of passing a law, but such plans seldom get beyond the stage of tall talk. They go back to the earliest days of the Republic. William Gifford, the bitterly anti-American editor of the Quarterly Review, is authority for the story that at the close of the Revolution certain members of Congress proposed that the use of English be formally prohibited in the United States, and Hebrew substituted for it.2 Bristed, in his essay, "The English Language in America," makes the proposed tongue Greek, and reports that the change was rejected on the ground that "it would be more convenient for us to keep the language as it is, and make the English speak Greek." How a committee of the Continental Congress, in 1778, recommended that "the language of the United States" be used in all "replies or answers" to the French ambassador I have already noted. Seventy-six years later a similar order was issued by the celebrated William L. Marcy, author of the political maxim, "To the victor belong the spoils." After a long career in the Senate, Marcy became Secretary of War in the Cabinet of Polk and then Secretary of State in that of Pierce. While holding

1 Translations, Saturday Review of Literature, Dec. 26, 1925.

septentrionale in 1786. (English translation in two volumes; London, 1787; New York, 1828). See The Philological Society of New York, 1788, by Allen Walker Read, American Speech, April, 1934, p. 131.

² Gifford seems to have picked up this story from the Marquis François Jean de Chastellux, who made a tour of America in 1780-82, and printed Voyages dans l'Amérique

the latter post he issued a circular to all American diplomatic and consular officers, instructing them to employ only "the American language" in communicating with him. That was in 1854. After another septuagenarian interval, on May 9, 1927, Andrew W. Mellon, as Secretary of the Treasury, ordered that the redemption call for the Second Liberty Loan be advertised "in every daily paper printed in the American language throughout the United States."

In the North American Review for April, 1820, Edward Everett printed "a jeu d'esprit which has fallen in our way, under the name of 'Report of Resolutions to be proposed in the House of Representatives' . . . to return the compliment paid to us by the Marquis of Lansdowne, in the session [of Parliament] of 1819, in moving for an inquiry into the conduct of General Jackson." I can find no record that these resolutions were ever actually introduced in the House; indeed, they were probably written by Everett himself, or by one of his collaborators on the North American. But they fell in very well with the temper of the time, and if any member had dropped them into the basket it is certain that they would have received a large number of votes. They began with long satirical whereases directed at the English reviewers, and proceeded to deplore the corruption of the language in the Motherland, "to the degree that the various dialects which prevail, such as those in Yorkshire, Somersetshire and Cumberland, at the same time that they are in themselves utterly uncouth and hideous, are unintelligible to anyone but a person born and educated in these counties respectively." Then came this:

The House farther regards, as still more pernicious, . . . that barbarity which from various causes is fast creeping into the language of the highest and best educated classes of society in England . . .; an affectation, at one time, of forgotten old words, and at another of pedantic new ones, each equally unauthorized in a pure and chaste style of writing and of speaking; the perpetual recurrence of the plural number, instead of the singular, as charities, sympathies, tendencies, &c., a phraseology which tends in a high degree to weaken a language, by leading writers and speakers to place that emphasis in the grammatical plurality, which ought to reside in the term itself; an unwise attempt to ennoble such words as clever, you know, vastly, &c. which are pardonable only in colloquial use, and unworthy the dignity of grave and sustained discourse; an adoption by noblemen, gentlemen, and clergymen, of the terms of horse-jockeys, boxers and shooters, to the degree that a great number of vulgar and cant terms are heard in what are called the best circles; . . . and lastly, an alarming prevalence of profane and obscene language, . . . which, though it is unhappily a vice too common in

all countries, the House has unquestioned information prevails in England to an unparalleled and odious extent, reaching into the societies which consider themselves the most polite and best bred.

Finally, after a sonorous declaration that in the United States the language has been "preserved in a state of admirable purity" and was, "by the blessing of God, quite untainted with most of the above mentioned vulgarities prevalent in the highest English circles," the resolutions concluded:

Resolved, in consideration of these premises, that the nobility and gentry of England be courteously invited to send their elder sons, and such others as may be destined to appear as public speakers in church or state, to America, for their education; that the President of the United States be requested to concert measures with the presidents and heads of our colleges and schools for the prompt reception and gratuitous instruction of such young persons, and to furnish them, after the expiration of a term of — years, certificates of their proficiency in the English tongue.

The Middle West has always been the chief center of linguistic chauvinism, and so early as February 15, 1838, the Legislature of Indiana, in an act established the State university at Bloomington, provided that it should instruct the youth of the new Commonwealth (which had been admitted to the Union in 1816) "in the American, learned and foreign languages . . . and literature." Nearly a century later, in 1923, there was a violent upsurging of the same patriotic spirit, and bills making the American language official (but never clearly defining it) were introduced in the Legislatures of Illinois, North Dakota, Minnesota and other States. At the same time the Hon. Washington Jay McCormick, then a Republican member of the House of Representatives from Montana, offered a similar bill in Congress. It ran as follows:

A BILL

To define the national and official language of the Government and people of the United States of America, including the Territories and dependencies thereof.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the national and official language of the Government and people of the United States of America, including Territories and dependencies thereof, is hereby defined as and declared to be the American language.

Sec. 2. That all Acts and parts of Acts of Congress, including regulations of the departments of Government, wherein the speaking, reading, writing, or knowledge of the English language is set forth as a requirement for purposes of naturalization, immigration, official, legal, or other like use, shall be deemed

emended to the extent of substituting in the text for the word English the word American.

Sec. 3. That, until Congress shall make specific provision for the official and more particular standardization of the American language, words and phrases generally accepted as being in good use by the people of the United States of America shall constitute a part of the American language for all legal purposes.

Sec. 4. That this Act shall be in full force and effect six months after its

passage and approval.

The Hon. Mr. McCormick thus explained the purposes behind his bill:

I might say I would supplement the political emancipation of '76 by the mental emancipation of '23. America has lost much in literature by not thinking its own thoughts and speaking them boldly in a language unadorned with gold braid. It was only when Cooper, Irving, Mark Twain, Whitman, and O. Henry dropped the Order of the Garter and began to write American that their wings of immortality sprouted. Had Noah Webster, instead of styling his monumental work the American Dictionary of the English Language, written a Dictionary of the American Language, he would have become a founder instead of a compiler. Let our writers drop their top-coats, spats, and swagger-sticks, and assume occasionally their buckskin, moccasins, and tomahawks.¹

Despite this ringing appeal to the red-blooded Americanism of Congress, the Hon. Mr. McCormick was never able to rescue his bill from the dungeons of the Judiciary Committee, and there it died the Heldentod at the end of the session. The Hon. Frank Ryan, a member of the Illinois State Senate from Chicago, was more fortunate, for his bill "establishing the American language as the official language of the State of Illinois," introduced on January 10, 1923, became a law on June 19, albeit with certain discreet changes. In its original form it was as follows:

Whereas, Since the creation of the American Republic there have been certain Tory elements in our country who have never become reconciled to our republican institutions and have ever clung to the tradition of King and Empire; and

Whereas, The assumed dominance of this Tory element in the social, business and political life of America tends to force the other racial units, in self-defense, to organize on racial lines, thus creating nations without a nation and fostering those racial and religious differences which lead to disunion and disintegration; and

Whereas, The supreme problem of American statesmen, and supreme desire of American patriots, is to weld the racial units into a solid American nation in the sense that England, France and Germany are nations; and

Whereas, The name of the language of a country has a powerful influence in stimulating and preserving the national ideal; and

1 Nation, April 11, 1923.

Whereas, The languages of other countries bear the name of the countries to which they belong, the language of Germany being called German; of France, French; of England, English; and so on; and

Whereas, Our government, laws, customs and ideals as well as our lan-

guage differ materially from those of England, now therefore;

Scc. 1. Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly: The official language of the State of Illinois shall be known hereafter as the "American" language, and not as the "English" language.

The newspapers of the time reported that only three of the Hon. Mr. Ryan's fellow Senators voted against his bill, but it seems to have had harder sledding in the lower House, despite the aid of Mayor Big Bill Thompson of Chicago, who was then in the midst of his campaign to keep the snout of King George V out of his bailiwick. At all events, it lost two of its whereases, suffered changes in two others, and gained two new ones. Those expunged were the second, third, and sixth. In place of the second and third, when the bill was finally passed and approved by the Governor, appeared the following:

Whereas, America has been a haven of liberty and place of opportunity for the common people of all nations; and

Whereas, These strangers within our gates who seek economic betterment, political freedom, larger opportunities for their children and citizenship for themselves, come to think of our institutions as American and our language as the American language.

In addition, the word psychological was inserted before influence in the fourth whereas, apparently in deference to the Freudian thought of the time, and the examples were stricken out of the fifth. The sixth disappeared without leaving a trace. This statute is still on the books of Illinois as Chapter 127, Section 178 of the Acts of 1923. But all the similar bills introduced in other Legislatures seem to have failed of passage. The one brought up in Minnesota (H.F. 993, March 8, 1923) was sponsored by two members of the House of Representatives, N. T. Moen and J. N. Jacobson, both of them apparently of Scandinavian origin. It was supported by two enthusiasts, John M. Leonard, president of "the American Foundation" of St. Paul, and A. J. Roberts, editor of the American National Language Magazine, published in the same city. But though the mighty Magnus Johnson also gave it some help, and it had a favorable report from the Committee on Education, it got no further. In 1908 the American-Language Legion was launched in New York "to secure popular use and statutory recognition of the name, the American language, as the exclusive designation of the official language of the United States and its dependencies." It issued a sticker bearing the word American in six sizes of type, and many times repeated. The idea was that "whenever friends of this movement encounter, in any of their books, any name sought to be relegated by the American-Language Legion, American in a corresponding size of type may be sheared from this sheet and pasted over, making it read: the American language." But this ingenious scheme seems to have come to nothing also.

Meanwhile, the plain people of England and the United States, whenever they come into contact, find it difficult to effect a fluent exchange of ideas. This was made distressingly apparent during the World War. When the American troops began to pour into France in 1917, fraternizing with the British was impeded, not so much because of hereditary animosities as because of the wide divergence in vocabulary and pronunciation between the doughboy and Tommy Atkins - a divergence interpreted by each as low mental visibility in the other. There was very little movement of slang from one camp to the other, and that little ran mainly from the American side to the British. The Y.M.C.A., always pathetically eager for the popularity that it could never gain, made a characteristic effort to turn the feeling of strangeness among the Americans to account. In the Chicago Tribune's Paris edition of July 7, 1917, I find a large advertisement inviting them to make use of the Y.M.C.A. clubhouse in the Avenue Montaigne, "where American is spoken." At about the same time an enterprising London tobacconist, Peters by name, affixed a sign bearing the legend "American spoken here" to the front of his shop, and soon he was imitated by hundreds of other London, Liverpool and Paris shopkeepers. Such signs are still familiar all over Europe, and they have begun to appear in Asia.1

Peters, it appears, had a remote forerunner in one Proctor, who was in practise as a teacher of English in Paris at the end of the Eighteenth Century. Mr. H. A. Larrabee of Cambridge, Mass., calls my attention to the following reference to him in John G. Alger's Paris in 1789-94: "In October, 1794, Proctor advertised that he

taught the English and American languages—there had been no advertisements of lessons in foreign languages during the Terror—and he was still doing this in 1802." Apparently the strained relations between France and the United States in 1797–1800 did not force Proctor to suspend the teaching of American.

8. FOREIGN OBSERVERS

The continental awareness of the growing differences between English and American is demonstrated by the fact that some of the popular German Sprachführer now appear in separate editions, Amerikanisch and Englisch. This is true, for example, of the Metoula-Sprachführer and of the Polyglott Kuntze books.1 The American edition of the latter starts off with the doctrine that "Jeder, der nach Nord-Amerika oder Australien will, muss Englisch können," but a great many of the words and phrases that appear in its examples would be unintelligible to many Englishmen -e.g., free-lunch, real-estate agent, buckwheat, corn (for maize), conductor and popcorn - and a number of others would suggest false meanings or otherwise puzzle - e.g., saloon, wash-stand, waterpitcher and apple-pie.2 In the "Neokosmos Sprachführer durch England-Amerika" 8 there are many notes calling attention to differences between American and English usage, e.g., baggage-luggage, car-carriage, conductor-guard. The authors are also forced to enter into explanations of the functions of the boots in an English hotel and of the clerk in an American hotel, and they devote a whole section to a discourse upon the nature and uses of such American beverages as whiskey-sours, Martini-cocktails, silver-fizzes, John-Collinses, and ice-cream sodas.4 There are many special guides to the American language in German – for example, "The Little Yankee," by Alfred D. Schoch and R. Kron (Freiburg, 1912), "Uncle Sam and His English," by W. K. Pfeiler and Elisabeth Wittmann (Berlin,

1 Metoula-Sprachführer; Englisch von Karl Blattner; Ausgabe für Amerika; Berlin-Schöneberg. Polyglott Kuntze; Schnellste Erlernung jeder Sprache ohne Lehrer; Amerikanisch; Bonn a. Rh.

2 Like the English expositors of American Slang (See Chapter VI, Section 3), this German falls into several errors. For example, he gives cock for rooster, boots for shoes, braces for suspenders and postman for letter-carrier, and lists iron-monger, joiner and linen-draper as American terms. He also spells wagon in the English manner, with two g's, and translates Schweinefüsse as pork-feet. But he spells such words as color in the American manner and gives the pronunciation of clerk as the American klörk, not as the English klark.

3 By Carlo di Domizio and Charles

M. Smith; Munich, n.d.

4 Like the Metoula expositor, they make mistakes. Certainly no American bartender ever makes a Hockcup; he makes a Rhine-wine-cup. They list several drinks that are certainly not familiar in America, e.g., the knickebein and the whitelion. Yet worse, they convert julep into jules.

1932); and "Spoken American," by S. A. Nock and H. Mutschmann (Leipzig, 1930). It is also dealt with at length in various more general guides - for example, "Hauptfragen der Amerikakunde," by Walther Fischer (Bielefeld, 1928); "The American Wonderland," by S. A. Nock and G. Kamitsch (Leipzig, 1930); and "America of Today," by Frau Voight-Goldsmith and D. Borchard (Berlin, 1929). Nor is it overlooked by pedagogues. I have before me a circular of the Lessing Hochschule in Berlin, offering courses in both Amerikanisch and Englisch - two for Anfänger, one for Vorgebildete, and one for Fortgeschrittene - each of eight weeks, and at a fee of ten marks. The American language also gets attention in a number of French, Italian and Scandinavian guide-books for immigrants and travelers; in one of them 1 I find definitions of butterine, cat-boat. clawhammer, co-ed, craps, dago, dumb-waiter, faker, freeze-out, gusher, hard-cider, hen-party, jitney, mortician, panhandle, patrolman, sample-room, shyster, sleuth, wet (noun), dry (noun), headcheese and overhead-expenses. The standard guide-books for tourists always call attention to the differences between the English and American vocabularies. Baedeker's "United States" has a glossary for Englishmen likely to be daunted by such terms as el, Europeanplan and sundae, and in Muirhead's "London and Its Environs" there is a corresponding one for Americans, warning them that bug means only bed-bug in England, that a clerk there is never a shopman, and that homely means domestic, unpretending, homelike, never plain-looking, and giving them the meanings of trunk-call, boarding, goods-train, spanner and minerals.

From the earliest days the peculiarities of American have attracted the attention of Continental philologians, and especially of the Germans. The first edition of Bartlett's Glossary (1848) brought forth a long review in the Archiv für das Studium der neueren Sprachen und Literaturen (Braunschweig) by Dr. Felix Flügel, and in 1866 Dr. Friedrich Köhler published a "Wörterbuch der Americanismen," based on it. In subsequent volumes of the Archiv and in the other German philological journals there have been frequent discussions of the subject by Ludwig Herrig, Karl Knortz, Johannes Hoops, Hermann U. Meysenberg, Ed. O. Paget, Paul Heyne, Georg Kartzke, Walther Fischer, Fritz Karpf, Martin Pawlik and H.

this chapter I am indebted to the kindness of Dr. A. Th. Dorf of Chicago.

De Forenede Stater, by Evald Kristensen; Omaha, Neb., 1921, Vol. I, pp. 207–219. For a translation of

Lüdeke. It has also been discussed at length in the German lay press, especially by C. A. Bratter, Friedrich Schönemann and Arnold Schöer. It is common in German for translations of American books to bear the words aus dem Amerikanischen on their title-pages, and the term is frequently in use otherwise.1 Like his German colleagues, Dr. Otto Jespersen of Copenhagen, perhaps the first living authority on modern English, is greatly interested in Americanisms, and at one time contemplated doing a book on them. The third edition of the present work was translated into German with a commentary by Dr. Heinrich Spies of Berlin in 1927,2 and the same scholar has lectured on the subject at Berlin, Greifswald and elsewhere. Various Dutch and Belgian philologians, among them Barentz, Keijzer, Aronstein, Zandvoort, Peeters, and van der Voort, have published studies of American, and so have various Frenchmen and Italians; and at the University of Paris, in 1921, Ray P. Bowen was appointed lecteur d'américain. At Tartu-Dorpat in Estonia Dr. Heinrich Mutschmann, professor of English in the university there, has printed an excellent Glossary of Americanisms (1931) - in fact, a much better one than any that has come out in America since Thornton's. Two other foreign scholars who show more interest in American English than is usually displayed at home are Professor Wincenty Lutoslawski, of the University of Wilna in Poland, and Professor Sanki Ichikawa, of the Imperial University at Tokyo. The early editions of the present work brought me into pleasant contact with these gentlemen, and I have received valuable suggestions from both. Says Dr. Ichikawa:

It is a great question with us teachers of English in Japan whether we should teach American English or British English. We have more opportunities for coming into contact with Americans than for meeting Englishmen, but on the other hand books on phonetics are mostly done by English scholars. As to the vocabulary, we are teaching English and American indiscriminately—many of us, perhaps, without knowing which is which.

The literature on Americanisms in Japanese is already of some weight. It includes an excellent formal treatise, "English and Ameri-

- r For example, the following appears on the invitations to farewell dinners on Hamburg-Amerika Line ships (1935): "Einem geehrten Bordpublico kund und zu wissen: Dass das fröliche Bord-Glöcklin, so in amerikanischen Sprach dinnerbell geheisten ist," etc.
- 2 Die Amerikanische Sprache (Das Englisch der Vereinigten Staaten), von H. L. Mencken; Deutsch Bearbeitung von Heinrich Spies; Leipzig, 1917. Dr. Spies is also the author of Kultur und Sprach in neuen England; Leipzig, 1925.

can of Today," by G. Tomita (Tokyo, 1930), and a number of smaller studies. Such monographs as "Japanized English" by S. Aarkawa (Tokyo, 1930) and "English Influence on Japanese," by Dr. Ichikawa (Tokyo, 1928) give a great deal of attention to American forms. The Russians are also conscious of the difference between the two languages, and there is a party at Moscow which holds that American should be taught in the schools, not English. As yet this party does not seem to have prevailed, but so long ago as March, 1930, it was bold enough to propose the following resolutions at a conference of teachers of language at Moscow:

1. Oxford English is an aristocratic tongue purposely fostered by the highest British governing and land-holding classes in order to maintain their icy and lofty exclusiveness.

2. It is not used by the majority of the residents in Great Britain and

certainly not by its intelligent working class elements.

3. It is not used by the majority of English-speaking peoples the world over.

4. The aristocracy is introducing all sorts of affectations, such as the chopping short of syllables and the swallowing of the terminations of words, in order to make it all the more difficult for anyone else to speak the language in their manner.

5. The American language is more democratic, for the employing classes speak no differently from their employés. It is more standard, due originally to the settlement of the West by Easterners, and lately due to the radio and

6. The American language is more alive and picturesque, tending more to simplification both as to spelling and grammar.

7. Linguist "purity" is mere fiction for language does not grow out of the air, but is determined by particular social conditions and in a measure is a reflex of these conditions. Language purity at best reflects a pedantic attitude and at worst an attitude either aristocratic or chauvinistic.

8. Since American engineers are preferred by the Soviet authorities to the English, since the latest industrial technique finds its highest development in the United States, good American English serves Soviet purposes best.1

The apparent feeling of so many American philologians that giving serious study to the common speech of their country would be beneath their dignity is not shared by their European colleagues. In England the local dialects have been investigated for many years, and there is a formidable literature on slang, stretching back to the Sixteenth Century and including a glossary in seven large volumes. In France, as in Germany, Italy and Japan, a linguistic atlas has been

¹ See The American Language Fights for Recognition in Moscow,

by Eli B. Jacobson, American Mercury, Jan., 1931.

published,1 and the Société des Parlers de France makes diligent inquiries into changing forms; moreover, the Académie itself is endlessly concerned with the subject. There is, besides, a constant outpouring of books by private investigators, of which "Le Langage populaire," by Henry Banche, is a good example. In Germany, amid many other such works, there are admirable grammars of all the dialects. In Sweden there are several journals devoted to the study of the vulgate, and the government has granted a subvention of 7500 kronor a year to an organization of scholars called the Undersökningen av Svenska Folkmål, formed to investigate it systematically. In Norway there is a widespread movement to overthrow the official Dano-Norwegian, and substitute a national language based upon the speech of the peasants.2 In Spain the Real Academia Española de la Lengua is constantly at work upon its great Diccionario, Ortografía and Gramática, and revises them at frequent intervals, taking in all new words as they appear and all new forms of old ones. And in Latin-America, to come nearer to our own case, the native philologists have produced a large literature on the matter closest at hand, and one finds in it excellent studies of the Portuguese dialect of Brazil, and the variations of Spanish in Mexico, the Argentine, Chili, Peru, Ecuador, Uruguay and even Honduras and Costa Rica.8

I L'Atlas linguistique de la France, by J. Gillieron and E. Edmont; Paris, 1902-08. The German Sprachatlas des Deutschen Reiches was edited by Wenker and appeared ten years earlier. The Japanese atlas, Dai Nippon Hogen Chidzu, was

prepared by M. Tojo.

² This movement owes its start to Ivar Aasen (1813-96), who published a grammar of the landsmaal, or peasant speech, in 1848, and a dictionary in 1850. It won official recognition in 1885, when the Storthing passed the first of a series of acts designed to put the landsmaal on an equal footing with the official Dano-Norwegian. Four years later, after a campaign going back to 1874, provision was made for teaching it in the schools for the training of primary teachers. In 1899 a professorship of it was established in the University of

Christiania. The school boards in the case of primary schools, and the pupils in the case of middle and high schools are now permitted to choose between the two languages, and the landsmaal has been given official status by the State Church. The chief impediment to its wider acceptance lies in the fact that it is not, as it stands, a natural language, but an artificial amalgamation of peasant dialects. See The Linguistic Development of Ivar Aasen's New Norse, by Einas Haugen, Publications of the Modern Language Association, Vol. XLVIII, Pt. 1, 1933. There is a bibliography of this literature in the third edition of the

erature in the third edition of the present work; New York, 1923, pp. 460-61; and a better one in Estudios Sobre el Español de Nuevo Méjico, by Aurelio M. Espinosa; Buenos

Aires, 1930, p. 24 ff.

THE MATERIALS OF INQUIRY

I. THE HALLMARKS OF AMERICAN

The characters chiefly noted in American English by all who have discussed it are, first, its general uniformity throughout the country; second, its impatient disregard for grammatical, syntactical and phonological rule and precedent; and third, its large capacity (distinctly greater than that of the English of present-day England) for taking in new words and phrases from outside sources, and for manufacturing them of its own materials.

The first of these characters has struck every observer, native and foreign. In place of the discordant local dialects of all the other major countries, including England, we have a general Volkssprache for the whole nation, and if it is conditioned at all it is only by minor differences in pronunciation and vocabulary, and by the linguistic struggles of various groups of newcomers. No other country can show such linguistic solidarity, nor any approach to it not even Canada, for there a large minority of the population resists speaking English altogether. The Little Russian of the Ukraine is unintelligible to the citizen of Moscow; the Northern Italian can scarcely follow a conversation in Sicilian; the Low German from Hamburg is a foreigner in Munich; the Breton flounders in Gascony. Even in the United Kingdom there are wide divergences.1 "When we remember," says the New International Encyclopedia, "that the dialects of the counties in England have marked differences so marked, indeed, that it may be doubted whether a Lancashire miner and a Lincolnshire farmer could understand each otherwe may well be proud that our vast country has, strictly speaking, only one language." There are some regional peculiarities in pronunciation and intonation, and they will be examined in some detail

See his English Dialects From the Eighth Century to the Present Day; Cambridge, 1911, p. 107 ff.

I W. W. Skeat distinguishes 9 principal dialects in Scotland, 3 in Ireland and 30 in England and Wales.

in Chapter VII, but when it comes to the words they habitually use and the way they use them all Americans, even the less tutored, follow pretty much the same line. A Boston taxi-driver could go to work in Chicago or San Francisco without running any risk of misunderstanding his new fares. Once he had flattened his a's a bit and picked up a few dozen localisms, he would be, to all linguistic intents and purposes, fully naturalized.

Of the intrinsic differences that separate American from English the chief have their roots in the obvious disparity between the environment and traditions of the American people since the Seventeenth Century and those of the English. The latter have lived under a relatively stable social order, and it has impressed upon their souls their characteristic respect for what is customary and of good report. Until the World War brought chaos to most of their institutions, their whole lives were regulated, perhaps more than those of any other people save the Spaniards, by a regard for precedent. The Americans, though partly of the same blood, have felt no such restraint, and acquired no such habit of conformity. On the contrary, they have plunged to the other extreme, for the conditions of life in their country have put a high value upon the precisely opposite qualities of curiosity and daring, and so they have acquired that character of restlessness, that impatience of forms, that disdain of the dead hand, which now broadly marks them. From the first, says a literary historian, they have been "less phlegmatic, less conservative than the English. There were climatic influences, it may be; there was surely a spirit of intensity everywhere that made for short effort." Thus, in the arts, and thus in business, in politics, in daily intercourse, in habits of mind and speech. The American is not, of course, lacking in a capacity for discipline; he has it highly developed; he submits to leadership readily, and even to tyranny. But, by a curious twist, it is not the leadership that is old and decorous that commonly fetches him, but the leadership that is new and extravagant. He will resist dictation out of the past, but he will follow a new messiah with almost Russian willingness, and into the wildest vagaries of economics, religion, morals and speech. A new fallacy in politics spreads faster in the United States than anywhere else on earth, and so does a new fashion in hats, or a new revelation

can Novel, by Carl Van Doren; New York, 1921.

F. L. Pattee: A History of American Literature Since 1870; New York, 1916. See also The Ameri-

of God, or a new means of killing time, or a new shibboleth, or metaphor, or piece of slang. Thus the American, on his linguistic side, likes to make his language as he goes along, and not all the hard work of the schoolmarm can hold the business back. A novelty loses nothing by the fact that it is a novelty; it rather gains something, and particularly if it meets the national fancy for the terse, the vivid, and, above all, the bold and imaginative. The characteristic American habit of reducing complex concepts to the starkest abbreviations was already noticeable in colonial times, and such highly typical Americanisms as O.K., N.G., and P.D.Q., have been traced back to the early days of the Republic. Nor are the influences that shaped these tendencies invisible today, for institution-making is yet going on, and so is language-making. In so modest an operation as that which has evolved bunco from buncombe and bunk from bunco there is evidence of a phenomenon which the philologian recognizes as belonging to the most lusty stages of speech.

But of more importance than the sheer inventions, if only because much more numerous, are the extensions of the vocabulary, both absolutely and in ready workableness, by the devices of rhctoric. The American, from the beginning, has been the most ardent of recorded rhetoricians. His politics bristles with pungent epithets; his whole history has been bedizened with tall talk; his fundamental institutions rest far more upon brilliant phrases than upon logical ideas. And in small things as in large he exercises continually an incomparable capacity for projecting hidden and often fantastic relationships into arresting parts of speech. Such a term as rubberneck is almost a complete treatise on American psychology; it reveals the national habit of mind more clearly than any labored inquiry could ever reveal it. It has in it precisely the boldness and contempt for ordered forms that are so characteristically American, and it has too the grotesque humor of the country, and the delight in devastating opprobriums, and the acute feeling for the succinct and savory. The same qualities are in rough-house, water-wagon, has-been, lameduck, speed-cop and a thousand other such racy substantives, and in all the great stock of native verbs and adjectives. There is indeed, but a shadowy boundary in these new coinages between the various parts of speech. Corral, borrowed from the Spanish, immediately becomes a verb and the father of an adjective. Bust, carved out of burst, erects itself into a noun. Burn, coming by way of an earlier

bummer from the German, becomes noun, adjective, verb and adverb. Verbs are fashioned out of substantives by the simple process of prefixing the preposition: to engineer, to stump, to hog, to style, to author. Others grow out of an intermediate adjective, as to boom. Others are made by torturing nouns with harsh affixes, as to burglarize and to itemize, or by groping for the root, as to resurrect and to jell. Yet others are changed from intransitive to transitive; a sleeping-car sleeps thirty passengers. So with the adjectives. They are made of substantives unchanged: codfish, jitney. Or by bold combinations: down-and-out, up-state, flat-footed. Or by shading down suffixes to a barbaric simplicity: scary, classy, tasty. Or by working over adverbs until they tremble on the brink between adverb and adjective: right, sure and near are examples.

All these processes, of course, are also to be observed in the history of the English of England; at the time of its sturdiest growth they were in the most active possible being. They are, indeed, common to all tongues; "the essence of language," says Dr. Jespersen, "is activity." But if you will put the English of today beside the American of today you will see at once how much more forcibly they are in operation in the latter than in the former. The standard Southern dialect of English has been arrested in its growth by its purists and grammarians, and burdened with irrational affectations by fashionable pretension. It shows no living change since the reign of Samuel Johnson. Its tendency is to combat all that expansive gusto which made for its pliancy and resilience in the days of Shakespeare. In place of the old loose-footedness there is set up a preciosity which, in one direction, takes the form of clumsy artificialities in the spoken

Rather curiously, the two authorities who were most influential, during the Nineteenth Century, in keeping it to a rigid pattern were both Americans. They were Lindley Murray (1745–1826) and Joseph E. Worcester (1784–1865). Murray, a Pennsylvanian, went to England after the Revolution, and in 1795 published his Grammar of the English Language. It had an extraordinary sale in England, and was accepted as the court of last resort in usage down to quite recent times. Worcester's Universal and Critical Dictionary of the English Language, 1846, divided the honors of

authority in England with B. H. Smart's Dictionary, published during the same year. It was extensively pirated. Thus, says Thomas R. Lounsbury (The Standard of Pronunciation in English; New York, 1904, p. 220), "the Londoner frequently got his pure London pronunciation from a citizen of this country who was never outside of New England for more than a few months of his life." Worcester was also accepted at Harvard and at the University of Virginia, but elsewhere in the United States Webster prevailed.

language, and in another shows itself in the even clumsier Johnsonese of so much current English writing—the Jargon denounced by Sir Arthur Quiller-Couch in his Cambridge lectures. This "infirmity of speech" Quiller-Couch finds "in parliamentary debates and in the newspapers; . . . it has become the medium through which Boards of Government, County Councils, Syndicates, Committees, Commercial Firms, express the processes as well as the conclusions of their thought, and so voice the reason of their being." Distinct from journalese, the two yet overlap, "and have a knack of assimilaring each other's vices." ¹

American, despite the gallant efforts of the pedagogues, has so far escaped any such suffocating formalization. We, too, of course, have our occasional practitioners of the authentic English Jargon, but in the main our faults lie in precisely the opposite direction. That is to say, we incline toward a directness of statement which, at its greatest, lacks restraint and urbanity altogether, and toward a hospitality which often admits novelties for the mere sake of their novelty, and is quite uncritical of the difference between a genuine improvement in succinctness and clarity, and mere extravagant raciness. "The tendency," says one English observer, "is . . . to consider the speech of any man, as any man himself, as good as any other." 2 The Americans, adds a Scots professor, "are determined to hack their way through the language, as their ancestors through forests, regardless of the valuable growths that may be sacrificed in blazing the trail." 8 But this Scot dismisses the English neologisms of the day, when ranged beside the American stock, as "dwiny, feeble stuff"; "it is to America," he admits, "that we must chiefly look in future for the replenishment and freshening of our language." I quote one more Briton, this time an Englishman steeped in the public school tradition:

The English of the United States is not merely different from ours; it has a restless inventiveness which may well be founded in a sense of racial

I See the chapter, Interlude on Jargon, in Quiller-Couch's On the Art of Writing; New York, 1916. Appropriately enough, large parts of the learned critic's book are written in the very Jargon he attacks. See also Ch. VI of Growth and Structure of the English Language, by O. Jespersen, 3rd ed., rev.; Leipzig, 1919, especially p. 143 ff. See also

Official English, in English, March, 1919, p. 7; April, p. 45, and Aug., p. 135, and The Decay of Syntax, in the London Times Literary Supplement, May 8, 1919, p. 1.

2 Alexander Francis: Americans: An Impression; New York, 1900.

3 Breaking Priscian's Head, by J. Y. T. Greig; London, 1929.

discomfort, a lack of full accord between the temperament of the people and the constitution of their speech. The English are uncommunicative; the Americans are not. In its coolness and quiet withdrawal, in its prevailing sobriety, our language reflects the cautious economies and leisurely assurance of the average speaker. We say so little that we do not need to enliven our vocabulary and underline our sentences, or cry "Wolf!" when we wish to be heard. The more stimulating climate of the United States has produced a more eager, a more expansive, a more decisive people. The Americans apprehend their world in sharper outlines and aspire after a more salient rendering of it.1

This revolt against conventional bonds and restraints is most noticeable, of course, on the lower levels of American speech; in the regions above there still linger some vestiges of Eighteenth Century tightness. But even in those upper regions there are rebels a-plenty, and some of them are of such authority that it is impossible to dismiss them. I glance through the speeches of the late Dr. Woodrow Wilson, surely a conscientious purist and Anglomaniac if we have ever had one, and find, in a few moments, half a dozen locutions that an Englishman in like position would certainly hesitate to use, among them we must get a move on,2 to hog,3 to gum-shoe,4 onery in place of ordinary,5 and that is going some.6 I turn to the letters of that most passionate of Anglomaniacs, Walter Hines Page, and find to eat out of my hand, to lick to a frazzle, to cut no figure, to go gunning for, nothin' doin', for keeps, and so on. I proceed to Dr. John Dewey, probably the country's most respectable metaphysician, and find him using dope for opium.7 In recent years certain English magnificoes have shown signs of going the same route, but whenever they yield the corrective bastinado is laid on, and nine times out of ten they are accused, and rightly, of succumbing to American influence.

Let American confront a novel problem alongside English, and immediately its superior imaginativeness and resourcefulness become obvious. Movie is better than cinema; and the English begin to admit the fact by adopting the word; it is not only better American, it is better English. Bill-board is better than hoarding. Office-holder is

¹ Pomona, or The Future of English, by Basil de Sélincourt; London, 1929.

² Speech before the Chamber of Commerce Convention, Washington, Feb. 19, 1916.

³ Speech at a workingman's dinner, New York, Sept. 4, 1912.

⁴ Wit and Wisdom of Woodrow Wilson, comp. by Richard Linthicum; New York, 1916, p. 54.
5 Speech at Ridgewood, N. J., April

^{22, 1910.}

⁶ Wit and Wisdom . . . p. 56.

⁷ New Republic, Dec. 24, 1919, p. 116, col. 1.

more honest, more picturesque, more thoroughly Anglo-Saxon than public-servant. Stem-winder somehow has more life in it, more fancy and vividness, than the literal keyless-watch. Turn to the terminology of railroading (itself, by the way, an Americanism): its creation fell upon the two peoples equally, but they tackled the job independently. The English, seeking a figure to denominate the wedge-shaped fender in front of a locomotive, called it a plough; the Americans, characteristically, gave it the far more pungent name of cow-catcher. So with the casting which guides the wheels from one rail to another. The English called it a crossing-plate; the Americans, more responsive to the suggestion in its shape, called it a frog. American is full of what Bret Harte called the "saber-cuts of Saxon"; it meets Montaigne's ideal of "a succulent and nervous speech, short and compact, not as much delicated and combed out as vehement and brusque, rather arbitrary than monotonous, not pedantic but soldierly, as Suetonius called Cæsar's Latin." One pictures the common materials of English dumped into a pot, exotic flavorings added, and the bubblings assiduously and expectantly skimmed. What is old and respected is already in decay the moment it comes into contact with what is new and vivid. "When we Americans are through with the English language," says Mr. Dooley, "it will look as if it had been run over by a musical comedy."

All this boldness of conceit, of course, makes for vulgarity. Unrestrained by any critical sense - and the critical sense of the pedagogues counts for little, for they cry wolf too often - it flowers in such barbaric inventions as tasty, alright, go-getter, he-man, goaheadativeness, tony, goof, semi-occasional, and to doxologize. But vulgarity, after all, means no more than a yielding to natural impulses in the face of conventional inhibitions, and that yielding to natural impulses is at the heart of all healthy language-making. The history of English, like the history of American and of every other living tongue, is a history of vulgarisms that, by their accurate meeting of real needs, have forced their way into sound usage, and even into the lifeless catalogues of the grammarians. The purist performs a useful office in enforcing a certain logical regularity upon the process, and in our own case the omnipresent example of the greater conservatism of the English restrains, to some extent, our native tendency to go too fast, but the process itself is as inexorable in its workings as the precession of the equinoxes, and if we yield to it more eagerly than the English, it is only a proof, perhaps, that the future of what was once the Anglo-Saxon tongue lies on this side of the water. Standard English now has the brakes on, but American continues to leap in the dark, and the prodigality of its movement is all the indication that is needed of its intrinsic health, its capacity to meet the ever-changing needs of a restless and emotional people, inordinately mongrel, and disdainful of tradition. Language, says A. H. Sayce,

is not artificial product, contained in books and dictionaries and governed by the strict rules of impersonal grammarians. It is the living expression of the mind and spirit of a people, ever changing and shifting, whose sole standard of correctness is custom and the common usage of the community. . . . The first lesson to be learned is that there is no intrinsic right or wrong in the use of language, no fixed rules such as are the delight of the teacher of Latin prose. What is right now will be wrong hereafter; what language rejected yesterday she accepts today.¹

2. WHAT IS AN AMERICANISM?

John Pickering was the first to attempt to draw up a schedule of Americanisms. In his "Vocabulary or Collection of Words and Phrases Which Have Been Supposed to be Peculiar to the United States of America" (1816) he divided them into three categories, as follows:

- 1. "We have formed some new words."
- 2. "To some old ones, that are still in use in England, we have affixed new significations."
- 3. "Others, which have been long obsolete in England, are still retained in common use among us."
- I Introduction to the Science of Language, 4th ed.; London, 1900, Vol. II, pp. 33-4. All this, of course, had been said long before Sayce. "Language," said Quintilian in his Institutiones Oratorias, I (c. 95), "is like money, which becomes current when it receives the public stamp." "Custom," said Ben Jonson in his Grammar (1640) "is the most certain mistress of language." "Language," said George Campbell in The Philosophy of Rhetoric, II (1776), "is purely a species of fashion, in which by the general,

but tacit, consent of the people of a particular state or country, certain sounds come to be appropriated to certain things as their signs." "Established custom," said Hugh Blair in his Lectures on Rhetoric and Belles Lettres (1783), "is the standard to which we must at last resort for determining every controverted point in language." To which Noah Webster added in his Dissertations on the English Language (1789): "The general practise of a nation is the rule of propriety." John Russell Bartlett, in the second edition of his "Glossary of Words and Phrases Usually Regarded as Peculiar to the United States" (1859), increased these three classes to nine:

- 1. Archaisms, i.e., old English words, obsolete, or nearly so, in England, but retained in use in this country.
- 2. English words used in a different sense from what they are in England. "These include many names of natural objects differently applied."
- 3. Words which have retained their original meaning in the United States, though not in England.

4. English provincialisms adopted into general use in America.

- 5. New coined words, which owe their origin to the productions or to the circumstances of the country.
- 6. Words borrowed from European languages, especially the French, Spanish, Dutch and German.
 - 7. Indian words.
 - 8. Negroisms.
 - o. Peculiarities of pronunciation.

Some time before this, but after the publication of Bartlett's first edition in 1848, William C. Fowler, professor of rhetoric at Amherst, devoted a brief chapter to "American Dialects" in "The English Language" (1850) and in it one finds the following formidable classification:

1. Words borrowed from other languages.

- a. Indian, as Kennebec, Ohio, Tombigbee, sagamore, quahaug, succotash.
 - b. Dutch, as boss, kruller, stoop.
 - c. German, as spuke [?], sauerkraut.
 - d. French, as bayou, cache, chute, crevasse, levee.
 - e. Spanish, as calaboose, chaparral, hacienda, rancho, ranchero.

f. Negro, as buckra.

- 2. Words "introduced from the necessity of our situation, in order to express new ideas."
- a. Words "connected with and flowing from our political institutions," as selectman, presidential, congressional, caucus, mass-meeting, lynch-law, help (for servants).
- b. Words "connected with our ecclesiastical institutions," as associational, consociational, to fellowship, to missionate.
- c. Words "connected with a new country," as lot, diggings, betterments, squatter.
 - 3. Miscellaneous Americanisms.
- a. Words and phrases become obsolete in England, as talented, offset (for set-off), back and forth (for backward and forward).
- b. Old words and phrases "which are now merely provincial in England," as hub, whap [?], to wilt.
- c. Nouns formed from verbs by adding the French suffix -ment, as publishment, releasement, requirement.

- d. Forms of words "which fill the gap or vacancy between two words which are approved," as obligate (between oblige and obligation) and variate (between vary and variation).
- e. "Certain compound terms for which the English have different compounds," as bank-bill (bank-note), book-store (bookseller's shop), bottom-land (interval-land), clapboard (pale), sea-board (sea-shore), side-bill (bill-side).
- f. "Certain colloquial phrases, apparently idiomatic, and very expressive," as to cave in, to flare up, to flunk out, to fork over, to hold on, to let on, to stave off, to take on.
- g. Intensives, "often a matter of mere temporary fashion," as dreadful, might, plaguy, powerful.
- b. "Certain verbs expressing one's state of mind, but partially or timidly," as to allot upon (for to count upon), to calculate, to expect (to think or believe), to guess, to reckon.
- i. "Certain adjectives, expressing not only quality, but one's subjective feelings in regard to it," as clever, grand, green, likely, smart, ugly.
- j. Abridgments, as stage (for stage-coach) turnpike (for turnpike-road), spry (for sprightly), to conduct (for to conduct one's self).
- k. "Quaint or burlesque terms," as to tote, to yank, humbug, loafer, muss, plunder (for baggage), rock (for stone).
- l. "Low expressions, mostly political," as slang-whanger, loco foco, hunker, to get the hang of.
- m. "Ungrammatical expressions, disapproved by all," as do don't, used to could, can't come it, Universal preacher (for Universalist), there's no two ways about it.

Alfred L. Elwyn, in his "Glossary of Supposed Americanisms" (1859), attempted no classification. He confined his glossary to archaic English words surviving in America, and sought only to prove that they had come down "from our remotest ancestry" and were thus undeserving of the reviling lavished upon them by English critics. Schele de Vere, in his "Americanisms" (1872), followed Bartlett, and devoted himself largely to words borrowed from the Indian dialects, and from the French, Spanish and Dutch. But John S. Farmer, in his "Americanisms New and Old" (1889), ventured upon a new classification, prefacing it with the following definition:

An Americanism may be defined as a word or phrase, old or new, employed by general or respectable usage in America in a way not sanctioned by the best standards of the English language. As a matter of fact, however, the term has come to possess a wider meaning, and it is now applied not only to words and phrases which can be so described, but also to the new and legitimately born words adapted to the general needs and usages, to the survivals of an older form of English than that now current in the mother country, and to the racy, pungent vernacular of Western life.

He then proceeded to this classification:

- r. Words and phrases of purely American derivation, embracing words originating in:
 - a. Indian and aboriginal life.
 - b. Pioneer and frontier life.
 - c. The church.
 - d. Politics.
 - e. Trades of all kinds.
 - f. Travel, afloat and ashore.
 - 2. Words brought by colonists, including:
 - a. The German element.
 - b. The French.
 - c. The Spanish.
 - b. The Dutch.
 - e. The Negro.
 - f. The Chinese.
 - 3. Names of American things, embracing:
 - a. Natural products.
 - b. Manufactured articles.
 - 4. Perverted English words.
 - 5. Obsolete English words still in good use in America.
 - 6. English words, American by inflection and modification.
- 7. Odd and ignorant popular phrases, proverbs, vulgarisms, and colloquialisms, cant and slang.
 - 8. Individualisms.
 - 9. Doubtful and miscellaneous.

Sylva Clapin, in his "New Dictionary of Americanisms" (1902), reduced these categories to four:

- 1. Genuine English words, obsolete or provincial in England, and universally used in the United States.
- 2. Énglish words conveying, in the United States, a different meaning from that attached to them in England.
- 3. Words introduced from other languages than the English: French, Dutch, Spanish, German, Indian, etc.
- 4. Americanisms proper, i.e., words coined in the country, either representing some new idea or peculiar product.

Richard H. Thornton, in his "American Glossary" (1912), substituted the following:

- 1. Forms of speech now obsolete or provincial in England, which survive in the United States, such as allow, bureau, fall, gotten, guess, likely, professor, shoat.
- 2. Words and phrases of distinctly American origin, such as belittle, lengthy, lightning-rod, to darken one's doors, to bark up the wrong tree, to come out at the little end of the horn, blind tiger, cold snap, gay Quaker, gone coon, long sauce, pay dirt, small potatoes, some pumpkins.
- 3. Nouns which indicate quadrupeds, birds, trees, articles of food, etc., that are distinctively American, such as ground-hog, hang-bird, hominy, live-oak, locust, opossum, persimmon, pone, succotash, wampum, wigwam.

- 4. Names of persons and classes of persons, and of places, such as Buckeye, Cracker, Greaser, Hoosier, Old Hickory, the Little Giant, Dixie, Gotham, the Bay State, the Monumental City.
- 5. Words which have assumed a new meaning, such as card, clever, fork, help, penny, plunder, raise, rock, sack, ticket, windfall.

In addition, Thornton added a provisional class of "words and phrases of which I have found earlier examples in American than in English writers; . . . with the caveat that further research may reverse the claim"—a class offering specimens in alarmist, capitalize, eruptiveness, horse of another colour [sic!], the jig's up, nameable, omnibus bill, propaganda and whitewash.

Gilbert M. Tucker, in his "American English" (1921) attempted to reduce all Americanisms to two grand divisions, as follows:

- 1. Words and phrases that originated in America and express something that the British have always expressed differently if they have mentioned it at all.
- 2. Words and phrases that would convey to a British ear a different meaning from that which they bear in this country.

To this he added seven categories of locution *not* to be regarded as Americanisms, despite their inclusion in various previous lists, as follows:

- r. Words and phrases stated by the previous compiler himself to be of foreign (i.e., chiefly of English) origin, like Farmer's hand-me-downs.
- 2. Names of things exclusively American, but known abroad under the same name, such as moccasin.
- 3. Names of things invented in the United States, like drawing-room car.
- 4. Words used in this country in a sense hardly distinguishable from that they bear in England, like force for a gang of laborers.
 - 5. Nonce words, like Mark Twain's cavalieress.
- 6. Perfectly regular and self-explanatory compounds, like office-holder, planing-machine, ink-slinger and fly-time.
 - 7. Purely technical terms, such as those employed in baseball.

Only a glance at these discordant classifications is needed to show that they hamper the inquiry by limiting its scope — not so much, to be sure, as the extravagant limitations of White and Lounsbury, noted in Chapter I, Section 5, but still very seriously. They leave out of account some of the most salient characters of a living language. Only Bartlett and Farmer establish a separate category of Americanisms produced by the shading of consonants and other such phonological changes, though even Thornton, of course, is

obliged to take notice of such forms as bust and bile, and even Tucker lists buster. It must be obvious that many of the words and phrases excluded by his Index Expurgatorius are quite genuine Americanisms. Why should he bar out such a word as moccasin on the ground that it is also known in England? So is caucus, and yet he includes it. He is also far too hostile to such characteristic American compounds as office-holder and fly-time. True enough, their materials are good English, and they involve no change in the meaning of their component parts, but it must be plain that they were put together in the United States and that an Englishman always sees a certain strangeness in them. Pay-dirt, panel-house, passageway, patrolman, night-rider, low-down, know-nothing, hoe-cake and hog-wallow are equally compounded of pure English metal, and yet he lists all of them. Again, he is too ready, it seems to me, to bar out archaisms, which constitute one of the most interesting and authentic of all the classes of Americanisms. It is idle to prove that Chaucer used to guess. The important thing is that the English abandoned it centuries ago, and that when they happen to use it today they are always conscious that it is an Americanism. Baggage is in Shakespeare, but it is not often in the London Times. Here Mr. Tucker allows his historical principles to run away with his judgment. His book represents the labor of nearly forty years and is full of shrewd observations and persuasive contentions, but it is sometimes excessively dogmatic.1

The most scientific and laborious of all these collections of Americanisms, until the Dictionary of American English got under way, was Thornton's. It presents an enormous mass of quotations, and they are all very carefully dated, and it corrects most of the more obvious errors in the work of earlier inquirers. But its very dependence upon quotations limits it chiefly to the written language, and so the enormously richer materials of the spoken language are passed over, and particularly the materials evolved during the past

"standing place"; it really means one who stands. Sundæ (the sodafountain mess) is misspelled sunday; it was precisely the strange spelling that gave the term vogue. Mucker, a brilliant Briticism, unknown in America save in college slang, is listed between movie and muckraker.

I Tucker falls into a number of rather astonishing errors. P.D.Q. is defined as an abbreviation of "pretty deuced quick," which it certainly is not. Passage (of a bill in Congress) is listed as an Americanism; it is actually very good English and is used in England every day. Standee is defined as

generation. In vain one searches the two fat volumes and their pedestrian appendix for such highly characteristic forms as nearaccident and buttinski, the use of sure as an adverb, and the employment of well as a sort of general equivalent of the German also. These grammatical and syntactical tendencies lay beyond the scope of Thornton's investigation, and some of them lie outside the field of the American Dictionary, but it is plain that they must be prime concerns of any future student who essays to get at the inner spirit of the American language. Its difference from Standard English is not merely a difference in vocabulary, to be disposed of in an alphabetical list; it is also a difference in pronunciation, in intonation, in conjugation and declension, in metaphor and idiom, in the whole fashion of using words. Some of the aspects of that difference will be considered in the following pages. The vocabulary, of course, must be given first attention, for in it the earliest American divergences are embalmed and it tends to grow richer and freer year after year, but attention will also be paid to materials and ways of speech that are less obvious, and in particular to certain tendencies in vulgar American, the great reservoir of the language, and perhaps the forerunner of what it will be on higher levels, at least in one detail or another, in the years to come.

III

THE BEGINNINGS OF AMERICAN

I. THE FIRST LOAN-WORDS

The earliest Americanisms were probably words borrowed bodily from the Indian languages - words, in the main, indicating natural objects that had no counterparts in England. Thus, in Captain John Smith's "True Relation," published in 1608, one finds mention of a strange beast described variously as a rahaugcum and a raugroughcum. Four years later, in William Strachey's "Historic of Trevaile Into Virginia Britannia" it became an aracoune, "much like a badger," and by 1624 Smith had made it a rarowcun in his "Virginia." It was not until 1672 that it emerged as the raccoon we know today. Opossum has had much the same history. It first appeared in 1610 as apossoun, and two years later Smith made it opassom in his "Map of Virginia," at the same time describing the animal as having "an head like a swine, a taile like a rat, and is of the bigness of a cat." The word finally became opossom toward the end of the Seventeenth Century, and by 1763 the third o had changed to u. In the common speech, as everyone knows, raccoon is almost always reduced to coon, and opossum to possum. Thornton traced the former to 1830 and the latter to 1705. Moose is another American primitive. It is derived from the Narragansett Indian word moosu, meaning "he trims or cuts smooth"—an allusion, according to the Oxford Dictionary, "to the animal's habit of stripping the lower branches and bark from trees when feeding." It had become mus by 1613, mose by 1637, and moose by 1672.

To the same category belong skunk, hickory, squash, caribou, pecan, paw-paw, chinkapin, persimmon, terrapin, menhaden, and catalpa. Skunk is from an Indian word variously reported to have been segankw or segongw, and on its first appearance in print, in William Wood's "New England's Prospect" (1643) it was spelled squunck, but it had got its present form by 1701. Hickory, in the form of po-

hickery, has been traced to 1653, and persimmon, in the form of putchamin, is in Captain John Smith's "Map of Virginia" (1612). In its early days the stress in persimmon was on the first or third syllables, not on the second, as now. Caribou came into American from the French in Canada during the Eighteenth Century, but it is most probably of Indian origin. In the same way pecan came in through the Spanish: down to Jefferson's time it was spelled paccan. Paw-paw, in the form of papaios, is to be found in Purchas's "Pilgrimage" (1613, a compilation of traveler's tales), and terrapin, in the form of torope, is in Whitaker's "Good Newes From Virginia" (1613). Menhaden seems to be derived from an Indian word. munnawhattecug, which appears as a verb, munnohquahtean, meaning to fertilize, in John Eliot's Indian Bible (completed 1638). The Indians used menhaden to manure their corn, and the fish is still used for fertilizer. Catalpa comes from one of the Indian languages of the South; it was adopted into American in the Eighteenth Century. Most such words, of course, were shortened like munnawhattecug, or otherwise modified, on being taken into colonial English. Thus, chinkapin was originally checkingumin, and squash appears in early documents as isquontersquash, and squantersquash. But William Penn, in a letter dated August 16, 1683, used the latter in its present form. These variations show a familiar effort to bring a new and strange word into harmony with the language. By it the French route de roi has become Rotten Row in English, écrevisse has become cray fish, and the English bowsprit has become beau pré (beautiful meadow) in French. Woodchuck originated in the same way. Its origin is to be sought, not in wood and chuck, but in the Cree word otchock, used by the Indians to designate the animal.

In addition to the names of natural objects, the early colonists, of course, took over a great many Indian place-names, and a number of words to designate Indian relations and artificial objects in Indian use. To the latter division belong hominy, pone, toboggan, pemmican, mackinaw, moccasin, papoose, sachem, powwow, tomahawk, wigwam, succotash and squaw, all of which were in common circulation by the middle of the Eighteenth Century. Thornton has traced hominy to 1629, pone to 1634, moccasin to 1612, moccasin-flower to 1705, moccasin-snake to 1784, powwow to 1613, and wigwam to 1705. Finally, new words were made during the period by translating Indian terms, whether real or imaginary — for example,

war-path, war-paint, pale-face, big-chief, medicine-man, pipe-ofpeace, fire-water, and to bury the hatchet -, and by using the word Indian, as a prefix, as in Indian-Summer, Indian-file and Indian-giver. The total number of borrowings, direct and indirect, was larger than now appears, for with the recession of the Red Man from the popular consciousness the use of loan-words from his dialects has diminished. In our own time papoose, sachem, tepee, samp, quahaug and wampum have begun to drop out of everyday use; 1 at an earlier period the language sloughed off ocelot, manitee, calumet, sagamore, supawn and many others after their kind, or began to degrade them to the estate of provincialisms.2 A curious phenomenon is presented by the case of maize, which came into the colonial speech from some West Indian dialect, apparently by way of the Spanish, went over into orthodox English, and from English into French, German and other Continental languages, and was then abandoned by the Americans, who substituted corn, which commonly means wheat in England. Mugwump, which is now obsolescent, is also an Indian loanword, but its meaning has been narrowed. It was originally spelled mugquomp, and signified a chief. When the Rev. John Eliot translated the Old Testament into the Algonquian language, in 1663, he used it in place of the duke which appears so often in Genesis xxxvI in the Authorized Version. During the following century it began to work its way into American, and by the beginning of the Nineteenth Century it was in common use to designate a high and mighty fellow, and especially one whose pretensions were not generally con-

I A number of such Indian words are preserved in the nomenclature of Tammany Hall and in that of the Improved Order of Red Men, an organization with more than 500,000 members. The Red Men, borrowing from the Indians, thus name the months, in order: Cold Moon, Snow, Worm, Plant, Flower, Hot, Buck, Sturgeon, Corn, Travelers', Beaver and Hunting. They call their officers incohonee, sachem, wampum-keeper, etc. But such terms, of course, are not in general use.

2 Sylva Clapin lists 110 Indian loanwords in his New Dictionary of Americanisms; New York, c. 1902, and Alexander F. Chamberlain lists 132 in Algonquin Words in American English, Journal of American

Folk-Lore, Vol. XV. But only 24 of Clapin's words would have any meaning to the average American today. The rest either survive only as proper names, e.g., tupelo, tuckhoe, tammany, michigouen, sing-sing, netop, catawba, or are obsolete altogether. An elaborate dictionary of Indian loan-words, compiled by the late W. R. Gerard, is in the possession of the Smithsonian Institution, but it remains in manuscript. Parts of it are almost unintelligible, and there is little likelihood that it will ever be printed. The literature of the subject is rather meager. Probably the best discussion of it is in the first chapter of Schele de Vere's Americanisms; New York, 1872.

ceded. Its political use began in 1884, when James G. Blaine received the Republican nomination for the Presidency, and many influential Republicans, including Theodore Roosevelt, refused to support him. Most of these rebels were in what we now call the higher incometax brackets, and so it was natural for the party journals to hint that they suffered from what we now call superiority complexes. Thus they came to be called mugwumps, and soon they were wearing the label proudly. "I am an independent—a mugwump," boasted William Everett in a speech at Quincy, Mass., on September 13, 1884. "I beg to state that mugwump is the best of American. It belongs to the language of the Delaware Indians; it occurs many times in Eliot's Indian Bible; and it means a great man." Until the end of the century any American who took an independent course in politics was a mugwump, but after that the word began to fade out, and we have developed nothing that quite takes its place.

Caucus is probably also an Indian loan-word. John Pickering, in his "Vocabulary or Collection of Words and Phrases Which Have Been Supposed to be Peculiar to the United States" (1816), hazarded the guess that it might be "a corruption of caulkers', the word meetings being understood," but for this there was no ground save the fact that caulkers, like other workingmen, sometimes had meetings. In 1872 Dr. J. H. Trumbull, one of the earlier American specialists in Indian philology, suggested that the word was more probably derived from the Algonquian noun caucauasu, meaning one who advises, urges or encourages. Caucauasu is to be found in Captain John Smith's "General Historie of Virginia" (1624) in the formidable form of cawcawaassough - but Smith was notoriously weak at spelling, whether of English or of Indian words. Trumbull's suggestion is now generally accepted by etymologists, though with the prudent reservation that it has yet to be proved. Caucauasu is said to have given rise to another early American word, now obsolete. This was cockarouse, signifying a chief or other person of importance. Caucus apparently came in very early in the Eighteenth Century. The Rev. William Gordon, in his "History of the Rise and Independence of the United States" (London, 1788), said that "more than fifty years ago [that is, before 1738] Mr. Samuel Adams's father and twenty others, one or two from the north of the town where the ship business is carried on, used to meet, make a caucus, and lay their plans for introducing certain persons into places of trust and power." Down to 1763 a caucus seems to have been called a caucus-club, for it so appears in John Adams's diary for that year. "Caucusing," explained Gordon, "means electioneering."

From the very earliest days of English colonization the language of the settlers also received accretions from the languages of the other colonizing nations. The French word portage, for example, was already in use before the end of the Seventeenth Century, and soon after came chowder, cache, voyageur, and various words that, like the last-named, have since become localisms or disappeared altogether. Before the Revolution bureau, batteau and prairie were added, and soon afterward came gopher, bogus and flume. Carry-all is also French in origin, despite its English sound. It comes, by folketymology, from the French carriole. So is brave, in the sense of an Indian warrior. But the French themselves borrowed it from the Italian bravo. Other French terms came in after the Louisiana Purchase in 1803. They will be noticed in the next chapter.

The contributions of the New Amsterdam Dutch during the half century of their conflicts with the English included cruller, coleslaw, cookey, stoop, sleigh, span (of horses), dope, spook, to snoop, pit (as in peach-pit), waffle, hook (a point of land), scow, patroon, boss, smearcase and Santa Claus. Schele de Vere credits them with hay-barrack, a corruption of hooiberg. That they established the use of bush as a designation for back-country is very probable; the word has also got into South African English and has been borrowed by Australian English from American. In American it has produced a number of familiar derivatives, e.g., bush-whacker, bush-town, bush-league, busher, bush-ranger and bush-fighting. Dutch may have also given us boodle, in the sense of loot. There is an old English

I Clapin lists 131 loan-words from the French in his Dictionary, but not more than 20 of them would be generally recognized today. Most of the rest survive only along the Canadian border. A curious obsoletism is movey-star, from the French mauvaises terres. It is still used in the translated form of badlands, but movey-star went out many years ago.

2 (a) A chest of drawers, (b) a government office. In both senses the word is rare in England, though its use by the French is familiar. In the United States its use in (b) has

been extended, e.g., in employment-bureau.

3 Cole-slaw was quickly converted into cold-slaw by folk etymology. Thornton's first example of the latter is dated 1794, but it must have appeared earlier. Later on a warmslaw was invented to keep cold-slaw company.
4 From Sant Klaas (Saint Nicholas).

4 From Sant Klaas (Saint Nicholas). Santa Claus has also become familiar to the English, but the Oxford Dictionary still calls it an Americanism. It is always pronounced, of course, Santy Claws.

word, buddle or boodle, signifying a crowd or lot, and it remains familiar in the phrase, whole kit and boodle or whole kit and caboodle, but boodle in the opprobrious American sense is unknown in England save as an Americanism. It may have come from the Dutch boedel, meaning an estate or possession. Dutch also influenced Colonial American in indirect ways, e.g., by giving reinforcement to the Scotch dominie, signifying a clergyman. It may have shared responsibility with the German of the so-called Pennsylvania Dutch for the introduction of dumb in the sense of stupid - dom in Dutch and dumm in German-a meaning almost unknown in England. Certain etymologists have also credited it with statehouse (from stadhuis) but Albert Matthews has demonstrated 1 that the word was in use in Virginia in 1638, fifteen years before a stadhuis was heard of in New Amsterdam. George Philip Krapp suggests in "The English Language in America" that the peculiar American use of scout, as in good scout, may have been suggested by the Dutch. He offers quotations from various authorities to show that in New Amsterdam the schout was a town officer who combined the duties of mayor, sheriff and district attorney. He was thus dreaded by the lower orders of the population, and "a good scout was notable chiefly because of his rarity." On this I attempt no judgment. Dutch, like German, French and Spanish, naturally had its largest influence in those areas where there were many settlers who spoke it as their native tongue. It was taught in the schools of New York until the end of the Dutch occupation in 1664, and it was used in the Dutch Reformed churches of the town for a century afterward. Up the Hudson it survived even longer, and Noah Webster heard Dutch sermons at Albany so late as 1786. Many Dutch terms are still to be found in the geographical nomenclature of the Hudson region, e.g., dorp, kill and hook, and in isolated communities in the Catskills there is still a considerable admixture in the common speech, e.g., clove (ravine), killfish, pinkster (a variety of azalea), speck (fat), fly (swamp), blummie (flower), grilly (chilly), sluck (a swallow of liquid), and wust (sausage).2

sends me a list of curious forms encountered near Kingston, N. Y. It includes pietje-kamaakal (unreasonable), surallikus (so-so), zwok (soft, slippery), connalyer (crowd), klainzaric (untidy), haidang (nothing), onnozel (outland-

The Term State-House, Dialect Notes, Vol. II, Pt. IV, 1902.
Dutch Contributions to the Vocabulary of English in America, by William H. Carpenter, Modern Philology, July, 1908. Mr. Karl von Schlieder of Hackensack, N. J.,

Perhaps the most notable of all the contributions of Knickerbocker Dutch to American is the word Yankee. The earlier etymologists, all of them amateurs, sought an Indian origin for it. Thomas Anbury, a British officer who served in the Revolution with Burgovne, argued in his "Travels" (1789, Ch. II) that it came from a Cherokee word, eankke, meaning a coward or slave; Washington Irving, in "Knickerbocker's History of New York" (1809, Ch. VII) derived it (probably only humorously) from yanokies, "which in the Mais-Tschusaeg or Massachusetts language significs silent men"; and the Rev. John Gottlieb Ernestus Heckewelder, a learned Moravian missionary who published "An Account of the History, Manners and Customs of the Indian Nations Who Once Inhabited Pennsylvania and the Neighboring States" in 1822, maintained therein that it was simply a product of the Indians' unhappy effort to pronounce the word English, which they converted, he said, into Yengees. Noah Webster accepted this guess, but other contemporary authorities held that the word the Indians were trying to pronounce was not English but the French Anglais. There were, however, difficulties in the way of all forms of this theory, for investigation showed that Yankee was apparently first applied, not to the English but to the Dutch. So early as 1683, it was discovered, Yankey was a common nickname among the buccaneers who then raged along the Spanish Main, and always the men who bore it were Dutchmen. Apparently it was derived either from Janke, a diminutive of the common Dutch given name Jan, or from Jankees (pronounced Yoncase), a blend of Jan and Cornelis, two Dutch names which often appear in combination. Analogues in support of the former hypothesis are to be found in the use of dago (Diego) to indicate any Spaniard (and now, by extension, any Italian), and of Heinie or Fritz, Sandy and Pat to indicate any German, Scotsman or Irishman, respectively;

in The English Language in America, adds a few Long Island obsoletisms, e.g., scule, from fiskaal, meaning a public prosecutor (Hempstead Records, 1675–84); morgen, a measure of land (do. 1658); schepel, a bushel (do. 1658); and much, from mutsje, a liquid measure (do. 1673). Morgen survived until 1869, and is to be found in the annual report of the Federal Commissioner of Agriculture for that year.

ish), poozly (whining), feaselick (undesirable), kanaapie (child), aislick (no-account), brigghity (impudent), and bahay, (confusion). That all of these are of Dutch origin is not certain, but some of them seem to be duplicated in the Jersey Dutch once spoken in Bergen and Passaic counties, New Jersey. See The Jersey Dutch Dialect, by J. Dyneley Prince, Dialect Notes, Vol. III, Pt. IV, 1910. Krapp

and for the latter there is reinforcement in such familiar back-formations as Chinee from Chinese, Portugee from Portuguese, tactic from tactics, and specie from species. But how did this nickname for Dutchmen ever come to be applied to Englishmen, and particularly to the people of New England, male and female alike? To this day no satisfactory answer has been made. All that may be said with any certainty is that it was already in use by 1765 as a term of derision, and that by 1775 the Yankees began to take pride in it. In the latter year, in fact, John Turnbull spoke of it in his "McFingal" as connoting "distinction." But he neglected to explain its transfer from Dutch pirates to New England Puritans, and no one has done so to this day. During the Civil War, as everyone knows, Yankee became a term of disparagement again, applied by the people of the South to all Northerners. But its evil significance began to wear off after the turn of the century, and when in 1917 the English began applying it to the men of the A.E.F., Southerners and Northerners alike, the former seem to have borne the affliction philosophically. At that time a characteristic clipped form, Yank, came into popularity at home, launched by its use in George M. Cohan's war song, "Over There." But Yank was not invented by Cohan, for it has been traced back to 1778, and the Confederates often used it during the Civil War. Incidentally, the verb to yank, in the sense of to jerk, is also an Americanism, and its origin is almost as mysterious as that of Yankee. That the two have any connection is doubtful. It seems more probable that the verb comes from a Scottish noun, yank, meaning a sharp, sudden blow.1

The Spanish contributions to the American vocabulary are far more numerous than those of any other Continental language, but most of them have come in since the Louisiana Purchase, and notices of them will be deferred to the next chapter. There was relatively little contact between the first English settlers and the Spaniards to the southward; it remained for the great movement across the plains, begun by Zebulon M. Pike's expedition in 1806, to make Spanish the second language in a large part of the United States. The first Spanish loan-words were mainly Spanish adaptations of

cabulary, by J. F. Bense; Oxford, 1926; and The Dutch Influence on the English Vocabulary, by G. N. Clark, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XLIV, 1935.

of the 46 Dutch loan-words listed by Clapin in his Dictionary only a dozen or so remain in general use. See also A Dictionary of the Low-Dutch Element in the English Vo-

Indian terms, picked up by the early adventurers in the West Indies. Of such sort were tobacco, hammock, tomato, tapioca, chocolate, barbecue and canoe. But with them also came some genuinely Spanish words, and of these sarsaparilla and sassafras have been traced to 1577, alligator to 1568, creole to 1604, pickaninny to 1657, key (islet) to 1697, and quadroon to the first years of the Eightcenth Century. A good many Spanish words, or Spanish adaptations of native words, went into English during the Sixteenth Century without any preliminary apprenticeship as Americanisms, for example, mosquito, chocolate, banana and cannibal. But cockroach (from the Spanish cucaracha, assimilated by folk etymology to cock and roach) is first heard of in Captain John Smith's "General Historic of Virginia" (1624), and most of the Oxford Dictionary's early examples of mosquito are American. Mosquito suffered some fantastic variations in spelling. The original Spanish was mosquito, a diminutive of mosca, a fly, but in Hakluyt's "Voyages" (c. 1583) it became musketa, in Whitbourne's "Newfoundland" (1623) muskeito, in Hughes's "American Physician" (1672) muscato, in Cotton Mather's "Magnalia" (finished in 1697 and published in 1702) moscheto, and at the hands of Benjamin Franklin (1747) musqueto. The jerked in jerked-beef was fashioned by folk-etymology out of a Spanish word which was in turn borrowed from one of the Peruvian dialects. The noun barbecue came from a Haitian word, barbacoa, signifying a frame set up to lift a bed off the ground. But it got the meaning of a frame used for roasting meat soon after it appeared in Spanish, and the derivative word has had its present sense in American since about 1660.

Before the Revolution a few German words worked their way into American, but not many. Sauerkraut has been traced by Thornton to 1789 and is probably much older; so are noodle and pretzel. But lager, bockbeer, sangerfest, kindergarten, wienerwurst, ratskeller, zwieback, turnverein and so on belong to the period after 1848, and will be noticed later. Beer-soup (probably from biersuppe) goes back to 1799, but beer-garden (from biergarten) has not been found before 1870. As I have suggested, German probably helped Dutch to put dumb, in the sense of stupid, into the American vocabulary. It also, I suppose, gave some help to smearcase. The native languages of the Negro slaves, rather curiously, seem to have left few marks upon American. Buckra is apparently of Negro origin, but it was

never peculiar to America, and has long since gone out. Gumbo seems to be derived from an Angolan word, 'ngombo, but it came into American relatively late, and may have been introduced by way of Louisiana French. Okra, which means the same thing, was first used in the West Indies, and may have had a Spanish transition form. Yam is not Negro, but apparently Spanish or Portuguese. Banjo is simply a Negro perversion of bandore, which was also of Latin origin. Whether goober and juba are Negro loan-words is unknown. Thornton's first example of the latter is dated 1834, Webster's New International (1934) ascribes the former, somewhat improbably, to a Congo source, 'nguba, and it may have come from jubilee. Voodoo was borrowed from the Dahoman tovôdoun, still in use in West Africa, but it seems to have come in through the French. Its American corruption, hoodoo, probably owes nothing to the Negroes; moreover, the earliest use of it recorded by Thornton is dated 1889. The early slaves, of course, retained many words and phrases from their native languages, but they have all disappeared from the speech of their descendants today, save for a few surviving in the Gullah dialect of the South Carolina coast.1

2. NEW WORDS OF ENGLISH MATERIAL

Of far more importance than such small borrowings was the great stock of new words that the early colonists coined in English metal — words primarily demanded by the "new circumstances under which they were placed," but also indicative, in more than one case, of a delight in the business for its own sake. The American, even in the Seventeenth Century, already showed many of the characteristics that were to set him off from the Englishman later on — his bold and somewhat grotesque imagination, his contempt for digni-

I "The commonest there," says Reed Smith, in Gullah, Bulletin of the University of South Carolina, Nov. I, 1926, "are the exclamation ki (or kai) to express wonder or to add emphasis to a statement, and buckra for white man. . . . To these may be added nyam, oona, swanga (or swongger), du-du, goober, pinder, cooter, okra, geechy, cymbi, bakalingo (obsoles-

cent), guffer, penepne, da, da-da. Malafee for whiskey has been noted on St. Helena Island." How many of these are actually African I don't know. See also Gullah: a Negro Patois, by John Bennett, South Atlantic Quarterly, Oct., 1908, and Jan., 1909; and The Black Border, by Ambrose E. Gonzalez; Columbia, S. C., 1922. The latter contains a Gullah glossary.

fied authority, his lack of æsthetic sensitiveness, his extravagant humor. Among the first settlers there were a few men of education, culture and gentle birth, but they were soon swamped by hordes of the ignorant and illiterate, and the latter, cut off from the corrective influence of books, soon laid their hands upon the language. It is hard to imagine the austere Puritan divines of Massachusetts inventing such verbs as to cowhide and to logroll, or such adjectives as noaccount and stumped, or such adverbs as no-how and lickety-split, or such substantives as bull-frog, hog-wallow and hoe-cake; but under their eyes there arose a contumacious proletariat which was quite capable of the business, and very eager for it. In Boston, so early as 1628, there was a definite class of blackguard roisterers, chiefly made up of sailors and artisans; in Virginia, nearly a decade earlier, John Pory, secretary to Sir George Yeardley, Deputy Governor, lamented that "in these five months of my continuance here there have come at one time or another eleven sails of ships into this river, but fraighted more with ignorance than with any other marchansize." In particular, the generation born in the New World was uncouth and iconoclastic; the only world it knew was a rough world, and the virtues that environment engendered were not those of niceness, but those of enterprise and resourcefulness.

Upon men of this sort fell the task of bringing the wilderness to the ax and the plow, and with it went the task of inventing a vocabulary for the special needs of the great adventure. Out of their loutish ingenuity came a great number of picturesque names for natural objects, chiefly boldly descriptive compounds: bull-frog, mudhen, cat-bird, cat-fish, musk-rat, razor-back, garter-snake, ground-hog and so on. And out of an inventiveness somewhat more urbane came such coinages as live-oak, potato-bug, turkey-gobbler, sweet-potato, canvas-back, poke-weed, copper-head, eel-grass, reed-bird, eggplant, blue-grass, katy-did, pea-nut, pitch-pine, cling-stone (peach), June-bug, lightning-bug, and butter-nut. Live-oak appears in a document of 1610; bull-frog was familiar to Beverley in 1705; so was James-town weed (later reduced to Jimson weed, as the English hurtleberry or whortleberry was reduced to huckleberry). These early Americans were not botanists. They were often ignorant of the names of the plants that they encountered, even when those

¹ See The Cambridge History of American Literature, Vol. I, pp. 14

plants already had English names, and so they exercised their fancy upon new ones. So arose Johnny-jump-up for the Viola tricolor, and basswood for the common European linden or lime-tree (Tilia), and locust for the Robinia pseudacacia and its allies. The Jimson weed itself was anything but a novelty, but the pioneers apparently did not recognize it as the Datura stramonium, and so we find Beverley reporting that "some Soldiers, eating it in a Salad, turn'd natural Fools upon it for several Days." The grosser features of the landscape got a lavish renaming, partly to distinguish new forms and partly out of an obvious desire to attain a more literal descriptiveness. I have mentioned key and hook, the one borrowed from the Spanish and the other from the Dutch. With them came branch, fork, run (stream), bluff, cliff, neck, barrens, bottoms, watershed, foot-hill, hollow, water-gap, under-brush, bottom-land, clearing, notch, divide, knob, riffle, rolling-country and rapids, and the extension of pond from artificial pools to small natural lakes, and of creek from small arms of the sea to shallow feeders of rivers. Such common English topographical terms as down, weald, wold, fen, bog, fell, chase, combe, dell, tarn, common, heath and moor disappeared from the colonial tongue, save as fossilized in a few localisms and proper names.1 So did bracken.

With the new landscape came an entirely new mode of life — new foods, new forms of habitation, new methods of agriculture, new kinds of hunting. A great swarm of neologisms thus arose, and, as in the previous case, they were chiefly compounds. Back-woods, back-street, back-lane, back-land, back-log, back-country, back-field, back-line and back-settler were all in common use before the Revolution. Back-log was used by Increase Mather in 1684, and back-street has been traced to 1638. Log-house appears in the Maryland Archives for 1669. Hoe-cake, Johnny-cake (originally Shawnee-cake or -bread), pan-fish, corn-dodger, roasting-ear, corn-crib, and pop-corn all belong to the colonial period. So do pine-knot, snow-plow, cold-snap, land-slide, ash-can, bob-sled, fox-grape, apple-

I For example, Chevy Chase, Boston Common, the Back Bay Fens, and cranberry-bog.

2 A long list of compounds based on back, from the collection of the editorial board of the Dictionary of American English, is to be found in American Speech, Oct., 1930. It runs to no less than 120 terms, all of them of American origin. In addition, there is a list of eleven peculiarly American uses of back as a verb, and five of its uses as an adjective.

3 Log-cabin came later. Thornton's first quotation is dated 1818. The Log-Cabin campaign was in 1840. butter, salt-lick, prickly-heat, shell-road, worm-fence and cane-brake. Shingle, in the American sense, was a novelty in 1705, but one S. Symonds wrote to John Winthrop, of Ipswich, about a clap-boarded house in 1637. Frame-house seems to have come in with shingle. Selectman is first heard of in 1685, displacing the English alderman. Mush had displaced porridge in general use by 1671. Hired-man is to be found in the Plymouth town records of 1737, and hired-girl followed soon after. So early as 1758, as we find in the diary of Nathaniel Ames, the second-year students at Harvard were already called sophomores, though for a while the spelling was often made sophimores. Camp-meeting was later; it did not appear until 1799. But land-office was familiar before 1700, and side-walk, spelling-bee, bee-line, moss-back, crazy-quilt, stamping-ground and a hundred and one other such compounds were in daily use before the Revolution. After that great upheaval the new money of the confederation brought in a number of new words. In 1782 Gouverneur Morris proposed to the Continental Congress that the coins of the Republic be called, in ascending order, unit, penny-bill, dollar and crown. Later Morris invented the word cent, substituting it for the English penny. In 1785 Jefferson, after playing with such terms as pistarine and piece-of-eight, proposed mill, cent, disme, dollar and eagle, and this nomenclature was made official by the Act Establishing a Mint, approved April 2, 1792. Jefferson apparently derived disme from the French word dixième, meaning a tenth, and the original pronunciation seems to have been deem. But dime soon supplanted it.1

Various nautical terms peculiar to America, or taken into English from American sources, came in during the Eighteenth Century, among them, schooner, cat-boat, mud-scow and pungy. According to an historian of the American merchant marine,² the first schooner even seen was launched at Gloucester, Mass., in 1713. The word, it appears, was originally spelled scooner. To scoon was a verb borrowed by the New Englanders from some Scotch dialect, and meant

I am indebted here to Mr. Maury Maverick, of San Antonio, Tex., a diligent searcher of the early laws of the Republic. A thorough investigation of them might yield materials of value to the philologian. Some of the early town-records were explored by George Philip Krapp (The English Language in America, Vol. II), but his

interest was in pronunciation rather than in vocabulary. There are leads to other material in E. G. Swem's monumental Virginia Historical Index; Roanoke Va., 1934, which indexes words as well as names.

2 Willian Brown Meloney: The Heritage of Tyre, New York, 1916, p. 15. to skim or skip across the water like a flat stone. As the first schooner left the ways and glided out into Gloucester harbor, an enraptured spectator shouted: "Oh, see how she scoons!" "A scooner let her be!" replied Captain Andrew Robinson, her builder—and all boats of her peculiar and novel fore-and-aft rig took the name thereafter. The Scotch verb came from the Norse skunna, to hasten, and there are analogues in Icelandic, Anglo-Saxon and Old High German. The origin of cat-boat, bug-eye and pungy I have been unable to determine. Perhaps the last-named is related in some way to pung, a one-horse sled or wagon. Pung was once widely used in the United States, but later sank to the estate of a New England provincialism. Longfellow used it, and in 1857 a writer in the Knickerbocker Magazine reported that pungs filled Broadway, in New York, after a snow-storm.

The early Americans showed that spacious disregard for linguistic nicety which has characterized their descendants ever since. They reduced verb-phrases to simple verbs, turned verbs into nouns, nouns into verbs, and adjectives into either or both. Pickering, in his Vocabulary (1816) made a belated protest against the reduction of the English law-phrase, to convey by deed, to to deed, and argued solemnly that no self-respecting attorney would employ it, but American attorneys had actually been employing it for years, and they continue to do so to this day. So with to table for to lay on the table. To tomahawk appeared before 1650, and to scalp must have followed soon after. Within the next century and a half they were reinforced by many other such verbs, and by such adjectives made of nouns as no-account and one-horse, and such nouns made of verbs as carry-all and goner, and such adverbs as no-how. In particular, the manufacture of new verbs went on at a rapid pace. In his letter to Webster in 1789 Franklin deprecated to advocate, to progress and to oppose - a vain caveat, for all of them are now in perfectly good usage. To advocate, indeed, was used by Thomas Nashe in 1589, and by John Milton half a century later, but it seems to have been reinvented in America. In 1822 and again in 1838 Robert Southey, then Poet Laureate, led two belated attacks upon it, as a barbarous Americanism, but its obvious usefulness preserved it, and it remains

Despite his implacable hostility to American innovations, Southey was himself a busy inventor of uncouth neologisms. In The Doctor (1834-

^{37),} says George H. McKnight in Modern English in the Making, he used agathokakological, cacodemonize, dendanthropology, gelastics,

in good usage on both sides of the Atlantic today — one of the earliest of the English borrowings from America. In the end, indeed, even so ardent a purist as Richard Grant White adopted it, as he did to placate.

Webster, though he agreed with Franklin in opposing to advocate, gave his imprimatur to to appreciate (i.e., to rise in value) and to obligate and is credited by Sir Charles Lyell 1 with having himself claimed the invention of to demoralize. In a letter to Thomas Dawes, dated August 5, 1809,2 he said that he had also "enriched the vocabulary" with absorbable, accompaniment, acidulous, achromatic, adhesiveness, adjutancy, admissibility, advisory, amendable, animalize, aneurismal, antithetical, appellor, appreciate, appreciation, arborescent, arborization, ascertainable, bailee, bailment, indorser, indorsee, prescriptive, imprescriptible, statement, insubordination, expenditure, subsidize, "and other elegant and scientific terms, now used by the best writers in Great Britain and America." But most of these, though he could not find them in Samuel Johnson's Dictionary (1755), were already in English before he began to write dictionaries himself, and some were very old. To antagonize seems to have been given currency by John Quincy Adams, to immigrate by John Marshall, to eventuate by Gouverneur Morris, and to derange by George Washington. Jefferson, as we saw in Chapter I, Section 2, used to belittle in his "Notes on Virginia," and Thornton thinks that he coined it. Many new verbs were made by the simple process of prefixing the preposition to common nouns, e.g., to clerk, to dicker, to dump, to negative, to blow (i.e., to bluster or boast), to cord (i.e., wood), to stump, to room and to shin. Others arose as metaphors, e.g., to whitewash (figuratively) and to squat (on unoccupied land). Others were made by hitching suffixes to nouns, or by groping for roots, e.g., to deputize, to locate, to legislate, to infract, to com-

kittenship, magnisonant and critikin, and even in the Quarterly Review, the very G.H.Q. of anti-American pedantry, he used donivorous, humgig, frizzgig and evangelizationeer.

In his Travels in North America in the Years 1841–42; London, 1845; New York, 1852, p. 53.

New York, 1852, p. 53.

It is reprinted in The Beginnings of American English, by M. M. Mathews; Chicago, 1931, p. 48 ff.

³ The Oxford Dictionary traces amendable to 1589, antithetical to 1583, imprescriptible to 1562, bailment to 1554, bailee to 1528 and appellor to c. 1400. The following were also in use before Webster's time: absorbable, 1779; admissibility, 1778; statement, 1775; acidulous, 1769; achromatic, 1766; aneurismal, 1757; accompaniment, 1756; indorsee, 1754; animalize, 1741; arborescent, 1675.

promit and to happify. Yet others seem to have been produced by onomatopæia, e.g., to fizzle, or to have arisen by some other such spontaneous process, so far unintelligible, e.g., to tote. With them came an endless series of verb-phrases, e.g., to draw a bead, to face the music, to darken one's doors, to take to the woods, to fly off the handle, to go on the war-path and to saw wood—all obvious products of pioneer life. Many coinages of the pre-Revolutionary era later disappeared. Jefferson used to ambition, but it dropped out nevertheless. So did conflagrative, though a president of Yale gave it his imprimatur. So did to compromit (i.e., to compromise), to homologize and to happify.¹ Fierce battles raged round some of these words, and they were all violently derided in England. Even so useful a verb as to locate, now in quite respectable usage, was denounced in the third volume of the North American Review, and other purists of the times tried to put down to legislate.

The young and tender adjectives had quite as hard a row to hoe, particularly lengthy. The British Critic attacked it in November, 1793, and it also had enemies at home, but John Adams had used it in his diary in 1759 and the authority of Jefferson and Hamilton was behind it, and so it survived. By 1816, indeed, Jeremy Bentham was using it in England. Years later James Russell Lowell spoke of it as "the excellent adjective," and boasted that American had given it to English. Dutiable also met with opposition, and moreover it had a rival, customable; but Marshall wrote it into his historic decisions, and thus it took root. The same anonymous watchman of the North American Review who protested against to locate pronounced his anathema upon "such barbarous terms as presidential and congressional," but the plain need for them kept them in the language. Gubernatorial had come in long before this, and is to be found in

I Thornton's last example of the use of to compromit is dated 1842; of to happify, 1857, and of to ambition, 1861. So far as I know, no one has ever attempted to compile anything approaching a complete list of obsolete Americanisms, but a number are given in The English Language in America, by George Philip Krapp, Vol. I, Ch. II. They include spong, a strip of meadow; bolts, timber cut into lengths; while in the sense of until; hole, a syn-

onym of spong (it survives in a few geographical names, e.g., Woods Hole); shruffe, the undergrowth of a swamp; cohoss, a bend in a river; folly, a word of undetermined meaning, maybe from the Dutch vallje, a little valley; seater, a settler; and crickthatch, salt-water grass. Some of these were old English words that survived in America longer than in England.

2 The Biglow Papers, Series II, 1866, pref.

the New Jersey Archives of 1734. Influential was denounced by the Rev. Jonathan Boucher and by George Canning, who argued that influent was better, but it was ardently defended by William Pinkney, of Maryland, and gradually made its way. Handy, kinky, law-abiding, chunky, solid (in the sense of well-to-do), evincive, complected, judgmatical, underpinned, blooded and cute were also already secure in revolutionary days. So with many nouns. Jefferson used breadstuffs in his Report of the Secretary of State on Commercial Restrictions, December 16, 1793. Balance in the sense of remainder, got into the debates of the First Congress. Mileage was used by Franklin in 1754, and is now sound English. Elevator, in the sense of a storage house for grain, was used by Jefferson and by others before him. Draw, for drawbridge, comes down from revolutionary days. So does slip, in the sense of a berth for vessels. So does addition, in the sense of a suburb. So, finally, does darky.

The history of these Americanisms shows how vain is the effort of grammarians to combat the normal processes of language development. I have mentioned the opposition to dutiable, influential, presidential, lengthy, to locate, to oppose, to advocate, to legislate and to progress. Bogus, reliable and standpoint were attacked with the same academic ferocity. All of them are to be found in William Cullen Bryant's celebrated Index Expurgatorius (c. 1870),⁸ and

In a letter to his sister Hannah, dated May 30, 1831, Macaulay told her of a visit to Holland House and a conversation with Lady Holland, who objected to various words, beginning with constituency, and going on to influential, talented and gentlemanly. Macaulay argued in favor of talented, saying that its root "first appeared in theological writing," and was taken from the Parable of the Talents. "She seemed surprised by this theory," he wrote to his sister, "never having, so far as I could judge, heard of the parable." Talented is sometimes listed as an Americanism, but it actually arose in England, c. 1825.

2 Franklin, incidentally, also invented the harmonica and its name. But his harmonica was not the mouthorgan that we know today, but a sort of improvement on the old musical-glasses. Moreover, he called it the armonica, not the harmonica. This was in 1762. The term went over into English very quickly, and had ceased to be an Americanism before 1800. Later it seems to have become changed to harmonicon. But the prevalent present form is harmonica, and it now designates not only a mouth-organ, but also one of the organ-stops. The Oxford Dictionary's first example of mouthorgan is dated c. 1668. In Germany, where most mouth-organs come from, the instrument is called the harmonika. Whether the name was borrowed from Franklin or invented independently I do not know.

3 Reprinted in Helpful Hints in Writing and Reading, comp. by Grenville Kleiser; New York, 1911, pp. 15-17.

reliable was denounced by Bishop Coxe as "that abominable barbarism" so late as 1886.¹ Edward S. Gould, another uncompromising purist, said of standpoint that it was "the bright particular star . . . of solemn philological blundering" and "the very counterpart of Dogberry's non-com." 2 Gould also protested against to jeopardize, leniency and to demean, though the last named was very old in English in the different sense of to conduct oneself, and Richard Grant White joined him in an onslaught upon to donate. But all these words are in good usage in the United States today, and some of them have gone over into English.

3. CHANGED MEANINGS

The early Americans also made a great many new words by changing the meaning of old ones. The cases of pond and creek I have already mentioned. To squat, in the sense of to crouch, had been sound English for centuries, but they gave it the meaning of to settle on land without the authority of the owner, and from it the noun squatter quickly emanated. Of another familiar Americanism Krapp says:

The method of portioning out the common lands to the townsmen of the first New England communities has led to the general American use of lot to designate a limited section of land. . . . The town of Lunenburg (1721) paid for "Travil and Expenc When The Lotts Were Drawn at Concord," and the records contain a list of all the lots in the town with "the names of those That first Drew them.". . . In the Norwalk Records (1671) the agreement is recorded that "all those men that now draw lots with their neighbors shall stand to their lots that now they draw.". . . From this usage was derived also the popular saying, to cut across lots.⁸

 A. Cleveland Coxe: Americanisms in England, Forum, Oct., 1886.
 Edward S. Gould: Good English,

2 Edward S. Gould: Good English, or Popular Errors in Language; New York, 1867, pp. 25–27. So recently as 1918 an Anglophil reviewer denounced me for using it in a book, and hinted that I had borrowed it from the German standpunkt.

3 The English Language in America Vol. I, pp. 85-6. Lott appears in the Connecticut Code of 1650. See the edition of Andrus: Hartford, 1822. On page 35 is "their landes, lotts and accommodations." On page 46 is "meadow and home lotts." American conveyancers, in describing real property, still usually speak of "all that lot or parcel of land," though in the Southwest, so I am told by Mr. Maury Maverick, the more prosaic "the following described real estate" is coming in. Lot has begotten a number of derivations, e.g., back-lots, buildinglot, front-lot, side-lot, pasture-lot, garden-lot, house-lot and house and lot.

Other examples of the application of old words to new purposes are afforded by freshet, barn and team. A freshet, in Eighteenth Century English, meant any stream of fresh water; the colonists made it signify an inundation. A barn was a house or shed for storing crops; in the colonies the word came to mean a place for keeping cattle also. A team, in English, was a pair of draft horses; in the colonies it came to mean both horses and vehicle, though the former meaning, reinforced, survived in the tautological phrase, double team. The process is even more clearly shown in the history of such words as corn and shoe. Corn, in orthodox English, means grain for human consumption, and especially wheat, e.g., the Corn Laws. The earliest settlers, following this usage, gave the name of Indian corn to what the Spaniards, following the Indians themselves, had called maiz. The term appears in Bradford's "History of Plimouth Plantation" (1647) and in Mourt's "Relation" (1622). But gradually the adjective fell off, and by the middle of the Eighteenth Century maize was called simply corn and grains in general were called breadstuffs. Thomas Hutchinson, discoursing to George III in 1774, used corn in this restricted sense, speaking of "rye and corn mixed." "What corn?" asked George. "Indian corn," explained Hutchinson, "or, as it is called in authors, maize." 1 So with shoe. In English it meant (and still means) a topless article of footwear, but the colonists extended its meaning to varieties covering the ankle, thus displacing the English boot, which they reserved for foot coverings reaching at least to the knee. To designate the English shoe they began to use the word slipper. This distinction between English and American usage still prevails, despite the fashion which has sought to revive boot in the United States, and with it its derivatives, bootshop and boot-maker.

Store, shop, lumber, pie, dry-goods, cracker, rock and partridge among nouns and to haul, to jew, to notify and to heft among verbs

I Hutchinson's Diary, Vol. I, p. 171; London, 1883-6. A great many derivatives go back to the same era, e.g., corn-busk, corn-shuck, corn-crib, corn-stalk, corn-broom, corn-brake, corn-fitter, corn-fodder, corn-grater, corn-book, corn-juice, corn-knife, corn-starch, pop-corn, corn-cob, corn-cake, corn-pone, corn-cutter, corn-dodger, corn-fed, corn-meal, corn-snake. Some of these have since become obsolete. "The American colonists," says Allen Walker Read in The Comment of British Travelers on Early American Terms Relating to Agriculture, Agricultural History, July, 1933, "have never taken kindly to the word [maize]. . . . Even today [it] is wholly a book word in America."

offer further examples of changed meanings. Down to the middle of the Eighteenth Century shop continued to designate a small retail establishment in America, as it does in England to this day. Store was applied only to a large establishment - one showing, in some measure, the character of a warehouse. But in 1774 a Boston young man was advertising in the Massachusetts Spy for "a place as a clerk in a store" (three Americanisms in a row!). Soon afterward shop began to acquire its special American meaning of a factory, e.g., machine-shop. Meanwhile store completely displaced shop in the English sense, and it remained for a late flowering of Anglomania, as in the case of boot and shoe, to restore, in a measure, the status quo ante. Lumber, in Eighteenth Century English, meant disused goods, and this is its common meaning in England today, as is shown by lumber-room. But the colonists early employed it to designate cut timber, and that use of it is now universal in America. Its familiar derivatives, e.g., lumber-yard, lumber-man, lumber-jack, greatly reinforce this usage. Dry-goods, in England, means, "nonliquid goods, as corn" (i.e., wheat); in the United States the term means "textile fabrics, cottons, woolens, linens, silks, laces, etc." 1 The difference had appeared before 1725. Rock, in English, always means a large mass; in America it may mean a small stone, as in rock-pile and to throw a rock. The Puritans were putting rocks into the foundations of their meeting houses so early as 1712.2 Cracker began to be used for biscuit before the Revolution. Tavern displaced inn at the same time. In England partridge is applied only to the true partridge (Perdix perdix) and its nearly related varieties, but in the United States it is also often used to designate the ruffed grouse (Bonasa umbellus), the common quail (Colinus virginianus), and various other tetraonid birds. This confusion goes back to colonial times. So with rabbit. Zoölogically speaking, there are no native rabbits in the United States; they are all hares. But the early colonists, for some unknown reason, dropped the word hare out of their vocabulary, and it is rarely heard in American speech to this day. When it appears it is almost always applied to the so-called Belgian hare, which, curiously enough, is not a hare at all, but a

The definitions are from the Concise Oxford Dictionary of Current English (1914) and Webster's New International Dictionary (1934), respectively.

² Samuel Sewall: Diary, April 14, 1712: "I lay'd a Rock in the Northeast corner of the Foundation of the Meeting-House."

true rabbit. Bay and bay berry early acquired special American meanings. In England bay is used to designate the bay-tree (Laurus nobilis); in America it designates a shrub, the wax myrtle (Myrica cerifera). Both the tree and the shrub have berries, and those of the latter are used to make the well-known bay berry candles. Other botanical and zoölogical terms to which the colonists gave new significances are blackbird, beech, hemlock, lark, laurel, oriole, swallow and walnut.

To haul, in English, means to move by force or violence; in the colonies it came to mean to transport in a vehicle, and this meaning survives in American. To jew, in English, means to cheat; the colonists made it mean to haggle, and devised to jew down to indicate an effort to work a reduction in price. To heft, in English, means to lift up; the early Americans made it mean to weigh by lifting, and kept the idea of weighing in its derivatives, e.g., hefty. Finally, there is the vulgar American misuse of Miss or Mis' (pro. miz) for Mrs. It was so widespread by 1790 that on November 17 of that year Webster denounced it as "a gross impropriety" in the American Mercury. The schoolmarm has made war on it ever since, but it survives unscathed in the speech of the common people.

4. ARCHAIC ENGLISH WORDS

Most of the colonists who lived along the American seaboard in 1750 were the descendants of immigrants who had come in fully a century before; after the first settlements there had been much less fresh immigration than many latter-day writers have assumed. According to Prescott F. Hall, "the population of New England . . . at the date of the Revolutionary War . . . was produced out of an immigration of about 20,000 persons who arrived before 1640," 1 and we have Franklin's authority for the statement

movement of colonists quitting the colony." Richard Hildreth, in his History of the United States, Vol. I, p. 267, says that the departures actually exceeded the arrivals. See also The Founding of New England, by James Truslow Adams; Boston, 1921, p. 221 ff.

I Immigration, 2nd ed.; New York, 1913, p. 4. Sir J. R. Seeley says, in The Expansion of England (2nd ed.; London, 1895, p. 84) that the emigration from England to New England, after the meeting of the Long Parliament (1640), was so slight for a full century that it barely balanced "the counter-

that the total population of the colonies in 1751, then about 1,000,ooo, had been produced from an original immigration of less than 80,000. Even at that early day, indeed, the colonists had begun to feel that they were distinctly separated, in culture and customs, from the mother country, and there were signs of the rise of a new native aristocracy, entirely distinct from the older aristocracy of the royal governors' courts.1 The enormous difficulties of communication with England helped to foster this sense of separation. The round trip across the ocean occupied the better part of a year, and was hazardous and expensive; a colonist who had made it was a marked man - as Hawthorne said, "the petit maître of the colonies." Nor was there any very extensive exchange of ideas, for though most of the books read in the colonies came from England, the great majority of the colonists, down to the middle of the century, seem to have read little save the Bible and biblical commentaries, and in the native literature of the time one seldom comes upon any reference to the English authors who were glorifying the period of the Restoration and the reign of Anne. "No allusion to Shakespeare," says Bliss Perry,2 "has been discovered in the colonial literature of the Seventeenth Century, and scarcely an allusion to the Puritan poet Milton." Benjamin Franklin's brother, James, had a copy of Shakespeare at the New England Courant office in Boston, but Benjamin himself seems to have made little use of it, for there is not a single quotation from or mention of the bard in all his voluminous works.8 "The Harvard College Library in 1723," says Perry, "had

I Sydney George Fisher: The True Story of the American Revolution; Phila. and London, 1902, p. 27. See also John T. Morse's Life of Thomas Jefferson in the American Statesmen series (Boston and New York, 1898), p. 2. Morse points out that Washington, Jefferson and Madison belonged to this new aristocracy, not to the old one.

² The American Spirit in Literature; New Haven, 1918, p. 61.

3 Since this statement appeared in my last edition Mr. Meyer Isenberg of Chicago has called my attention to what may be an echo of Shakespeare in Poor Richard's Almanac, 1735: "A ship under sail and a big-bellied woman are the handsomest things that can be seen in common." Mr.

Isenberg believes that this may have been suggested by Titania's speech in A Midsummer Night's Dream, Act II, Sc. 1, beginning "Set your heart at rest." But even if this be true, Franklin may have encountered the idea at second hand. He said in his Autobiography: "At the time I established myself in Philadelphia (1723) there was not a good bookseller's shop in any of the colonies to the southward of Boston. In New York and Philadelphia the printers were indeed stationers, but they sold only paper, almanacs, ballads, and a few common schoolbooks. Those who loved reading were obliged to send for their books to England."

nothing of Addison, Steele, Bolingbroke, Dryden, Pope and Swift, and had only recently obtained copies of Milton and Shakespeare.

... Franklin reprinted 'Pamela' and his Library Company of Philadelphia had two copies of 'Paradise Lost' for circulation in 1741, but there had been no copy of that work in the great library of Cotton Mather." Moreover, after 1760, the eyes of the colonists were upon France rather than upon England, and Rousseau, Montesquieu, Voltaire and the Encyclopedists began to be familiar names to thousands who were scarcely aware of Addison and Steele, or even of the great Elizabethans.

During the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries England was wracked by a movement to standardize the language, alike in vocabulary, in pronunciation and in spelling, and it went far enough to set up artificial standards that still survive.2 The great authority of Samuel Johnson gave heavy support to this movement, though he was wise enough in the preface to his Dictionary (1735) to admit somewhat sadly that "sounds are too volatile and subtile for legal restraints" and that "to enchain syllables, and to lash the winds, are equally the undertakings of pride, unwilling to measure its desires by its strength." But Johnson could never resist the temptation to pontificate, and so he thundered idiotically against to wobble, to bamboozle, to swap, to budge, to coax, touchy, stingy, swimmingly, fib, banter, fop, row (in the sense of a disturbance) and even fun and chaperon, all of them then somewhat novel.8 He also permitted himself to read the death-warrants of many archaisms that were not really archaisms at all, for example, glee, jeopardy and to smoulder. The Americans, in the main, were cut off from this double policing, and in consequence they went on making new words freely and cherishing old ones that had come under the ban in England. A minority along the coast, to be sure, tried to keep up with the latest

r See The Cambridge History of American Literature, Vol. I, p. 119. Francis Jeffrey, writing on Franklin in the Edinburgh Review for July, 1806, hailed him as a prodigy who had arisen "in a society where there was no relish and no encouragement for literature."

2 There is a detailed and excellent account of it in Modern English in the Making, by George H. Mc-Knight; New York, 1028, Ch. XI ff.
3 See Adjectives — and Other Words. by Ernest Weekley; London, 1930, p. 37. Also, McKnight, pp. 372 and 412. McKnight says that among the other words "branded at one time or another by Eighteenth Century purists either as cant or as slang or as 'low'" were banter, cocksure, dumbfounded, enthusiasm, extra, flimsy, flippant, flirtation, gambling, helter-skelter, bumbug, jilt, mob, nervous, pell-mell, prig, quandary, shabby, sham, shuffle, topsy-turvy, touchy, turtle and twang.

dictates of English fashion, but it was never large, and its speech habits had but small influence upon those of the majority. There was obviously only rhetoric in James Russell Lowell's saying that "our ancestors, unhappily, could bring over no English better than Shakespeare's," for relatively few of them had ever heard of Shakespeare, and to even fewer was he anything more than a vague name; 1 but it is nevertheless a fact that their way of using the language had something in it of his glorious freedom and spaciousness.2 If they had any written guide it was the King James Bible (1611). Whenever an English reform or innovation percolated to them they were inclined to remain faithful to the sacred text, not only because of its pious authority but also because of the superior pull of its imminent and constant presence. Thus when fashionable prudery in English ordered the abandonment of the Anglo-Saxon sick for the later and more elegant ill, the colonists refused to follow, for sick was in both the Old Testament and the New; and that refusal remains in force to this day.

A large number of words and phrases, many of them now ex-

1 Sir William Craigie discusses this matter in The Study of American English, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXVII, 1927, p. 199 ff. "The colonists," he says, "were too few in number, and many of them too unlettered, to bring with them the whole of that marvellous language of which even Shakespeare could not exhaust the riches. It may safely be said that no colony ever carries with it the whole of its mother tongue, and this is all the more certain when that tongue has attained a high level of literary development. ... It is necessary to draw a distinction between the potential and actual possession of the colonists and their immediate descendants. They had a heritage which was larger than they were able to oc-cupy to the full."

2 McKnight, Ch. X, deals with Shakespeare's English at length. It was by no means untutored; in fact, the Bard was a consummate master of the rhetoric fashionable in the London of his time. But his genius was too vast to endure its bonds, and so he helped himself

to the riches of the common speech. "The effect produced" says Mc-Knight, "is like that of the re-newed metaphors to be heard in modern times in the speech of the frontier, where, free from the blighting influence of learning, forms of language are created afresh." All the characters that purists complain of in modern American are to be found in full flower in Elizabethan English - the bold interchange of parts of speech, the copious coinage of neologisms, the disregard of nice inflections, the free use of prepositions, and the liking for loan-words. "The gen-eral impression," says McKnight, "is that of a language little governed as yet by rules, but on account of its very irregularity, flexible, and, therefore, adaptable to the expression of varied meaning." See also Shakespeare's English, by George Gordon, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXIX, 1928.

3 Examples of its use in the American sense are to be found in Gen. XLVIII, 1; II Kings VIII, 7; John XI, 1, and Acts IX, 37.

clusively American, are similar survivals from the English of the Seventeenth Century, long since obsolete or merely provincial in England. Among nouns Thornton notes fox-fire, flap-jack, jeans, molasses, shoat, beef (to designate the live animal), chinch, cordwood and home-spun; Halliwell adds andiron, bay-window, cesspool, clodhopper, cross-purposes, greenhorn, loop-hole, ragamuffin and trash; and other authorities cite stock (for cattle), fall (for autumn), offal, din, underpinning and adze. Bub, used in addressing a boy, is very old English, but survives only in American. Flap-jack goes back to "Piers Plowman," but has been obsolete in England for two centuries. Muss, in the sense of a row, is also obsolete over there, but it is to be found in "Antony and Cleopatra." Char, as a noun, disappeared from Standard English long ago, save in the compound, charwoman, but it survives in American as chore. Among the verbs similarly preserved are to whittle, to wilt and to approbate. To guess, in the American sense of to suppose, is to be found in "Henry VI":

> Not all together; better far, I guess, That we do make our entrance several ways.

In "Measure for Measure" Escalus says "I guess not" to Angelo. The Oxford Dictionary offers examples much older — from Chaucer, Wycliffe and Gower. To loan, in the American sense of to lend, is in 34 and 35 Henry VIII, but it dropped out of use in England early in the Eighteenth Century, and all the leading dictionaries, in both English and American, now call it an Americanism. To fellowship, once in good American use but now reduced to a provincialism, is in Chaucer. Even to hustle, it appears, is ancient. Among adjectives, homely was used in its American sense of plainfeatured by both Shakespeare and Milton. Other such survivors are burly, catty-conered, likely, deft, copious, scant and ornate. Perhaps clever also belongs to this category, that is, in the American sense of amiable.

Most of the English archaisms surviving in American seem to be derived from the dialects of Eastern and Southern England, from which regions, in fact, a large percentage of the original English

I J. O. Halliwell (Phillips): A Dictionary of Archaisms and Provincialisms, Containing Words Now Obsolete in England All of Which are Familiar and in Common Use

in America, 2nd ed.; London, 1850. See also Gilbert M. Tucker's American English; New York, 1921, p. 39 ff. settlers came. Sir William Craigie says 1 that in New England three areas are chiefly represented - Yorkshire and Lincolnshire, East Anglia, and the Southwestern counties. The Rev. Edward Gepp, of Colchester, who has made comparative studies of the Essex dialect and the common speech of the United States, says that the latter shows a "striking absence of words and forms characteristic of Scotland, and of the North and West of England." 2 Since the early colonial period there has been an accession of Northern forms, chiefly through the so-called Scotch-Irish influence, but the older archaisms are nearly all Southern or Eastern. Another English observer, the Rev. H. T. Armfield, has found many Essex place-names in New England, among them, Hedingham, Topsfield, Wethersfield, Braintree, Colchester, Haverhill and Billerica.3 Among the vulgar forms now common in the United States which still survive in the Essex dialect Mr. Gepp notes kilter, kiver, yarb, ary, nary, ellum, tonguey, pesky, snicker, bimeby, cowcumber, invite (for invitation) and hoss, and the verbs to argify, to slick up and to scrimp. His word-lists also show a number of words that are now good American, e.g., chump, given-name and heft. But such archaisms are naturally most common on the lower levels of speech, and in remote and uncultured settlements. "It is a commonplace of the study of cultural history," says George Philip Krapp,4 "that isolated communities tend to remain relatively stable. They retain their customs, their occupations, their speech, all their cultural traditions, very much as they were at the time when the members of the community seated themselves within the confines of the prison house which they call their home." Thus it is no wonder that the American spoken by the mountaineers of Appalachia shows an unusually large admixture of ancient forms, usually English but often Scottish, not only in its vocabulary, but also in its syntax and pronunciation. Archaic forms continue to flourish in such remote regions just as the Rheno-Franconian dialect of the Seventeenth Century survives among the more bucolic Germans of the lower counties of Pennsylvania. We shall encounter some of them in Chapter VII.

р. 296.

The Study of American English, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXVII, 1927, D. 201.

² A Contribution to an Essex Dialect Dictionary, Supplement III; Colchester, 1922.

Transactions of the Essex Archæological Society, Vol. IV, N.S., 1893.
 Is American English Archaic?, Southwest Review, Summer, 1927,

IV

THE PERIOD OF GROWTH

I. A NEW NATION IN THE MAKING

Sufficient evidence has been set forth, I take it, to show that the Énglish of the United States had begun to be recognizably differentiated from the English of England by the opening of the Nineteenth Century. But as yet its free proliferation was impeded by two factors, the first being the lack of a national literature of any expanse and dignity and the second being an internal political disharmony which greatly conditioned and enfeebled the national solidarity. During the actual Revolution common aims and common dangers forced the Americans to show a more or less united front, but once they had achieved political independence they developed conflicting interests, and out of those conflicting interests came suspicions and hatreds which came near wrecking the new Confederation more than once. Politically, their worst weakness, perhaps, was an inability to detach themselves wholly from the struggle for domination then going on in Europe. The surviving Loyalists of the revolutionary era - estimated by some authorities to have constituted fully a third of the total population in 1776 were ardently in favor of England, and such patriots as Jefferson were as ardently in favor of France. This engrossment in the rivalries of foreign nations was what Washington warned against in his Farewell Address. It was at the bottom of such bitter animosities as that between Jefferson and Hamilton. It inspired and perhaps excused the pessimism of such men as Burr. Its net effect was to make it difficult for the people of the new nation to think of themselves, politically and culturally, as Americans pure and simple.1 Their

r According to R. E. Spiller (The American in England During the First Half Century of Independence; New York, 1926), Benjamin Silliman's Journal of Travels in England, Holland, and Scotland, and of Two Passages Over the Atlantic, in the Years 1805 and 1806; New York, 1810, was "the first book of travels by an American which atstate of mind, vacillating, uncertain, alternately timorous and pugnacious, has been well described by Henry Cabot Lodge in his essay on "Colonialism in America." Soon after the Treaty of Paris was signed, someone referred to the late struggle, in Franklin's hearing, as the War for Independence. "Say, rather, the War of the Revolution," said Franklin. "The War for Independence is yet to be fought."

"That struggle," adds B. J. Lossing in "Our Country" (1873), "occurred, and that independence was won, by the Americans in the War of 1812." In the interval the New Republic had passed through a period of Sturm und Drang whose gigantic perils and passions we have begun to forget - a period in which disaster ever menaced, and the foes within were no less bold and pertinacious than the foes without. Jefferson, perhaps, carried his fear of "monocrats" to the point of monomania, but under it there was undoubtedly a body of sound fact. The poor debtor class (including probably a majority of the veterans of the Revolution) had been fired by the facile doctrines of the French Revolution to demands which threatened the country with bankruptcy and anarchy,2 and the class of property-owners, in reaction, went far to the other extreme. On all sides, indeed, there flourished a strong British party, and particularly in New England, where the so-called codfish aristocracy (by no means extinct today) exhibited an undisguised Anglomania, and looked forward confidently to a rapprochement with the mother country.8 This Anglomania showed itself, not only

tempted to describe and discuss England as though she were actually a foreign land." his successors. But the hard-headed New Englander pressed on, and finally brought out his dictionary — 2 great work, which has fitly preserved his name."

² The best brief account of this uprising that I have encountered is not in any history book, but in Mr. Justice Sutherland's dissenting opinion in Home Building & Loan Ass'n vs. Blaisdell et al, 54 Supreme Court Reporter, p. 244 ff.

3 The thing went, indeed, far beyond mere hope. In 1812 a conspiracy was unearthed to separate New England from the Republic and make it an English colony. The chief conspirator was one John Henry, who acted under the instructions of Sir

In Studies in History; Boston, 1884. Lodge says that Franklin, Hamilton and Noah Webster were brilliant exceptions. Franklin's autobiography, he says, was "American in feeling, without any taint of English colonialism." Hamilton's "intense eagerness for a strong national government made him the deadliest foe of the colonial spirit." As for Webster, he "went into open rebellion against British tradition. He was snubbed, laughed at, and abused. He was regarded as little better than a madman to dare to set himself up against Johnson and

in ceaseless political agitation, but also in an elaborate imitation of English manners.

The first sign of the dawn of a new national order came with the election of Thomas Jefferson to the Presidency in 1800. The issue in the campaign was a highly complex one, but under it lay a plain conflict between democratic independence on the one hand and subservience to English precept and example on the other; and with the Alien and Sedition Laws about his neck, so vividly reminiscent of the issues of the Revolution itself, Adams went down to defeat. Jefferson was violently anti-British and pro-French; he saw all the schemes of his political opponents, indeed, as English plots; he was the man who introduced the bugaboo into American politics. His first acts after his inauguration were to abolish all ceremonial at the court of the Republic, and to abandon spoken discourses to Congress for written messages. That ceremonial, which grew up under Washington, was an imitation, he believed, of the formality of the abhorrent Court of St. James; as for the speeches to Congress, they were palpably modeled upon the speeches from the throne of the English kings. Both reforms met with wide approval; the exactions of the English, particularly on the high seas, were beginning to break up the British party. But confidence in the solidarity and security of the new nation was still anything but universal. The surviving doubts, indeed, were strong enough to delay the ratification of the Twelfth Amendment to the Constitution, providing for more direct elections of President and Vice-President, until the end of 1804, and even then three of the five New England States rejected it,1 and have never ratified it, in fact, to this day. Democracy was still experimental, doubtful, full of gunpowder. In so far as it had actually come into being, it had come as a boon conferred from above. Jefferson, its protagonist, was the hero of the populace, but he was not a part of the populace himself, nor did he ever quite trust it.

It was reserved for Andrew Jackson, a man genuinely of the people, to lead the rise of the lower orders and give it dramatic effectiveness. Jackson was the archetype of the new American who appeared after 1814 – ignorant, pushful, impatient of restraint and precedent, an iconoclast, a Philistine, an Anglophobe in every fiber.

John Craig, Governor-General of 1 Maine was not separated from Canada. Massachusetts until 1820.

"He was," says his biographer, James Parton, "the most American of Americans - an embodied Declaration of Independence - the Fourth of July incarnate." He came from the extreme backwoods, and his youth was passed, like that of Abraham Lincoln after him, amid surroundings but little removed from savagery. Thousands of other young Americans of the same sort were growing up at the same time - youngsters filled with a vast impatience of all precedent and authority, revilers of all that had come down from an elder day, incorrigible libertarians. They swarmed across the mountains and down the great rivers, wrestling with the naked wilderness and setting up a casual, impromptu sort of civilization where the Indian still menaced. Schools were few and rudimentary; there was not the remotest approach to a cultivated society; any effort to mimic the amenities of the East, or of the mother country, in manner or even in speech, met with instant derision. It was in these surroundings and at this time that the thoroughgoing American of tradition was born; "blatant, illogical, elate," "greeting the embarrassed gods" uproariously, and matching "with Destiny for beers." Jackson was unmistakably of that company in every instinct and idea, and it was his fate to give a new and unshakable confidence to its aspiration at the Battle of New Orleans. Thereafter all doubts began to die out; the new Republic was turning out a success. And with success came a great increase in the national egoism. The hordes of pioneers rolled down the Western valleys and on to the great plains.1 America began to stand for something quite new in the world-in government, in law, in public and private morals, in customs and habits of mind, in the minutiæ of social intercourse. And simultaneously the voice of America began to take on its characteristic tonecolors, and the speech of America began to differentiate itself unmistakably from the speech of England. The average Philadelphian or Bostonian of 1790 had not the slightest difficulty in making himself understood by a visiting Englishman. But the average Ohio boatman of 1810 or plainsman of 1815 was already speaking a dialect that the Englishman would have shrunk from as barbarous and unintelligible, and before long it began to leave its marks, at first only faintly but

I Indiana and Illinois were erected into Territories during Jefferson's first term, and Michigan during his second. Kentucky was admitted to the Union in 1702, Tennessee in 1796, Ohio in 1803. Lewis and Clarke set out for the Pacific in 1804. The Louisiana Purchase was ratified in 1803, and Louisiana became a State in 1812. in the end very clearly, upon a distinctively national literature. That literature, however, was very slow in coming to a dignified, confident and autonomous estate. Down to Jefferson's day it was predominantly and indeed almost wholly polemical, and hence lacking in anything properly describable as æsthetic value; he himself, an insatiable propagandist and controversialist, was one of its chief ornaments. "The novelists and the historians, the essayists and the poets, whose names come to mind when American literature is mentioned," said Barrett Wendell, "have all flourished since 1800." 1

But when a beginning was made at last, it was made with what might almost be described as a whoop. The violent reviling of the English reviewers, described at length in Chapter I, had at last overshot its mark. Abashed and alarmed by it for years, the American literati were goaded, in the end, into meeting it with defiance, and that defiance both supported and got support from the rising national feeling. The same year, 1828, which saw the beginning of Jackson's first term also saw the publication of Noah Webster's "American Dictionary of the English Language," and a year later followed Samuel Lorenzo Knapp's "Lectures on American Literature," the first formal treatise on the national letters ever written. Knapp, by that time, had enough materials at hand to make a very creditable showing - for example, Bryant's "Thanatopsis" (published in the North American Review in 1817); Irving's "Knickerbocker" (1809), "The Sketch-Book" (1819), "Bracebridge Hall" (1822), "Tales of a Traveler" (1824) and "Columbus" (1828); Cooper's "The Spy" (1821), "The Pilot" (1823) and "The Prairie" (1826); Hawthorne's "Fanshaw" (1828); and Poe's "Tamerlane and Other Poems" (1827); not to mention Schoolcraft's "Through the Northwest" (1821) and "Travels in the Mississippi Valley" (1825), Kent's "Commentaries" (1826), Marshall's "Washington" (1804) and Audubon's "Birds of America" (1827). But Knapp was not content to put these new pearls in array; he must also deliver himself of Jacksonian exultations. Where in all England, he demanded, was there a "tuneful sister" comparable to Mrs. Lydia Sigourney, whose

strains of poetic thought are as pure and lovely as the adjacent wave [of the Connecticut river] touched by the sanctity of a Sabbath's morn? . . . What

¹ A Literary History of America; New York, 1900.

are the Tibers and Scamanders, measured by the Missouri and the Amazon? Or what the loveliness of Illyssus or Avon, by the Connecticut or the Potomack? The waters of these American rivers are as pure and sweet, and their names would be as poetical, were they as familiar to us in song as the others, which have been immortalized for ages. Whenever a nation wills it, prodigies are born. . . . In the smiles of publick favor poets will arise, yea, have already arisen, whose rays of mental fire will burn out the foul stain upon our reputation, given at first by irritated and neglected genius, and continued by envy and malice. . . . All things have become well settled upon true principles among us, and the agitation and bustle of their establishment having passed away, some of the first minds will gratify their ambition by literary distinction.

In brief, the national feeling, long delayed in appearing, leaped into being at last in truly amazing vigor. "One can get an idea of the strength of that feeling," says R. O. Williams,

by glancing at almost any book taken at random from the American publications of the period. Belief in the grand future of the United States is the keynote of everything said and done. All things American are to be grand—our territory, population, products, wealth, science, art—but especially our political institutions and literature. Unbounded confidence in the material development of the country... prevailed throughout the ... Union during the first thirty years of the century; and over and above a belief in, and concern for, materialistic progress, there were enthusiastic anticipations of achievements in all the moral and intellectual fields of national greatness.¹

Nor was that vast optimism wholly without warrant. An American literature was actually taking form, and with the memory of old wrongs still shutting them off from England, the new American writers turned to the Continent for inspiration and encouragement. Irving had already drunk at Spanish springs; Emerson and Bayard Taylor were to receive powerful impulses from Germany, following Ticknor, Bancroft and Everett before them; Bryant was destined to go back to the classics. Moreover, Irving, Cooper, John P. Kennedy and many another had shown the way to native sources of literary material, and Longfellow was making ready to follow them; novels in imitation of English models were no longer heard of; the ground was preparing for "Uncle Tom's Cabin." Finally, Webster himself, as Williams shows, worked better than he knew. His American Dictionary was not only thoroughly American: it was superior to any of the current dictionaries of the English, so much so that

Our Dictionaries and Other English Language Topics; New York, 1890, pp. 30-31. See also, for an excellent account of the spirit of the time, Localism in American Criticism, by Carey McWilliams, Southwest Review, July, 1934.

for a good many years it remained "a sort of mine for British lexicography to exploit."

Thus all hesitations disappeared, and there arose a national consciousness so soaring and so blatant that it began to dismiss every British usage and opinion as puerile and idiotic. The new Republic would not only produce a civilization and a literature of its own; it would show the way for all other civilizations and literatures. Rufus Wilmot Griswold, the enemy of Poe, rose in his decorous Baptist pulpit to protest that so much patriotism amounted to chauvinism and absurdity, but there seems to have been no one to second the motion. The debate upon the Oregon question gave a gaudy chance to the new breed of super-patriots, and they raged unchecked until the time of the Civil War. Thornton, in his Glossary, quotes a typical speech in Congress, the subject being the American eagle and the orator being the Hon. Samuel C. Pomeroy, of Kansas. I give a few strophes:

The proudest bird upon the mountain is upon the American ensign, and not one feather shall fall from her plumage there. She is American in design, and an emblem of wildness and freedom. I say again, she has not perched herself upon American standards to die there. Our great Western valleys were never scooped out for her burial place. Nor were the everlasting, untrodden mountains piled for her monument. Niagara shall not pour her endless waters for her requiem; nor shall our ten thousand rivers weep to the ocean in eternal tears. No, sir, no! Unnumbered voices shall come up from river, plain, and mountain, echoing the songs of our triumphant deliverance, wild lights from a thousand hill-tops will betoken the rising of the sun of freedom.

This tall talk was by no means reserved for occasions of state; it decorated the everyday speech of the people, especially in the Jackson country to the southward and beyond the mountains. It ran, there, to grotesque metaphors and far-fetched exaggerations, and out of it came a great many Americanisms that still flourish. Thornton gives a specimen from a Florida newspaper, c. 1840, the speaker being a local fee-faw-fo-fum:

This is me, and no mistake! Billy Earthquake, Esq., commonly called Little Billy, all the way from the No'th Fork of Muddy Run! . . . Whoop! won't nobody come out and fight me? Come out, some of you, and die decently, for I'm spiling for a fight. . . I'm a poor man, it's a fact, and smell like a wet dog, but I can't be run over. . . . Maybe you never heard of the time the horse kicked me, and put both his hips out of jint—if it ain't true, cut me up for catfish bait! W-h-o-o-p! I'm the very infant that refused its milk before its eyes were open, and called out for a bottle of old rye. . . . Talk about grinning the bark off a tree—'tain't nothing; one squint of mine at

a bull's heel would blister it. Oh, I'm one of your toughest sort—live forever, and then turn to a white-oak post. I'm the ginewine article, a real double-acting engine, and I can out-run, out-jump, out-swim, chaw more tobacco and spit less, and drink more whiskey and keep soberer than any man in these localities.

Another noble example comes from Mark Twain's "Life on the Mississippi," the time being c. 1852:

Whoo-oop! I'm the old original iron-jawed, brass-mounted, copper-bellied corpse-maker from the wilds of Arkansaw! Look at me! I'm the man they call Sudden Death and General Desolation! Sired by a hurricane, dam'd by an earthquake, half-brother to the cholera, nearly related to the smallpox on the mother's side! Look at me! I take nineteen alligators and a bar'l of whiskey for breakfast when I'm in robust health, and a bushel of rattle-snakes and a dead body when I'm ailing. I split the everlasting rocks with my glance, and I squench the thunder when I speak! Whoo-oop! Stand back and give me room according to my strength! Blood's my natural drink, and the wails of the dying is music to my ear! Cast your eye on me, gentlemen, and lay low and hold your breath, for I'm 'bout to turn myself loose!

To which may be added the testimony of Dr. Thomas L. Nichols, an American who left the United States for England in 1861, and published "Forty Years of American Life" there in 1864:

The language [of the West], like the country, has a certain breadth and magnificence. A Western man "sleeps so sound, it would take an earthquake to wake him"... "Stranger," he says, "in b'ar hunts I am numerous."... He tells of a person "as cross as a b'ar with two cubs and a sore tail." He "laughs like a hyena over a dead nigger." He "walks through a fence like a falling tree through a cobweb." He "goes the whole hog."... "Bust me wide open," he says, "if I didn't bulge into the creek in the twinkling of a bedpost, I was so thunderin' savagerous." 1

This extravagance of metaphor, with its naïve bombast, had but little influence, of course, upon the more decorous native literati. It was borrowed eagerly by the humorous writers, and especially by those who performed regularly in the newspapers, and at the end of the period it was to leave its marks upon two literary artists of the highest quality, Whitman and Mark Twain, but the generality of American authors eschewed it very diligently. Most of them, in fact, looked back toward Addison, or, perhaps more accurately, toward Johnson. The dominant critics of the time—of whom the

I I borrow this example from Tall Talk in American Sixty Years Ago, by Mamie Meredith, American Speech, April, 1929. See also Big Talk, by Dorothy Dondore, American Speech, Oct., 1930; Frontier Tall Talk, by William F. Thompson, American Speech, Oct., 1934; and Tall Tales of the Southwest, 1830-60, by F. J. Meine; New York, 1930.

Baptist, Griswold, was a good example — followed Eighteenth Century models, and one searches their sonorous periods for even the slightest concession to colloquialism. The grand master of them all, Poe, achieved a style so rotund and ornate that many a contemporary English leader-writer must have envied it. Nor was there any visible yielding to the sermo vulgus in Emerson, Irving, Bryant, Cooper and Longfellow. "Whatever differences there may be," says Sir William Craigie,¹ "between the language of Longfellow and Tennyson, of Emerson and Ruskin, they are differences due to style and subject, to a personal choice or command of words, and not to any real divergence in the means of expression." But meanwhile, says Sir William, there was going on

a rise and rapid growth within the United States of new types of literature which would either give fuller scope to the native element by mingling it with the conventional, or would boldly adopt it as a standard in itself. . . . The runnels of popular speech, which had trickled underground for a century or more, come again to the light of day; they are joined by many more, which have sprung up in the same obscurity; and together they swell into a stream which at its highest flood may well seem to change and obliterate the old banks and landmarks of the language.

Henry James, in "The Question of Our Speech," had said much the same thing a quarter of a century before (1905):

Keep in sight the interesting historical truth that no language, so far back as our acquaintance with history goes, has known any such ordeal, any such stress and strain, as was to await the English in this huge new community it was so unsuspectingly to help, at first, to father and mother. It came over, as the phrase is, came over originally without fear and without guile — but to find itself transplanted to spaces it had never dreamed, in its comparative humility, of covering, to conditions it had never dreamed, in its comparative innocence, of meeting; to find itself grafted, in short, on a social and political order that was both without precedent and example and incalculably expansive.

Thus, on the levels below the Olympians, a wild and lawless development of the language went on, and many of the uncouth words and phrases that it brought to birth gradually forced themselves into more or less good usage. "The jus et norma loquendi," says W. R. Morfill, the English philologian, "do not depend upon scholars." Particularly in a country where scholarship is strange, cloistered and timorous, and the overwhelming majority of the people are engaged upon new and highly exhilarating tasks, far

The Study of American English, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXVII, 1927, p. 203.

away from schools and with a gigantic cockiness in their hearts. The old hegemony of the Tidewater gentry, North and South, had been shaken by the revolt of the frontier under Jackson, and what remained of an urbane habit of mind and utterance began to be confined to the narrowing feudal areas of the South and the still narrower refuge of the Boston Brahmins, who were presently recognized as a definite caste of intelligentsia, self-charged with carrying the torch of culture through a new Dark Age. The typical American, in Paulding's satirical phrase, became "a bundling, gouging, impious" fellow, without either "morals, literature, religion or refinement." Next to the savage struggle for land and dollars, party politics was the chief concern of the people, and with the disappearance of the old leaders and the entrance of pushing upstarts from the backwoods, political controversy sank to an incredibly low level. Bartlett, in the introduction to the second edition of his Glossarv. described the effect upon the language. First the enfranchised mob, whether in the city wards or along the Western rivers, invented fantastic slang-words and turns of phrase; then they were "seized upon by stump-speakers at political meetings"; then they were heard in Congress; then they got into the newspapers; and finally they came into more or less good repute. Much contemporary evidence is to the same effect. W. C. Fowler, in listing "low expressions" in 1850, described them as "chiefly political." "The vernacular tongue of the country," said Daniel Webster, "has become greatly vitiated, depraved and corrupted by the style of the congressional debates." Thornton, in the appendix to his Glossary, gives some astounding specimens of congressional oratory between the 20's and 60's, and many more will reward the explorer who braves the files of the Congressional Globe. This flood of racy and unprecedented words and phrases beat upon and finally penetrated the austere retreat of the literati, but the dignity of speech cultivated there had little compensatory influence upon the vulgate. The newspaper was enthroned, and belles lettres were cultivated almost in private, and as a mystery. It is probable, indeed, that "Uncle Tom's Cabin" and "Ten Nights in a Bar-room," both published in the early 50's, were the first contemporary native books, after Cooper's day, that the American people, as a people, ever really read. Nor did the pulpit, now fast falling from its old high estate, lift a corrective voice. On the contrary, it joined the crowd, and

Bartlett denounced it specifically for its bad example, and cited, among its crimes against the language, such inventions as to doxologize and to funeralize. To these novelties, apparently without any thought of their uncouthness, Fowler, who was professor of rhetoric at Amherst, added to missionate and consociational.

This pressure from below eventually broke down the defenses of the purists, and forced the new national idiom upon them. Pen in hand, they might still achieve laborious imitations of the hated English reviewers, but their mouths began to betray them. "When it comes to talking," wrote Charles Astor Bristed for Englishmen in 1855, "the most refined and best educated American, who has habitually resided in his own country, the very man who would write, on some serious topic, volumes in which no peculiarity could be detected, will, in half a dozen sentences, use at least as many words that cannot fail to strike the inexperienced Englishman who hears them for the first time."

2. THE EXPANDING VOCABULARY

A glance at some of the characteristic coinages of the time, as they are revealed in the Congressional Globe, in contemporary newspapers and political tracts, and in that grotesque literature of humor which began with Thomas C. Haliburton's "Sam Slick" in 1835, is almost enough to make one sympathize with the pious horror of Dean Alford. To citizenize was used and explained by Senator Young, of Illinois, in the Senate on February 1, 1841, and he gave Noah Webster as authority for it. Bartlett quotes to doxologize from the Christian Disciple, a quite reputable religious paper of the 40's. To funeralize 1 and to pastor, along with the aforesaid to missionate and consociational, were other contributions of the evangelical pulpit; perhaps it also produced hell-roaring and hellion, the latter of which was a favorite of the Mormons and even got into a sermon by Henry Ward Beecher. To deacon, a verb of decent mien in

be American. It thus appears in the diary of Moses Waddel, president of the University of Georgia; 1825. See College Life in the Old South, by E. Merton Couleer; New York, 1928, p. 197.

I The Oxford Dictionary quotes an example of its use in the sense of to render sad or melancholy from Thomas Browne's Urn Burial (1658). But in the sense of to conduct a funeral the verb seems to

colonial days, signifying to read a hymn line by line, responded to the rough humor of the time, and began to mean to swindle or adulterate, e.g., to put the largest berries at the top of the box, to extend one's fences sub rosa, or to mix sand with sugar. A great rage for extending the vocabulary by the use of suffixes seized upon the corn-fed etymologists, and they produced a formidable new vocabulary, in -ize, -ate, -ify, -acy, -ous and -ment. Such inventions as to concertize, to questionize, retiracy, savagerous, coatee (a sort of diminutive for coat) and citified appeared in the popular vocabulary and even got into more or less respectable usage. Fowler, in 1850, cited publishment and releasement with no apparent thought that they were uncouth. And at the same time many verbs were made by the simple process of back formation, as, to resurrect, to excurt, to resolute, to burgle 1 and to enthuse.

Some of these inventions, after flourishing for a generation or more, were retired with blushes during the period of plush elegance following the Civil War, but a large number have survived to our own day. Not even the most meticulous purist would think of objecting to to affiliate, to endorse, to collide, to jeopardize, to predicate, to itemize, to resurrect or to Americanize today, and yet all of them gave grief to the judicious when they first appeared in the debates of Congress, brought there by statesmen from the backwoods. Nor to such simpler verbs of the period as to corner (i.e., the market) and to lynch.² Nor perhaps to to boom, to boost, to kick (in the sense of to protest), to coast (on a sled), to engineer, to chink (i.e., logs), to feaze, to splurge, to bulldoze, to aggravate (in

- I J. R. Ware, in Passing English of the Victorian Era; London, n.d., says that to burgle was introduced to London by W. S. Gilbert in The Pirates of Penzance, 1880. On Nov. 14, 1874 the London Standard was speaking of it as one of the "new words with which the American vocabulary has lately been enriched," but it probably goes back to the 40's or 50's.
- The origin of to lynch was long in dispute, but it now appears to be established that it was derived from the name of Captain (or Colonel) Charles Lynch, of Pittsylvania county, Virginia, a primeval 100% American who devoted himself to

harassing Loyalists before and during the Revolution. He was a member of the House of Burgesses, but seems to have paid little heed to the statutes. In 1782 the Virginia Assembly purged him of charges that he had illegally imprisoned certain Loyalists in 1780. For an account of him, probably somewhat inaccurate in detail, see Rope and Faggott, by Walter White; New York, 1929, p. 83. See also the art. Lynch law in the Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary; London, 1933. A useful article on the subject was printed in the Lynchburg (Va.) News, July 30, 1922.

the sense of to anger), and to crawfish. These verbs have entered into the very fiber of the American language, and so have many nouns derived from them, e.g., boomer, boom-town, bouncer, kicker, kick, lynching-bee, splurge, roller-coaster. A few of them, e.g., to collide and to feaze, were archaic English terms brought to new birth; a few others, e.g., to holler 1 and to muss, were obviously mere corruptions. But a good many others, e.g., to bulldoze, to canoodle, to honeyfogle, to hornswoggle and to scoot, were genuine inventions, and redolent of the soil.

Along with these new verbs came a great swarm of verb-phrases, some of them short and pithy and others extraordinarily elaborate, but all showing the national talent for condensing a complex thought, and often a whole series of thoughts, into a vivid and arresting image. To the first class belong to fill the bill, to fizzle out, to make tracks, to peter out, to plank down, to go back on, to keep tab, to light out and to back water. Side by side with them we have inherited such common coins of speech as to make the fur fly, to cut a swath, to know him like a book, to keep a stiff upper lip, to cap the climax, to handle without gloves, to freeze on to, to go it blind, to pull wool over his eyes, to have the floor, to know the ropes, to get solid with, to spread oneself, to run into the ground, to dodge the issue, to paint the town red, to take a back seat and to get ahead of. These are so familiar that we use them and hear them without thought; they seem as authentically parts of the English idiom as to be left at the post. And yet, as the labors of Thornton have demonstrated, all of them appear to be of American nativity, and the circumstances surrounding the origin of some of them have been accurately determined. Many others are as certainly products of the great movement toward the West, for example, to pan out, to strike it rich, to jump or enter a claim, to pull up stakes, to rope in, to die with one's boots on, to get the deadwood on, to get the drop, to back and fill, to do a land-office business and to get the bulge on. And in many others the authentic American flavor is no less plain, for example, in to kick the bucket, to put a bug in his ear, to see the elephant, to

States the common pronunciation is holler, and this form has been accepted by the Public Printer. See the Congressional Record, May 12, 1917, p. 2309.

I The spelling of this word shows large variations. The Oxford Dictionary gives holow (1542), hollow (1599), holloe (1642), holo (1654), holloa (1769), holla (1842), and holler (1883). In the United

crack up, to do up brown, to bark up the wrong tree, to jump on with both feet, to go the whole hog, to make a kick, to buck the tiger, to let it slide and to come out at the little end of the horn. To play possum belongs to this list. To it Thornton adds to knock into a cocked hat, despite its English sound, and to have an ax to grind. To go for, both in the sense of belligerency and in that of partisanship, is also American, and so is to go through (i.e., to plunder).

Of adjectives the list is scarcely less long. Among the coinages of the first half of the century that are still in use today are non-committal, highfalutin, well-posted, down-town, two-fer, played-out, down-and-out, semi-occasional, under-the-weather, on-the-fence, flat-footed, whole-souled and true-blue. The first appears in a Senate debate of 1841; 1 highfalutin in a political speech of 1848. Both are useful words; it is impossible, not employing them, to convey the ideas behind them without circumlocution. The use of slim in the sense of meager, as in slim chance, slim attendance and slim support. goes back still further. The English commonly use small in place of it. Other, and less respectable contributions of the time are brash, brainy, peart, scary, beatingest, well-heeled, hardshell (e.g., Baptist), low-flung, codfish (to indicate opprobrium) and go-to-meeting. The use of plumb as an adverb, as in plumb crazy, is an English archaism that was revived in the United States in the early years of the century. In the more orthodox adverbial form of plump it still survives, for example, in "she fell plump into his arms." But this last is also good English. The characteristic American substitution of mad for angry appeared in the Eighteenth Century, and perhaps shows the survival of an English provincialism. Witherspoon noticed it and denounced it in 1781, and in 1816 Pickering called it "low" and said that it was not used "except in very familiar conversation." But it got into much better odor soon afterward, and by 1840 it was passing unchallenged. Its use is one of the peculiarities that Englishmen most quickly notice in American colloquial speech today. In formal written discourse it is less often encountered, probably because the English marking of it has so conspicuously singled it out. But it is constantly met with in the newspapers and in the Congressional

I It quickly bred two nouns, noncommittal and non-committalism, and the latter had the political significance of straddling in the 50's, but both seem to have gone out. An adverb, non-committally, has survived, and the Oxford Dictionary quotes it from W. D. Howell's The Rise of Silas Lapham, 1885.

Record. In the familiar simile, as mad as a hornet, it is used in the American sense, but as mad as a March hare is English, and connotes insanity, not mere anger. The English meaning of the word is preserved in mad-house and mad-dog, but I have often noticed that American rustics, employing the latter term, derive from it a vague notion, not that the dog is demented, but that it is in a simple fury.

It was not, however, among the verbs and adjectives that the American word-coiners of the first half of the century achieved their gaudiest innovations, but among the substantives. Here they had temptation and excuse in plenty, for innumerable new objects and relations demanded names, and they exercised their fancy without restraint. As in the colonial and revolutionary periods, three main varieties of new nouns were thus produced. The first consisted of English words rescued from obsolescence or changed in meaning, the second of compounds manufactured of the common materials of the mother tongue, and the third of entirely new inventions. Of the first class, good specimens are deck (of cards), gulch, gully and billion, the first three old English words restored to usage in America and the last a sound English word changed in meaning. Of the second class, examples are offered by gum-shoe, mortgageshark, carpet-bagger, cut-off, mass-meeting, dead-beat, dug-out, shot-gun, stag-party, wheat-pit, horse-sense, chipped beef, oystersupper, buzz-saw, chain-gang and hell-box. And of the third there are instances in buncombe, conniption, bloomer, campus, galoot, maverick, roustabout, bugaboo and blizzard. Of these coinages perhaps those of the second class are most numerous and characteristic. In them American exhibits one of its most marked tendencies; a habit of achieving short cuts by bold combinations. Why describe a gigantic rain storm with the lame adjectives of everyday? Call it a cloud-burst and immediately a vivid picture of it is conjured up. Rough-neck is a capital word; it is more apposite and savory than any English equivalent, and it is unmistakably American. The same instinct for the terse, the vivid and the picturesque appears in boiledshirt, blow-out, big-gun, claim-jumper, home-stretch, spread-eagle, come-down, back-number, bed-spread, claw-hammer (coat), bottom-dollar, poppycock,2 cold-snap, back-talk, back-taxes, corn-belt,

I Rough-neck often appears in lists of recent slang terms, but Thornton shows that it was used in Texas so long ago as 1836.

² This innocent compound has given a great deal of concern to etymologists. The Standard Dictionary (1893) derives it from the verb

calamity-howler, fire-bug, grab-bag, grip-sack, grub-stake, pay-dirt, tender-foot, stocking-feet, moss-back, crazy-quilt, ticket-scalper, store-clothes, small-potatoes, cake-walk, prairie-schooner, round-up, worm-fence, snake-fence, flat-boat and jumping-off place. Such compounds (there are thousands of them) have been largely responsible for giving the American vulgate its characteristic tang and color. Bell-hop, square-meal and chair-warmer, to name three charming specimens, are as distinctively American as jazz or the quicklunch.

The spirit of the language also appears clearly in some of the coinages of the other classes. There are, for example, the English words that have been extended or restricted in meaning, e.g., docket (for court calendar), betterment (for improvement to property), collateral (for security), crank (for fanatic), jumper (for tunic), backbone (for moral courage), tickler (for memorandum or reminder),1 carnival (in such phrases as carnival of crime), scrape (for fight or difficulty),2 flurry (of snow, or in the market), suspenders, diggings (for habitation) and range. Again, there are the new workings of English materials, e.g., doggery, rowdy, teetotaler, goatee, tony and cussedness. Yet again, there are the purely artificial words, e.g., sockdolager, hunkydory, scalawag, guyascutis, spondulix, slumgullion, rambunctious, scrumptious, to skedaddle, to absquatulate and to exfluncticate.3 In the use of the last-named coinages fashions change. In the 40's to absquatulate was in good usage, but it has since disappeared. Most of the other inventions of the time, however, have to some extent survived, and it would be difficult to find an American of today who did not know the meaning of scalawag, rambunctious, to hornswoggle and to skedaddle,4 and

cited by Miss Warnock are scallywampus, supergobosnoptious, hyperfirmatious, and scrumdifferous. See also Language and Nonce-Words, by Francis A. Wood, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. I, 1913.

to pop and the noun cock, which seems very far-fetched. The Oxford Dictionary, attempting no etymology, dismisses it as "U. S. slang." Webster's New International (1934) derives it from "Collog. D. pappekak, lit. soft dung," which seems silly.

¹ This use goes back to 1839.

² Thornton gives an example dated

<sup>1812.
3</sup> See Terms of Approbation and Eulogy, by Elsie L. Warnock, Dia-Vol. IV. Pt. I, 1913. Among the curious recent coinages

⁴ The origin of this noble word remains mysterious. Its first appearance in print seems to have been in 1861, but Ernest Weekley says in his Etymological Dictionary of Modern English that it "appeared earlier in a Northern English dialect in the sense of to spill," and Webster's New International fol-

did not occasionally use them. A whole series of artificial American words groups itself around the prefix ker-, for example, ker-flop, ker-bim, ker-splash, ker-thump, ker-bang, ker-plunk, ker-swash, kerswosh, ker-slap, ker-whut, ker-chunk, ker-souse, ker-slam and kerflummux. This prefix and its daughters have been borrowed by the English, but Thornton and Ware agree that it is American, and all of the Oxford Dictionary's examples down to 1875 are of American provenance. Several of my correspondents suggest that it may have been derived from the German prefix ge-- that it may represent a humorous attempt to make German verbs by analogy, e.g., geflop and gesplash. Color is given to this theory by the fact that some of the Oxford Dictionary's earliest examples (Supplement, 1933) make the prefix che-, ca- or co-, which are all rather closer to ge- than keris. I offer these speculations for whatever they are worth. Certainly many ge- words must have been made by the early "Dutch" comedians in the United States, just as they are still made by college students.1

In Chapter II, Section 1, I mentioned the superior imaginativeness revealed by Americans in meeting linguistic emergencies, whereby, for example, in seeking names for new objects introduced by the building of railroads, they surpassed the English plough and crossing-plate with cow-catcher and frog. That was in the 30's. Already at that day the two languages were so differentiated that they produced wholly distinct railroad nomenclatures. Such commonplace American terms as box-car, caboose and air-line are still strangers in England. So are freight-car, flagman, towerman, switch, switch-engine, switch-yard, switchman, track-walker, baggage-room, baggage-check, baggage-smasher, baggage-master, accommodation-train, conductor, express-car, flat-car, hand-car, gondola, way-bill, expressman, express-office, fast-freight, wrecking-crew, jerk-water, com-

College, commanded a regiment of Negroes [in the Civil War], and many of his subordinates were from Oberlin, where students knew their Greek. Corrupting the Greek word, officers and Negroes alike evolved skedaddle." (Reprinted in the Baltimore Evening Sun, Aug. 26, 1927). I See American Intensives in Ka-, Ke-, and Ker-, by Exha Akins Sadilek, American Speech, Dec., 1931.

lows him. The Oxford Dictionary says that "there is some slight evidence" to that effect, but remains in doubt. It rejects the suggestion that the word is of Danish or Swedish genesis, made in the Webster of 1864. In 1927 the following appeared in the Dayton, O., Daily News: "[The word is] a corruption of skedamumi, a Greek word meaning to scatter: General Giles W. Shurtleff, a professor at Oberlin

mutation-ticket, commuter, round-trip, mileage-book, ticket-scalper, depot, limited, hot-box, iron-horse, stop-over, tie, fish-plate, run, train-boy, chair-car, club-car, bumpers, mail-clerk, passenger-coach, day-coach, railroad-man, ticket-office, truck and right-of-way, and the verbs to flag, to express, to dead-head, to side-swipe, to stop-over, to fire (i.e., a locomotive), to switch, to side-track, to railroad, to commute and to clear the track. These terms are in constant use in America; their meaning is familiar to all Americans; many of them have given the language everyday figures of speech. But the majority of them would puzzle an Englishman, just as the English luggage-van, permanent-way, goods-waggon, guard, carrier, booking-office, railway-rug, tripper, line, points, shunt, metals and bogie would puzzle the average untraveled American.

In two other familiar fields very considerable differences between English and American are visible; in both fields they go back to the gaudy era before the Civil War. They are politics and that department of social intercourse which has to do with drinking. Many characteristic American political terms originated in revolutionary days and have passed over into English. Of such sort are caucus and mileage. But the majority of those in common use today were coined during the extraordinarily exciting campaigns following the defeat of Adams by Jefferson. Charles Ledyard Norton has devoted a whole book to their etymology and meaning; the number is far too

- I E.g., Single-track mind, to jump the rails, to collide head-on, broad-gauge man, to walk the ties, blind-baggage, underground-railroad, tank-town.
- 2 Some of the early American railroad terms are already obsolete. Depot is seldom used today; it has been displaced by station or terminal. The use of cars to designate a railroad train was universal down to the Civil War era, but today it survives only in the signs occasionally seen at grade-crossings; "Look Out for the Cars," and in the verb-phrase, to change cars. The Pullman palace-car is now extinct, and the Pullman Palace-Car Company, incorporated in 1867, is now simply the Pullman Company. Even parlor-car has been elbowed out by Pullman. Incidentally, tele-
- gram was suggested in the Albany Evening Journal, April 6, 1852, by E. Peshine Smith of Rochester, N. Y., and seems to have been his invention. It quickly ousted telegraphic dispatch and telegraphic communication.
- 3 Political Americanisms; New York, 1890. "In America," said Walt Whitman in An American Primer, Atlantic Monthly, April, 1904, "an immense number of new words are needed to embody the new political facts, the compact of the Declaration of Independence, and of the Constitution—the union of the States—the new States—the Congress—the modes of election—the stump speech—the ways of electioneering—addressing the people—stating all that is to be said in modes that fit the life and experi-

large for a list of them to be attempted here. But a few characteristic specimens may be recalled; for example, the simple compounds: omnibus-bill, banner-state, favorite-son, anxious-bench, gag-rule, executive-session, spoils-system, mass-meeting, steering-committee, office-seeker and straight-ticket; the humorous metaphors: porkbarrel, pie-counter, land-slide, dark-horse, carpet-bagger, lame-duck and on-the-fence; the old words put to new uses: plank, pull, platform, ring, machine, wheel-horse, precinct, primary, floater, repeater, bolter, filibuster, regular and fences; the new coinages: gerrymander, buncombe, roorback, mugwump and bulldozing; the new derivatives: abolitionist, candidacy, boss-rule, per-diem and boodler; and the almost innumerable verbs and verb-phrases: to knife, to straddle, to crawfish, to split a ticket, to go up Salt River, to bolt, to lobby, to eat crow, to boodle, to divvy, to grab and to run. An English candidate doesn't run; he stands. To run, according to Thornton, was already used in America in 1789; it was universal by 1820. Platform came in at the same time. Machine was first applied to a political organization by Aaron Burr. Anxious-bench (or anxious-seat) at first designated only the place occupied by the penitent at revivals, but was used in its present political sense in Congress so early as 1842. Banner-state appears in Niles' Register for December 5, 1840. Favorite-son appears in an ode addressed to Washington on his visit to Portsmouth, N. H., in 1789, but it did not acquire its present ironical sense until it was applied to Martin Van Buren. Thornton has traced filibuster to 1836, roorback to 1844, split-ticket to 1842, and bolter to 1812. Regularity was an issue in Tammany Hall in 1822. There were primaries in New York City in 1827, and hundreds of repeaters voted. In 1829 there were lobby-agents at Albany, and they soon became lobbyists; in 1832 lobbying had already extended to Washington. All of these terms are now as firmly imbedded in the American vocabulary as election or congressman.1

In the department of conviviality the imaginativeness of Americans was early shown both in the invention and in the naming of new and often highly complex beverages. So vast was the production of novelties during the Nineteenth Century that England borrowed many of them and their names with them. And not only England:

ence of the Indianian, the Michiganian, the Vermonter, the men of Maine."

I See American Political Cant, by Lowry Charles Wimberly, American Speech, Dec., 1926.

one buys cocktails and gin-fizzes to this day in American bars that stretch from Paris to Yokohama. Cocktail, stone-fence and sherrycobbler were mentioned by Washington Irving in "Knickerbocker" (1809); 1 by Thackeray's time they were already well-known in England. Thornton traces the sling to 1788, and the stinkibus and anti-fogmatic, both now extinct, to the same year. The origin of the rickey, fizz, sour, cooler, skin, shrub and smash, and of such curious American drinks as the horse's neck, Mamie Taylor, Tom-and-Jerry, Tom Collins, John Collins, bishop, stone-wall, gin-fix, brandy-champarelle, golden-slipper, hari-kari, locomotive, whiskey-daisy, blueblazer, black-stripe, white-plush and brandy-crusta remains to be established; the historians of the booze arts, like the philologists, differ in their theories. But the essentially American character of most of them is obvious, despite the fact that a number have gone over into English. The English, in naming their own somewhat meager inventions, commonly display a far more limited imagination. Seeking a name, for example, for a mixture of whiskey and sodawater, the best they could achieve was whiskey-and-soda. The Americans, introduced to the same drink, at once gave it the far more original name of high-ball. So with soda-water and pop. So with minerals and soft-drinks. Other characteristic Americanisms (a few of them borrowed by the English) are red-eye, corn-juice, eye-opener, forty-rod, squirrel-whiskey, phlegm-cutter, hard-cider, apple-jack and corpse-reviver, and the auxiliary drinking terms, boot-legger, sample-room, blind-pig, barrel-house, bouncer, bungstarter, dive, doggery, schooner, stick, duck, straight, hooch, saloon,

I The etymology of cocktail has long engaged the learned, but without persuasive result. It is thus set forth by William Henry Nugent in Cock Fighting Today, American Mercury, May, 1929, p. 80: "Feeding is an important thing in the process [of conditioning game-cocks]. The old-time English and Irish trainers made a specially prepared bread of flour and stale beer or ale. They also added white wine or sack, gin, whiskey or other spirits, and a whole materia medica of seeds, plants, roots, barks, and leaves. In sampling this concoction before pouring it into the dough they

found it an appetizing tonic, not only for pit fowl, but also for man. They named it cock-bread ale or cock ale, and in the spelling of the time it became cock ail. Americans knew a variant of this beverage, as early as 1800, as the cocktail. Somehow a t had got into the mixture." Early in 1926 Marcel Boulenger printed an article in Le Figaro Hebdomadaire (Paris) arguing that cocktail was derived from coquetel, the name of a drink known for centuries in the vicinity of Bordeaux. See Cocktail French Invention, Baltimore Evening Sun, Feb. 11, 1926.

finger and chaser. Thornton shows that jag, bust, but and to crook the elbow are also Americanisms. So are bartender and saloon-keeper.

It would be possible, too, to compile a formidable roster of theological and ecclesiastical Americanisms, e.g., anxious bench, or seat (first noted in 1839), mourners' bench, amen corner, hard-shell (1842), camp-meeting (1801), circuit-rider (1838), come-outer (1840), deacon-seat (1851), desk, for pulpit (1770), blue-law (1775), book-concern (1851), go-to-meeting, hell-robber, experience-meeting, foot-wash, donation-party, pounding, pastorium, and the verbs, to pastor, to missionate, to get (or experience) religion, to fellowship and to shout.

3. LOAN-WORDS AND NON-ENGLISH INFLUENCES

The Indians of the Far West, it would seem, had little to add to the contributions already made to the American vocabulary by the Algonquians of the Northeast. Most of the new loan-words that were picked up west of the Mississippi came in either through the Spanish, e.g., coyote, or through the Chinook trade-jargon of the Columbia river region, e.g., cayuse. There was also some translation of terms supposed to be in use among the Indians, e.g., squawman, heap big chief, Great White Father, Father of Waters, and happy hunting-grounds, but most of these, I suspect, owed more to the imagination of the pioneers than to the actual usage of the Indians. In the Oregon country Chinook is still understood by many Indians, and terms borrowed from it are heard as localisms, e.g., tillicum (friend), cultus (worthless, evil), tumtum (literally heart, used to signify belief, opinion, hunch), potlatch (feast, public meeting), skookum (strong, majestic, splendid), nanitch (a journey),

I An amalgam of Chinook proper and various other Indian languages, e.g., Nootka, Chehalis, Klickitat and Wasco, with contributions from French, English and probably also Russian. A good account of it, with a vocabulary, is in Gill's Dictionary of the Chinook Jargon, 15th ed.; Portland, Ore., 1909. It was in use all over the Northwest, from the Cascade Mountains to the coast. The Indian languages differed so

greatly that they were mutually unintelligible. See also the Chinook Jargon, by Douglas Leechman, American Speech, July, 1926, and the Chinook Jargon, by E. H. Thomas, the same, June, 1927.

Thomas, the same, June, 1927.

2 According to the Oxford Dictionary, cayuse is "said to be from the language of the Chinook Indians of Oregon," but Mr. H. L. Davis believes that it is from the French cailloux (pebbles).

and kokshut (used up, worn out, ruined).¹ It is possible that hike is derived from the Chinook hyak (to hurry), but this remains uncertain. In the Southwest many loan-words from the local Indian languages are similarly in more or less general use, e.g., hogan (an Indian habitation), kiva (the central building of a pueblo), sambuke (a musical instrument), tombé (another), katchina (a spirit), tiswin (an intoxicant), and tegua (a sandal).²

Contact with the French in Louisiana and along the Northwestern border, and with the Spanish in Texas and farther West, brought in many new words. From the Canadian French, as we have seen in Chapter III, Section 1, prairie, batteau, portage and rapids had been borrowed during colonial days. To these French contributions bayou, depot, picayune, levee, chute, butte, crevasse, lagniappe and coulee 8 were now added, and probably also shanty 4 and canuck.5 Prairie begat an enormous progeny during the great movement into the West. In 1828 Noah Webster omitted it altogether from his "American Dictionary of the English Language," but Thornton shows that its use to designate the Western steppes was already common before the Revolution, and that prairie-hen and prairie-dog had come in by 1805. By 1857, according to Sir William Craigie,6 "at least thirty other combinations of the same type had been employed in the works of explorers and other writers." The Century Dictionary (1889-91) records thirty-four prairie combinations, the Oxford Dictionary (1909) sixty-three, and Webster's New International, Second Edition (1034), seventy-nine.

I I am indebted here to Mr. H. L. Davis, author of Honey in the Horn, and to Mr. Lewis A. Mc-Arthur of Portland, secretary to the Oregon Geographic Board.

2 For a longer list, see The English Language in the Southwest, by T. M. Pearce, New Mexico Historical Review, July, 1932.

- 3 Coulee, from the French coulée, running or flowing, is applied to deep valleys or ravines. It is commonly debased to coolly, as in the title of Hamlin Garland's book, Rose of Dutchers Coolly (1895). Its use is confined to the Northwest.
- 4 Shanty is apparently derived from the French chantier, the camp of a

gang of loggers. A lumberman is often called a shantyman, and the word has spawned other derivatives, e.g., Shanty Irish (title of a book by Jim Tully, 1928), shanty-town and to shanty. Shanty has been traced to 1820. Folk etymology has assimilated chantey to it, but the two words are distinct in derivation and meaning. Chantey is from the French chantez, the imperative of chanter, to sing, and is not American.

- 5 Thornton's first example of canuck is dated 1855.
- 6 The Study of American English, S.P.E. Tracts No. XXVII, 1927, p. 208.

From Spanish, once the Mississippi was crossed, and particularly during and after the Mexican War, there came a swarm of novelties, many of which have remained firmly imbedded in the language. Among them were numerous names of strange personages and objects: rancho, alfalfa, mustang, sombrero, canyon, desperado, poncho, chaparral, corral, bronco, pluza, peon, alcalde, burro, mesa, tornado, presidio, patio, sierra and adobe. To them, as soon as gold was discovered, were added bonanza, eldorado, placer and vigilante. Some of the borrowings of the time underwent phonetic change. The Spanish cincho, meaning a saddle girth, quickly became cinch, and in a little while took on a figurative significance that still clings to it. Vamos, the first person plural of the Spanish "let's go," became vamose or vamoose in American, and presently begat an American verb, to mosey. Chigre, which the English had borrowed from the Spanish in the Seventeenth Century, making it chigoe (the Oxford Dictionary still credits it to "the West Indies and South America"), was borrowed anew by the Western pioneers, and converted into the more American chigger or jigger. The Spanish chinche, which had been likewise borrowed by English in the Seventeenth Century but later abandoned, was reborrowed by American on the frontier, and became the still familiar chinch, a bedbug. Estampida was converted into stampede, frijol into frijole (pro. freeholay), tamal into tamale, tortilla into tortillia, and vaquero into buckaroo.1 Chile, a pepper, came in with frijole and tamale, and at the same time the pioneers became acquainted with the Mexican beverages, mescal,2 pulque and tequila. Such words as señor, señorita, padre, siesta, sabe, poncho, pinto, yerba, hombre, casa and arroyo began to bespatter their speech. They converted calabozo into calaboose, (la) reata into lariat, lazo into lasso, rancho into ranch, and chaparejos into chaps, made free use of such words and phrases as poco, pronto and quien sabe?, and outfitted many Spanish loan-words with derivatives, e.g., ranchman, rancher, ranch-house, to ranch, to lasso, to corral, to cinch, hot-tomale, bronc, box-canyon, peonage, burro-load, -weed, -train and -trail, loco-weed (Sp. loco,

I Vaquero means cowboy, and is used in that sense in Argentina. In the American West it quickly acquired the special sense of a Mexican cowboy, and that sense it retains. Buckaroo seems to have dropped out. The American cowboy is always

a cow-puncher, a cow-hand, or simply a cowboy.

² Mescal like chile, tamale, chocolate, coyote, mesquite, zarape, tobacco and tomato, is of Indian origin, but like all of them it came into the language by way of the Spanish.

crazy), locoed, and so on. It is possible that they borrowed coon, in the sense of a Negro, from the Spanish barracon (commonly pronounced barracoon by the Americans), a rude shelter used by slaves. In the East coon was commonly applied to whites down to the Civil War, and especially to the adherents of Tyler in 1840. The precise history of its transfer to Negroes remains to be investigated.

Most of the terms that I have rehearsed came into the speech of the Western plainsmen and mountain-men before the Civil War, but some of them did not reach the East until the beginning of the movement to pacify and settle the Indian lands, toward the end of the 60's. Many others, in common use by the pioneers, have since sunk to the estate of Westernisms, or dropped out altogether. To the latter class belong adelantado, a military governor; borracho, a drunkard; capitan; comisario, a policeman; ayuntamiento, a city council; and lepero, a beggar. To the former belong amigo, a friend; camino, a road; chico and chiquito, small; campo santo, a cemetery; hacienda, a landed estate; huero, a blond; jornada, a desert; mesa, a tableland; mocho, bob-tailed; mozo, a servant; pinto, piebald; zarape, a cloak; paseo, a trip; and sala, a room. But the effect of Western fiction, of the movies and talkies, of the popularity of pseudo-Spanish bungalow architecture, and of the constant invasion of Southern California by transient visitors has been to keep a large number of Spanish loanwords alive in American speech. Thus most Americans know what a patio is, and a pinto pony, and a hombre. Such words are not often used save in the Southwest, but nevertheless they are understood almost everywhere.1

The period saw the beginning of the great immigrations, and the American people now came into contact, on a large scale, with peo-

The best study of Spanish loanwords is to be found in A Dictionary of Spanish Terms in English, With Special Reference to the American Southwest, by Harold W. Bentley; New York, 1932. This admirable work discusses the Spanish influence upon American at length, and with historical insight, and presents a vocabulary of about 400 terms. In addition there is a list of Indian words that came in through the Spanish, and a long list of Spanish place-names in the United States. There is a brief bib-

liography. See also Geographical Terms in the Far West, by Edward E. Hale, Dialect Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. IV, 1932; A Contribution Towards a Vocabulary of Spanish and Mexican Words Used in Texas, by H. Tallichet, Dialect Notes, Pt. IV, 1892 (with addenda in Pt. V, 1893, and Pt. VII, 1894); Geographical Terms From the Spanish, by Mary Austin, American Speech, Oct., 1933; and The English Language in the Southwest, by T. M. Pearce, New Mexico Historical Review, July, 1932.

ples of divergent race, particularly Germans, Irish Catholics from the South of Ireland (the Irish of colonial days "were descendants of Cromwell's army, and came from the North of Ireland"),1 and, on the Pacific Coast, Chinese. So early as the 20's the immigration to the United States reached 25,000 in a year; in 1824 the Legislature of New York, in alarm, passed a restrictive act.2 The Know-Nothing movement of the 50's need not concern us here. It is enough to recall that the immigration of 1845 passed the 100,000 mark, and that that of 1854 came within sight of 500,000. These new Americans, most of them Germans and Irish, did not all remain in the East; a great many spread through the West and Southwest with the other pioneers. Their effect upon the language was a great deal more profound than most of us think. The Irish, speaking the English of Cromwell's time, greatly reinforced its usages in the United States, where it was beginning to yield to the schoolmarm. "The influence of Irish-English," writes an English correspondent, "is still plainly visible all over the United States. Some years ago, before I had seen America, a relative of mine came home after twelve years' farming in North Dakota, and I was struck by the resemblance between his speech and that of the Irish drovers who brought cattle to Norwich market." 3 The Germans also left indelible marks upon American, and particularly upon the spoken American of the common people. The everyday vocabulary shows many German words and turns of

- I Prescott F. Hall: Immigration; New York, 1913, p. 5. Even in colonial days there were more such non-Anglo-Saxon immigrants than is commonly assumed. Says Frederick J. Turner, in The Frontier in American History, pp. 22–23: "The Scotch-Irish and the Palatine Germans, or Pennsylvania Dutch, furnished the dominant element in the stock of the colonial frontier... Such examples teach us to beware of misinterpreting the fact that there is a common English speech in America into the belief that the stock is also English."
- 2 Most of the provisions of this act, however, were later declared unconstitutional. Several subsequent acts met the same fate.
- 3 The same correspondent adds: "I find very little trace of Scotch on this continent. One might expect to

find it in Toronto, the Presbyterian Lhassa, where slot-machines are removed from the streets on Sunday, but the speech of Toronto is actually not distinguishable from that of Buffalo. That is to say, it is quite Irish. The Scotch are not tenacious of their dialect, in spite of the fuss they make about it. It disappears in the second generation. I have met Prince Edward Islanders who speak Gælic and American, but not Scotch. The affinity between Scotch and French, by the way, is noticeable nowhere more than in the Province of Quebec, where I have met Macdonalds who couldn't speak English. The Scotch surren-der their speech customs more readily than the English, and the Irish, it seems to me, are most tenacious of all."

phrase. Sauerkraut and noodle, as we have seen in Chapter III, Section 1, came in during the colonial period, apparently through the socalled Pennsylvania Dutch, i.e., a mixture, somewhat debased, of the German dialects of Switzerland, Suabia and the Palatinate. The immigrants who came in after 1848 contributed pumpernickel, hausfrau, beer-garden (biergarten), lager-beer, wienerwurst (often reduced to wiener or wienie), frankfurter, bock-beer, sauerbraten, schnitzel, leberwurst (sometimes half translated as liverwurst), blutwurst, dachshund, zwieback, stein (drinking vessel), rathskeller, schweizer (cheese), delicatessen, hamburger (steak), kindergarten and katzenjammer.1 Some of these words did not really lodge in the American vocabulary until after the second great German immigration began in 1870, but nevertheless they were heard before the Civil War. From the Germans, in all probability, there also came two very familiar Americanisms, loafer and bum. The etymology of the former is still to be worked out, but practically all authorities agree that it is of German origin. James Russell Lowell suggested that it was derived from the German laufen (in various dialects, lofen), meaning to run, but this seems improbable, and the Oxford

The majority of these words, it will be noted, relate to eating and drinking. They mirror the profound effect of German immigration upon American drinking habits and the American cuisine. In July, 1921, despite the current prejudice against all things German, I found sourbraten on the bill-of-fare at Delmonico's in New York, and, more surprising still, "braten with potato-salad." The effort to substitute liberty-cabbage for sauerkraut, made by professional patriots in 1918, was a complete failure. It is a fact often observed that loanwords, at least on the level of the common speech, seldom represent the higher aspirations of the creditor nation. French and German mainly have borrowed from English such terms as beefsteak, roastbeef, pudding, grog, jockey, tourist, sport, five-o'clock tea and sweepstakes, and from American such terms as tango, jazz, fox-trot, onestep, cocktail and canoe (often kanu). "The contributions of England to European civilization, as

tested by the English words in Continental languages," says L. P. Smith, "are not, generally, of a kind to cause much national selfcongratulation." See The English Element in Foreign Language, by the same author, in English, March, 1919. Also, English and American Sport Terms in German, by Theodore McClintock, American Speech, Dec., 1933. But on higher levels a more decorous interchange goes on. From German, for example, both English and American have borrowed many scientific words, e.g., psychology, morphology, teleology, oceanography, ecology, spectroscope and statistics; many medical and chemical words, e.g., morphine, laudanum, bacillus, bacterium, ether, creosote, pepsin, protozoa and aniline; and a number of terms in everyday use, e.g., masterpiece, dollar, veneer, homesickness, taximeter, waltz and dahlia. See The German Influence on the English Vocabulary, by Charles T. Carr, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XLII, 1934.

Dictionary rejects the derivation. A much more likely prototype is to be found in the German noun landläufer, meaning a tramp, and this etymology is favored by Ernest Weekley in his "Etymological Dictionary of Modern English" (1921). Thornton's first example is taken from the title of a sketch by Cornelius Matthews, "The Late Ben Smith, Loafer," printed in the Knickerbocker Magazine, for July, 1835. R. H. Dana, in "Two Years Before the Mast" (1840) spoke of loafer as "the newly invented Yankee word"; his book was an expansion of notes made in 1834-6. In 1855, in "Leaves of Grass," Whitman used to loaf in a phrase that seems destined to live: "I loafe [note the original spelling] and invite my soul." Bum was originally bummer, and apparently comes from the German word bummler.1 Both loafer and bummer have provided numerous derivatives: loaf (noun), to loaf, loafing-place, corner-loafer, commonloafer, town-loafer, to bum, bum as an adjective (as in bum steer and bum food), bum's-rush, bumming-place and bummery, not to mention to go (or be) on the bum. Loafer has migrated to England, but bum is still unknown there in the American sense. In England an old English word, bum, dating from the Fourteenth Century, is used to designate the buttocks, and is thus not heard in polite discourse.

Another example of debased German is offered by the American Kriss Kringle. It is from Christkindlein, or Christkind'l, and properly designates, of course, not the patron saint of Christmas, but the child in the manger. A German friend tells me that the form Kriss Kringle, which is that given in "Webster's New International Dictionary," and the form Krisking'l, which is that most commonly used in the United States, are both unknown in Germany. Here,

Thornton offers examples of bummer ranging from 1856 to 1892. Strangely enough, he does not list bum, which has now supplanted it. During the Civil War bummer acquired the special meaning of looter, and was applied by the Southerners to the men of Sherman's army of invasion. Here is a popular rhyme which survived until the early 90's:

Isidor, psht, psht! Vatch de shtore, psht, psht! Vile I ketch de bummer Vhat shtole de suit of clothes! Bummler has bred many derivatives in German, e.g., bummelei, meaning dawdling or laziness; bummelig, unpunctual, careless; bummeln, to waste time, to take it easy; bummelben, a life of ease; bummelzug, a slow train. Einen bummeln machen means to take a leisurely stroll. Once, in Bremen, when my baggage came near missing a train, the portier of my hotel explained that a porter had gebummelt delivering it.

obviously, we have an example of a loan-word undergoing phonetic change. Whole phrases have gone through the same process, for example, nix come erous 1 (from nichts kommt heraus) 2 and 'rous mit 'im (from heraus mit ihm). These phrases, like wie geht's and ganz gut, are familiar to practically all Americans, no matter how complete their ignorance of correct German. Most of them know, too, the meaning of gesundheit, kümmel, seidel, spitzbub, gemütlich, männerchor, schützenfest, sängerfest, turnverein, hoch, yodel and zwei (as in zwei bier). I once found snitz (Ger. schnitz) in the elegant pages of Town Topics.3 Prosit is in all American dictionaries.4 Bower, as used in cards, is an Americanism derived from the German bauer (peasant), meaning the jack. Poker, according to the Oxford Dictionary, is probably derived from pochspiel, "a similar bluffing card-game of considerable age, from pochen, to boast, brag, literally to knock, rap." From a correspondent I have a somewhat different account of this game. "Its name," he says, "is derived from the fact that at one stage of the play the players in turn declare the state of

I Lincoln used nix come erous in a letter dated Nov. 11, 1854. It is quoted in Lincoln the Man, by Edgar Lee Masters; New York,

1931, p. 226.

2 Whether nix came into American direct from the German or by way of the English thieves' argot I do not know. The Oxford Dictionary's first example, dated 1789, is from George Parker's Life's Painter. "How they have brought a German word into cant," says Parker, "I know not, but nicks means nothing in the cant language." Bartlett, Farmer and Thornton fail to list it. A great many English criminals came to the United States between 1800 and the Civil War, and they brought some of their argot with them. Perhaps nix was included. Whatever the fact, the word bred a derivative, nixie, which seems to be peculiar to American. In the United States Official Postoffice Guide for 1885 nixie was defined as "a term used in the railway mail service to denote matter of domestic origin, chiefly of the second and first class, which is unmailable because addressed to places

which are not postoffices, or to States, etc., in which there is no such postoffice as that indicated in the address." Its meaning has since been extended to include all mail "so incorrectly, illegibly, indefi-nitely or insufficiently addressed that it cannot be transmitted." (Sec. 1639, Postal Laws and Regulations.) The Postoffice informs me that it has no record showing when the word was introduced. Nicht is also at the bottom of nit, aber nit, nixy and nitsky, but most of them came in after the period under review. See Substitutes for No, by T. J. S., American Speech, Aug., 1927. In some of the German dialects nicht becomes not or nit, and nichts becomes nix.

3 Jan. 24, 1918, p. 4.

4 Nevertheless, when I once put it into a night-letter a Western Union office refused to accept it, the rules then requiring all night-letters to be in "plain English." Meanwhile, the English have borrowed it from American, and it is in the Oxford Dictionary. It comes originally from student Latin, but has been in German for centuries.

their hands by either passing or opening. Those who pass, signify it by saying 'Ich poche' or 'Ich poch.' This is sometimes indicated by knocking on the table with one's knuckles." But poker remains an enigma, and many other theories to account for the origin of the name have been advanced. In the Fourteenth Edition of the Encyclopædia Britannica (1929) R. F. Foster, author of several well-known card manuals, says that the game is of Persian origin, and reached the United States by way of New Orleans. Its Persian name is as nas, "but owing to its resemblance to the French game of poque and the German game of pochen, the French colonists called it poque, and this spelling was mispronounced by the English-speaking players as po-que, easily converted into po-ker." Schele de Vere, in his "Americanisms" (1872) derived poker from the French poche, a pocket, but apparently on very shaky grounds.

The exclamation ouch is classed as an Americanism by Thornton, and he gives an example dated 1837. The Oxford Dictionary refers it to the German autsch, and Thornton says that "it may have come across with the Dunkers or the Mennonites." All of the Oxford's examples are American, for ouch is seldom used in English, save in the sense of a clasp or buckle set with precious stones (from the Old French nouche), and even in that sense it is archaic. Shyster may be German also; Thornton has traced it back to the 50's.1 Rum-dumb is grounded upon the meaning of dumb borrowed from the Germans; it is not listed in the English slang dictionaries. Bristed says that the American meaning of wagon, which indicates almost any four-wheeled, horse-drawn vehicle in this country but only the very heaviest in England, was probably influenced by the German wagen. He also suggests that the American use of hold on for stop was influenced by the German halt an, and White says that the substitution of standpoint for point of view, long opposed by purists, was first made by an American professor who sought "an Anglicized form" of the German standpunkt. Other etymologists, professional and amateur, have discerned German influences in the peculiarly American use of fresh in the sense of saucy or impudent (Ger. frech); in gee-whiz (Ger. gewiss - but this is hardly convincing); in the American preference for shoe as against the English boot

suffix -ster is not uncommon in English, and that it usually carries a deprecatory significance.

I Thornton's first example shows a variant spelling, shuyster. All subsequent examples show the present spelling. It is to be noted that the

(Ger. schuh); in the common use of Bladder as a derisory title for a small and bad newspaper (Ger. käseblatt); in stunt (Ger. stunde, hour—another one hard to believe); in the American bub, once commonly used in addressing a boy (Ger. bube); in the American use of check instead of the English bill to designate a restaurant reckoning (Ger. zeche); and in such phrases as it is to laugh, and five minutes of three (instead of the English to three). German influence may also have something to do with the extraordinary facility with which American forms compound nouns. In most other modern languages the process is rare, and English itself lags far behind American. But in German it is almost unrestricted. "It is," says Logan Pearsall Smith, "a great step in advance toward that ideal language in which meaning is expressed, not by terminations, but by the simple method of word-position."

German, like Dutch, Spanish and French, has naturally left its most salient traces in those areas with the largest population of German-speaking immigrants. In the so-called Pennsylvania Dutch regions of Pennsylvania and in some of the Western States a great many Germanisms are in circulation. In the former, says W. H. Allen,1 "many words and constructions are obviously of German origin. That equals so that, as in 'We like our mince-pie piping hot that it steams inside.' A tut or a paper tut is a paper bag. Verdrübt means sad. The freinschaft is the relationship. All is all gone, as in 'The butter is all.' Look means be fitting, as in 'It doesn't look for two girls to go there alone." Mr. Allen lists many other localisms, among them, glick, to come out right (Ger. glück); siffer, a heavy drinker (Ger. säufer); and ritschi, a frozen pond used for sliding (Ger. rutschen, to slide). Santa Claus, in such areas, is usually Belsnickel, as indeed he was among the Germans of Baltimore when I was a boy.² A. W. Meyer has assembled some curious examples from the Middle West,8 for example, brickstein for brick (Ger. backstein), heurack for hayrack (Ger. heu, hay), and büchershelf for bookshelf (Ger. bücher, books). Plunder is still often used in

In Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. II,

^{1914,} p. 157.

2 See also Linguistic Substrata in Pennsylvania and Elsewhere, by R. Whitney Tucker, Language, March, 1934. Dr. Tucker discusses the phonology of the American spoken in lower Pennsylvania. Hex,

meaning a witch, is in common use there, and in 1930 the sensational trial of a York county hex-doctor made the term familiar throughout the United States.

³ Some German-Americanisms from the Middle West, *American Speech*, Dec., 1926.

that part of the country to designate baggage, a usage probably suggested by the identical German word, and going back to the first years of the Nineteenth Century. A peculiar intonation is remarked by visitors to the Pennsylvania German towns. "The voice," says Mr. Allen, "is raised at the beginning of a question and lowered at the end." Whether this is due to German influence I do not know, but it is also noticeable when the native speaker is using what passes locally for German. Mr. Allen goes on:

Questions frequently contain an ain't: "You'll do that, ain't you will?." "You won't do that, ain't you won't?." "He's been gone a long time, ain't he has?" If one asks "Have you any good apples?" the answer is "I do." "Don't you think?" with a falling inflection is often added to questions. The most striking idiom is the use of till (and until) as a conjunction meaning by the time, and as a preposition meaning at or on (temporarily). "It will be raining till we get home." "We were tired till we were there." "We'll be back till (at) six." A sort of genitive of time is found in this sentence: "She came Saturdays and left Mondays." In each instance this means one particular day. An ethical dative is often heard: "Little Thomas ran away for his mother yesterday."

The immigrants from the South of Ireland, during the period between the War of 1812 and the Civil War, exerted an influence upon the language that was vastly greater than that of the Germans, both directly and indirectly, but their contributions to the actual vocabulary were probably less. They gave American, indeed, very few new words; perhaps speakeasy, shillelah and smithereens exhaust the list. Lallapalooza may also be an Irish loan-word, though it is not Gælic, nor even English. It apparently comes from allay-foozee, a Mayo provincialism, signifying a sturdy fellow. Allay-foozee, in its turn, comes from the French allez-fusil, meaning "Forward the muskets! "-a memory, according to P. W. Joyce,1 of the French landing at Killala in 1798. Such phrases as Erin go bragh and such expletives as begob and begorry may perhaps be added: they have got into American understanding, though they are surely not distinctive Americanisms. But of far more importance, in the days of the great immigrations, than these few contributions to the vocabulary were certain speech habits that the Irish brought with them habits of pronunciation, of syntax, and even of grammar. These habits were, in part, the fruit of efforts to translate the idioms of Gælic into English, and in part survivals from the English of the

¹ English As We Speak It in Ireland, 2nd ed.; London, 1910, pp. 179-180.

age of James I. The latter, preserved by Irish conservatism in speech, came into contact in America with habits surviving, with more or less change, from the same time, and so gave those American habits an unmistakable reinforcement. The Yankees have lived down such Jacobean pronunciations as tay for tea and desave for deceive, and these forms, on Irish lips, strike them as uncouth and absurd, but they still cling, in their common speech, to such forms as b'ist for hoist, bile for boil, chaw for chew, jine for join, sass for sauce, heighth for height, rench for rinse and lep for leaped, and the employment of precisely the same forms by the thousands of Irish immigrants who spread through the country undoubtedly gave them support, and so protected them, in a measure, from the assault of the purists. And the same support was give to drownded for drowned, oncet for once, ketch for catch, ag'in for against and onery for ordinary. C. H. Grandgent shows in "Old and New" (1920) that the so-called Irish oi- sound in jine and bile was still regarded as correct in the United States so late as 1822, though certain New England grammarians, eager to establish the more recent English usage, had protested against it before the end of the Eighteenth Century. The Irish who came in in the 30's joined the populace in the war upon the reform, and to this day some of the old forms survive on the lower levels of the national speech.

Certain usages of Gælic, carried over into the English of Ireland, fell upon fertile soil in America. One was the employment of the definite article before nouns, as in French and German. An Irishman does not say "I am good at Latin," but "I am good at the Latin." In the same way an American does not say "I had measles," but "I had the measles." There is, again, the use of the prefix a before various continuing verbs, as in a-going and a-riding. This usage, of course, is native to English, but it is much more common in the Irish dialect, on account of the influence of the parallel Gælic form, and it is also much more common in American. There is, yet again, a use of intensifying prefixes and suffixes, often set down as characteristically American, which may have been borrowed from the Irish. Examples of such stretch-forms are no-siree, yes-indeedy, teetotal. The Irishman is almost incapable of saying plain yes or no; he must always add some extra and gratuitous asseveration. The American

Amusing examples are to be found in Donlevy's Irish Catechism. To

the question, "Is the Son God?" the answer is not simply "Yes," but

is in like case. His speech bristles with intensives, so the Irish extravagance of speech struck a responsive chord in the American heart, and American borrowed, not only occasional words, but whole phrases, and some of them have become thoroughly naturalized. Joyce shows the Irish origin of many locutions that are now often mistaken for native inventions, for example, dead as an intensive, not to mention many familiar similes and proverbs. Certain Irish pronunciations, Gælic rather than archaic English, got into American during the early Nineteenth Century, often with humorous effect. Among them, one recalls bhoy, which entered our political slang in the middle 40's but has since passed out.

From other languages the borrowings during the period of growth were naturally less. Down to the last decades of the Nineteenth Century, the overwhelming majority of immigrants were either Germans or Irish; the Jews, Italians, Scandinavians and Slavs were yet to come. But the first Chinese appeared in 1848, and soon their speech began to contribute its inevitable loan-words. These words were first adopted by the argonauts of the Pacific Coast, and a great many of them have remained Western localisms. A number of others have got into the common speech of the whole country. Of such sort are the verbs to yen (meaning to desire strongly, as a Chinaman is supposed to desire opium), to flop (meaning to sleep or lie down), and to kowtow, and the nouns joss, chow, yok-a-mi, fantan, chopsuey, chow-mein 1 and tong. Josshouse, flophouse, tong-war, and chopsuey-joint are familiar derivatives. Contrary to what seems to be a popular opinion, hop is not Chinese. It is simply the common name of the Humulus lupulus, which, in English folklore, has long been held to have a soporific effect. Hop-pillows were brought to American by the first English colonists. Neither is high-binder a

[&]quot;Yes, certainly He is." And to the question, "Will God reward the good and punish the wicked?" the answer is "Certainly; there is no doubt He will."

I The newspapers often report the discovery that neither chop-suey or chow-mein is a Chinese dish. This is probably true of the former. I have been told that it is a mixture of Chinese dishes, concocted for the American palate, and that the

name, in Chinese, means slops. But according to Joe Lin, national secretary of the On Leong Chinese Merchants Association (quoted in the Minneapolis Star, April 19, 1929), chow-mein is actually Chinese, though it has been "a bit flavored up for Western palates." I am indebted here to Mr. R. S. Kelly, of the Honolulu Star-Bulletin.

translation from a Chinese term, as seems to be commonly believed. So long ago as 1840 Edgar Allan Poe wrote in his Marginalia:

As to high-binder which is so confidently quoted as modern ("not in use, certainly, before 1819,") I can refute all that is said by referring to a journal in my own possession—the Weekly Inspector, for Dec. 27, 1806—published in New York:

"On Christmas Eve, a party of banditti, amounting, it is stated, to forty or fifty members of an association, calling themselves *high-binders*, assembled in front of St. Peter's Church, in Barclay-street, expecting that the Catholic ritual would be performed with a degree of pomp and splendor which has usually been omitted in this city. These ceremonies, however, not taking place, the *high-binders* manifested great displeasure."

In a subsequent number, the association are called hide-binders. They were Irish.

THE LANGUAGE TODAY

I. AFTER THE CIVIL WAR

The general characteristics of American English have been sufficiently described in the preceding chapters. It has maintained them unbrokenly since Jackson's day, though there was a formidable movement to bring it into greater accord with English precept and example during the years following the Civil War. This movement was led by such purists as Edward S. Gould, William D. Whitney and Richard Grant White, and seems to have got its chief support from schoolmarms, male and female, on the one hand, and from Anglomaniacs on the other. Gould, in 1867, brought out his "Good English," the first of what was to be a long series of hortatory deskbooks, by himself and other sages.2 He began by arguing that English, "within the last quarter of a century, through the agency of good writers, critics and lexicographers," had been "in many respects greatly improved," but lamented that there had also gone on a compensatory deterioration, and "in greater proportion." He said that he was not opposed, in principle, to "the fabrication of new words, and the new use of old words," but he maintained that such changes should be undertaken only by "educated men," each of

I Henry Cabot Lodge says in his essay, Colonialism in the United States, printed in his Studies in History (1884), that "the luxurious fancies which were born of increased wealth, and the intellectual tastes which were developed by the advances of the higher education . . revived the dying spirit of colonialism." This spirit was confined largely to "young men who despised everything American and admired everything English." Such persons, says Lodge, "flatter themselves with being cosmopolitans, when in truth they are genuine col-

onists, petty and provincial to the last degree."

2 Gould was born at Litchfield, Conn., in 1805, and died in New York in 1885. He lectured, contributed to the magazines, and wrote books and plays. In 1836 he published his Lectures Delivered Before the Mercantile Library Association, apparently as a counterblast to Samuel Lorenzo Knapp's Lectures on American Literature (1829). In this book he deplored the whooping up of American authors, and argued for the superiority of the British.

them capable of assuming "the burden of proof in support of his innovation." For the inventions of the "ignorant" he had only contempt and contumely, and in the forefront of the ignorant he put "the men generally who write for the newspapers." He then proceeded to denounce some of the familiar bugaboos of the English Americophobes, including to jeopardize (he agreed with Noah Webster that to jeopard was better), controversialist (though it had been used by Macaulay), leniency (though it had been used by Coleridge and even by the Edinburgh Review), underhanded, to donate, standpoint, to demean, over his signature, to open up, and try and.

White, like Gould, pretended to a broad tolerance, and even went to the length of admitting that "language is rarely corrupted, and is often enriched, by the simple, unpretending, ignorant man, who takes no thought of his parts of speech." More, he argued in the third chapter of his "Words and Their Uses" (1870) that the English spoken and written in the United States was at least as good as that spoken and written in England. But at once it appeared that he was assuming that the Boston dialect was Standard American. "Next," he said, "to that tone of voice which, it would seem, is not to be acquired by any striving in adult years, and which indicates breeding rather than education, the full, free, unconscious utterance of the broad ab sound of a is the surest indication in speech of social culture which began at the cradle." He then proceeded to denounce most of the Americanisms in Gould's Index Expurgatorius, with the addition of gubernatorial, presidential, reliable, balance (remainder), editorial, real-estate, railroad (he preferred the English railway), telegrapher (he preferred -ist), dirt (as in dirt-road: he believed it should be restricted to its English sense of filth), icewater (he preferred iced), and the verbs to locate ("a common Americanism, insufferable to ears at all sensitive"), to enthuse, to aggravate, and to resurrect.2

1 A book made up of articles contributed to the New York Galaxy in 1867, 1868 and 1869.

2 White was born in 1821 and died in 1885. He studied both medicine and law, but preferred journalism, and later had a political job in New York. He edited the Riverside Shakespeare, which is still in print. He was extremely dogmatic, and a chronic controversialist. Perhaps his chief claim to fame is the fact that he was the father of Stanford White, the architect, whose assassination in 1906 made a famous sensation. His pedantic effort to limit the field of Americanisms has been described in Chapter I, Section 5.

Gould's pedantries were attacked by G. Washington Moon, the antagonist of Dean Alford, in "Bad English Exposed" (c. 1868; 4th ed., 1871; 8th ed., 1882), and with the same weapon that had proved so effective against the dean-that is, by showing that Gould himself wrote very shaky English, judged by his own standards. White was belabored by Fitzedward Hall in "Recent Exemplifications of False Philology" (1872) and again in "Modern English" (1873). Hall was a man of extraordinary learning and knew how to use it.1 As one of the collaborators in the Oxford Dictionary he had access to its enormous store of historical material, then still unpublished, and that material he flung at White with great precision and effect. In particular, he brought heavy batteries to bear upon White's reverence for the broad a of Boston, and upon the doctrine, set forth in "Words and Their Uses," that "the authority of general usage, or even of the usage of great writers, is not absolute in language" - that "there is a misuse of words which can be justified by no authority, however great, by no usage, however general." He said:

The critic neglects to furnish us with any criterion, or set of criteria, his own mandates and ordinances excepted, by which to decide when the misuse of a word becomes impossible of justification. His animadversions, where original, are, I believe, in almost every case, founded either on caprice, or defective information, or both. . . . We shall search in vain—for all the world as if he had been bred at Oxford—to find him conceding, as within the compass of the credible, the fallibility of his private judgments, or the inexhaustiveness of his meagre deductions.

Here, it will be noted by the judicious, Hall's righteous indignation ran away with his pen, and he wrote *inexhaustiveness* when he meant its opposite. His two books, with their close-packed and almost endless footnotes, presented a vast amount of philological

r He was born at Troy, N. Y. in 1825, and educated at Harvard. He then went to India in search of a runaway brother. Settling there, he undertook the study of Sanskrit, and soon mastered it sufficiently to be made professor of it at Benares. He printed many learned editions of the Indian classics. In 1860 Oxford made him a D.C.L. and in 1862 he became professor of Sanskrit, Hindustani and Indian jurisprudence at King's College, Lon-

don. In 1864 he became examiner in Hindustani for the British Civil Service Commission, and in 1880 he succeeded Max Müller as examiner in Sanskrit. He not only had an important hand in the Oxford Dictionary, but was also a collaborator in Joseph Wright's monumental English Dialect Dictionary (1896–1905). He died in 1901, much honored in England but hardly known in his own country.

knowledge, and should have been sufficient to destroy the baleful influence of White, whose learning was mainly only pretension. But, as George H. Knight says in "Modern English in the Making," Hall was undone by his very virtues. His scholarly approach and forbidding accumulation of facts repelled more readers than they attracted, and so he failed to prevail against his "amateurish rivals and opponents," though "the soundness of his methods has been generally recognized by the expert." Gould and White thus had it all their own way, and their pedantries were accented with complete gravity by the pedagogues of the 70's and 80's. White's "Words and Their Uses," in fact, is still in print and still enjoys a considerable esteem, and there are many latter-day imitations of it, most of them as cocksure as it is, and as dubious.

But the effort made by the authors of such works to police the language, though it has always had the ardent support of certain eminent American literati and of almost the whole body of pedagogues, has never really impeded the natural progress of American. It has gone on developing in spite of them, and in innocent accord with its native genius. The collections amassed for the "Dictionary of American English on Historical Principles" show that in the very heyday of White a large number of New Americanisms of characteristic vigor and vulgarity were coming in, and coming in to stay - among them, wire-puller and to strike oil in 1867, and boom and to boom a few years after. A glance through Thornton, Bartlett and the Oxford Dictionary and Supplement turns up many another of the same pungent sort - claw-hammer (coat, 1869), mule-skinner. and jack-rabbit (1870), tangle-foot (whiskey, 1871), cuss-word (Mark Twain, 1872), hoodlum (1872), dead-beat (Petroleum V. Nasby, 1872), jam and jig-saw (1873), sand (courage, Bret Harte, 1875), grub-stake and hold-up (both c. 1875), freeze-out and slate (political, 1877), heeler (c. 1877), stalwart (political) and crook (1878), set-back, joint (a low den) and spellbinder (c. 1880). To them may be added the adverbs to a frazzle (General John B. Gordon to General Robert E. Lee, 1865) and concededly (1882), and the verbs to itemize (Webster, 1864), to go through (to plunder, 1867), to go back on (1868), to suicide (1871), to guy (1872), to light out (1878), to side-track (1880) and to injunct (1880). Many of these novelties were either invented or given currency by the emerging authors of the new American school-Walt Whitman, Bret Harte, Mark Twain, W. D. Howells,¹ and the lesser humorists. Others popped up in the newspapers and in the debates in Congress. Some lasted for no more than a few brief months, or even weeks, and then joined the innumerable caravan of obsolete Americanisms; others got no higher in the vocabulary than the level of slang or argot, and linger there yet; still others gradually made their way into standard usage. It is, indeed, very difficult, dealing with neologisms, to know how to rate them. The most seemly, etymologically speaking, are often rejected in the long run, and the most grotesque are accepted. Many more go on dwelling in a twilight region, ordinarily disdained but summoned out for service on special occasions. In that twilight region are large numbers of the words that everyone who investigates the American language must discuss.

2. THE MAKING OF NEW NOUNS

All of the processes for the formation of new words that are distinguished by philologians have been in active operation in the United States since Jackson's time, and after the Civil War their workings took on a new impetus. It would take us beyond the range of the present work to attempt to trace those workings in any detail, but a few typical examples may be examined. Consider, for instance, the process called clipping, back-shortening, or back-formation — a sort of instinctive search for short roots in long words. This habit, in Restoration days, precipitated a quasi-English word, *mobile*, from

That Whitman, Howells and Mark Twain were acutely conscious of the changes that were occurring in American I have shown by quotations from them in Chapter I, Section 6. Howells, by an almost incredible paradox, was praised by White and denounced by Hall. White, in Words and Their Uses, spoke of his "unobtrusive and seemingly unconscious mastery of idiomatic English," but Hall, in Recent Exemplifications of False Philology, said that "among American writers of rising fame whose English is noticeably bad, Mr. Howells stands somewhat eminent." He then proceeded to belabor Howells's use

of to aggravate, on the street, to anecdote, muletress, mutual friends, to discommode, to experience, reliable and unrivaledest. Some of these were obviously only nonce-words, used with humorous intent. Others were perfectly good American, and so remain. Hall's onslaught is hardly to be taken seriously; he was simply using Howells as a club to beat White. On p. 106 he belabored Howells for using to experience and reliable, but on p. 31 he defended the former vigorously against White, and on p. 100 he defended the latter. Such are the follies of the learned!

the Latin mobile vulgus, and in the days of William and Mary it went a step further by precipitating mob from mobile. Mob is now sound English, but in the Eighteenth Century it was violently attacked by the purists then in eruption,1 and though it survived their onslaught they undoubtedly greatly impeded the formation and adoption of other words of the same category. There are, however, many more in Standard English, e.g., patter from paternoster, van from caravan, spats from spatterdashes, wig from periwig, cab from cabriolet, gin from geneva, curio from curiosity, and pun from pundigrion.2 In Eighteenth Century America, save for a few feeble protests from Witherspoon and Boucher, they went unchallenged, and as a result they multiplied. Rattler for rattlesnake, pike for turnpike, coon for raccoon, possum for opossum, cuss for customer, squash for askutasquash - these American clipped forms are already antique; Sabbaday for Sabbath-day has actually reached the dignity of an archaism, as has the far later chromo for chromolithograph. They are still formed in great numbers, and scarcely a new substantive of more than two syllables comes in without bringing one in its wake. We have thus, in recent years, witnessed the genesis of phone for telephone, gas for gasoline, photo for photograph, movie for moving picture, and auto for automobile. Some of these newcomers linger below the salt, e.g., pep for pepper, plute for plutocrat, pug for pugilist, vamp for vampire, pen for penitentiary, defi for defiance, ambish for ambition, pash for passion, beaut for beauty, steno or stenog for stenographer, loot for lieutenant, champ for champion, simp for simpleton, sap for saphead, mutt for muttonhead and jit for jitney, but many others, once viewed askance, are now in more or less decorous usage, e.g., smoker for smoking-car,

Among them, Jonathan Swift. In the Tatler, Sept. 28, 1710, he contended that "monosyllables are the disgrace of our land." "We cram one syllable," he continued, "and cut off the rest, as the owl fattened her mice after she had bit off their legs, to prevent them running away. If ours be the same reason for maiming our words, it will certainly answer the end; for I am sure no other nation will desire to borrow them."

2 There is an interesting discussion of such words in Otto Jespersen's Growth and Structure of the English Language, 3rd ed.; Leipzig, 1919, pp. 170-2. See also Stunts in Language, by Louise Pound, English Journal, Vol. IX, No. 2, Feb., 1920; Essays on English, by Brander Matthews; New York, 1921, p. 107 ff; Neuenglische Kurzformbildungen, by Leo Müller; Giessen, 1923; and Clipped Words: A Convenience and a Custom, in Do You Talk Like That?, by Richard Burton; Indianapolis, 1929, p. 213 ff. This etymology for mutt is sup-

ton; Indianapolis, 1929, p. 213 ff.

This etymology for mutt is supported by Bud Fisher, creator of Mutt and Jeff. See the Editor and Publisher, April 17, 1919, p. 21.

diner for dining-car, sleeper for sleeping-car, pa for papa, ma for mamma, flu for influenza, drapes for draperies, bronc for bronco, memo for memorandum, quotes for quotation-marks and knicker for knickerbocker.1 Back-formations often originate in college slang, e.g., prof for professor, prom for promenade (dance), grad for graduate (noun), co-ed from the adjective co-educational, medic for medical-student, frat for fraternity, gym for gymnasium, dorm for dormitory, U for university, Y for Y.M.C.A., plebe for plebeian,2 or in other varieties of slang, argot or dialect, e.g., skeeter or skeet for mosquito, cap for captain, con for convict, coke for cocaine, doc for doctor, foots for footlights, hon for honey, pard for partner, rube for Reuben, sarge for sergeant, snap for snapshot, diff for difference, ham for hamfatter, pop for populist, spec for speculation, typo for typographer, secesh for secession and prelim for preliminary.8 Ad for advertisement is struggling hard for recognition; some of its compounds, e.g., ad-writer, want-ad, display-ad, ad-rate and ad-man are already accepted. * Boob for booby promises to become sound American in a few years; its synonyms are no more respectable than it is. At its heels are bo for hobo, and bunk for buncombe, two altogether fit successors to bum for bummer. Try

In the Thorndike-Century Junior Dictionary; Chicago, 1935, edited by Dr. E. L. Thorndike, of Teach-ers College, Columbia, for the use of the young, the following are listed without any indication that they are not in good usage: coon, pike, phone, gas, photo, movie, diner, sleeper, auto, smoker, bum, drape and knicker. But possum is stated to be in use only "in common talk," and cuss, draw, talkie, flu, pep and memo are omitted altogether.

2 See College Words and Phrases, by E. H. Babbitt, Dialect Notes, Vol.

II, Pt. I, 1900.
3 A long list of such forms is in Clipped Words, by Elisabeth Wittmann, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt.

II, 1914. 4 In 1918 William C. D'Arcy, then president of a national association of advertising clubs, condemned the use of ad in high, astounding terms. "It is," he said, "the language of bootblacks, and is beneath the dig-

nity of men of the advertising profession." In 1925 Robert H. Cornell, executive secretary of an advertis-ing men's convention held at Hous-ton, Tex., "asked for the coöperation of the newspapers of Houston, the local advertisers, and all local organizations that have anything to do with the convention to avoid use of the abbreviation in all printed matter and letters going out in connection with the convention." See Associated Advertising, Jan., 1925. But Associated Advertising was forced to add that "many advertising clubs throughout the United States are commonly called Ad-Clubs, some of them even using the abbreviation on their letterheads, in their constitutions and bylaws, and in literature which they send out."

5 Bunk seems to have come in about 1910. It was first listed in the Addendum to Webster's New International Dictionary in 1918. The definite article often precedes it.

for trial, as in "He made a try at it," is also making progress, though only, so far, on the lower levels.

All the other historical processes of word-formation are to be observed among the new American nouns. There is, for example, a large stock of blends in the current vocabulary. A number of such words, of course, are in Standard English, e.g., Lewis Carroll's chortle (from chuckle and snort), squawk (from squeak and squall), dumbfound (from dumb and confound) and luncheon (from lunch and nuncheon, the first going back to the Sixteenth Century and the second to the Fourteenth), but American began to make contributions at an early date, e.g., gerrymander (from Gerry and salamander, c. 1812), and it has been supplying English with others ever since, e.g., cablegram (from cable and telegram) and electrolier (electric and chandelier). A few additional examples will suffice: boost (boom and hoist, and maybe boast),1 Aframerican (African and American), Amerind (American and Indian),2 hellenium (Hell and millennium), pulmotor (pulmonary and motor) and travelogue (travel and monologue).3 Many words of this class are trade names,

- I See Boost, by Klara H. Collitz, American Speech, Sept., 1926. Thornton traced boost to 1825 and to boost to 1826.
- 2 Aframerican was invented by Sir Harry Johnston, but remains a rarity in England. Amerind, which preceded it, was first used in the publications of the Bureau of American Ethnology, c. 1900. Dr. Robert H. Lowie tells me that he has heard that "it developed from merging the two abbreviations, Amer. and Ind., which figured on the labels of specimens in the National Museum." Dr. Frank H. Vizetelly says in How to Use English; New York, 1932, p. 70, that it was coined by Major J. W. Powell of the Bureau of American Ethnology in 1899, first as Amerindian and then in the contracted form.
- 3 Burton Holmes, the lecturer, wrote to me as follows on Jan. 16, 1935: "In 1904 we planned an invasion of London with our *lectures*—a word that repels the ticket-buyer. My late manager, Louis Francis Brown, worried himself sick over

the problem. When he came out of his pneumonia delirium he murmured weakly, 'Eureka! Travelogue!', and we proceeded to broadcast the word in our publicity. Later, the late Dr. [R. R.] Bowker [1848-1933] wrote us that he was the coiner of the word, and submitted circulars of an earlier date in which it was used thus: 'Each of Dr. Bowker's lectures is a complete travelogue of ---.' He had never used the word in any other way. We never saw it in print until he sent his circular. We were the first to give it any important publicity. Then everybody borrowed it, and we dropped it for travel-revue, screen-journey, and other inventions of our own. I have heard pianologues, naturelogues and other shockers." To these organlog, used in the movies, may be added. Mr. Holmes seems to have made an error of a year in the date of his début in London. The Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary gives the following from the London Daily Chronicle of April 16, 1903: "Mr. made of initials or other parts of corporation names, e.g., socony (Standard Oil Company of New York), ampico (American Piano Company), nabisco (National Biscuit Company), or by other devices, e.g., bromo-seltzer (bromide and seltzer) and japalac (Japanese and lacquer). To the same class belong such blends as Bancamerica and Bancorporation. The American advertiser is also a very diligent manufacturer of wholly new terms, and many of his coinages, e.g., vaseline, cellophane, carborundum, pianola, kotex, victrola, uneeda, listerine, postum, lux, and kodak are quite as familiar

Burton Holmes, an American entertainer new to London, delivered last evening the first of a series of travelogues."

I The oil men seem to be especially fond of such blends. See Trade Names in the Petroleum Industry, by Dora Lee Brauer, American

Speech, April, 1935.

2 See Blends: Their Relation to English Word Formation, by Louise Pound; Heidelberg, 1914; Some New Portmanteau Words, by Robert Withington, Philological Quarterly, April, 1930; More Portmanteau Coinages, by the same, American Speech, Feb., 1932; Dickensian and Other Blends, by the same, American Speech, Oct., 1933; Blends, by Steven T. Byington, American Speech, Oct., 1927; Blend-Words in English, by Harold Wentworth; Ithaca, N. Y., 1933; Iteratives, Blends and Streckformen, by F. A. Wood, Modern Philology, Oct., 1911; Some English Blends, by the same, Modern Language Notes, June, 1912; On Blendings of Synonymous or Cognate Expressions in English, by G. A. Bergström, Lund (Sweden), 1906.

3 Vaseline was coined by Robert A. Chesebrough in 1870 or thereabout. It was made of the German wasser, meaning water, and the Greek elaion, meaning oil. Mr. Chesebrough was of the opinion that "petroleum is produced by the decomposition of water in the earth, and the union of the hydrogen thus evolved with the carbon of certain rocks, under the influence of heat

and pressure." (Private communication from Mr. T. J. Dobbins, secretary of the Chesebrough Manufacturing Company, March 15, 1935). Vaseline now appears in all the German and French dictionaries, but all rights to the name are still vested in the Chesebrough Company. Its original trade-mark was renewed on July 25, 1905, and upheld by a decree of the U. S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, May 26, 1933. It was similarly upheld in England by the Court of Appeal in 1902. Vaseline is now in most of the Continental languages.

4 Listerine, of course, is derived from the name of Lord Lister, the English surgeon who brought in aseptic surgery, but it was coined in the United States. Lord Lister objected to the use of his name,

but in vain.

5 Kodak was coined by George Eastman, inventor of the camera, and he registered it as a trademark on Sept. 4, 1888. Its origin is described in George Eastman, by Carl W. Ackerman; New York, 1930. The k was suggested by the fact that it was the first letter of his mother's family name. Kodak has got into all the Continental languages. In October, 1917, the Verband Deutscher Amateurphotographen-Vereine was moved to the following warning: "Wer von einem Kodak spricht und nur allgemein eine photographische Kamera meint, bedenkt nicht, dass er mit der Weiterverto all Americans as tractor or soda-mint, and have come into general acceptance as common nouns. Dr. Louise Pound has made an interesting study of these artificial trade-names.1 They fall, she finds, into a number of well-defined classes. There are those that are simply derivatives from proper names, e.g., listerine, postum; the blends, e.g., jap-a-lac, locomobile, cuticura; the extensions with common suffixes, e.g., alabastine, protectograph, dictograph, orangeade, crispette, pearline; the extensions with new or fanciful suffixes, e.g., resinol, thermos, shinola, sapolio, lysol, neolin, crisco; the diminutives, e.g., cascaret, wheatlet, chiclet; the simple compounds, e.g., palmolive, spearmint, peptomint, autocar; the blends made of proper names, e.g., oldsmobile, hupmobile, valspar; the blends made of parts of syllables or simple initials, e.g., reo, nabisco; the terms involving substitutions, e.g., triscuit; and the arbitrary formations, e.g., kodak, tiz, kotex,2 vivil. Brander Matthews once published an Horatian ode, of unknown authorship, made up of such inventions:

Chipeco thermos dioxygen, temco sonora tuxedo Resinol fiat bacardi, camera ansco wheatena;
Antiskid pebeco calox, oleo tyco barometer
Postum nabisco!
Prestolite arco congoleum, karo aluminum kryptok,
Crisco balopticon lysol, jello bellans, carborundum!
Ampico clysmic swoboda, pantasote necco britannica
Encyclopaedia? 3

One of the words here used is not American, but Italian, i.e., fiat, a blend made of the initials of Fabbrica Italiano Automobili Torino,

breitung dieses Wortes die deutsche Industrie zugunsten der amerikanisch-englischen schädigt." Despite this warning, kodak is in all the more recent German (and French dictionaries. In American there are a number of familiar derivatives, e.g., to kodak, kodaker, kodak-fiend.

Word-Coinage and Modern Trade

Names, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. I, 1913. See also Robots of Language, by Henry Bellamann, Yale Review, Sept., 1929.

² In Trade-Name Suffixes, American Speech, July, 1927, Walter E. Myers calls attention to the popularity of -ex and -tex. He cites, among other familiar trade-terms, cutex, pyrex, kleenex, and celotex. He sur-

mises that -tex may owe something to texture. The etymology of some of these names is obvious, but others are somewhat puzzling. Pyrex, a name for glass ovenware, was not suggested by the Greek pyra, a hearth, but by the humble English word pie. The first baking-dish brought out was a pie-plate. For this I am indebted to Mr. William H. Curtiss, vice-president of the Corning Glass Works, Corning, N. Y.

³ The Advertiser's Artful Aid, Bookman, Feb., 1919. See also Word-Coinage, by Leon Mead; New York, n.d., and Burgess Unabridged, by Gelett Burgess; New York, 1914.

but most of the others are quite familiar to all Americans. Says Matthews:

Only a few of them would evoke recognition from an Englishman; and what a Frenchman or a German would make out of the eight lines is beyond human power even to guess. Corresponding words have been devised in France and in Germany, but only infrequently; and apparently the invention of trade-mark names is not a customary procedure on the part of foreign advertisers. The British, although less affluent in this respect than we are, seem to be a little more inclined to employ the device than their competitors on the Continent. Every American, traveling on the railways which converge upon London, must have experienced a difficulty in discovering whether the station at which his train has paused is Stoke Poges or Bovril, Chipping Norton or Mazzawattee. None the less it is safe to say that the concoction of a similar ode by the aid of the trade-mark words invented in the British Isles would be a task of great difficulty on account of the paucity of terms sufficiently artificial to bestow the exotic remoteness which is accountable for the aroma of the American "ode."

New words, of course, are no more produced by the folk than are new ballads: they are the inventions of concrete individuals, some of whom can be identified. The elder Roosevelt was responsible, either as coiner or as propagator, for many compounds that promise to survive, e.g., strenuous-life, nature-faker, pussy-footer, weasle-word, 100% American, hyphenated-American, Ananias-Club, big-stick and embalmed-beef. Scofflaw was coined simultaneously in 1924 by Henry Irving Shaw, of Shawsheen Village, Mass., and Miss Kate L. Butler, of Dorchester in the same State. Debunking, and its verb, to debunk, were launched by William E. Woodward in his book, "Bunk," in 1923. Both have been taken over by the English, though protests against them, often bitter in tone, still appear occasionally in the English newspapers. Moron was proposed by Dr. Henry H. Goddard in 1910 to designate a feeble-minded person of a mental age

I Late in 1923 Delcevare King, a rich Prohibitionist of Quincy, offered a prize of \$200 for the best word to apply to "the lawless drinker to stab awake his conscience." Mr. King received more than 25,000 suggestions. The announcement that scofflaw, suggested by both Mr. Shaw and Miss Butler, had won was made on Jan. 15, 1924. The word came into immediate currency, and survived until the collapse of Prohibition.

2 For example, A. E. Sullivan wrote

to the London Daily Telegraph, March 2, 1935: "The origin of to debunk is doubtless the same as that of American jargon in general—the inability of an ill-educated and unintelligent democracy to assimilate long words. Its intrusion in our own tongue is due partly to the odious novelty of the word itself, and partly to the prevailing fear that to write exact English nowadays is to be put down as a pedant and a prig."

of from eight to twelve years; it was formally adopted by the American Association for the Study of the Feeble-minded in May of that year, and immediately came into wide use. In Chicago, at the time of the Leopold-Loeb trial in 1924, the local newspapers began to misuse it in the sense of sexual pervert, and it has retained that meaning locally ever since.1 Many new words, launched with impressive ceremony, have only short lives as nonce-words, or fail altogether. In his "Fifty Suggestions" (c. 1845) Edgar Allan Poe proposed that suspectful be used to differentiate between the two meanings of suspicious, one who suspects and one to be suspected, but though the word is in "Webster's New International" (1934) it is marked "now rare," and no one uses it. Most of Walt Whitman's inventions went the same way. On March 6, 1926, the Pennsylvania Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals awarded a prize to Mrs. M. McIlvaine Bready, of Mickleton, N. J., for pitilacker, and tried to establish it in the sense of one cruel to animals, but it failed to make the success of scofflaw. In "The Mighty Medicine" (1929) the late Dr. Franklin H. Giddings proposed taboobery and tomtomery, but neither seized the public fancy. During the heyday of the I.W.W. (1912-1920) one of its chief propagandists was a writer calling himself T-Bone Slim; he wrote for most of the fugitive organs of the movement, but especially for the Industrial Worker. He invented many neologisms, and some of them were popular for a time, but only brisbanality, signifying a platitudinous utterance by Arthur Brisbane of the Hearst papers (or, at all events, one thought to be platitudinous by radicals), has survived. In February, 1927, the Forum issued a general call for new words, and during the months following many were proposed by its readers, but not one of them seems to have got into the American vocabulary.2

The formation of artificial words of the scalawag, lallapaloosa and rambunctious class goes on constantly. Some of them are blends: grandificent (from grand and magnificent) and sodalicious (from

- Moron is the name of a character in Molière's La Princesse d'Elide, 1664, But Dr. Goddard got it from the Greek.
- 2 Some of them deserved a better fate, e.g., sothers (brothers and sisters), megaphonia (the habit of talking too loud), hesh (for he and she), and radiorator. In Nov., 1935 the readers of Word Study (published

at Springfield, Mass., by the publishers of Webster's New International Dictionary, and edited by Max J. Herzberg of Newark, N. J.) were invited to send in invented words. Some of those received were cacogen (an anti-social person) pajamboree, and Gersteinian (from Gertrude Stein).

soda and delicious); others are made up of common roots and grotesque affixes: whangdoodle, splendiferous and peacharino; others are arbitrary reversals, as sockdolager from doxologer, and yet others are stretch-forms or mere extravagant inventions: scally wampus, dingus, doodad, supergobsloptious and floozy. Many of these are devised by advertisement writers or college students and belong properly to slang, but there is a steady movement of selected specimens into the common vocabulary. The words in -doodle, e.g., whangdoodle and monkey-doodle, hint at German influences, and those in -ino may owe something to Italian or maybe to Spanish. Such suffixes are sometimes worked heavily. The first to come into fashion in the United States was apparently -ery, which appeared in printery in 1638. When beanery followed it I do not know, but it must have been before the end of the next century. Grocery (for grocery-store) has been traced back to 1806, and groggery to 1822. Bakery and bindery also seem to be American. In late years many congeners have appeared, e.g., boozery, bootery and breadery. Condensery is used in the West to indicate a place where milk is condensed. Creamery, though it has now got into English, is listed in the Oxford Dictionary as "first used in U. S." Dr. Louise Pound reports hashery, drinkery and drillery, the last signifying a cramming-school for the Civil Service, and E. S. Hills adds cakery, car-washery, doughnutery, lunchery, mendery (a place where clothes are mended), and eatery.2 In Three Rivers, Mich., so I am told by a correspondent, there is a shoe-fixery. In Pasadena, Calif., there is a hattery, in South Pasadena a cyclery, in Los Angeles a nuttery and a chowmeinery, and near San Francisco a squabery.8

Cafeteria, as everyone knows, has produced an enormous progeny, and some of its analogues are very curious. From the discussions of the word that have appeared in American Speech since 1926 I cull the following: restauranteria, garmenteria, shaveteria (a place where shaving utensils are supplied to wayfarers), shoeteria, resteteria (a

¹ See Some English Stretch-Forms, by Louise Pound, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. I, 1913. Also, Terms of Approbation and Eulogy in American Dialect Speech, by Elsie L. Warnock, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. I, 1913, and Notes on the Vernacular, by Louise Pound, American Mercury, Oct., 1924, p. 236.

² Vogue Affixes in Present-Day Word Coinage, by Louise Pound, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. I, 1918; The Irradiation of Certain Suffixes, by E. C. Hills, American Speech, Óct., 1925. 3 American Speech, April, 1935, p.

rest-room), chocolateria, sodateria, fruiteria, radioteria, bobateria (where hair is bobbed), valeteria, marketeria, caketeria, candyteria, casketeria (an undertaker's shop), drugteria, basketeria, cleaneteria, groceteria (with the variants grocerteria and groceryteria), healtheteria, farmateria, mototeria (a grocereteria on wheels), cashateria, wrecketeria (a bone-yard for old motor-cars), luncheteria, haberteria, hatateria, kalfeteria or kafateria, honeyteria, smoketeria, and even dry goodsteria. A watchful correspondent, Dr. Harley K. Croessmann, reports a millinteria on Sheridan road in Chicago and a scarfeteria in Randolph street, and I myself, in 1928, encountered a spaghetteria in West 46th street, New York. Cafeteria is probably of Spanish origin, but when and where it got into American is still in dispute. Phillips Barry has found it in a dictionary of Cuban-Spanish published in 1862 2 and other investigators point to analogues in Standard Spanish, in common use along the Mexican border, e.g., barberia (barber-shop), carniceria (butcher-shop) and panaderia (baker-shop). In Cuban-Spanish the word means "a shop where coffee is sold." It did not get into any American dictionary until 1918, but it had been in general use in Southern California for at least ten years before. I have, however, received a caveat to the California claim to priory from a Chicago correspondent whose name I have unfortunately mislaid. "A Chicago man," he says, "was planning to open a new lunchroom in that city, with the new feature of the guests serving themselves. He wanted a new and appropriate name for it and applied to my cousin, who had lived in Buenos Aires. This cousin suggested cafeteria, which was adopted. It should be accented on the penultimate, but the patrons immediately moved the accent one place forward. This was about the year 1900." Another correspondent, Mr. Herbert Spencer Jackson, of Los Angeles, informs me that he remembers seeing a cafeteria in South LaSalle street, Chicago, "about 1895." There has been an extensive discussion of the word in American Speech and elsewhere, but some gaps in its history remain.8

- I At first glance I suspect that the kalf- and kaf- came from kaif, an interesting and instructive American form of café. But diligent inquiry revealed the fact that their origin was in calf. Both words indicate a shoe-store.
- 2 Cafeteria, American Speech, Oct.,
- 1927. The dictionary is E. Pichardo's Diccionario Provincial . . . de Vozes Cubanas, published at Havana.
- 3 See especially Basketeria and the Meaning of the Suffix -teria, by J. M. Steadman, Jr., American Speech, June, 1930. Mr. Steadman distin-

Other suffixes that have produced interesting forms are -ette, -dom, -ster, -ite, -ist, -itis, -ician, -orium, -ogist and -or.1 Cellarette has been in English for more than a century, but kitchenette is American, and so are farmerette, conductorette, officerette and a number of other analogous words. Logan Pearsall Smith says in "The English Language" (1912) that -dom is being replaced in English by -ness, and that the effort made by Thomas Carlyle and others to revive it during the Nineteenth Century was so far a failure that only boredom (c. 1850) made any headway. But in the United States the affix retains a great deal of its old life, and has produced a long list of words, e.g., sportdom, moviedom, flapperdom, dogdom, turfdom, newspaperdom, Elkdom, filmdom and crookdom.2 Now, as in the past, -ster has an opprobrious significance, and so its chief products are such words as gangster, mobster, dopester, ringster, funster, shyster and speedster. From -ist we have monologuist, receptionist, columnist, trapezist, manicurist, electragist, behaviorist and a number of others.8 From -ician we have the lovely mortician and its

guishes three meanings for -teria: 1. A place where articles are sold on the self-service plan; 2. A place where certain articles are sold without the self-service feature; and 3. A place where certain services are rendered - by others, not by the customer himself. Other interesting notes on the word are in the Barry paper just quoted, and in The Pronunciation of Cafeteria, by E. C. Hills, American Speech, Nov., 1926; More Cafeteria Progeny, by Mamie Meredith, the same, Dec., 1927; Barberia, by Phillip Davis, the same, Aug., 1928; and The English Language in America, by George Philip Krapp, Vol. I; New York, 1925, p. 143.

American. First, it means "realm or jurisdiction," as in bookdom, playdom and traveldom. Second, it means "state or condition," as in pauperdom, stardom and gypsydom. Third, it means "those of a certain type or character," as in fandom, flapperdom and crookdom. And fourth, it means "those interested in a given thing," as in Shrinedom, flowerdom and puzzledom.

3 Receptionist is used by English the

I Of these, -ite and -ist go back to the Sixteenth Century, and -ette to the Fifteenth. See English Words With Native Roots and With Greek, Latin, or Romance Suffixes, by George A. Nicholson, University of Chicago Linguistic Studies in Germanic. No. III. 1016.

Germanic, No. III, 1916.
2 In Three Hard-Worked Suffixes,
American Speech, Feb., 1927, Josephine M. Burnham says that -dom
has acquired four significances in

Receptionist is used by English theologians to denote one who believes that "the bread and wine
remain only bread and wine after
consecration, but that, together
with them, the faithful communicant really receives the body and
blood of Christ." In the sense of
one who receives the customers of
a photographer or the patients of a
physician or dentist it is American
only. See the New York Times,
Section 9, Oct. 5, 1924. Manicurist
appeared in American in the 90's;
it is still rare in England, where
manicure is preferred. Behaviorist
seems to have been invented by Dr.
John B. Watson, 1913. Electragist is
defined in Webster's New International (1934) as "one who installs

brothers, beautician, cosmetician and bootician, to say nothing of whooptician, a college cheer-leader. In Hollywood they also speak of dialogicians. From -itis come motoritis, golfitis, radioitis, Americanitis and others after their kind. From -orium we have beautorium, healthatorium, preventorium, barberatorium, bobatorium (apparently a more refined form of bobateria), lubritorium (a place where motor-cars are greased), infantorium, hatatorium, motortorium, odditorium (a side-show), pantorium or pantatorium (a pants-pressing parlor), printorium, restatorium or restorium, shavatorium, suitatorium and pastorium. And from -ogist and -or come boyologist (a specialist in the training or entertainment of boys), truckologist, mixologist (a bartender), clockologist and hygiologist, and realtor, furnitor, chiropractor, avigator and merchantor (a member of the Merchants' Bureau of a Chamber of Commerce). In the case of motorcade, autocade, camelcade and aerocade, all sug-

electrical apparatus and sells electrical goods, and who is a member of the Association of Electragists International." It is thus a brother to realtor, noticed in Chapter VI, Section 6. See American Speech, April, 1928, p. 351, and March, 1926, p. 350. A recent novelty is canitist, apparently from the Latin canities, signifying grey hair. It is used by beauticians who specialize in "tinting hair for discriminating women." I owe its discovery and its etymology to Dr. Isaac Goldberg.

r It is dealt with at length in Chapter VI, Section 6.

2 Josephine M. Burnham, in Three Hard-Worked Suffixes, above cited, gives some appalling specimens, e.g., conventionitis, headlineitis, crosswordpuzzleitis, ain't-supposedto-itis, let-George-do-it-itis, and Phi-Beta-Kappa-itis.

3 Pastorium is widely used in the South, especially among the Baptists, in place of parsonage. According to Bernard M. Peebles (Pastorium, American Speech, Dec., 1926, p. 159) the word was invented, c. 1898, by the Rev. Morton Bryan Wharton, D.D., pastor of the Freemason Street Baptist Church, Nor-

folk, Va. "News reports on the invention," says Mr. Peebles, "brought forth editorial approval in several leading Baptist journals. One hardy brother attacked it in the Baltimore Baptist, only to be squelched by a 'scholarly article' from Professor Carroll, then of the Johns Hopkins." I am unable to identify Professor Carroll. In 1898 Dr. Wharton published a book of poems, "Pictures From A Pastorium," the first poem in which was called "The Pastorium." I quote one stanza:

The place where congregations

We style an auditorium;

The place where pastors make their seat

Should, then, be called pastorium.

See also Irradiations of Certain Suffixes, by E. C. Hills, *American Speech*, Oct., 1925.

4 For boyology see American Speech, Sept., 1927, p. 515. For clockologist see the same, June, 1927, p. 408.

5 For avigator see American Speech, Aug., 1928, p. 450. See also Avigation, by J. R. Killian, Jr., the same, Oct., 1928. gested by cavalcade, a new suffix, -cade, seems to have come in.1 Others have also begun to show themselves, e.g., -naper (from kidnaper), as in dognaper; -mobile (from automobile), as in healthmobile (a motor-car driven about the country by health officers to instruct the yokelry in the elements of hygiene); -iat, as in professoriat; -ee, as in donee, draftee and honoree; 2 and -thon (from marathon), as in walkathon, dancethon, reducathon and speedathon.3 The suffix -ine came in during the middle 80's, and seems to have been first hitched to dude, itself an American invention of 1883. But both dude and dudine are now obsolete save in the Far West, where they survive to designate the Easterners who come out to cavort on dude-ranches under the guidance of dudewranglers. During the World War patrioteer, which had been in use in England at least as early as 1913, brought in various words in -eer, but only fictioneer shows any sign of surviving. About the same time -ine had a brief revival, producing doctorine, actorine, chorine, etc., but only the two last named are ever heard today.4 Certain prefixes have come in for heavy service of late, e.g., anti-, super-, semi- and near-. Words in anti- are numerous in English, but they seem to be even more numerous in American, especially in the field of politics. "If it were possible to collect the

- 1 It is denounced by R. S. G. in American Speech, Aug., 1930, p. 495. A letter by Garth Cate, printed in F. P. Adams's column in the New York Herald Tribune, June 29, 1931, ascribes the coining of motorcade to Lyle Abbott, automobile editor of the Phoenix (Ariz.) Republican, and fixes the date at 1912 or 1913. See The Earliest Motor-cade, by W. L. Werner, American Speech, June, 1932, p. 388. Other notes on motorcade are in American Speech, Dec., 1930, p. 155; April, 1931, pp. 254 and 313, and Aug., 1931, p. 189; in Modern Language Notes, March, 1925, p. 189, and in Notes and Queries, April 19,
- 2 I am indebted here to Professor Atcheson L. Hench, of the University of Virginia, and to Vogue Affixes in Present-Day Word-Coinage, by Louise Found, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. I, 1918.
 3 The first use of dance marathon to

designate a long-distance dancingmatch was in 1927. After a while the promoters introduced restperiods, during which the dancers were free to walk about. In 1930 a promotor in Des Moines called such an ameliorated contest a walkathon, and the word quickly spread. I am indebted for this to Mr. Hal J. Ross of St. Louis, and to Mr. Don King, endurance-shows editor of the Billboard (Cincinnati). I have been informed by other authorities that the use of walkathon was encouraged by the passage of laws in some of the States forbidding dancing for more than eight hours on end. The cops, it appears, were easily persuaded that a walkathon was really a walking-match, which had no time limit.

4 See Chorine, by Louise Pound, American Speech, June, 1928, p. 368, and Dudine, by M. H. Dresen, the same, Aug., 1928.

material completely," says Allen Walker Read, "a 'History of Opposition Movements in America' could be written." Read offers dozens of examples, beginning with anti-Episcopalian (1769) and anti-Federalist (1788) and running down to the present day. The list includes, of course, anti-suffragist (1886), which suffered the curious accident, in 1913 or thereabout, of losing its root and becoming simply anti. The numerous words in near- began to appear about 1900. George Horace Lorimer was writing of near-seal in "Letters of a Self-Made Merchant to His Son" in 1902, and soon thereafter the advertisements in the newspapers bristled with analogues, e.g., near-silk, near-antique, near-leather, near-mahogany, near-silver and near-porcelain. A logical extension quickly produced near-accident, near-champion, near-finish and others after their kind, and in 1920 came near-beer, to flourish obscenely for thirteen long years and then sink into happy obsolescence. Super- has been very popular since 1920 or thereabout. It got a great lift when the movie press-agents began writing about super-productions and superfilms, and various analogues have followed, e.g., super-high-way, super-cabinet, super-criminal, super-gang and super-love. The last signifies a kind of amour perfected by the virtuosi of Hollywood: it partakes of the characters of riot, delirium tremens and mayhem. Sometimes super- is employed to strengthen adjectives, as in superperfect and super-American. H. W. Horwill, in his "Dictionary of Modern American Usage," says that semi- "is in much more frequent use in America than in England." He cites semi-annual (Eng. half-yearly), semi-centennial (Eng. jubilee), semi-panic, semi-wild, semi-open-air, semi-national and semi-occasional. There has been, of late, a heavy use of air-, as in the adjectives air-cooled, air-conditioned, air-conscious and air-minded, and the nouns air-liner, airrodeo and air-hostess.2 During the thirteen years of Prohibition pre-Volstead and pre-war threatened to bring in a flock of novelties in pre-, but the prefix seems to have died out of popularity.

All such neologisms, of course, find ready customers in the headline writers of the newspapers. But the exigencies of their arduous craft force them to give preference to the shorter ones, and they thus propagate back-formations more often than compounds. A veteran of the copy-desk has described their difficulties as follows:

The Scope of the American Dictionary, American Speech, Oct., 1933, p. 14.

² Here I am again indebted to Professor Hench.

In writing the headline, the copy-reader must say what he has to say in a definite number of letters and spaces. If the headline has one or more lines—and this is the case at least 90% of the time—each line must balance so that it may be typographically pleasing to the reader's eye. The size of type and the width of column are also important considerations. Further, what is known as newspaper style may offer difficulties. Each newspaper has a set of rules peculiar to itself. On some papers each line of the head must end in a word of more than two letters and can never begin with a verb. No paper permits the splitting of a word from one line to another.¹

The copy-reader accordingly makes heavy use of very short words, e.g., mob, probe, crash, pact, blast, chief and quiz, and these words tend to be borrowed by the reporters who must submit to his whims and long for his authority and glory. Their way into the common speech thus comes easy. To most Americans, in fact, a legislative inquiry is no longer an investigation but a probe, and a collision is not a collision but a crash. So, again, any sort of contest or combat is a clash or bout, any reduction in receipts or expenditures is a cut, and all negotiations are parleys or deals. Fiends are so common in American criminology simply because the word itself is so short. English is naturally rich in very short nouns, but the copy-readers are not content with them as they stand: there are constant extensions of meaning. For example:

Ace. In the sense of expert or champion it came in during the World War. It has since been extended to mean any person who shows any ponderable proficiency in whatever he undertakes to do. I have encountered ace lawyers, ace radio-crooners and ace gynecologists in headlines.

Aid. Its military sense has been extended to include the whole field of human relations. Any subordinate is now an aid.

Balm. It now means any sort of indemnity or compensation. A derivative, love-balm, means damages paid to a deceived and deserted maiden.

Ban. All prohibitions are now bans.

Blast. It has quite displaced explosion in the headlines.

Boat. It now means any sort of craft, from the Queen Mary to a mud-scow. Cache. This loan-word, one of the earliest borrowings of American from French, now signifies any sort of hidden store.

Car. It is rapidly displacing all the older synonyms for automobile, including even auto.

Chief. Any headman, whether political, pedagogical, industrial, military or ecclesiastical. I once encountered the headline Church Chiefs Hold Parley over a news item dealing with a meeting of the Sacred College.

Drive. Any concerted and public effort to achieve anything.

I Headline Words, by Harold E. Rockwell, American Speech, Dec., 1926. Edict. An almost universal synonym for command, order, injunction or mandate.

Envoy. It now signifies any sort of superior agent. Ambassador and minister are both too fat for the headlines.

Fete. Any celebration.

Gem. Any jewel.

Head. It means whatever chief means.

Hop. Any voyage by air.

Mecca. Any center of interest. Mecca has an m in it, and is thus trouble-some to copy-readers, but it is still shorter than any other word signifying the same thing.

Plea. It means request, petition, application, prayer, suit, demand or appeal.

Row. Any sort of dispute.

Slate. Any programme, agenda, or list.

Snag. Any difficulty or impediment.

Solon. Any member of a law-making body.

Talk. Any discussion or conference.

In addition to these naturally short nouns many clipped forms are used constantly in headlines, e.g., ad, confab, duo, exam, gas, isle, mart, photo and quake (for earthquake). A Japanese is always a Jap, and the Emperor of Japan is very apt to shrink to Jap Chief. A Russian is often a Russ, and Serb commonly displaces Serbian. In the same way Turk displaces Turkish, Norse displaces Norwegian, and Spaniard becomes don. After Hitler's advent Nazi took the place of German. The popularity of Hun during the World War was no doubt largely due to its convenient brevity. The shorter compounds are also used heavily, e.g., clean-up, fire-bug, come-back and pre-war. Onomatopeia, of course, frequently enters into the

- 1 It might be interesting to inquire how far the popularity of politicians and other public figures runs in proportion to the shortness of their names. I suspect that Mr. Eden, the English Foreign Secretary (1936), owes something to the fact that his name is not Cholmondelay Donoughmore. The English newspapers have headlines more elastic than ours, but their contentsbills are just as crowded. In the case of politicians with long names abbreviations usually come into newspaper use, e.g., T.R. (the elder Roosevelt), F.D.R. (the younger), C.B. (Campbell Bannerman), and L.G. (Lloyd George). Sometimes nicknames take their place, e.g., Cal (Coolidge), G.O.M. (Grand Old
- Man, i.e., Gladstone), and Al (Smith). Movie performers are commonly designated by their given names, or by abbreviations thereof, e.g., Gloria (Swanson), Mary (Pickford) and Doug (Fairbanks).

 More than 100 headline nouns are discussed in detail in Scribes Seek Snappy Synonyms, by Maurice Hicklin, American Speech, Dec., 1930. See also Newspaper English, by Francis F. Beirne, American Speech, Oct., 1926; The Art of the Copy-Reader, by Kittredge Wheeler, American Mercury, July, 1932; The Attributive Noun Becomes Cancerous, by Steven T. By-

ington, American Speech, Oct., 1926; and Newspaper Headlines,

by George O. Curme, American

matter. "Hemmed in by many restrictions," says Mr. Rockwell, "and ever seeking a way out, the copy-reader, in addition to his constant use of short words, his peculiar phrasing, his bizarre syntax, and his lopping off of all unnecessary sentence members, has adopted, whenever possible, words which not only express the meaning which he wishes to convey but also connote the quality of sound. He believes that crash or smash will signify more to the reader than accident. So with slash, blast, clash, flay, flit, fling, flee, hurtle, hurl, plunge, ram and spike." This explosive headline terminology seems so natural today that we forget it is of recent growth. It did not come in, in fact, until the era of the Spanish-American War, and the memorable fight for circulation between Joseph Pulitzer and William R. Hearst. The American newspaper headline of the 70's and 80's was very decorous. The aim of its writer was to keep all its parts within the bounds of a single sentence, and inasmuch as it sometimes ran halfway down the column he was inevitably forced to resort to long words and a flowery style. That same flowery style appeared in the text of what was printed below it. Dean Alford's denunciation of the Newspaper English of 1870 1 described Newspaper American also. "You never read," he said, "of a man, or a woman, or a child. A man is an individual, or a person, or a party; a woman is a female, or, if unmarried, a young person; a child is a juvenile, and children en masse are expressed by that most odious term, the rising generation." It was against such gaudy flowers of speech that William Cullen Bryant's famous Index Expurgatorius was mainly directed. We owe their disappearance, in part, to Charles A. Dana, of the New York Sun, who produced the first newspaper on earth that was decently written, but also, in part, to Pulitzer and Hearst, who not only brought in the fire-alarm headline-writer, but also the comic-strip artist. The latter has been a very diligent maker of terse and dramatic words. In his grim comments upon the horrible calamities which befall his characters he not only employs many ancients of English speech, e.g., slam, bang, quack, meeou, smash and bump, but also invents novelties of his own, e.g., zowie, bam, socko, yurp, plop, wow, wam, glug, oof, ulk, whap, bing, flooie and grrr. Similar

Speech, April, 1929. In Newspaper Headlines: A Study in Linguistic Methods, by Heinrich Straumann; London, 1935, the grammar of headline English is discussed with abys-

mal learning. Unfortunately, the author deals only with English headlines.

¹ The Queen's English, 3rd ed., 1870.

onomatopeic forms of an older date are listed in the Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary as Americanisms, e.g., blah, wow, bust and flipflop.¹ All these, and a great many like words, are familiar to every American schoolboy. Their influence, and that of the head-line vocabulary, upon the general American vocabulary must be very potent, and no doubt they also have some influence upon American ways of thinking. Says a recent writer:

I am morally certain that *probes* would not be so important a part of the activities of our government if the headline writers had not discovered that word. People generally do not become excited about a thing called an *investigation*, an *inquiry*, a *hearing*, or whatever other name such an interrogatory affair might be called by. But a *probe* is an interesting thing. The newspapers, which seek what is interesting, play up the *probe*, and the *prober* spends his time thinking up new *probes*, so that he can get into the head-lines.²

"The headline," said the late E. P. Mitchell, for many years editor of the New York Sun, "is more influential than a hundred chairs of rhetoric in the shaping of future English 8 speech. There is no livelier perception than in the newspaper offices of the incalculable havoc being wreaked upon the language by the absurd circumstance that only so many millimeters of type can go into so many millimeters' width of column. Try it yourself and you will understand why the fraudulent use of so many compact but misused verbs, nouns and adjectives is being imposed on the coming generation. In its worst aspect, headline English is the yellow peril of the language." 4 "This," says G. K. Chesterton, "is one of the evils produced by that passion for compression and compact information which possesses so many ingenious minds in America. Everybody can see how an entirely new system of grammar, syntax, and even language has been invented to fit the brevity of headlines. Such brevity, so far from being the soul of wit, is even the death of meaning; and certainly the death of logic." 5

The old American faculty for making picturesque compounds

I See Exclamations in American Speech, by E. C. Hills, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. VII, 1924. This is an almost exhaustive and very valuable paper. See also The English of the Comic Cartoon, by Helen Trace Tysell, American Speech, Feb., 1935, especially p. 50.

2 Ex Libris. by The Bookman, Balti-

2 Ex Libris, by The Bookman, Baltimore Evening Sun, June 16, 1923.

The Bookman is Hamilton Owens, editor of the paper.

3 He meant, of course, American. 4 Quoted by Brander Matthews in

4 Quoted by Brander Matthews in Newspaper English, 1920, reprinted in Essays on English; New York, 1921.

5 G.K.'s Weekly (London), May 2,

shows no sign of abating today. Many of them come in on the latitude of slang, e.g., road-louse, glad-hand, hop-head, rahrah-boy, coffin-nail (cigarette), hot-spot, bug-house, hang-out and pin-head, and never attain to polite usage, but others gradually make their way, e.g., chair-warmer, canned-music, sob-sister, bell-hop, comeback, white-wings and rabble-rouser, and yet others are taken into the language almost as soon as they appear, e.g., college-widow (1887), sky-scraper 1 and rubber-neck (c. 1890),2 loan-shark (c. 1900), high-brow and low-brow (c. 1905), hot-dog (c. 1905), joyride (c. 1908), love-nest and jay-walker (c. 1920), and brain-trust (1932).5 Steam-roller, in the political sense, was first used by Oswald F. Schuette, then Washington correspondent of the Chicago Inter-Ocean, to describe the rough methods used to procure the nomination of W. H. Taft as the Republican presidential candidate in 1908. Spell-binder, which came in during the 80's, is simply a derivative of an old English verb, to spellbind. Fat-cat, signifying a rich man

i "Sky-scraper," says Charles White in a letter to the London Morning Post, Jan. 26, 1935, "was applied to Queen Anne's Mansions [an apartment-house in Queen Anne's Gate, London, near St. James's Park] in the early 80's, before American cities had any structures of their present variety." But this seems to have been a nonce-use, not generally imitated. The Oxford Dictionary's first quotation is from the Boston (Mass.) Journal, Nov., 1891. Sky-scraper had been used to designate a sky-sail (1794), a tall horse (1826), an exaggerated story (1841), and a tall man (1857).

2 Rubber-neck is described by Prof. J. Y. T. Greig, the Scottish philologian, in Breaking Priscian's Head; London, 1929, p. 83, as "one of the

best words ever coined."

3 The date here is a guess. The first example in the Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary is dated 1908. Low-brow followed soon afterward. Mezzo-brow and mizzen-brow came later.

4 This is another guess. The inventor of the hot-dog was the late Harry Mozely Stevens, caterer at the New York Polo Grounds. The sale of

sausages in rolls was introduced in this country many years ago, but Stevens was the first to heat the roll and add various condiments. According to his obituary in the New York Herald Tribune, May 4, 1934, this was in 1900. But sausages in rolls were then called simply wienies or frankfurters. Stevens himself used to say that the late T. A. Dorgan (Tad), the sports cartoonist, coined hot-dog, but he was apparently uncertain about the date. The name was suggested, of course, by the folk-belief that wienies were made of dog-meat. In 1913 the Coney Island Chamber of Commerce passed a resolution forbidding the use of hot-dog on signs at Coney Island. See The Hot-Dog Mystery (editorial) in the New York

Herald Tribune, June 2, 1931.
5 According to Henry F. Pringle (New Yorker, June 30, 1934) braintrust "was invented by James M. Kiernan of the New York Times in the Summer of 1932 to describe the economists and other experts who were active in the [presidential] campaign" of Franklin D.

Roosevelt.

willing to make a heavy contribution to a party campaign fund, appeared in 1920 or thereabout, and is still struggling for recognition. Many of the most popular of American compounds are terms of disparagement, e.g., bone-head, clock-watcher, hash-slinger, four-flusher, rough-neck (which goes back to David Crockett's time, and was used by him in "Colonel Crockett in Texas," 1836, but did not come into popularity until the beginning of the present century), leather-neck, gospel-shark, back-number, cheap-skate, cowcollege, stand-patter, lounge-lizard, do-gooder, kill-joy, lame-duck and chin-music. Most of these linger below the salt, but now and then one of them edges its way into more or less decorous usage.

The etymology and history of many common American nouns remain undetermined. Phoney, which is both a noun and an adjective, offers an example. Some of the earlier editions of Webster sought to relate it to funny, but in "Webster's New International" (1934) it is simply put down as "slang," without any attempt to guess at its origin. Again, its sources have been sought in telephone,2 but this seems very far-fetched. The most probable etymology derives it from Forney, the name of a manufacturer of cheap jewelry. He made a specialty of supplying brass rings, in barrel lots, to street peddlers, and such rings, among the fraternity, came to be known as Forney rings. The extension of the designation to all cheap jewelry and its modification to phoney followed. Today, anything not genuine is phoney in the common American speech, and a person suspected of false pretenses is a phoney. The first example of movie in the Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary is dated 1913, but the word was already six or seven years old by that time. Who invented it no one knows. In those days, as now, the magnates of the movie industry disliked the word, and sought to find some more dignified substitute for it. In 1912 the Essanay Company offered a prize of \$25 for such a substitute, and it was won by Edgar Strakosch with photoplay. But though photoplay became the title of a very successful fan magazine, it never displaced movie.8 When the talkingpictures came in, in 1924, they were first called speakies, but talkies

I See Terms of Disparagement, by Marie Gladys Hayden, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. III, 1906.

^{2 &}quot;A statement is phoney," said an editorial in the Boston Traveler, Feb. 20, 1922, "if it is like the prac-

tical jokes and false impersonations that are so frequently perpetrated over the telephone."

³ See Movie Jargon, by Terry Ramsaye, American Speech, April, 1926.

quickly displaced it. The early movie houses were usually called parlors, but in a little while theatres was substituted, and about 1920 the larger ones began to be designated cathedrals, or, by scoffers, mosques, synagogues or filling-stations.

There have been bitter etymological battles over a number of American nouns, some of them coming into good usage, e.g., ballyhoo, hobo, hokum, jazz, jitney, maverick, sundae and wobbly. The dictionaries try to connect bally hoo with the name of Bally holly, a village in County Cork, Ireland, and I did the same in my last edition, but no relationship has ever been demonstrated. George Milburn, who has spent much time in an investigation of circus words, tells me that old circus men say that it is a blend of ballet and whoop, but this also sounds somehow improbable. Another correspondent, Charles Wolverton, has it from an old-time carnival man, W. O. Taylor, that ballyhoo originated on the Midway of the Chicago World's Fair of 1803, and is an imitation of the cry of the dervishes in the Oriental Village, to wit, b'Allah hoo, meaning "Through God it is." "Webster's New International Dictionary" (1934) and Ernest Weekley in his "Etymological Dictionary of Modern English" (1921) say that the origin of hobo is unknown, and the Oxford Dictionary attempts no etymology. The Oxford's first example of its use is from an article by Josiah Flynt in the Contemporary Review for August, 1891. In that article Flynt simply said that "the tramp's name for himself and his fellows is hobo." The word was hardly new at that time; a verb, to hobo, followed soon afterward. In American Speech for June, 1929, Captain H. P. Wise, apparently an Army officer, suggested that it might be from an identical Japanese word, the plural of ho (side), and meaning, in the plural, all sides or everywhere. This suggestion is given some color by the fact that the term seems to have originated on the Pacific Coast, where there are many Japanese. If it is sound, then hobo is the one and only word that the Japanese immigrants have given to the American language. "Webster's New International" refers hokum to hocus, but without saying flatly that they are related, and the Oxford Supplement calls it "a blending of hocuspocus and bunkum," but with a saving question-mark. Dr. Frank H. Vizetelly reports that "theorizing devotees in etymology" have

On Nov. 8, 1924 the New York

Evening Sun reported that speakies

had recently appeared in Film Fun, a fan magazine.

sought to derive it from the Hebrew word chakam (a wise man), the Arabic and Hindustani hakim (of the same meaning), and the American Indian words hoquiam, hokium and hoquium, all of them proper names.1 The late Walter J. Kingsley, an ardent amateur lexicographer, favored the theory that hokum originated in England. "Once upon a time," he said, "a retired Cockney sea-captain managed the Middlesex Music-Hall in London, and whenever a comedian lacked a consecutive routine or continuity, as they say in the movies, he informed him that there was a hole in his act, and that he should plug it up with 'a bit of oakum,' which he pronounced hoakum." 2 But Kingsley's etymologies were always far more ingenious than convincing. Dr. Vizetelly says that hokum came in about 1920. All the dictionaries report correctly that maverick comes from the name of Samuel A. Maverick (?-1870), a Texan who neglected to brand his calves, and so invited their bootleg branding by his neighbors. But when the word is discussed in the newspapers, which is not infrequently, it is sometimes stated that the thing ran the other way, and that Maverick himself did the stealthy branding. In November, 1889, one of his descendants, George M. Maverick of San Antonio, set the matter right in a letter to the St. Louis Republic, and some years later that letter, along with other documents in point, was reprinted as a pamphlet.8 But old libels die hard. "Webster's New International" says that jitney may "possibly" come from the French jeton (a counter, or metal disk), from the verb jeter (to throw). The Oxford Supplement (1933) says that its origin is unknown, but quotes a statement in the Nation for Feb. 4, 1915, that the word "is the Jewish slang term for a nickel," and another from the same journal for March 18, 1915, that it means "the smallest coin in circulation in Russia." But nothing resembling jitney is to be found in any Yiddish word-book that I have access to. and I recall hearing it used to designate a five-cent piece long before there was any considerable immigration of Eastern Jews. It began to be used to designate a cheap automobile bus in 1914. "Webster's New International" says that jazz is a Creole word, and probably of African origin, but goes no further. The Oxford says that its origin is unknown, but that it is "generally said to be Negro."

¹ Hokum, New York World, March 28, 1923. The same facts are given in The Lexicographer's Easy Chair, Literary Digest, May 5, 1923.

² New York World, Oct. 21, 1925. 3 Ye Maverick; San Antonio, 1905.

Amateur etymologists have made almost countless efforts to run it down, or, more accurately, to guess at its history. The aforesaid Kingsley tried to connect it with Jasper, the name of a dancing slave on a plantation near New Orleans, c. 1825.1 Vincent Lopez sought its origin in Chaz, the stable-name of Charles Washington, an eminent ragtime drummer of Vicksburg, Miss., c. 1895.2 Other searchers produced even more improbable etymologies.3 The effort to trace the word to Africa has failed, though it has been established that it was used by the Negroes in the Mississippi river towns long before it came into general use. But the meaning they attached to it was that of sexual intercourse. Its extension to the kind of music it now designates was perhaps not unnatural, but when, where and by whom that extension was made is not yet known.4 Sundae remains almost as mysterious. All the dictionaries connect it with Sunday, but none of them ventures to trace the steps. The first use of the word cited by the Oxford Supplement was in the New York Evening Post for May 21, 1904, and it was there spelled sundi. A popular etymology runs thus: In 1902 or thereabout there was a sudden craze for enforcing the Blue Laws in Virginia (or some other Southern State), and selling ice-cream soda on Sunday became hazardous. An ingenious druggist, seeking to baffle the police, decided to give the beverage a new appearance and a new name, and so added a few berries to it and called it a sundae, in occult reference to the day.⁵ I offer this for what it is worth, which is probably not much. The origin of wobbly is thus given by Mortimer Downing, a member of the I.W.W. in its heyday:

In Vancouver, in 1911, we had a number of Chinese members, and one restaurant keeper would trust any member for meals. He could not pronounce the letter w, but called it wobble, and would ask: "You I. Wobble Wobble?" and when the card was shown credit was unlimited. Thereafter the laughing term among us was I. Wobbly Wobbly.6

- 1 New York World, Oct. 25, 1925. Kingsley had previously dealt with the matter in the New York Sun in 1917, and his lucubrations were reprinted in the Literary Digest for Aug. 25 of that year.
- 2 Where is Jazz Leading America? Étude, July, 1924.
- 3 Some of them are rehearsed in Jazz, by Henry Osborne Osgood, American Speech, July, 1926.
- 4 See a somewhat guarded discussion of its original meaning by

- Clay Smith, Etude, Sept., 1924, p.
- 5 This etymology is given in Sundae, by John Fairweather, London Sunday Times, Aug. 25, 1928, on the authority of "Miss Anna C. Mitchell, librarian to the Public Service Corporation of New Jersey, U. S. A."
- 6 Quoted in How Wobbly Originated, by Richard W. Hogue, Nation, Sept. 5, 1923, p. 242.

"Webster's New International" gives this etymology, but without formally accepting it. To me it seems unlikely. Perhaps the truth about the origin of wobbly, and with it the truth about the origins of ballyhoo, hobo, hokum, jazz, jitney and sundae, will be unearthed by the learned brethren now at work upon the "Dictionary of American English on Historical Principles." Maybe they will also solve some other vexing problems of American lexicography. For example, who was the first to use graft in its political sense, who was the first to make nouns of the adjectives wet and dry, and who was the first to make a noun of the verb release, signifying something to be published or otherwise made available on a given date? The latter is in wide use in movie, radio and newspaper circles, and has also spread afield. The history of baseball terms also deserves to be investigated, for many of them have entered the common speech of the country, e.g., fan, rooter, bleachers, circus-catch, home-run, homer, pinch-hitter, pennant-winner, batting-average, double-header, grandstand-play, charley-horse, gate-money, bushleaguer or minor-leaguer, and three-strikes-and-out, not to mention the verbs, to strike out, to bunt, to knock out of the box, to put it (or one) across (or over), to root, to be shut out and to play ball. and the adjectival phrases, on the bench and on to his curves. There are, too, the nouns borrowed from poker, e.g., kitty, cold-deck, fullhouse, jack-pot, four-flusher, ace-high, pot, show-down, pennyante, divvy and three-of-a-kind, along with the verbs and verbphrases, to call (a bluff), to ante up, to stand pat, to pony up, to hold out, to cash in, to chip in, to see (a bet, or any other challenge), and it's up to you.

3. VERBS

The common verbs of vulgar American will be examined at length in Chapter IX, Section 2. On more decorous levels of speech they are notable chiefly for the facility with which new ones are made. Consider, for example, the process of back-formation. In Chapter III, Section 2, I have already described the appearance of such forms as to locate and to legislate in the earliest days of differentiation; in our own time many more have gradually attained to something resembling respectability, e.g., to auto, to jell, to phone,

to taxi, to commute, to typewrite, to electrocute,1 and to tiptoe (for to walk tiptoe). Others are still on probation, e.g., to reminisce, to insurge, to innovate, to vamp, to razz (from raspberry), to enthuse, to combust,2 to divvy, to reune, to resolute, to housekeep, to peeve, to orate, to bach (i.e., to live in bachelor quarters), and to emote; 3 and yet others remain on the level of conscious humor, e.g., to plumb (from plumber), to jan (from janitor), to barb (from barber), to chauf (from chauffeur), to crise (from crisis), to gondole (from gondola), to elocute, to burgle, to ush, to perc (to make coffee in a percolator), to sculp, to butch, to buttle and to boheme. "There is a much greater percentage of humorous shortenings among verbs," says Miss Wittman, "than among other parts of speech. Especially is this true of verbs shortened from nouns and adjectives by subtracting what looks like a derivative suffix, e.g., -er, -or, -ing, -ent from nouns, or y from adjectives. Many clipped verbs have noun parallels, while some are simply clipped nouns used as verbs." A great many new verbs are also made in the United States by other devices. Some of them are nouns unchanged, e.g., to author, to service, to auto, to demagogue, to wassermann, to interview 5 and to debut; others are formed by adding -ize to nouns

- The first State to electrocute criminals was New York. The act substituting electrocution for hanging became effective Jan. 1, 1889, and the first criminal electrocuted was William Kemmler, on Aug. 6, 1890. To electrocute, at the start, had a rival in to electrize, but soon prevailed.
- 2 To combust seems to be an invention of dealers in heating apparatus, or, as they prefer to call themselves, heating-engineers. I find the following in an advertisement in the Chicago Herald and Examiner, Sept. 16, 1923: "There'd be no warning of exhausted coal deposits if fuel were properly combusted."
- 3 I say these verbs are still on probation, but if their constant use in the debates of Congress gives them countenance they are quite sound American. My earliest example of to enthuse comes from a solemn war-time speech by the late Senator Lee S. Overman of North Carolina, made in the Senate on March 26.

1018. He used it not once, but over and over again. See the Congressional Record for that date, pp. 4376-7. To resolute was used by Senator L. Y. Sherman of Ohio on Jan. 14, 1918, and by Senator Thomas J. Walsh of Montana on May 16, 1921. To peeve was used by Mr. Borland of Missouri in the House Jan. 29, 1918, and has been used by other Representatives countless times since. So have to reminisce, to orate and to insurge.

4 Clipped Words, Dialect Notes, Vol.

IV, Pt. II, 1914, p. 137. 5 There is an old English verb, to interview, meaning to meet, but it has been obsolete since the Seventeenth Century. The modern verb seems to have arisen in the United States soon after the Civil War, along with its noun. The latter has gone into French, and in 1923 the Académie Française voted to include it in the next edition of its Dictionary. On Dec. 31, 1884, in the course of a review of the year, the

or adjectives, e.g., to simonize, to slenderize, to winterize, to vacationize, to hospitalize and to picturize; yet others by adding the old English suffix -en to nouns, adjectives and even other verbs, e.g., to mistaken, to thinnen, to safen and to loaden. Those of the lastnamed class, of course, belong mainly to the vulgar speech, but examples of the other classes are to be found on higher levels. Two days after the first regulations of the Food Administration were issued, in 1917, to hooverize appeared spontaneously in scores of newspapers, and it retains sufficient repute to be in "Webster's New International Dictionary" (1934). To bryanize, to fletcherize and to oslerize came in just as promptly, the first in 1896, the second in 1904 or thereabout, and the third in 1905, following Dr. William Osler's famous address at Baltimore.2 I reach into my collection at random and draw forth such monstrosities as to backwardize, to fordize, to belgiumize, to respectablize, to scenarioize, to moronize, to customize, to featurize, to expertize, to powerize, to sanitize, to manhattanize and to cohanize; I suppose I could dredge up at least a hundred more. Some of these, of course, are only nonce-words, but certainly not all. To expertize, apparently suggested by the French noun expertise, meaning a survey or valuation by experts, is in universal use among American art and antique dealers, but it does not appear to prevail in England (though the French noun does), and the Oxford Dictionary's only example of it is taken from Harper's Magazine for February, 1889. To respectablize I find in a book review in the Portland Oregonian: "The Modern Library has respectablized Casanova." To backwardize comes from the Farm Journal, a very sedate periodical, for March, 1926; I have since encountered it frequently in Variety. To slenderize is used by nearly all the vendors of reducing-salts and other such quackeries. As for

Pall Mall Gazette (London) said that "among the permanent gains of the year the acclimatization of the interview in English journalism certainly should be mentioned."

I See Loadened, by J. D., American Speech, Aug., 1930. The author calls attention to the fact that verbs properly in -en sometimes take a double past participle, e.g., awestrickened and ladened. For to safen see American Speech, April, 1931, p. 305. It appears in the sentence: "Let us safen your brakes."

² To oslerize quickly acquired a meaning that greatly embarrassed Dr. Osler. What he said was: "Study until twenty-five, investigation until forty, profession until sixty, at which age I would have him retired on a double allowance." But to oslerize came to mean to put a man to death as useless, and the age recommended was commonly understood to be forty.

to sanitize, it was described by the Associated Press, on July 6, 1934, as the invention of Dr. Leon Henderson, one of the economic advisers to the NRA, and its meaning was given as "to put sanity and sanitation in [to] business." The only prefix that seems to be commonly used for making verbs is de-, which has produced to debunk, to delouse, to dewax, to dejelly, to debamboozle and various other forms.

The list of American verbs made of simple nouns is almost endless. The process has been normal in English for a great many years, and at all periods it has produced forms that have survived, e.g., to house (Old English), to shackle (c. 1400) and to waltz (c. 1790). But it is carried on in the United States with a freedom which England has not seen since Elizabethan times, and though many of its products pass out almost as fast as they come in, others remain in the vocabulary, and rise slowly to respectable usage. A large number are succinct substitutes for verb phrases, and so give evidence of the American liking for short cuts in speech, e.g., to service for to give service, to intern for to serve as intern, to style for to cut in accord with the style, to biograph for to write the biography of, to chamois (or, perhaps more often, to shammy) for to polish with chamois, to model for to act as a model, to taxpay for to pay taxes on, to momentum for to give momentum to, to contact for to make

I had hardly got this paragraph on paper when someone sent me a copy of the Literary Supplement of the London Times for June 7, 1934, with the ghastly verb to obituarize marked with a red circle. Worse, I discovered on investigation that it was in the Oxford Dictionary, credited to the London Saturday Review for Oct. 17, 1891. If I may intrude my private feelings into a learned work I venture to add that seeing a monster so suggestive of American barbarism in the Times affected me like seeing an archbishop wink at a loose woman.

2 To service was used by R. L. Stevenson in Catriona (1893), but it remained a nonce-word until American garages began servicing cars, c. 1910. It is now in almost universal use among the persons who keep machinery and fixtures in

repair. See American Speech, Nov., 1926, p. 112, and Jan., 1927, p. 214. Used by Mr. Justice Roberts, it appears in the decision of the U. S. Supreme Court in N. Y., N. H. & H. R. R. vs. Bezue, Jan. 25, 1932 (52 Supreme Court Reporter, 206). 3 Senator L. Y. Sherman of Illinois,

Congressional Record, Jan. 4, 1918,

p. 903.

4 After the passage of the War Revenue Act of 1917 cigar-boxes began to bear this inscription: "The contents of this box have been taxed-paid... as indicated by the Internal Revenue tax stamp affixed." A year or so later taxed-paid was changed to taxpaid. Prosecutions for the sale, transportation or possession of untaxpaid alcoholic beverages are now common in the Federal courts.

contact with, to ready for to make ready, to protest for to protest against, to vacation, to holiday or to week-end for to take a vacation or holiday or to go on a week-end trip, and to yes for to say yes to. There is another class of verbs that may be called "regular" substitutes for the forms that differ from the corresponding nouns or adjectives, e.g., to loan for to lend, to author for to write, to host for to entertain, and to signature for to sign. Of verbs made freely and fancifully of simple nouns, whether simple or compound, there is a huge stock and it is enough to cite a few, some of them only nonce-words but others in more or less good usage: to gesture, to racketeer, to gavel, to reunion, to park, to waste-basket, to lobby-display, to press-agent, to clearance, to railroad, to grand

- 1 During the heyday of Babbittry (c. 1905-29) to contact was one of its counter-words. In 1931 Mr. F. W. Lienau, an official of the Western Union, forbade its use by employés of the company. "Somewhere," he said, "there cumbers this fair earth with his loathsome presence a man who, for the common good, should have been destroyed in early childhood. He is the originator of the hideous vulgarism of using contact as a verb. So long as we can meet, get in touch with, make the acquaintance of, be introduced to, call on, interview or talk to people, there can be no apology for contact." See the Commonweal, Dec. 9, 1931, p. 145. But Mr. Lienau's indignation had no effect, and to contact is still widely used.
- 2 To yes seems to have originated in Hollywood, where every movie personage is surrounded by a suite of sycophants. These sycophants are called yes-men.
- 3 To loan was once good English, and the Oxford Dictionary gives examples going back to c. 1200, but it has been supplanted in England by to lend and the Oxford calls it "now chiefly U.S." Here it rages almost unchallenged. It has even got into the text of laws. See Congressional Record, Dec. 19, 1921, p. 592, col. 2.
- 4 To author, I suspect, was first used

in Variety. But I have found it in the Editor and Publisher (Aug. 27, 1927, p. 7, col. 4).

5 To signature apparently has the imprimatur of the Postoffice. See Observations on the Duties of Contact Men As Applied to the Postoffice Department Organization, by John H. Bartlett, First Assistant Postmaster General; Cleveland (Postoffice Printing Department), 1924, D. I.

- p. r. 6 To park is in Piers Plowman, "Among C-Text, 143, c. 1390: "Among wives and widows I am wont to sit, y-parked in pews." But as Dr. Louise Pound points out in American Speech, May, 1927, it then meant to be enclosed, shut up, confined. In the sense of to arrange artillery or wagons in a park it came into English during the Napoleonic wars, apparently influenced by French example. Its modern vogue, and great extension of meaning, came in with the automobile. In the United States, as Dr. Pound says, one may now park a child with a neighbor, or a suitcase in a cloak-room, or jewelry in a vault.
- 7 Used in the theatre in the sense of to display photographs or lithographs in a theatre lobby.
- 8 Used by department-stores in the sense of to sell at a clearance sale. See American Speech, Dec., 1926, p. 163.

marshal,¹ to New Thought,² to accession,³ to demagogue, to bellyache, to propaganda, to S.O.S., to steam-roller, to pan, to janitor,⁴ to bible,⁵ to census,⁶ and so on. Some of these, of course, belong to various argots, but practically all of them would be intelligible to any alert American, and it would scarcely shock him to see them in his newspaper.¹ The use of to room in the sense of to supply with a room is common, and it has brought in to meal and to sleep.⁵ The movement toward simplicity is also responsible for the triumph of to graduate over to be graduated and of to operate over to operate on. The latter is denounced regularly by the Journal of the American Medical Association and other medical authorities, but it makes steady headway.⁵ To chiropract is another sweet flower

New York World-Telegram, March 11, 1932, under the heading of Mayor Won't Ride Horse.

2 From New Thought, the name of a curious mixture of faith-healing, amateurish psychology and pseudo-oriental "philosophy," much patronized by persons moving either in or out of Christian Science. From its organ, the Nautilus for Jan., 1926, American Speech for April, 1926 quotes: "So I lost no time in trying to New Thought our way out of debt."

3 To accession, used by American librarians in the sense of to acquire a book, is said to have been invented by the late Melvil Dewey (1851–1931). See a letter signed J. W. R. on the editorial page of the New York Times, March 27, 1932.

4 Semi-Centennial Anniversary Book, University of Nebraska; Lincoln, Neb., 1919, p. 43. The verb seems to be making headway in competition with the more raffish to janit and to jan.

5 Used by the Gideon Society, an organization of pious traveling salesmen, to denote the act of outfitting a hotel with Bibles for the consolation of its guests.

6 I find the following in the New International Encyclopedia, 2nd ed., Vol. XIV, p. 674, col. 1, 1917: "The aboriginal tribes are chiefly Bhils, who are animists, though many have been censused as Hindus." The

editors of the second edition of the New International were Talcott Williams, dean of the School of Journalism at Columbia University, and the well-known essayist, Frank Moore Colby.

7 Mr. John S. Grover, of the Portland, Ore., Journal, sends in an addition that may be with us tomorrow. It is to monoxide, meaning to poison with carbon monoxide gas. In August, 1935, one Wells sued an automobile company in the Circuit Court for Multnomah county, Oregon, on the ground that he had been monoxided through its carelessness in repairing the heater on his car. Another likely candidate is to stench, meaning to empty a movie theatre by setting off stink-bombs. It is a device often employed by moving-picture operators on strike.

8 See Mealed, by Anne E. Perkins, American Speech, June, 1928, p. 434, and Roomed, by Willa Roberts, American Speech, Oct., 1927, p. 25. Another analogue, to-subsist, meaning to provide provender, is to be found in Flying Boats and Sea-Planes, by Rear Admiral W. A. Moffett, U. S. N., Liberty, Aug. 18, 1928, p. 46.

9 See The Art and Practise of Medical Writing, by George H. Simmons, editor emeritus of the *Journal*, and Morris Fishbein, its present editor; Chicago, 1925, p. 43. The

of American speech ¹ and to it, perhaps, to goose ² should be added. When to broadcast began to be used widely, in 1925, there was a debate among American grammarians over its preterite. Should it be broadcast or broadcasted? The majority of them appear to have preferred broadcasted, as more regular, and they were supported by the English grammarian, H. W. Fowler, ⁸ but broadcast seems to have prevailed. It has bred the inevitable noun. Daily the newspapers announce that "His speech was broadcast last night" or that "A nation-wide broadcast has been arranged for tomorrow."

The common American tendency to overwork a favorite verb has been often noted by English observers. How those of an early day were affected by to fix I have reported in Chapter I, Section 3. In our own time to get has done the heaviest service. Says Ernest Weekley in "Adjectives—and Other Words" (1930):

It has become a verb of motion, commonly used in the imperative, and a euphemism for kill, as when the gunman gets the sleuth or the sleuth gets the gunman. The successful yeggman makes his getaway, and the successful artist gets away with it, while comprehension of a speaker's meaning can be conveyed by the formula, "I get you, Steve."

Dr. Weekley might have added to get going, to get it over, to get wise, to get off (to publish or utter), to get religion, to get back at, to get behind, to get there, to get together, it gets me, to get by, to get the bulge (or drop) on, to get ahead of, to get solid, to get sore,

learned authors explain that to operate a patient really means to work him, and that the connotations thereof are embarrassing to the profession. But Marion L. Morse shows in The Verb Operate, American Speech, April, 1930, that they explain in vain. Miss Morse investigated the usage of nurses. Of those "doing their work out of hospitals for about five years or more," only 12% used to operate; the rest used to operate on or upon. But of those still in training, 50% used to operate, thus showing the trend of hospital usage. The medical brethren, in general, reveal a fondness for new verbs. Nearly all of them use to intern and to special (signifying service as a special nurse), to wassermann and to cystoscope, and many also use to

blood count and to x-ray. Drs. Simmons and Fishbein report the use of to obstetricate, and I have myself encountered to diagnosticate. (Weekly Bulletin, New York City Department of Health, May 22, 1926, p. 81.)

r The Philadelphia Evening Bulletin for March 10, 1926 reports its use in court proceedings that day by District Attorney Charles Edwin Fox, and says that he thereby "coined a new word." But it was actually used before 1926. The proper chiropractic term is to adjust.

2 To goose does not appear in any of the dictionaries in its common American sense, which is known to every schoolboy.

3 In S.P.E. Tracts, No. XIX, 1925.

to make a get-away, to get on to, and scores of other verb-phrases, all of them in everyday American use. Most of them, it will be noted, are made by the simple device of adding a preposition or adverb to the verb. American, especially on the colloquial level, is very rich in such compounds,1 and the differences in meaning between them and the verbs they come from are often great. Compare, for example, to give and to give out, to go back and to go back on, to light and to light out, to bawl and to bawl out, to butt and to butt in, to turn and to turn down, to go 2 and to go big, to show and to show up, to put and to put over, to pass and to pass out, to call and to call down, to run and to run in, to wind and to wind up. To check has bred a whole series, e.g., to check up, to check in, to check out, to check with, to check against and to check over. Sometimes, to be sure, the addition seems to be only rhetorical, and many of the resultant forms strike an Englishman as redundant. Hurry up, in the imperative, is common in England, but to hurry up in the indicative is used less than the simple to hurry. Brush your hat off would seem American there, and so would to stop over, to open up, to beat up, to try out, to start off, to finish up, to average up, to lose out, to start in (or out), and to stay put. But such forms are almost innumerable in this country, and most of them, if they lack the sanction of the Yale Review, at least have that of the Congressional Record.3 Not a few of the characteristic American verb-phrases embody very bold and picturesque metaphors, e.g., to go haywire, to muscle in, to turn up missing, to spill the beans, to shoot the chutes, to put the skids under, to do a tailspin, to eat crow, to chew the rag, to hit the ceiling, to play possum, to hand him a lemon, to kick in, to show a yellow streak, to saw wood, to throw a scare into, and to come out at the little end of the horn. And some of the simple verbs show

They are common in English, too, and Samuel Johnson called attention to them in the preface to his Dictionary, but they are much more numerous in American. See Thought and Language, by P. B. Ballard; London, 1934, p. 167.

Ballard; London, 1934, p. 167.

2 Mr. L. G. Lederer of Baltimore calls my attention to a rather curious transitive use of to go, noted in the Baltimore Post for Sept. 1, 1925: "Next Summer we'll probably see traffic cops stopping and going the entrants."

3 To dope out: Mr. Hamlin of Missouri in the House, Jan. 19, 1918, p. 1154. To fall down: Mr. Kirby of Arkansas in the Senate, Jan. 24, 1918, p. 1291, and Mr. Lewis of Illinois, in the Senate, June 6, 1918, p. 8024. To jack up: Mr. Weeks of Massachusetts in the Senate, Jan. 17, 1918, p. 988. To come across: Mr. Borland of Missouri in the House, May 4, 1917, p. 1853. To butt in: Mr. Snyder of New York in the House, Dec. 11, 1917.

equally bold and picturesque transfers of meaning, e.g., to fire (in the sense of to dismiss), to can (in the same sense), to star, to neck, and so on.1

Verbs of the last-named class are heavily patronized by the headline writers, partly because they are pungent but mainly because most of them are very short. The favorite verbs of the newspaper copy-desk are those of three letters, e.g., to air (which serves to indicate any form of disclosure), to cut, to net, to set, to bar, to aid, to map, to nab, to hit, to rap, to vie and to ban. It has revived an archaism, to ire, and has produced to null from to nullify by clipping. Gassed is always used in place of asphyxiated. To admit is used as a substitute for to confess, to acknowledge, to concede, to acquiesce and to recognize. To cut is a synonym for every verb signifying any sort of opposition to enhancement. To back is to give any sort of support or recognition, to ban indicates any sort of prohibition, and to hit connotes every variety of criticism. A few of the headline verbs are of five letters, e.g., to claim, to photo, to blame, to quash, to speed and to score, and some are even of six letters, e.g., to attack, to debunk and to battle, but that is only because the researches of the copy-desk Websters have not, as yet, discovered shorter synonyms. Their preference, after their threeletter favorites, runs to four letter verbs, e.g., to best, to cite, to curb, to flay, to loom, to lure, to name, to oust, to push, to quit, to rule, to spur and to void, and among them, as among the nouns, their first choice is for those of onomatopeic tang.2

Writing in the late 60's of the last century, Richard Grant White said that "in New England . . . even the boys and girls playing on the commons" used the auxiliary verbs will and shall "correctly," which is to say, in accord with Southern English practice, and that "even in New York, New Jersey, and Ohio, in Virginia, Maryland, and South Carolina, fairly educated people of English stock" did the same.3 But that was more than two generations ago, and the chances are that it wasn't actually true even then. Today the distinction between will and shall has become so muddled in all save

¹ See Simile and Metaphor in Ameri-

can Speech, by B. Q. Morgan, American Speech, Feb., 1926. 2 See Scribes Seek Snappy Syno-nyms, by Maurice Hicklin, American Speech, Dec., 1930. Mr. Hicklin lists 70 headline verbs.

³ Words and Their Uses, new ed.; New York, 1876, p. 264. This book was made up of articles contributed to the New York Galaxy during 1867, '68 and '69.

the most painstaking and artificial varieties of American that it may almost be said to have ceased to exist. Save for emphasis, shall and should are seldom used in the first person, and all of the confusions in other situations that are listed by H. W. Fowler in "Modern English Usage" 2 and by Fowler and his brother in "The King's English" are encountered in the United States every day. No ordinary American, save after the most laborious reflection, would detect anything wrong in this sentence from the London Times, denounced as corrupt by the Fowlers: "We must reconcile what we would like to do with what we can do." Nor in this by W. B. Yeats: "The character who delights us may commit murder like Macbeth . . . and yet we will rejoice in every happiness that comes to him." When Leonard and Moffett submitted "Will you be at the Browns' this evening?" to a committee made up principally of American philologians, seven of them called it perfectly sound English, eighteen put it down as "cultivated informal English," and only four dismissed it as "uncultivated." Two thought it was American, not English, but the Fowlers' evidence shows that they were in error.4 In "The King's English," the Fowlers admit that the idiomatic use of the two auxiliaries, "while it comes by nature to Southern Englishmen, . . . is so complicated that those who are not to the manner born can hardly acquire it." In Scotland and Ireland, as in the United States, the difference between them is largely disregarded, and no doubt Northern English example is at least partly responsible for American usage.5 As Leonard once said,6 "The whole mass of

In 1929 N.R. French, C. W. Carter, Jr., and Walter Koenig, Jr., of the staff of the American Telephone and Telegraph Company, undertook a statistical study of the words used in telephone conversations. Their material embraced 79,390 words used in 1900 conversations. They reported that will was used as an auxiliary 1,305 times in 402 conversations, but that shall was used but 6 times in 6 conversations. See a discussion of the matter in Grammar and Usage in Textbooks on English, by Robert C. Pooley; Madison, Wis., 1933, p. 60. The French-Carter-Koenig report has been published as The Words and Sounds of Telephone Conversations, Bell System Technical Journal, April, 1930.

2 Oxford, 1926, p. 526.

3 2nd ed, Oxford, 1908, pp. 133-154.
4 Current Definition of Levels in English Usage, by S. A. Leonard and H. Y. Moffett, English Journal, May, 1927,

P. W. Joyce says flatly in English As We Speak It in Ireland, 2nd ed.; London, 1910, p. 77, that, "like many another Irish idiom this is also found in American society chiefly through the influence of the Irish." At all events, the Irish example must have reinforced it. In Ireland "Will I light the fire, ma'am?" is colloquially sound.
6 Shall and Will, American Speech,

pronouncements about the matter in text-books is of very little importance now, since the future in English is most commonly expressed by neither shall nor will, but by the much commoner contraction 'll, and by the forms is to go, about to go, is going to, and the whole range of auxiliary verbs which mean both past and future." 1 More than two generations ago, impatient of the effort to fasten an arbitrary English distinction upon American, George P. Marsh attacked the differentiation of shall from will as of "no logical value or significance whatever," and predicted that "at no very distant day this verbal quibble will disappear, and one of the auxiliaries will be employed, with all persons of the nominative, exclusively as the sign of the future, and the other only as an expression of purpose or authority." 2 This prophecy has been substantially verified. Will is sound American "with all persons of the nominative," and shall is almost invariably an "expression of purpose or authority."

4. OTHER PARTS OF SPEECH

The schoolmarm, in fact, has virtually abandoned her old effort to differentiate between the two auxiliaries, but she continues the heroic task of trying to make her young charges grasp the difference between who and whom. Here, alas, the speechways of the American people seem to be again against her. The two forms of the pronoun are confused magnificently in the debates in Congress, and in most newspaper writing, and in ordinary discourse the great majority of Americans avoid whom diligently, as a word full of snares. When they employ it, it is often incorrectly, as in

Aug., 1929, p. 498. He quotes The Rules of Common School Grammars, by C. C. Fries, Publications of the Modern Language Association, March, 1927, to the effect that "the first statement that will is differently used in the first person and in the second and third is in a grammar of English written in Latin by Johannis Wallis and first published in 1653. From this book it was copied frequently by the imitative grammarians of the Eighteenth Century, and has been swallowed with eyes shut by most of the

writers of common-school grammars, handbooks of correct English, and the like ever since."

2 Lectures on the English Language, 4th ed.; New York, 1870, p. 659.

In her Tendencies in Modern American Poetry; New York, 1917, Amy Lowell takes Carl Sandburg and Edgar Lee Masters to task for constantly using will for shall, and says that they share the habit "with many other modern American writers." See also Text, Type and Style, by George B. Ives; Boston, 1921, p. 289 ff.

"Whom is your father?" and "Whom spoke to me?" Noah Webster, always the pragmatic reformer, denounced it as usually useless so long ago as 1783. Common sense, he argued, was on the side of "Who did he marry?" Today such a form as "Whom are you talking to?" would seem very affected to most Americans; they might write it, but they would never speak it. The use of me instead of I in "It's me" is also almost universal in the United States, but here it is the objective form that is prevailing, not the nominative, as in the case of who and whom. "It's me" will be discussed at length in Chapter IX, Section 3.

A shadowy line often separates what is currently coming into sound usage from what is still regarded as barbarous. No American of any pretensions, I assume, would defend ain't as a substitute for isn't, say in "He ain't the man," and yet ain't is already tolerably respectable in the first person, where English countenances the even more clumsy aren't. Aren't has never got a foothold in the American first person; when it is used at all, which is very rarely, it is always as a conscious Briticism. Facing the alternative of employing the unwieldy "Am I not in this?" the American turns boldly to "Ain't I in this?" It still grates a bit, perhaps, but aren't grates even more.2 Here, as always, the popular speech is pulling the exacter speech along, and no one familiar with its successes in the past can have much doubt that it will succeed again, soon or late. In the same way it is breaking down the inflectional distinction between adverb and adjective, so that in bad begins to take on the dignity of a national idiom, and sure, to go big and run slow become almost respectable. When, on the entrance of the United States into the World War, the Tank Corps chose "Treat'em rough" as its motto, no one thought to raise a grammatical objection, and the clipped adverb was printed upon hundreds of thousands of posters and displayed in every town in the country, always with the imprimatur

Jespersen; London, 1918, p. 52. 2 For an interesting discussion of aren't see a letter by H. E. Boot in English, June, 1920, p. 376, and one by Daniel Jones in the same periodical, Aug.-Sept., 1920, p. 399. 3 A common direction to drivers and

3 A common direction to drivers and locomotive engineers. The English form is slow down. I note, however, that "drive slowly" is in the taxicab shed at the Pennsylvania Station, in New York. See also Chapter IX, Section 6.

r Compare Matthew XVI, 13: "When Jesus came into the coasts of Cesarea Philippi, he asked his disciples, saying, Whom do men say that I, the Son of Man, am?" See also Modern English Usage, by H. W. Fowler, above cited, p. 723, and Chapters on English, by Otto Jespersen; London, 1918, p. 52.

of the national government. So again, American, in its spoken form, tends to obliterate the distinction between nearly related adjectives, e.g., healthful and healthy. And to challenge the somewhat absurd textbook prohibition of terminal prepositions, so that "Where are we at?" loses its old raciness. And to substitute as though for as if. And to split infinitives in a wholesale and completely innocent manner.1 And to dally lavishly with a supererogatory but, as in "I have no doubt but that." The last occurs very frequently in the Congressional Record, and though it was denounced by Edward S. Gould so long ago as 1867 2 it seems to be very firmly lodged in colloquial American, and even to have respectable standing in the standard speech. It was used often by the highly correct Henry Cabot Lodge,⁸ and has been written into a decision of the Supreme Court of the United States by Charles Evans Hughes.4 The one-he combination, so offensive to purists (among whom, in this case, I venture to include myself), is now so common in the United States that putting it down becomes quite hopeless. In 1921, when the late Warren Gamaliel Harding, LL.D., used it in his Inaugural Address, I mocked it in the Nation, but in vain, for most of the correspondents who wrote to me afterward argued for it. Of the twenty-nine philologians who voted on it in the Leonard-Moffett inquiry,5 six called it good "literary or formal English" and sixteen thought it was "cultivated, informal English." It is, of course, not English at all, as Fowler observes in "Modern English Usage," though it is used by "a small minority of modern British writers." But in this

This splitting is defended eloquently by one of the most distinguished of American grammarians, George O. Curme, in The Split Infinitive, American Speech, May, 1927. He argues that it often helps to clarify the meaning. Thus "He failed completely to understand it" differs in meaning from "He failed to completely understand it." "Grammatical instruction in our schools," says Dr. Curme sagely, "might become a power and mighty interesting to students if it ceased to be a study of rules and became a study of the English language as something fashioned by the English people and still being shaped by the present generation. It will give a thrill to English-

speaking students to discover that the English language does not belong to the school teacher but belongs to them and that its future destiny will soon rest entirely in their hands." See also Syntax, by Dr. Curme; Boston, 1931, p. 455 ff, and The Split Infinitive, by H. W. Fowler, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XV,

2 Good English, p. 59.3 For example, see the Congressional Record, May 14, 1918, p. 6996.

4 Principality of Monaco vs. State of Mississippi, May 21, 1934. (54 S. Ct.

R., 748 note).
5 Current Definition of Levels in English Usage, cited in Section .3,

country its use is almost universal, and I have even found it in a serious treatise on the national letters by a former editor of the Atlantic Monthly, edited by a posse of Yale professors and published by the University Press. The appearance of a redundant s at the end of such words as downward, somewhere and forward has been long marked in American. "In modern Standard English," says Logan Pearsall Smith,2 "though not in the English of the United States, a distinction which we feel, but many of us could not define, is made between forward and forwards; forwards being used in definite contrast to any other direction, as 'If you move at all, you can only move forwards,' while forward is used where no such contrast is implied, as in the common phrase, 'to bring a matter forward." This distinction, pace Smith, retains some force in the United States too, but in general our usage allows the s in cases where English usage would certainly be against it. Gould, in the 50's, noting its appearance at the end of such words as somewhere and anyway, denounced it as vulgar and illogical, and White, in the late 60's, was against it even in towards. But towards, according to Fowler, is now prevailing even in England. Thornton traced anyways back to 1842 and showed that it was an archaism, and to be found in the Book of Common Prayer (c. 1560); perhaps it has been preserved by analogy with sideways. Henry James attacked "such forms of impunity as somewheres else and nowheres else, a good ways on and a good ways off" as "vulgarisms with which a great deal of general credit for what we good-naturedly call 'refinement' appears so able to coexist," * but his shrill complaint seems to have fallen upon sound-proofed ears. Perhaps he would have been even more upset, on his so unhappy American tour, if he had encountered no place and some place, which show some sign of dislodging nowheres and somewheres.

The general American liking for short cuts in speech, so plainly visible in the incessant multiplication of compounds and backformations, is also shown in the popularity of abbreviations. They are employed in the United States, says John S. Farmer, "to an

American would use "to take a matter up."

The American Spirit in Literature, by Bliss Perry; New Haven, 1918, p. 117.

p. 117. 2 The English Language; New York, 1912, p. 79.

³ Common, that is, in England. An

⁴ The Question of Our Speech; Boston, 1905, p. 30. See also Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. I, 1913, p. 48.

extent unknown in Europe. Life, they say, is short and the pace is quick; brevity, therefore, is not only the soul of wit, but the essence of business capacity as well. This trait of the American character is discernible in every department of the national life and thought even slang being curtailed at times." 1 O.K., C.O.D., N.G. and P.D.Q. are American masterpieces; the first has been borrowed by all the languages of Western Europe and some of those of Asia, and in the days of the great immigrations the immigrants learned all four immediately after hell and damn. Thornton has traced N.G. to 1840, and C.O.D. and P.D.Q. are probably quite as old. The earliest use of O.K. that has been recorded in the dictionaries is dated 1840 also, and the story generally credited is that it originated in Champaign county, Ohio, during the presidential campaign of that year. The Whig candidate was William Henry Harrison, an Ohioan, and on September 15 there was a rally in his interest in a grove belonging to John A. Ward, father of J. Q. A. Ward the sculptor, at Urbana. In the parade preceding the speech-making there were 42 farm-wagons, each freighted with a small log-cabin. One of these wagons was driven by John Rock, a nearby farmer. It was drawn by 24 horses, and had 36 young women as passengers, all dressed in white. On it was a streamer bearing the words "The People is Oll Korrect," painted by Thomas Daniels, the local handy-man. The story is to the effect that Daniels's error was seized upon by Harrison's opponents, but that his friends, seeing the popular appeal in it, took it over themselves and made "The People is O.K." their battle-cry. Unluckily, Mr. H. J. Carr, of the Urbana Citizen, has discovered that O.K. had appeared in Samuel Medary's Ohio Statesman, published at Columbus, on September 11, four days before the Urbana meeting.2 More, it had been used in the Boston Transcript on April 15, five months before the meeting,3 and again in the Boston Atlas on June 20.4

1 Americanisms Old and New; London, 1889, p. 1.

2 Mr. Carr published an account of his discovery in the Cleveland *Plain Dealer*, Nov. 20, 1934.
3 See O.K. at Ninety-Five, Boston

ent said that "the tail of the Democratic party, the roarers, buttenders, ringtails, O.K.'s... and indomitables talked strong about Nullification and all that." "The allusion," says the *Transcript* editorial of 1935, "was probably to those who put their O.K. on the nomination of Van Buren."

4 In a report of a Whig convention held at Worcester on June 19. "The band of the delegation from

³ See O.K. at Ninety-Five, Boston Transcript (editorial), April 15, 1935. It occurred in a report of a Democratic meeting in New York, in the interest of Martin Van Buren's renomination for a second term. The Transcript's correspond-

There are many rival etymologies for the abbreviation. One derives it from the initials of one Obediah Kelly, an early railway freightagent, who signed them to bills of lading. Another derives it from Keokuk, the name of an Indian chief from whom the town of Keokuk, Iowa, was named. His admirers called him Old Keokuk, and usually added "He's all right!", and so Old Keokuk, and finally the simple initials, came to mean the same thing.1 A third etymology derives O.K. from omnis korrecta, supposed to have been once used by schoolmasters in marking examination-papers.² A fourth seeks its origin in Aux Cayes, the name of a port in what is now Haiti, whence the best rum came in the early days. A fifth holds that it was borrowed from the terminology of the early shipbuilders, who fashioned the timbers of their ships under cover, marked each one for identification, and then began the actual building by laying O.K. (i.e., outer keel) No. 1.3 A sixth contends that O.K. was invented by the early telegraphers, along with many other abbreviations, e.g., G.M. (good morning), G.A. (go ahead) and N.M. (no more).* A seventh credits O.K. to the elder John Jacob Astor, "who marked it on bills presented to him for credit." 5 An eighth seeks its origin in the archaic English word hoacky or horkey, meaning the last load brought in from the fields at harvest. A ninth derives it from a Choctaw word, okeh, signifying "it is so." "Webster's New International Dictionary" (1934) accepts this last, though adding a saving "probably," but the Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary

Barre," said the Atlas, "rode in a stage which had a barrel of hard-cider on the baggage-rack, marked with large letters O.K.—oll korrect."

I I take this from the Louisville Herald-Post, Feb. 26, 1932. 2 This comes from a letter signed

² This comes from a letter signed L. M., dated Calgary, March 4, 1935, and published in the Vancouver Sun. For it I am indebted to Mr. J. A. Macdonald, of the Sun staff.

³ For this I am indebted to Mr. John D. Forbes, of San Francisco.

⁴ See Topical Tittle-tattle, by Tatler, Sidmouth (England) Observer, March 27, 1935, and O.K. – Time Saver, by John Galt, New York American, March 22, 1935. Unfor-

tunately for this theory, there were no telegraph operators until 1844, and O.K., as we have seen, had been used in 1840.

⁵ O.K. No Mystery, by Frank A. Kellman, New York American, March 20, 1025.

March 20, 1935.
6 Derivation of O.K., by Wilfrid White, London Daily Telegraph, March 7, 1935. Mr. White quotes an anonymous poem, Poor Robin, of 1676: "Hoacky is brought home with Hollwin" [Hollowe'en]. "There is also," he says, "a long poem by Herrick, entitled The Hock Cart, or Harvest Home. It seems but a short step from hoacky, signifying the satisfactory completion of harvesting, to the snappy O.K. of today."

(1933) rejects it, saying that "it does not agree with the evidence." There is yet a tenth etymology, whereby O.K. is made to originate in a libel of Andrew Jackson by Seba Smith (Major Jack Downing), who is said to have alleged, c. 1832, that he saw Jackson's endorsement "O.K., Amos," on the elegant pronunciamentoes drawn up for him by his literary secretary, Amos Kendall. Says a floating newspaper paragraph:

Possibly the general did use this endorsement, and it may have been used by other people also. But James Parton has discovered in the records of the Nashville court of which Jackson was a judge, before he became President, numerous documents endorsed O.R., meaning Order Recorded. He urges, therefore, that it was a record of that court with some belated business which Major Downing saw on the desk of the Presidential candidate. However this may be, the Democrats, in lieu of denying the charge, adopted the letters O.K. as a sort of party cry and fastened them upon their banners.

This last theory, it seems to me, deserves more investigation than it has got. Certainly O.K. must have been in familiar use before 1840, and equally certainly it had some connection with Democrats. But Woodrow Wilson, himself the most eminent Democrat of his day, accepted the Choctaw etymology, and used okeh in approving official papers. His use of the form made it popular, and it became the name of a series of popular phonograph records, and of many shoeshining parlors, lunch-rooms and hot-dog stands. An Okey Hosiery Company survives in New York along with an Okay Electric Company, an Okay Food Sales Company, an Okay Manufacturing Company, and an Okay Supply Company. O.K. has gone into English,2 and also into all the Continental languages. Some years ago the British Privy Council decided solemnly that it was good English. Ba Maw, manager of a rice mill in Burma, had written O.K. on certain bills to indicate that they had been checked and found correct. The Privy Council agreed that his understanding of the meaning of the term was sound, and in doing so set aside a decree of the High Court of Rangoon, which had ruled that O.K. was not English. English or not, it has become the symbol for "we agree" in the code of the International Telecommunication Convention, signed by the dele-

2 "What has been my horror," wrote

Mrs. Nicholas Murray Butler to the London Daily Telegraph, March 6, 1935, "to hear O.K. used in an English drawing-room, and, worse still, to find it in the Oxford Dictionary!"

¹ Presidential candidate is probably an error for President. Jackson was elected for his first term in 1828. Smith did not begin to write his Letters of Major Downing until the early 30's.

gates to the International Radio Conference at Madrid, December 9, 1932. "It is estimated," says a recent newspaper writer, "that O.K. is used [in the United States] not less than a million times a day, even by the most high-hatted of auditors and certified public accountants. It is used in official business at Washington and in the Army and Navy, in the Ford plant, on the railroads, and in the American sections of London and Paris. Regardless of its possible illegitimacy, it has a convincing and captivating ring, and it is undoubtedly the most popular of all American slang or idiom." The figure mentioned by this writer seems to be too modest. According to James A. Bohannon, president of the Peerless Motor Car Corporation, "a half million O.K.'s are included in every motor-car built." A back-formation, oke, appeared about 1930.

Many other abbreviations are in common use in the United States. I have mentioned C.O.D., N.G. and P.D.Q. The first-named has been borrowed by the English, but they always understand it to mean cash on delivery, not collect on delivery. G.O.P. was formerly popular as a designation for the Republican party (Grand Old Party), and also, in the days of the horse-cars, as an abbreviation of get out and push, but it seems to be fading. It was probably suggested by the English G.O.M. for Grand Old Man (W. E. Gladstone, 1882). A No. 1, as in an A No. 1 car, is also an Americanism of English parentage: it is borrowed from A i, used in Lloyd's Register to designate ships in first-class condition. Other familiar Americanisms are G.B. (grand bounce), F.F.V. (first families of Virginia), F.O.B. (free on board), G.A.R. (Grand Army of the Republic), S.A. (sex-appeal), D. & D. (drunk and disorderly), on the Q.T. (on the quiet), T.B. (tuberculosis), L (elevated-railway), and B.V.D., the trade-name for a brand of men's underwear, but now in such wide use that it is applied indiscriminately to the product of other manufacturers.⁸ Perhaps Q-room (cue-room, i.e., billiard-room),

I Butte (Mont.) Standard, Oct. 11,

of the late mysterious Isidor Fisch, Hauptmann's tubercular friend, has learned to say *okay*. It is now his answer for everything."

3 Under date of March 29, 1935 I received the following from Mr. P. B. Merry of the B.V.D. Company, Inc.: "From the standpoint of business psychology and because of the great public curiosity as to the meaning of our trademark, we

² Cincinnati Enquirer, Oct. 6, 1929. See also Okeh: Legenden um ein Modewort, by A. J. Storfer, Vossische Zeitung (Berlin), Sept. 3, 1933. For the sake of the record I add the following from the New York World-Telegram's report of the Hauptmann-Lindbergh trial, Jan. 23, 1935: "Pincus Fisch, brother

While-U-wait, and Bar-B-Q (barbecue), all of them familiar signs, should be added. There are many secret and semi-secret abbreviations, especially in college slang and other argots,1 and nonce-forms often appear, e.g., w.k. (well-known). The World War brought in a great many novelties, headed by A.E.F. (American Expeditionary Force) and a.w.o.l. (absent without leave). There was a similar abundance of new forms in England: of them D.O.R.A. (Defense of the Realm Act) and wren (a member of the Women's Royal Naval Service) are examples. After the war the Russians contributed a large number, e.g., cheka (Chrezvychainaya Komissiya, i.e., Extraordinary Commission), N.E.P. (New Economic Plan), and Gaypay-oo (Gosundarstvennoe Politicheskoe Upravlenie, i.e., Government Political Administration), which last somehow became Ogpu in the Western world. To these was presently added Nazi (Nationalsozialistische) from Germany. The effect was to encourage the invention of similar forms in America, and when the New Deal dawned, in 1933, scores began to appear, e.g., N.R.A. or Nira (National Recovery Administration), T.V.A. (Tennessee Valley Authority), F.E.R.A. (Federal Emergency Relief Administration), C.C.C. (Civilian Conservation Corps), A.A.A. (Agricultural Adjustment Administration), and so on enough, in fact, to induce Alfred E. Smith to describe the government as submerged in a bowl of alphabetical soup.2 The advertising brethren are fertile inventors of abbreviations. They seem to have produced I.X.L. many years ago, and of late they have added XLent (a brand of salmon), E.Z. (for easy: part of the name of a brand of shoes), Fits-U (a brand of eye-glasses), and many other combinations of U, e.g., Uneeda, Uneedme (a chair-pad), U-Put-It-On (a weather-strip), U-Rub-It-In (an ointment), While-U-Wait, and "R.U. interested in"-

would not care to have you publish any information regarding its origin, but for your personal use, if you request it, we will be glad to tell you the history of B.V.D." I did not request it.

I See Semi-Secret Abbreviations, by Percy W. Long, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. III, 1915, and Vol. IV, Pt. V, 1916. Dr. Long lists, among others, c. & s. (Navy: clean and sober), G.b.F. (among teachers: God bless Friday), c.o.p. (department-stores: customer's own prop-

erty), r.b. (tailors: round back), b.d.t. (college: back-door trot), g.p. (medical men: grateful patient), G.o.k. (medical men: God only knows), f.b.b. (general: family hold back), and b.s. (college: euphemistically explained by Dr. Long as meaning bovine excrescence).

² Alphabetical soup is itself an Americanism. It designates a noodle-soup in which the noodles are stamped out in the form of letters of the alphabet.

whatever happens to be for sale.¹ On the Pacific Coast the barbecues and hot-dog stands run to such signs as Sit 'N Eat, Park 'N Dine and so on.² In college slang the common abbreviation W.C. (water-closet) is sometimes expanded, ironically, to Wesley chapel. In a similar way sporting writers expand K.O. (knockout) to kayo.

One of the characteristics of slang, as we shall see in Chapter XI, Section 1, is that its novelties are sometimes worked so hard and in so many situations that they lose all definite meaning. This is also true of certain popular words on higher levels: philologians call them vogue- or counter-words. The common adjectives and adverbs of intensification offer examples e.g., grand, dreadful, nice and awfully, and we have seen another in the verb to fix (Chapter I, Section 3) and yet another in the verb to get (Chapter V, Section 3). The noun proposition began to take on wide and often preposterous significances in American during the 90's, and was soon in a lamentably swollen state. It meant a problem, proposal, person, parallel, premiss, postulate, parley, phenomenon, point, policy, philosophy, prospect, process, petition, paradox or possibility, to mention only a few of its meanings under its own first letter.8 It went into English with the movies, and was denounced by H. W. Fowler in "Modern English Usage," but it remained distinctively American there, and is now fading out of both languages, at least in its character of counterword. In 1910 or thereabout the more incompetent newspaper reporters of the United States began to use angle in the sense of any aspect of a person or an event,4 and ten years later they adopted alibi as a synonym for any word signifying an explanation or excuse. Both wore out very quickly, but not, alas, quickly enough. Other counter-words that have flourished since the beginning of the century are gesture, service, reaction, complex, analysis, plus and dingus, the verbs to function and to claim,5 and the adjectives outstanding,

Oct., 1924, p. 237.

2 See Showing Hollywood, by Cecelia Ager, Variety, July 23, 1930, p. 49.

years later. For examples of its use there see The Supplement to the O.E.D., by George G. Loane, Literary Supplement to the London Times, March 8, 1934, p. 162.

5 In 1906, according to Enid C. Dauncey, Living Age, Dec. 15, to claim was "employed in the most inhuman fashion to do the work of a dozen healthy, willing substitutes," e.g., to allege, assert, protest, profess, advance, propound, depose, avow.

I See Notes on the Vernacular, by Louise Pound, American Mercury, Oct., 1924, p. 237.

³ In a speech in the Senate by Senator George W. Norris of Nebraska, on Feb. 21, 1921, it was used in five or six distinct senses. The speech may be found in the Congressional Record of the same date, p. 374 ff. 4 Angle got into English about 15

meticulous, exciting, conscious and consistent. Some of them began in the argot of a relatively small class, and then extended to the common tongue, e.g., service, which seems to have been launched by the visionaries of Rotary c. 1910. Outstanding began its career among the pedagogues, and they still overwork it cruelly,1 but it is now also used by politicians, the rev. clergy, newspaper editorial writers, and other such virtuosi of bad writing. Consistent came in c. 1925 as an adjective designating every sort of harmony or continuity, and for a while drove out a whole flock of better words. Exciting apparently arose in the jargon of art criticism, but in 1933 it was borrowed by the writers of book reviews, and presently had a great run in publishers' advertising, especially on the slip-covers of books. Plus seems to have been the child of advertisement writers; it was noticed in American Speech for December, 1927, as in high favor among them. Classic followed a year or so later.2 Dingus seems to have originated in the English of South Africa, but it has been in heavy use in the United States since the automobile and the radio brought in a host of novel contraptions, and with it have flourished a number of congeners, e.g., jigger, gadget and doodad.8 Complex, of course, owed its vogue, c. 1915, to the popularity of the Freudian rumble-bumble. The use of gesture as a general indicator of any sort of action, movement, offer, threat or deed began in 1925 or thereabout. The late George Philip Krapp believed that it was suggested by the French beau geste, the title of a popular movie of the period.4

I find it used no less than five times on a single page of American Writers, by Edwin L. Miller, assistant superintendent of schools of Detroit; Philadelphia, 1934, p. 676. See Educational Lingo, by Olivia Pound, American Speech, March, 1926. Miss Pound makes some amusing comments upon the platitudi-nous and cliché-studded English of the gogues. When outstanding got to England it hatched an adverb, outstandingly. See English, Feb., 1920, p. 286, and Speech Degeneracy, by M. V. P. Yeaman, American Speech, Nov., 1925.

2 See Classic, by R. G. Lewis, American Speech, June, 1928, p. 433.

3 The Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary suggests that dingus is derived from the Dutch ding (a thing). The earliest quotation given is dated 1898. See American Indefinite Names, by Louise Pound, American Mercury, Oct., 1924, p.

4 A Comprehensive Guide to Good English; Chicago, 1927, p. 269. See also Beau Geste?, by J. M. Stead-man, Jr., American Speech, June,

5. FOREIGN INFLUENCES TODAY

The great flow of European immigration to the United States, perhaps the most significant event in human history since the close of the Middle Ages, began with the Irish potato famine of 1847 and the German political disturbances of the two years following. Between 1776 and 1846, a stretch of more than two generations, less than 1,600,000 immigrants from overseas had come into the country, though its population had increased nearly sevenfold, from 3,000,000 to 20,000,000. But after 1850 the movement began in earnest, and thereafter it continued for sixty-five years, with only two considerable interruptions, the first caused by the Civil War and the second by the Depression of 1893. In each of the years 1905, 1906, 1907, 1010, 1013 and 1014 more than a million immigrants were admitted, and by 1927 the total number arriving since 1820 reached 37,000,000. In 1930 there were 13,366,407 white persons in the United States who had been born in foreign countries, 16,000,221 whose parents were both foreign-born, and 8,361,965 of mixed parentage - a total of 38,727,503, or more than 35% of the whole white population. In addition, there were perhaps 200,000 Negroes, Chinese, Japanese, Filipinos, Hindus and Koreans who were either foreign-born or the children of foreign-born parents, and nearly 1,500,000 Mexicans.1

With the passage of the Immigration Act of 1921 the flow of immigration was considerably reduced, and when the Immigration Act of 1924 followed it was virtually halted. Both acts were qualitative as well as quantitative in purpose and effect. The first limited the annual immigration from each country to 3% of "the number of foreign-born persons of such nationality resident in the United States" in 1910, and the second reduced the quota to 2% and changed the year to 1890. The aim of the latter amendment was to diminish the relative number of immigrants from Eastern and South-

The Census Bureau explains somewhat lamely (Fifteenth Census: Population, Vol. II; Washington, 1933, p. 27) that "by reason of its growing importance, the Mexican element was given a separate classification in 1930," though it had been "included for the most part with the white population at prior censuses." The instruction given to enumera-

tors was that "all persons born in Mexico, or having parents born in Mexico, who are not definitely white, Negro, Indian, Chinese or Japanese, should be returned as Mexicans." Under this instruction, 1,422,533 Mexicans were returned in 1930, besides 65,968 "persons of Mexican birth or parentage returned as white."

ern Europe. Down to 1890 the overwhelming majority of entrants had come from Great Britain, Germany and the Scandinavian countries, but after that year those from Italy, Russia and the Austrian dominions had taken the lead. In 1914, for example, 383,738 came in from Italy, 255,660 from Russia and 278,152 from Austria-Hungary, whereas the arrivals from Germany were but 35,734, from Scandinavia 29,391, and from the United Kingdom and Ireland 73,417. It was generally felt that immigrants from Eastern and Southern Europe were harder to assimilate than those from the West and North, and that the country already had enough of them, and to spare. So the number of Italians admitted annually was reduced from 42,128 under the Act of 1921 to 3,845 under the Act of 1924, and the number of Poles from 30,977 to 5,977. A certain amount of anti-Semitism also got into the matter, for a large proportion of the immigrants from Eastern Europe were Jews. The two acts worked so well that by 1930 the year's immigration was reduced to 241,700, and by 1933 to 23,068. Indeed, since 1930 the number of immigrants coming in annually has been more than once surpassed by the number of former immigrants returning home, and from 1930 to 1935 the total excess amounted to 229,363.1

Of the 13,366,407 foreign-born whites in the country in 1930,² 13,216,928 were ten years old or older, and of this number only 3,907,021 spoke English as their native language. Nevertheless, all save 869,865 of the remainder managed to convince the census enumerators that they had acquired a workable command of the language. No doubt most of them spoke it badly, but at all events they tried to speak it, and their children were being taught it in the schools. The immigrants of the older immigrations had naturally made the most progress. The Scandinavians, about half of whom arrived before 1900, made the best showing, with hardly more than 2% of them unable to speak English, and less than 1% of the males. Next came the Germans: 58.3% of them arrived before 1900, and all save 2.9% (1.8% of males) could speak English. The Poles, Russians,

¹ Congressional Record, Feb. 19, 1935, p. 2290.

² Including Negroes, Mexicans and Asiatics, the total number was 14,-204,149. Of these, 7,919,536 were naturalized, 1,266,419 had taken out their first papers, 4,518,341 had made no move to be naturalized,

and 499,853 were of uncertain status. It was estimated in 1934 that the number of the unnaturalized had shrunk to 3,600,000. Very often the statement is made that there are also millions of unrecorded aliens in the country, but for this there is no evidence.

Italians, Greeks and Czechs, and the Baltic and Balkan peoples, most of whom came in between 1900 and 1914, fell much behind. Of the Poles, for example, 12.8% were still unable to speak English in 1930 (7.7% of males and 18.7% of females) and of the Italians 15.7% (8.9% and 25.1%). Here something more than mere duration of residence in the country seems to have had some influence, for though 12.7% of the Germans came in after 1925, only 2.9% were without English in 1930. These late-coming Germans were, on the whole, much better educated than the Eastern and Southern Europeans who arrived at the same time, and large numbers of them had probably received some instruction in English at home. Moreover, they dispersed themselves throughout the country, and did not collect in ghettoes, like a majority of the Italians, Slavs and Jews. Of the 1,808,289 Italians here in 1930 more than 1,500,000 were crowded into relatively few cities, and of the 1,222,658 Jews who reported Yiddish as their native language all save 10,000 were living in cities.1

This concentration of foreign-speaking people in limited areas has naturaly influenced the American of those areas, if only on its lower levels. Now that immigration has been virtually cut off, that influence will diminish, but how long it may be exerted is to be observed in the so-called Pennsylvania-Dutch region of Pennsylvania, where a dialect of German is still a living speech after more than 200 years of settlement, and the local dialect of English shows plain traces of it, both in vocabulary and in pronunciation. In the same way, the everyday speech of lower Louisiana is full of French terms not in use elsewhere, e.g., brioche, praline, lagniappe, armoir, kruxingiol (croquignole), pooldoo (poule d'eau), jambalaya, bogue, gris-gris and briqué.

I These figures, it should be noted, do not show the total number of foreign-born Jews in the country. "Many Jews of foreign birth," says the Census Bureau (Fifteenth Census: Population, Vol. II; Washington, 1933, p. 342), "report German, Russian or other languages as their mother tongue."

their mother tongue."

2 See New Orleans Word-List, by E. Riedel, *Dialect Notes*, Vol. IV, Pt. IV, 1916; Louisiana, by James Routh, *Dialect Notes*, Vol. IV, Pt. V, 1916; and Terms From Louisiana, by James Routh and E. O.

Barker, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. VI, 1917. Appended to the lastnamed is a long list of Louisiana names for birds and animals, many of them French, e.g., aigle tête blanche (the bald eagle), becassine (a species of snipe), biorque (the bittern), carencro (vulture), corbigeau (plover), dindon farouche or dindon sauvage (wild turkey), gros-bec (heron), paper bleu (finch), and bassaris (civet cat). The word ofay, which may have come from the French au fait (signifying mastery), is in general

In Minnesota and the adjacent States many Swedish terms are in common use, e.g., lutfisk (a fish delicacy), lingon (a berry), lefse (a potato pancake), fattigmand (a pastry), spruts (another), lag (an association of Swedes from the same province), and midsommarfest (commonly Anglicized to midsummer-feast). There is also a considerable borrowing of Swedish idioms, as in to cook coffee (koka kaffee), forth and back (fram och tillbaka), and to hold with in place of to agree with (håller med). A recent observer collected the following among "English-speaking high-school and college graduates of Swedish descent" in Minnesota, Wisconsin and Illinois:

The Expression Heard	Standard English	The Swedish Original
She poured up the cof- fee	She poured the coffee	Hon hällde upp kaffet
The sour hen	The setting hen	Den sura höna
I cooked soup on that bone	I made soup with that bone	Jag kokte soppa på detta ben
I studied for him	I studied under him	Jag studerade för honom
They call him for a fool	They call him a fool	De kallade honom för en narr
I read for the minister	I studied with the min- ister	Jag läste för prästen
Ready till Christmas	Ready by Christmas	Färdigt till jul
I am freezing so	I am so cold	Jag fryser 1

To this list a correspondent 2 adds the following:

The English of Swedish children often influences the English of their American playmates. A colleague who is a New Englander tells me that his Minnesota-born children used to say, "I want to go with" (Jag vill gå med). A Swedishism frequently heard is "It stands in the paper" (Det står i tidningen). "A couple, three dollars" apparently comes from Ett par, tre dollar.

use in the Negro press of the United States to designate a white person. It is possible that it originated in New Orleans. Its popularity, I suspect, is at least partly due to its brevity, which makes it a good headline word. Most of the more recent American borrowings from French have come in through English, e.g., garage, gigolo and hangar, or have entered the two languages simultaneously, but rôtisserie, with the accent omitted, seems to be an Americanism. It

signifies an eating-house wherein chickens and butcher's meat are roasted at a charcoal-grill, usually in the show-window of the establishment. It has been in use in New York since 1900 or thereabout, but is encountered only infrequently elsewhere.

I Twenty Idioms Illustrating the Influence of Swedish on English, by Thorvald E. Holter, American Speech, Feb., 1931.

2 Mr. Roy W. Swanson, of the editorial staff of the St. Paul Dispatch.

I once heard a second-generation university man use the sentence, "He will not live over it," meaning "He will not get over it." The Swedish is Det kommer han inte att överleva.

In the same way Czech words have got into American and Czech idioms have influenced usage in the regions wherein Bohemian immigrants are numerous. One of the former is listed in "Webster's New International Dictionary" (1934). It is kolach, and it is defined as "variety of kuchen made esp. by Bohemians." Why the German word kuchen is used in this definition I don't know. Kolach is the Czech kolác, with its accent lost in the melting-pot. Other Czech loan-words and phrases that are in local use are roblik (a roll brushed with egg-yolk, salted, and sometimes sprinkled with caraway or poppy seeds), povidla (a prune marmalade), buchta (a coffee-cake), počkij (wait, hold on), sokol (literally, a falcon, but used to designate an athletic association), na zdar (good luck), and to soc (from the verb sočiti, meaning to scold or grumble). Another is pantáta (literally, Mr. Father, and signifying a father-in-law). This last was apparently in use in New York at the time of the Lexow investigation to designate a corrupt police-captain, but it has gone out. In Bristol county, Massachusetts, where there are many Portuguese immigrants, a number of Portuguese loan-words are encountered, e.g., cabeca (head), lingreese (Port. linguica, a sausage), and jick or jickie (Englishman).2 If cuspidor is actually an Americanism, which seems probable, then it most likely came from the Portuguese verb cuspir (to spit). The Oxford Dictionary's first example of its use (spelled cuspadore) is taken from Forrest's "Voyage to New Guinea," dated 1779, but after that there is no quotation until 1871, at which time an Englishman named Heath took out a patent for "an improvement in cuspidores." The word seems to have been in general use in the United States before 1870.3 In New York City the high density of Eastern Jews in the population has made almost every New Yorker familiar with a long list of Yiddish words, e.g., kosher, shadchan, matzoth, mazuma, yom kippur, meshuggah and

I See Czech Influence Upon the American Vocabulary, by Monsignor J. B. Dudek, Czecho-Slovak Student Life (Lisle, Ill.), June, 1928.

² I am indebted here to Mr. Charles J. Lovell. The prevalence of Dutch loan-words in the Hudson river region has been remarked in Chap-

ter III, Section 1, and of Spanish loan-words in the Southwest in Chapter IV, Section 3.

³ I am indebted here to Mr. Arthur R. Coelho.

⁴ According to H. Heshin (American Speech, May, 1926, p. 456) mazuma is derived from a Chal-

gefilte-fisch, and many non-Jewish New Yorkers have added others that are not generally familiar, e.g., schul, bar-mitzva, blintzes, kaddish, trefa, dayyan, goy, dokus, schochet, schmus, schicker, schiksa, mohel, get, hesped, kishkes, kittl, meshummad and pesach. The Yiddish exclamation, oi-yoi, is common New Yorkese, and the Yiddish greetings, mazzaltov and scholom aleichim, are pretty well known and understood. There is also some translation of Yiddish idioms, as in "That's something else again" and "Did I say no?" In 1915 or thereabout "I should worry" came into use in New York, and quickly spread throughout the country. It was said at the time to be a translation of a Yiddish phrase, ish ka bibble, but about this there is still some mystery. The common Yiddish saying is actually "Es is mein daige" (It is my worry). There are not a few Yiddish loan-words in German, and some of them have probably been helped into American by that fact, e.g., kosher, mazuma (money), matzoth, meshuggah (crazy), and dokus (backside). I find mazuma in a word list from Kansas.2 Contrariwise, there are many German words in Yiddish, and one of them, kibitzer, has come into American by the Yiddish route. In German kiebitz signifies the peewit or lapwing (Vancellus cristallus), and has long been in figurative use to designate a looker-on at cards, and especially one who offers unsolicited advice.8 The word apparently acquired the agent suffix, -er, on coming into American. Yiddish has greatly enriched the vocabulary of those trades in which Jews are numerous. In the retail shoe business, "a customer who shops from store to store, trying on shoes but not buying, is known as a schlepper. In Yiddish the word means a mean fellow. Those who bargain in a one-price store are called schnorrers,

dean word, *m'zumon*, "meaning in literal translation the ready necessary." *Gefilte*, of course, is the German *gefüllte* (stuffed).

huge and red nose, possibly behaired, on the tip of which a fat fly takes it easy. In order that the guests may not mistake it for a likeness of Cyrano, an invariable legend cautions energetically: 'Kibitz, halts maul!' (Kibitzer, keep your mouth shut)." Another correspondent, Hermann Post, wrote on the same day: "The eggs of the peewit are very much sought after for their delicious taste. They are laid on the ground. The bird, to protect the eggs, flies frantically around the heads of people looking for them."

I Here I am indebted to Mr. Albert Kaplan.

² In the collectanea of Judge J. C. Ruppenthal, *Dialect Notes*, Vol. IV. Pt. V. 1016, D. 326.

IV, Pt. V, 1916, p. 326.

The following is from a letter signed Philologist in the New York Evening Post, Feb. 15, 1929: "Any one who has ever visited drowsy little inns of the German countryside remembers the grotesque portrait of the chap with a topheavy,

from the Yiddish for a beggar. The most derogatory of all terms in shoe lingo is the word momzer, also derived from the Yiddish and meaning a bastard. A momzer is one who, after working the salesman to death, decides to buy in a store down the block." The instalment furniture stores have also borrowed from the Yiddish. They are called by their salesmen borax-houses, and the borax apparently comes from the Yiddish borg, meaning credit. "When business is bad it's shofte, and a sucker is always a schnookel." When the credit department offers a customer such unfavorable terms that the sale is killed, it is said to be schmiessed. In the garment trade Yiddish is probably used more than English, and such English as is employed is full of Yiddish terms.

The largest body of loan-words in American is that from the Spanish, with that from the German following hard upon it. Both have been discussed in the last chapter. Since the Civil War the chief contribution of German has been the domestication of the suffix -fest. It came in with sängerfest and turnfest in the early 50's, but the manufacture of American analogues did not begin until 1900 or thereabout. I have encountered, among others, the following: talk-fest, swatfest (a baseball game marked by many hits), hoochfest, slugfest (prizefight), smokefest, walkfest, gabfest, sobfest, egofest, spooffest, eatfest, stuntfest, ananiasfest, blarneyfest, smilefest, gossipfest, batfest (baseball), bloodfest (war), crabfest, gabblefest, jawfest, singfest, lovefest, bullfest, boozefest, bookfest and applefest. When,

I Lingo of the Shoe Salesman, by David Geller, American Speech, Dec., 1934.

2 The Borax House, by Louise Conant, American Mercury, June,

1929.

3 I am indebted in Yiddish matters to Mr. B. H. Hartogensis of Baltimore, who has undertaken an extensive study of Loan-Words From the Hebrew in the American Language, not yet published.

4 A writer in the Editor and Publisher for Dec. 25, 1919, p. 30, credits the first use of gabfest to the late Joseph S. McCullagh, editor of the St. Louis Globe-Democrat. He says: "McCullagh coined the word while writing a comment upon an unusually prolonged and empty debate in Congress. No

other word in the dictionary or out of it seemed to fit the case so well, and as a great percentage of the readers of the Globe-Democrat throughout the Central West were of German birth or origin, gabfest was seized upon with hearty zest, and it is today very generally applied to any protracted and particularly loquacious gathering." In the Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary the first quoted use of the word is from the Grand Rapids (Mich.) Evening Express, July 30, 1904.

The "first annual bookfest and movie star rummage sale" of the League of American Penwomen was held at the Hotel Marion, Little Rock, Ark., Jan. 13–14, 1924. An applefest was held at the Mar-

on the repeal of Prohibition, American legislators began to search for euphemisms for saloon, one of the words they hit upon was the German stube, signifying, alone, simply a room, but often combined with bier (beer) or wein (wine) in bierstube or weinstube. According to Sir William Craigie, beer-garden, which came in about 1870, is "clearly from the German," i.e., from biergarten.

The suffixes -heimer and -bund had brief vogues in 1900 or thereabout, but the former survives only in wiseheimer and the latter only in plunderbund and moneybund, the former of which is listed in "Webster's New International Dictionary" (1934). Wanderlust seems to have come in since 1900; it is also known in England, but is used much more frequently in the United States along with its derivatives, wanderluster (Eng. rambler), wanderlusting and wanderlust-club (Eng. rambler-society).1 Like sauerkraut, it was under a patriotic ban during the World War, but recovered promptly. Living-room may have been suggested by the German wohnzimmer. The Oxford Dictionary cites a single use of it in England in 1825, but in the sense of "the room usually occupied during the day" it is called an Americanism in the Oxford Supplement, and assigned to 1867. Blizzard has been often listed among Americanisms of German origin, with that origin assigned variously to blitzen (lightning) or blitzartig (lightning-like), but the researches of Allen Walker Read reveal that it was in use to designate a violent blow (as with the fist) long before it came to mean a storm. It is probably onomatopeic.2 So-long, the phrase of parting, has been credited similarly to the German so lange (and also to the Yiddish sholom), but it is actually of English origin, and does not appear to be an Americanism. In a letter from Bayard Taylor to Edmund Clarence Stedman, dated June 16, 1865, and how is laid to "the Germans," 3

Louis Ludlow, a member of Congress from Indiana.

ble Collegiate Church, New York City, in Dec., 1922. The North Side Community Choral Club, a Negro organization, held a sangerfest (without the umlaut) in Pittsburgh in April, 1927. (Pittsburgh Courier, April 9.) See Domestication of the Suffix -fest, by Louise Pound, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. V, 1916.

¹ American Speech, April, 1935, p. 155, reports a punning analogue, squanderlust, and ascribes it to

² See The Word Blizzard, by Allen Walker Read, American Speech, Feb., 1928, and Blizzard Again, by the same, American Speech, Feb., 1930.

³ The letter is to be found in The Life and Letters of Bayard Taylor, by Marie Hansen Taylor; Boston, 1884. I am indebted for the reference to And How, by J. R. Schultz, American Speech, Dec., 1933, p. 80.

but no other evidence that it was borrowed seems to be available. On equally dubious evidence rubber-neck has been derived from a probably mythical German gummihals, and it listens well, a phrase of affirmation popular twenty years ago, has been linked with the Berlinese adage, Et jinge woll, aber et jeht nicht. Junge (from klingen) actually means to sound; the German verb for to listen is horchen. In all probability, it listens well was introduced by the German comedians who flourished before the World War. Like their Irish and Yiddish colleagues, they enriched the current slang with many fantastic locutions. The influence of Charles Godfrey Leland's "Hans Brietmann's Ballads" and other books also helped to familiarize Americans with many German and pseudo-German words and phrases. Phooey, which plainly comes from the German (and Yiddish) pfui, seems to have been introduced by Walter Winchell, c. 1930. The barbecues which began to dot the country with the rise of the automobile soon offered chickenburgers as well as hamburgers, and there are even reports of clamburgers.2 In 1930, for some reason to me unknown, Swift & Company, the Chicago packers, changed the name of their frankfurters to frankfurts, and introduced a substitute for leberwurst under the style of livercheese. The American Gelehrten, who began to resort to German universities in large number in the 80's, brought back festschrift, seminar, semester, anlage and diener and still cling to them, and it is possible that outstanding, the favorite counter-word of pedagogues lower in the scale, was suggested by ausstehend.8

The majority of the numerous Spanish loan-words in American came in before the Civil War, but the Spanish-American War added

I See The Cambridge History of American Literature; New York, 1921; Ch. IX; p. 23 ff.

1921; Ch. IX; p. 23 ff.

2 New Yorker, Feb. 16, 1935.

3 I am informed by the Rev. W. G.
Polack, of Evansville, Ind., that
certain Lutherans in the United
States, following German usage,
employ vicar to designate "a theological student, not yet ordained,
who is doing temporary supplywork in a mission congregation."
The verb to vicar means to occupy
such a pulpit. Mr. Polack believes
that mission-festival, common in
the Middle West, comes from the
German missionsfest. So with

agenda, used by some of the Lutheran churches to designate their Book of Common Prayer. He says that it is not the English term, but the German agende. He notes also the use of confirmand to designate a candidate for confirmation; of to announce to indicate notifying a pastor of an intention to partake of communion (Ger. sich anmelden); and of inner-mission (Ger. innere mission) instead of the usual home-mission; and of confessional-address (beichtrede). All these terms are used by English-speaking Lutherans.

insurrecto, trocha, junta, ladrone, incommunicado, ley fuga, machete, manaña and rurale, some of which are already obsolete; and the popularity of Western movies and fiction has brought in a few more, e.g., rodeo, hoosegow (from juzgado, the past participle of juzgar, to judge) 1 and wrangler (from caballerango, a horse-groom), and greatly increased the use of others. Chile con carne did not enter into the general American dietary until after 1900. The suffix -ista came in during the troubles in Mexico, following the downfall of Porfirio Díaz in 1911. The case of cafeteria I have dealt with in Section 2 of this chapter. From the Indian languages the only recent acquisitions seem to be chautauqua and hooch. The latter goes back to the American occupation of Alaska in 1867. The first soldiers sent there were forbidden to have any spirituous liquors, so they set up stills and manufactured a supply of their own, of sugar and flour. The product was called hoocheno or hoochino by the natives, and it continued to bear that name until the Klondike gold-rush in 1897.2 Then it was shortened to hooch. Chautauqua was borrowed from the name of the county and lake in Southwestern New York. The first chautauqua was opened on the shore of the lake on August 4, 1874, but the word did not come into general use until the end of the century. It was borrowed in the first place from the language of the Senecas, and it is reported, variously, to have meant the place of easy death, the place where one was lost, the foggy place, a place high up, two moccasins tied in the middle, and a pack tied in the middle. The French spelled it tchadakoin, and in early maps and books it appeared also as tjadakoin, chataconit, chadakoin, chautauque, shatacoin, judaxque and jadaqua. In 1859, by a resolution of the county

r For the following I am indebted to Mr. Leon L. Kay, for six years a correspondent of the United Press in Latin America: "The lower classes always slur the consonant in the regular ending, -ado, of Spanish participles, and so do the upper classes in rapid speech or unguarded moments. Furthermore, the final o is sounded so lightly as to make a virtual diphthong of ao, equivalent to ou in mouth. Peons, never able to, are seldom asked to pay fines. To them, to be juzgado (sentenced) means simply to be jailed. When Felipe or José, after the usual week-end drunk, is missed

and inquired after, the answer to 'Where is he?' is 'Juzgado.' The first Americans, seeking missing ranch-hands, no doubt took this to be a Spanish word for jail, and so hoosegow was born. Apart from shifting the stress to the first from the penultimate syllable, the Southwesterners have achieved an almost perfect transliteration." The Spanish j is pronounced like our b, the Spanish u like our oo, and the Spanish ado like our ou.

2 Dearborn Independent, March 3, 1923: "It is said that one quart was sufficient to craze the brains of ten

Indians."

board of supervisors, the present spelling was made official.¹ At the start *chautauqua* meant a Summer-school, permanently housed and of some pedagogical pretensions. But toward 1900 it began to signify a traveling show, often performing under canvas, and including vaudeville acts as well as lectures.

In the Concise Oxford Dictionary, which is on every literate Englishman's desk, spaghetti is italicized as a foreign word; in America it is familiar to every child. But not many other Italian loan-words have got into American, probably because the great majority of Italian immigrants have been poor folk, keeping much to themselves. I can think of chianti (more generally known as dago-red), ravioli, minestrone, mafia and black-hand (from mano negra), and that is about all.2 Even the argot of roguery has been but little enriched by Italian words, though there have been many eminent Italian gunmen. It has been suggested that and how may owe something to the Italian e come, and that sez you may be a translation of si dice, but there is no evidence in either case. It may be that ambish and its analogues were suggested by Italian difficulties with English, but that also is only a surmise. At the time of the Russian-Japanese War (1904-5) the suffix -ski or -sky had a popular vogue, and produced many words, e.g., dunski, darnfoolski, smartski, devilinsky, allrightsky and buttinski, but of these only buttinski seems to have survived.4

1 See Chautauqua Notes, by J. R. Schultz, American Speech, Oct., 1934, p. 232.

2 The word policy, which was used in the United States from about 1885 to 1915 to designate the form of gambling now called numbers, was from the Italian polizza. But it apparently came in by way of English, though with a change in

meaning, and it is now virtually obsolete.

3 By M. H. Palmer in the London Morning Post, Feb. 9, 1935.

4 See the following notes by Louise Pound, all in *Dialect Notes*; Vol. IV, Pt. IV, 1916, p. 304; Vol. IV, Pt. V, 1916, p. 354; Vol. V, Pt. I, 1918, p. 11.

VI

AMERICAN AND ENGLISH

I. THE INFILTRATION OF ENGLISH BY AMERICANISMS

The English travelers and reviewers whose pious horror of Americanisms has been recorded in Chapters I and III were able, for a while, to shut off their flow into Standard English, but only for a while. The tide began to turn, according to Sir William Craigie,1 in 1820, and soon thereafter a large number of Yankee neologisms that had been resisted with heroic dudgeon came into common use in England, e.g., reliable, influential, talented and lengthy. Charles Dickens was credited by Bishop Coxe² with responsibility for the final acceptance of all four words: he put them into his "American Notes" in 1842 and Coxe believed that he thus naturalized them. But as a matter of fact they had all come in before this. Coleridge used reliable in 1800 and influential in 1833, and though he was still denouncing talented as "that vile and barbarous vocable" in 1832, and it was dismissed as a word "proper to avoid" by Macaulay even in 1842,8 it had been used by the critic and philologian, William Taylor, in 1830, by Sir William Herschel, the astronomer, in 1829, and by no less an Americophobe than Robert Southey in 1828. Southey, in his turn, sneered at lengthy in 1812 and again in 1834, but it was used by Jeremy Bentham so early as 1816, by Scott in 1827 (though still as a conscious Americanism), and by Dickens himself in "Pickwick" in 1837, five years before the publication of "American Notes." Talented had become so respectable by 1842 that it was accepted by E. B. Pusey, leader of the Oxford Movement,4 and fifteen years later it received the imprimatur of Glad-

¹ S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXVII, 1927, p. 208.

² Americanisms in England, by A. Cleveland Coxe, *Forum*, Oct., 1886.

³ Letter to Macvey Napier, April 18, 1842, printed in The Life and Let-

ters of Lord Macaulay, by G. Otto Trevelyan; New York, 1877, Vol. II, p. 100.

⁴ Here, as in so many other places, I am indebted to the Oxford Dictionary for its dated quotations.

stone. Along with reliable, influential and lengthy it now appears in the Concise Oxford Dictionary, published by the brothers Fowler in 1911, and of the four words, only lengthy is noted as "originally an Americanism." 1 All are listed in Cassell's Dictionary without remark. During the half century following 1820 many other Americanisms also made their way into English. Even to belittle, which had provoked an almost hysterical outburst from the European Magazine and London Review when Thomas Jefferson ventured to use it in 1787,2 was so generally accepted by 1862 that Anthony Trollope admitted it to his chaste vocabulary.

John S. Farmer says 8 that the American humorists who flourished after the Civil War broke down most of the remaining barriers to Americanisms. The English purists continued to rage against them, as they do even to this day, but the success in England of such writers as Charles Godfrey Leland (Hans Breitmann), Charles Heber Clark (Max Adeler), Charles Farrar Browne (Artemus Ward), David R. Locke (Petroleum V. Nasby) and Samuel L. Clemens (Mark Twain) made the English public familiar with the pungent neologisms of the West, and many of them were taken into the language. George F. Whicher says that Leland and Clark "became better known in England than in the United States; " * as for Browne, he was so popular in London that he moved there in 1866, and died on English soil a year later. The influence of these men, according to Farmer, was still strong in the late 80's; they had popularized "American peculiarities of speech and diction to an extent which, a few years since, would have been deemed incredible." He continued:

Even our newspapers, hitherto regarded as models of correct literary style, are many of them following in their wake; and both in matter and phraseology are lending countenance to what at first sight appears a monstrously crude and almost imbecile jargon; while others, fearful of a direct plunge, modestly intro-

- 1 The Fowlers call reliable "an established word avoided by purists as of irregular formation." It has actually been in good usage in England since the 60's. In 1871, when the United States claimed a large sum from England as indemnity for the depredations of the Confederate cruiser Alabama, Punch suggested that the injury England
- had suffered through the introduction of the word was sufficient compensation.
- 2 See Chapter I, Section 2, for the text of its denunciation.
- 3 Americanisms Old and New; Lon-
- don, 1889, p. vii.
 4 Cambridge History of American
 Literature; New York, 1921, Vol. III, p. 26.

duce the uncouth bantlings with a saving clause. The phrase, as the Americans say, might in some cases be ordered from the type-foundry as a logotype, so frequently does it do introduction duty.¹

But before the great invasion of England by American movies, beginning in the first years of the World War, Americanisms commonly had to linger in a sort of linguistic Alsatia a long while before they were accepted, and even then they were sometimes changed in meaning. The cases of caucus and buncombe are perhaps typical. The former, as we have seen in Chapter III, Section 1, was borrowed from an Indian word so early as 1624, and was in general use in the American colonies before 1738, but in 1818 Sydney Smith was dismissing it as "the cant word of the Americans," and even in 1853 Bulwer-Lytton, using it in "My Novel," was conscious that it was a somewhat strange Americanism. It was not until 1878 that it came into general use in England, and then, in the words of the Oxford Dictionary, it was "grossly misapplied." In the United States it had the settled meaning of a meeting of some division, large or small, of a political or legislative body for the purpose of agreeing upon a united course of action in the main assembly, but in England it was applied to what we would call the organization. It was used by Benjamin Disraeli to designate the faction of Birmingham Liberals otherwise known as the Six Hundred, and in this sense was used thereafter by the Times and other English newspapers. It retains that meaning to this day; it signifies the managing committee of a party or faction - something corresponding to our national committees, our State committees, or to the half-forgotten congressional caucuses of the 1820's. It thus has a disparaging connotation, and the London Saturday Review, in 1888, denounced what it called a caucuser as "a machine politician." Caucuser is a derivative concocted in England; it is never used in the United States, and does not appear in "Webster's New International Dictionary" (1934). Nor does caucusdom, which appeared in England in 1885. Buncombe got into Standard English just as slowly as caucus, and suffered a change too, though it was of a different kind. The word has been in use in the United States since the beginning of the last century, and was spoken of as "old and common at Washington" by a writer

r Americanisms Old and New, above cited, p. vii.

in Niles's Register on September 27, 1827, but it did not come into general use in England until the late 1850's, and then its spelling was changed to bunkum.

But when the American clipped form bunk arose toward the end of the World War it began to appear in England almost instantly, for it had the influence of the American movies behind it, and when the verb to debunk followed ten years later it got into use quite as quickly. Hundreds of other saucy Americanisms have followed it, often in successful competition with English neologisms. Thus, when the English police began to patrol the roads on motorcycles they were called, officially, mobile police, but in a little while an alternative name for them was speed-cops, borrowed from the American movies and talkies.1 This invasion is resisted valiantly by swarms of volunteer guardians of the national linguistic chastity, and at irregular intervals they break out into violent crusades against this or that American novelty,2 but many of the more colorful ones now get into circulation very rapidly. H. W. Horwill, in his "Dictionary of Modern American Usage," 8 notes a large number that have "become naturalized since the beginning of the present cen-

- I London letter in the Boulevardier (Paris) April, 1931. In July, 1932 (News of the World, July 24), the Assistant Bishop of Guildford, Dr. Cyril Golding-Bird, appeared before the Farnham (Surrey) magistrates on a charge of dangerous driving. The policeman who arrested him testified that, on being overhauled, he demanded "Are you a speed-cop?" His Lordship, evidently in fear that the use of an Americanism by one of his exalted station would prejudice the bench against him, stoutly declared that he "was not sufficiently colloquial" to have used it. But the magistrates, taking a serious view of the matter, fined him fro and costs and suspended his driving license for three months.
- 2 In the Spring of 1935, for example, Major Brooke Heckstall-Smith, yachting correspondent to the London Daily Telegraph, raised a holy war against to debunk in the columns of his paper, and was presently joined by other viewers with
- alarm. One of them, A. E. Sullivan (March 2), ascribed its origin to "the inability of an ill-educated and unintelligent democracy to assimilate long words." But it was defended by Hubert Furst (March 2), author of a book entitled Art Debunked, and by Pearl Freeman (March 4), who called it "a full-blooded descriptive word." On March 2 radio was put at the head of a list of "bastard American expressions" by John C. Mellis (with O.K., sez you, nerts, cute and bigfella following), but on March 6 it was defended by Jan Stewer as "a beautiful coinage," and its English equivalent, wireless, denounced as "an abomination."
- 3 Oxford, 1935. Horwill excludes foreign loan-words in American, and words spelled differently in American and English, and his book naturally reveals a great many omissions; nevertheless, he manages to list nearly 3000 words and phrases that differ in the two languages.

tury," e.g., the compounds hot-air, bed-rock, come-back, fillingstation, high-brow, jay-walker, round-up and foot-wear, the simple nouns crook (a criminal), boom, kick (a powerful effect), publicity (advertising) and conservatory (musical), the verbs to park (automobile), to rattle and to boom, and the verb-phrases to put across, to blow in (to turn up), to get away with, to make good, to get a move on, to put over and to turn down; and an even larger number that are "apparently becoming naturalized," e.g., the compounds bargain-counter, bell-boy, schedule-time, speed-way, chafing-dish, carpet-bagger, come-down, joy-ride, hold-up, horse-sense, soap-box, frame-up dance-hall, key-man, close-up, close-call, rough-house, gold-brick, log-rolling and money-to-burn, the simple nouns rally, bromide, cub, cut (in the sense of a reduction), engineer (locomotive), fan (enthusiast), pep, machine (political), quitter, pull (political), pointer, mixer and cereal (breakfast-food), the simple verbs to ditch, to feature, to fire (dismiss), to pass (a dividend) and to hustle, the verb-phrases to bank on, to get busy, to come to stay, to crowd out, to fall down (or for), to try out, to pick on, to handpick, to iron out, to see the light, to deliver the goods, to soft-pedal, to sand-bag, to sit up and take notice, to snow under, to stay put, to side-step, to side-track, to stand for and to win out, and the miscellaneous idioms good and, on the side, up to and up against. Many of these, of course, belong to slang but some of them are nevertheless making their way into relatively decorous circles. The late Dr. Paul Shorey, professor of Greek at the University of Chicago, used to amuse himself by collecting instances of the use of thumping Americanisms by English authors of dignified standing. He found to make good and cold feet in John Galsworthy, rubber-neck in Sir Arthur Quiller-Couch (King Edward VII professor of English literature at Cambridge!), nothing doing in Lowes Dickinson, proposition "as a word of all work" in Mrs. Humphrey Ward, to cough up in John Masefield, the limit in Archibald Marshall, and up against a tough proposition in William J. Locke. Such literati seldom if ever adorn their discourse with the current slang of their own country, save of course in depicting low or careless characters. But they are fetched by the piquancy of Americanisms, and employ them for their pungent rhetorical effect. The same consideration influences

¹ Academy Papers; New York, 1925, p. 150.

English politicians too, and "a veteran Parliamentarian" was lately saying:

Every time the House [of Commons] meets things are said in a phraseology that would shock and baffle Mr. Gladstone. . . . Even Mr. Baldwin, one of the few authorities on the King's English in the House, used in his speech yesterday the expressions backslider, best-seller and party dog-fight. I have heard him use to deliver the goods. The House is undoubtedly Americanized in some of its phrases. I have heard whoopee and debunked in the debating chamber, and oh, yeah and you're telling me in the lobby. To pass the buck is a well-known House expression and it is often used.

The argot of English politics has naturalized many Americanisms beside caucus and buncombe. Graft, wrote Harold Brighouse in 1929, "is acclimatized in England." 2 So is gerrymander. So are platform, carpet-bagger, wire-puller, log-rolling, on the fence, campaign, to stump, and to electioneer. In other fields there has been the same infiltration. The meaning of bucket-shop and to water, for example, is familiar to every London broker's clerk. English trains are now telescoped and carry dead-heads, there is an Associated Society of Locomotive Engineers and Firemen, and in 1913 a rival to the Amalgamated Order Of Railway Servants was organized under the name of the National Union of Railway Men. A movement against the use of the ignominious servant is visible in other directions, and the American help threatens to be substituted; at all events, Help Wanted advertisements are occasionally printed in English newspapers. The American to phone is now in general use over there, and "Hello" has displaced "Are you there?" as the standard telephone greeting. English journalists are ceasing to call themselves pressmen, and have begun to use the American newspaper men. They begin to write editorials instead of leaders. The English theaters continue to have dress-circles where ours have balconies, but there are balconies in the movie-houses. Since England began to grow sugar-beets the English beet-root has succumbed to the American (and earlier English) beet, and the American can seems to be ousting the English tin. Sky-scraper, strap-hanger and fool-proof were naturalized long ago,5 and I have encountered cafeteria, kitchen-cabinet, filing-cabinet, nut-sundae, soda-fountain, ice-

¹ Sunderland Echo, Oct. 31, 1934.

² Manchester Guardian, April 5.

³ For example, Gladstone's Midlothian campaign of 1880.

⁴ The Study of American English,

by W. A. Craigie, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXVII, 1927, p. 208. 5 See British English and American

Friesch English and American English, by Thomas G. Tucker, Scribner's, Dec., 1921.

cream-soda and pop-corn on shop signs in London, chain-store in a headline in a 100% British provincial newspaper, junk in the London Daily Telegraph 2 and sticker instead of the English sticky-back or tab in another great London journal,3 all within the space of a few days. On December 8, 1934, Miss Julia Hogan, of 245 Lord street, Southport, was advertising in the Southport Visiter * that she was a beautician, and a few months later J. A. Watson was reporting in the London Daily Telegraph 5 that "those truly loathsome transatlantic importations," to help make, worth-while, nearby and colorful, were "spreading like plague." No less a lexicographical dignitary than Dr. C. T. Onions, one of the editors of the Oxford Dictionary, is authority for the news that to make good "no longer gives the impression of being an alien idiom" in England, that "the American applications of the word dope have generally commended themselves and have obtained a wide currency," and that yep and nope "have penetrated even into the speech of the educated of the younger generation." 6 "Twenty years ago," said S. K. Ratcliffe in 1935,7 " no one in England started in, started out or checked up; we did not stand for or fall for, as we do today. . . . We have learned from the American to try out, but not as yet to curse out, and when we make out we are still deciphering something, and not, as the American is, doing something fairly well." 8 Sometimes an Americanism that has long ceased to be a novelty in this country is suddenly taken up in England, and becomes popular almost overnight. Thus shyster, in use here since the 1850's, was introduced by Robert Louis Stevenson in "The Wrecker" in 1892; 9 Indian-Summer which goes back to Colonial days, was given a start by John Galsworthy's use of it in the title of "The Indian Summer of a Forsyte" (1918); and the Prince of Wales popularized the Rooseveltian bully by using it in a speech to Leicestershire huntsmen in 1930. O.K. has been known

1 Eastern Evening News (Norwich), March 27, 1935.

2 March 28, 1935.

3 News-Chronicle, March 21, 1935.

4 Note the archaic spelling here. Jane Austen used it in Pride and Prejudice, and in 1756 there was a newspaper in London called the Universal Visiter.

5 March 6, 1935. 6 Is English Becoming Too American?, London Evening News, Nov. 19, 1931. It is curious to note what

such bigwigs accept and reject. Dr. Onions, after accepting - or, at all events, condoning - dope, repudiates witness-stand and to measure up to the standard.

7 The American Language, New Statesman and Nation, July 27, p.

8 See also American Prepositions, London Times (Weekly Ed.), Feb. 16, 1933.

9 Harold Brighouse in the Manchester Guardian, April 5, 1929.

and understood in England for at least thirty years, but it was not until 1932 that it came into general use. The movies and talkies are now responsible for most such introductions, whether of new Americanisms or old ones, but they get active help from the radio, the stage and even the English newspapers. In 1933 Henry Hall broadcast from London a list of the songs most popular in Great Britain since 1919, estimated on the basis of the sales of sheet music and phonograph records. Of the sixteen he mentioned, all save five were American. The English newspapers of wide circulation make a heavy use of Americanisms in their headlines and their more gossipy articles, and in the popular magazines are least written from an American viewpoint, in semi-American language.

It is curious, reading the fulminations of American purists of the last generation, to note how many of the Americanisms they denounced have broken down all guards across the ocean. To placate and to antagonize are examples. The Concise Oxford and Cassell distinguished between the English and American meanings of the latter: in England a man may antagonize only another man, in America he may antagonize a mere idea or things. But, as the brothers Fowler show, even the English meaning is of American origin, and no doubt a few more years will see the verb completely naturalized in Britain. To placate, attacked vigorously by all native grammarians down to (but excepting) White, now has the authority of the Spectator, and is accepted by Cassell. Other old bugaboos

- The London bureau of the United Press reported on April 28: "The American O.K. is rapidly displacing the British righto in everyday conversation in Great Britain, despite the opposition of educators. . . One English columnist the other day made four telephone calls to different numbers and in each case the conversation ended with O.K. from the person at the other end."
- 2 The Most Popular Songs of a Decade, World Almanac, 1934, p. 800.
 3 In Notes on the Way, Time and Tide, Dec. 8, 1934, Humbert Wolfe denounced this "baboon-jargon that we have proudly borrowed from across the Atlantic." The London Daily Express has lifted

the whole vocabulary of the American news-weekly, Time, and adopted even its eccentric syntax. (See, for example, These Names Make News, Aug. 28, 1935.) I once encountered Bible Belt in a headline in the London Times, but I have unfortunately forgotten the date. For the use of but that in a leading article in the Times I point sadly to Two More Days of Pilgrimage, July 13, 1934. Other lexicographical pathologists tell me they have found high-brow and the limit in the same great newspaper.

4 The Invasion From U. S. A., by Ellis Healey, Birmingham Gazette, April 11, 1932.

that have been embraced are to donate, reliable, gubernatorial, presidential and standpoint. White labored long and valiantly to convince Americans that the adjective derived from president should be without the i before its last syllable, following the example of incidental, regimental, monumental, governmental, oriental, experimental and so on; but in vain, for presidential is now perfectly good English. To engineer, to collide, to corner, to obligate, and to lynch are in Cassell with no hint of their American origin, and so are home-spun, out-house, cross-purposes, green-horn, blizzard, tornado, cyclone, hurricane, excursionist, wash-stand and wash-basin, though washhand-stand and wash-hand-basin are also given. Drug-store is making its way in England; the firm known as Boots' the Chemists (formerly Boots Cash Chemists) uses the term to designate its branches. But it is not yet listed by either Cassell or the Concise Oxford, though both give druggist. Tenderfoot is in general use, though the English commonly mistake it for an Australianism; it is used by the English Boy Scouts just as our own Boy Scouts use it. Scalawag has got into English with an extra l, making it scallawag or scallywag. J. Y. T. Greig, in "Breaking Priscian's Head," 2 prints a long list of Americanisms that have become firmly lodged in English, and says that "few of us who have not taken the trouble to go into the matter are aware how many of our common expressions derive from the United States." His list includes, besides the words mentioned above. the compounds back-woods, chewing-gum, cold-snap, dug-out, halfbreed, hot-cake, mass-meeting, beach-comber, six-shooter, bee-line, indignation-meeting and pow-wow, the simple nouns blizzard, bluff, boodle, boss, caboodle, canyon, collateral (in the Stock Exchange sense), combine (noun), crank (eccentric person), cuss, dago, filibuster, fix (in a fix), floor (in the sense of to have, yield or hold the floor), flurry, goner, gulch, hustler, mileage, misstep, mugwump, paleface, persimmon, porterhouse (steak), ranch, rowdy, schoolmarm, scrap (fight), shack, shanty, shyster, snag (in a river, and figuratively), splurge, spook, squatter and stampede, the adjectives blue, bogus, colored (Negro), governmental, highfalutin, low-down, non-commital, pesky, pivotal, played-out, previous (too previous), rattled, slick and whole-souled, the verbs to bluff, to boost, to

I To collide is barred by many English newspapers, which prefer to come in collision. But the aim here is simply to avoid any direct im-

putation of agency, and so head off possible libel suits.

² London, 1929, p. 79 ff.

bullyrag, to enthuse, to eventuate, to itemize, to jump (a claim). to lobby, to locate, to lynch, to negative, to run (for office), to scoot, to splurge, to tote and to vamose, the verb-phrases to take the cake, to bury the hatchet, to cut no ice, to draw a bead on, to keep one's eye peeled, to fizzle out, to freeze out, to go back on, to go it blind, to go one better, to go the whole hog, to go under, to get the hang of, to hold up, to keep a stiff upper lip, to monkey with, to play possum, to pull up stakes, to put it through, to raise Cain, to shin up, to size up, to spread oneself, to go on the stump and to trade off, the adverb plumb, and the phrases best bib and tucker, not my funeral, true inwardness, for keeps, no flies on, no two ways about it, on time, no slouch and under the weather. "It is difficult now," says Ernest Weekley,1 "to imagine how we got on so long without the word stunt, how we expressed the characteristics so conveniently summed up in dope-fiend or high-brow, or any other possible way of describing that mixture of the cheap pathetic and the ludicrous which is now universally labelled sobstuff." "Every Englishman listening to me now," said Alistair Cooke in a radio broadcast from London,2 "uses thirty or forty Americanisms a day." "We seem to offer less and less resistance," said Professor W. E. Collinson of the University of Liverpool,8 "to the new importations."

2. SURVIVING DIFFERENCES

For each of the three earlier editions of this book I prepared a list of couplets showing variations between the everyday vocabularies of England and the United States, and in every instance that list had become archaic in some of its details before it could be got into print. The English reviewers had a great deal of sport demonstrating that a number of my Americanisms were really in wide use in England, but all they proved, save in a few cases of undeniable blundering, was that the exotic had at last become familiar. Others undertook to show that some term I had listed was not only accepted current English, but also discoverable in the works of Shakespeare, of Chaucer, or even of King Alfred, but as a rule the

¹ Adjectives - and Other Words;

London, 1930, p. 182.

2 Printed as English on Both Sides of the Atlantic, Listener, April, 1935.

³ Contemporary English: a Personal Speech Record; Leipzig, 1927, p. 114.

most they could actually prove was that it had been good English once, but had fallen out of currency, and had then been taken back from the United States, where it had survived all the while. From those reviews I learned that opinions often differ as to whether a given word or phrase is in general use. Sometimes, two reviewers would differ sharply over a specimen, one arguing that every Englishman knew it and used it, and the other maintaining that it was employed only by traitorous vulgarians under the spell of the American movies.

Nevertheless, it is still possible to draw up an impressive roster of common terms that remain different in England and the United States, and so I attempt the business once more, beginning with some words from everyday home life:

American

alcohol-lamp apartment apartment-hotel apartment-house ash-can ash-cart (or truck) ashman atomiser automobile baby-carriage baggage bakery bank-account bathing-suit bathtub bedbug or chinch bill (money) billboard biscuit boot

I am indebted here to various English acquaintances, and to a number of Americans resident in England, but most of all to Mr. H. W. Seaman, of Norwich. Mr. Seaman is an English journalist with ten years of American experience behind him, and so he is peculiarly alert to differences in usage. Moreover, he is greatly interested in linguistics, and has done some valuable writing upon the subject. My debt to his friendly patience is enor-

English

spirit lamp flat block of service-flats block of flats dust-bin dust-cart dustman 2 scent-spray motor-car perambulator, or pram bake-house, or baker's shop banking-account swim-suit bath banknote, or note hoarding scone, or tea-cake high-boot, or Wellington

mous; he has willingly answered scores of questions, some of them difficult. But I hasten to add that he is not to be held responsible for anything that follows. The inevitable errors are my own.

2 Here, almost at the start of my list, I must file a caveat against it myself, for Mr. Seaman tells me that garbage, in England as in the United States, is coming to be applied to all sorts of refuse.

broiled (meat) candy candy-store can-opener chain-store

charged (goods bought)

cheese-cloth chicken-yard chores cigarette-butt clothes-pin coal-oil collar-button cook-book cook-stove cookie corn cornmeal corn-starch

cotton (absorbent)

custom-made (clothes)

derby (hat) dishpan drawers (men's) druggist drug-store drygoods-store elevator elevator-boy fish-dealer

daylight time

five-and-ten (store)

floorwalker frame-house

fruit-seller (or dealer)

fruit-store

garbage-incinerator garters (men's)

groceries hardware huckster

In the London Daily Mail, June 25, 1935, I found the heading: Key to the Can. It would have cost the job of any American copy-reader who wrote it. But in England it was a proper heading for a news story dealing with Treasury regulations for the importation of can-openers with canned-goods.

English

grilled sweets sweet-shop tin-opener, or key 1 multiple-shop put down butter-muslin fowl-run odd jobs cigarette-end clothes-peg paraffin collar-stud cookery-book cooking-stove

maize, or Indian corn

Indian meal corn-flour cotton-wool

biscuit (unsweetened)

bespoke, or made to measure

Summer time bowler, or hard hat washing-up bowl

pants chemist chemist's-shop 2 draper's-shop

lift liftman fishmonger bazaar shopwalker wooden-house fruiterer fruiterer's destructor sock-suspenders

stores ironmongery

coster, costermonger, or hawker

2 But drug-store, as we have seen in Section 1, is coming in. I am informed by an English correspondent, Mr. H. R. Rutter, that "the scientific chemists of England have for some time been agitating for the withdrawal of the designation chemist from the pharmacist, and the substitution of druggist."

instalment-plan janitor junk

kindergarten letter-box

letter-carrier living-room

long-distance (telephone) marriage-certificate

marriage-ce molasses

monkey-wrench

mucilage necktie

oatmeal (boiled)
package
phonograph
pie (fruit)
pitcher
play-room

push-cart raincoat recess (school)

roast (of meat) roomer

rooster rubbers

run (in a stocking) sack-suit (or business-suit)

scarf-pin second floor sewerage (house)

shoe shoestring sidewalk silverware

silverware sled

soda-biscuit (or cracker) spigot (or faucet)

spigot (or faucet) spool (of cotton) stairway

stem-winder string-bean sugar-bowl

suspenders (men's)

syrup taffy

taxes (municipal) taxpayer (local) English

hire-purchase system, or hire system

caretaker, or porter

rubbish, or odds and ends

infants'-school, or nursery-school pillar-box

postman sitting-room ¹ trunk

marriage-lines black treacle screw-spanner

gum
tie
porridge
parcel
gramophone
tart
jug
nursery
barrow

mackintosh, or mac interval, or break

joint lodger

cock, or cockerel

overshoes, goloshes, or galoshes

ladder lounge-suit tie-pin first floor drains boot

bootlace, or shoelace footpath, or pavement

plate sledge

cream-cracker

tap reel

> staircase, or stairs keyless-watch French-bean sugar-basin braces treacle toffee rates

ratepayer

I But living-room appears to be coming in.

tenderloin (of beef)

tinner

transom (of door)

undershirt union-suit wash-bowl

wash-rag washstand waste-basket

water-heater

window-shade

English

under-cut or fillet 1 tinker, or tinsmith

fanlight

vest, or singlet combinations wash-basin face-cloth

wash-hand stand waste-paper basket

geyser blind

Let us now turn to the field of sports and pastimes, and attempt another list of differences:

American

aisle (theatre) bartender

battery (automobile)

beach houncer bowling-alley bung-starter carom (billiards)

caroussel

checkers (game)

closed season (for game)

deck (of cards) detour (road) fender (automobile) gasoline, or gas gear-shift (automobile) generator (automobile) ground-wire (radio) headliner (vaudeville)

highball

hood (automobile)

hunting

1 There are many other differences between the names of cuts of meat in the two countries. I am indebted to Mr. H. Kendall Kidds of San Francisco, who is at work on a book on the butchering craft, for what follows. Our porterhouse steak is the sirloin in England, but porterhouse is coming in, with the prefix Yankee. Our sirloin is the rump, or middle-rump. The bottom-round is called the silverside in England, and the top-round is the top-side. The rump is known

English

gangway

barman, or potman

accumulator seaside chucker-out skittle-alley beer-mallet cannon runabout

draughts close season

road diversion wing, or mud-guard

petrol gear-lever dvnamo earth-wire topliner whiskey-and-soda bonner

shooting

as the H-bone piece or shellbone. The part that contains the shoulder-blade is the chuck, as it is here. A leg of mutton or lamb cut with the hip-bone attached is called a haunch in England. If the hip-bone is left on the loin and cut into chops, they are known as chumpbone chops. What we call the rib chops are the best end of the neck or best end. Under the shoulder, which is raised in England, the chops are called the middle-neck, while the rest is the scrag-end.

intermission (at play or concert) lap-robe legal holiday lobby (theatre) low-gear (automobile) movie-house muffler (automobile) oil-pan (automobile)

orchestra (seats in a theatre) pool-room race-track

roadster (automobile) roller-coaster

rumble-seat saloon

sedan (automobile)

shock-absorber (automobile)

shot (athletics)

sight-seeing-car or rubberneck-wagon

soft-drinks spark-plug sporting-goods ten-pins stein (beer) top (automobile) vacation

vacation vaudeville vaudeville-theatre windshield (automobile) English

interval carriage-rug bank holiday

foyer, or entrance-hall

first speed

cinema, or picture-house

silencer sump stalls

billiards-saloon race-course two-seater

switchback-railway dickey-seat

public-house, or pub saloon-car

anti-bounce clip weight

char-a-banc
minerals
sparking-plug
sports-requisites
nine-pins
pint
hood
holiday

variety ¹ music-hall windscreen ²

Similar lists might be prepared to show differences in a great many other fields—business, finance, government, politics, education, ecclesiastical affairs, and most of the arts and sciences, including even cookery. Despite their steady gobbling of Americanisms, the English continue faithful to many words and phrases that are quite unknown in this country, and so the two languages remain recognizably different, especially in their more colloquial forms. An

I Variety, of course, is known and understood in the United States. Indeed, the chief theatrical paper of New York is called Variety. But in its columns it commonly refers to the thing itself as vaudeville, or vaude, or vaud. Some years ago a German movie, done into English, was called Variety here and Vaudeville in England. In both countries it thus carried an exotic flavor.

2 See, for longer lists, Automobile

Nomenclature, American Speech, Sept., 1926, p. 686; The Automobile and American English, by Theodore Hornberger, the same, April, 1930; English Theatrical Terms and Their American Equivalents, by Henry J. Heck, the same, Aug., 1930; and British and American Fishing Terms, by Frederick White, Outdoor Life, Aug., 1934.

Englishman, walking into his house, does not enter upon the first floor as we do, but upon the ground floor. He may also call it the first storey (not forgetting the penultimate e), but when he speaks of the first floor he means what we call the second floor, and so on up to the roof, which is covered, not with tin or shingles, but with tiles or leads. He does not ask his servant, "Is there any mail for me?" but "Are there any letters for me?" for mail, in the American sense, is a word that he uses much less often than we do. There are mail-trains in his country, and they carry mail-bags (more often called post-bags) that are unloaded into mail-vans bearing signs reading "Royal Mail," but in general he reserves the word mail for letters going to or from foreign countries, and he knows nothing of the compounds so numerous in American, e.g., mail-car, -matter, -man, -box, and -carrier. He uses post instead. The man who brings his post or letters is not a letter-carrier or mailman, but a postman. His outgoing letters are posted, not mailed, at a pillar-box, not at a mail-box. If they are urgent they are sent, not by special delivery, but by express post. Goods ordered by post on which the dealer pays the cost of transportation are said to arrive, not postpaid or prepaid, but post-free or carriage-paid. The American mail-order, however, seems to be coming in, though as yet the English have developed no mail-order firms comparable to Montgomery-Ward or Sears-Roebuck. To the list of railroad terms differing in the two countries, given in Chapter IV, Section 2, a number of additions might be made. The English have begun to use freight in our sense, though they prefer to restrict it to water-borne traffic, and they have borrowed Pullman, ballast and track, and begin to abandon left-luggage room for cloak-room, but they still get in or out of a train, not on or off it, and their only way of expressing what we mean by commuter is to say a season-ticket-holder. They say a train is up to time, not on time, they designate what we call a station-agent by the more sonorous station-master, they call a ticket-agent a booking-clerk, a railway bill-of-lading a consignment-note, a bureau-of-information an inquiry-office, a bumper a buffer,2 a caboose a brake-van, and the aisle of a Pullman its corridor, and they know nothing, according to Horwill, of way-stations, flag-stops, box-cars, chair-cars, check-

I am indebted here to suggestions by Messrs. H. F. Rutter, P. H. Muir and J. Dwight Francis of London, Dr. Ernest Wignall of the

Rockefeller Institute, and Mr. George H. Mather of Moose Jaw, Canada.

² But it is a bumper on a motor-car.

rooms, ticket-choppers, claim-agents, grade-crossings, classification-yards, flyers, long- and short-hauls, trunk-lines and tie-ups. An English guard (not conductor) 1 does not bellow "All aboard!" but "Take your seats, please! "Railroad itself is, to all intents and purposes, an Americanism; it has been little used in England for fifty years. In the United States the English railway is also used, but not as commonly as railroad. It has acquired the special sense of a line of rails for light traffic, as in street-railway (Eng. tramway), but it has been employed, too, to designate large railroad systems, and to differentiate between bankrupt railroad corporations and their more or less solvent heirs and assigns. To return to the mails, the kind we call domestic is inland in England and the kind we call foreign is overseas. Our division of the mails into first, second, third and fourth classes is unknown there. The English internal revenue is the inland revenue.

An Englishman does not wear suspenders but braces, his undershirt is a vest or singlet, and his drawers are pants. He carries, not a billfold, but a note-case. His crazy-bone is his funny-bone. His watch-crystal is his watch-glass, though English jewelers, among themselves, sometimes use crystal. A stem-winder is a keyless-watch, a Derby hat is a bowler, an elevator is a lift, a fraternal-order is a friendly- or mutual-society, an insurance-adjuster is a fire-assessor, a lunch-counter is a snack-bar, a pen-point is a nib, the programme of a meeting is the agenda, a realtor is an estate-agent, the room-clerk in a hotel is the reception-clerk, a white-collar job is black-coated, a labor scab is a blackleg, a street-cleaner is a road-sweeper, a thumbtack is a drawing-pin, a militia-armory is a drill-hall, a sham-battle is a sham-fight, what we call a belt (as in Cotton Belt, Corn Belt, Bible Belt) is a zone, a bid or proposal is always a tender (an Englishman bids only at auctions or cards), a traffic blockade is a block, a pay-roll is a wage-sheet, a weather-bureau is a meteorological-office, an eraser is usually an india-rubber, a newspaper clipping is a cutting, a grabbag is a lucky-dip, hand-me-downs are reach-me-downs, a navy-yard is a dock-yard or naval-yard, a scratch-pad is a scribbling-block, a boy's sling-shot is a catapult, a laborer on the roads or railroads is a navvy, a steam-shovel is a crane-navvy, and instead of signs reading "Post No Bills" the English put up signs reading "Stick No Bills."

¹ But the commander of an omnibus is a conductor.

² See The Sins of the Railroad Period,

by F. Walker Pollock, American Speech, Feb., 1927, p. 248.

An Englishman, as we have seen, does not seek sustenance in a tenderloin but in an undercut or fillet. The wine on the table, if white and German, is not Rhine wine, but Hock. Yellow turnips, in England, are called Swedes, and are regarded as fit food for cattle only; when rations were short there, in 1916, the Saturday Review made a solemn effort to convince its readers that they were good enough to go upon the table. The English, of late, have become more or less aware of another vegetable formerly resigned to the lower fauna, to wit, American sweet corn. But they are still having some difficulty about its name, for plain corn, in England, means all the grains used by man. Some time ago, in the London Sketch, one C. J. Clive, a gentleman farmer of Worcestershire, was advertising sweet corncobs as the "most delicious of all vegetables," and offering to sell them at 6s.6d. a dozen, carriage-paid. By chicken the English can mean any fowl, however ancient. Broilers and friers are never heard of over there. The classes which, in America, eat breakfast, dinner and supper have breakfast, dinner and tea in England; supper always means a meal eaten late in the evening. The American use of lunch to designate any irregular meal, even at midnight, is strange in England. An Englishwoman's personal maid, if she has one, is not Ethel or Maggie but Robinson, and the nurse-maid who looks after her children is not Lizzie but Nurse. A general servant, however, is addressed by her given-name, or, as the English always say, by her Christian name. English babies do not use choo-choo to designate a locomotive, but puff-puff; a horse is a gee-gee. A nurse in a hospital is not addressed by her name, but as Nurse, and her full style is not Miss Jones, but Nurse Jones or Sister. The hospital itself, if it takes pay for entertaining the sick, is not a hospital at all, but a nursinghome, and its trained or registered nurses (as we would say) are plain nurses, or hospital nurses, or maybe nursing sisters. And the white-clad young gentlemen who make love to them are not studying medicine but walking the hospitals. Similarly, an English law student does not study law in his Inn of Court, but reads the law, though if he goes to a university to seek a doctorate in law he may be said to study it.

If an English boy goes to a *public school*, it is not a sign that he is getting his education free, but that his father is paying a good round sum for it and is accepted as a gentleman. A *public school* over there corresponds to the more swagger sort of American *prep school*; it

is a place maintained chiefly by endowments, wherein boys of the upper classes are prepared for the universities. What we know as a public school is called a council-school in England, because it is in the hands of the education committee of the County Council; it used to be called a board-school, because before the Education Act of 1902 it was run by a school-board. The boys in a public (i.e., private) school are divided, not into classes, or grades, but into forms, which are numbered, the highest usually being the sixth. The benches they sit on are also called forms. An English boy whose father is unable to pay for his education goes first into a babies' class in a primary or infants' school. He moves thence to class one, class two, class three and class four, and then into the junior school, where he enters the first standard. Until now boys and girls have sat together in class, but hereafter they are separated, the boy going to a boys' school and the girl to a girls'. The boy goes up a standard a year. At the third or fourth standard, for the first time, he is put under a male teacher. He reaches the seventh standard, if he is bright, at the age of twelve and then goes into what is known as the ex-seventh. If he stays at school after this he goes into the ex-exseventh. But some leave the public elementary school at the exseventh and go into the secondary-school, which, in this sense, is what Americans commonly call a high-school. But the standard system is being gradually replaced by a form system, imitating that of the more swagger schools. A grammar-school, in England, always means a place for the sons of the relatively rich. Grade-schools are unknown.

The principal of an English public (i.e., private) school or elementary-school is a head-master or head-mistress, but in a secondary-school he or she may be a principal. Only girls' schools have head-mistresses. The lower pedagogues used to be ushers, but are now masters or assistant masters (or mistresses). The titular head of a university is a chancellor; he is commonly a bigwig elected by the resident graduates for ornamental purposes only, and a vice-chancellor does the work. Some of the universities also have pro-chancellors, who are bigwigs of smaller size; they have deputy-pro-

This title has been borrowed by some of the American universities, e.g., Syracuse, but the usual title is president. On the Continent it is rector.

² He serves for three years, and the heads of the various colleges take the office in rotation.

chancellors or pro-vice-chancellors to discharge their theoretical functions. Most English universities have deans of faculties much like our own, and some of them have lately laid in deans of women, and even advisers to women students. They have minor dignitaries of kinds unknown in the United States, e.g., proctors, orators and high stewards. In Scotland the universities also have rectors, who are chosen by election, and, like the chancellors, are mainly only ornaments.1 The head of a mere college may be a president, principal, master, warden, rector, dean or provost. In the solitary case of the London School of Economics he is a director. The students are not divided into freshmen, sophomores, juniors and seniors, as with us, but are simply first-year-men, second-year-men, and so on, though a first-year-man is sometimes a freshman or fresher. Such distinctions, however, are not so important in England as in America; undergraduates (they are seldom called students) do not flock together according to seniority, and there is no regulation forbidding an upper classman, or even a graduate, to be polite to a student just entered. The American hierarchy of assistant instructors, instructors, assistant professors, associate professors, adjunct professors and full professors is unknown in the English universities; they have only readers or lecturers and professors. If his chair happens to have been endowed by royalty, a professor prefixes regius to his title. A student, though technically a member of the university, has few rights as such until he is graduated (or, in some cases, until he takes his M.A.); then he may vote in the election which chooses his university's representative (or representatives) in Parliament, and so enjoy double representation there. To hold this right he must pay dues to his college, which is a constituent part of the university, with rights and privileges of its own. The professors, lecturers and readers of a college or university do not constitute a faculty, but a staff,2 and they are called collectively, its dons, though all teachers are not, necessarily, dons (i.e., fellows). An English university student does not study; he reads - whether for a pass-degree, which is easy, or for honours, which give him seriously to think. He knows nothing of frats, class-days, rushes, credits, points, majors, semesters, seniorproms and other such things; save at Cambridge and Dublin he does not even speak of a commencement; elsewhere he calls it degree-day

I See The Yearbook of the Universities of the Empire, edited by T. S. Sterling; London, annually.

² But faculty is used to designate the staff of a special school, e.g., of theology, medicine or law.

or speech-day. On the other hand his speech is full of terms unintelligible to an American student, e.g., wrangler, tripos, head, greats and mods. If he is expelled he is said to be sent down. There are no college boys in England, but only university-men. Alumni are graduates, and the graduates of what we would call prep-schools are old-boys.

The upkeep of council-schools in England, save for some help from the Treasury, comes out of the rates, which are local taxes levied upon householders. For that reason an English municipal taxpayer is called a rate-payer. The functionaries who collect and spend the money are not office-holders or job-holders, but public-servants, or, if of high rank, civil-servants. The head of the local police is not a chief of police, but a chief constable. The fire department is the fire-brigade, and a fire-alarm box is a fire-call. A city ordinance is a by-law, and a member of a City Council is a councillor. The parish poorhouse is colloquially a workhouse, but officially a poorlaw institution. A policeman is a bobby familiarly and a constable officially, though the American cop seems to be making progress. His club or espantoon is his truncheon. He is sometimes mentioned in the newspapers, not by his name, but as P.C. 643 A-i.e., Police Constable No. 643 of the A Division. When he belongs to what we call the traffic division he is said to he on point duty. There are no police lieutenants or captains; the one rank between sergeant and superintendent is inspector. The blotter at a police-station is the charge-sheet. A counterfeiter is a coiner, a fire-bug is a fire-raiser, and a porch-climber is a cat-burglar. The warden of a prison is the governor, and his assistants are warders. There is no third-degree and no strong-arm-squad, though both have been made familiar in England by American movies. An English saloon-keeper is officially a licensed victualler. His saloon is a public house, or, colloquially, a pub. He does not sell beer by the bucket, can, growler, shell, seidel, stein or schooner, but by the pint, half-pint or glass. He and his brethren, taken together, are the licensed trade, or simply the trade. He may divide his establishment into a public-bar, a saloon-bar and a private-bar, the last being the toniest, or he may call his back room a parlour, snug or tap-room. If he has a few upholstered benches in his place he may call it a lounge. He employs no bartenders. Barmaids do the work, with maybe a barman, potman or cellarman to help. Beer, in most parts of Great Britain, means only the thinnest and cheapest form of malt liquor; better stuff is commonly called bitter. When an Englishman speaks of booze he means only ale or beer; for our hard liquor (a term he never uses) he prefers spirits. He uses boozer to indicate a drinking-place as well as a drinker. What we call hard cider is rough cider to him. He never uses rum in the generic sense that it has acquired in the United States, and knows nothing of rum-hounds, rum-dumbs, rum-dealers, the rumtrade, and the rum-evil, or of the Demon Rum. The American bungstarter is a beer-mallet in England, and, as in this country, it is frequently used for assault and homicide.

In England corporation commonly designates a municipal or university corporation, or some other such public body, e.g., the British Broadcasting Corporation; what we commonly think of when we hear of the corporations is there called a public company or limited liability company. But the use of the word in its American sense seems to be gaining ground, and in 1920 Parliament passed an act (10 & 11 Geo. V, Ch. 18) levying a corporation-profits tax. An Englishman writes Ltd. after the name of a limited liability (what we would call incorporated) bank or trading company, as we write Inc. He calls its president its chairman if a part-timer, or its managing director if a full-timer.1 Its stockholders are its shareholders, and hold shares instead of stock in it. Its bonds are called debentures, and the word is not limited in meaning, as in the United States, to securities not protected by a mortgage. The place where such companies are floated and looted - the Wall Street of London - is called the City, with a capital C. Bankers, stockjobbers, promoters, directors and other such leaders of its business are called City men. The financial editor of a newspaper is its City editor. Government bonds are consols, or stocks,2 or the funds. To have money in the stocks is to own such bonds. An Englishman hasn't a bank-account, but a banking-account. His deposit-slip is a paying-in-slip, and the stubs of his cheque-book (not check-book) are the counterfoils. He makes a rigid distinction between a broker and a stockbroker. A broker means, not only a dealer in securities, as in our Wall Street broker, but also "a person licensed to sell or appraise distrained goods." To have the brokers (or bailiffs) in means to be bankrupt, with one's

bonds of a municipality. But State and Federal securities are almost always called *bonds*.

<sup>r But the London, Midland and Scottish Railway has a president.
2 This form survives in the Ameri-</sup>

² This form survives in the American term city-stock, meaning the

very household goods in the hands of one's creditors. What we call a grain-broker is a corn-factor.

Tariff reform, in England, does not mean a movement toward free trade, but one toward protection. The word Government, meaning what we call the administration, is always capitalized and usually plural, e.g., "The Government are considering the advisability, etc." Vestry, committee, council, ministry and even company are also plural, though sometimes not capitalized. A member of Parliament, if he be one who respects the integrity of his mothertongue, does not run for office; he stands. But of late the American to run has been coming in, and not long ago an M.P. wrote to me: "If I think of my own candidature (candidacy), I say 'I ran,'" etc. An English candidate is not nominated, but adopted. If he stands successfully, he sits at Westminster, and is a sitting member. When it is said of a man that he is nursing a constituency, it means that he is attending fairs, subscribing to charities, and otherwise flattering and bribing the voters, in the hope of inducing them to return him. Once returned, he does not represent a district, but a division or constituency. At a political meeting (they are often rough in England) the ushers and bouncers are called stewards; the pre-war suffragettes used to delight in stabbing them with hatpins. An M.P. is not afflicted by most of the bugaboos that poison the dreams of an American Congressman. He has never heard, save as a report of far-off heresies, of direct primaries, the recall, or the initiative and referendum. A roll-call in Parliament is a division, and an appropriation is a vote. A member speaking is said to be up or on his legs. When the House adjourns it is said to rise. The word politician has no opprobrious significance in England; it is applied to themselves by statesmen of the first eminence. Cabinet is used as with us, but it has a synonym in ministry, and a member of it may be called a minister. A contested election, in England, is simply one in which there is more than one candidate; the adjective has no relation to charges of fraud.

The English keep up most of the old distinctions between physicians and surgeons, barristers and solicitors. A barrister is greatly superior to a solicitor. He alone can address the higher courts and the parliamentary committees; a solicitor must keep to office work

r A Glossary of Colloquial Slang and Technical Terms in Use in the Stock Exchange and in the Money

Market, by A. J. Wilson; London, 1895.

and the inferior courts. A man with a grievance goes first to his solicitor, who then instructs or briefs a barrister for him. If that barrister, in the course of the trial, wants certain evidence removed from the record, he moves that it be struck out, not stricken out, as an American lawyer would usually say. Only barristers may become judges. An English barrister, like his American brother, takes a retainer when he is engaged, but the rest of his fee does not wait upon the termination of the case: he expects and receives a refresher from time to time. A barrister is never admitted to the bar, but is always called. If he becomes a King's Counsel, or K.C. (a mainly honorary appointment though it carries some privileges, and usually brings higher fees), he is said to have taken silk. In the United States a lawyer tries a case and the judge either tries or hears it; in England it is the judge who tries it, and the barrister pleads it. The witnessstand is the witness-box. In the United States the court hands down a decision; in England the court hands it out. In the United States a lawyer probates a will; in England he proves it, or has it admitted to probate. The calendar of a court is a cause-list, and a lawyer's brief-case is an attaché-case. The brief in it is not a document to be filed in court, as with us, but a solicitor's instructions to a barrister. What we call a brief is called pleadings. A corporation-lawyer, of course, is a company-lawyer. Ambulance-chasers are unknown.

The common objects and phenomena of nature are often differently named in England and America. The Englishman knows the meaning of sound (e.g., Long Island Sound), but he nearly always uses channel in place of it. Contrariwise, the American knows the meaning of the English bog, but rejects the English distinction between it and swamp, and almost always uses swamp or marsh (often elided to ma'sh). The Englishman, instead of saying that the temperature is 20 degrees (Fahrenheit) or that the thermometer or the mercury is at 29 degrees, sometimes says there are three degrees of frost. He never, of course, uses down-East or up-State, nor does he use downtown or uptown. Many of our names for common fauna and flora are unknown to him save as strange Americanisms heard in the talkies, e.g., terrapin, ground-hog, poison-ivy, persimmon, gumbo, eggplant, catnip, sweet-potato and yam. He calls the rutabaga a mangelwurzel. He is familiar with many fish that we seldom see, e.g., the turbot, and eats some that we reject, e.g., the ray, which he calls the skate. He also knows the hare, which is seldom heard of

in America. But he knows nothing of devilled-crabs, crab-cocktails, club-sandwiches, clam-chowder or oyster-stews, and he never goes to oyster-suppers, sea-food (or shore) dinners, clam-bakes or barbecues, or eats boiled-dinners.

An Englishman never lives on a street, but always in it, though he may live on an avenue or road. He never lives in a block of houses, but in a row of them or in a block of flats (not apartments); an apartment, to him, is a room. His home is never in a section of the city, but always in a district. The business-blocks that are so proudly exhibited in all small American towns are quite unknown to him. He often calls an office-building simply a house, e.g., Lever House. Going home from London by train he always takes the down-train, no matter whether he be proceeding southward to Wimbledon, westward to Shepherd's Bush, northward to Tottenham, or eastward to Noak's Hill. A train headed toward London is always an up-train, and the track it runs on (the left-hand track, not the righthand one, as in the United States) is the up-line. Oxford men also speak of up- and down-trains to and from Oxford. In general, the Englishman seems to have a much less keen sense of the points of the compass than the American. He knows the East End and the West End, but the names of his streets are never preceded by north, east, south or west, and he never speaks of the north-east corner of two of them. But there are eastbound and westbound trains in the London tubes. English streets have no sidewalks; they are always called pavements or foot-paths or simply paths. Sidewalk, however, is used in Ireland. A road, in England, is always a road, and never a railway. A car means a tram-car or motor-car; never a railwaycarriage. A telegraph-blank is a telegraph-form. The Englishman does not usually speak of having his shoes (or boots) shined; he has them blacked. He always calls russet, yellow or tan shoes brown shoes (or, if they cover the ankle, boots). He calls a pocketbook a purse or wallet, and gives the name of pocketbook or pocket-diary to what we call a memorandum-book. By cord he means something strong, almost what we call twine; a thin cord he always calls a string; his twine is the lightest sort of string. He uses dessert, not to indicate the whole last course at dinner, but to designate the fruit only; the rest is the sweet. If he inhabits bachelor quarters he commonly says that he lives in chambers. Flat-houses are often mansions. The janitor or superintendent thereof is a care-taker or porter.

The Englishman is naturally unfamiliar with baseball, and in consequence his language is bare of the countless phrases and metaphors that it has supplied to American. But he uses more racing terms and metaphors than we do, and he has got a good many phrases from other games, particularly cricket. The word cricket itself has a definite figurative meaning to him. It indicates, in general, good sportsmanship. To take unfair advantage of an opponent is not cricket. The sport of boating, once so popular on the Thames, has also given colloquial English some familiar terms, almost unknown in the United States, e.g., punt and weir. The game known as ten-pins in America is called nine-pins in England, and once had that name over here. The Puritans forbade it, and its devotees changed its name in order to evade the prohibition. 1 Bowls, in England, means only the lawn game; the alley game is called skittles, and is played in a skittle-alley. The English vocabulary of racing differs somewhat from ours. When the odds are 2 to 1 in favor of a horse we say that its price is 1 to 2; the Englishman says that it is 2 to 1 on. We speak of backing a horse to win, place or show; the Englishman uses each way instead, meaning win or place, for place, in England, means both second and third. Though the English talk of racing, football, cricket and golf a great deal, they have developed nothing comparable to the sporting argot used by American sporting reporters. When, during the World War (which Englishmen always call the Great War), American soldier nines played baseball in England, some of the English newspapers employed visiting American reporters to report the games, and the resultant emission of technicalities interested English readers much more than the games themselves. One of the things that puzzled them was the word inning, as in second inning; in England it is always plural.

As a set-off to American sports-page jargon, the English have an ecclesiastical vocabulary with which we are almost unacquainted, and it is in daily use, for the church bulks much larger in public affairs over there than it does here. Such terms as vicar, canon, verger, prebendary, primate, curate, nonconformist, dissenter, convocation, minster, chapter, crypt, living, presentation, glebe, benefice, locum tenens, suffragan, almoner, tithe, dean and pluralist are to be met

here." - Capt. Frederick Marryat: A Diary in America; London, 1839, Vol. III, p. 195.

I "An act was passed to prohibit playing nine-pins; as soon as the law was put in force, it was notified everywhere, 'Ten-pins played

with in the English newspapers constantly, but on this side of the water they are seldom encountered. Nor do we hear much of mattins (which has two t's in England), lauds, lay-readers, ritualism and the liturgy. The English use of holy orders is also strange to us. They do not say that a young man aspiring to sacerdotal ease under the Establishment is studying for the ministry, but that he is reading for holy orders, though he may do the former if he is headed for the dissenting pulpit. Indeed, save he be a nonconformist, he is seldom called a minister at all, though the term appears in the Book of Common Prayer, and never a pastor; a clergyman of the Establishment is always either a rector, vicar or curate, or colloquially a parson. According to Horwill, the term clergyman is seldom applied to any other kind of preacher. In American chapel simply means a small church, usually the dependant of some larger one; in English it has acquired the special sense of a place of worship unconnected with the Establishment. Though three-fourths of the people of Ireland are Catholics (in Munster and Connaught, more than nine-tenths), and the Protestant Church of Ireland has been disestablished since 1871, a Catholic place of worship in that country is still legally a chapel and not a church. So is a Methodist wailing-place in England, however large it may be, or any other dissenting house of worship. But here custom begins to war with the law, and in a current issue of the London Times I find notices of services in Presbyterian, Congregational, Baptist, Christian Science and even Catholic churches. Chapel, of course, is also used to designate a small house of worship of the Establishment when it is neither a parish church nor a cathedral, e.g., St. George's Chapel, Windsor, and King's College Chapel, Cambridge. What the English call simply a churchman is an Episcopalian in the United States, what they call the Church (always capitalized) is the Protestant Episcopal Church, what they call a Roman Catholic is simply a Catholic, and what they call a Jew is usually softened to a Hebrew. The American language, of course, knows nothing of nonconformists or dissenters. Nor of such gladiators of dissent as the Plymouth Brethren and the Methodist New Connexion,

I "The term chapel," says P. W. Joyce, in English as We Speak It in Ireland, 2nd ed.; London, 1910, "has so ingrained itself in my mind that to this hour the word instinctively springs to my lips when I am about to mention a Catholic place

of worship; and I always feel some sort of hesitation or reluctance in substituting the word *church*. I positively could not bring myself to say, 'Come, it is time now to set out for *church*.' It must be either mass or *chapel*."

nor of the nonconformist conscience, though the United States suffers from it even more damnably than England. The English, to make it even, get on without holy-rollers, Dunkards, hard-shell Baptists, Seventh Day Adventists and other such American alarmers of God, and they give a mourners'-bench the austere name of penitent-seat or form. The Salvation Army, which is of English origin uses penitent-form even in America.

In music the English cling to an archaic and unintelligible nomenclature, long since abandoned over here. Thus, they call a double whole note a breve, a whole note a semibreve, a half note a minim, a quarter note a crotchet, an eighth note a quaver, a sixteenth note a semi-quaver, a thirty-second note a demisemiquaver, a sixty-fourth note a hemidemisemiquaver, or semidemisemiquaver, and a hundred and twenty-eighth note a quasihemidemisemiquaver. This clumsy terminology goes back to the days of plain chant, with its longa, brevis, semi-brevis, minima and semiminima. The French and Italians cling to a system almost as confusing, but the Germans use ganze, halbe, viertel, achtel, etc. I have been unable to discover the beginnings of the American system, but it would seem to be borrowed from the German, for since the earliest times a great many of the music teachers in the United States have been Germans, and some of the rest have had German training. In the same way the English hold fast (though with a slacking of the grip of late) to a clumsy method of designating the sizes of printers' types. In America the point-system makes the business easy; a line of 14-point type occupies exactly the vertical space of two lines of 7-point. But the more oldfashioned English printers still indicate differences in size by such arbitrary and confusing names as brilliant, diamond, small pearl. pearl, ruby, ruby-nonpareil, nonpareil, minion-nonpareil, emerald, minion, brevier, bourgeois, long primer, small pica, pica, English. great primer and double pica. The English also cling to various archaic measures. Thus, an Englishman will commonly say that he weighs eleven stone instead of 154 pounds. A stone, in speaking of a man, is fourteen pounds, but in speaking of beef on the hoof it is only eight pounds. Instead of saying that his back-yard is fifty feet long, an Englishman will say that his back-garden is sixteen yards, two feet long. He employs such designations of time as fortnight and twelve-month a great deal more than we do. He says "a quarter to nine," not "a quarter of nine." He rarely says fifteen minutes to

or ten thirty; nearly always he uses quarter to and half past ten. He never says a quarter hour or a half hour; he says a quarter of an hour or half an hour. To him, twenty-five minutes is often five-and-twenty minutes.

In Standard English usage directly is always used to signify immediately; "in the American language, generally speaking," as Mark Twain once explained, "the word signifies after a little." In England, according to the Concise Oxford Dictionary, quite means "completely, wholly, entirely, altogether, to the utmost extent, nothing short of, in the fullest sense, positively, absolutely"; in America it is conditional, and means only nearly, approximately, substantially, as in "He sings quite well." An Englishman doesn't say, being ill, "I am getting on well," but "I am going on well." He never adds the pronoun in such locutions as "It hurts me," but says simply, "It hurts." He never "catches up with you" on the street; he "catches you up." He never brushes off his hat; he brushes it. He never says "Are you through?" but "Have you finished?" or "Are you done?" He never uses gotten as the perfect participle of get; he always uses plain got, and he is usually more careful than the American to insert it after have. Said Mark Twain to an Englishman encountered on a train in Germany:

You say, "I haven't got any stockings on," "I haven't got any memory," "I haven't got any money in my purse"; we usually say "I haven't any stockings on," "I haven't any memory," "I haven't any money in my purse." You say out of window; we always put in a the. If one asks "How old is that man?" the Briton answers, "He will be about forty"; in the American language we should say "He is about forty." 2

In the United States homely always means ill-favored; in England it may also mean simple, friendly, home-loving, folksy. Drages, the furniture-dealer in Oxford street, London, advertises that his wares are for "nice, homely people." St. John Ervine reports that on his first visit to the Republic he got into trouble by praising a gracious female as homely. Sick is in common use attributively in England, as in sick-leave, sick-bed and sick-room, but in the predicative situation it has acquired the special meaning of nauseated, and so ill is usually used in place of it. The English never apply sick to specific organs, as in the American sick-nerves, sick-kidneys and sick-teeth.

I Concerning the American Language, in The Stolen White Elephant; Hartford, 1882.

² Concerning the American Language, just cited.
3 London Observer, Jan. 13, 1929.

When an Englishman takes a bath it is in a tub (or in the dishpan that he sometimes uses for a tub); when he goes for one in a swimming-pool, a river or the ocean it is a bathe. The use of of following all, as in "All of the time," still strikes him as American; he prefers "All the time." He prefers, again, behind to in back of. He seldom speaks of a warm day; he prefers to call it hot. The American use of to jibe, in the sense of to chime in with, is unknown to him, though he knows the word (as gibe) in the sense of to make game of. He seldom uses to peek in the sense of to peep, and the Oxford Dictionary marks peek-a-boo as "now chiefly U. S." The same mark is given to to pry in the sense of to raise or move by leverage; the Englishman always uses to prize or to prise. He knows the verb to skimp, but prefers to scrimp. He likewise knows to slew, but prefers to swerve, and is unacquainted with slew-foot. "The English newspapers," says H. W. Seaman, "used to be very careful to avoid such Americanisms as lifeboat for ship's-boat, life-preserver for lifebelt, and lifeguard for the fellow on the beach who looks out for sharks, etc. Strictly, a lifeboat in England is a boat kept ready to go to the help of ships at sea, a life-preserver is a club or truncheon, and a lifeguard is a soldier in the Life Guards. In the last few years, however, this strictness has gone and the American usages have been generally adopted. We have only recently had lifeguards at beaches and pools, and since the idea came from America, we use the American name for them."

That an Englishman calls out "I say!" and not simply "Say!" when he desires to attract a friend's attention or register a protestation of incredulity — this perhaps is too familiar to need notice. The movies, however, have taught his children the American form. His hear, hear! and oh, oh! are also well known. He is much less prodigal with good-bye than the American; he uses good-day and good-afternoon far more often. Various very common American phrases are quite unknown to him, for example, over his signature. This he never uses, and he has no equivalent for it; an Englishman who issues a signed statement simply makes it in writing. His pet-name for a tiller of the soil is not Rube or Cy, but Hodge. When he goes gunning he does not call it hunting, but shooting; hunting is reserved for the chase of the fox, deer or otter. An intelligent Englishwoman,

In a private communication, April 26, 1935.

coming to America to live, once told me that the two things which most impeded her first communications with untraveled Americans, even above the differences between English and American pronunciation and intonation, were the complete absence of the general utility adjective jolly from the American vocabulary, and the puzzling omnipresence and versatility of the verb to fix. I marveled that she did not also notice the extravagant American use of just, right and good. In American just is almost equivalent to the English quite, as in just lovely. Thornton shows that this use of it goes back to 1704. The word is also used in place of exactly in other ways, as in just in time, just how many? and just what do you mean? Thornton shows that the use of right in right away, right good and right now was already widespread in the United States early in the last century; his first example is dated 1818. He believes that the locution was "possibly imported from the Southwest of Ireland." Whatever its origin, it quickly attracted the attention of English visitors. Dickens noted right away as an almost universal Americanism during his first American tour, in 1842, and poked fun at it in Chapter II of "American Notes." Right is used as a synonym for directly, as in right away, right off, right now and right on time; for moderately, as in right well, right smart, right good and right often, and in place of precisely or certainly, as in right there and "I'll get there all right." More than a generation ago, in an article on Americanisms, an English critic called it "that most distinctively American word," and concocted the following dialogue to instruct the English in its use:

How do I get to ---?

Go right along, and take the first turning on the right, and you are right there.

Right? Right. Right! 1

But this Englishman failed in his attempt to write correct American, despite his fine pedagogical passion. No American would ever use take the first turning; he would use turn at the first corner. As for right away, R. O. Williams argues that "so far as analogy can make good English, it is as good as one could choose." Nevertheless, the Concise Oxford Dictionary admits it only as an Americanism, and avoids all mention of the other American uses of right. Good is

I I Speak United States, London 2 Our Dictionaries; New York, 1890, Saturday Review, Sept. 22, 1894. p. 86.

almost as protean. It is not only used as a general synonym for all adverbs connoting satisfaction, as in to feel good, to be treated good, to sleep good, but also as an adjectival reinforcement to adjectives, as in "I hit him good and hard" and "I am good and tired." The American use of some as an adjective indicating the superlative, as in "She is some girl," is now common in England, but its employment as an adverb to indicate either moderation or intensification, as in "I play golf some" and "That's lying some," is still looked upon as an Americanism there. The former usage has respectable English precedents, but the latter seems to be American in origin. Thornton has traced it to 1785. It enjoyed a revival during the World War, and produced a number of counter-phrases, e.g., going some. In 1918 a writer in the Atlantic Monthly hailed some as "some word - a true super-word." 1 But a year later an Englishman writing in English (London) was denouncing it as "a pure vulgarism, which answers no real need." 2 The same word often has different meanings in the United States and England. Thus, a davenport, which is a couch here, is a desk or escritoire there; a dumb-waiter, which is an elevator here, is a revolving-table there; and a bureau, which is a chest of drawers here, is a desk or writing-table with drawers there. Haberdashery, in the United States, means men's wear (excluding shoes and outer clothes); in England it designates what we call notions. A guy, in England, is a ridiculous figure, and the word is thus opprobrious; in the United States the word is hardly more than an amiable synonym for fellow. The English guy owes its origin to the effigies of Guy Fawkes, leader of the Gunpowder Plot of 1605, which used to be burnt in public on November 5; the American word seems to be derived from the guy-rope of a circus tent, and first appeared in the complimentary form of head-guy. When G. K. Chesterton made his first visit to the United States he was much upset when an admiring reporter described him as a regular guy. But the English sense of the word is preserved in the American verb to guy. In this country luggage is coming to have the special meaning of the bags in which baggage is packed; in England it means their contents, though baggage is still used by military men. A lobbyist, in England, is not a legislative wire-puller, but a journalist who frequents the lobby of

¹ Should Language Be Abolished? by Harold Goddard, July, 1918, p. 63.

² Words on Trial, by T. Michael Pope, Sept., 1919, p. 151.

the House of Commons, looking for news and gossip.1 A veteran always means a soldier of long service; not, as with us, any ex-soldier. Pussyfoot, according to Horwill, means "a temperance propagandist" in England, obviously because of a misunderstanding of the nickname of William E. (Pussyfoot) Johnson, who set up shop in London in 1916 and proposed to convert the English to Prohibition. In the United States, of course, the word has a quite different meaning, and Johnson himself explains in "Who's Who in America" that it was applied to him "because of his catlike policies in pursuing lawbreakers in the Indian Territory," 1906-7. The English use the same measures that we do, but in many cases their values differ. Their bushel, since 1826, has contained 2,218.102 cubic inches, whereas we retain the old Winchester bushel of 2,150.42 inches. Their peck, of course, follows suit. So with their gallon, quart, pint and gill, all of which are larger than ours. Their hundredweight is 112 pounds, whereas ours is 100 pounds. Of their quarter of wheat we know nothing, nor have we their quartern-loaf or their quarter-days. A billion, in England, is not 1,000,000,000, but 1,000,000,000,000; for the former the word is milliard. According to Alistair Cooke, it is these words of differing meaning in England and the United States that give a visiting Englishman most trouble. He says:

If an Englishman reads "The floorwalker says to go to the notion counter," he knows at least one word he does not understand. If he reads a speech of President Roosevelt declaring that "our industries will have little doubt of black-ink operations in the last quarter of the year," he is at least aware of a foreign usage, and may be trusted to go off and discover it. But if I write "The clerk gave a biscuit to the solicitor," he will imagine something precise, if a little odd. The trouble is that, however lively his imagination, what he imagines may be precise but is bound to be wrong. For he is confronted with three nouns which mean different things in the United States and in England.²

3. ENGLISH DIFFICULTIES WITH AMERICAN

Very few English authors, even those who have made lengthy visits to the United States, ever manage to write American in a realistic manner. At the time the American movies were first terrorizing English purists the late W. L. George undertook a tour of this coun-

- In St. Bride's Church, Fleet Street, there is a tablet in memory of "Alfred Robbins, Kt., Lobbyist in the Palace of Westminster & Lon-
- don; Letter Writer in the Parish of St. Bride."
- 2 The American Language, Spectator, Sept. 6, 1935.

try, and on his return home wrote a paper dealing with his observations.1 George was a very competent reporter, and he had no prejudice against Americanisms; on the contrary, he delighted in them. But despite his diligent effort to write them he dropped into many Briticisms, some almost as unintelligible to the average American reader as so many Gallicisms. On page after page of his paper they display the practical impossibility of the enterprise: back-garden for back-yard, perambulator for baby-carriage, corn-market for grainmarket, coal-owner for coal-operator, post for mail, petrol for gasoline, and so on. And to top them there were English terms that had no American equivalents at all, for example, kitchen-fender. Every English author who attempts to render the speech of American characters makes the same mess of it. H. G. Wells's American in "Mr. Britling Sees It Through" is only matched by G. K. Chesterton's in "Man Alive." Even Kipling, who submitted the manuscript of "Captains Courageous" to American friends for criticism, yet managed to make an American in it say: "He's by way of being a fisherman now." 2 The late Frank M. Bicknell once amassed some amusing examples of this unanimous failing.3 Sir Max Pemberton, in a short story dealing with an American girl's visit to England, made her say: "I'm right glad . . . You're as pale as spectres, I guess . . . Fancy that, now! . . . You are my guest, I reckon, . . . and here you are, my word! " C. J. Cutcliffe Hyne, in depicting a former American naval officer, made him speak of saloon-corner men (corner-loafers?). E. W. Hornung, in one of his "Raffles" stories, introduced an American prize-fighter who went to London and regaled the populace with such things as these: "Blamed if our Bowery boys ain't cock-angels to scum like this . . . By the holy tinker! . . . Blight and blister him! . . . I guess I'll punch his face into a jam pudding . . . Say, sonny, I like you a lot, but I sha'n't like you if you're not a good boy." The American use of way and away seems to have daunted many of the authors quoted by Mr. Bicknell; several of

r Reprinted as Litany of the Novelist in his Literary Chapters; London, 1918.

² On July 31, 1935 the Associated Press reported that the manuscript of an American movie version of Kipling's The Light That Failed was to be presented to the British Museum, and that it showed some

corrections of Americanisms in the author's hand. Thus he struck out to measure up and inserted to match, as better English, and substituted private for personal in "He had some important personal business."

³ The Yankee in British Fiction, Outlook, Nov. 19, 1910.

them agree on forms that are certainly never heard in the United States. Thus H. B. Marriott Watson makes an American character say: "You ought to have done business with me away in Chicago," and Walter Frith makes another say: "He has gone way off to Holborn," "I stroll a block or two way down the Strand," "I'll drive him way down home by easy stages," and "He can pack his grip and be way off home." The American use of gotten also seems to present difficulties to English authors. For example, in "Staying with Relations" (1930), by Rose Macaulay, American characters are made to say "The kid's the only one who's gotten sense," "You've gotten but one small grip apiece," "That about uses up all the energy they've gotten," and "That's what's wrong with Mexico, they've gotten no public spirit." 1

"No Englishman," says Bruce Bliven, "really understands our native tongue; interpreters are ever so much more needed than they are between French or Germans and ourselves. That is why British authors never put into the mouth of an American character anything other than weird gibberish - presumably deriving from a faint, incorrect memory of Bret Harte and George Ade, with a touch of erroneous Josh Billings." 2 The late John Galsworthy, who frequently visited the United States, never came within miles of writing sound American. His stock device for indicating American characters was to lard his dialogue with I judge, gee, cats (as an exclamation), vurry (for very), dandy and cunning. He almost invariably confused have got and have gotten, the latter of which is used by Americans only in the sense of have acquired, received or become, not in the sense of simple have. Rather curiously, he sometimes put good American phrases into the mouths of English characters, e.g., good egg and to say a mouthful.3 Arnold Bennett, like Galsworthy, was fond of making American he-men use lovely in such sentences as "It was a lovely party." Another shining offender was the late Edgar Wallace, and yet another was the late Sir Arthur Conan Doyle, whose American, Bill Scanlon, in "Maracot Deep" has been described as "one of the most extraordinary linguists ever known to fiction; the Bowery, Vermont, Whitechapel, Texas: all of these

¹ See A British Misconception, by Stuart Robertson, American Speech, April, 1931, p. 314. 2 British Notes, New Republic, Feb.

^{24, 1926,} p. 16.

³ American Speech According to Galsworthy, by Stuart Robertson, American Speech, April, 1932, p.

tongues are his, not to mention a few fragments of Pennsylvania Dutch." "Mannerisms of speech that to an American would identify the speaker as from the Middle West, South, Boston, or Philadelphia," says Miss Mildred Wasson, "are mixed freely in the speeches of American characters as interpreted by English writers. Ridiculous uses of words, never to be heard from the tongue of an American man, are invariably ascribed to him." She continues:

Granted that it is difficult for a stranger to understand our regional differences without years of residence in each part of this country, it is still more difficult for him to grasp that we have social lines of demarkation in speech as definite as those in England and France. There are horizontal lines which are not shown on the map. To sense those intangible lines, separating stratum from stratum in society and education, one must know America. To ignore them stamps a writer, to Americans at least, as being a bit off his ground. An American writing of an English lord and making him speak music-hall cockney would go just as far astray.

Miss Anna Branson Hillyard once offered publicly, in an article in the London Athenaum,3 to undertake the revision of English manuscripts dealing with American people and speech for "fees carefully and inversely scaled by the consultant's importance." Miss Hillyard, in the same article, cited a curious misunderstanding of American by Rupert Brooke. When Brooke was in the United States he sent a letter to the Westminster Gazette containing the phrase "You bet your -... The editor, unable to make anything of it, inserted the word boots in place of the dash. Brooke thereupon wrote a letter to a friend, Edward Marsh, complaining of this botching of his Americanism, and Marsh afterward printed it in his memoir of the poet. Miss Hillyard says that she was long puzzled by this alleged Americanism, and wondered where Brooke had picked it up. Finally, "light dawned by way of a comic cartoon. It was the classic phrase, you betcha (accent heavily on the bet) which Brooke was spelling conventionally! " And, as Miss Hillyard shows, incorrectly, as usual, for you betcha is not a collision form of you bet your but a collision form of you bet you - an imitative second person of I bet you, which in comic-cartoon circles is pronounced and spelled I betcha.4

If You Know What I Mean, by C. W. M., Independent, March 17, 1028.

² Cockney American, American Speech, April, 1932.

³ American Written Here, Dec. 19, 1919, p. 1362.

⁴ To this Brooke anecdote a correspondent adds: "An Englishman, confronted by the puzzling American phrase, 'Where am I at?', interpreted it as a doubly barbarous form of 'Where is me 'at?'"

When they venture to deal with Americanisms humorously the British literati do even worse. The contributors to *Punch* often try their hands at the business, and with melancholy results. From their efforts an American pathologist of language has recovered the following:

He heard foot noises of quite a bunch.

I reckon to work through that programme twice a day, and I garntee them bears gets to know eighty barrel oil leaving Central daily under my tabs.

They greased for the trolley.

Young split, your lil jaunt soaks me twelve dollar seventy-five.1

Here, finally, is the effort of the advertising agent of the Morris motor-car to do an advertisement in the American manner:

Say, bud, jest haow do you calculate to buy an automobile? Do you act pensive after you've bought, or do you let a few facts form fours on your grey matter before you per-mit the local car agent to take a hack at your bank balance?

F'rinstance, what horse-power class do you aim to get into? Will your pocket bear a 20 h.p., and, if not, will a 10 h.p. bear your family? That's the first problem, and the best way to answer it is to think what old friend Solomon would have done and cut th' trouble in half by making your car an 11.9—safe both ways up.

Wal, after you've laid out your cash an' folded its arms on its little chest, there are just two people who are liable to hold you up for ransom; the tax-collector and th' polisman. Per-sonally, I give a polisman just nuthin' and a tax-collector as little as George and Mary will let me. If I'm in the 11.9 h.p. class I can send the kids to school with th' tax balance. Get me? 2

Colloquial English is just as unfathomable to most Americans as colloquial American is to Englishmen. Galsworthy not only puzzled his American readers with his bogus Americanisms; he also puzzled them with his attempts at English slang. When "The Silver Spoon"

I If You Know What I Mean, by C. W. M., above cited. See also Speak the Speech, Nation, May 15, 1935, p. 562. The writer of the latter calls attention to the innocent way in which the brethren of Punch mix old and new American slang. A New York gangster, he says, is made to use I swam in the same sentence with gun-moll and gat. "He bets dollars to doughnuts and thinks that something beats the Dutch only a few seconds before he calls the object of his affection a hot patootie who refused to middle-aisle it with him because he

is a palooka. He also refers to a fried [boiled?] shirt, and speaks of someone as dead from the hoofs up, and of a gazissey [sic] with a dial like a painted doormat." The Nation writer says that when Berton Braley once protested to Sir Owen Seaman, editor of Punch, against such manhandling of American he got the reply: "In caricature it is more essential to give what our clientèle will recognize as a familiar likeness than to follow the very latest portrait from life."

2 Autocar (London), Feb. 4, 1922, P. 55. was published in this country, in 1926, Harry Hansen was moved to print the following caveat:

When a character says, "I shall break for lunch now" we understand what he means, but how are we to know what is meant by bees too bee busy, and again, bee weak-minded, which apparently is not a typographical error. Mr. Galsworthy's characters take a lunar, and enjoy the prospect of getting tonked. They are hit on the boko. "It's not my business to queer the pitch of her money getting," says one, and of another the author writes: "What was his image of her but a phlizz?" 1

The last word here, I suspect, was actually a typographical error for *phiz*. But Galsworthy was too austere a man to write slang, and Mr. H. W. Seaman tells me that he is also baffled by some of the phrases that baffled Mr. Hansen. In the following passage from Mrs. Joseph Conrad's cook-book (1923) there were no visible blunders, yet to most American housewives it must have been almost unintelligible:

We shall need several enameled basins of various sizes, a fish-slice, a vegetable-slice, a wire salad-basket, one or two wooden spoons, two large iron ones, a good toasting-fork, a small Dutch oven to hang in front of the fire.²

Nor would it be easy to find Americans able, without some pondering, to comprehend such news items as the following:

Lewis had driven the horse and trap laden with milk-churns to a collecting-stage on the main road, and to do so he had to cross Wood Green level-crossing.... He apparently failed to see a train approaching around a bend.... The driver of the train pulled up promptly....3

Even ordinary business correspondence between Englishmen and Americans is sometimes made difficult by differences in the two vocabularies. In 1932 the publisher of the Decatur, Ill., Review wrote to the London Times, asking what its practice was in the matter of stereotyping half-tones. The reply of its chief engineer was not downright unintelligible, but it contained so many strange words and phrases that the Review was moved to print an editorial about

I The First Reader, New York World, July 9, 1926.

This cook-book was reviewed in the Baltimore Evening Sun, April 14, by a writer who had recently returned from a long sojourn in England. "What we Americans called endive," he said, "the Kentish gardener called chicory. Chicory was our endive. Romaine lettuce was cos, string-beans were

runner-beans, lima-beans were broad-beans, and so on." "What is here [in England], "known as a hash," said Eugene Field in Sharps and Flats; New York, 1900, p. 210, "we should call a stew, and what we call a hash is here known as a mince." Field printed a list of about 30 terms differing in English and American.

3 News of the World, Sept. 10, 1932.

them.1 In England, it appeared, stereotypers' blankets were called packing, mat-rollers were mangles, mats (matrices) were flongs, and to underlay a cut was to bump a block. To underlay has since been adopted in England, but cut is seldom used. As we have seen in Chapter I, Section 4, the English often have difficulty understanding American books, and protest against their strange locutions with great bitterness. When my series of "Prejudices" began to be reprinted in London in 1921, many of the notices they received roundly denounced my Americanisms. But when, five years later, I translated the text from American into Standard English for a volume of selections, it was reviewed very amiably, and sold better than any of the books from which its contents were drawn.2 At about the same time William Feather of Cleveland, the editor of a syndicated house-organ, sold the English rights thereto to Alfred Pemberton, a London advertising agent. Mr. Feather writes excellent English, as English is understood in this country, but for British consumption many of his articles had to be extensively revised. In American Speech he later printed two amusing papers listing some of the changes made.3 I content myself with parallel passages from the American and English version of an article describing an ideal weekend in the country:

Feather's American

The interior essentials are several lamps, a large supply of logs, a blazing fire, and a table loaded with broiled Spring chicken, steaming Golden Bantam corn, young stringbeans, a pitcher of fresh milk, a pot of black coffee, and perhaps a large peach shortcake, with whipped cream.

1 We Translate a Letter From London, April 17, 1932.

When Herman Melville's Moby Dick was brought out in England, c. 1885, many changes were made in the text in order to get rid of Americanisms and American spellings. "In Ch. XVI alone there are 106 variations." See Some Americanisms in Moby Dick, by William S. Ament, American Speech, June, 1932, and Bowdler and the Whale, by the same, American Literature, March, 1932.

Pemberton's English

The interior essentials are several lamps, a large supply of logs, a blazing fire, and a table loaded with roast pheasant and bacon, steaming hot spinach, crisp potatoes, and bread sauce, a jug of cream, a pot of black coffee, and perhaps a large Stilton cheese and a jug of old ale.4

3 Anglicizing Americanisms, Feb., 1926, and Anglicizings, Jan., 1927.

4 Claude de Crespigny, an Englishman resident in the United States, objected to some of Mr. Pemberton's Anglicizations in Peculiar Anglicizing, American Speech, July, 1926, and was answered by Mr. Pemberton in Anglicizing Americanisms, American Speech, Jan., 1927.

Similar changes are frequently made in American short stories reprinted in English magazines,1 and American advertisements are commonly rewritten for English use.2 In 1930 the Department of Commerce issued a business handbook of the United Kingdom³ giving warning that the American "sales-promoter will have to use British English in his sales drive" in the British Isles. "While American exporters and advertisers doing business in Britain," it continued, "find it is of distinct advantage that English is the common language of the two countries, it is not by any means on as common a basis as it is widely assumed to be." There followed a list of trade-terms differing in England and the United States. In the early days of the movie invasion the titles in American films were commonly translated into English,4 but as the flood mounted that effort had to be abandoned as hopeless, and today the talkies pour a constant stream of American neologisms into English. Not infrequently they are puzzling at first blush, and to the end that they may be understood, glossaries are often printed in the English newspapers. 5 Similar glos-

I See Another Language, by Anna R. Baker, Writer's Digest, Sept., 1934. Miss Baker describes the revision of a story called Try to Forget Me, by Sewell Peaslee Wright, first published in the Woman's Home Companion for Feb., 1934. In the English reprint to go over big was changed to be successful, sure to of course, sure-fire to popular, to boss around to to boss about grip to control, all set to ready, and so on. Altogether, Miss Baker notes 74 changes, including a few in spelling.

Addressing American advertisers in Anglo-American Trade (London), Jan., 1928, Paul E. Derrick, vice-president of the American Chamber of Commerce in London, said: "I strongly advise Americans who aim to cultivate the British market to have their American advertising translated into idiomatic English by trained English advertising writers. I know, from my long and wide experience, that the distributors and consumers in both Britain and America are distracted from concentration upon the message by every unfamiliar word and expression they encounter. . . . It is time

that both Britons and Americans came to know, and to accept the fact, that they do not speak in the same idiom."

3 The United Kingdom: an Industrial, Commercial and Financial Handbook (Trade Promotion Series No. 94). My quotations are from Ch. XXVI: Selling American Merchandise in the United Kingdom. I am indebted for the reference to Mr. R. M. Stephenson, chief of the European Section, Division of Regional Information, Department of Commerce.

4 Sometimes with sad results. In 1923 D. L. Blumenfeld wrote to the Cinema (London, June 5): "The other day I saw an American film in which one of the characters was made to say, in a rough-house scene, "Ere you—'op it!"—which is tantamount to making an Englishman in similar circumstances say "G'wan, you big stiff—beat it!"

5 For example, American Without Tears, by Hamilton Eames, London *Times*, May 6, 1931. Mr. Eames undertook to define 118 terms, ranging from alky-cooking to yen. saries are sometimes attached to American books, or inserted in the programmes of American plays. When James Gleason's "Is Zat So?" was presented in London in 1926, Hal O'Flaherty, the correspondent of the Chicago *Tribune*, cabled to his paper as follows:

From the first act to the last the English section of the audience was forced to refer incessantly to a printed glossary of American slang words and phrases. Even then, when they learned that to moyder a skoyt meant to kill a girl, they found themselves three or four sentences behind the actors.

This glossary 1 included definitions of goof, applesauce, to crab, to can (to dismiss), to frame, gorilla, hick, hooch, to lamp, pippin, to stall, sucker, wise-crack and to wise up, most of which have since entered into the English slang vocabulary. When Carl Sandburg's "Collected Poems" were reprinted in London a similar word-list was given in the introduction, with definitions of bunk-shooter, conman, dock-walloper, honky-tonk, floozy, yen, cahoots, leatherneck, mazuma and flooey, and when Sinclair Lewis's "Babbitt" was published there in 1922, there was added a glossary defining about 125 American terms, including bellhop, booster, to bulldoze, burg, dingus, flivver, frame-house, getaway, hootch, jeans, kibosh, loungelizard, nut, once-over, pep, plute, room-mate, saphead, tinhorn, wisenheimer and yeggman. Nearly all of these are now understood in England.2 In 1927 the Oxford University Press brought out an American edition, revised by George Van Santvoord, a former Rhodes scholar, of the Pocket Oxford Dictionary of F. G. and H. W. Fowler. It gave American spellings and pronunciations, and listed a great many words not to be found in the original English edition, e.g., jitney, goulash, chop-suey and drug-store. In 1934 there followed a new edition of the Concise Oxford Dictionary, revised by H. G. LeMesurier and H. W. Fowler, with an appendix largely devoted to American terms, e.g., alfalfa, attaboy, bad-lands, bingle, bohunk, boloney (in the Al Smith sense), boob, bourbon, burg, calaboose, campus, chaps, chiropractic, co-ed, cole-slaw, con-

It was reprinted in American Speech, May, 1926, p. 462, and again in the same, Dec., 1927, p. 167.
 The Lewis glossary was made by Montgomery Belgion, an Englishman who once lived in New York. Despite his American experience, he made a number of errors. Thus he defined to buck as to cheat, bum as a rotter, flipflop as rot, bigh-

binder as an extravagant person, and roustabout as a revolutionary. The glossaries printed in the English newspapers are usually full of howlers. Even the otherwise accurate Hamilton Eames, whose contribution to the London Times has just been cited, defined panhandler as a swindler. It means, of course, a street beggar.

niption, coon, craps, third-degree, crackerjack, to doll up, to dope out, to fade out, to frame and to get away with. "The cinema, now vocal," says Mr. LeMesurier, "has made [the Englishman] familiar with many Americanisms at the meaning of which he has often to guess."

4. BRITICISMS IN THE UNITED STATES

"While England was a uniquely powerful empire-state, ruled by an aristocratic caste," said Wyndham Lewis in 1934,1 "its influence upon the speech as upon the psychology of the American ex-colonies was overwhelming. But today that ascendancy has almost entirely vanished. The aristocratic caste is nothing but a shadow of itself, the cinema has brought the American scene and the American dialect nightly into the heart of England, and the Americanizing process is far advanced. . . . There has been no reciprocal movement of England into the United States; indeed, with the new American nationalism, England is kept out." This is certainly true in the field of language. It is most unusual for an English neologism to be taken up in this country, and when it is, it is only by a small class, mainly made up of conscious Anglomaniacs. To the common people everything English, whether an article of dress, a social custom or a word or phrase has what James M. Cain has called "a somewhat pansy cast." That is to say, it is regarded as affected, effeminate and ridiculous. The stage Englishman is never a hero, and in his rôle of comedian he is laughed at with brutal scorn. To the average redblooded he-American his tea-drinking is evidence of racial decay. and so are the cut of his clothes, his broad a, and his occasional use of such highly un-American locutions as jolly, awfully and ripping. The American soldiers who went to France in 1917 and 1918 did not develop either admiration or liking for their English comrades; indeed, they were better pleased with the French, and reserved their greatest fondness for the Germans. As we shall see in Chapter XI, Section 1, one of the evidences of their coolness toward Tommy Atkins was that they borrowed very little of his slang. They found him singing a number of American songs - for example, "Casey Jones," "John Brown" and "We're Here Because We're Here" -

¹ The Dumb Ox, Life and Letters, April, 1934, p. 42.

but they adopted only one of his own, to wit, "Mademoiselle from Armenteers." In an elaborate vocabulary of American soldiers' slang compiled by E. A. Hecker and Edmund Wilson, Jr., 2 I can find very few words or phrases that seem to be of English origin. To carry on retains in American its old American meaning of to raise a pother, despite its widespread use among the English in the sense of to be (in American) on the job. Even to wangle, perhaps the best of the new verbs brought out of the war by the English, and wowser and excellent noun, have never got a foothold in the United States, and would be unintelligible today to nine Americans out of ten. As for blighty, cheerio and righto they would strike most members of the American Legion as almost as unmanly as tummy or pee-pee. After the success of "What Price Glory?" by Laurence Stallings and Maxwell Anderson, in 1924, what price had a certain vogue, but it quickly passed out.

On higher and less earthly planes there is a greater hospitality to English example. Because the United States has failed to develop anything properly describable as a Court, or a native aristocracy of any settled position and authority, persons of social pretensions are thrown back upon English usage and opinion for guidance, and the vocabulary and pronunciation of the West End of London naturally flavor their speech. Until the beginning of the present century the word shop, in American, always meant a workshop, but in 1905 or thereabout the small stores along the Fifth avenues of the larger American cities began turning themselves into shops. Today the word has the special meaning of a store dealing in a limited range of merchandise, as opposed to a department-store; indeed, shop and

I Eric Partridge, in his introduction to Songs and Slang of the British Soldier, 1914-1918; London, 1930, p. 6, says that it appeared in 1915, and (p. 48) that its tune was borrowed from the French music-halls.
I have had access to it through the

courtesy of Mr. Wilson. Unfortunately, it remains unpublished.

Wowser is of Australian origin,

Wowser is of Australian origin, and was in use in Australia at least as early as 1908, but it did not come into use in England until it was introduced by the Australian troops in 1915. Its etymology is uncertain. I am told by Mr. J. A. B. Foster, of Hobart, Tasmania, that it was

invented by one John Norton, who defined it as "a fellow who is too niggardly of joy to allow the other fellow any time to do anything but pray." Mr. Roger C. Hackett, of Cristobal, C. Z., says he has heard that it represents the initials of a slogan employed by a reform organization in Australia (or New Zealand), c. 1900, viz.: "We only want social evils righted." I tried to introduce it in the United States after the World War, but without success. It was used by Dr. William Morton Wheeler in the Scientific Monthly, Feb., 1920, p. 116.

specialty-shop are used interchangeably. Every American town of any pretensions now has gift-shops (or shoppes), book-shops, hatshops, tea-shops, luggage-shops and candy-shops. But the plain people continue to call a shop a store, though they use shopping and shopper. The effort, made at the time shop came in, to substitute boot for shoe did not get very far, and there are not many bootshops left, and even fewer boot-makers, save in the strict American sense. Bootery and toggery did not last long. But tradesmen'sentrance fared better, and so did charwoman, which has now pretty well supplanted scrubwoman, and, in the cities at least, caused Americans to forget their native modification of char, to wit, chore. Hired-girls began to vanish from the cities so long ago as the second Cleveland administration, and now they are all maids. Drawingroom, always used in the South, began to challenge the Northern parlor about 1895, but by the turn of the century both encountered stiff competition from living-room. To Let signs, once conscious affectations, are now almost as common, at least in the New York area, as For Rent signs, postman seems to be making some progress against letter-carrier, the tunnels under the Hudson are tubes, flapper is now good American, and nursing-home has got some lodgment. In August, 1917, signs appeared in the New York surface cars in which the conductors were referred to as guards; all of them are now guards on the elevated lines and in the subways save the forward men, who remain conductors officially. During the war even the government seemed inclined to substitute the English hoarding for the American billboard.2 In the Federal Reserve Act (1913) it borrowed the English governor to designate the head of a bank.3 and in 1926 the Weather Bureau formally adopted the English smog for a mixture of smoke and fog.4 How and when the National Biscuit Company acquired its name I don't know. What it manufactures

I Whether this form is English or American I don't know, but certainly it is much oftener encountered in the United States than in England. It is sometimes pronounced as spelled, i.e., shoppy. In 1934, in the town of Rabat, Morocco, I heard it so pronounced by a native guiding Americans through the bazaars. Sometimes it is combined with the archaic ye, as in "Ye Olde Tea Shoppe." In such cases ye is often pronounced as

spelled, though it is simply an abbreviation for the.

2 See p. 58 of The United States at War, a pamphlet issued by the Library of Congress, 1917. The compiler of this pamphlet was a savant bearing the fine old British name of Herman H. B. Meyer.

3 He is addressed as Governor, and is commonly referred to as Hon.

4 This was announced in an Associated Press dispatch from Washington, Feb. 7, 1926.

are biscuits in England, but crackers in the United States. Evacustes A. Phipson, an Englishman, says that railway came into American as "a concession to Anglomania," but about that I am uncertain. In any case, the number of such loans is small, and not many of them are of any significance. More interesting is the Briticism penny, which survives in American usage despite the fact that we have no coin bearing that name officially, and the further fact that the cent to which it is applied is worth only half an English penny. It occurs in many compounds, e.g., penny-bank and penny-in-the-slot, and has even produced Americanisms, e.g., penny-ante and penny-arcade. In 1928 the Legislature of South Carolina considered a bill providing that in certain prosecutions for criminal libel the culprit should "be fined a penny and the costs, and no more." 2

In the days when the theater bulked large in American life it supplied non-traveled Americans of Anglophil leanings with a steady supply of Briticisms, both in vocabulary and in pronunciation. Of plays dealing with fashionable life, most of those seen in the United States were of English origin, and many of them were played by English companies. Thus the social aspirants of provincial towns became familiar with the Standard English pronunciation of the moment and with the current English phrases. It was by this route, I suppose, that the use of sorry in place of the traditional American excuse me got in. The American actors, having no Court to imitate, contended themselves by imitating their English colleagues. Thus an American of fashionable pretensions, say in Altoona, Pa., or Athens, Ga., learned how to shake hands, eat soup, greet his friends, enter a drawing-room and pronounce the words path, secretary, melancholy and necessarily in a manner that was an imitation of some American actor's imitation of an English actor's imitation of what was done in Mayfair - in brief, an imitation in the fourth degree. The American actor did his best to mimic the pronunciation and intonation of the English, but inasmuch as his name, before he became Gerald Cecil, was probably Rudolph Goetz or Terence Googan, he frequently ran upon laryngeal difficulties. Since the decay of the theater this influence has vanished. The movie actors in Hollywood, with a few exceptions, make no effort to imitate the

Dialect Notes, Vol. I, Pt. IX, p. 432.
 Freedom of the Press (editorial), Savannah News, Jan. 15, 1928.

English pronunciation, and the dialogue put into their mouths seldom contains recognizable Briticisms. To the English it sounds like a farrago of barbaric Americanisms, and on frequent occasions they arise to denounce it with pious indignation.

The Protestant Episcopal Church, on account of its affiliation with the Church of England and its generally fashionable character, is a distributing-station for Anglomania in the United States, but its influence upon the language seems to be very slight. Most of its clergy, in my experience, use sound American in their pulpits, and not long ago, at the funeral orgies of a friend, I heard one of the most Anglophil of them pronounce amen in the best Middle Western manner. The fashionable preparatory schools for boys, most of which are under Protestant Episcopal control, have introduced a number of Briticisms into the vocabulary of their art and mystery, e.g., head-master, chapel (for the service as well as the building), house-master, monitor, honors, prefect and form. The late Dr. J. Milnor Coit, while rector of the fashionable St. Paul's School at Concord, N. H., diligently promoted this Anglicization. He encouraged the playing of cricket instead of baseball, and "introduced English schoolroom nomenclature to the American boy." But his successors suffered a relapse into Americanisms, and while "St. Paul's still has forms, the removes, evensong and matins, and even the cricket of Dr. Coit's time are now forgotten." At Groton, the most swagger of all the American prep-schools, the boys are divided into forms and there are prefects, masters and a headmaster, but an examination of the catalogue shows few other imitations of English nomenclature. The staff is actually called the faculty, and the headmaster, a Protestant Episcopal clergyman, is listed as Rev., without the the.

Occasionally some American patriot launches an attack upon the few Briticisms that seep in, but it is not done often, for there is seldom any excuse. Richard Grant White, in 1870,2 warned his followers against the figurative use of nasty as a synonym for dis-

American Private Schools, by Porter E. Sargent; Boston, 1920. Mr. Sargent says that the young boys at St. Paul's sleep in "alcoves in the dormitories similar to the cubicles of many of the English public-schools." It is curious to note that Dr. Coit, for all his Anglomania,

was born at Harrisburg, Pa., began life as the manager of a tube works at Cleveland, and retired to Munich on resigning the rectorate of St. Paul's.

2 Words and Their Uses; New York, p. 198. agreeable. This use of the word was then relatively new in England, though, according to White, the Saturday Review and the Spectator had already succumbed. His objections to it were unavailing; nasty quickly got into American, and has been there ever since.1 Gilbert M. Tucker, in 1883,2 protested against good-form, traffic (in the sense of travel), to bargain and to tub as Briticisms that we might well do without, but all of them took root and are sound American today. The locutions that are more obviously merely fashionable slang have a harder time of it, and seldom get beyond a narrow circle. When certain advertisers in New York sought to appeal to snobs by using such Briticisms as swagger and topping in their advertisements, the town wits, led by the watchful Franklin P. Adams (though he then served the Tribune, which Clement K. Shorter once called "more English than we are English"), fell upon them, and quickly routed them. To the average American of the plain people, indeed, any word or phrase of an obviously English flavor has an offensive smack. To call him old dear would be almost as hazardous as to call him Percy, and bah Jove and my word somehow set his teeth on edge. But in consciously elegant circles there is less aversion to such forms, and even fed-up, rotter, priceless, swank, top-hole, cheerio, tosh, and no-end are tolerated. Fashionable mothers teach their children to call them Mummy, and fox-hunters call a leaper a lepper.3

The grotesque errors that English authors fall into every time they essay to write American, referred to a few pages back, are matched by the blunders of Americans who try to write colloquial English. Some years ago, St. John Ervine, the Anglo-Irish playwright and critic, discussed the matter at length in *Vanity Fair*. 4 He said:

When I was in Chicago two years ago, I read in one of the newspapers of that city an account of a jewel theft. . . . A young Englishman, belonging to the aristocracy, had married an American girl, and while they were on their honeymoon, thieves stole some of her jewels. A reporter hurried from Chicago to get a story out of the affair. He interviewed the young husband who

- I But the meaning of the word now differs somewhat in the two countries. In America it connotes disgusting as well as mere unpleasant. Dean W. R. Inge called attention to this difference in The English Language, London Evening Standard, Nov. 24, 1921.
- ² American English, North American Review, April.
- 3 Noah Webster denounced this last so long ago as 1789, in his Dissertations on the English Language, II.
- 4 English Dialect and American Ears, June, 1922, p. 53.

was reported to have said something like this: "Haw, haw, yaas, by Jove! Isn't it awf'lly jolly rotten, what? They stole the bally jewels, haw, haw! . . ." I cannot remember the exact words put into this young man's mouth by the reporter, but they were not less foolish than those I have set out. . . . The reporter had either decided before the interview that all Englishmen of aristocratic birth speak like congenital idiots, and therefore could not listen accurately to what was being said to him, or he was too lazy or incompetent to do his work properly, and trusted to conventional caricature to cover up his own deficiencies.

Mr. Ervine then proceeded to a detailed analysis of a book called "Full Up and Fed Up," by Whiting Williams, an American who lived as a workingman in England, Wales and Scotland during 1920, and sought to report the conversations of the native workingmen among whom he worked. He recorded the speech of an English laborer as follows:

If Hi wuz you, Hi'd walk right in ter the fountain-'ead o' these steel works 'ere, and sye, "Hi wants ter see the manager!" — just like thot. With wot ye've done in Hamerica, ye'll get on fine 'ere.

And that of an English soldier thus:

Hi never seen a ranker make a good hofficer yet—awnd Hi've 'ad 'em over me a lot—hadjutants and all. In the hexercises and heverywhere it's allus "Hi've been there meself, boys, and it cawn't be done. Hi'm too wise, boys." You know 'ow it is. No, sir, never one.

Said Mr. Ervine of these alleged specimens of Cockney English:

I have lived in England for twenty-one years and I know the country, North and South, East and West, country and town, far better than Mr. Williams can ever hope to know it. I have lived among working-people in London, in provincial towns, and in villages, and I have never heard any Englishman speak in that style. I have been in the Army, as a private soldier and as an officer, and I tell Mr. Williams that if he imagines he heard a soldier saying hexercises and heverywhere, then he simply has not got the faculty of hearing. The dropped h is common, but the sounding of it where it ought not to be sounded has almost ceased. I have never heard it sounded in a city, and only on one occasion have I heard it sounded in the country, where an old-fashioned fisherman, with whom I used to go sailing, would sometimes say baccident when he meant accident. This man's younger brother never misplaced the h at all in this way, though he often elided it where it ought to have been sounded. The h is more likely to be dropped than sounded because of the natural laziness of most people over language. . . . A considerable effort is necessary in order to sound it in words where there is no such letter, and this fact, apart altogether from the results of compulsory education, makes it unlikely that Mr. Williams heard anyone in England saying Hi for I and Hamerica for America.

Mr. Ervine continued:

I imagine that most Americans form their impressions about English dialect from reading Dickens, and do not check these impressions with the facts of contemporary life. . . . A popular novel will fix a dialect in the careless mind, and people will continue to believe that men and women speak in that particular fashion long after they have ceased to do so. Until I went to America, I believed that all Negroes spoke like the characters in "Uncle Tom's Cabin." Mr. John Drinkwater clearly thought so, too, when he wrote "Abraham Lincoln." I expected to hear a Negro saying something like "Yaas, massa, dat am so!" when he meant, "Yes, sir, that is so!" I daresay there are many Negroes in America who do speak in that way; in fact, Mr. T. S. Stribling's notable story, "Birthright," makes this plain. But all Negroes do not do so, and perhaps the most correct English I heard during my short visit to the United States two years ago came from the mouth of a red-cap in Boston.

5. HONORIFICS

The honorifics in everyday use in England and the United States show some notable divergences. On the one hand the English are almost as diligent as the Germans in bestowing titles of honor upon their men of mark, but on the other hand they are very careful to withhold such titles from men who do not legally bear them. In America every practitioner of any branch of the healing art, even a chiropodist or an osteopath, is a doctor ipso facto, but in England a good many surgeons lack the title and even physicians may not have it. It is customary there, however, to address a physician in the second person as Doctor, though his card may show that he is only medicine baccalaureus, a degree quite unknown in Amerca. Thus an Englishman, when he is ill, always consults a doctor, as we do. But a surgeon is usually plain Mr., and

on April 1, 1926 the New York Times printed a warning by Assistant District Attorney Michael A. Ford that practitioners of the following non-Euclidian healing schemes were calling themselves doctor in New York: ærotherapy, astral-healing, autothermy, biodynamo-chromatic-therapy, chromo-therapy, diet-therapy, electro-homeopathy, electro-napro-therapy, geo-therapy, irido-therapy, mechano-therapy, neuro-therapy, physic-therapathy, photo-therapy, physic-ther

apy, quartz-therapy, sanitratorism, spondylotherapy, spectro-chrometherapy, spectra-therapy, trophotherapy, theomonism, telatherapy, vitopathy, zodiac-therapy, zonetherapy and Zoroastrianism.

2 In the appendix to the Final Report of the Royal Commission on Venereal Diseases, London, 1916, p. iv, I find the following: "Mr. C. J. Symonds, F.R.C.S., M.D.; Mr. F. J. McCann, F.R.C.S., M.D.; Mr. A. F. Evans, F.R.C.S." Mr. Symonds is consulting surgeon to

prefers to be so called, though he may have M.D. on his card, along with F.R.C.S. (fellow of the Royal College of Surgeons). A physician (or surgeon), if he manages to cure the right patients, is not infrequently knighted, in which event he becomes Sir Basil and ceases to be either Dr. or Mr. If royalty patronizes him he may even become Lord Bolus. The Englishman uses the word physician less than we do; he prefers medical man. But with women doctors increasing in number, medical man becomes inconvenient, and medical woman would seem rather harsh to the English, whose natural tendency would be to say medical lady, a plain impossibility. The late Henry Bradley proposed to get round the difficulty by reviving the archaic word leech,1 but it has never been adopted. An English dentist or druggist or veterinarian is never Dr. Nor is the title frequent among pedagogues, for the Ph.D. is an uncommon degree in England, and it is seldom if ever given to persons trained in the congeries of quackeries which passes, in the American universities, under the name of "education." According to William McAndrew, once superintendent of schools in Chicago and famous as the antagonist of Mayor Big Bill Thompson, every school principal in Boston and New York "has secured a general usage of getting himself called doctor." 2

Professor, like doctor, is worked much less hard in England than in the United States. In all save a few of our larger cities every male pedagogue is a professor, and so is every band leader, dancing master, and medical consultant. Two or three generations ago the title was given to horse-trainers, barbers, bartenders, phrenologists, caterers, patent-medicine vendors, acrobats, ventriloquists, and pedagogues and champions of all sorts.3 Of late its excessive misuse has brought it into disrepute, and more often than not it is applied satirically.4 The real professors try hard to get rid of it. In 1925 those at the University of Virginia organized a society "for the

Guy's Hospital, Mr. McCann is an eminent London gynecologist, and Mr. Evans is a general surgeon in large practice. All would be called Doctor in the United States.

¹ The Skilful Leech, S.P.E. Tracts,

No. IV, 1920, p. 33.
2 Speaking of This and That, Chicago School Journal, Sept., 1925, p. 1.

³ See Professor or Professional, by Mamie Meredith, American Speech,

Feb., 1934, p. 71, and Professor Again, by C. D. P., American Speech, June, 1929, p. 422.

See The Title Professor, by N. R. L., American Speech, Oct., 1927, p. 27, and Professor Again, by Charles L. Hanson, American Speech, Feb., 1928, p. 256.

encouragement of the use of Mister as applied to all men, professional or otherwise." In England professor is used less lavishly, and is thus better esteemed. In referring to any man who holds a professorship in a university it is almost always employed. But when he acquires a secular title, that title takes precedence. Thus it was Professor Almroth Wright down to 1906, but Sir Almroth afterward. Huxley was always called Professor until he was appointed to the Privy Council. This appointment gave him the right to have Right Honourable put before his name, and thereafter it was customary to call him simply Mr. Huxley, with the Right Honourable, so to speak, floating in the air. The combination, to an Englishman, was more flattering than Professor, for the English always esteem political dignities more than the dignities of learning. This explains, perhaps, why their universities distribute so few honorary degrees. In the United States every respectable Protestant clergyman, save perhaps a few in the Protestant Episcopal Church, is a D.D.,1 and it is almost impossible for a man to get into the papers as a figure in anything short of felony without becoming an LL.D., but in England such honors are granted only grudgingly.2 So with military titles. To promote a war veteran from sergeant to colonel by acclamation, as is often done in the United States, is unknown over there. The English have nothing equivalent to the gaudy tin soldiers of our Governors' staffs, nor to the bespangled colonels and generals of the Knights Templar and Patriarchs Militant, nor to the nondescript captains and majors of our country towns.8 An English railroad conductor (railway guard) is never Captain, as he often is in the United States. Nor are military titles used by the police. Nor is it the custom to make every newspaper editor a colonel, as used

- I Canon law among the Baptists, who are numerous in the South, permits any congregation to confer the degree. It is often given to a pastor as a solatium when he is dismissed from his post. In both England and America every Catholic and Church of England bishop is made a D.D. on his elevation.
- 2 But in Scotland any clergyman over fifty, never caught red-handed in simony or adultery, is likely to be a D.D.
- 3 In late years the creation of colonels and generals by State Gov-

ernors has diminished, but it continues in Kentucky, where Governor Ruby Laffoon (gloriously he, despite his given-name) made thousands between 1931 and 1935. Col. Patrick H. Callahan of Louisville who owes his title to a former Governor, argues that military rank is conducive, at least in Kentucky, to easy social intercourse. "Colonel," he says, "is not much more than a nickname, like Tom, Dick or Harry, and is used and appreciated mostly on that account."

to be done south of the Potomac.1 Nor is an Attorney-General or Postmaster-General or Consul-General called General. Nor are the glories of public office, after they have officially come to an end, embalmed in such clumsy quasi-titles as ex-United States Senator, ex-Judge of the Circuit Court of Appeals, ex-Federal Trade Commissioner and former Chief of the Fire Department.2 Nor does every college swarm with deans. Nor is every magistrate a judge. This American fondness for hollow titles goes back to colonial days. An English traveler, Edward Kimber, wrote in 1746: "Whereever you travel in Maryland (as also in Virginia and Carolina) your ears are constantly astonished at the number of colonels, majors and captains that you hear mentioned: in short, the whole country seems at first to you a retreat of heroes." Two years earlier the Scottish physician, Alexander Hamilton, traveling along the Hudson, found an immense number of colonels. "It is a common saying here," he wrote, "that a man has no title to that dignity unless he has killed a rattlesnake." After the Revolution many of the discharged soldiers opened inns, and large numbers of them blossomed out as captains, majors and colonels.8 Every successive war brought in a swarm of new military titles, and after the Civil War they were almost innumerable. During the Grant Era it also became common for wives to borrow their husbands' titles in the German-Scandinavian fashion, and the historian, Edward A. Freeman, who made a lecture tour of the United States in 1881-82, reported when he got home that he had seen Mrs. Professor on a woman's visiting card and had read in a newspaper of Mrs. ex-Senator. A. Freeman was almost always called either Professor or Doctor by the Americans he encountered. "In some parts," he said, "a stranger is commonly addressed as Colonel or Judge." He called attention to an American peculiarity that is still observable: the overuse of Mister. "I noticed," he said, "that men who were thoroughly intimate with one another, men who were old friends and colleagues, spoke of and to one another

- 2 The use of former in place of exis an Americanism, and Horwill says that it is unknown in England.
- 3 For these references I am indebted to Words Indicating Social Status in America in the Eighteenth Century, by Allen Walker Read, American Speech, Oct., 1934.

[&]quot;In Nebraska," according to Dr. Louise Pound, American Speech, April, 1935, p. 158, "auctioneers customarily take to themselves the title of Colonel." They do so also in Kansas, Oklahoma, Missouri and parts of the South. See "Auctioneer Colonels Again," by Dr. Pound, American Speech, Oct., 1935.

with handles to their names, in a way which men in the same case would not do [in England]." The leap in the United States is often directly from *Mister* to *Jack*. This use of the given-name was popularized by Rotary, the members of which so address one another, and no doubt was also fostered by the advent of the Hon. James A. Farley, whose greeting to all comers was "Call me Jim." ²

But perhaps the greatest difference between English and American usage is presented by the Honorable. In the United States the title is applied loosely to all public officials of any apparent respectability, and with some show of official sanction to many of them, especially Congressmen, but it is questionable whether this application has any actual legal standing, save perhaps in the case of certain judges, who are referred to as the Hon. in their own court records. Even the President of the United States, by law, is not the Honorable, but simply the President. In the First Congress the matter of his title was exhaustively debated; some members wanted to call him the Honorable and others proposed His Excellency and even His Highness. But the two Houses finally decided that it was "not proper to annex any style or title other than that expressed by the Constitution." Congressmen themselves are not Honorables. True enough, the Congressional Record, in printing a set speech, calls it "Speech of Hon. John Jones" (without the the before the Hon. - a characteristic Americanism), but in reporting the ordinary remarks of a member it always calls him plain Mr. Nevertheless, a country Congressman would be offended if his partisans, in announcing his appearance on the stump, did not prefix Hon. to his name. So would a State Senator. So would a Mayor or Governor. I have seen the sergeant-at-arms of the United States Senate referred to as Hon. in the records of that body,3 and the title is also accorded there to all former members of either House, to State Governors, to Ambassadors, to members of the Cabinet, past or present, and all their principal assistants, to all State officials of any dignity, and to a miscel-

I Some Impressions of the United States; New York, 1888. The pertinent passages are reprinted in American Social History as Recorded by British Travelers, by Allan Nevins; New York, 1923, p. 481.

^{2 &}quot;Members of the United States Senate," says an editorial in the

Dayton (O.) News, Jan. 5, 1934, "largely address each other, in private at least, by their first names. The President of the United States, to hundreds of his friends, is simply Frank."

³ Congressional Record, May 16, 1918, p. 7147.

laneous rabble of other notables, including newspaper editors.¹ In February, 1935, an Interstate Assembly of various State officials was held at Washington. In the official report of it,² the following functionaries, among others, were set down as *Hons.*: State tax commissioners and assessors, State treasurers, comptrollers and auditors, the deputies and assistants of all these, and all members of State Legislatures.

In England the thing is more carefully ordered, and bogus *Hons*. are unknown. The prefix is applied to both sexes and belongs by law, *inter alia*, to all present or past maids of honor, to all justices of the High Court during their term of office, to the Scotch Lords of Session, to the sons and daughters of viscounts and barons, to the younger sons of earls, and to the members of the legislative and executive councils of the colonies. But *not* to members of Parliament, though each is, in debate, the *hon. member*, or the *hon. gentleman*. Even a member of the cabinet is not an *Hon.*, though he is a *Right Hon.* by virtue of membership in the Privy Council, of which the Cabinet is legally merely a committee. This last honorific be-

I For the sake of the record I append a few examples. Clark Howell, editor of the Atlanta Constitution appears as Hon. in the Record for June 15 (all dates are 1935), p. 9811, and the chief editorial writer of another Southern paper on Sept. 10, p. 15335. Jeremiah T. Mahoney, president of the Athletic Union of the United States appears on Aug. 23, p. 14790; Norman Hapgood on May 23, p. 8405; Harry L. Hopkins on July 17, p. 11733; Rexford G. Tugwell on Sept. 10, p. 15253; Frederic A. Delano, President Roosevelt's uncle, Aug. 21, p. 14439; Leo T. Crowley, chairman of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, on June 5, p. 9051; Chester C. Davis. administrator of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, on Aug. 14, p. 13618; Robert Fechner, director of Emergency Conservation Work, on Aug. 15, 13812; former Secretary of the Navy Charles Francis Adams on June 11, p. 9418; an ex-Assistant Postmaster-General on May 29, p. 8728; an Assistant Secretary of State on July 23, p. 12211; a former

Attorney-General of Massachusetts on Aug. 6, p. 13061; the Attorney-General of Indiana on Aug. 16, p. 13980; Knud Wefald, a member of the Minnesota Railroad and Warehouse Commission, on Aug. 23, p. 14778; a special assistant to the Postmaster-General on June 21, p. 10298; a resident commissioner from the Philippines on July 17, p. 11805; a Works Progress director for Idaho on July 17, p. 11733; the solicitor of the Postoffice on July 11, p. 11493; and Frank Delaney, general counsel of Investors and Policyholders, Inc., on Aug. 19, p. 14213. I sometimes receive letters from members of Congress. Almost invariably they make me Hon. on the envelope. Some time ago I received an invitation from a Senator who was giving a luncheon to another Senator. It was in the third person, and both the host and the guest of honor appeared as the Hon.

² Printed in State Government, the organ of the American Legislators' Association, April, 1935, p. 89.

longs, not only to privy councillors, but also to all peers lower than marquesses (those above are Most Hon.), to Lord Mayors during their terms of office, to the Lord Advocate and to the Lord Provosts of Edinburgh and Glasgow. Moreover, a peeress whose husband is a Right Hon. is a Right Hon. herself. The British colonies follow the jealous usage of the mother-country. Even in Canada the lawless American example is not imitated. I have before me a "Table of Titles to be Used in Canada," laid down by royal warrant, which lists those who are Hons. and those who are not Hons. in the utmost detail. Only privy councillors of Canada (not to be confused with imperial privy councillors) are permitted to retain the prefix after going out of office, though ancients who were legislative councillors at the time of the union, July 1, 1867, if any survive, may still use it by sort of courtesy, and former Speakers of the Dominion Senate and House of Commons and various retired judges may do so on application to the King, countersigned by the Governor-General. The following are lawfully the Hon., but only during their tenure of office: the Solicitor-General, the Speaker of the House of Commons, the Presidents and Speakers of the provincial Legislatures, members of the executive councils of the Provinces, the Chief Justice, the judges of the Supreme Courts of Ontario, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, British Columbia, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan and Alberta, the judges of the Courts of Appeal of Manitoba and British Columbia, the Chancery Court of Prince Edward Island, and the Circuit Court of Montreal - these, and no more. A Lieutenant-Governor of a Province is not the Hon., but His Honor. The Governor-General is His Excellency, and his wife is Her Excellency, but in practise they usually have superior honorifics, and do not forget to demand their use. In Australia, it would seem, the Hon. is extended to members of the Federal Parliament; at least one of them, to my personal knowledge, has the title engraved upon his visitingcard.2

I The proper use of titles in England is so complicated a matter that it has produced a large literature. Perhaps the best textbook is Titles and Forms of Address (anonymous); 2nd ed.; London, 1929.

2 In the Crown Colony of Hong Kong all members of the Legislative Council are Hons, and it is customary to add Mr. after the title, even when Christian names or initials follow. It is said to have been first inserted by order of Sir Matthew Nathan, a former Governor. See Marriage at 6 A.M., by Tom Clarke; London, 1934. I am indebted here to Mr. F. H. Tyson of Hong Kong.

But though an Englishman, and, following him, a colonial, is thus very careful to restrict the Hon. to its legal uses, he always insists, when he serves without pay as an officer of any organization, upon indicating his volunteer character by writing hon. meaning honorary, before the name of his office. If he leaves it off it is a sign that he is a hireling. Thus, the agent of the New Zealand government in London, a paid officer, is simply the agent, but the agents at Brisbane and Adelaide, in Australia, who serve for the glory of it, are hon. agents. In writing to a Briton of condition one had better be careful to put Esq. behind his name, and not Mr. before it. The English have long made a distinction between the two forms. Mr., on an envelope, indicates that the sender holds the receiver to be his inferior; one writes to Mr. John Jackson, one's green-grocer, but to James Thompson, Esq., one's neighbor. But if one encloses an envelope for a reply, addressed to one's self, one's name on it must be preceded by Mr., not followed by Esq. Any man who is entitled to the Esq. is a gentleman, by which an Englishman means a man of sound connections and what is regarded as dignified occupation in brief, of ponderable social position. But in late years these distinctions have been losing force. In colonial America Esq. seems to have been confined to justices of the peace, who acquired thereby the informal title of Squire, but inasmuch as every lawyer of any dignity became a justice almost automatically it was eventually applied to most members of the bar.2 It is common to so apply it to this day. Lawyers, like judges, are often designated Esq. in court papers, and when one of them appears on a list of speakers at a political meeting he is usually distinguished from the general, especially in the South, by adding Esq. to his name.

The English in speaking or writing of public officials, avoid those long and clumsy combinations of title and name which figure so copiously in American newspapers. Such locutions as Assistant Secretary of the Interior Jones, Fourth Assistant Postmaster-General Brown, Inspector of Boilers Smith, Judge of the Appeal Tax Court

I An English friend tells me that he might, "without grievous solecism," address his tailor as Esq.—on the ground that a tailor, like a lawyer, doctor or horse-dealer, renders his bill, not in pounds, but in guineas! In Etiquette, by Emily Post; New York, 1922, p. 459, we are told that

[&]quot;formal invitations are always addressed to Mr. Stanley Smith," but that "all other personal letters may be addressed to Stanley Smith, Esq."

² I am indebted here to Dr. S. E. Morison.

Robinson, Chief Clerk of the Treasury Williams and Collaborating Epidemiologist White 1 are quite unknown to him. When an Englishman mentions a high official, such as the Secretary for Foreign Affairs, he does not think it necessary to add the man's name; he simply says the Secretary for Foreign Affairs or the Foreign Secretary. And so with the Lord Chancellor, the Chief Justice, the Prime Minister, the Bishop of Carlisle, the Chief Rabbi, the First Lord (of the Admiralty), the Master of Pembroke (College), the Italian Ambassador, and so on. But certain ecclesiastical titles are sometimes coupled to surnames in the American manner, as in Dean Inge and Canon Wilberforce.

A lawyer appearing in court before a judge of the English higher courts addresses him as My Lord, and speaks of him in his presence as His Lordship. In the United States the form is Your Honor, which is also proper for county judges in England. A letter to an English high court judge is superscribed The Hon. Mr. Justice -. In America, in speaking to a judge outside his court, it is customary to say simply Judge -, or, if he is a member of the Supreme Court of the United States (or of one or two other courts) Mr. Justice without the surname, or Mr. Chief Justice. A justice of the peace in England is His Worship, and so is a Mayor, and the latter is the Right Worshipful on an envelope. In the United States a Mayor is sometimes called His Honor, but the form seems to have no warrant in law. The Governors of Massachusetts and New Hampshire are Your Excellency by statute. In England an Ambassador is His Excellency, and so are colonial Governors. But the intricacies of British titles are so vast that I can't go into them here.

I have spoken of the American custom of dropping the definite article before *Hon*. It extends to *Rev*. and the like, and has the authority of very respectable usage behind it. The opening sentence of the *Congressional Record* is always: "The Chaplain, *Rev*. —, D.D., offered the following prayer." When chaplains for the Army or Navy are confirmed by the Senate they always appear in the *Record* as *Revs.*, never as the *Revs.* I also find the honorific without the article in the New International Encyclopædia, in a widely-popular American grammar-book, and in the catalogue of Groton, the fashionable prep-school, whose headmaster must always be

I I encountered this gem in Public Health Reports, a government publication, for April 26, 1918, p. 619.

a clergyman of the Protestant Episcopal Church.¹ So long ago as 1867, Edward S. Gould protested against this elision as barbarous and idiotic, and drew up the following *reductio ad absurdum*:

At the last annual meeting of Black Book Society, honorable John Smith took the chair, assisted by reverend John Brown and venerable John White. The office of secretary would have been filled by late John Green, but for his decease, which rendered him ineligible. His place was supplied by inevitable John Black. In the course of the evening eulogiums were pronounced on distinguished John Gray and notorious Joseph Brown. Marked compliment was also paid to able historian Joseph White, discriminating philosopher Joseph Green, and learned professor Joseph Black. But conspicuous speech of the evening was witty Joseph Bray's apostrophe to eminent astronomer Jacob Brown, subtle logician Jacob White, etc., etc.²

This reductio ad absurdum (which sounds curiously like an extract from the Time of today) was ratified by Richard Grant White in "Words and Their Uses" (1870), and William Cullen Bryant included the omission of the article in his Index Expurgatorius, but their anathemas were as ineffective as Gould's irony. The Episcopalians in the United States, at least those of the High Church variety, usually insert the the, but the rest of the Protestants omit it, and so do the Catholics; as for the Jews, they get rid of it by calling their rabbis Dr. Now and then some evangelical purist tries to induce the Methodists and Baptists to adopt the the, but always in vain. Throughout rural America it is common to address an ecclesiastic viva voce as Reverend. This custom is also denounced by the more delicate clergy, but equally without effect upon the prevailing speech habit. Some years ago one of the suffering brethren was thus moved to protest in verse:

Call me Brother, if you will; Call me Parson — better still. Or if, perchance, the Catholic frill Doth your heart with longing fill — Though plain Mister fills the bill, Then even Father brings no chill Of hurt or rancor or ill-will.

To no D.D. do I pretend, Though *Doctor* doth some honor lend, *Preacher*, *Pastor*, *Rector*, *Friend*, Titles almost without end

For the Record see any issue. For the New International Encyclopædia see the article on Brotherhood of Andrew and Philip. The

grammar-book is Longman's Briefer Grammar; New York, 1908, p. 160. 2 Good English; New York, 1867, p. 57. Never grate and ne'er offend; A loving ear to all I bend. But how the man my heart doth rend. Who blithely calls me Reverend! 1

To which may be added a denunciation of *Reverend* in direct address which shows, incidentally, that the author, a Methodist bishop, does not object to the omission of the *the* in writing:

She was well dressed. Her manner was womanly. Her voice was gentle. She seemed intelligent and cultured. She approached me and said, "Is this Rev. Moore?" I was amazed at such a transgression against good usage. Anyone should know better than to say Rev. Moore or Rev. Smith. That word Rev. cannot be attached in speech or writing to a surname; it can be used only with the given name, or the initials, or with some title such as Mister, Doctor, Professor or Bishop. One may say Rev. Mr. Smith, or Rev. Dr. Smith, or Rev. Prof. Smith, or Rev. G. W. Smith, but never Rev. Smith. It is discreditable to transgress such usage.²

When it came into use in England, in the Seventeenth Century,³ Rev. was commonly written without the article, and immediately preceding the surname. Thus, Bishop Joseph Hall (1574–1656) did not hesitate to write Reverend Calvin. But at the beginning of the Eighteenth Century the and the given-name began to be added, and by the end of the century that form was almost universal in England.

I Alabama Christian Advocate (Birmingham), Nov. 7, 1929. The poem was clipped from the Richmond Christian Advocate, which had clipped it in turn from "an Atlanta church paper." Its author was said to be "an Episcopal rector."

2 Do You Speak English?, by Bishop John M. Moore, Christian Index (Jackson, Tenn.), Aug. 9, 1928. Dr. Moore is a Ph.D. of Yale, and also pursued ghostly studies at Leipzig and Heidelberg.

3 Dr. S. E. Morison tells me that the Mathers were probably the first American divines to call themselves Rev. Increase Mather seems to have picked up the title during his visit to England, 1688-92. Before that time American clergymen were simply Mr., an abbreviation of Master. This was an indication that they were masters of arts. During the Middle Ages bachelors

of arts were addressed as Dominus, which was Englished as Sir. That is why clergymen, in Shakespeare's time, were often called Sir - always with their surnames, not with their given names, which last form distinguished knights. The usage crossed the Atlantic, and persisted at Harvard and Yale down to 1800 or thereabout. It explains the belief of many Americans of today that their colonial ancestors were knights. There were relatively few D.D.'s in America before 1800, for the degree was seldom given by the American universities. But any clergyman who had published an edifying work could obtain it from one of the Scottish universities on payment of a fee, and in the middle of the Eighteenth Century it was not unusual for an admiring congregation to pass the hat to help its shepherd obtain the degree. Here, as in many other cases, American usage is archaic.¹ It should be added that English practice, in late years, has been somewhat corrupted, maybe by American example. In the list of members printed in the first tract of the Society for Pure English (1919) Rev., Very Rev., Hon. and Rt. Hon. appeared without the the, and it is commonly omitted by the English Methodists and Baptists. In the United States there has arisen recently a habit of omitting it before the names of corporations. It is, in many cases, not a legal part thereof, and is thus properly omitted in bonds, stock certificates and other such documents, but its omission in other situations makes for a barbaric clumsiness. Among news-agents and advertising agents the article is likewise omitted before the names of magazines, and on Broadway it is omitted before the words show business.²

The use of the plural, Revs., denounced by H. W. Fowler in his Dictionary of Modern English Usage (1926) is quite common in this country. A somewhat curious English custom, unknown here, is that of using Messrs. before single names designating firms. Thus, the Literary Supplement of the London Times often announces that Messrs. Jonathan Cape are about to publish this or that book.

In general, ecclesiastical titles are dealt with somewhat loosely in the United States. In England an archbishop of the Established Church is the Most Rev. and His Grace, and a bishop is the Right Rev. and His Lordship, but there are no archbishops in the American Protestant Episcopal Church and the bishops are seldom called His Lordship. The Methodists, in writing of their ordinaries, often omit the Right, contenting themselves with the simple Rev. Among the Catholics, by a decree of the Sacred Congregation of Rites, dated December 31, 1930,³ an archbishop who is not a cardinal is now the Most Rev. and His Excellency (Excellentia Reverendissima), and so is a bishop. Formerly an archbishop was the Most Rev. and His Grace, and a bishop was the Right Rev. and His Lordship. A cardinal, of course, remains His Eminence. Before the decree it was the custom to address all monsignori as the Right Rev., but now they are divided into two sections, those who are protonotaries apostolic

I See The Use of the Abbreviation Rev. in Modern English, by Edward C. Ehrensperger, American Speech, Oct., 1931.

² This last seems to have been introduced by Variety, which has a magnificent disdain for all the or-

dinary usages of the language. In 1926 Thyra Samter Winslow printed a volume of short stories called Show Business, without the article.

³ The text is in the Acta Apostolicæ Sedis, Jan. 15, 1931.

or domestic prelates remaining the Right Rev.¹ and those of inferior rank, e.g., papal chamberlains, becoming the Very Rev. The American bishops and archbishops display a dubious Latinity by their assumption of the Most Rev. Reverendissimus, to be sure, is a superlative, but in the situation in which it is used Latin superlatives are understood only in the sense of very, e.g., altissimus mons means a very high mountain, not the highest mountain. Moreover, if the bishops and archbishops are entitled to be called the Most Rev., then so are the monsignori, for Rome applies reverendissimus to all of them alike. But the puissant brethren of the American hierarchy arrogate the Most Rev. to themselves, and the monsignori must be content with the lesser designations.²

In the Salvation Army honorifics follow a somewhat strange pattern. The ordinary member of the Army is called a soldier, and his status in his post is identical with that of a communicant in a church. He is forbidden to belong to any other church. He supports himself at whatever trade he knows, and pays a tenth of his income into the post funds. If he aspires to become an officer he is called a candidate and is sent to a training college, where he becomes a cadet. On his graduation he is made, if unmarried, a probationary lieutenant, or, if married, a probationary captain. He must serve a year in the field before he may hope for promotion to full rank. Above the captaincy the ranks are those of adjutant, major, brigadier (not brigadier-general), lieutenant-colonel, colonel, lieutenant-commissioner, commissioner and general. All ranks are open to women. A married woman always takes her husband's rank, and is known as Mrs. Major, Mrs. Colonel, and so on. If he dies, her own future promotions begin where his left off. No unmarried officer, whether male or female, may marry anyone save another officer without resigning from the corps of officers. Virtually every officer, after ten years' service, is promoted to adjutant. But this promotion, and others following it. may come sooner, and an exceptionally useful officer may be put in command of colleagues of higher rank.8

The use of Madame as a special title of honor for old women of

I Abbots are also Right Rev. but in the United States they are not monsignors.

² See Right Reverend, by Prelatus Domesticus, Commonweal, Oct. 18, 1935.

³ I am indebted here to Major Vincent Cunningham, editor of the War Cry (Southern edition).

good position survived in the United States until the 70's. It distinguished the dowager Mrs. Smith from the wife of her eldest son. After the Civil War madame became the designation of brothelkeepers, and so fell into bad repute. But it survives more or less among the colored folk, who often apply it to women singers of their race, and sometimes to the more pretentious sort of hairdressers, dressmakers and milliners. Mrs. Washington was commonly called Lady Washington during her life-time, but the title seems to have died with her. When women began to go into politics, after the proclamation of the Twenty-first Amendment in 1920, the widows of male politicians frequently became candidates for their dead husband's jobs. One of the first of these ambitious relicts, the Hon. Nellie Taylor Ross of Wyoming, made her campaign under the style of Ma, and the title was soon extended to others of her kind.1 Mabel Walker Willebrandt, Assistant Attorney-General in charge of prosecutions under the Volstead Act, was generally know as Ma during her days in office, 1921-29. But the title now seems to be in decay.

6. EUPHEMISMS

The American, probably more than any other man, is prone to be apologetic about the trade he follows. He seldom believes that it is quite worthy of his virtues and talents; almost always he thinks that he would have adorned something far gaudier. Unfortunately, it is not always possible for him to escape, or even for him to dream plausibly of escaping, so he soothes himself by assuring himself that he belongs to a superior section of his craft, and very often he invents a sonorous name to set himself off from the herd. Here we glimpse the origin of a multitude of characteristic American euphemisms, e.g., mortician for undertaker, realtor for real-estate agent, electragist for electrical contractor, aisle manager for floor-walker, beautician for hairdresser, exterminating engineer for rat-catcher, and so on. Realtor was devised by a high-toned

another Ma, Ferguson by name, became Governor of Texas. Her husband, James E. Ferguson, had been impeached and removed from the governorship in 1917.

I La Ross's husband, the Hon. William B. Ross, died in office as Governor of Wyoming on Oct. 2, 1924, and she was elected his successor and went into office on Jan. 5, 1925. A few days before this

real-estate agent of Minneapolis, Charles N. Chadbourn by name. He thus describes its genesis:

It was in November, 1915, on my way to a meeting of the Minneapolis Real Estate Board, that I was annoyed by the strident peddling of a scandal sheet: "All About the Robbery of a Poor Widow by a Real Estate Man." The "real estate man" thus exposed turned out to be an obscure hombre with desk-room in a back office in a rookery, but the incident set me to thinking. "Every member of our board," I thought, "is besmirched by this scandal article. Anyone, however unworthy or disreputable, may call himself a real estate man. Why do not the members of our board deserve a distinctive title? Each member is vouched for by the board, subscribes to its Code of Ethics, and must behave himself or get out." So the idea incubated for three or four weeks, and was then sprung on the local brethren.

As to the etymology of the term, Mr. Chadbourn says:

Real estate originally meant a royal grant. It is so connected with land in the public mind that realtor is easily understood, even at a first hearing. The suffix -or means a doer, one who performs an act, as in grantor, executor, sponsor, administrator.

The Minneapolis brethren were so pleased with their new name that Mr. Chadbourn was moved to dedicate it to the whole profession. In March, 1916, he went to the convention of the National Association of Real Estate Boards at New Orleans, and made a formal offer of it. It was accepted gratefully, and is now defined by the association as follows:

A person engaged in the real estate business who is an active member of a member board of the National Association of Real Estate Boards, and as such, an affiliated member of the National Association, who is subject to its rules and regulations, who observes its standards of conduct, and is entitled to its benefits.²

In 1920 the Minneapolis Real Estate Board and the National Association of Real Estate Boards applied to Judge Joseph W. Molyneaux of Minneapolis for an injunction restraining the Northwestern Telephone Exchange Company from using *realtor* to designate some of its hirelings, and on September 10 the learned judge duly granted this relief. Since then the National Association has obtained similar injunctions in Virginia, Utah and other States. Its general counsel is heard from every time *realtor* is taken in vain, and when, in 1922, Sinclair Lewis applied it to George F. Babbitt, there was an uproar. But when Mr. Chadbourn was appealed to he decided that Babbitt

r Private communication, Sept. 28, 1935.

² Realtor: Its Meaning and Use;

Chicago (National Association of Real Estate Boards), 1925.

was "fairly well described," for he was "a prominent member of the local board and of the State association," and one could scarcely look for anything better in "a book written in the ironic vein of the author of 'Main Street.'" Mr. Chadbourn believes that realtor should be capitalized, "like Methodist or American," but so far it has not been generally done. In June, 1925, at a meeting of the National Association of Real Estate Boards in Detroit, the past presidents of the body presented him with a gold watch as a token of their gratitude for his contribution to the uplift of their profession. On May 30, 1934, the following letter from Nathan William MacChesney, general counsel of the National Association, appeared in the New Republic:

[Realtor] is not a word, but a trade right, coined and protected by law by the National Association of Real Estate Boards, and the term is a part of the trade-mark as registered in some forty-four States and Canada. Something over \$200,000 has been spent in its protection by the National Association of Real Estate Boards in attempting to confine its use to those real estate men who are members of the National Association of Real Estate Boards, subject to its code for ethics and to its discipline for violation. It has been a factor in making the standards of the business generally during the past twenty years, and the exclusive right of the National Association of Real Estate Boards has been sustained in a series of court decisions, a large number of injunctions having been issued, restraining its improper use.

In 1924 the Realtors' Bulletin of Baltimore reported that certain enemies of realtric science were trying to show that realtor was derived from the English word real and the Spanish word tor, a bull, and to argue that it thus meant real bull. But this obscenity apparently did not go far; probably a hint from the alert general counsel was enough to stop it. During the same year I was informed by Herbert U. Nelson, executive secretary of the National Association, that "the real-estate men of London, through the Institute of Estate Agents and Auctioneers, after studying our experience in this respect, are planning to coin the word estator and to protect it by legal steps." This plan, I believe, came to fruition, but estator never caught on, and I can't find it in the Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary. Realtor, however, is there—and the first illustrative quotation is from "Babbitt"! In March, 1927, J. Foster Hagan, of

I Letter to W. A. Frisbie, editor of the Minneapolis Daily News. This was in 1922. The letter was subscribed "Yours realtorially." A

copy was sent to Mr. Lewis, who preserves it in his archives.

² Private communication, Sept. 4, 1935.

Ballston, Va., reported to American Speech that he had encountered realtress on the window of a real-estate office there, but this charming derivative seems to have died a-bornin'. In 1925 or thereabout certain ambitious insurance solicitors, inflamed by realtor, began to call themselves insurors, but it, too, failed to make any progress.

Electragist, like realtor, seems to be the monopoly of the lofty technicians who affect it: "it is copyrighted by the Association of Electragists International, whose members alone may use it." But mortician is in the public domain. It was proposed by a writer in the Embalmers' Monthly for February, 1895, but the undertakers, who were then funeral-directors, did not rise to it until twelve years later. On September 16, 1916, some of the more eminent of them met at Columbus, O., to form a national association, on the lines of the American College of Surgeons, the American Association of University Professors, and the Society of the Cincinnati, and a year later they decided upon National Selected Morticians as its designation.2 To this day the association remains so exclusive that, of the 24.000 undertakers in the United States, only 200 belong to it. But any one of the remaining 23,800 is free to call himself a mortician, and to use all the other lovely words that the advance of human taxidermy has brought in. Mortician, of course, was suggested by physician, for undertakers naturally admire and like to pal with the resurrection men, and there was a time when some of them called themselves embalming surgeons. A mortician never handles a corpse; he prepares a body or patient. This business is carried on in a preparation-room or operating-room, and when it is achieved the patient is put into a casket 8 and stored in the reposing-room or slumberroom of a funeral-home. On the day of the funeral he is moved to the chapel therein for the last exorcism, and then hauled to the cemetery in a funeral-car or casket-coach.4 The old-time shroud is now a né-

r Electragist, by Corneil Ridderhof, American Speech, Aug., 1927, p. 477. It means, according to Mr. Ridderhof, "a combined electrical dealer and contractor."

² I am indebted here to Mr. W. M. Krieger, executive secretary of the organization, the headquarters of which are in Chicago.

³ Casket seems to have come in during the Civil War period. In 1863 Nathaniel Hawthorne denounced

it in Our Old Home as "a vile modern phrase, which compels a person . . . to shrink . . . from the idea of being buried at all." At the start it had a rival in case. The latter was used in the Richmond Examiner's report of the funeral of Gen. J. E. B. Stuart, May 13, 1864. But the Examiner, in the same report, used corpse and hearse.

⁴ Mortuary Nomenclature, Hygeia, Nov., 1925, p. 651.

gligé or slumber-shirt or slumber-robe, the mortician's work-truck is an ambulance, and the cemetery is fast becoming a memorialpark. In the West cemeteries are being supplanted by public mausoleums, which sometimes go under the names of cloisters, burialabbeys, etc. To be laid away in one runs into money. The vehicle that morticians use for their expectant hauling of the ill is no longer an ambulance, but an invalid-coach. Mortician has been a favorite butt of the national wits, but they seem to have made no impression on it. In January, 1932, it was barred from the columns of the Chicago Tribune. "This decree goes forth," announced the Tribune, "not for lack of sympathy with the ambition of undertakers to be well regarded, but because of it. If they haven't the sense to save themselves from their own lexicographers, we shall not be guilty of abetting them in their folly." 2 But mortician not only continues to flourish, it also begets progeny, e.g., beautician, cosmetician, radiotrician and bootician.⁸ The barbers, so far, have not devised a name for themselves in -ician, but they may be trusted to do so anon. In my youth they were tonsorial artists, but in recent years some of them have been calling themselves chirotonsors.4 Practically all American press-agents are now public relations counsel, contactmanagers or publicists, all tree-trimmers are tree-surgeons, all milkwagon and bakery-wagon drivers have become salesmen, nearly all janitors are superintendents, many gardeners have become landscapearchitects (in England even the whales of the profession are simple landscape-gardeners), cobblers are beginning to call themselves shoerebuilders,⁵ and the corn-doctors, after a generation as chiropodists, have burst forth as podiatrists. The American fondness for such sonorous appellations arrested the interest of W. L. George, the English novelist, when he visited the United States in 1920. He said:

I The Mortician, by Elmer Davis, American Mercury, May, 1927.

2 Editor and Publisher, Jan. 30, 1932.
3 I proposed the use of bootician to designate a high-toned big-city bootlegger in the American Mercury, April, 1925, p. 450. The term met a crying need, and had considerable success. In March, 1927, the San José Mercury-Herald said: "Our bootleggers are now calling themselves booticians. It seems that bootlegger has some trace of odium about it, while bootician has none."

(Reprinted in the Baltimore Evening Sun, April 4, 1927.) On July 23, 1931, according to the Associated Press, a man arrested in Chicago, on being asked his profession, answered proudly that he was a bootician.

4 In 1924 representatives of 3000 of them met in Chicago, and voted for chirotonsor. See the Commonweal, Nov. 26, 1924, p. 58. 5 There is a Shoe Rebuilders' Asso-

5 There is a Shoe Rebuilders' Association in Baltimore. See the Baltimore Evening Sun, Oct. 17, 1935.

Business titles are given in America more readily than in England. I know one president whose staff consists of two typists. Many firms have four vicepresidents. In the magazines you seldom find merely an editor; the others need their share of honor, so they are associate (not assistant) editors. A dentist is called a doctor. I wandered into a university, knowing nobody, and casually asked for the dean. I was asked, "Which dean?" In that building there were enough deans to stock all the English cathedrals. The master of a secret society is royal supreme knight commander. Perhaps I reached the extreme at a theatre in Boston, when I wanted something, I forgot what, and was told that I must apply to the chief of the ushers. He was a mild little man, who had something to do with people getting into their seats, rather a comedown from the pomp and circumstance of his title. Growing interested, I examined my programme, with the following result: It is not a large theatre, but it has a press-representative, a treasurer (box-office clerk), an assistant treasurer (box-office junior clerk), an advertising-agent, our old friend the chief of the ushers, a stage-manager, a head-electrician, a master of properties (in England called props), a leader of the orchestra (pity this - why not president?), and a matron (occupation unknown).1

George might have unearthed some even stranger magnificoes in other playhouses. I once knew an ancient bill-sticker, attached to a Baltimore theatre, who boasted the sonorous title of chief lithographer. Today, in all probability, he would be called a lithographicengineer. For a number of years the Engineering News-Record, the organ of the legitimate engineers, used to devote a column every week to just such uninvited invaders of the craft, and some of the species it unearthed were so fantastic that it was constrained to reproduce their business cards photographically in order to convince its readers that it was not spoofing. One of its favorite exhibits was a bedding manufacturer who first became a mattress-engineer and then promoted himself to the lofty dignity of sleep-engineer. No doubt he would have called himself a morphician if he had thought of it. Another exhilarating specimen was a tractor-driver who advertised for a job as a caterpillar-engineer. A third was a beautician who burst out as an appearance-engineer. In an Atlanta department-store the News-Record found an engineer of good taste - a young woman employed to advise newly-married couples patronizing the furniture department, and elsewhere it unearthed display-engineers who had been lowly window-dressers until some visionary among them made the great leap, demolition-engineers who were once content to be house-wreckers, and sanitary-engineers who had an earlier incarnation as garbage-men. The wedding-engineer is a technician employed

¹ Hail, Columbia!; New York, 1921, pp. 92-3.

by florists to dress churches for hymeneal orgies. The commencement-e. arranges college and high-school commencements; he has lists of clergymen who may be trusted to pray briefly, and some sort of fire-alarm connection, I suppose, with the office of Dr. John H. Finley, the champion commencement orator of this or any other age. The packing-e. is a scientist who crates clocks, radios and chinaware for shipment. The correspondence-e. writes selling-letters guaranteed to pull. The income-e. is an insurance solicitor in a new false-face. The dwelling-e. replaces lost keys, repairs leaky roofs, and plugs up rat-holes in the cellar. The vision-e. supplies spectacles at cut rates. The dehorning-e. attends to bulls who grow too frisky. The Engineering News-Record also discovered a printing-e., a furniture-e., a photographic-e., a financial-e. (a stock-market tipster), a paint-e., a clothing-e., a wrapping-e. (a dealer in wrapping-paper), a matrimonial-e. (a psychoanalyst specializing in advice to the lovelorn), a box-e. (the packing-e. under another name), an automotivepainting-e., a blasting-e., a dry-cleaning-e., a container-e., a furnishing-e., a socio-religious-e. (an uplifter), a social-e. (the same), a feed-plant-e., a milk-e., a surface-protection-e., an analyzation-e., a fiction-e., a psychological-e. (another kind of psychoanalyst), a casement-window-e., a shingle-e., a fumigating-e., a laminatedwood-e., a package-e. (the packing-e. again), a horse-e., a pediatric-e. (a corn-doctor), an ice-e., a recreation-e., a tire-e., a paint-maintenance-e., a space-saving-e., a film-e. (or filmgineer), a criminal-e. (a criminologist), a diet-kitchen-e., a patent-e., an equipment-e., a floorcovering-e., a society-e., a window-cleaning-e., a dust-e., a hospitalization-e., a baking-e., a directory-e., an advertising-e., a golf-e. (a designer of golf-courses), a human-e. (another variety of psychoanalyst), an amusement-e., an electric-sign-e., a household-e., a pageant-e., an idea-e., a ballistics-e., a lace-e. and a sign-e.1 Perhaps the prize should go to the dansant-e. (an agent supplying dancers and musicians to night-clubs), or to the hot-dog-e.2 The exterminat-

Many other varieties of engineers have been unearthed by other fanciers. On Oct. 19, 1935 the New Yorker announced the discovery of a persuasion-e.—"a man sent somewhere by his company to try and sell somebody an idea that would be of advantage to the company." A few months before this the Professional Engineer found a

pajama-e. in the New Yorker's advertising columns. For this last I am indebted to Mr. M. E. McIver, secretary of the American Association of Engineers. In Popular Science, Aug., 1935 a contributor called himself a coffee-e.

2 A curious anticipation of the American misuse of *engineer*, by an Englishman, is to be found in a ing-engineers have a solemn national association and wear a distinguishing pin; whether or not they have tried to restrain non-member rat-catchers from calling themselves engineers I do not know. In 1923 the Engineering News-Record printed a final blast against all the pseudo-engineers then extant, and urged its engineer readers to boycott them. But this boycott apparently came to nothing, and soon thereafter it abated its indignation and resorted to laughter.1 Next to engineer, expert seems to be the favorite talisman of Americans eager to augment their estate and dignity in this world. Very often it is hitched to an explanatory prefix, e.g., housing-, planning-, hog-, erosion-, marketing-, boll-weevil-, or sheep-dip-, but sometimes the simple adjective trained- suffices. When the Brain Trust came into power in Washington, the town began to swarm with such quacks, most of them recent graduates of the far-flung colleges of the land. One day a humorous member of Congress printed an immense list of them in the Congressional Record, with their salaries and academic dignities. He found at least one whose expertness was acquired in a seminary for chiropractors. During the John Purroy Mitchel "reform" administration in New York City (1914-18) so many bogus experts were put upon the pay-roll that special desig-

memorandum submitted to Henry Dundas, first Viscount Melville, by Charles Stuart at the end of 1793. Dundas was Home Secretary from 1791 to 1794, and as such was in charge of the government's relations with the press. "I firmly believe, without any vanity," wrote Stuart, "that I know as much in the engineering of the press as any press engineer in Britain." See The History of the Times; London, 1925, p. 66. But Stuart's attempt to make the manipulation of the press a branch of engineering was not imitated, and there is no mention of pseudo-engineers in any of the English dictionaries.

I See the issue for Jan. 15, 1925. Also, Some "Engineers" I Have Known, by a Civil Engineer, Engineering News-Record, April 19, 1923, p. 701. The engineers themselves have grossly misused the term designating them. In The Structure of the Engineering Profession, by

Theodore J. Hoover, dean of the School of Engineering at Stanford University, Journal of Engineering Education, Jan., 1935, appears an exhaustive report upon what the 10,542 listed in "Who's Who in Engineering" call themselves. Mr. Hoover finds 2518 different titles, including such absurdities sales-e., sales-promotion-e., promotion-e., application-e., college-e., social-e., technical-publicity-e., bankmanagement-e., and export-e. He advocates a complete reform of professional nomenclature, but when I last heard from him he didn't seem to have much hope. On Feb. 21, 1935 the Associated Press reported that the National Society of Professional Engineers was trying to induce the American railroads to call their locomotiveengineers enginemen. The New York Central and the Pennsylvania, it was said, were already doing so. nations for them ran out, and in prodding through the Mitchel records later on Bird S. Coler discovered that a number had been carried on the books as *general experts*.

Euphemisms for things are almost as common in the United States as euphemisms for avocations. Dozens of forlorn little fresh-water colleges are called universities, and almost all pawn-shops are loanoffices. When movie-cathedral came in a few scoffers snickered, but by the generality of fans it was received gravely. City, in England, used to be confined to the seats of bishops, and even today it is applied only to considerable places, but in the United States it is commonly assumed by any town with paved streets, and in the statistical publications of the Federal government it is applied to all places of 8000 or more population. The American use of store for shop, like that of help for servant, is probably the product of an early effort at magnification. Before Prohibition saloons used to be sample-rooms, buffets, exchanges, cafés and restaurants; now they are taverns, cocktail-rooms, taprooms, American-bars, stubes and what not. Not long ago the Furnished-Room Guide undertook to substitute hotelette for rooming-house,1 and in 1928 President E. L. Robins of the National Fertilizer Association proposed that the name of that organization be changed to the National Association of Plant Food Manufacturers or the American Plant Food Association.² In Pasadena the public garbage-wagons bear the legend: Table-Waste Disposal Department. The word studio is heavily overworked; there are billiard-studios, tonsorial-studios, candy-studios, and even shoestudios.3 Nor is this reaching out for sweet and disarming words confined to the lowly. Some time ago, in the Survey, the trade journal of the American uplifters, Dr. Thomas Dawes Eliot, associate professor of sociology in Northwestern University, printed a solemn argument in favor of abandoning all such harsh terms as reformatory, house of refuge, reform school and jail. "Each time a new phrase is developed," he said, "it seems to bring with it, or at least to be accompanied by, some measure of permanent gain, in standards or in viewpoint, even though much of the old may continue to masquerade as the new. The series, alms, philanthropy, relief, rehabilitation, case work, family welfare, shows such a progression from cruder to

¹ See the New Yorker, Jan. 9, 1935, p. 74. The New Yorker expressed a waggish preference for furnishedroomateria.

<sup>United Press report, Nov. 13, 1928.
See Studio, by John T. Krumpelmann, American Speech, Dec., 1926, p. 158.</sup>

more refined levels of charity." Among the substitutions proposed by the learned professor were habit-disease for vice, psycho-neurosis for sin, failure to compensate for disease, treatment for punishment, delinquent for criminal, unmarried mother for illegitimate mother, out of wedlock for bastard, behavior problem for prostitute, colony for penitentiary, school for reformatory, psychopathic hospital for insane asylum, and house of detention for jail. Many of these terms (or others like them) have been actually adopted. Practically all American insane asylums are now simple hospitals, many reformatories and houses of correction have been converted into homes or schools, all almshouses are now infirmaries, county-farms or countyhomes, and most of the more advanced American penologists now speak of criminals as psychopathic personalities. By a law of New York it is provided that "in any local law, ordinance or resolution, or in any public or judicial proceeding, or in any process, notice, order, decree, judgment, record or other public document or paper, the term bastard or illegitimate child shall not be used, but the term child born out of wedlock shall be used in substitution therefor, and with the same force and effect." 2 Meanwhile, such harsh terms as second-hand and ready-made disappear from the American vocabulary. For the former the automobile dealers, who are ardent euphemists, have substituted reconditioned, rebuilt, repossessed and used, and for the latter department-stores offer ready-tailored, ready-towear and ready-to-put-on. For shop-worn two of the current euphemisms are store-used and slightly-second.

The English euphemism-of-all-work used to be lady. Back in the Seventeenth Century the court-poet Edmund Waller thought it quite proper to speak of actresses, then a novelty on the English stage, as lady-actors, and even today the English newspapers frequently refer to lady-secretaries, lady-doctors, lady-inspectors, ladygolfers and lady-champions. Women's wear, in most English shops, is ladies' wear. But this excessive use of lady seems to be going out, and I note women's singles and women's ice hockey on the sports pages of the London Daily Telegraph.3 The Times inclines the same way, but I observe that it still uses Ladies' International to designate a golf tournament, ladies' round and ladies' championship (golf and

¹ A Limbo for Cruel Words, Sur-

vey, June 15, 1922.
2 Laws of 1925, Ch. 515, in force April 9, 1925. I have to thank Mr.

Sylvan Baruch of the New York Bar for calling my attention to this

³ March 29, 1935.

fencing).1 In the United States lady is definitely out of favor. The salesladies of yesteryear are now all saleswomen or salesgirls, and the female superintendent of a hospital is not the lady-superintendent, but simply the superintendent. When women were first elected to Congress, the question as to how they should be referred to in debate engaged the leaders of the House of Representatives. For a while the phrase used was "the lady from So-and-so," but soon "the gentlewoman" was substituted, and this is now employed almost invariably. Its invention is commonly ascribed to the late Nicholas Longworth; if he actually proposed it, it was probably jocosely, for gentlewoman is clumsy, and in some cases, as clearly inaccurate as lady. The English get round the difficulty by using the hon. member in speaking of women M.P.'s, though sometimes the hon. lady is used.² A member who happens to be a military or naval officer is always, by the way, the hon. and gallant member, and a legal officer, say the Attorney-General or Solicitor-General, or a lawyer member in active practise, is the hon. and learned member. The English use gentleman much more carefully than we do, and much more carefully than they themselves use lady. Gentleman-author or gentlemanclerk would make them howl, but they commonly employ gentleman-rider and gentleman-player in place of our amateur, though amateur seems to be gaining favor. Here the man referred to is always actually a gentleman by their standards.

The English have relatively few aliens in their midst, and in consequence they have developed nothing comparable to our huge repertory of opprobrious names for them. They have borrowed our dago for Italian, and they have been calling Frenchmen frogs or froggies since the Napoleonic wars 8 but they quickly dropped the

- 1 April 12, 1935, p. 6. 2 I am indebted for the following to Mr. James Bone, London editor of the Manchester Guardian: "When a Minister answers a question in the House he says Yes, sir or No, sir, whether the question is asked by a man or a woman M.P. The reason is that he is supposed to be addressing the Speaker. There was some laughter among young members when a Minister replied Yes, sir to a question by Lady Astor, but elderly members wrote to the papers at once, rebuking them and
- explaining the procedure," Some time ago I heard the trial of a case in one of the London Law Courts, with the Lord Chief Justice of England, Lord Hewart, on the bench. There were two women on the jury, but when they finished their labors he said "Thank you, gentlemen."
- 3 Frog was picked up by the American troops during the World War, and is occasionally heard in the United States. Its origin is uncertain. Farmer and Henley say that it comes from the French, and

war-time hun and boche for German, they have devised nothing more unpleasant to designate a Scotsman than Sandy, and their worst name for the damned Yankee is simply Yankee. To match these feeble efforts the American language offers:

For Canadian: canuck.1

For Chinese: chink and yellow-belly.

For Czech: bohoe, bohick, bohee, bohunk, bootchkey and cheskey.2

For Englishman: lime-juicer or limey.3

For Filipino: gu-gu.

For German: dutchie, squarehead, heinie, kraut, pretzel and limberger.

For Greek: grease-ball.

For Hungarian: bobunk, bunk and bunkie. For Irishman: mick, harp and turk.4 For Italian: dago, wop, guinea and ginzo.5

For Japanese: skibby.6

For Jew: kike, sheenie, arab, goose and yid.7

formerly meant a Parisian, "the shield of whose city bore three toads, while the quaggy state of the streets gave point to a jest common at Versailles before 1791: Qu'en disent les grenouilles? i.e., What do the Frogs (the people of Paris) say? "But this seems fanciful. In most Englishmen's minds, I believe, the term is connected with the fact that the French eat frogs, which are regarded as inedible in England, or, at all events, are not commonly eaten. The Oxford Dictionary shows that it was applied to Jesuits in 1626 and to Dutchmen in 1652, and Farmer and Henley that it was applied to policemen during the 80's of the last century.

1 Thornton's earliest example is

dated 1855. In The Čechs (Bohemians) America; Boston, 1912, Thomas Čapek says that boboe is obsolete. He calls bohunk a portmanteau word that originated in a confusion between Bohemians and Hungarians. Cheskey is simply the Czech adjective český, mistaken for a noun. Bootchkey is the Czech počkej (wait, hold on), a cry used by Czech boys at play. See also Czech Influence Upon the American Vocabulary, by Monsignor J. B. Dudek, Czecho-Slovak Student Life (Lisle, Ill.) June, 1927, p. 16.

3 A term borrowed from Navy slang. It refers to the fact that, beginning in 1795, lime-juice was issued in the British Navy (and later in the merchant marine) as an anti-scor-

- 4 See Some Current Substitutes for Irish, by W. A. McLaughlin, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. II, 1914. Mr. McLaughlin discusses harp, mick, Paddy, Turk and Tad. Turk is commonly used among the Roman Catholic clergy in the United States to designate a priest or bishop of Irish blood, and especially one born in Ireland. The Irish are thought to be too adept at ecclesiastical politics, and to get an undue proportion of ecclesiastical promotions.
- 5 Gilbert Tucker says that dago goes back to 1832. It is probably a corruption of *Diego*; it was first applied to Mexicans. The etymologies of wop and guinea are uncertain, and frequently disputed.

6 This is used only on the Pacific Coast. It originally meant a Japanese loose woman, but is now applied to all persons of the race.

7 Kike is used to distinguish a Russian, Polish or other Eastern Jew from the German Jews. The origin For Latin-American: spiggoty and spick.1

For Mexican: greaser.2

For Negro: nigger, coon, shine, jigabo, jigaboo, spade, Zulu, skunk, jig, jit, buffalo, boogie, dinge, smoke, moke and snowball.3

For Pole: polack.

For Scandinavian: scowegian, scowoogian, scoovy, sowegian, scandihoovian, scandinoovian, squarehead, snooser and herring choker.4

of the word is uncertain. J. H. A. Lacher, in Kike, American Speech. March, 1926, says it was suggested by the fact that the names of many of the early Eastern Jewish immigrants ended in -ki or -ky. The German Jews called them kikis and this gradually changed to kike. Webster's New International Dictionary (1934) hints that the word may have some relation to keek, a term used in the clothing trade to designate one employed to spy out the designs of rival manufacturers. Keek is an ancient English verb, now confined to Northern dialects. signifying to peep. Its past tense form appears in Chaucer's Miller's Tale (c. 1386) as kiked.

1 Spiggoty, of which spick is a variant, originated at Panama and now means a native of any Latin-American region under American protection, and in general any Latin-American. It is Navy slang, but has come into extensive civilian use. It is a derisive daughter of "No spik Ingles." The Marines in Nicaragua called the natives gooks. Those of Costa Rica are sometimes called goo-goos.

2 Thornton quotes 'from Ruxton's Life in the Far West, 1849: "The Mexicans are called . . . greasers from their greasy appearance."

3 The Oxford Dictionary's first example of nigger is dated 1786, but the word must be older. The American Negroes have many words of their own to designate shades of color, e.g., brown-skin, high-brown and high-yellow. As I have noted in Chapter V, Section 4, they use of ay to designate whites. But this usage is confined to the sophisticates. In 1919, Dr. P. P. Claxton, United States Commissioner of Education, devised a Code of Honorable Names to be subscribed to by the Boy Scouts, whereby they agreed to avoid all opprobrious terms for immigrants. But he omitted the Negroes, and the fact brought forth a protest from them. See Offensive Nicknames, by James W. Johnson, New York Age, Feb. 1, 1919.

4 The effects of race antagonism upon language are still to be investigated. The etymology of slave indicates that the inquiry might yield interesting results. The word French, in English, is largely used to suggest sexual perversion. In German anything Russian is barbarous, and English education hints at flaggellation. The French, for many years, called a certain contraband appliance a capote Anglaise. but after the entente cordiale they changed the name to capote Allemande. The common English name to this day is French letter. See The Criminal, by Havelock Ellis; London, 1910, p. 208. In France a sharper is called a Greek, as drunk as a Pole is a common phrase, and one of the mainstays of low comedy is le truc du brésilien. In most of the non-Prussian parts of Germany cockroaches are called Preussen; in Prussia they are Franzosen; in some places they are Schwaben. Finally, it will be recalled that Benvenuto Cellini, in his autobiography, says that he was accused in a French court of using one of his mistresses in "the Italian manner." See International Libels, by William Power, Glasgow (Scotland) Record, April 10, 1929, and Calling Names in Any Language, by Joachim Joesten, American Mercury, Dec., 1935.

The paucity of aliens in England also makes it unnecessary for the English to pay as much heed as we do to the susceptibilities of organized (and sometimes extremely self-assertive) foreign groups. Thus they are free to laugh at stage Irishmen without bringing down the dudgeon of the Knights of Columbus, and they continue to use the word Jew freely, and even retain the verb to jew in their vocabulary. In the United States, according to Richard Grant White, certain Jews petitioned the publishers of Webster's and Worcester's Dictionaries, so long ago as the early 70's, to omit their definitions of to jew, and the publishers of Worcester's complied. "Webster's New International" (1934) still includes the verb, but with the saving observation that it is "used opprobriously in allusion to practices imputed to the Jews by those who dislike them." To jew down is listed, but it is dismissed as slang. In the Standard Dictionary both to jew and to jew down are called slang. But in the Oxford Dictionary to jew gets the more respectable rank of a colloquialism. White says that there were also protests from Jews in the early 70's, both in England and in the United States, against the use of Jew as an adjective in reference to criminals. Both the New York Times and the London Pall Mall Gazette, he says, apologized for using it, and promised to sin no more. To this he objected, saying,

The Jews are a peculiar people, who, in virtue of that strongly-marked and exclusive nationality which they so religiously cherish, have outlived the Pharaohs who oppressed them. . . . When they are mentioned as Jews no allusion is meant to their faith, but to their race. A parallel case to those complained of would be the saying that a Frenchman or a Spaniard had committed a crime, at which no offense is ever taken. A Jew is a Jew, whether he holds to the faith of his fathers or leaves it.1

But in the United States certain Jews carry on a continuous campaign against the use of Jew, and most American newspapers, in order to get rid of their clamor, commonly use Hebrew instead. Thus, one often encounters such forms as Hebrew comedian, Hebrew holidays and even Hebrew rabbi.2 Some years ago a number

1 Words and Their Uses, new ed.;

1873 he organized the Union of American Hebrew Congregations, and in 1875 he founded the Hebrew Union College. The Jews' Hospital of New York changed its name to Mount Sinai, and in 1874, when a merger of Jewish eleemosynary institutions was effected, it took the name of the United Hebrew Chari-

New York, 1876, p. 131. 2 Mr. Maximilian Hurwitz tells me that this movement originated among the so-called Reform Jews, most of whom were from Germany or Austria. Its leader was the Rev. Isaac M. Wise. In 1854 he established the American Israelite, in

of American Jews, alarmed by such incongruities, issued a "Note on the Word Jew" for the guidance of editors. From it I take the following:

1. The words Jew and Jewish can never be objectionable when applied to the whole body of Israel, or to whole classes within the body, as, for instance, Jewish young men.

2. There can be no objection to the use of the words Jew and Jewish when contrast is being made with other religions: "Jews observe Passover and

Christians Easter."

- 3. The application of the word Jew or Jewish to any individual is to be avoided unless from the context it is necessary to call attention to his religion; in other words, unless the facts have some relation to his being a Jew or to his Jewishness. . . . Thus, if a Jew is convicted of a crime he should not be called a Jewish criminal; and on the other hand, if a Jew makes a great scientific discovery he should not be called an eminent Jewish scientist.
- 4. The word Jew is a noun, and should never be used as an adjective or verb. To speak of Jew girls or Jew stores is both objectionable and vulgar. Jewish is the adjective. The use of Jew as a verb, in to jew down, is a slang survival of the medieval term of opprobrium, and should be avoided altogether.
- 5. The word *Hebrew* should not be used instead of *Jew*. As a noun it connotes rather the Jewish people of the distant past, as the ancient Hebrews. As an adjective it has an historical rather than a religious connotation; one cannot say the Hebrew religion, but the Jewish religion.

Dr. Solomon Solis Cohen of Philadelphia calls my attention to the fact that the American Jews themselves are not consistent in their use of Jew and Hebrew. They have Young Men's Hebrew Associations all over the country, but they also have a Council of Jewish Women and many Jewish Community Centers. They have both a Hebrew Union College and a Jewish Theological Seminary. Their principal weekly is called the American Hebrew and Jewish Tribune. The distinction between the religious significance of Jew and the national significance of Hebrew is by no means always clear. Abraham, says Dr. Solis Cohen, was a Hebrew ('Ibri'), but in the course of time his descendants divided into two moieties, the Israelites and the Judeans, and it is from Judeans that we get our word Jew. "When the Northern Kingdom was destroyed by Shalmaneser the

Federation for the Support of Jewish Philanthropic Societies of New York. The theatrical weekly, Variety, which is owned and mainly staffed by Jews, takes a poke at Hebrew by reducing it to Hebe.

ties. The Eastern Jews, who began to flock in in the early 80's, objected to the abandonment of Jew and Jewish and began to call the German Jews Yuhudi in derision. They were influential enough by 1916 to cause a new amalgamation of Jewish charities to be called the

name Israel, as a territorial designation, disappeared except from poetry and prayer, until it was recently revived by the Zionists, who speak of all Palestine as Erez Israel." 1 Dr. Solis Cohen suggests that the superior respectability of Hebrew in the United States may have been helped by the fact that it was a term of honor among the early Puritan divines, who studied the Hebrew language, and venerated the Hebrew scriptures. The word Jew has been given a dubious significance by "The Merchant of Venice," by the verb to jew, and by various other unpleasant associations. Whatever the fact, the sort of Jew who devotes himself to visiting editors seems to prefer Hebrew. Even in the advertisements of kosher hotels in the Jewish papers the old term, Jewish cooking, has been abandoned. But I have never observed the use of Hebrew cooking in its place: the popular term seems to be the somewhat incredible Hungarian cuisine. Jewish cookery is actually mainly German, with certain Russian and Polish fancies added. In New York the adjective Jewish seems to be regarded as less offensive than the noun Jew. Thus Jewish boy is often used as a sort of euphemism for Jew. The Jews listed in "Who's Who in America" sometimes write Jewish religion in their autobiographical sketches instead of Jew, but most of them omit all direct reference to their faith. Among the Cohens in the volume for 1934-35 I find one who describes himself as a Hebrew, one (only partly Jewish) who says he is an Episcopalian, one who puts down Jewish religion, and eight who are silent.

The Jews are not the only indignant visitors to American editorial offices. In Chicago, in the heyday of Al Capone and his assassins, the local Italians made such vociferous objection to the use of *Italian* in identifying gunmen that the newspapers began to use *Sicilian* instead. Apparently, the complaints had come chiefly from Northern Italians, and most of the gunmen were actually Sicilians or Neapolitans. But there were also thousands of Sicilians and Neapolitans in the Chicago region who were not gunmen, and why they did not protest in their turn I do not know. The Negroes everywhere carry on a double campaign—first, against the use of nigger, and secondly, for the capitalization of Negro. On March 7, 1930, when the New York Times announced that it would capitalize Negro thereafter, there was jubilation in the Negro press. The Association for the

r Private communication, April 10, 1925.

Advancement of Colored People had been advocating the change for a long while, but it was a letter from Major R. R. Moton, president of Tuskegee Institute, that moved the Times. It reported on March o that Negro was being used by most of the principal American magazines, and by a number of leading newspapers, including the Montgomery (Ala.) Advertiser, the Durham (N. C.) Sun, the Columbus (Ga.) Ledger, and the Raleigh (N. C.) News in the South. The rejoicing among the dark brethren was not shared by George S. Schuyler, the Negro iconoclast, who argued in the Pittsburgh Courier 1 that Negro meant a black man, and that but 20% of the Aframericans were actually black. "The truth is," he said, "that the American Negro is an amalgam of Caucasian, Amerindian and African. . . . Geographically, we are neither Ethiopians nor Africans, but Americans. Culturally, we are Anglo-Saxons." But the prevailing view in Aframerica was set forth three years later by the Negro poet and publicist, James Weldon Johnson, as follows:

Many white people, when they wish to be especially considerate, are in doubt about the term most acceptable to Negroes. There are indeed puzzlingly subtle distinctions, to which colored people are more or less sensitive. The adjective colored and the generic designations Negroes, the Negro, and the Negro race are always in order, but a Negro man, a Negro woman, etc. are somewhat distasteful. Negress is considered unpardonable.

7. FORBIDDEN WORDS

The American people, once the most prudish on earth, took to a certain defiant looseness of speech during the World War, and when Prohibition produced its antinomian reaction they went even further. Today words and phrases are encountered everywhere—on the screen, in the theatres, in the comic papers, in the newspapers, on the floor of Congress, and even at the domestic hearth—that were reserved for use in saloons and bagnios a generation ago. A good example is *nerts*, in its sense of denial or disparagement. When it came in, in 1925, its etymology must have been apparent to everyone old enough to vote, yet it seems to have met with no opposition from guardians of the national morals, and in a little while it rivalled

¹ March 15, 1930.

² Along This Way; New York, 1933, p. 375.

wham and wow for popularity in the comic strips. My researches indicate that it was coined in Hollywood, that great fountain of American neologisms. There arose there, in the early 20's, a fashion for using openly the ancient four-letter words that had maintained an underground life since the Restoration. It was piquant, for a while, to hear them from the lovely lips of movie beauties, but presently the grand dames of Hollywood society prohibited them as a shade too raw, and they were succeeded by euphemistic forms, made by changing the vowel of each to e and inserting r after it. Nuts was not one of these venerable words, but it had connotations that made it seem somewhat raw too, so it was changed to nerts, and in that form swept the country. At the same time the college boys and girls launched bushwah, hospice, horse's caboose and a number of other such thinly disguised shockers, and there appeared a considerable amelioration of the old American antipathy to bull, bitch, cock, stallion, and so on. Even pregnant returned to good society.

Victoria was not crowned in England until 1838, but a Victorian movement against naughty words had been in full blast in this country since the beginning of the century. In 1830 or thereabout, as Mrs. Frances Trollope tells us, "a young German gentleman of perfectly good manners . . . offended one of the principal families . . . by having pronounced the word corset before the ladies of it." 1 James Flint, in his "Letters from America," 2 reported that rooster had been substituted for cock (the latter having acquired an indelicate anatomical significance) by 1821; indeed there is a quotation in Thornton's "American Glossary" which indicates that it may have come in by 1809. At the same time haystack began to supplant haycock, and roach to supplant cockroach, and a bit later a young man in Judge T. C. Haliburton's "Sam Slick" was telling a maiden that her brother had become a rooster-swain in the Navy. Bartlett, in his Glossary, says that this excessive delicacy was not most marked among the survivors of the New England Puritans, but in the West. He goes on (c. 1847):

The essentially English word bull is refined beyond the mountains, and perhaps elsewhere, into cow-creature, male-cow, and even gentleman-cow. A friend who resided many years in the West has told me of an incident where a gray-headed man of sixty doffed his hat reverently and apologized to a clergy-

I Domestic Manners of the Americans; London, 1832, Vol. I, p. 132.

man for having used inadvertently in his hearing the plain Saxon term. Malesheep, male-hog, etc. are of a piece with the preceding, to which we may add rooster, he-biddy, game-chicken, etc.¹

When Captain Frederick Marryat, the author of "Mr. Midshipman Easy," came to the United States in 1837, he got into trouble like Mrs. Trollope's German. Gazing upon the wonders of Niagara Falls with a young woman acquaintance, he was distressed to see her slip and bark her shin. As she limped home he asked, "Did you hurt your leg much?" She turned from him "evidently much shocked or much offended," but presently recovered her composure and told him gently that a leg was never mentioned before ladies: the proper word was limb.2 Even chickens ceased to have legs, and another British traveler, W. F. Goodmane, was "not a little confused on being requested by a lady, at a public dinner-table, to furnish her with the first and second joint." 8 In the same way pantaloons became nether-garments or inexpressibles, stockings yielded to hose, antmire was substituted for pismire, breast became bosom, lady took the place of the too frankly sexual wife, bull became not only cowcreature (more commonly, cow-critter) but also seed-ox and Jonathan, shirt was forbidden, to go to bed became to retire, servant girls ceased to be seduced and began to be betrayed, and stomach, then under the ban in England, was transformed, by some unfathomable magic, into a euphemism for the whole region from the nipples to the pelvic arch. The 30's and 40's saw the Golden Age of euphemism. Bitch, ram, boar, stallion, buck and sow virtually disappeared from the written language, and even mare was looked upon as rather racy. The biblical ass, because the prevailing American pronunciation made it identical with arse, was displaced by jackass, jack or donkey, and to castrate became to change, to arrange or to alter, even on the farm. Chair was abandoned for seat, which presently began to be used for backside too, and so became obscene itself. To use the word shirt in the presence of a woman was "an open insult." 4 The very

I A Glossary of Words and Phrases Usually Regarded as Peculiar to the United States; New York, 1848, intro.

² A Diary in America; Phila., 1839. The passage is reprinted in American Social History as Recorded by British Travellers, by Allan Nevins; New York, 1923, p. 245.

³ Seven Years in America; London, 1845, p. 16. I borrow this from Noah Webster as a Euphemist, by Allen Walker Read, *Dialect Notes*, Vol. VI, Pt. VIII, 1934.

⁴ John Graham Brooks: As Others See Us; New York, 1908, p. 11.

word woman became a term of reproach, comparable to the German mensch, and the uncouth female took its place.1 But even female, after a while, acquired a bad name, and when Vassar was established in 1861, under the name of Vassar Female College, the redoubtable Mrs. Sarah Josepha Hale, editor of Godey's Lady's Book, protested loudly, and female was expunged.2 Any hint of sex, in those delicate days, was forbidden. Even the word decent, if applied to a woman was indecent.3 The Americans, according to Mrs. Trollope, rejected Shakespeare as obscene, and one of them said to her: "If we must have the abomination of stage plays, let them at least be marked by the refinement of the age in which we live." 4 When she mentioned Pope's "The Rape of the Lock" he muttered "The very title!" In 1833 Noah Webster actually undertook to bowdlerize the Bible. His version substituted breast for teat, in embryo for in the belly, peculiar members for stones (Leviticus XXI, 20), smell for stink, to nurse or to nourish for to give suck, lewdness for fornication, lewd woman or prostitute for whore, to go astray for to go a-whoring, and impurities, idolatries and carnal connection for whoredom. He got rid of womb by various circumlocutions, and expunged many verses altogether, as beyond the reach of effective bowdlerization.5 This mania for the chaste afflicted even the terminology of the arts and sciences. For example, the name of the device in which the percussion-cap of a muzzleloading gun was fixed and exploded was changed from nipple to cone. It so appeared in "The Prairie Traveler," by Randolph B. Macy (1859) - greatly to the indignation of Sir Richard Burton, who brought out an English edition of the book in 1863. "The American cone," he explained in a footnote, "is the English nipple. Beg pardon for the indelicacy! Our cousins, as we term them, so far

2 The Lady of Godey's, by Ruth E. Finley; Phila., 1931, p. 205. 3 See Squeamish Cant, in Words and

Their Uses, by Richard Grant White; new ed.; New York, 1876, p. 176 ff. Also, Inexpressibles, Unmentionables, Unwhisperables, and Other Verbal Delicacies of Mid-Nineteenth Century Americans, by Mamie Meredith, American Speech, April, 1930. 4 Domestic Manners of the Ameri-

cans, quoted by Nevins, p. 162. 5 Noah Webster as a Euphemist, by

Allen Walker Read, Dialect Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. VIII, 1934.

I Female, of course, was epidemic in England too, but White says that it was "not a Briticism," and so early as 1839 the Legislature of Maryland expunged it from the title of a bill "to protect the reputation of unmarried females," substituting women, on the ground that female "was an Americanism in that application."

from calling a spade a spade, explain a cock by rooster, a cockchafer by chafer, and a cockroach by roach." 1

After the Civil War there was a recurrence of delicacy, and many euphemisms that still adorn the American newspapers came into use, e.g., interesting (or delicate) condition, criminal operation, house of ill (or questionable) repute, disorderly house, sporting house, statutory offense, fallen woman, felonious attack, serious charge and criminal assault. Syphilis became transformed into blood-poison, specific blood-poison and secret disease, and it and gonorrhea into social diseases. Various French terms, enceinte and accouchement among them, were imported to conceal the fact that careless wives occasionally became pregnant and had lyings-in. Richard Grant White, between 1867 and 1870, launched several attacks upon these ludicrous gossamers of speech, and particularly upon enceinte, limb and female, but only female succumbed. The passage of the Comstock Postal Act, in 1873, greatly stimulated the search for euphemisms. Once that amazing law was upon the statute-book and Comstock himself was given the inquisitorial powers of a post-office inspector, it became positively dangerous to print certain ancient and essentially decent English words. To this day the effects of that old reign of terror are still visible. We yet use toilet, retiring-room, washroom and public comfort station in place of franker terms,2 and such idiotic terms as red-light district, statutory offense and criminal operation are daily encountered. Now and then a really amusing curiosity turns up. I am informed by a correspondent that in 1933 the pious Los Angeles Times printed sow-bosom in lieu of sow-belly. In 1931 the Chattanooga police, on arresting a man for picking up a streetwalker on the street, announced that he was charged with "walking the streets accompanied by a woman," and it was so reported in the local papers.8 In 1925 or thereabout the Atlantic Monthly gave a cruel blow to the moribund Puritan Kultur by printing the word

¹ p. 109. I am indebted here to Mr. Bernard De Voto.

² The French pissoir is still regarded as indecent in America, and is seldom used in England, but it has gone into most of the Continental languages, though the French themselves avoid it in print, and use the inane Vespasien in place of it. But all the Continental languages have their euphemisms. Most of

them, for example, use W.C., an abbreviation of the English water-closet, as a euphemism. The whole subject of national pruderies, in both act and speech, remains to be investigated.

³ Euphemism, Monroe (Mich.) Evening News, Nov. 21, 1931. See also A Note on Newspaper English, by Nelson Antrim Crawford, Kansas Magazine (an annual), 1935.

whore (as I recall it, in an article by Stuart Pratt Sherman), but when, in 1934, a play called "Within the Gates" was presented in New York, with one of its characters appearing simply as "The Young Whore," three of the local papers changed the designation, and another avoided it by omitting the cast. The Sun changed it to "The Young Prostitute," the World-Telegram to "The Young Harlot," and the American to "A Young Girl Who Has Gone Astray." It should be added that the Times, Post and Telegraph printed it boldly, and that the Herald-Tribune, which omitted the cast, gave the word in the third paragraph of its review.1 Back in 1916 even virgin was a forbidden word, at least in Philadelphia. On February 26 of that year a one-act play of mine, "The Artist," was presented at the Little Theatre there, and the same day the Public Ledger printed specimens of the dialogue. One of the characters was called "A Virgin," but the Ledger preferred "A Young Girl." 2 In September, 1933, at the time of the Brain Trust's unfortunate effort to reduce the hog population of the Middle West, the Iowa Farmers' Union met at Des Moines and passed a resolution condemning "the scheme to raise livestock prices by slaughtering pigs and enceinte sows." 8 Hollywood, always under heavy pressure from official and volunteer censors, has its own Index Expurgatorius, augmented from time to time. It includes, as permanent fixtures, broad (for woman), chippy, cocotte, courtesan, eunuch, fairy (in the sense of homosexual), floozy, harlot, hot mamma, huzzy, madam (in the sense of brothel-keeper), nance, pansy, slut, trollop, tart and wench, and, of course, whore. Sex is also forbidden, as is the adjective sexual. Jew may be used only in complimentary connotations, and kike, yid, dago and nigger are prohibited altogether. God must be used circumspectly, and Gawd is under the ban. So are Lord ("when used profanely"), Christ, guts, hell, hellcat, Jesus, Geez, son-of-a- -, S.O.B., louse and punk. Traveling salesman may

I See The Theatre, by Robert Benchley, New Yorker, Nov. 3, 1934, reprinted in American Speech,

Feb., 1935, p. 76.

2 Perhaps because of the Quaker influence, Philadelphia has always been one of the most Pecksniffian of American cities. Early in 1918, when a patriotic moving-picture entitled To Hell With the Kaiser was sent on tour under government

patronage, the word hell was carefully toned down, on the Philadelphia billboards, to b--.

3 Associated Press dispatch, Sept. 21. I have to thank Mr. Lewis Hawkins of the Atlanta Constitution for calling my attention to it.

4 This prohibition of two euphemistic forms of son-of-a-bitch, of course, includes the term itself.

not be used "where reference is made to a farmer's daughter," and liar is reserved for scenes "in a light comedy vein." Even the word virtuous is to be avoided, as is bum. The radio is almost as prudish as Hollywood. Late in 1934 its syndics actually forbade the verb to do in songs, feeling that it was "a bit too suggestive." 2

Ever since the beginning of the Sex Hygiene movement, c. 1910, syphilis and gonorrhea have been struggling for recognition, but they work their way into the newspapers only slowly. At intervals vigorous protests against this prudery come from medical men. In 1918 the Army Medical Corps complained that the newspapers emasculated its bulletins regarding venereal disease in the Army by using euphemisms. One of the newspaper trade journals thereupon sought the opinions of editors upon the subject, and all of them save one declared against the use of the two words. One editor put the blame upon the Postoffice. Another reported that "at a recent conference of the Scripps Northwest League editors" it was decided that "the use of such terms as gonorrhea, syphilis, and even venereal diseases would not add to the tone of the papers, and that the term vice diseases can be readily substituted." 8 On April 29, 1919 the New York Tribune printed an article quoting with approbation a declaration by Major W. A. Wilson, of the Division of Venereal Control in the Merchant Marine, that "the only way to carry on the campaign [i.e., against venereal disease] is to look the evil squarely in the face and fight it openly," and yet the word venereal was carefully avoided throughout the article, save in the place where Major Wilson's office was mentioned. Whereupon a medical journal made the following comment:

The words "the only way to carry on the campaign is to look the evil squarely in the face and fight it openly" are true, but how has the *Tribune* met the situation? Its subhead speaks of preventable disease; in the first paragraph social diseases are mentioned; elsewhere it alludes to certain dangerous diseases, communicable diseases and diseases, but nowhere in the entire article does it come out with the plain and precise designation of syphilis and gonorrhea as venereal diseases.⁴

r See The Silver Screen, by Roger Whately, Jack O'Donnell and H. W. Hanemann; Los Angeles, 1935, p. 244. I suspect that the prohibition of bum is due to the fact that the word is obscene in England.

2 Rudy Vallee's Music Notebook, Radioland, March, 1935, p. 35. Mr. Vallee says that he was thus deprived of the use of one of his "greatest stage and radio vehicles, Let's Do It." He adds that Do It Again and You Do Something to Me were also prohibited.

3 Pep, July, 1918, p. 8.
 4 Social Hygiene Bulletin, May, 1919, p. 7.

In 1933 the newspapers were full of articles about improvements in the use of malaria for treating tertiary syphilis, but few if any of them mentioned the name of the disease. According to the Nation,1 the New York Times spoke of it "only as 'a dread form of insanity' caused by 'a blood disease.'" The radio shares this prudery, and in 1934 it was belabored for it by Dr. Thomas Parran, Ir., health commissioner of New York State, who resigned from the public health committee of the National Advisory Council on Radio in Education as an earnest of his dudgeon. In the manuscript of an address that he had planned to deliver from Station CBS on November 19 the word syphilis was stricken out by the station Comstocks.2 When the rejuvenation quackery began to engage the newspapers, in 1924 or thereabout, they found it necessary to invent a new set of euphemisms. So far as I have been able to discover, not one of them ever printed the word testicles. A few ventured upon gonads, but the majority preferred glands or interstitial glands, with sex glands as an occasional variation.3 Even among medical men there is a faction which hesitates to violate the national canons of delicacy. Dr. Morris Fishbein, editor of the Journal of the American Medical Association, tells me that not a few of them, in communications to their colleagues, still state the fact that a patient has syphilis by saying that he has a specific stomach or a specific ulcer, and that the Journal once received a paper discussing the question, "Can a positive woman have a negative baby? "-i.e., can a woman with a positive Wassermann, indicating syphilis, have a baby free from the disease? In all matters relating to the human body, of course, euphemisms are common and some of them are very old. The tendency to conceal the disagreeable under Latin names, which began with Chaucer's use of hernia for rupture, shows itself in our own time in the invention of such terms as halitosis. Sometimes French is used instead, as in the following advertisement in the New York Times:

"What can I wear that will make me flat enough for the new suits?" This question is most frequently asked by women who have large derrières. And we have a very specific answer for their problem. It takes a panelled corset with clever fashioning at the hips and waist to do the trick. To flatten the rear without making you look broad.4

¹ May 31, 1933, p. 599. 2 Variety, Nov. 27, 1934, p. 37.

³ Hygeia, Feb., 1925, p. 107. Interstitial glands, of course, was used inaccurately.

⁴ Oct. 2, 1935. The advertiser was Bonwit Teller.

But outside the fields of anatomy, physiology and pathology, in which concepts of the disgusting may reinforce concepts of the indecent, the prudery once so universal in the United States has been abating since the World War. In speech, if not in writing, words and phrases are used freely that were formerly under a strict ban, even in bordelloes. I have given some examples in the first part of this section. Even the unutterable four-letter words, as I have shown, have begun to edge back in thin disguises. A learned and extremely interesting discussion of the most infamous of them, with sidelights on the others, by Allen Walker Read, of the staff of the "Dictionary of American English on Historical Principles," was published in American Speech in 1934.1 To find the hyper-delicacy of the Grant Era in full flower one must resort to the remoter and more backward parts of the country - for example, the Ozark region. Mr. Vance Randolph reports that among the Ozarkians, bull, boar, buck, ram, jack and stallion are still taboo, and that even such harmless compounds as bullfrog and buckshot are regarded askance. So are all words involving cock, e.g., cock-eyed, cock-sure and even the proper names. Cox and Hitchcock. A cock, to the hillmen, is either a rooster or a crower. A stallion is a stable-horse, a bastard is a woodscolt, and a bull is a cow-brute. Certain everyday words are avoided whenever possible, e.g., stone, maiden, virgin, piece, bed, decent, bag, leg, stocking, tail, breast: for one reason or another they suggest blushful ideas. "Even love," says Mr. Randolph, "is considered more or less indecent, and the mountain people never use the term in its ordinary sense, but nearly always with some degrading or jocular connotation. If a hillman does admit that he loved a woman he means only that he caressed and embraced her - and he usually says that he loved her up." But

a woman who would be highly insulted if the word bull was used in her presence will employ Gawd-a-mighty and Jesus Christ freely as expletives; these words are not regarded as profane, and are used by the most staunch Christians

I An Obscenity Symbol, Dec., 1934, p. 264 ff. Mr. Read is also the author of Lexical Evidence From Folk Epigraphy in Western North America; Paris, 1935, a sober and very interesting study of the written obscenity encountered on the walls of filling-station "rest-rooms" during "an extensive sight-seeing trip throughout the Western United States and Canada in the

Summer of 1928." The four-letter words are treated very warily in the dictionaries. Even the great Oxford omits those of sexual significance, though it lists all those relating to excretions. Webster's New International admits arse and piss (the latter of which occurs seven times in the King James Bible), but bars all the rest.

in the backwoods districts. Women of the very best families give tittie to their babies in public, even in church, without the slightest embarrassment. Such inelegant terms as spit and belch are used freely by the hill women, and I have heard the wife of a prominent man tell her daughter to git a rag an' snot thet young-un, meaning to wipe the child's nose. . . . This same woman never uses leg and breast in the presence of strange men.¹

Elsewhere in the Bible Belt the old taboos seem to be breaking down. In 1934 Dr. J. M. Steadman, Jr., of Emory University, Atlanta, Ga., undertook a study of the degree of prudery surviving among the students there incarcerated, most of them Georgians and probably a majority Methodists or Baptists. Altogether, 166 males and 105 females were examined, or 361 in all. Two of them proved their evangelical upbringing by listing the word obscene as itself "coarse or obscene," and five added rotten, but the remarkable thing about the inquiry was the high degree of tolerance that it revealed. Thus, of the 361, only 24 banned whore as coarse or obscene, though two others thought it "of a sinister or unpleasant suggestion." Some of the other votes were: against ass, 10; against bull, 5; against knocked-up, 2; against pimp, 2; against garter, 2; against harlot, 3; against teat or tit, 2. Rather curiously, the merely vulgar words got the highest adverse votes, e.g., belch, 25; sweat, 33; puke, 51; guts, 50; stink, 69; belly, 87. Unfortunately, Dr. Steadman allowed his subjects to make up their own lists, and so the more delicate of them omitted the worst words altogether; moreover, he himself expunged a few words in his report. Nevertheless, it shows a considerable ad-, vance in antinomianism in the heart of the Gospel country. "The fear of using words of an indecent meaning or suggestion," he says, "is opposed, in different degrees for different students, by another powerful factor in the student's language consciousness, the fear of appearing affected or sissy by avoiding the blunt, direct word for even repulsive acts or ideas." 2 The inclusion of euphemisms in some of the lists was of considerable significance. Thus, nerts got six adverse votes, halitosis got five, to pet got two, to neck got eight, and bussy got fourteen. To burp and to lay do not seem to have been

Verbal Modesty in the Ozarks, Dialect Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. I, 1928.
This paper is reprinted in Mr. Randolph's The Ozarks; New York, 1931, p. 78 ff.
A Study of Verbal Taboos, Ameri-

2 A Study of Verbal Taboos, American Speech, April, 1935, and Language Taboos of American College *Students, English Studies, June,

1935. See also the chapter on Euphemisms in Words and Their Ways in English Speech, by J. B. Greenough and G. L. Kittredge: New York, 1901, and the chapter on Euphemism and Hyperbole in English Words and Their Background, by George H. McKnight; New York, 1923.

included; maybe they had not yet reached Georgia. Euphemisms, says Dr. Leonard Bloomfield in "Language," "may in time become too closely associated with the meaning, and in turn become taboo. Our word whore, cognate with the Latin carus (dear), must have been at one time a polite substitute for some word now lost."

An American visiting England discovers quickly that different words are under the ban on the two sides of the ocean. Knocked-up, which means pregnant in the United States and is avoided as vulgar even where pregnant itself would be tolerated, has only the harmless significance of exhausted in England. Screw, in England, means pay. But bum means the backside, and is thus taboo, though the English use bum-bailiff. An Englishman restricts the use of bug to the Cimex lectularius, or common bedbug, and hence the word has highly impolite connotations. All other crawling things he calls insects. An American of my acquaintance once greatly offended an English friend by using bug for insect. The two were playing billiards one Summer evening in the Englishman's house, and various flying things came through the window and alighted on the cloth. The American, essaying a shot, remarked that he had killed a bug with his cue. To the Englishman this seemed a slanderous reflection upon the cleanliness of his house.2 Not so long ago stomach was in the English Index, and such euphemisms as tummy and Little Mary were used in its place, but of late it has recovered respectability. Dirt, to designate earth, and closet, in the sense of a cupboard, are seldom used by an Englishman. The former always suggests filth to him, and the latter has obtained the limited sense of water-closet. The more important English newspapers, compared to their American analogues, are very plain-spoken, but the popular sheets have a repertory of euphemisms to match those in use on this side of the ocean. The sheet of "Don'ts For Reporters and Sub-Editors" of the London Daily Express, for example, ordains that was cited as corespondent is to be used to avoid adultery, and that betrayed or deceived is to be used in place of seduced. Here are some other specimens, all dredged up from the News of the World:

1 New York, 1933, p. 401. I take this reference from Steadman.

Howard, a compound made up of the title and family name of the Dukes of Norfolk. The wits of London at once doubled his misery by adopting Norfolk-Howard as a Euphemism for bedbug.

² On June 26, 1862, an Englishman named Joshua Bug, laboring under the odium attached to the name, advertised in the London Times that he had changed it to Norfolk-

For prostitute: woman of a certain class. For pregnant: in a certain condition.

For performing an abortion: producing a certain state.

For pandering: having, for purposes of gain, exercised influence over the movements of the girl victim.

For homosexuality: improper assault.

For rape: improper assault or to interfere with.

Finally, there is the euphemistic address which begins every letter. In the United States, says Mrs. Emily Post,¹ "the most formal beginning of a social letter is My dear Mrs. Smith," but "in England Dear Mrs. Smith is more formal." Archibald Marshall, the English novelist, says that when he first visited the United States My dear struck him "as effusive coming from strangers," and Dear "seemed slightly chilling from intimate friends," but that on reflection he concluded "that our usage must have precisely the same effect upon Americans." ² But in this matter the rules are not rigid, and though the more conservative English seldom use the American forms, the English forms are not uncommonly encountered in this country.³

8. EXPLETIVES

Perhaps the most curious disparity between the vocabulary of the two tongues is presented by bloody. This word is entirely without improper significance in America, but in England it is regarded as indecent, with overtures of the blasphemous. The sensation produced in London when George Bernard Shaw put it into the mouth of the elegant Mrs. Patrick Campbell in his play, "Pygmalion," will be remembered. "The interest in the first English performance," said the New York Times, "centered in the heroine's utterance of this banned word. It was waited for with trembling, heard shudderingly, and presumably, when the shock subsided, interest dwindled." But in New York, of course, it failed to cause any stir. Just why it is viewed so shudderingly by the English is one of the mysteries of the

<sup>Etiquette; New York, 1922, p. 455.
Dear and My Dear, London Mercury, Sept., 1922.</sup>

³ For the history of such forms in England since 1418 see A History of Modern Colloquial English, by H. C. Wyld; London, 1920, p. 379. This is a very interesting and valu-

able book. Unfortunately, using it is made a burden by the lack of an index.

⁴ April 14, 1914. In 1920 the English Licenser of Stage Plays ordered bloody expunged from a play dealing with labor. See English, Oct., 1920, p. 403.

language. It came in during the latter half of the Seventeenth Century, and remained innocuous for nearly a hundred years. Various amateur etymologists have sought to account for its present evil fame by giving it loathsome derivations, sometimes theological and sometimes catamenial, but the professional etymologists all agree that these derivations are invalid, though when it comes to providing a better one they unhappily disagree. Some hold that bloody was born of the rich young bloods who broke windows, upset sedan-chairs and beat up watchmen in the reign of Anne. Others argue that it goes back to the infancy of the Germanic languages, and is a brother to the German blut, often used in such combinations as blutarm, meaning bloody poor. And yet others think it is a degenerate form of either 's blood or by 'r Lady, both of them favorite oaths in Shakespeare's day, and then thought of as quite harmless. But none of these derivations justifies the present infamy of the word. Richard Henry Dana, who loved saline speech, put it into "Two Years Before the Mast" in 1840, but it failed to catch on in this country. In the Motherland, however, it has continued a lush life under cover, and the more it is denounced by the delicate, the more it is cherished by the vulgar. It is in constant use as a counterword, and has become a general intensive with no ponderable meaning - in Dean W. R. Inge's phrase, simply a sort of notice that a noun may be expected to follow.1

In England frequent efforts have been made to put down profanity, as distinct from obscenity. There was one so long ago as the first quarter of the Seventeenth Century, and B. A. P. Van Dam, in his study of the text of "Hamlet," shows that it even went to the length of bowdlerizing Shakespeare. The early versions of "Hamlet," published during the Bard's lifetime, were liberally besprinkled with the oaths of the time, but in the First Folio, printed seven years after his death, many of them were greatly toned down. Thus God was changed to Heauen (i.e., Heaven), 's wounds (God's wounds) was changed to come, and 's bloud (God's blood) to why. 'S wounds and 's bloud were regarded as innocuous when Shakespeare wrote them: like bloody, they had lost all literal significance. By 1623 both were under the ban. Later on 's wounds enjoyed a revival in the shape of zounds, to flourish for a century and a half and then disap-

guistic Fashion, by the same author, American Speech, Dec., 1934.

I See A Note on Bloody, by Robert Withington, American Speech, Oct., 1930, and Children of Lin-

pear. By 1823, according to an anonymous author of the time, quoted by George H. McKnight in "Modern English in the Making," the only oath surviving in English circles having "any pretension to fashion" was by Jove. But on lower levels bloody was already making its way. In the United States, probably because of the decay of the legal concept of blasphemy, there has been little organized opposition to profanity. The New England Puritans attempted to punish it, but only half-heartedly; for, as one of the earliest English travelers in America, Ned Ward, reported in 1699, they were themselves, "notwithstanding their sanctity, . . . very prophane in their common dialect." In the more southerly colonies there must have been an even more lavish use of cuss-words. The Rev. Jonathan Boucher wrote home from Maryland on August 7, 1759, that visitors there were forced "to hear obscene conceits and broad expressions, and from this there are times w'n no sex, no rank, no conduct can exempt you," and on September 12, 1744, Dr. Alexander Hamilton. a Scottish physician then lodged in a New Jersey inn, recorded in his diary:

I was waked this morning before sunrise with a strange bawling and hollowing without doors. It was the landlord ordering his Negroes, with an imperious and exalted voice. In his orders the known term or epithet of son-of-a-bitch was often repeated.²

The Holy Name Society, which has flourished among American Catholics since the 1870's, has hardly done more than discourage the use of Jesus by its members; they appear to employ hell and damn for their daily occasions quite as freely as the admittedly damned. In this they follow the example of the Father of His Country, who was extremely skillful in the use of these expletives. In 1931, writing in American Speech, L. W. Merryweather observed that "hell fills so large a part in the American vulgate that it will probably be worn out in a few years more," and in anticipation of this catastrophe he suggested that the divines of the land be invited to propose a suitable successor to it. But it continues in daily use, and there is every reason to believe that it will go on indefinitely. It is not

¹ New York, 1928, p. 506.

² For these references I am indebted to British Recognition of American Speech in the Eighteenth Century, by Allen Walker Read, *Dialect Notes*, Vol. VI, Pt. VI, July, 1933, p. 328.

³ Hell in American Speech, American Speech, Aug., 1931. See also a commentary on the foregoing by J. R. Schultz, American Speech, Feb., 1933, p. 81, and Hellion, by Willa Roberts, American Speech, Feb., 1932, p. 240.

only employed constantly in its naked form; it is also a part of almost countless combinations, many of them unknown to the English. Mr. Merryweather printed a long list of such combinations, and others have been published since by other philologians. Hell-bent, hellbender, hell-roaring, hell-raiser, hellion - all these are Americanisms, and the English dictionaries know them not. The use of hell in such phrases as "He ran like hell" is apparently an English invention, but when like hell is put first, as in "Like hell you will," the form is American. So is "The hell you say." So is the use of hell as a verb, as in "to hell around." So is the adjective hellishing, as "He was in a hellishing hurry." So is the general use of hell as an intensive, without regard to its logical meaning, as in "It was colder than hell," "The pitcher was wilder than hell," "What in hell did you say?" and "Hell, yes." So is its use as a common indicator of inferiority or disagreeableness, as in "A hell of a drink," "A hell of a note," and "A hell of a Baptist." Hell also appears in many familiar American phrases - for example, "till hell freezes over," "from hell to breakfast," "hell-bent for election," "there was hell to pay," "hell and high water," "hell and red niggers," and "like a snowbird in hell." I turn to Farmer and Henley's monumental dictionary of English slang, and find only such flabby forms as to give hell, hell-for-leather, to play (or kick up) hell, and hell and scissors (this last, God save us all, credited falsely to the United States!). An American list would be much longer, and on it there would be a great many lovely specimens, most of them known to every American schoolboy.

Robert Graves, in his "Lars Porsena, or The Future of Swearing," published in 1927,¹ reported sadly that there was then "a notable decline of swearing and foul language" in England. The lower classes, he said, found bloody sufficient for all ordinary purposes, with bastard and three obscene auxiliaries to help out on great occasions. One of these auxiliaries resembles bloody in that it is not generally considered obscene in the United States. It is bugger. When I was a small boy my father used it often, as an affectionate term for any young male, and if it shows any flavor of impropriety today, the fact must be due to English influence. All three auxiliaries are discussed at length in "Songs and Slang of the British Soldier, 1914–1918," by John Brophy and Eric Partridge.² They say of one of them, a word of sexual significance:

From being an intensive to express strong emotion it became a merely conventional excrescence. By adding -ing and -ingwell an adjective and an adverb were formed and thrown into every sentence. It became so common that an effective way for the soldier to express emotion was to omit this word. Thus, if a sergeant said, "Get your —ing rifles!" it was understood as a matter of routine. But if he said "Get your rifles!" there was an immediate implication of urgency and danger.

All expletives tend to be similarly dephlogisticated by over-use. "Less than a generation ago, when I was at school," says H. W. Seaman, "blast was accounted a most corrosive blasphemy, and I once did a hundred lines for using it vainly. Today it is as innocent as blow or bother, and only a trifle stronger." Bloody seems to be going the same way, though the English still profess to be shocked by it. There are many stories in point. One concerns two workmen who stopped before a poster set up in one of David Lloyd-George's pre-war campaigns. It read "One Man, One Vote." "What does that mean?" asked one workman of the other. "It means," said the other, "one bloody man, one bloody vote." The explanation somehow sufficed. The two bloodys were essentially meaningless, but they translated the sentiment into a familiar pattern, and so helped to its comprehension. There has been no revival of the old English oaths in England since Mr. Graves printed his plaint. In a former and more spacious day Goddam was used so freely by Englishmen that they were known as Goddams all over the Continent, but now the term is so rare among them that when it is heard the police take a serious view of it. It went out in Victorian days, and an English friend in the middle forties tells me that he was greatly shocked when, as a boy of ten, he heard his father use it. He says it seemed as quaint to him as egad or odsblood. The American custom of inserting goddam into other words, to give them forensic force, is generally believed by the learned to have been launched by the late Joseph Pulitzer, of the New York World, a great master of profanity in three languages. The story current is that he resorted to it in order to flabbergast the managing editor of the World, Foster Coates. "The trouble with you, Coates," he is said to have roared, "is that you are too indegoddampendent!" Another version makes Coates the inventor. According to it, Pulitzer sent out an unwelcome order, and Coates replied to his catchpoll: "Tell Mr. Pulitzer that

I Let's Stick To Our Own Bad Language, London Sunday Chronicle, Jan. 26, 1930. Mr. Seaman, in this

article, discusses "the growing use of American swear-words by British swearers."

I'm under no obligoddamnation to do that, and I won't." This ingenious device has been borrowed by the Australians, who are great admirers of the American language, but they use bloody instead of goddam, no doubt as a concession to Empire solidarity. Mr. David B. Dodge of San Francisco sends me this specimen: "It is immabloodymaterial to me." It will be observed that the ma is duplicated, probably for the sake of euphony. The insertion of infixes into Jesus Christ also seems to be an American invention. The common form is Jesus H. Christ, but for special emphasis Jesus H. Particular Christ is sometimes used. Holy jumping Jesus is also heard.

Swearing, of course, is not the prerogative of all men. Many lack the natural gift for it, and others are too timorous. For such toters of inferiority complexes there is a repertory of what may be called denaturized profanity. For spoken discourse there are darn, goldarn, doggone, jiminy, gosh, golly, gee-whiz, holy gee, son-of-a-gun and their congeners, and for written discourse damphool, damfino, belluva and s.o.b., by the Y.W.C.A. out of the tea-shoppe.2 All-fired for hell-fired, gee-whiz for Jesus, tarnal for eternal, tarnation for damnation, cuss for curse, holy gee for holy Jesus, goldarned for God-damned, by golly for by God, great Scott for great God, and what'ell for what the hell are all Americanisms, but by gosh and by gum are English. Tornton has traced all-fired to 1835, tarnation to 1801 and tarnal to 1790; Tucker says that blankety is also American. By golly has been found in England so early as 1843, but it probably originated in America; down to the Civil War it was the characteristic oath of the Negro slaves - at all events in the literature of the time. The English have a number of euphemistic surrogates for bloody - among them, bleeding, sanguinary and ruddy. These, in their turn, have become somewhat raffish, and it would be a grave breach of etiquette to use any of them in a letter to the Archbishop of Canterbury. So long ago as 1887 W. S. Gilbert shocked the more

1 See Reporters Become of Age, by Isabelle Keating, Harper's Magazine, April, 1935, p. 601.

of the Western Union. After I had solemnly filed a brief in defense of the term, Mr. T. W. Carroll, general manager of the Eastern Division, as solemnly decided that the company "must take the position that, if there is any question or doubt on the subject, the safest plan is to request the sender to so modify his language as to make his message acceptable."

² Both of the American telegraph companies have rules strictly forbidding the acceptance of telegrams containing profane words. Some time ago a telegram of mine containing the harmless adjective damndest was refused by both. I appealed to the higher authorities

refined moiety of London theatre-goers by calling one of his operas "Ruddigore." Darn and doggone are both American inventions. They came into use during the first half of the last century. The late Professor George Philip Krapp gave over a long essay to proving, as he thought, that darn comes from dierne, an early English adjective signifying secret, dark, lamentable,1 but Dr. Louise Pound has disposed of his case in a paper that is shorter but far more convincing.2 She shows that the origin of the term is actually to be sought in tarnal, a corruption of eternal very common at the end of the Eighteenth Century. From tarnal arose tarnation, and presently tarnation was wedded to damnation, and the offspring of the union was darned, a virtuous sister to damned. Sometimes darned appears as derned. The English form used to be demmed, but it survives only historically, in deminition bow-wows. Used today, it would sound as archaic as zounds. Doggone seems to be a blend form of dog on it; in fact, it is still often used with it following. It is thus a brother to the old English phrase, "a pox upon it," but is considerably more decorous.

But darn and doggone are hardly more than proofs that profanity is not an American art. The chief national reliances are still hell and damn, both of them badly shop-worn. To support them we have nothing properly describable as a vocabulary of indecency. Our maid-of-all-work in that department is son-of-a-bitch, which seems as pale and ineffectual to a Slav or a Latin as fudge does to us. There is simply no lift in it, no shock, no sis-boom-ah. The dumbest policeman in Palermo thinks of a dozen better ones between breakfast and the noon whistle. The term, indeed, is so flat, stale and unprofitable that, when uttered with a wink or a dig in the ribs, it is actually a kind of endearment, and has been applied with every evidence of respect by one United States Senator to another. Put the second person pronoun and the adjective old in front of it, and scarcely enough bounce is left in it to shake up an archdeacon. Worse, it is frequently toned down to s.o.b., or transmogrified into the childish son-of-a-gun. The latter is so lacking in punch that the Italians among us have borrowed it as a satirical name for an American: la sanemagogna is what they call him, and by it they indicate their contempt for his backwardness in the art that is one of their great

¹ The English Language in America; 2 New York, 1925, Vol. I, p. 118 ff.

² The Etymology of an English Expletive, *Language*, June, 1927.

glories. In Standard Italian there are no less than forty congeners of son-of-a-bitch, and each and every one of them is more opprobrious, more brilliant, more effective. In the Neapolitan dialect there are thousands.¹

For a long list of euphemistic substitutes for God, Jesus, Christ, Lord, saints, devil, hell and damn

see Exclamations in American English, by E. C. Hills, *Dialect Notes*, Vol. V, Pt. VII, 1924.

VII

THE PRONUNCIATION OF AMERICAN

I. ITS GENERAL CHARACTERS

"Language," said A. H. Sayce, in 1879, "does not consist of letters, but of sounds, and until this fact has been brought home to us our study of it will be little better than an exercise of memory." 1 The theory, at that time, was somewhat strange to English and American grammarians and etymologists; their labors were largely wasted upon deductions from the written word. But since then, chiefly under the influence of Continental philologians, they have turned from orthographical futilities to the actual sounds of the tongue, and a number of the more recent grammar-books are based upon the spoken language of educated persons - not, remember, of conscious purists, but of the general body of cultivated folk.2 Unluckily, this new method also has its disadvantages. The men of a given race and time usually write a good deal alike, or, at all events, attempt to write alike, but in their oral speech there are wide variations. "No two persons," says a leading contemporary authority upon English phonetics,3 "pronounce exactly alike." Moreover, "even the best speaker commonly uses more than one style." The result is that it is extremely difficult to determine the prevailing pronunciation of a given combination of letters at any time and place. The persons whose speech is studied pronounce it with minute shades of differences, and admit other differences according as they are conversing

I Introduction to the Science of Language, 4th ed., London, 1900, Vol. II, p. 339.

2 For example, A Grammar of Spoken English, by H. E. Palmer; Cambridge, 1922. George O. Curme's College English Grammar; Richmond, Va., 1925, a popular text by a distinguished American philologian, is founded on "the English language as spoken and written today," p. iv. 3 Daniel Jones: The Pronunciation

3 Daniel Jones: The Pronunciation of English, 2nd ed.; Cambridge, 1914, p. 1. Jones is a professor of phonetics at University College, London. naturally or endeavoring to exhibit their pronunciation. Worse, it is impossible to represent a great many of these shades in print. Sweet, trying to do it, found himself, in the end, with an alphabet of 125 letters. Prince L.-L. Bonaparte more than doubled this number, and A. J. Ellis brought it to 390.1 During the late 80's of the last century the unwieldy Ellis alphabet was taken in hand by P. E. Passy, a French phonetician, and reduced to a workable compass. In its new form it was adopted by the Association Internationale Phonetique, which Passy had founded, and today, under the name of the International Phonetic Alphabet, or IPA, it is in general use. It suffices for recording most of the sounds commonly encountered in the Western European languages, but every time it is put to some new use defects in it are discovered, and when it was adopted by the Practical Phonetics Group of the Modern Language Association in 1927 a new character had to be added to represent the vowel in the American pronunciation of burt. Unfortunately, its 50-odd characters include twenty or more that do not occur in any normal modern alphabet, and so it is readily interpreted only by phonologists, and the makers of dictionaries avoid it.2 What Richard Grant White wrote in 1880, that "it is almost impossible for one person to express to another by signs the sound of any word," is still more or less true. He went on:

Only the voice is capable of that; for the moment a sign is used the question arises, What is the value of that sign? The sounds of words are the most delicate, fleeting, and inapprehensible things in nature; far more so than the tones of music, whether made by the human voice or by instruments. Moreover, the question arises as to the capability to apprehend and distinguish sounds on the part of the person whose evidence is given.³

Some years ago certain German phonologists, despairing of the printed page, turned to the phonograph, and there is now a Deutsche

It is given in Ellis's Early English Pronunciation, p. 1293 ff., and in Sayce's The Science of Language, Vol. I, p. 353 ff.

² It is given on p. xxii of Webster's New International Dictionary, 1934, but a simpler if less scientific system of indicating pronunciations is used in the body of the work. See The International Phonetic Alphabet, by John S. Kenyon, American Speech, April, 1929, p. 324 ff.

3 Every-Day English; Boston, 1881, p. 29. The difficulty is discussed, with examples, in Standards of Speech, by Elizabeth Avery, American Speech, April, 1926. One phonetic symbol is commonly used to represent the e in met, led and sell, yet the vowel differs in the three words. So with the k in key, kaffir and kumquat. "It is difficult if not impossible," says W. Cabell Greet in Southern Speech (in Culture in the South, Chapel Hill, N. C., 1934, p. 601) "to obtain an idea of speech from phonetic symbols."

Grammophon Gesellschaft in Berlin which offers to supply records of a great many languages and dialects, including English. The phonograph has also been adopted for teaching foreign languages by some of the American correspondence schools. In 1924, at the request of the Present Day English Group of the Modern Language Association, Dr. Harry Morgan Ayres of Columbia University began to make phonograph records of American speech at the New York studios of the Columbia Phonograph Company. In 1927 he was ioined by Dr. W. Cabell Greet, now editor of American Speech, and since then a machine for recording on aluminum disks has been installed at Columbia University, and under Dr. Greet's direction nearly 2500 records of about 3500 different speakers have been accumulated (1936). Approximately two thirds of them preserve recordings of a little story called "Arthur the Rat," so that minute comparisons are easily made. All parts of the country, save the Pacific Coast, are well represented. The same method has been employed by other phonologists, and it was used in accumulating material for the Linguistic Atlas. Transcriptions of Dr. Greet's records, in the IPA, have been printed in every issue of American Speech since February, 1933.2 Of late efforts have been made to record speech by the oscillograph, which has already proved its usefulness in the investigation of the singing voice. It seems to be very likely that, in the near future, the study of oscillograph records on motion picture films will provide a means of distinguishing minute differences in pronunciation with great precision.3 The lead in this work has been taken by Dr. E. W. Scripture, the American-born profes-

I The first experiments were made in New York at the turn of the century. In 1901 the method was adopted by the International Correspondence Schools at Scranton, Pa. The director of their School of Languages, Mr. J. Navas, tells me that it is still in use, and has been a great success.

2 For an account of the early stages of the work of Drs. Ayres and Greet see their article, American Speech Records at Columbia University, American Speech, June, 1930.

3 The method employed is described by C. E. Parmenter and S. N. Treviño in The Length of the Sounds of a Middle Westerner, American Speech, April, 1935. The subject's voice, picked up by a microphone, causes the oscillograph to vibrate, and the vibrations are photographed on a strip of film moving at the rate of two feet a second. Simultaneously, the vibrations of a 1000-cycle oscillator are recorded on the same film, to serve as a timer. In A Study of Dialect Differences, by H. E. Atherton and Darrell L. Gregg, American Speech, Feb., 1929, there is a comparison between Southern English and the pronunciation of North Carolina by a modification of this method.

sor of experimental phonetics at the University of Vienna; by Dr. S. N. Treviño of the University of Chicago; and by the acoustical engineers of the Bell Telephone System laboratories. The x-rays have also been employed, especially by Dr. G. Oscar Russell of Ohio State University.¹

In view of the foregoing it would be hopeless to attempt to exhibit in print all the differences between English and American pronunciation, for many of them are extremely small and subtle, and only their aggregation makes them plain. According to Dr. R. J. Menner of Yale,2 the most important of them do not lie in pronunciation at all, properly so called, but in intonation. It is in this direction, he says, that one must look for the true characters of "the English accent." Virtually all other observers agree. "What does an Englishman first notice on landing in America," asks Hilaire Belloc, " as the contrast between the two sides of the Atlantic so far as the spoken language is concerned?" The answer is: "The first thing which strikes him is the violent contrast in intonation." 8 "Though they use the same words," says John Erskine, "the Englishman and the American do not speak the same tune." 4 In general, the speech-tunes of the Englishman show wider melodic curves than those of the American, and also more rapid changes. The late Fred Newton Scott attempted to exhibit the difference by showing how the two speak the sentence, "The weather is rather warm to-day." The American, beginning at the tonic, "ascends gradually for about a major fourth to, and through the word warm, and then drops back

The method used is described by C. E. Parmenter and C. A. Bevans in Analysis of Speech Radiographs, *American Speech*, Oct., 1933. See also Speech and Voice, by Dr. Russell; New York, 1931.

² The Pronunciation of English in America, Atlantic Monthly, March, 1915, p. 366.

3 A Note on Language, in The Contrast; New York, 1924, p. 219. Most of this chapter was printed in Columbia, Oct., 1924, under the title of The American Language.

4 Do Americans Speak English?, New York Nation, April 15, 1925, p. 410. "All speech, even the commonest speech," said Thomas Carlyle in Heroes and Hero-Worship, "has something of song in it. Accent is a kind of chanting." "If somebody asks you a question," says P. B. Ballard in Thought and Language; London, 1934, p. 70, "and you reply 'I don't know' you do not say the words at a dead level; you give them a tune. Sometimes, indeed, you give the tune without the words; you just hum them. And you are understood just the same." See Pitch Patterns in English, by Kemp Malone, Studies in Philology, July, 1926: Zur amerikanischen Intonation, by Fritz Karpf, Die neuren Sprachen, Sept., 1926, and English Intonation, by H. E. Palmer; Cambridge, England, 1922.

in the word to-day to the tonic." But the Englishman follows a much more complicated pattern. His voice drops below the tonic in enunciating weather, then rises sharply to the beginning of warm, then drops again, and finally turns upward on -day.1 As a result of his use of such speech-patterns his talk sounds "abrupt, explosive and manneristic," 2 to American ears, and shows what has been called "a somewhat pansy cast" and "a mauve, Episcopalian ring." 4 His range of intonation, says Daniel Jones, "is very extensive. . . . It is not unusual for a man with a voice _ of ordinary pitch to have a range of over two octaves, rising to even higher, and going down so low that the voice degenerates into a kind of growl which can hardly be regarded as a musical sound at all." 5 Such coloratura is surely not common among Americans. "Usually," says Scott, "their words will be spoken unemotionally, perhaps in a sort of recitative, with a rather dry, sharp articulation, especially if the speaker is from the Middle West." Erskine describes their speech as "horribly monotonous - it hasn't tune enough," and Krapp says that it sounds "hesitating, monotonous and indecisive" to an Englishman. Nevertheless, Krapp holds that "the American voice starts on a higher plane, is normally pitched higher than the British voice." 6 Here I incline to agree with Richard Grant White that the contrary is normally the case.7 The nasal twang which Englishmen detect in vox Americana, though it has some high overtones, is itself not high pitched, but rather low pitched. The causes of that twang have long engaged phonologists, and there is respectable opinion in favor of the theory that our generally dry climate and rapid changes of temperature produce an actual change in the membranes concerned in the production of sound. Perhaps some such impediment to free and easy utterance is responsible both for the levelness of tone of American speech, and for the American tendency to pro-

1 The Standard of American Speech; Boston, 1926, p. 16.

2 George Philip Krapp in The Pro-nunciation of Standard English in America; New York, 1919, p. 50.

3 James M. Cain in Paradise, Ameri-

can Mercury, March, 1933, p. 269. 4 H. W. Seaman in The Awful English of England, American Mercury, Sept., 1933, p. 75.

5 The Pronunciation of English,

above cited p. 60.

6 The Pronunciation of Standard English in America, above cited,

p. 50.
"The pitch of the British Englishman's voice," he said in Words and Their Uses, new ed., New York, 1876, p. 57, "is higher and more penetrating than the American Englishman's." "His inflections are more varied than the other's," he added, "because they more frequently rise."

nounce the separate syllables of a word with much more care than an Englishman bestows upon them. The American, in giving extraordinary six careful and distinct syllables instead of the Englishman's grudging four, and two stresses instead of the Englishman's one, may be seeking to cover up a natural disability. George P. Marsh, in his "Lectures on the English Language," sought two other explanations of the fact. On the one hand, he pointed out that the Americans of his day read a great deal more than the English, and were thus much more prone to spelling pronunciations, and on the other hand he argued that "our flora shows that the climate of even our Northern States belongs . . . to a more Southern type than that of England," and "in Southern latitudes . . . articulation is generally much more distinct than in Northern regions." 1 In support of the latter proposition Marsh cited the pronunciation of Spanish, Italian and Turkish, as compared with that of English, Danish and German - rather unfortunate examples, for the pronunciation of German is at least as clear as that of Spanish. Swedish would have supported his case far better: the Swedes debase their vowels and slide over their consonants even more markedly than the English. Marsh believed that there was a tendency among Southern peoples to throw the accent toward the ends of words, and that this helped to bring out all the syllables. A superficial examination shows a number of examples of that movement of accent in American: advertisement, primárily, telégrapher, temporárily. The English accent all of these words on the first syllable except advertisement, which is accented on the second; Americans usually accent primarily and telegrapher on the second, and temporarily and advertisement 2 on the third. Again there are frontier and harass. The English accent

I Lectures on the English Language, 4th ed.; New York, 1870, p. 671. Marsh had been anticipated here, though he probably didn't know it, by the Rev. Jonathan Boucher, who, in his glossary of Americanisms, printed in 1832, had said: "One striking peculiarity in American elocution is a slow, drawling unemphatic and unimpassioned manner; this, it is probable, is to be attributed, in general, to the heat of their climates, which is such as to paralyze all active exertion, even in speaking." Boucher did not say

directly that this languid style of speech made for clear utterance, but that inference may be fairly drawn from his other remarks on the English spoken in America.

2 Webster's New International Dictionary, 1934, prefets advértisement, but admits that advertisement is American. Old Noah himself argued for the latter on the analogy of amusement, refinement and so on. See his Dissertations on the English Language; Boston, 1789, p. 138.

the first syllables; we commonly accent the second. Kilómeter seems to be gaining ground in the United States, and on the level of the vulgar speech there are theátre, defícit, mischíevous and exquísite.1 But when all such examples have been marshaled, the fact remains plain that there are just as many, and perhaps more, of an exactly contrary tendency. The chief movement in American, in truth, would seem to be toward throwing the accent upon the first syllable. I recall mámma, pápa, inquiry, céntenary, álly, récess, ídea, álloy and ádult; I might add défect, éxcess, áddress, súrvey, mágazine, mústache, résearch and rómance. All these words are accented on the second syllable in the Concise Oxford Dictionary.2 Perhaps the notion that American tends to throw the accent back has been propagated by the fact that it retains a secondary accent in many words that have lost it in English. Most of these end in -ary, -ery or -ory, e.g., necessary, monastery and preparatory. In American the secondary accent in necessary, falling upon ar, is clearly marked; in English only the primary accent on nec is heard, and so the word becomes nécess'ry. In laboratory, which the English accent on the second syllable, the secondary accent on the fourth, always heard in American, is likewise omitted, and the word becomes something like labórat'ry. The same difference in pronunciation is to be observed in certain words of the -ative and -mony classes, and in some of those of other classes. In American the secondary accent on at in operative is always heard, but seldom in English. So with the secondary accent on mon in ceremony: the third syllable is clearly enunciated in American, but in English everything after cer becomes a kind of glissando. So, finally, in melancholy: in English it sounds like mélanc'ly. Until relatively recently the English accented adumbrate, compensate, concentrate, confiscate, demonstrate, illustrate, exculpate, objurgate, and some of their congeners on the second syllable; indeed, enervate is still so accented by the Concise Oxford. But during the third quarter of the Nineteenth Century the accent

rebound, detour, cigarette, curator, narrator, acclimated, decoy, promulgate, recluse, respiratory, insane, inclement, entire and tribunal on the first syllable. The Concise Oxford accents the second syllable of all save promulgate and respiratory.

I There is an interesting discussion of such vulgarisms in Our Agile American Accents, by John L. Haney, *American Speech*, April, 1926.

² In a letter to the New York Times, Dec. 20, 1931, Charlton Andrews complained that the New York radio announcers were accenting

moved forward to the first syllable. This movement, I believe, began in the United States earlier than in England. Whether the colorless and monotonous American manner of speech promoted the survival of the secondary accent or the secondary accent helped to flatten out the American speech-tune is a problem that has not been solved. Krapp was inclined to choose the former hypothesis 2 but it may be that the thing worked both ways.

Another factor which may have had something to do with the retention of the secondary accent, and with the general precision of American speech, is discussed at length by H. C. Wyld.3 It has operated in England too, but during the past century it has probably exerted greater influence in this country. It may be described briefly as the influence of a class but lately risen in the social scale and hence a bit unsure of itself - a class intensely eager to avoid giving away its vulgar origin by its speech habits. The great historical changes in Standard English, says Wyld, were synchronous with the appearance of new "classes of the population in positions of prominence and power in the state, and the consequent reduction in the influence of the older governing classes." He lists some of the events that produced such shifts in the balance of power: "the break-up of the feudal system; the extinction of most of the ancient baronial families in the War of the Roses; the disendowment of the monasteries, and the enriching of the King's tools and agents; the rise of the great merchants in the towns; the Parliamentary wars and the social upheaval of the Protectorate; the rise of banking during the Eighteenth and early Nineteenth Centuries." These changes, he said, brought forward an authority which ranged itself against both "the mere frivolities of fashion, the careless and half-incoherent babble of the fop" and "the lumbering and uncouth utterance of the boor." Precision in speech thus became the hall-mark of those who had but recently arrived. Obviously, the number of those who have but recently arrived has always been greater in the United States than in England, not only among the aristocracy of wealth and fashion but also among the intelligentsia. The average American schoolmarm, the chief guardian of linguistic niceness in

I See American Pronunciation, by J. S. Kenyon; Ann Arbor, Mich., 1932, p. 159 ff, for a long list of words pronounced differently in England and the United States.

² See his discussion of the matter in The English Language in America; New York, 1925, Vol. II, p. 14. 3 A History of Modern Colloquial English; London, 1920, p. 18 ff.

the Republic, does not come from the class that has a tradition of culture behind it, but from the class of small farmers and city clerks and workmen. This is true, I believe, even of the average American college teacher. Such persons do not advocate and practise precision in speech on logical grounds alone; they are also moved, plainly enough, by the fact that it tends to conceal their own cultural insecurity. From them come most of the gratuitous rules and regulations that afflict schoolboys and harass the writers of the country. They are the chief discoverers and denouncers of "bad English" in the books of such men as Whitman, Mark Twain and Howells. But it would be a mistake to think of their influence as wholly, or even as predominantly evil. They have thrown themselves valiantly against the rise of dialects among us, and with such success that nothing so grossly unpleasant to the ear as the cockney whine or so lunatic as the cockney manhandling of the h is now prevalent anywhere in the United States. And they have policed the general speech to such effect that even on its most pretentious levels it is virtually free from the silly affectations which still mark Standard English. There was a time when they tried to saddle the Boston a upon the country, but that time is past. The Standard American that seems to be gathering form today is principally Western, and Dr. J. S. Kenyon, the author of the best existing textbook of American usage, did well to base it on "the cultivated pronunciation of his own locality - the Western Reserve of Ohio."1

In England the standard commonly recognized is, in the words of Daniel Jones, "the pronunciation which appears to be most usually employed by Southern English persons who have been educated at the great public boarding-schools." 2 Dr. Jones calls it Standard Pronunciation (StP) or Public School Pronunciation (PSP). H. C. Wyld prefers to call it Received Standard English (RS), but agrees that it owes its dominance to "the custom of sending youths from

1 American Pronunciation, above cited, p. iv. But Dr. Kenyon, of course, makes no claim that this Western American is better than any other kind. See his very wise discussion of the point in Correct Pronunciation, American Speech, Dec., 1928, p. 150. In Practical Phonetics of the American Language, by Ralph S. Boggs; San Juan, P. R.,

1927, a text prepared for students at the University of Porto Rico, "the pronunciation of the well-educated people of the Middle West in normal conversation" is accepted as "the standard of American pronunciation."

2 The Pronunciation of English, above cited, p. 1.

certain social strata to the great public schools." 1 Wyld says that "it is not any more the English of London, as is sometimes mistakenly maintained, than it is that of York, or Exeter, or Circnester, or Oxford, or Chester, or Leicester; . . . it is spoken everywhere, allowing for individual idiosyncrasies, to all intents and purposes, in precisely the same way." He believes that it is "the best kind of English," and in particular commends its vowels.2 Nevertheless, there are plenty of Britons who dislike it heartily, and especially that form of it prevailing at Oxford. The late Robert Bridges delivered an onslaught upon it in Tract No. II of the Society for Pure English (1919) and drew up a formidable list of its absurdities and inconveniences, e.g., the confusion, amounting to identification in pronunciation, of lord and laud, maw and more, flaw and floor, alms and arms, source and sauce, ah and are, root and route, tray and trait, bean and been. He also belabored such pronunciations as ikstrodnry for extraordinary, intrist for interest, and pictsher for picture. Dr. J. Y. T. Greig, in "Breaking Priscian's Head," a calls it "that silliest and dwabliest of all the English dialects," and argues that it is "artificial, slovenly to a degree, absurdly difficult for foreigners to acquire, and except to ears debased by listening to it, inharmonious." He continues:

It obliterates distinctions, tends to reduce all unstressed vowels to the same natural grunt, and then - as if by some obscure process of psychical compensation - diphthongizes and breaks up vowels that in other Standards are cleanly and simply articulated. . . . It needs to be taken out into the open air, and buffeted by trans-Atlantic winds.

Dr. Greig is a Scotsman, and his indignation may be discounted on that ground. But the following is by an Englishman born in East Anglia:

I speak for millions of Englishmen when I say that we are as sick and tired of this so-called English accent as you Americans are. It has far less right to be called Standard English than Yorkshire or any other country dialect has - or than any American dialect. It is as alien to us as it is to you. True, some of my neighbors have acquired it - for social and other reasons -, but then some of the Saxon peasants took pains to acquire Norman French, which was also imposed on them from above. The advantages to be gained from its acquisition, if not wholly imaginary, are of specious value. Boys from the great public schools, the cradles of snobbery, find that their speech is a passport to jobs in motor showrooms in Great Portland street

¹ A History of Modern Colloquial English; London, 1920, p. 3.
2 The Superiority of Received

Standard English, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXXIX, 1934. 3 London, 1929.

and the Euston road, but even there its function is mainly decorative. As soon as the customer has been well slavered and purred over, he is passed on to a salesman who, whether he speaks broad Cockney or broad Northumbrian, knows something about cars.¹

In 1925, when the announcers of the B.B.C., the government radio monopoly, began loosing this PSP over the air, there were many protests, and some of them were even leveled at the use of the broad a in dance, which most Americans think of as typically English. In consequence, the B.B.C. administration appointed an Advisory Committee on Spoken English, headed by Dr. Bridges and including Dr. Jones, Sir Johnston Forbes-Roberston, George Bernard Shaw and the American-born Logan Pearsall Smith, and handed over to it the difficult business of deciding disputes over pronunciation. This committee has been enlarged since, and now (1936) includes representatives of the British Academy, the Royal Society of Literature, the English Association, and the Royal Academy of Dramatic Art. In 1928 it issued a pamphlet for the guidance of announcers, dealing with 332 words; in 1932 there was a new edition, covering 503; and in 1935 there followed a third, covering 779.2 This list, rather curiously, shows some concessions to American example, e.g., the throwing forward of the accent in adult, but in general it follows the canons of PSP. George Bernard Shaw, a member of the advisory committee, has apparently dissented from most of its judgments. On January 25, 1934, he said in a letter to the London Times:

An Oxford accent is considered by many graduates of that university to be the perfection of correct English; but unfortunately over large and densely populated districts of Great Britain it irritates some listeners to the point of switching off, and infuriates others so much that they smash their wireless sets because they cannot smash the Oxonian. The best English today is literally the King's English. Like his Royal grandmother before him, King

- I The Awful English of England, by H. W. Seaman, American Mercury, Sept., 1933, p. 73. Perhaps the testimony of a Briton of Welsh name should be added, to complete the circle. "English as spoken in America," said Wyndham Lewis, in The Dumb Ox, Life and Letters, April, 1934, p. 41, "is more vigorous and expressive than Oxford English, I think. It is easy to mistake a native from the wilds of Dorsetshire for an American, I have found: and were 'educated'
- English used upon a strong reverberant Devonshire basis, for instance, it would be all to the good, it is my opinion. Raleigh, Drake, and the rest of them, must have talked rather like that."
- 2 Broadcast English. I. Recommendations to Announcers Regarding Certain Words of Doubtful Pronunciation, with an introduction by A. Lloyd James, professor of phonetics, School of Oriental Studies, London, London, 1935-

George is the best speaker in his realm; and his broadcasts are astonishingly effective in creating loyalty. If he delivered a single broadcast in an Oxford accent his people would rise up that very day and proclaim a republic.

But not many of the Britons who object to the PSP join Professor Greig and Mr. Lewis in commending American pronunciation. Its monotonous speech-tunes commonly seem unpleasantly drawling to their ears, and they are jarred by its frequent nasalization of vowels. As a Cockney once said after suffering an American talkie, "It ain't so much their bleedin' lengwidge; it's their blawsted neysal tweng." "The Englishman," says Philip R. Dillon, "squirms at the sounds of American English; they are strange to him, grate on him, offend his sense of harmony. The Dublin Irishman and the Edinburgh Scotsman also dislike and criticise American spoken English, but they have more humor than the Londoner, and refrain from being rude about it." This adversion began to show itself soon after the War of 1812, and many of the English travelers of the decades following denounced the American accent as well as the American vocabulary. Thus Frances Trollope in her "Domestic Manners of the Americans" (1832):

I very seldom during my whole stay in the country heard a sentence elegantly turned and correctly pronounced from the lips of an American. There is always something either in the expression or the accent that jars the feelings and shocks the taste.

The patriots of the time met these sneers with claims that the American accent was not only quite as good as the English, but much better. Said J. Fenimore Cooper in "Notions of the Americans" (1828): 2

The people of the United States, with the exception of a few of German or French descent, speak, as a body, incomparably better English than the people of the mother country.... In fine, we speak our language, as a nation, better than any other people speak their language. When one reflects on the immense surface of the country that we occupy, the general accuracy, in pronunciation and in the use of words, is quite astonishing. . . . The voices of the American females are particularly soft and silvery.3

1 English, Spoken and Written, Paris Herald, April 9, 1925.
2 "By a Travelling Bachelor," but later acknowledged by Cooper. It was published in London, in two volumes. The quotations are from Vol. II, Letter VII.

3 Many similar exultations might be quoted. Captain Frederick Marryat, in his Diary in America; Philadelphia, 1839, thus summed it up: "The Americans boldly assert that they speak English better than we do." He dissented, of course. "It is remarkable," he said piously, "how very debased the language has become in a short period in America."

Cooper's views are generally held by Americans today. Unconscious of the monotony of their speech-tunes, and of the nasalization which offends Englishmen, they believe that their way of using English is clearly better than the English way. In consequence, there is little imitation of English usage in this country. The relatively few Americans who have lived in England sometimes acquire the PSP accent, and it is mimicked by a small sect of Anglomaniacs, but the average American regards it as effeminate and absurd, and will thus have none of it. The broad a that the American schoolmarm formerly tried to propagate was not the English a, but the Boston a. What moved her to favor it was hardly a liking for English speechhabits, but rather a respect for the cultural preëminence of New England, and especially of Boston, now no more. This a survives in the more fashionable finishing-schools, but hardly anywhere else. There was a time when all American actors of any pretensions employed a dialect that was a heavy imitation of the dialect of the West End actors of London. It was taught in all the American dramatic schools, and at the beginning of the present century it was so prevalent on the American stage that a flat a had a melodramatic effect almost equal to that of damn. But the rise of the movies broke down this convention. They attracted actors from all parts of the world, to many of whom English was a foreign language, and when the talkies followed it was found that most of these newcomers had picked up ordinary American. Moreover, the native-born recruits were mainly without formal professional training, so the majority of them also spoke the vulgate. From time to time Hollywood has made some effort to model its speech on that of its English-born luminaries, but never with much success. Nor has the American Academy of Arts and Letters got far in the same direction, though it has given gold medals and other gauds to actors equipped with the PSP accent, e.g., Edith Wynne Matthison, Julia Marlowe and George Arliss. The ideal of Broadway now seems to be what Kerry Conway has called a denationalized accent. It is, he explains, "clear, rounded speech, smacking neither of England nor of America, and free from the repugnant localisms of both countries. The late Holbrook Blinn achieved it. Walter Hampden and Arthur Byron employ it. It graces the utterance of the Canadian-born Margaret Anglin." 1

I God's Patience and the King's English, New York *Herald Tribune*, Sept. 8, 1929.

On April 26, 1931, it was reported by the Chicago Radio Weekly that the two big American radio chains, the Columbia and the N.B.C., were forcing their announcers to use "English as she is spoke in England." On inquiry I found that this was a canard. "What we try to get," I was told by Mr. Walter C. Stone of the N.B.C., "is decent American pronunciation, affected as little as possible by localisms." 1 Columbia, at the same time, announced that it had appointed Dr. Frank H. Vizetelly to advise its announcers in matters of pronunciation, and called attention to the fact that Dr. Vizetelly described himself as "a man ever ready to help in spreading the best traditions of American speech, which does not suppress its consonants, nor squeeze all the life out of its vowels." 2 Nevertheless, there are American announcers who affect what they think is English usage, and they have been belabored heartily for it by Dr. Josiah Combs.³ As for the schoolmarms, they have been warned by Dr. Louise Pound. "My first caution," she says, "is, do not rely too far on British dictionaries, in these days. It is of interest to consult them, but they are no longer to be cited as authoritative for American English." 4 The extent to which this differentiation has gone is but little appreciated. In Palmer, Martin and Blandford's "Dictionary of English Pronunciation with American Variants" 5 no less than 28% of the words listed show differing pronunciations in England and the United States. The authors classify the major American variants in twelve categories, and add fourteen categories of minor ones.

The historical study of American pronunciation was put on a solid basis by the publication of the second volume of the late George Philip Krapp's "The English Language in America" in 1925. There had been scattering investigations on the subject before then, but Dr. Krapp was the first to undertake an exhaustive examination of the available material - the early dictionaries, grammars and spelling

can Pronunciation, School Review, June, 1915, and The Pronunciation of English in America, by Robert J. Menner, Atlantic Monthly, March, 1915.

5 Cambridge (England), 1926; 2nd ed., 1935. Palmer is linguistic adviser to the Japanese Ministry of Education and a leading phonologist.

I In a letter dated May 26, 1931.
2 Letter from Jesse S. Butcher, director of public relations, May 22,

³ In Broadcasting and Pronunciation, American Speech, June, 1930, and again in The Radio and Pronunciation, the same, Dec., 1931.

⁴ Pronunciation in the Schools, English Journal, Oct., 1922. See also Dr. Pound's British and Ameri-

books, the attempts at devising phonetic alphabets, and the records of the Massachusetts, Connecticut and New York towns, many of them made by unlearned men and written phonetically. One of his conclusions was that most of the peculiarities of American pronunciation have historical precedents in England, and that many of them may be found to this day in the English dialects. Even the nasalization which Englishmen always mark in American speech "is by no means exclusively American." It was charged to the English Puritans by their critics, and is denounced in "Hudibras," Part I, Canto III. Dr. Krapp believed that "differences of practise among standard American speakers, that is, among members of good standing in the community, were formerly much more numerous than they are today," and that they "continue to show an increasing tendency to disappear in an all-embracing uniformity." He demonstrated that many forms now confined to isolated speech-islands, for example, in rural New England or the remoter parts of the South, were once almost universal. He showed that the type of American prevailing in the Boston area and in the tidewater regions of the South is closely related historically to the Southern type of English, and that Western America is derived, at least in large part, from Northern English.1

I Other studies of interest and value are Early American Pronunciation and Syntax, by Henry Alexander, American Speech, Dec., 1925, which ante-dated the Krapp book, and Early New England Pronunciation, by Anders Orbeck; Ann Arbor, Mich., 1927, which Krapp saw in MS. A bibliography of American and English pronunciation to the end of 1922 will be found in Arthur G. Kennedy's Bibliography of Writings on the English Language; Cambridge, Mass., 1927. For the period since 1922 the bibliographies published in each issue of American Speech and annually in Publications of the Modern Language Association may be consulted. Alexander J. Ellis's On Early English Pronunciation, 4 vols.; London, 1869-89, is still invaluable, though in parts it has begun to date. Other useful works on the changes in spoken English are

A History of English Sounds, by Henry Sweet; London, 1876; The Sounds of English, by the same; Oxford, 1908; The English Pro-nunciation at Shakespeare's Time, by R. E. Zachrisson; Upsala, Sweden, 1927; Pronunciation of English Vowels, 1400–1700, by the same; Göteborg, Sweden, 1913; Select Studies in Colloquial English of the Late Middle Ages, by Gösta Langenfelt; Lund, Sweden, 1933; English Pronunciation From the Fifteenth to the Eighteenth Century, by Constance Davies; London, 1934; and English Pronunciation as Described in Shorthand Systems of the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries, by Helge Kökeritz, Studia Neophilologica, 1935. A history of Modern Colloquial English, by H. C. Wyld; London, 1920, gives an excellent account of the changes in English since 1450.

2. THE VOWELS

One of the most noticeable differences between Standard English and Standard American lies in the varying pronunciation of a in about 150 words in everyday use. The English, in general, prefer the broad a of dark before f, ft, m, nch, nd, nt, sk, sp, ss, st and th, as in laugh, draft ca(l)m, branch, command, chant, ask, clasp, grass, last and path, whereas most Americans use the flat a of that. But these preferences are not invariable. There are many Englishmen, otherwise quite orthodox in their speech habits, who incline toward the flat a before n and f and before s followed by a consonant, a and nearly all of them use it in fancy, despite a general American belief, promoted by haw-haw types on the stage, that they always say fahncy. They also use it in stamp, though they cling to the broad a in example. Again, they prefer the flat a of rack in amass, elastic, gas, lass, mass, massive, masticate and plastic. Finally, they pronounce the verb can just as we do, though they sound the broad a in can't.3 Contrariwise, most Americans use the broad a before (l)m, as in palm, and virtually all use it before r, or r and a consonant, as in par, cart, park, harm, etc., and before th in father. Moreover, it is used in most of the English situations in the Boston area, though with a slight change in value, and in a number of words in the South, e.g., master, aunt, tomato, tassel.4

It used to be believed that the broad a was historically the more respectable, and that the flat a had come into American and into some of the English dialects as a corruption, but the exhaustive researches of Krapp have disposed of that notion. During most of the

2 The Pronunciation of English, by Daniel Jones; Cambridge, 1914, p. 38. 3 Pronunciation, a Practical Guide to American Standards, by Thorleif Larsen and Francis C. Walker; London, 1030, p. 22 ff.

London, 1930, p. 23 ff.

4 J. S. Kenyon has calculated (Flat A and Broad A, American Speech, April, 1930, p. 324) that the number of situations in which the English and American a's differ amounts to 14% of the total situations in which a occurs.

5 His conclusions are set forth at length in The English Language in America, Vol. II, p. 36 ff.

This a, of course, is really two a's, the first that of that and the other that of bam. They differ, however, only in length, and for the present purpose they may be regarded as substantially identical. For a discussion of the situations in which either the one or the other is used see The Pronunciation of Short A in American Standard English, by George L. Trager, American Speech, June, 1930.

Eighteenth Century, in fact, a broad a was regarded in both England and America as a rusticism, and careful speakers commonly avoided it. When Thomas Sheridan published his "General Dictionary of the English Language" in London in 1780 he actually omitted it from his list of vowels. He had room for an a approximating aw, as in hall, but none for the a sounding like ah, as in barn. He gave the pronunciation of papa as if both its a's were that of pap, and even ordained the same flat a before r, as in car and far. Benjamin Franklin, whose "Scheme for a New Alphabet and a Reformed Mode of Spelling" was published in Philadelphia in 1768, was in complete accord with Sheridan. He favored the flat a, not only in all the words which now carry it in American, but also in calm, far, hardly and even what, which last was thus made to rhyme with hat. Franklin's pronunciations were presumably those of the best circles in the London of his time, and it seems likely that they also prevailed in Philadelphia, then the center of American culture. But the broad a continued common in the folk-speech of New England, as it was in that of Old England, and in 1780 or thereabout it suddenly became fashionable in Standard London English. How and why this fashion arose is not known, nor is it known what influence it had upon the educated speech of New England. It may be that the New Englanders picked it up, as they picked up so many other English fashions, or it may be that they simply yielded to the folk-speech of their region. Whatever the fact, they were using the broad a in many words at the time Noah Webster published his "Dissertations on the English Language" at Boston in 1789. In it he gave quality, quantity and quash the sound of a in hat, but he gave advance, after, ask, balm, clasp and grant the a of arm. In subsequent editions of "The American Spelling Book" he favored the broad a before a final r or before r followed by a consonant, e.g., bar, depart; before (1)m, e.g., embalm; before a final s or s followed by a consonant, e.g., pass, ask; before f, e.g., staff, half; before th, e.g., path; before lv, e.g., salve, calves; before n followed by ch, s or t, e.g., blanch, dance, ant; in words spelled au before s, e.g., sauce; in words spelled au before n followed by ch, d or t, e.g., staunch, jaundice, aunt; and in a number of other words, e.g., chamber, slander, gape.1 He even advocated the broad a in bracelet, though in his "Dictionary of the English Language Compiled for the Use of the Com-

¹ I am indebted here to Krapp, p. 67.

mon Schools in the United States" (1807) he abandoned it for the

Webster's immense authority was sufficient to implant the broad a firmly in the speech of the Boston area. Between 1830 and 1850, according to C. H. Grandgent,1 it ran riot, and was used even in such words as handsome, matter, apple, caterpillar, pantry, hammer, practical, Saturday and satisfaction. Oliver Wendell Holmes protested against it in "The Autocrat of the Breakfast Table" in 1857, but it survived his onslaught. It has been somewhat modified in sound with the passing of the years. Says Grandgent: "The broad a of New Englanders, Italianate though it be, is not so broad as that of Old England. . . . Our grass really lies between the grahs of a British lawn and the grass of the boundless prairies." In the New England cities, he adds, it has been "shaken by contact with the Irish," and is now restricted, in the main to

a few specific classes of words – especially those in which an a (sometimes an au) is followed by a final r, by an r that precedes another consonant, by an m written lm, or by the sound of f, s, or th: as far, hard, balm, laugh, pass, rather, path. In the first two categories, and in the word father, ah possesses nearly all the English-speaking territory; concerning the other classes there is a wide divergence, although flat a appears everywhere to be disappearing from words like balm. Yankeedom itself is divided over such combinations as ant, can't, dance, example, in which a nasal and another consonant follow the vowel; aunt, however, always has broad a.2

The imprimatur of the Yankee Johnson was not sufficient to establish the Boston a outside New England. His rival and bitter critic, Lyman Cobb, whose "Just Standard For Pronouncing the English Language" appeared in 1821, allowed it before th and lv and in words wherein it appeared as au, but ordained the flat a in class, clasp, fast, ask, asp, branch, dance, chaff, raft and their congeners. Webster's other great antagonist, Joseph E. Worcester, whose "Comprehensive Pronouncing and Explanatory Dictionary of the English Language" appeared in 1830, set up a distinction between the true British broad a and the modified New England a described above by Grandgent, and frowned upon the former. "His hesitation with respect to words like ask, dance, chaff, etc.," says Krapp, "was due not to the fear that the sound which he advocated might seem too near [the a of hat], but too near [the a of bard]. In

a is also discussed in Observations on the Broad A, by Miles L. Hanley, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. VIII, 1925.

I Fashion and the Broad A in Old and New; Cambridge, Mass., 1920, pp. 25-30. 2 This gradual decay of the Boston

other words, the vulgar extreme which was to be avoided was [the latter and not the former]." William Russell, who published a number of popular textbooks during the second quarter of the century, advocated the flat a in all words of the glass, grasp, past, graft, grant, dance, branch, chant, rather and bland classes. By 1850 it was dominant everywhere west of the Berkshires and south of New Haven, save for what Grandgent calls "a little ah-spot in Virginia," and its sound had even got into such proper names as Alabama and Lafayette.1 "In the United States beyond the Hudson - perhaps beyond the Connecticut," says Grandgent, "the flat a prevails before f, s, th, and n." Nevertheless, the broad a has got into a few words, if not many. Those in which it is followed by Im I have mentioned. They were once pronounced to rhyme with ram and jam, but their pronunciation that way has begun to seem provincial and ignorant. Krapp says that the a has likewise broadened in alms, salmon and almond,2 but it is my own observation that this is not yet generally true. The first syllable of salmon, true enough, does not quite rhyme with ham, but it is nevertheless still very far from palm. The broad a, by a fashionable affectation, has also appeared in vase, drama, amen and tomato - in the last case probably helped by the example of Southern speech. This intrusion has been vigorously denounced by an Englishman, Evacustes A. Phipson. He says:

It is really distressing to a cultivated Briton visiting America to find people there who . . . follow what they suppose to be the latest London mannerism, regardless of accuracy. Thus we find one literary editress advocating the pedantic British pronunciation tomabto in lieu of the good English tomato, rhyming with potato, saying it sounds so much more "refined." I do not know whether she would be of the same opinion if she heard one of our costermongers bawling out "Ere's yer foine termarters, lyde, hownly tuppence a pahnd." Similarly, we sometimes hear Anglomaniac Americans saying vahz for vase. Why not bahz, and cahz? 3

Amen, with the broad a, seems to be making progress. E. W. Howe tells a story of a little girl in Kansas whose mother, on acquiring social aspirations, entered the Protestant Episcopal Church from the Methodist Church. The father remaining behind, the little girl had

<sup>Richard Meade Bache denounced it in Lafayette, in his Vulgarisms and Other Errors of Speech, 2nd ed., Philadelphia, 1869, p. 65.
The Pronunciation of Standard</sup>

² The Pronunciation of Standard English in America, above cited, p. 60.

³ New York Nation, Aug. 30, 1919, p. 290. See also Vays, Vayz or Vahz, by Janet R. Aiken, North American Review, Dec., 1929.

to learn to say amen with the a of rake when she went to church with her father and amen with the a of car when she went to church with her mother. In Canada, despite the social influence of English usage, the flat a has conquered, and along the Canadian-New England border it is actually regarded as a Canadianism, especially in such words as calm and aunt. The broad a, when heard at all, is an affectation, and, as in Boston, is sometimes introduced into words, e.g., stamp, which actually have the flat a in England. In the United States, save in the Boston area, one never hears it in gather, lather and blather, and even in Boston it is often abandoned for the flat a by speakers who are very careful to avoid the latter in palm, dance and aunt. Krapp says that it is used in "some words of foreign origin," notably lava, data, errata, bas-relief, spa, mirage and garage, but this is certainly not true of the first three, all of which, save exceptionally, have the flat a. So has piano, though the Italian i is preserved, and pyano is now only a vulgarism. Patent, in American, always has the a of cat, but in English the a of late is often heard when the word is used in the sense of a license or monopoly. In England mater, a common synonym for mother, has the same a, but in the American alma mater, which is seldom used in England, the second and third a's are that of bard, though the first is commonly that of pal. In English the second a of apparatus is always that of late, but in the United States it is often that of cat. The same difference is to be noted in the pronunciation of data, gratis, status and strata. In phalanx it runs the other way, with the English preferring, for the first syllable, the a of rack, and Americans that of mate. In radio the usual American pronunciation shows the a of mate, but the plain people of New York City apparently prefer the a of rack, and Alfred E. Smith's use of it in 1928 attracted nation-wide attention, and inspired some imitation. There were pundits at the time who argued that Al was right, and cited the analogy of radical. The English use a broad a in the final syllables of charade and promenade,

I The Rev. W. G. Polack, of Evansville, Ind., who has made a valuable inquiry into ecclesiastical terminology in America, tells me that among the Lutherans of the Middle West, amen has the flat a when spoken and the broad a when sung. So with the first syllable of hallelujah, though the last a is always broad. The Baptists appear to fol-

low the same plan. Their denominational papers print frequent notices that amen should have the long a in hymns but the short a in ordinary speech. See, for example, the Baptist and Commoner (Little Rock, Ark.), Jan. 2, 1928, and the Western Recorder (Louisville, Ky.), Oct. 2, 1924.

but most Americans prefer the a of mate. In the second syllable of asphalt the English always use the a of rack, but Americans sometimes use the aw of bawl. In England the a of patriotism is always the a of rack, but in the United States it is often that of late. Larsen and Walker 2 say that the latter a is used by Americans in ignoramus, tornado and ultimatum, but I often hear the a of dram in ignoramus, and either that of rack or that of bar in tornado and ultimatum. In radish the a is sometimes that of cab and sometimes a sort of e, hard to distinguish from that of red. In such proper names as Alabama, Alaska, Montana, Nevada and Colorado the flat a of has is often heard, especially in the States themselves, but a broad a is certainly not unknown. The usual pronunciation of again and against gives them a second a indistinguishable from the e of hen, but there is also a spelling pronunciation employing the a of lame. In the years before the Civil War the plain people converted the a of care into the a of car in bear, dare, hair and where, into a short i in the verb can, into a short e in catch, and into a long e in care, scarce and chair, thus producing bar, dar, har, whar, kin, ketch, keer, skeerce and cheer. They flattened the long a of marsh and omitted the r, making the word rhyme with lash, and they reduced sauce to sass, saucy to sassy and because to becuz or bacaze. The e of learn, serve, mercy, certain and eternal became a broad a, producing larn, sarve, marcy, sartin and tarnal; the a of caught was flattened, producing catcht or ketcht; and the a of drain was turned into a long e, producing dreen. Some of these toyings with a survive, but not all. The rest have been exterminated by the schoolmarm, or forced into exile among the remoter dialects.3

There are some differences between the pronunciation of e in English and American, but not many. The English use a long e, like that of bee, in the first syllable of evolution, which is always short

2 Pronunciation, above cited, p. 24.

3 A long list of the vulgarisms of the late 40's is in the introduction to J. R. Bartlett's Dictionary of Americanisms, 2nd ed.; Boston, 1859. Many of those of a somewhat earlier period are in the glossary attached to The Yankey in England, by David Humphreys; Hartford, 1815. This glossary is reprinted in The Beginnings of American English, edited by M. M. Mathews; Chicago, 1931.

In his Dissertations on the English Language, 1789, Webster said that the English then made the a of patriotism long and the Americans made it short. How the double reversal came about I don't know. "In all these cases, where the people are not uniform," said Webster, "I should prefer the short sound, for it appears to me the most analogous." He was probably thinking of cat and rat.

in American. They also prefer a long e in epoch, but in the United States it is often short. Contrariwise, the English use a short e in penalize, lever and egoist, whereas most Americans prefer a long one. The English are always careful to make the first syllable of seamstress sem and that of cleanly clen, but Americans commonly stick to the e of the stems. In the United States a spelling pronunciation often appears in pretty, making the first syllable rhyme with set; it always rhymes with sit in English. The use of the long e in deaf, though historically very respectable and ardently advocated by Noah Webster, has disappeared from cultivated American speech; it persists, however, in the vulgate. In the same way the i-sound, as in sit, has disappeared from get, yet, general, steady, chest and instead; Benjamin Franklin defended it, but now even the vulgate is losing it: This pronunciation, according to Menner,2 was correct in Seventeenth Century England, and perhaps down to the middle of the next century. It is probable that the colonists clung to such disappearing usages longer than the English. The latter, according to Webster, were unduly responsive to illogical fashions set by the exquisites of the court and by popular actors. He blames Garrick, in particular, for many innovations, most of them not followed in the colonies. But Garrick was surely not responsible for the displacement of mercy by marcy, which Webster ascribed somewhat lamely to the fact that the letter r is called ar: he proposed to dispose of it by changing the ar to er. In his time the a of lame was generally heard in egg, peg, leg and so on, but it too is now confined to the vulgate, as is the a of bag in keg. As Krapp shows, the neutral e that has taken its place, and toward which all our vowels seem to be tending,3 shows signs of itself disappearing. This is particularly

- The following is from his Dissertations on the English Language, 1789, p. 128. "Deaf is generally pronounced deef. It is the universal practice in the Eastern States; and it is general in the Middle and Southern; though some have adopted the English pronunciation, def. The latter is evidently a corruption; for the word is in analogy with leaf and sheaf, and has been from time immemorial." Always his analogies!
- 2 The Pronunciation of English in America, Atlantic Monthly, March, 1915, p. 361.
- 3 This tendency is not confined to English. The same e is encountered in languages as widely differing otherwise as Arabic, French and Swedish. "Its existence," says Sayce, in The Science of Language, Vol. I, p. 259, "is a sign of age and decay; meaning has become more important than outward form, and the educated intelligence no longer demands a clear pronunciation in order to understand what is said."

noticeable, in American, in such words as moral, quarrel and real, which become mor'l, quar'l and reel, each a single syllable. In the vulgar speech this neutral e is also dropped from other words, notably poem, diary, violet and diamond, which become pome, diry, vilet and dimond. Even in Standard American it grows shadowy in the second syllables of fertile, hostile, docile, servile, agile, reptile, etc. In Standard English these words are pronounced with the second syllables rhyming with vile, but the English use a short i in fragile and facile. They also use it in senile, which commonly shows the long i in American. The long e-sound in creek and creature is maintained in Standard American, but changed to the short i of sit in the vulgate. Sleek has divided into two words, slick and sleek, the former signifying cunning and ingratiating, and the latter referring especially to appearance. Of late there has been a strong tendency to abandon the old e-sound in such terms as bronchitis and appendicitis for an ai-sound, as in pie and buy; this is a senseless affectation, but it seems to be making progress. A contrary movement to abandon the old ai-sound in iodine, quinine, etc., for an e-sound, as in sleep, has better support in etymology, but is apparently less popular. Chlorine and vaccine are always pronounced with the e-sound, but iodine continues to be iodyne, strychnine is still usually strychnyne, and kin-een for quinine still sounds strange. In two other familiar words the ai-sound has been supplanted in American: in sliver by the short i of liver, and in farina by an e-sound. Both have the ai-sound in Standard English. Dynasty, in American, has a first syllable like dine, but in English it is din. Isolate is always eye-solate in English, but sometimes it is iss-solate in American. Tribunal and simultaneous have the y-sound in American, the short i in English. Misogynist has the short i in American but the long one of mice in English. Been, in American, is almost always bin or ben; bean never appears save as a conscious affectation. But in England bean is preferred.

Webster, in his "Dissertations on the English Language," favored the pronunciation of either and neither as ee-ther and nee-ther, and so did most of the other authorities of the time, whether American or English. But the pronunciation of the words as eye-ther and nye-ther has been common in New England for a century or more, and at an earlier date they had been pronounced ay-ther and nay-ther, a usage still surviving in the English of Ireland. How the fashion

for the eye-pronunciation arose is not known, but it was raging on both sides of the ocean by the middle of the Nineteenth Century, and is still in force. It was resisted stoutly by all the contemporary American virtuosi of language, including Edward S. Gould, W. D. Whitney and Richard Grant White. Said Gould in the middle 60's:

A common reply, in the United States, to the question, "Why do you say *i-ther* and *ni-ther?*" is, "The words are so pronounced by the best educated people in England." But that reply is not true. That is to say, a majority of the best English usage is not on that side of the question. All that any man in the United States can gain by the pronunciation of *i-ther* and ni-ther is the credit, or the discredit, of affectation, or ostentation,—as who should say, "I know how they do it in England"; for assuredly, that pronunciation is not sanctioned by a majority of our best-educated men.¹

Whitney, in 1867, admitted the eye-ther and nye-ther were spreading in the United States, but denounced them as "the deliberate choice of persons who fancy that there is something more recherché, more English" in them.2 Seven years later he called the fashion for them "a relentless and senseless infection, which can only be condemned and ought to be stoutly opposed and put down," and said that those Americans who had succumbed to it "ought to realize with shame the folly of which they have been guilty, and reform." 8 White, who was ordinarily something of an Anglomaniac, and strongly favored the broad a, nevertheless declared in 1870 that there was "no authority, either of analogy or of the best speakers, for eye-ther and nye-ther," and called their use "an affectation, and in this country, a copy of a second-rate British affectation." 4 But they continued to make headway in both England and the United States. The Oxford Dictionary, in 1897, gave the preference to ee-ther and nee-ther, but admitted that eye-ther and nye-ther were "somewhat more prevalent in educated English speech." H. W. Fowler, in "A Dictionary of Modern English Usage" (1926), predicted that they would "probably prevail," though adding that they were "not more correct" than ee-ther and nee-ther. "Webster's New International" (1934) held out for the latter, but gave eye-ther and nyether as variants. It used to be believed that they came into use in

I Good English; New York, 1867, pp. 42-43. This book was a reprint of articles contributed to the New York Evening Post, then edited by William Cullen Bryant.

² Language and the Study of Language; New York, 1867, p. 43.

The Elements of English Pronunciation, in Oriental and Linguistic Studies; New York, 1874, p. 221.
 Words and Their Uses; New York,

⁴ Words and Their Uses; New York, 1876. My quotations are from the revised edition, 1876, pp. 263-4.

England on the accession of George I, who, speaking English badly, gave the diphthong its German value, but Dr. Louise Pound has demonstrated that this theory was nonsense.¹

Hilaire Belloc has said that "every vowel sound without exception" has taken in the United States "some different value from what it has" in England.2 This is an exaggeration, but there is sufficient truth in it to give it a certain plausibility. Even the a of such common words as cab, back and hand differs in the two countries: when Englishmen speak them rapidly they often sound, to American ears, like keb, beck and hend. In the United States, in keeping with our generally more precise habits of speech, they are pronounced more clearly. The differences between the English o in rock and the American o in the same word have long engaged phonologists. The former is described by Larsen and Walker as as a lightly rounded vowel, not usually found in General American Speech, though it is close to the short form of the American aw heard in the opening syllables of authentic and autocracy"; the latter is "the shortened ah-sound usually heard in what, not, as pronounced in General American Speech." They go on:

Cultivated English speakers do not recognize this ah-sound in the words commonly spelled in o, e.g., not, rod, rock, fog, hop, rob, pomp, on, beyond, novel; English phoneticians indeed condemn it as dialectal in these words, and recognize only the first sound described above. In American, on the other hand, both sounds are heard in all these words, the shortened ah-sound being preferred in all positions. Both sounds are heard in American speech also in the wa words, e.g., wander, want, wash, watch, swamp, swan, quarrel, squander, squalid; but here too the shortened ah-sound is preferred.

Yet a third sound is sometimes heard in these wa words—it is a downright aw. One encounters it in water, wash, swamp, swam and squalid, which become, roughly, wawter, wawsh, swawmp, swawn and squawlid. It also appears in God, which may be variously God

on the Pronunciation of Either and Neither, American Speech, June, 1932. A very informing and amusing paper. Dr. Pound quotes the following from The Lady Buyer, by Frances Anne Allen, American Mercury, Feb., 1928: "[The department-store lady buyer] may say Eye-talian even after having been sent abroad for her firm, she may write formally for formerly and shamme for

chamois, and may unashamedly flaunt a dozen grammatical errors, but always standing her in good stead, and ready at the tip of her tongue, is her crystal-clear British pronunciation of either. . . Nothing on earth could make her whisper ee-ther in the darkest corner of the stock-room."

- 2 The Contrast; New York, 1924, p. 225.
- 3 Pronunciation, above cited, p. 46.

(rhyming with nod), Gahd or Gawd. The first of the three, I believe, is commonly regarded as the most formal, and I have often noticed that a speaker who says Gawd in his ordinary discourse will switch to God (or maybe Gahd) when he wants to show reverence. Miss Sarah T. Barrows of the State Teachers College at San José, Calif., once determined the practice of 268 university students, all born in Iowa, in the pronunciation of watch, water and wash. She found that 156 preferred the ah-sound in watch, 96 the aw-sound and 16 the English o-sound. In water their preferences ran 128, 80 and 80, and in wash 165, 58 and 45.1 In the Eighteenth Century, as Krapp's researches show,2 it was not unheard of for the a of care to be used in such words. Thus, the poets of the time rhymed war not only with care, but also with air, dare, glare, forbear, spare, share, blare, snare, despair, bear, bare and prepare. But the rhymes of poets are not always to be trusted, and it is to be noted that those examined by Krapp also occasionally rhymed war with car, mar, far, jar, scar and tar. In any case, it began to be rhymed with more after the beginning of the Nineteenth Century. At the present time its a is substantially equivalent to the o of story, but ah is also heard. In words containing au, aw or ou the sound is usually aw, but in the vulgar speech a flat a like that of land gets into haunt, jaundice and sometimes launch. Laundry may be lawndry, lahndry or londry. Aunt, of course, is ant to the plain people everywhere, save in the Boston area and parts of the South.

Usage in the pronunciation of u still differs widely in the United States. Two sounds, that of oo in goose and that of u in bush, are used by different speakers in the same word. The oo-sound prevails in aloof, boot, broom, food, groom, proof, rood, room, rooster, root, soon, spook, spoon and woof, and the u-sound in butcher, cooper, hoof, hoop, nook, rook and soot, but there are educated Americans who employ the oo-sound in coop, hoof and hoop. In hooves and rooves I have heard both sounds. Rooves seems to be extinct in the written speech as the plural of roof, but it certainly survives in spoken American. In words of the com-class, save company, Americans substitute ah for the u used by Englishmen; even compass often shows it. So do constable and conjure. The English are far more careful with the shadowy y preceding u in words of

¹ Watch, Water, Wash, American 2 The English Language in America, Speech, April, 1929. Vol. II, p. 83.

the duty class than Americans. The latter retain it in the initial position and in the medial position when unstressed, but omit it in almost all other situations. Nyew, nyude, dyuke, Tyuesday, enthyusiasm, styupid and syuit would seem affectations in most parts of the United States. The schoolmarm still battles valiantly for dyuty, but in vain. In 1912 the Department of Education of New York City warned all the municipal high-school teachers to combat the oo-sound 2 but it is doubtful that one pupil in a hundred was thereby induced to insert the y in induced. În figure, however, Americans retain the y-sound, whereas the English drop it. Noah Webster was violently against it in all situations. The English lexicographer, John Walker, had argued for it in his "Critical Pronouncing Dictionary and Expositor of the English Language," 1791, but Webster's prestige, while he lived, remained so high in some quarters that he carried the day, and the older professors at Yale, it is said, continued to use natur down to 1839. In the South a y is sometimes inserted before a, i or o, especially following k, e.g., in cyard, Cyarter, kyind, cyow. This intrusion of the y was formerly common in New England also, and as Krapp says, "is not yet wholly extinct." In lieutenant the Englishman pronounces the first syllable lef or lev; the American makes it loo. White says that the prevailing American pronunciation is relatively recent. "I never heard it," he reports, "in my boyhood." 8 He was born in New York in 1821. Nevertheless, it was advocated by Walker in 1793. The word was originally French, and loo comes closer to the original pronunciation than lef or lev. How the latter form arose is uncertain.4

In Middle English the diphthong oi was pronounced like the oy of boy, but during the early Modern English period the pronunciation became assimilated with that of i in wine, and this usage prevailed at the time of the settlement of America. The colonists thus brought it with them, and at the same time it lodged in Ireland, where it still prevails. But in England, during the late Eighteenth Century

I A woman teacher of English, born in Tennessee, tells me that the y-sound is much more persistent in the South than in the North. "I have never," she says, "heard a native Southerner fail to retain the sound in new. The same is true of duke, stew, due, duty and Tuesday. But it is not true of blue and true."

2 High School Circular No. 17, June 19, 1912.

3 Every-Day English; Boston, 1881, p. 243.

p. 243.
 There is an inconclusive discussion of the question in the Oxford Dictionary, under lieutenant.

this i-sound was displaced in many words by the original oi-sound, not by historical research but by deduction from the spelling, and that new pronunciation soon extended to the polite speech of America. In the common speech, however, the i-sound persisted, and down to the time of the Civil War it was constantly heard in such words as boil, hoist, oil, join, spoil, joist, pennyroyal, poison and roil, which thus became bile, hist, ile, jine, spile, jist, pennyr'yal, pisen and rile. Even brile for broil was sometimes noted. Since then the schoolmarm has combated the i-sound with such vigor that it has begun to disappear, and such forms as pisen, bile and ile are seldom heard. But in certain other words, perhaps supported by Irish influence, the i-sound still persists. Chief among them are hoist and roil. An unlearned American, wishing to say that he was enraged, never says that he was roiled, but always that he was riled. Desiring to examine the hoof of a horse, he never orders the animal to hoist but always to hist. In the form of booze-hister, the latter is almost in good usage. In the coal-mines of Southern Illinois hoist is pronounced correctly in hoisting-engineer, but he always hists the coal.1 line as a verb has retired to certain dialects, but the noun jiner, signifying a man given to joining fraternal orders, is still in common use. Most of the other vowel changes in vulgar American are also to be encountered in the British dialects. A flat a displaces the long e in rear (e.g., as a horse) and the short e of thresh and wrestle, producing rare, thrash and wrassle. In the days before the Civil War a short i displaced o in cover and e in chest and kettle, producing kiver, chist and kittle, but now only kittle is heard. Jedge for judge and empire for umpire survive more or less, but jest and jist for just are almost extinct. So are leetle for little, fust for first, sech for such, and tech for touch. But shet for shut is still in use and so is gal for girl. The substitution of guardeen and champeen for guardian and champion is very common. So is that of snoot for snout. So is that of muss for mess. In jaundice not only is the a flattened, but the final syllable becomes -ers. One stamps a letter but stomps with the foot. This last differentiation seems to have a number of parallels in English: the case of strap and (razor)-strop suggests itself at once. In vulgar American a horse chomps its bit, but champ remains a good shortened form of champeen. Similarly, a cow tromps her fodder,

I I am indebted here to Dr. H. K. Croessmann, of Du Quoin, Ill.

but a vagrant remains a tramp. By assimilation cartoon (a drawing) has been substituted for carton (a cardboard package). The last syllables of engine and genuine often rhyme with line. Webster said in his "Dissertations on the English Language" (1789) that mought for might was then "heard in most of the States, but not frequently except in a few towns." It has now gone out, but the American Freemasons still use the archaic mote for may in their occult ceremonials.

I have spoken of the American pronunciation of a few foreign words, e.g., piano, tornado, alma mater, the medical terms in -itis and the chemical terms in -ine. George O. Curme, a distinguished authority, says that in the plural of Latin words in -a the final -ae is "pronounced as e in react" but it is my observation that -ay is more often heard, and Larsen and Walker give it as a variant. Certainly vertebray is commoner than vertebree, and alumnay is at least as common as alumnee. On the level of refined discourse some effort is made in the United States to approximate the correct pronunciation of loan-words from living languages, and it would be unusual to hear an American medical man pronounce röntgenogram as if the first two syllables were runtgen - the pronunciation recommended to English radio announcers in "Broadcast English." Even among the plain people loan-words brought in by word of mouth are commonly pronounced more or less plausibly, e.g., kosher, cabaret, buffet, chauffeur, chiffon, chef, négligé, frau, seidel, gesundheit, männerchor and café. I have, however, heard kaif for café, and among the words first apprehended in print brasseer is common for brassière, porteer for portière, jardeneer for jardinière, ratskiller for ratskeller, huffbrow for hofbräu, vawdvil for vaudeville, dash'und for dachshund, camoofladge for camouflage, shammy for chamois, fyancy for fiancée, massoor for masseur, de-bút for début, likkare for liqueur, nee for née, premeer for première, meenoo for menu, switeser for schweizer, ródeo for rodéo, and coop for coupé. In the Hinterwald the musical terms brought it by wandering performers undergo a radical transformation. Prélude becomes prelood, berceuse becomes bersoose, étude becomes ee-tude, scherzo becomes shirt-so, and träumerei becomes trowmerai. Some years ago the word protégé had a brief vogue in fistic circles, and was often used by

¹ Parts of Speech and Accidence; Boston, 1935, p. 119.

announcers at prize-fights. They always pronounced it *proteege*. I once heard a burlesque show manager, in announcing a French dancing act, pronounce *M.* and *Mlle*. as *Em* and *Milly*. And who doesn't remember

As I walked along the Boys Boo-long With an independent air

and

Say aw re-vore, But not good-by!

Charles James Fox, it is said, called the red wine of France Bordox to the end of his days. He had an American heart; his great speeches for the revolting colonies were more than mere oratory. John Bright, another kind friend in troubled days, had one too. He always said Bordox and Calass.

3. THE CONSONANTS

The generally more distinct utterance of Americans preserves a number of consonants that have begun to decay in Standard English. The English have not only made a general slaughter of r; they also show a tendency to be careless about l, d, g and t, at least in certain situations, and even on the level of the best usage they drop a few b's. An American always sounds the first l in fulfill; an Englishman commonly makes the first syllable foo. An American sounds the d in kindness; an Englishman doesn't. An American sounds the final t in trait, and usually the t in often also; an Englishman makes the first word homologous with tray and reduces the second to off'n. In the United States the final g in the -ing words is usually sounded clearly, at least by speakers of any education; in England it often disappears, and indeed its omission is fashionable, and a mark of social status. Next after the use of the broad a, the elision of r before consonants and in the terminal position is the thing that Americans

I "Why a dropped g should be considered to be good English," says St. John Ervine in The Curse of "Refanement," London Daily Mail, Aug. 30, 1926, "when a dropped h is considered to be a sign of ill-breeding I cannot imagine; but seemingly if those who drop their final g's took to dropping their initial h's, while those

who drop their h's took to dropping their g's instead, h-dropping would be 'the best English' and g-dropping would be damnable. The 'best people,' whoever they may be, have fashions of speech that are as vulgar as that of 'the worst people.'" Krapp shows that the change of ng to n was probably common in early American.

are always most conscious of in English speech. In 1913 the late Robert Bridges belabored the English clergy for saying "the sawed of the Laud" instead of "the sword of the Lord" and six years later he drew up a list of homophones, showing that the following pairs and triplets were pronounced exactly alike by his countrymen: alms-arms, aunt-aren't, balm-barm, board-bored-bawd, hoar-whorehaw, lorn-lawn, pore-paw, source-sauce, saw-soar-sore, stalk-stork, taut-taught-tort, father-farther, ah-are, bah-bar-baa, taw-tore, rawroar, more-maw, floor-flaw.2 "The majority of educated Englishmen," says Robert J. Menner, "certainly do not pronounce the rbefore a consonant. Just as certainly the majority of educated Americans pronounce it distinctly." 8 John S. Kenyon estimates that twothirds of all Americans do so.4 The violent Anglophile, Henry James, revisiting the United States after many years in England, was so distressed by this clear sounding of r that he denounced it as "a morose grinding of the back teeth," 5 and became so sensitive to it that he began to hear it where it was actually non-existent, save as an occasional barbarism, e.g., in Cuba-r, vanilla-r and California-r. He put the blame for it, and for various other departures from the strict canon of Oxford English, upon "the American school, the American newspaper, and the American Dutchman and Dago," and went on piously:

There are, you see, sounds of a mysterious intrinsic meanness, and there are sounds of a mysterious intrinsic frankness and sweetness; and I think the recurrent note I have indicated—fatherr and motherr and otherr, waterr and matterr and scatterr, harrd and barrd, parrt, starrt, and (dreadful to say) arrt (the repetition it is that drives home the ugliness), are signal specimens of what becomes of a custom of utterance out of which the principle of taste has dropped.

James's observations must have been made west of the Connecticut river and north of the Potomac, for in the Boston area and in all of the South save the mountain region r is elided in something

John Walker, in his Critical Pronouncing Dictionary and Expositor of the English Language; London, 1791, argued for dropping the g in the final syllables of participles of verbs ending in g, e.g., singing and ringing.

A Tract on the Present State of English Pronunciation; Oxford, 1913.

² On English Homophones; Oxford, 1919.

<sup>The Pronunciation of English in America, Atlantic Monthly, March, 1915, p. 362.
Some Notes on American R, Amer-</sup>

⁴ Some Notes on American R, American Speech, March, 1926, p. 333.

⁵ The Question of Our Speech; Boston, 1905, p. 29.

resembling the English manner.1 H. C. Wyld offers evidences 2 that it was lost before consonants "at least as early as the Fifteenth Century," and especially before -s and -sh, as in sca'cely and ma'sh. Krapp gives many examples from the early American town records, and calls attention to the fact that there are survivals in vulgar American, as in cuss, bust, passel (for parcel) and hoss.3 Toward the end of the Eighteenth Century it became fashionable in England to omit the r and Samuel Johnson helped that fashion along by denouncing the "rough snarling letter." It is now omitted in the middle of words before all consonants, and at the end of words unless the following word of the sentence begins with a vowel. It is retained, says Wyld, "initially, and when preceded by another consonant, before vowels," as in run and grass; "in the middle of words between vowels," as in starry and hearing; and usually, "at the end of words when the next word begins with a vowel, and there is no pause in the sentence between the words," as in for ever, over all and her ear. But Wyld admits that even in the last-named situation "the younger generation" denies it clear utterance. In the American South it is boldly omitted. No Tidewater Virginian says over all; he says ovah all. Krapp speculates somewhat inconclusively regarding the preservation of the r in General American. He says that the emigration to the West was largely made up of New Englanders from west of the Connecticut, and that in that region the r was always sounded. He alludes, too, to the probable influence of Scottish and Irish immigrants. "Perhaps also," he adds,

formal instruction in the schools and the habit of reading have not been without influence in the Western pronunciation of r. New England has also had its schools and its readers, but students of language are frequently called upon to observe that only in unsettling social circumstances, such as migration, do forces which may long have been present exert their full power.⁴

- In the New York dialect it is lost between the neutral vowel and a consonant, as in thoid, boid, goil, etc., but that is only on the vulgar level.
- 2 A History of Modern Colloquial English; London, 1920, p. 298.
 3 The English Language in America,

Vol. II, p. 220.

4 The English Language in America, p. 231. There is a long and interesting discussion of the variations in the American r in Some Notes on

American R, by John S. Kenyon, American Speech, March, 1926. See also The Dog's Letter, by C. H. Grandgent, in Old and New; Cambridge, Mass., 1920; Loss of R in English Through Dissimilation, by George Hempl, Dialect Notes, Vol. I, Pt. VI, 1893, and The Humorous R, by Louise Pound, American Mercury, Oct., 1924, p. 233 ff. Dr. Pound deals with such forms as dorg, purp, schoolmarm, orter and orf. She shows

The majority of Americans seem to have early abandoned all effort to sound the h in such words as when and where. It is still supposed to be sounded in England, and its absence is often denounced as an American barbarism, but as a matter of fact few Englishmen actually sound it, save in the most formal discourse. Some time ago the English novelist, Archibald Marshall, published an article in a London newspaper arguing that it was a sheer physical impossibility to sound the h correctly. "You cannot pronounce wh," he said, "if you try. You have to turn it into hw to make it any different from w." Nevertheless, Mr. Marshall argued, with true English orthodoxy, that the effort should be made. "Most words of one syllable beginning with wh," he said, "and many of two syllables have a corresponding word, but of quite different meaning, beginning with w alone. When-wen, whether-weather, while-wile, whither-wither, wheel-weal. If there is a distinction ready to hand it is of advantage to make use of it." That is to say, to make use of hwen, hwether, hwile, hwither and hweel. The Americans do not sound the h in heir, honest, honor, hour and humor and their derivatives, and frequently omit it in herb, humble and humility. In the vulgar speech herb is often yarb. In Standard English h is openly omitted from hostler, even in spelling, and is seldom clearly sounded in hotel and hospital. Certain English words in which it is now sounded apparently betray its former silence by the fact that not a but an is commonly put before them. It is still good English usage to write an hotel and an historical. The intrusion of h into words

that when a r is intruded in English humorous writing, as in larf, gorn, and arnswer it is not intended to be pronounced: it simply indicates that the preceding vowel is to have the sound of a in father. In Concerning the American Language, which Mark Twain included in The Stolen White Elephant; Hartford, 1882, and described as "part of a chapter crowded out of A Tramp Abroad," he represented himself as saying to an Englishman met on a train in Germany: "If the signs are to be trusted even your educated classes used to drop the b. They say humble now [with the clear b], and heroic, and historic, etc., but I judge that they used to drop those h's because your

writers still keep up the fashion of putting an an before those words instead of an a. This is what Mr. Darwin might call a 'rudimentary' sign that an was justifiable once, and useful — when your educated classes used to say 'umble, and 'eroic, and 'istorical. Correct writers of the American language do not put an before those words." But a correspondent sends me the following argument for the use of an: "My sense of euphony (and, I believe, the genius of the English language) requires something between the a and the h-sound in all such cases. Witness the absence of English words showing such a combination. I believe that all English words beginning with a, in which where it doesn't belong, a familiar characteristic of Cockney English, is unknown in any of the American dialects. The authority of Webster was sufficient to establish the American pronunciation of schedule. In England the sch is always given the soft sound, but Webster decided for the hard sound as in scheme. The name of the last letter of the alphabet, which is always zed in England, is often made zee in the United States. Thornton shows that this Americanism arose in the Eighteenth Century. Americans give nephew (following a spelling pronunciation, historically incorrect) a clear f-sound instead of the clouded English v-sound. They show some tendency to abandon the ph(f)-sound in diphtheria, diphthong and naphtha, for a plain p-sound. English usage prefers a clear s-sound in such words as issue and sensual, but in America the sound is commonly that of sh. English usage prefers a clear tu-sound in actual, punctuate, virtue, and their like, but in America the tu tends to become choo. On the vulgar level amateur is always amachoor, and picture is pitchur or pitcher. Literature is literater in elegant American and litrachoor among the general; in England it is litrachua or litrichua. The American plain people have some difficulty with t and d. They add a t to close, wish and once, and displace d with t in hold, which becomes holt. In told and old they abandon the d altogether, preferring tole and ole. Didn't is pronounced di'n't, and find becomes indistinguishable from fine. The same letter is often dropped before consonants, as in bran(d)-new, goo(d)-sized and corne(d)-beef. The old ax for ask is now confined to a few dialects; in the current vulgate ast is substituted for it. The t is dropped in bankrup, kep, slep, crep, quanity and les (let's). The l is omitted from a'ready and gent'man, and the first g from reco'nize. As in Standard English, there is a frequent dropping of g in the -ing words, but it is usually preserved in anything and everything.2 The

Read, Dialect Notes, Vol. III, Pt.

a syllable beginning with b follows, are dissyllables. That is to say, the h-syllable is accented. Witness ahead, ahoy, ahem." See Text, Type and Style, by George B. Ives; Boston, 1921, p. 269, and A and An Before H and Certain Vowels, by Louis Feipel, American Speech, Aug., 1929.

¹ See Some Variant Pronunciations in the New South, by William A.

VII, 1911, p. 504 ff.

2 The late Ring Lardner once said:
"I used, occasionally, to sit on the players' bench at baseball games, and it was there that I noted the exceptions made in favor of these two words. A player, returning to the bench after batting, would be asked, 'Has he got anything in there?' ('He - in there' always

substitution of th for t in height, like the addition of t to once, seems to be an heirloom from the English of two centuries ago, but the excrescent b, as in chimbley and fambly, is apparently native. There are many parallels for the English butchery of extraordinary; for example, bound'ry, pro'bition, int'res', gover'ment, chrysanthe'um, Feb'uary, hist'ry, lib'ry and prob'ly. Ordinary is commonly enunciated clearly, but it has bred a degenerated form, onry or onery, differentiated in meaning.1 Consonants are misplaced by metathesis, as in prespiration, hunderd, brethern, childern, interduce, calvary, govrenment, and modren. Ow is changed to er, as in piller, swaller, beller and holler, or to a, as in fella, or to i as in minni (minnow). Words are given new syllables, as in ellum, fillum, reality (realty), lozenger, athaletic, bronichal, blasphemious, mischievious, Cubéan, mountainious, tremendious, mayorality and municipial, or new consonants, as in overhall and larcensy.2 In yes the terminal consonant is often omitted, leaving the vowel, which is that of desk, unchanged. This form is sometimes represented in print by yeah, which suggests yay and is inaccurate. But there are many other forms of yes, and Dr. Louise Pound once gathered no less than 37 in a single group of students at the University of Nebraska.8 St. John Ervine, the Anglo-Irish critic, who is ordinarily extremely hospitable to Americanisms, has carried on a crusade against these American yeses, and especially against the one which omits the s and the one usually represented by yep, which last, he says, "can sometimes be heard on English tongues." He has denounced both as "disgusting." 4 "These variations of a single English word," he says, "are inevitable in a country with a polyglot population. . . . When an American immigrant says yah or yep he is probably trying to say yes, just as a baby when it mispronounces a word is trying to pronounce it cor-

means the pitcher.) The answer would be 'He's got everything.' On the other hand, the player might return and (usually after striking out) say, 'He ain't got nothin'.' And the manager: 'Looks' like he must have somethin'.'"

I This word, when written, often appears as *ornery*, but it is almost always pronounced *on'ry*, with the first syllable rhyming with *don*.

first syllable rhyming with don.

Not infrequently such forms are used by the sophisticated, especially in the halls of learning, for humor-

ous effect. See Intentional Mispronunciations, by Margaret Reed, American Speech, Feb., 1932. But in a headline in the San Francisco Chronicle, June 29, 1931, mayorality was printed quite seriously, and in Baltimore there is an Autogenius Company which does autogenous welding.

welding.
3 Popular Variants of Yes, American Speech, Dec., 1926.

⁴ English - According to American Skedule, London Evening Standard. Sept. 23, 1020.

rectly." He says that the yes without the s sounds as if the speaker "had started out to say yes, but had suddenly contracted a violent pain in his stomach and was unable to sound the sibilant." No sometimes picks up a terminal p, and becomes nope.

4. DIALECTS

All the early writers on the American language remarked its strange freedom from dialects. The first of them to deal with it at length, the Rev. John Witherspoon, thus sought to account for the fact:

The vulgar in America speak much better than the vulgar in Great Britain for a very obvious reason, viz., that being much more unsettled, and moving frequently from place to place, they are not so liable to local peculiarities either in accent or phraseology. There is a greater difference in dialect between one county and another in Britain than there is between one State and another in America.²

Timothy Dwight and John Pickering took the same line. "In the United States," said Dwight in 1815, "there is not, I presume, a descendant of English ancestors whose conversation is not easily and perfectly intelligible to every other." "It is agreed," said Pickering a year later, "that there is a greater uniformity of dialect throughout the United States (in consequence of the frequent removals of people from one part of the country to another) than is to be found in England." The Rev. Jonathan Boucher, whose glossary was published in 1832, was of the same mind. "There is, properly speaking," he said, "no dialect in America . . . unless some scanty remains of the croaking, guttural idioms of the Dutch, still observable in New York; the Scotch-Irish, as it used to be called, in some of the back settlers of the Middle States; and the whining, canting drawl brought by some republican, Oliverian and Puritan emigrants from the West of England, and still kept up by their un-

1 Quoted from the London Spectator in American Speech, June, 1927, p. 413.

1927, p. 413.

The Druid, No. V, May 9, 1781, reprinted in The Beginnings of American English, by M. M. Mathews; Chicago, 1931, p. 16. For the testimony of other early observers see British Recognition of American Speech in the Eighteenth Century, by Allen Walker Read,

Dialect Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. VI, July, 1933.

3 Remarks on the Review of Inchiquin's Letters, Published in the Quarterly Review; Boston, 1815.

4 A Vocabulary or Collection of Words and Phrases Which Have Been Supposed to be Peculiar to the United States of America; Boston, 1816, prefatory essay. It is reprinted in Mathews, just cited. regenerated descendants of New England – may be called dialects." ¹ J. Fenimore Cooper, already quoted in praise of American speech in Section 1 of this chapter, agreed thoroughly with Witherspoon, Pickering and Boucher. He said in 1828:

If the people of this country were like the people of any other country on earth we should be speaking at this moment a great variety of nearly unintelligible patois, but . . . there is not, probably, a man (of English descent) born in this country who would not be perfectly intelligible to all whom he should meet in the streets of London, though a vast number of those he met would be nearly unintelligible to him. . . . This resemblance in speech can only be ascribed to the great diffusion of intelligence, and to the inexhaustible activity of the people which, in a manner, destroys space.²

Cooper added that such meager dialects as were to be encountered in the United States were fast wearing down to uniformity. The differences between New England, New York and Pennsylvania speech, he said, "were far greater twenty years ago than they are now." A generation later George P. Marsh reported that this ironing out had been arrested. "I think no Eastern man," he said, "can hear a native of the Mississippi Valley use the o vocative, or observe the Southern pronunciation of ejaculatory or other emphatic phrases, without perceiving a very marked though often indescribable difference between their and our utterance of the same things." But Marsh was still convinced that American was singularly uniform. He said:

Not only is the average of English used here, both in speaking and writing, better than that of the great mass of the English people; but there are fewer local peculiarities of form and articulation in our vast extent of territory than on the comparatively narrow soil of Great Britain. In spite of disturbing and distracting causes, English is more emphatically one in America than in its native land.

A great many other authorities might be quoted, all supporting the same doctrine. I choose two, both from the year 1919. The first is the anonymous Englishman who edited the monthly called *English*, now defunct.⁴ In his issue for October he said:

The citizen of the United States can travel from the Atlantic seaboard to the Pacific, from the Great Lakes to the Gulf of Mexico, without experiencing

- 1 A Supplement to Johnson's Dictionary of the English Language; London, 1832-33.
- 2 Notions of the Americans; London, 1828, Vol. II, pp. 164-5.
- 3 Lectures on the English Language;
- New York, 1860; 4th ed., 1870, Lecture XXX, pp. 666-67 and 674-75.
- 4 It was set up in London in March, 1919, and ran for about two years.

any change in the pronunciation that can be taken as evidence of dialect; but in England one cannot go from one county to another, and in many cases not from the West to East end of a single town, without noticing a most marked difference in the pronunciation of words. Many a Londoner has been hopelessly baffled when for the first time he has asked a Liverpool policeman or a Glasgow newsboy to direct him, and if an Essex laborer were suddenly to find himself in the bar-parlor of a Dartmoor inn, or at a meeting of Yorkshire miners, he would be scarcely more able to follow the conversation than if he were in Petrograd.

The other authority is the late George Philip Krapp, professor of English at Columbia and the author of two standard works on American pronunciation. He said:

Relatively few Americans spend all their lives in one locality, and even if they do, they cannot possibly escape coming into contact with Americans from other localities. . . . We can distinguish with some certainty Eastern and Western and Southern speech, but beyond this the author has little confidence in those confident experts who think they can tell infallibly, by the test of speech, a native of Hartford from a native of Providence, or a native of Philadelphia from a native of Atlanta, or even, if one insist on infallibility, a native of Chicago from a native of Boston.

Krapp was discussing Standard American, but on the plane of the vulgate the leveling is quite as apparent. That vast uniformity which marks the people of the United States, in political theory, in social habit, in general information, in reaction to new ideas, in deep-lying prejudices and enthusiasms, in the veriest details of domestic custom and dress, is nowhere more marked than in their speech habits. The incessant neologisms of the national dialect sweep the whole country almost instantly, and the iconoclastic changes which its popular spoken form is constantly undergoing show themselves from coast to coast.

Nevertheless, there are dialectical differences in spoken American, and they have been observed and recorded by a multitude of phonologists, both professional and lay. The organization of the American Dialect Society in 1889, the continuous, if somewhat infrequent, appearance of Dialect Notes ever since, and the preparation of a Linguistic Atlas of the country are sufficient evidences that American dialects really exist. Disregarding local peculiarities, there are three of them. The most important is that which a leading authority, Dr. Hans Kurath, calls Western American: it is the tongue that the over-

The Pronunciation of Standard English in America; New York, 1919, and The English Language in America; New York, 1925, the second volume of which is devoted almost wholly to pronunciation. The quotation is from the former, p. viii. whelming majority of Americans speak, and the one that Englishmen always have in mind when they discuss American English. Its territory includes all of New England west of the Connecticut river, the whole of the Middle Atlantic area save the lower Eastern Shore of Maryland and lower Delaware, and all the region west of the Cotton Belts of Texas and Arkansas and north of Central Missouri. In Ohio, Indiana and Illinois it comes down close to the Ohio river, and in the South it includes parts of the mountain country. It is also spoken east of the Connecticut river, in parts of Rhode Island, New Hampshire and Maine, and by many persons in Boston. No other form of American is so widespread, and none other is still spreading. The socalled New England dialect, once spoken all over the territory east of the Hudson, is now pretty well confined to the Boston area, and even there it is decaying. The Southern form of American occupies the area south of the Potomac and west to the Mississippi river, with extensions into Texas, Arkansas, Missouri, parts of Delaware and the Eastern Shore of Maryland, and the lower counties of Illinois, Indiana and Ohio. Dr. Kurath believes that these divisions in American English were produced by the character of the immigration settling the different parts of the country, and in this theory most other authorities agree with him. The early settlers of Eastern New England and the Tidewater region of the South came chiefly from the Southern parts of England,1 and they brought with them those characters of Southern English speech that are still marked today in Standard English and separate the dialects of the Boston area and of the South from the speech of the rest of the United States, e.g., the use of the broad a and the elision of r before consonants and in the terminal position. But the western parts of New England and the uplands of the South were settled mainly by immigrants speaking Northern varieties of English - many of them the so-called Scotch-Irish - and so were New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Maryland. When the movement into the West began there were two streams. The one, starting from the Tidewater South, carried Southern English into the cotton lands of Georgia, Alabama and Mississippi, into parts of Texas, Arkansas and Missouri, and into all save the mountainous parts of Kentucky; the other, starting from Western New England and

I Including not only the London area, but also East Anglia and the Southwestern counties of Devon, Dorset and Somerset—in short, the whole region south of a line drawn from the mouth of the Severn to the Wash, but excluding Cornwall. the Middle Atlantic region, carried Northern English into New York State, the Appalachian region down to the North Carolina-Tennessee border, and virtually the whole of the Middle and Far West. Thus the dialect of the Boston area and that of the South are closely allied. Both are forms of Southern English. But there is much less apparent influence of Southern English in the Western American which now dominates the country. It is, in many ways, nearer related to Lowland Scotch.¹

The chief characters of Western, or General American and of New England and Southern American have been indicated in the preceding sections of this chapter. All three show local variations, and in the midst of the areas of each of them there are islands of one or another of the other forms. The literature dealing with some of the regional forms is very extensive; indeed, it is almost as extensive as the literature dealing with American pronunciation in general. This is true, especially, of the dialect of Appalachia, which includes the area of the Ozarks. It is interesting because the people speaking it have been isolated for many years, and have thus preserved speech-forms that have become archaic elsewhere. They are also, in the main, of low economic status, and it is among the poor that ancient forms are least affected by pedagogy and fashion. The dialect of Appalachia is based primarily upon the Southern English of the late Seventeenth Century, but it has been considerably modified by the Northern English brought in by the Scotch-Irish. The mountain folk are fond of thinking of themselves as the only carriers of pure Anglo-Saxon blood in America, but as a matter of fact many of them are Celts, as an examination of their surnames quickly shows. Their dialect was put to extensive literary use 2 before it got much attention from philologians, but since an account of it by Dr. Josiah Combs appeared in 19168 it has been investigated at

I Dr. Kurath discusses all these points at length in American Pronunciation, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXX, 1928, and The Origin of the Dialectical Differences in Spoken American English, Modern Philology, May, 1928. See also The English Language in America, by G. P. Krapp, above cited, Vol. II, pp. 29–30, and Scotland and Americanisms, by William Craigie, an address delivered before the Institute of Medicine, Chicago, Dec. 4, 1928.

2 For example, by Mary N. Murfree (Charles Egbert Craddock) (1850-1922) and John W. Fox (1863-1919). Miss Murfree's first book of mountain stories, In the Tennessee Mountains, was published in 1884. Mr. Fox's Hell For Sartain, 1897, was an immense success in its day.

3 Old Early and Elizabethan English in the Southern Mountains, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. IV, 1916. Dr. Combs has also published 'Early English Slang Survivals in

some length. The Ozark form has been the special province of Vance Randolph, a native of the region where it is spoken, and he has published a number of valuable studies of it.¹

In his book, "The Ozarks," he gives the following specimen:

Lee Yancey allus was a right work-brickel feller, clever an' biddable as all git-out, but he aint got nary smidgin' o' mother-wit, an' he aint nothin' on'y a tie-whackin' sheer-crapper noways. I seed him an' his least chaps a-bustin' out middles down in ol' man Price's bottom t'other ev'nin', a-whoopin' an' a-blaggardin' an' a-spewin' ambeer all over each an' ever', whilst thet 'ar pore susy hippoed woman o' hisn was a-pickin' boogers out'n her yeller tags, an' a-scunchin' cheenches on th' puncheon with a antiganglin' noodle-hook. D'rectly Lee he come a-junin' in all narvish-like an' tetchous, an' rid th' pore ol' trollop a bug-huntin' – jes' plum bodacious hipped an' ruinated her. They never did have nothin' on'y jes' a heap o' poke salat an' a passel o' these hyar hawg-mollies, but he must a got hisse'f a bait o' vittles some'ers, 'cause come can'le-light he geared up his ol' piedy cribber an' lit as huck fer Gotham Holler. The danged ol' durgen – he should orter be bored fer th' simples'.

The pronunciation of this dialect, according to Mr. Randolph,² is very much like that of general vulgar American as noted in Sections 2 and 4 of the present chapter, but it preserves many early forms that have fallen out of use elsewhere, and reinforces and exaggerates most of those that remain. The short a is so much favored that it appears even in balm and gargle, but in narrow and barrel a broad a is substituted, so that they become nabrr' and babr'l. In other situations the broad a is turned into a u, as in whut, fur and ruther for what, far and rather. In have and gather the a becomes e, making

the Mountains of Kentucky, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. IV, 1921, and The Language of the Southern Highlanders, Publications of the Modern Language Association, Dec., 1931. There is a criticism of some of Combs's conclusions by J. M. Steadman, Jr., in Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. V, 1916.

I A summary of his observations is in The Ozark Dialect, in The Ozarks: an American Survival of Primitive Society; New York, 1931. He has also published A Word-List From the Ozarks, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. IX, 1926; The Ozark Dialect in Fiction, American Speech, March, 1927; More Words From the Ozarks, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. X, 1927; The Grammar of the Ozark Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. X, 1927;

lect, American Speech, Oct., 1927; Pronunciation in the Ozark Dialect (with Anna A. Ingleman), the same, June, 1928; Literary Words in the Ozarks, the same, Oct., 1928; A Possible Source of Some Ozark Neologisms, the same, Dec., 1928; Is There an Ozark Dialect?, the same, Feb., 1929; A Third Ozark Word-List, the same, Oct., 1929; Dialectical Survivals in the Ozarks (with Patti Sankee), the same, Feb., April, and June, 1930; Recent Fiction and the Ozark Dialect, the same, Aug., 1931; and A Fourth Ozark Word-List, the same, Feb., 1933.

2 Pronunciation in the Ozark Dialect (with Anna A. Ingleman), American Speech, June, 1928. hev and gether. A final unstressed a often becomes y, as in Clary, alfalfy and pneumony. Certain is nearly always sartain, and celery is salery. The u is seldom pronounced correctly. Brush is bresh, such is sich, sure is shore, until is ontil, gum is goom and ewe is yo. The au-sound is usually changed. Saucy, as in the general vulgate, becomes sassy, and jaundice is janders. In addition, haunt is hant and aunt is either ant or something like ain't. The difficulties that all untutored Americans have with t are multiplied. "Such nouns as post and nest," says Mr. Randolph, " drop the t in the singular, but in the plural the t is pronounced distinctly and an unaccented syllable added - nestes and postes. T replaces the final d in words like salad, ballad, killed, errand, scared and held, so that they are best rendered salat, ballat, kilt, errant, skeert and helt. Occasionally the final t is replaced by a k-sound, as when vomit is turned into vomick." An excrescent t is added to many words beside the familiar once, wish and close; thus sudden becomes suddint, trough is trought, cliff is clift and chance is chanct. An intrusive y appears in hear and ear, which become hyar and yhar. The sk of muskrat and muskmelon is changed to sh. "The -ing ending is always pronounced in, with the short i-sound very distinct. . . . The Ozarker says sleepin' - never sleep'n'.... Sometimes the g is dropped from the middle of a word also, as in strength and length, which are nearly always pronounced stren'th and len'th." In many words the accent is thrown forward; thus, catarrh, guitar, insane, harangue, relapse, police and hurrah are accented on the first syllable. The Ozarker borrows a cocknevism in hit for it, but he uses it "only at the beginning of a clause, or when unusual emphasis is desired."1

In most ways the pronunciation of the hillmen of the main Appalachian range is identical with Ozarkian usage, but it shows a stronger influence of Tidewater Southern. There are, of course, many local variations, due to the extreme isolation of the mountain communities. Maristan Chapman discerns three chief sub-dialects — the first spoken in the Cumberlands of Kentucky and Tennessee, the second in the Great Smokies, and the third in the Blue Ridge of Virginia and West

lect Notes, Vol. II, Pt. VI, 1904; Vol. III, Pt. I, 1905; Pt. II, 1906; Pt. III, 1907; Pt. V, 1909; and in Snake County Talk [McDonald county, Mo.], by Jay L. B. Taylor, the same, Vol. V, Pt. VI, 1923.

On the Ozark Pronunciation of It, by Vernon C. Allison, American Speech, Feb., 1929. Word-lists from the Ozark dialect are to be found in A List of Words From Northwest Arkansas, by J. W. Carr, Dia-

Virginia.1 Differences are to be found, not only in pronunciation, but also in vocabulary, and Mr. Chapman gives some curious examples. In the Cumberlands a small portion of anything is a smidgen, in the Great Smokies it is a canch, and in the Blue Ridge it is a tiddy-bit. In the Cumberlands a cow is a cow-beast, in the Great Smokies she is a cow-brute, and in the Blue Ridge she is a she-cow. In the Ozarks, it may be added, cow-brute is a euphemism for bull. But these differences are yielding to good roads and the automobile, and in another generation the mountain folk, for the most part, will probably be speaking the general vulgate.2 The mountain type of speech is not confined to the actual mountains. It has been taken to the Piedmont by hill-folk going to work in the cotton-mills, and Dr. W. Cabell Greet says that it is "well fixed on the Southwestern plains and in cities like Fort Worth and Dallas," and has echoes on the Delmarva Peninsula and on the islands of Chesapeake Bay. He adds that "it is often slower than the speech of the lowlands, where rapid speech is more common than slow speech"; also, that it is " often nasal and high pitched." 3

The popular belief ascribes some of the characters of General Southern American—for example, the elision of the r before consonants and the intrusion of the y before certain vowels—to Negro influence. This belief is not of recent origin, for on April 15, 1842, Charles Dickens, who was then in the United States, wrote home to his wife: "All the women who have been bred in slave States speak

I American Speech as Practised in the Southern Highlands, Century, March, 1929.

2 See Variation in the Southern Mountain Dialect, by Charles Carpenter, American Speech, Feb., 1933. Mr. Carpenter says that the dialect of Northern and Central West Virginia has been much modified by the opening of coal-mines. The literature down to the end of 1922 is listed in A Bibliography of Writings on the English language, by Arthur G. Kennedy, above cited, pp. 413-16. See also The Southern Mountaineer and His Homeland, by John C. Campbell, New York, 1921; Dialect Words and Phrases From West-Central West Virginia, by Carey Woofter, American Speech, May, 1927; West

Virginia Dialect by Lowry Axley, the same, Aug., 1928; Elizabethan America, by Charles M. Wilson, Atlantic Monthly, Aug., 1929; How the Wood Hicks Speak, by Paul E. Pendleton, Dialect Notes, Vol. VI, Pt. II, 1930; Folk Speech in the Kentucky Mountain Cycle of Percy Mackaye, by B. A. Botkin, American Speech, April, 1931; Folk Speech of the Cumberlands, by Bess Alice Owens, the same, Dec., 1931; Remnants of Archaic English in West Virginia, by Charles Carpenter, West Virginia Review, Dec., 1934; Southern Mountain Accent, by C. G., American Speech, Dec., 1934.

ican Speech, Dec., 1934.
3 Southern Speech, in Culture in the South; Chapel Hill, N. C., 1934, p. 614.

p. 014.

more or less like Negroes, from having been constantly in their child-hood with black nurses." But Dr. Greet, in a notable essay, argues convincingly that the thing has really run the other way. "When the slaves were brought to America," he says, they learned the accent of their masters. There is literally no pronunciation common among Negroes, with possible exceptions in Gullah, that does not occur generally in vulgar or old-fashioned American speech." In this judgment two other students of Negro speech agree completely. One is Cleanth Brooks, Jr., of Louisiana State University, who says:

In almost every case, the specifically Negro forms turn out to be older English forms which the Negro must have taken originally from the white man, and which he has retained after the white man has begun to lose them.²

The other is the late George Philip Krapp, who wrote in "The English Language in America":

The Negroes omitted their r's because they heard no r's in the speech of their white superiors. Since they were entirely dependent upon hearing in learning the sounds of speech, their sounds could not be affected by the visual impressions of spelling, and for this reason their pronunciation of words with r final before consonants may seem broader, may seem fuller and franker, than that of educated white speakers. Even this difference, however, is likely to be an illusion on the part of the critical hearer, who is inclined to hear the speech of educated persons in terms of conventional spelling but of uneducated persons in terms of illiterate spelling.³

In another place Dr. Krapp argued that the common belief that the voice of the Negro differs from that of the white man is also unsupported by the facts. There is a slight difference, he said, in speech tunes, but not much. Put a Negro and a white man, both from the same part of rural Georgia and both on the same economic

I Southern Speech, just cited. It is the best general survey of Southern American so far published. Other papers that will be found useful are The Vowel System of the Southern United States, by William A. Read, Englishe Studien, Vol. XLI, 1910; The Southern R, by the same, Louisiana State University Bulletin, Feb., 1910; Some Variant Pronunciations in the New South, by the same, Dialect Notes, Vol. III, Pt. VII, 1911; Who Lost the Southern R? by H. P. Johnson, American Speech, June, 1928; Southern American Dialect, by C. M. Wise, the same, April, 1933; Southern Standards, by Katherine E. Wheatley,

the same, Feb., 1934; Some Unrecorded Southern Vowels, by George P. Wilson, the same, Oct., 1934; Southern Long I, by Medford Evans, the same, Oct., 1935; and Another Note on the Southern Pronunciation of Long I, by William B. Edgerton, the same, Oct., 1935.

2 The Relation of the Alabama-Georgia Dialect to the Provincial Dialects of Great Britain, Louisiana State University Studies, No. XX, 1935.

1935. 3 Vol. I, p. 226.

4 The English of the Negro, American Mercury, June, 1924.

level, behind a screen and bid them speak the same words, and it will be difficult if not impossible to distinguish one from the other. Dr. Krapp was even indisposed to grant that the use of I is for I am among the lower orders of Negroes is a true Negroism: he tracked it down in Joseph Wright's English Dialect Dictionary, and found that it was common in England so long ago as the Thirteenth Century.1 Nevertheless, there is a conventionalized Negro dialect, perhaps launched by the minstrel shows of the past generation, that all Americans recognize, and it plays a large part in American literature.2 Perhaps the Negro himself has imitated this dialect: nature, as Oscar Wilde once said, always imitates art. Walt Whitman not only believed in its existence, but saw vast potentialities in it. "The nigger dialect," he said in "An American Primer," 3 " has hints of the future theory of the modification of all the words of the English language, for musical purposes, for a native grand opera in America, leaving the words just as they are for writing and speaking, but the same words so modified as to answer perfectly for musical purposes, on grand and simple principles." But it is not certain that Walt knew precisely what he was talking about here.4

Dr. Greet, in the essay above mentioned, says that there are "many

The prevailing conjugation, according to Bertram H. Brown (American Mercury, May, 1933, p. 116) is l is, you is, he is; us is, youall (or y'all) is, they is. Mr. Brown says that he am is never heard.

2 Dr. Krapp traces its literary development in The English Language in America, above cited, Vol. I, p. 246 ff. See also Notes on Negro Dialect in the American Novel to 1821, by Tremaine McDowell, American Speech, April, 1930; The Use of Negro Dialect by Harriet Beecher Stowe, by the same, the same, June, 1931; and The Vocabulary of the American Negro as Set Forth in Contemporary Literature, by Nathan Van Patten, the same, Oct., 1931.

3 Atlantic Monthly, April, 1904.

4 Negro speech has been little investigated by philologians. Kennedy lists but nine discussions of it before 1922, and only three of them are of any interest. There are some intelligent remarks upon it in the

preface to The Book of American Negro Spirituals, by James Weldon Johnson; New York, 1925. See also The Study of the Alabama-Georgia dialect by Cleanth Brooks, Jr., above cited; The Negro Dialects along the Savannah River, by Elisha K. Kane, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. VIII, 1925; Negro Dialect, by C. M. Wise, Quarterly Journal of Speech, Nov., 1933; and Aesop in Negro Dialect, American Speech, June, 1926. Gullah, spoken on the Sea Islands and along the coast of Georgia and South Carolina, has been described by Reed Smith in Gullah, Bulletin of the University of South Carolina, Nov. 1, 1926, and there is a glossary of it in The Black Border, by Ambrose E. Gonzales; Columbia, S. C., 1922. See also Folk Culture on St. Helena Island, S. C., by Guy B. Johnson; Chapel Hill, N. C., 1930, pp. 3 ff, and The Old Types Pass, by Mar-cellus S. Whaley; Boston, 1925. The dialect of Hatteras Island was

varieties of speech in the South, all closely related to speech in other parts of the country." He distinguishes three main varieties: the Virginia Tidewater type, the General Southern lowland type, and the Southern hill type. The first named prevails along the coast from the Delmarva Peninsula to South Carolina, and has colonies in the northern Shenandoah region and in the vicinity of Charlottesville. Its territory includes Richmond. The General Southern lowland type prevails everywhere else save in the mountains. In the Virginia Piedmont it is modified by the Tidewater type. The latter is, in general, more "Southern" than the other two: it embodies most of the peculiarities that Northerners associate with sub-Potomac speech, e.g., the intrusion of a y-sound before a after g or k, as in gyarden and cyar. "Elsewhere in the South and Southwest, hill and plain," says Dr. Greet, "y often appears before [i, the short e of get and the flat a of hat], but never before [broad a]." Even in the Tidewater region the y is not often heard in "the speech of business and professional men, if we except Episcopal ministers," but "certain gentlemen of the old school, many ladies of the old families, débutantes who have attended Episcopal institutions, professional Virginians, and parvenues are fond of the sound." Before a as in gate, a as in carry, e as in get and i as in gift, however, it "has no social merit," and before o as in cow it is "a real faux pas." But it is favored before the ir in girls. "I am sufficiently under the influence of the sentimental South and speech snobbery," says Dr. Greet, "to think that gyirls is a very fine pronunciation. Every man to his own choice." 1

The New England variety of American is anything but a homo-

described by one signing himself Marcel in the Nation, 1865, pp. 744-5, and his observations were reprinted in Lectures on the Science of Language, by F. Max Müller, 6th ed.; London, 1871, Vol. I, p. 75 ff.

by M. M. Mathews; Chicago, 1931; Tales of the Okefinokee, by Francis Harper, American Speech, May, 1926 (a study of the dialect of a remote corner of Georgia); North Carolina: Early English Survivals on Hatteras Island, by Collier Cobb, University of North Carolina Magazine, Feb., 1910; South Carolina: Charleston Provincialisms, by Sylvester Primer, American Journal of Philology, Vol. IX, 1888; The Huguenot Element in Charleston's Pronunciation, Publications of the Modern Language Association, Vol. IV, 1889; Temnessee: A Tennessee an's Pronunciation in 1841, by Rebecca W. Smith, American Speech,

p. 75 ff.

There have been many studies of local pronunciation in the South, mainly divided (somewhat irrationally) by States. Most of them will be found in the files of Dialect Notes. The following are of special interest: Georgia: Provincialisms, in A Gazeteer of the State of Georgia, by Adiel Sherwood, 3rd ed.; Washington, 1837, reprinted in The Beginnings of American English,

geneous whole. In its coastal form, centering in Boston, it is very like the Standard English of Southern England, but as one moves westward it gradually loses itself in General American. The New England dialect that has been put to such heavy use in American literature since the close of the Eighteenth Century is the lingo of untutored yokels, and has many points in common with ordinary vulgar American. In other ways it suggests the dialect of the Appalachian hillmen. It made its first appearance in print, according to Krapp, in Royall Tyler's play, "The Contrast" (1787), and it probably reached its apogee in Lowell's "Biglow Papers" (1848, 1866). In an address "To the Indulgent Reader" prefixed to the First Series of the latter Lowell printed "general rules" for its compounding, as follows:

- r. The genuine Yankee never gives the rough sound to the r when he can help it, and often displays considerable ingenuity in avoiding it even before a vowel.
- 2. He seldom sounds the final g, a piece of self-denial, if we consider his partiality for nasals. The same of the final d, as han' and stan' for hand and stand.
 - 3. The h in such words as while, when, where, he omits altogether.
- 4. In regard to a, he shows some inconsistency, sometimes giving a close and obscure sound, as hev for have, hendy for handy, ez for as, thet for that, and again giving it the broad sound it has in father, as hânsome for handsome.
 - 5. To ou he prefixes an e (hard to exemplify otherwise than orally).
 - 6. Au, in such words as daughter and slaughter, he pronounces ah.
 - 7. To the dish thus seasoned add a drawl ad libitum.

Krapp argues that, of these rules, only the fourth and sixth show any genuine differentiation from ordinary vulgar American.¹ Other experts have wrestled with the peculiarities of this somewhat formalized Yankee more successfully than Lowell; it is best described, per-

Dec., 1934; Virginia: Word-Book of Virginia Folk-Speech, by Bennett W. Green; Richmond, 1899; new ed., 1912; English Pronunciation in Virginia, by Edwin F. Shewmake; Charlottesville, Va., 1927; Philip Vickers Fithian's Observations on the Language of Virginia (1774), by Claude M. Newlin, American Speech, Dec., 1929; A Phonographic Expedition to Williamsburg, Va., by W. Cabell Greet, the same, Feb., 1931; Dialect Notes on Records of Folk-Songs From Virginia, by A. K. Davis, Jr. and A. A. Hill, the same, Dec., 1933. The literature

down to the end of 1922 is listed in Kennedy's Bibliography, above cited. For the period since 1922 the bibliographies in American Speech are useful, though they are by no means complete. It is a pity that no one has ever investigated Tidewater Southern American historically, on the plan of Krapp's investigation of New England American. The way to some promising material is pointed in E. G. Swem's Virginia Historical Index; Roanoke, 1934.

The English Language in America, above cited, Vol. I, p. 233.

haps, by Grandgent in "New England Pronunciation." An extensive literature deals with its local forms, and especially with differences in the vocabulary.2 The appearance of the Linguistic Atlas, the first sheets of which deal with New England, will make most of this literature useless. On the history of the coastal dialect the most useful work is "Early New England Pronunciation," by Anders Orbeck, which is based upon an examination of the town records of Plymouth, Watertown, Dedham and Groton, Mass., for the period 1636-1707.3 Dr. Orbeck discusses at length the probable sources of this coastal dialect. He finds that 73% of the early settlers of the region where it is used came from the Eastern counties of England, including London. He reviews at length the previous speculations of G. F. Hoar, T. W. Higginson, Joseph L. Chester, H. T. Nöel-Armfield and Edward Gepp, and exposes their errors.4

Various authorities have sought to include New York City and Long Island in the New England speech area, but this is hardly justified by the facts. In a study made forty years ago B. S. Monroe found that there was some dropping of the terminal r in New York City and Kings, Queens, Suffolk, Westchester and Rensselaer counties, but that it was by no means general and was not accompanied by any significant use of the broad a in grass, path and laugh. The

1 A chapter in Old and New; Cambridge, Mass., 1920.

2 For publications down to the end of 1922 see Kennedy's Bibliography, above cited, pp. 413-16. Most of those of later date are to be found in either Dialect Notes or American Speech. The following are of special interest: New England Dialect, by Windsor P. Daggett, Billboard, March 3, 1928 (a guide for actors cast for Yankee parts); The Real Dialect of Northern New England, by George A. England, Writer's Monthly, March, 1926 (a guide for writers of fiction); Vanishing Expressions of the New England Coast, by Anne E. Perkins, American Speech, Dec., 1927; New England Words for the Earthworm, by Rachel S. Harris the same, Dec., 1933; New England Expressions For Poached Eggs, by Herbert Penzl, the same, April, 1934.

3 Ann Arbor, Mich., 1927.

4 Other publications worth consulting are A Sidelight on Eighteenth Century American English, by Henry Alexander, Queen's Quar-terly (Kingston, Ont.) Nov., 1923; Early American Pronunciation and Syntax, by the same, American Speech, Dec., 1925; A Comparison of the Dialect of The Biglow Papers with the Dialect of Four Yankee Plays, by Marie Killheffer, the same, Feb., 1928; The Language of the Salem Witchcraft Trials, by Henry Alexander, the same, June, 1928; Die Volkssprache im Nordosten der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika dargestellt auf Grund der Biglow Papers von James Russell Lowell, by J. A. Heil; Breslau, 1927.

5 The Pronunciation of English in New York State, Dialect Notes, Vol. I, Pt. IX, 1896.

broad a actually heard in the metropolitan region is confined to a very small class of persons, chiefly of social pretensions, and among them it is not the Boston a that is used but the English one. In the rest of the State the flat a of General or Western American prevails, and the r is not elided. The common people of New York City have a dialect of their own, first described scientifically by Dr. E. H. Babbitt of Columbia in 1896.2 Its most notable peculiarity lies in the pronunciation of the e-sound before r, as in bird, third, first, nerve, work, earnest, curve, girl, perfect and pearl, which become something that is usually represented as boid, thoid, foist, noive, woik, oinest, coive, goil, poifect and poil. Contrariwise, the true oi-sound, as in oyster, noise and Boyd, gets a touch of the r, and in print these words are often given as erster, nerz and Byrd. Dr. Henry Alexander says that the true sound is the same in both cases, and lies between oi and er. To a person unfamiliar with it, it sounds like oi in the erwords and like er in the oi-words. Dr. Alexander thus explains the process:

Given two familiar sounds, a and b, and one unfamiliar sound, x, which, acoustically and phonetically, is intermediate between a and b. If a speaker is in the habit of substituting x for both a and b, then an untrained hearer will interpret x as b in words in which he expects to hear a, and x as a in words in which he expects to hear b.

At the time the New York vulgar dialect first appeared in literature, in the early 90's,4 this confusion between oi and er was not stressed; instead, the salient mark of the dialect was thought to be substitution of t and d for the unvoiced and voiced forms of th, respectively, as in wit and dat for with and that. This substitution, said Dr. Babbitt in 1896, "does not take place in all words, nor in the speech of all persons, even of the lower classes; but the tendency exists beyond doubt." It is my observation that it has declined in late

- I See The Ithaca Dialect, by O. F. Emerson; Boston, 1891; Dialect of Northeastern New York, by Gerald Crowninshield, American Speech, April, 1933; Pronunciation in Upstate New York, by C. K. Thomas, the same, April and Oct., 1935. Word-lists have appeared in Dialect Notes, Vol. III, Pt. VI, 1910, and Pt. VIII, 1912, and there is a list of colloquial expressions from Madison county in American Speech, Dec., 1929.
- 2 The English of the Lower Classes in New York City and Vicinity, Dialect Notes, Vol. I, Pt. IX, 1896.
 3 Soiving the Ersters, American Speech, Feb., 1926. See also Popular Phonetics, by Robert J. Menner, the same, June, 1929, and Standards of Pronunciation in New York City, by C. K. Thomas, Quarterly Journal of Speech, April, 1935.
- 4 For example, in the Chimmie Fadden stories of E. W. Townsend.

years, probably through the labors of the schoolmarm. But she has not been able to stamp out foist and thoid, if, indeed, she has been sufficiently conscious of them to make the attempt. Their use by Alfred E. Smith during his campaign for the Presidency in 1928 made the whole country conscious of the New York oi. I have frequently noted it in the speech of educated New Yorkers, and it is very common in that of the high-school graduates who make up the corps of New York stenographers. It extends into New Jersey and up Long Island Sound into Connecticut. The origin of the New York dialect has not yet been accounted for with any plausibility. Its current peculiarities seem to have been unobserved until toward the end of the last century. Perhaps it owes something to the influence of Yiddish-speaking immigrants. Its oi-sound is certainly heard in Yiddish, and since 1900 the Jews have constituted the largest racial bloc in the boroughs of Brooklyn and the Bronx, and probably also in that of Manhattan. At least one observer sees its genesis in a revolt of the submerged masses against their oppressors. He says:

This New York dialect, like its prototype in London [i.e., Cockney], represents a class-protest, largely unconscious, against a life of terrible sounds, sights, smells and contacts. These exploitees would be as their masters, but they can not. Resisting all instruction, they take on this speech, which is the precise opposite of the speech of their masters. "Look what you made us," they all seem to say, "but since you will not let us have what we want, we will pretend to glory in what we have, and will make ourselves as objectionable as possible to you in a way which you can not effectively penalize." 1

This theory sounds so dubious to me that I marvel that it has not been embraced by the proletarian Aristotles of the New Republic and New Masses. Among those New Yorkers to whom Yiddish is native there are forms reported in use that have not got into the general vulgate of the town, e.g., the interchange of e and flat a, as in baker for beggar and kettle for cattle; the interchange of k and g, as in glass for class and locker for lager; the interchange of b and p, as in bowl for pole and mop for mob; the interchange of long e and short i, as in dip for deep and beeg for big; the interchange of t and d, as in lid for lit and lift for lived. But these interchanges may be more apparent than real: perhaps what occurs in each case is a median sound, resembling that described by Dr. Alexander as lying between oi and er.²

The Origin of a Dialect, by Howard K. Hollister, Freeman, June, 1923.

² The speech of the New York Jews is discussed in Jewish Dialect and the New York Dialect, by C. K.

In the other Middle Atlantic States, General or Western American prevails, save only for a small part of New Jersey adjacent to New York City, where the New York vulgate has some footing, and the lower part of the Delmarva Peninsula, where, as I have noted, something resembling Tidewater Southern is used. Most of the early observers of American speech-ways thought that the pronunciation of the Western Shore of Maryland was especially euphonious and correct. "When you get as far South as Maryland," said J. Fenimore Cooper in 1828,1 "the softest, and perhaps as pure an English is spoken as is anywhere heard." Two years earlier Mrs. Anne Royall said that "the dialect of Washington, exclusive of the foreigners, is the most correct and pure of any part of the United States I have ever yet been in." 2 Noah Webster also liked the pronunciation of this region, though he added that a t was added to once and twice by "a class of very well educated people, particularly in Philadelphia and Baltimore." 8 In parts of Pennsylvania, as we have seen in Chapter IV, Section 3, the German influence has not only introduced a number of words that are not commonly heard elsewhere, but has also established some peculiar speech-tunes. The Pennsylvania voice, indeed, is recognized instantly in the adjacent States. In the sentence "Are you going now," for example, there is a sharp rise on go and a fall on now. For the rest, Pennsylvania speaks General or Western American. "The true Western Pennsylvanian," says E. K. Maxfield, "pronounces a decidedly flat a . . . and his r gives him especial

Thomas, American Speech, June, 1932; in Re Jewish Dialect and New York Dialect, by Robert Sonkin, the same, Feb., 1933, and More on New York Jewish Dialect, by C. K. Thomas, the same, Oct., 1933. "None of the Jews who supplied my data," says Mr. Thomas in the last article, "were immigrants; all were at least second generation, the children, in some cases the grandchildren, of immigrants; yet they retain the dialect. On the other hand, the second Gentile generation ordinarily has no trace of its fathers' foreign dialect." The Yiddo-American of New York has produced a considerable literature, the chief contributors to which have been Montague Glass, Milt Gross and Arthur Kober. Its

peculiarities were amusingly exaggerated in various Notes For an East Side Dictionary, written for the New Yorker during 1934 and 1935 by John J. Holzinges over the signature of J. X. J. There was a time when it was heard often on the comic stage, but it has gone out of fashion there, along with the German, Irish and Scandinavian dialects. See Yiddish in American Fiction, by Alter Brody, American Mercury, Feb., 1926.

- 1 Notions of the Americans, Vol. II, p. 175.
- p. 175. 2 Sketches of History, Life and Manners in the United States; New Haven, 1826, p. 58.
- 3 Dissertations on the English Language; Boston, 1789, II.

pride and a sense of superiority over both East and South." 1 This flat a and conspicuous r are also sounded in Philadelphia, save perhaps by a small faction of the élite.2 The speech of New Jersey, save in the New York suburbs, is likewise General American, but the vocabulary of the State is rich in local terms.3 General American itself hardly needs any description here; it is the speech with which the present volume mainly deals. It has, of course, many minor variations, but they have to do principally with its vocabulary. In regions where there are ponderable minorities speaking non-English languages many loan-words are taken in - Spanish in the Southwest, German in parts of Pennsylvania and Wisconsin, and Scandinavian in Minnesota and the adjacent States. Some of these local borrowings have been noted in Chapter IV, Section 3, and Chapter V, Section 5. They are of small importance, for in pronunciation and intonation, as in the major part of its vocabulary, General American is singularly uniform.4

The Speech of South-Western Pennsylvania, American Speech,

Oct., 1931.

² See also Provincialisms of the Dutch Districts of Pennsylvania, by Lee L. Grumbine, Proceedings of the American Philological Association, Vol. XVII, 1886; Dialectical Peculiarities in the Carlisle, Pa., Vernacular, by William Prettyman, German-American Annals, Vol. IX, 1907; Dialects of the Western Pennsylvania Frontier, by Claude M. Newlin, American Speech, Dec., 1928; The English of the Pennsylvania Germans, by George G. Struble, the same, Oct., 1935.
3 See Jerseyisms, by F. B. Lee, Dia-

lect Notes, Vol. I, Pt. VII, 1894, and some notes correcting and enlarging the foregoing, the same,

Pt. VIII, 1895.

4 The literature dealing with localisms, down to the end of 1922, is listed in Kennedy's Bibliography, above cited, pp. 414-16. Later publications worth consulting include the following: Indiana: Eggleston's Notes on Hoosier Dialect, by Margaret Bloom, American Speech, Dec., 1934 (a reprint of the short glossary published with the 1899 edition of The Hoosier Schoolmas-

ter, by Edward Eggleston); Iowa: Some Iowa Locutions, by Katherine Buxbaum, American Speech, April, 1929; Kansas: Jottings From Kansas, by J. C. Ruppenthal, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. VI, 1923; Missouri: "It's In St. Louis That Americanese is Spoken," New York World, Nov. 9, 1928; The Strategic Position of Missouri in Dialect Study, by Allen Walker Read, Missouri Alumnus, April, 1932; Folk-Speech in Missouri, by the same, Arcadian Magazine, June, 1932; Nebraska: Nebraska Sandhill Talk, by Melvin Van den Bark, American Speech, Dec., 1928; Expressions From Boyd County, Neb., by M. A. Burwell, the same, Feb., 1931; Nebraska Pioneer English, by Melvin Van den Bark, American Speech, April and Oct., 1931, Feb., 1932, and Dec., 1933; Oregon: Wallowa County, Ore., Expressions, by T. Josephine Hausen, the same, Feb., 1931. The following more general discussions are also of interest: Westernisms, by Kate Mullen, American Speech, Dec., 1925; Some Observations Upon Middle Western Speech, by Josephine M. Burnham, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. IX, 1926; The Eng-

In Canada it prevails everywhere west of Montreal, and even to the eastward, as we have seen in Section 2, the flat a is dominant along the American border. The so-called Bluenose dialect of "the whole of New Brunswick and the greater part of Nova Scotia outside Halifax" 1 has affinities with the common speech of rural New England, but the early settlers of Ontario came mainly from New York and Pennsylvania, and those of the western regions have been principally American Middle Westerners, with admixtures of Germans, Scandinavians, Finns and Russians. In Ontario, the broad a "is never heard in aunt and rather," but the flat a is occasionally heard even in father.2 Throughout Canada, of course, the American vocabulary is dominant. Its neologisms are frequently denounced by patriotic Canadians with an eye on London, but even the statesmen of the Dominion now employ it in their deliberations. Said the Ottawa Journal in a recent editorial on the subject:

With the disappearance of Gladstonian haberdashery and frock coats, ponderosity of language could no longer be properly sustained, and now antiquarians can trace but the faintest vestiges in the Senate chamber. The stimulus of Burke's orations and classical English speech has given place to the stimulus of Hollywood and the air waves.3

In Bermuda, rather curiously, the General American flat a is used by the upper classes, and is a sign of social consequence, whereas the Negroes employ the broad English a and are looked down upon for doing so. Says Dr. Harry Morgan Ayres:

I am not prepared to draw with precision the line demarking socially the distribution of this sound. I can only say that my hostess used [the flat a] consistently, even in calm, and her Negro maid, aged nineteen, used [the broad

lish Language in the Southwest, New Mexico Historical Review, July, 1932; The Length of the Sounds of a Middle Westerner, by C. E. Parmenter and S. N. Treviño, American Speech, April, 1935.

r Canadian English, by W. D. Lighthall, Toronto Week, Aug. 16, 1889.

2 Ontario Speech, by Evelyn R. Ahrend, American Speech, April, 1934. The first treatise on Canadian English was written by A. S. Geikie and appeared in the Canadian Journal so long ago as 1857. There have been few additions to the literature since. Those appearing down to the end of 1922 are

listed in Kennedy's Bibliography, above cited, p. 404. Among the later ones, all fragmentary, are Newfoundland Dialect Items, by George Allen England, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. VIII, 1925; Montreal English, by Helen C. Munroe, American Speech, Oct., 1929; A Note on Canadian English, by W. S. W. McLay, the same, April, 1930 (a correction of errors by Miss Munroe); Terms From the Labrador Coast, by Mary S. Evans, the same, Oct., 1930; More Labrador Survivals, by W. D. Strong, the same, April, 1931.

3 Parliament Goes Hollywood, April

7, 1934.

al with a distribution historically absolutely accurate. How far up the social scale [the broad a] has penetrated I cannot say; I suspect it has gone further in St. George than elsewhere in the islands. In this respect Bermuda presents us with an exact picture of what it is necessary to suppose English of the Seventeenth and early Eighteenth Centuries to have been. It presumably represents the distribution of the sounds which the settlers brought with them, and which they only among English emigrant communities have preserved.1

The r is sounded in Bermuda before consonants and in the terminal position by all classes, save in a few words, e.g., shirker, stern, perfectly, first and further. "It appears to be present in sufficient quantity," says Dr. Ayres, "to require belief that the English immigrants brought it with them, as they brought it likewise to the American Continent." In the West Indies, including the Bahamas, an exaggerated form of Southern English prevails among the blacks, with a very broad a dominant. Their white overlords speak Southern English too, but in a more restrained manner, and with touches, now and then, of Lowland Scotch.

In Hawaii there has arisen a dialect of American that is confined to the islands, and is full of interesting peculiarities. Its basis seems to be Beach-la-Mar, the common trade speech of the Western Pacific, in which, for many years past, there have been a number of terms of American origin, e.g., alligator, boss, pickaninny, schooner and tomahawk,2 but since English began to be taught in the Hawaiian schools in 1853, and especially since the American annexation of the islands in 1898, this crude jargon has moved in the direction of Standard American, and today it is very far from its humble beginnings. The original Beach-la-Mar, considerably changed by Chinese influence, still survives,3 but it is spoken only by "the immigrant generation of Orientals and Latins, and some elderly native Hawaiians." 4 The other non-American inhabitants, whether Japanese, Chinese, Koreans, Portuguese, Porto Ricans, Filipinos or native Hawaiians, speak the dialect aforesaid, in varieties ranging from something rising but little above Beach-la-Mar to something hard to distinguish from the speech of native Americans.5 It is used, in one

American 1 Bermudian English, Speech, Feb., 1933. 2 See Beach-la-Mar, by William

Churchill; Washington, 1911.

³ There is a good account of it in Pidgin English in Hawaii, by William C. Smith, American Speech, Feb., 1933.

⁴ The English Dialect of Hawaii, by John E. Reinecke and Aiko Tokimasa, American Speech, Feb., 1934,

⁵ For what follows I am chiefly indebted to the paper by Mr. Reinecke and Miss Tokimasa, just cited. It appeared in American

form or other, by probably two-thirds of the people of the islands. It resembles vulgar American in its disregard of grammatical niceties, but its vocabulary differs considerably from the speech of the mainland. Many familiar words and phrases, e.g., to pitchfork, small potatoes and to go the whole hog, are omitted because the objects to which they refer are unfamiliar in Hawaii; other common expressions have been changed in meaning, e.g., bogus has come to mean boastful or a boaster, meat signifies only beef, and by a confusion between laboratory and lavatory, lab has come to mean the latter. There are, of course, many loan-words from Hawaiian and the non-English immigrant languages, e.g., aloha (farewell), haole (a white of Germanic blood), kuleana (a small land-holding) and wikiwiki (quickly) from the Hawaiian; jabon (the shaddock), hekka (a popular stew), and mama-san (an old Japanese woman) from the Japanese; stay (from esta, meaning is) from the Portuguese; kaukau (food) from the Chinese; and bagoong (a shrimpy sauce) from one of the Filipino languages. In addition, there are a number of survivals from Beach-la-Mar, still in wide use, e.g., the use of been as "the common device to express past time of action," the use of one as the indefinite article, and the use of humbug in the sense of bother. The different races speaking the dialect have borrowed or invented various more or less opprobrious names for one another, e.g., dogeater for Hawaiian, baccaliaos (codfish) for a Portuguese, yabo (from the Japanese) for a Korean. A recent Japanese immigrant is a Japan jack, and his brother from China is a China jack. The reduplication of words for intensification has been taken over from Hawaiian, e.g., talk-talk and fight-fight. In a number of cases words of similar sound have been confused, with resulting change in the meaning of one or both. Thus slide is commonly pronounced sly, to sly is to slide, and as an adjective sly means slippery. Similarly, to bob has been related (not illogically) to barber, and transformed

Hawaiian Evangelical Association, who kindly answered a number of questions; to Mr. Frederick B. Withington, who gave me access to his paper, The Hawaiian Language: Its Modern History as a Means of Communication; and to Mr. N. B. Beck, assistant professor of English in the University of Hawaii.

Speech in two parts, Feb. and April, 1934. The two authors, who are husband and wife, are teachers in Hawaii. Mr. Reinecke, who is an American, went there in 1926. Miss Tokimasa, who is a Japanese, was educated at the Honolulu Normal School. I am also indebted to the Rev. Henry P. Judd, associate secretary of the Board of the

into to barb. The parts of speech are often interchanged, e.g., taxi signifies the driver as well as his vehicle, a stupid person is a dumb, hungry is used in place of hunger, and politeness serves as adjective instead of polite. Within the confines of any given part of speech there is a disregard of small shades of difference in meaning. Thus, "there was nuch people, but they had few money."

The tendency to reduce all the tenses of the verb to a sort of historical present, so marked in vulgar American, goes the full way in the Hawaiian dialect. The auxiliaries been and stay, taken over from Beach-la-Mar and borrowed from Portuguese respectively, serve in lieu of tense inflections, at least in the easier sorts of discourse. Thus, "I been eat" means "I have eaten," and "Us stay sweating" means "We are sweating" or "We were sweating," according to the context. The final s is commonly omitted from the third person singular in the present tense, there is a hopeless confusion between the preterite and perfect participle, and to is often dropped before the infinitive, as in "I like go." In the use of the pronoun all the confusions between case-forms that occur in vulgar American are encountered, and in addition two forms are sometimes joined, as in "Me I will go." There is also some confusion in number, as in "Take these flowers and put it in a vase." The noun, in the genitive, seldom shows the final 's. The common form is "They stayed at Hirata," not "at Hirata's." The noun also loses s in the plural. The article is frequently omitted altogether, and one is often used in place of a. Sometimes a is used in the plural. Among the prepositions there is chaos. Sometimes a preposition is omitted, as in "The horse stepped [on] him"; sometimes it is put where it doesn't belong, as in "I attend to school"; and sometimes the wrong one is used, as in "We walked till Haina." The adverbs also suffer severely. Both adverbs and adjectives are placed before the subject when emphasis is desired, and after the interrogatives what and where the verb also precedes the subject. Conjunctions are often omitted between two members of a series, and when a sentence closes with a preposition the preposition is sometimes forgotten. The articulation of those who speak this dialect is reasonably clear, but they have a habit of prolonging stressed vowels, and of clipping unstressed vowels and all consonants. "Sometimes it is difficult for an ear trained to Mainland American speech to catch words because of the comparative rapidity of utterance. There is little drawling, even where there

is hesitation; the speed and pitch of utterance remind us more of the British norm than of the American." ¹ But British influence upon the dialect, of course, is actually infinitesimal. It is a form of American English, and in the course of time it will probably come closer and closer to everyday vulgar American. Since 1896 all the public schools of the islands have been conducted in American English, and every other language currently in use, including Hawaiian, shows signs of dying out.

Those Filipinos who have acquired American English in the public schools of the archipelago do it less violence than the Hawaiians, but nevertheless they make changes in it. It is most unusual for one of them to speak it well. For one thing, they learn it mainly, not by hearing it, but out of books, and under the tutelage of teachers who have learned it in the same way.2 For another thing, it is full of sounds that are strange to their lips, and are not easily mastered, e.g., those of th, sh, f, v, j and z. Thus they commonly convert there into dare, thin into tin, she into see, flea into plea, verb into herb, jelly into chelly, zig-zag into sig-sog, is into iss, and has into hass. They are unable to pronounce combinations of s with t, p, l or kwithout prefixing e, so that student becomes estudent, space becomes espace, sleep becomes esleep, and skate becomes eskate. The word Filipino, as they utter it, sounds much like Pilipino. The combination of m or n with d is also difficult for them, as is the combination of land d, so they commonly omit the d in such words as blamed, chained and failed. The r is always heavily trilled. The vowels are easier for them, save the flat a of am, but they often confuse one with another, and in writing they give all the vowels Spanish values, so that chick becomes cheek and shed becomes shade.3 According to Dr. H. Otley Beyer, professor of ethnology in the University of the Philippines, the Filipinos speak no less than 87 languages and dialects, but nearly all of them belong to the Tagala branch of the

r Reinecke and Tokimasa, above cited, Art. II, p. 130.

tives. See Bamboo English, by George G. Struble, American Speech, April, 1929, pp. 277-78.

3 I am indebted here and below to Struble, just cited, to The English Language in the Philippines, by Emma Sarepta Yule, American Speech, Nov., 1925, and to A Little Brown Language, by Jerome B. Barry, the same, Oct., 1927.

² During the first years of the American occupation a great many American teachers went to the islands, but by 1925 they had been reduced in number to 305 in a corps of 25,530. The survivors taught only in the high-schools. In the primary grades virtually all the teachers of English were na-

Malayo-Polynesian family, and show the same general characters. For example, they all put the accent on the penultimate syllable in many common words. Inasmuch as Spanish does the same the natives are inclined to carry that accent into English, and in consequence they often say probably, character and distributing. Even when they do not push the accent all the way, they move it a step, thus producing such forms as dyséntery and vegétable. They also carry many Tagala idioms into English. Thus, the answer to a negative question is an affirmative, e.g., "Have you no bananas?" "Yes." The affirmative is also used in answering a question embodying alternatives, and applies to the one mentioned last, e.g., the reply to "Do you prefer meat or fish" is "Yes," meaning "I prefer fish." This Filipino English will probably not long survive the American withdrawal from the islands. It is "essentially a bookish language, a language of learning, somewhat in the sense that Latin was the language of learning in the Middle Ages," and not many natives have ever got sufficient command of it to speak it voluntarily and naturally.1 Article XIII, Section 3 of the Philippine Constitution provides that it is to be supplanted as soon as possible, along with Spanish, by "a common national language based on one of the existing native languages."

The American spoken by Americans in the Philippines shows a large admixture of Spanish and Tagala words and phrases, just as the American spoken in Hawaii is shot through with terms borrowed from Hawaiian. But in both cases it is pronounced according to the General American pattern, and there are no changes in its grammar and syntax. Here is a specimen from Manila:

Hola, amigo. Komusta kayo. Porque were you bablaing with ese señorita? She wanted a job as lavandera. Ten cents, conant, a piece, so I told her no kerry.

Have you had chow? Well, spera, till I sign this chit and I'll take a paseo with you.2

In this brief dialogue there are eight loan-words from the Spanish (hola, amigo, porque, ese, señorita, lavandera, cuanto and paseo), two Spanish locutions in a debased form (spera for espera and no

Americans Talk in the Philippines,

by Maurice P. Dunlap, Review of Reviews, Aug., 1913.

¹ Struble, above cited, p. 284. 2 This is borrowed from What

kerry for no quiero), two loan-words from the Tagala (komusta and kayo), two from the Pidgin English of the China coast (chow and chit), one Philippine-American localism (conant), and a Spanish verb with an English inflection (hablaing). The following is from an article on Hawaiian English in the Christian Science Monitor: 2

"Are you pau?" asks the American housekeeper of her Japanese yard-man. "All pau," he responds.

The housekeeper has asked if the yard-man is through. He has replied that he is. Pau – pronounced pow – conveys just as much meaning to the Honolulan as the English 3 word through.

In Honolulu one does not say "the northwest corner of Fork and Hotel streets." One says "the makai-ewa corner." Makai means toward the sea. Ewa means toward the north or in the direction of the big Ewa plantation which lies toward the north of Honolulu. Thus the makai-ewa corner means that corner which is on the seaward side and toward Ewa. Instead of saying east or the direction in which the sun rises, Honolulans say mauka, which means toward the mountains. To designate south, they say waikiki, which means toward Diamond Head or Waikiki Beach.

One often hears a little boy say he has a puka in his stocking. The house-keeper directs the yard-man to put the rubbish in the puka. It is a Hawaiian word meaning hole. Another common word is lanai. In English it means porch or veranda. The two words pahea oe are used as a term of greeting. In the States they say, "How do you do?" "How are you?" or "Good Day." In Honolulu, "Pahea oe?" conveys the same meaning. The response is "Maikai no," or "Very good," or "All right."

At two other places under the American flag dialects of English flourish. One is Key West and the other is the Virgin Islands. The Key West dialect is Southern American showing the influence of Bahaman English and Cuban Spanish. The *i* is frequently given its Spanish sound, especially in proper names, so that Olivia becomes Oleevia. The *a*, before *g*, is transformed into a short *i*, so that bag becomes big and rag becomes rig. The w and v are exchanged, so that west becomes vest, and visit becomes wisit. The h is treated in the Cockney manner, so that horse becomes orse and the letter l is called hell. Ain't is often used in place of won't or haven't. The -ed ending is omitted from the past tense forms of the verbs. Many Spanish idioms are translated literally, e.g., Quantos años tiene? which becomes "How many years you got?" There are many loan-

I But here komusta may be borrowed from the Spanish como está (how are you?).

² Unfortunately, I have mislaid my memorandum of the date and the author's name.

³ That is, American; through, in this sense, is seldom used by the English

words from the Spanish, and the inhabitants have invented the usual opprobrious terms for one another, e.g., conch (a West Indian), and saw (a native of Nassau).¹ The Virgin Islands dialect, of course, is not American, but English. Basically, it is simply the English of the late Seventeenth Century, but there are many Spanish, Portuguese, French, Dutch and Danish loan-words, and some vestiges of the West Coast African dialects. The phonology shows Danish influence. Among the special characters are the omission of s before consonants, so that stocking becomes tocking, and the use of a collective pronoun, a-wee, corresponding to the Southern American us-all.² This jargon is spoken not only in the Virgin Islands, but also in the British Lesser Antilles, in Dutch Saba and in French St. Martin, of course with local variations. A somewhat analogous dialect, but much less like Standard English, is spoken in Dutch Guiana, on the South American mainland.³

I I am indebted here to A Philologist's Paradise, by Thomas R. Reid, Jr., Opportunity, Jan., 1926.

² For a specimen of the dialect see Negro Dialect of the Virgin Islands, by Henry S. Whitehead, American Speech, Feb., 1932.

3 See Surinam Negro-English, by John Dyneley Prince, American Speech, Oct., 1934, and Colonial Survivals in Bush-Negro Speech, by A. G. Barnett, the same, Aug., 1932. The dialects spoken in Australia, India and South Africa lie outside the bounds of the present inquiry, but some reference to the literature may be useful. All of it down to the end of 1922 is listed in Kennedy's Bibliography, above cited, pp. 404-5. The following, too late for Kennedy or overlooked by him, are also of interest: South African English Pronunciation, by David Hopwood; Cape Town, 1928; The Pronunciation of English in South Africa, by W. E. C. Clarke; Johannesburg, 1913; Cockney English and Kitchen

Dutch, by C. M. Drennan; Johannesburg, 1920; Some Notes on Indian English, by R. C. Goffin, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XLI, 1934; The Australian Accent, Triad (Sydney, N. S. W.), Nov. 10, 1920; How English is Spoken Here, by B. Sc., Sydney Evening News, May 5, 1925; Words, Words, Words, by Guy Innes, Melbourne Herald, Nov. 11, 1933. Vulgar Australian-English shows the Cockney whine and is altogether a dreadful dialect. The vocabulary is heavy with loans from American, but there are also some picturesque native inventions, e.g., wowser (a kill-joy), bullsh (a false report), to go hostile (to become angry), and woopwoop (a country district). There is a brief glossary of it in Slang Today and Yesterday, by Eric Partridge, 2nd ed.; London, 1935. The Australian dialect is uniform throughout the country. In New Zealand a form of Southern English free from Cockney vowels is spoken.

VIII

AMERICAN SPELLING

I. THE INFLUENCE OF NOAH WEBSTER

At the time of the first English settlements in America the rules of English orthography were beautifully vague, and so we find the early documents full of spellings that seem quite fantastic today. Aetaernall, for eternal, is in the Acts of the Massachusetts General Court for 1646, adjoin is spelled adioyne in the Dedham Records for 1637, February is Ffebrewarie in the Portsmouth, R. I. Records for 1639-97, and general is jinerll in the Hartford Town Votes for 1635-1716.1 There had been attempts in England since the middle of the Sixteenth Century to put the spelling of the language upon a more or less rational basis,2 but their effects were only slowly realized. It was not, indeed, until about 1630, nearly a quarter of a century after the landing at Jamestown, that English printers began to differentiate clearly between u and v, i and j. The two pairs were still confused in the First Folio of Shakespeare, printed in 1623, and Sir John Cheke, one of the first English spelling reformers, was quite content to write mijn for mine and vnmixt for unmixed. The redundant final e, usually a relic of a long-lost inflection, was much oftener encountered then than now, and a glance through almost any Seventeenth Century American public document will show toune for town, halfe for half, smale for small, and yeare for year.

There were no dictionaries in those days—or, at all events, none of any generally admitted authority—but as printing increased, a movement toward uniformity in spelling, if not toward rationality,

I Dr. Miles L. Hanley of the University of Wisconsin, with the aid of various other scholars, has unearthed a large number of such forms from "forty diaries and ten sets of town and parish records, ... chiefly from Massachusetts and Connecticut." His list of them

was mimeographed in June, 1935, and he has kindly placed a copy at my disposal.

² They are described in George H. McKnight's Modern English in the Making; New York, 1928, especially pp. 119-20, 191-2, and 229.

began to show itself. By the beginning of the Eighteenth Century all the principal English authors were spelling pretty much alike, and by 1711, when the first number of the Spectator appeared, they were spelling substantially as we spell now. But it was not until the publication of Samuel Johnson's Dictionary, in 1755, that the English had a real guide to orthography, of universal acceptance. Johnson, in the presence of conflicting usages, always took the conservative side. He preferred what he called "Saxon" spellings for what he conceived to be old English words, and thus ordained that music, critic and even prosaic should have a final k, though all three were actually borrowings from the Latin through the French. He decided for the -our ending in words of the honor class, and it remains in vogue in England to this day. When there was doubt, he proceeded with "a scholar's reverence for antiquity," and gave his imprimatur to many spellings based upon false etymologies and pointless analogies. Naturally enough, he fell into a number of contradictions, and it was easy for Lindley Murray to point them out, e.g., such pairs as deceit and receipt, moveable and immovable, sliness and slyly, deign and disdain. Even among the -our words he permitted exterior to slip in alongside interiour, and posterior alongside anteriour. He also undertook occasional reforms that failed to make their way. e.g., the reduction of final -ll to -l, leading to such forms as downhil, catcal, unrol and forestal. But on the whole, his professed respect for "the genius of the language" showed a very keen feeling for it, and his decisions ratified what had become customary usage far oftener than they sought to change it. His influence was tremendous, both in England and in America.

There is no evidence that his mandates were ever challenged on this side of the water until the Revolution. In 1768, to be sure, the ever busy and iconoclastic Benjamin Franklin had published "A Scheme for a New Alphabet and a Reformed Mode of Spelling," and induced a Philadelphia type-founder to cut the six new characters that it demanded, but this project was too extravagant to be adopted anywhere, or to have any appreciable influence. It was

s and i for the sh in wish, a y with a curled tail for ng, an h with a curled tail for the th in think, and a somewhat similar h, but with a wavy appendage at the top, for the th of thy. Franklin expunged c, w, y and j from the alphabet as un-

I The Scheme is reprinted in Franklin's Words, edited by John Bigelow; New York, 1887-8; Vol. IV, p. 198 ff. The six new characters were a modified a for the long a in ball, an h upside down for the u in unto, a combination of long

Noah Webster who finally achieved a divorce between English precept and example and American practice. In his "Grammatical Institute of the English Language," published in Hartford in 1783, he was content to follow and even to praise Johnson's spellings, e.g., in the -our words, but soon thereafter he was launched upon his grandiose plan to establish an independent "Federal" language in the new Republic, and in 1786 he approached Franklin and Timothy Pickering 1 with a project for reducing its orthography "to perfect regularity, with as few new characters and alterations of the old ones as possible." Franklin was receptive, and Webster seems to have submitted his ideas to the other "distinguished characters" of the time, including Washington and Jefferson. During the succeeding three years he carried on his campaign with his usual pertinacity, but it does not appear that he made many converts. In 1789 he published his "Dissertations on the English Language," and in an appendix thereto he printed his proposals in some detail. They were as follows:

- r. The omission of all superfluous or silent letters; as a in bread. Thus bread, head, give, breast, built, meant, realm, friend would be spelt bred, hed, giv, brest, bilt, ment, relm, frend. Would this alteration produce any inconvenience, any embarrassment or expense? By no means. On the other hand, it would lessen the trouble of writing, and much more, of learning the language; it would reduce the true pronunciation to a certainty; and while it would assist foreigners and our own children in acquiring the language, it would render the pronunciation uniform, in different parts of the country, and almost prevent the possibility of changes.
- 2. A substitution of a character that has a certain definite sound for one that is more vague and indeterminate. Thus by putting ee instead of ea or ie, the words mean, near, speak, grieve, zeal would become meen, neer, speek, greev, zeel. This alteration could not occasion a moment's trouble; at the same time it would prevent a doubt respecting the pronunciation; whereas the ea and ie, having different sounds, may give a learner much difficulty. Thus greef should be substituted for grief; kee for key; beleev for believe; laf for laugh; dawter for daughter; plow for plough; tuf for tough; proov for

necessary. He proposed that the vowels be differentiated by using one letter for the short ones and two for the long ones. He made trial of his new alphabet in a letter to Miss Stephenson of London, apparently a bluestocking of the time. She replied on Sept. 26, 1768, saying that she could si meni inkanviiniensis in it. He defended it in a letter from Kreven striit, London, Sept. 28.

1 For his letter to Pickering, dated May 12, see American Projects for an Academy to Regulate Speech, by Allen Walker Read, Publications of the Modern Language Association, 1936. He said: "The idea is well received in New York, and many of the most discerning gentlemen in Congress are its warmest advocates." Timothy Pickering (1745-1829) was the father of John Pickering.

prove; blud for blood; and draft for draught. In this manner ch in Greek derivatives should be changed into k; for the English ch has a soft sound, as in cherish, but k always a hard sound. Therefore character, chorus, cholic, architecture, should be written karacter, korus, kolic, arkitecture; and were they thus written, no person could mistake their true pronunciation. Thus ch in French derivatives should be changed into sh; machine, chaise, chevalier should be written masheen, shaze, shevaleer; and pique, tour, oblique should be written peek, toor, obleek.

3. A trifling alteration in a character, or the addition of a point, would distinguish different sounds, without the substitution of a new character. Thus a very small stroke across th would distinguish its two sounds. A point over a vowel, in this manner: \hat{a} , or \hat{o} , or \bar{i} , might answer all the purposes of different letters. And for the diphthong ow, let the two letters be united by a small stroke, or both engraven on the same piece of metal, with the left hand line of the w united to the o.

These changes, said Webster, "with a few other inconsiderable alterations, would answer every purpose, and render the orthography sufficiently correct and regular." They would "diminish the number of letters about one sixteenth or eighteenth," they would tend to "render the pronunciation of the language as uniform as the spelling in books," and they would "facilitate the learning of the language." The greatest argument, however, was the patriotic one:

A capital advantage of this reform in these States would be that it would make a difference between the English orthography and the American. This will startle those who have not attended to the subject; but I am confident that such an event is an object of vast political consequence.

The alteration, however small, would encourage the publication of books in our own country. It would render it, in some measure, necessary that all books should be printed in America. The English would never copy our orthography for their own use; and consequently the same impressions of books would not answer for both countries. The inhabitants of the present generation would read the English impressions; but posterity, being taught a different spelling, would prefer the American orthography.

Besides this, a national language is a band of national union. Every engine should be employed to render the people of this country national; to call their attachments home to their own country; and to inspire them with the pride of national character. However they may boast of Independence, and the freedom of their government, yet their opinions are not sufficiently independent; an astonishing respect for the arts and literature of their parent country, and a blind imitation of its manners, are still prevalent among the Americans. Thus an habitual respect for another country, deserved indeed and once laudable, turns their attention from their own interests, and prevents their respecting themselves.

But, as Dr. George Philip Krapp points out in "The English Language in America," Webster was "above all a practical, not a 1 New York, 1925, Vol. I, p. 332 ff.

theoretical reformer," and in consequence he was slow himself to adopt the reforms he advocated. When in 1783, he republished the first part of his "Grammatical Institute" as the first edition of his famous "American Spelling Book," he used the orthodox English spelling of the time, and not only gave the -our words their English ending, but even commended it. And so late as 1806, in the preface to his first Dictionary, he tried somewhat disingenuously to disassociate himself from Franklin's scheme to reform the alphabet. Indeed, in all the editions of the Spelling Book printed before 1806 he avoided noticeable novelties in spelling, though after 1798 he noted, in his preface, his conviction that "common sense and convenience" would soon or late substitute public, favor, nabor, hed, proov, flem, hiz, giv, det, ruf and wel for publick, favour, neighbour, head, prove, phlegm, his, give, debt, rough and well. But in his Dictionary of 1806, despite his coolness to Franklin's alphabet, he used Franklin's saying that "those people spell best who do not know how to spell "-i.e., who spell phonetically - as a springboard for a wholesale assault upon the authority of Johnson. He made an almost complete sweep of whole classes of silent letters - the u in the -our words, the final e in determine and requisite, the silent a in thread, feather and steady, the silent b in thumb, the s in island, the o in leopard, and the redundant consonants in traveler, wagon, jeweler, etc. (Eng. traveller, waggon, jeweller). He lopped the final k from frolick, physick and their analogues, and transposed the e and the r in many words ending in re, such as theatre, lustre, centre and calibre. More, he changed the c in all words of the defence class to s. Yet more, he changed phto f in words of the phantom class, ou to oo in words of the group class, ow to ou in crowd, porpoise to porpess, acre to aker, sew to soe, woe to wo, soot to sut, gaol to jail and plough to plow. Finally, he antedated the simplified spellers by inventing a long list of boldly phonetic spellings, ranging from tung for tongue to wimmen for women, and from hainous for heinous to cag for keg.

Some of these new spellings, of course, were not actually Webster's inventions. For example, the change from -our to -or in words of the honor class was a mere echo of an earlier English uncertainty. In the first three folios of Shakespeare, 1623, 1632 and 1663-6, honor and honour were used indiscriminately and in almost equal proportions; English spelling, as we have seen, was then still fluid, and the -our-form was not used consistently until the Fourth Folio of 1685.

Moreover, John Wesley, the founder of Methodism, is authority for the statement that the -or-form was "a fashionable impropriety" in England in 1791. But the great authority of Johnson stood against it, and Webster was surely not one to imitate fashionable improprieties. He deleted the u for purely etymological reasons, going back to the Latin bonor, favor and odor without taking account of the intermediate French homeur, faveur and odeur. And where no etymological reasons presented themselves, he made his changes by analogy and for the sake of uniformity, or for euphony or simplicity, or because it pleased him, one guesses, to stir up the academic animals. Webster, in fact, delighted in controversy, and was anything but free from the national yearning to make a sensation.

Many of his innovations, of course, failed to take root, and in the course of time he abandoned some of them himself. Among them were the dropping of the silent letter in such words as head, give, built and realm, making them hed, giv, bilt and relm; the substitution of doubled vowels for apparent diphthongs in such words as mean, zeal and near, making them meen, zeel and neer; and the substitution of sh for ch in such French loan-words as machine and chevalier, making them masheen and shevaleer. He had once declared for stile in place of style, and for many other such changes, but now quietly abandoned them. The successive editions of his Dictionary show still further concessions. Croud, fether, groop, gillotin, iland, insted, leperd, soe, sut, steddy, thret, thred, thum and wimmen appear only in the 1806 edition. In his "American Dictionary of the English Language" (1828), the father of all the Websters of today, he went back to crowd, feather, group, island, instead, leopard, sew, soot, steady, thread, threat, thumb and women, and changed gillotin to guillotin, and in addition, he restored the final e in determine, discipline, requisite, imagine, etc. In 1838, revising the "American Dictionary," he abandoned a good many spellings that had appeared even in his 1828 edition, e.g., maiz for maize, suveran 1 for sovereign and guillotin for guillotine, but he stuck manfully to a number that were quite as revolutionary - e.g., aker for acre, cag for keg, grotesk for grotesque, hainous for heinous, porpess for porpoise and tung for tongue - and they did not begin to disappear until the edition of 1854, issued by other hands and eleven years after

but it seems to have no support elsewhere.

I I find soveran in the London Times Literary Supplement for Aug. 5, 1920, p. 1, art. Words for Music,

his death. Three of his favorites, chimist for chemist, neger for negro and zeber for zebra, are incidentally interesting as showing changes in American pronunciation. He abandoned zeber in 1828, but remained faithful to chimist and neger to the last.

But though he was thus forced to give occasional ground, and in more than one case held out in vain, Webster lived to see many of his reforms adopted by his countrymen. The influence of his Spelling Book was really stupendous. It took the place in the schools of Dilworth's "Aby-sel-pha," the favorite of the Revolutionary generation, and maintained its authority for nearly a century. Until Lyman Cobb entered the lists with his "New Spelling Book," its innumerable editions had no really formidable rivalry, and even then it held its own. I have a New York edition, dated 1848, which contains an advertisement stating that the annual sale at that time was more than a million copies, and that more than 30,000,000 copies had been sold since 1783. In the late 40's the publishers, George F. Cooledge & Bro., devoted the whole capacity of the fastest steam press in the United States to the printing of it. This press turned out 525 copies an hour, or 5,250 a day. It was "constructed expressly for printing Webster's 'Elementary Spelling Book' [the name had been changed in 1829] at an expense of \$5,000." Down to 1865, 42,000,000 copies had been sold, and down to 1889, 62,000,-000. The appearance of Webster's first Dictionary, in 1806, greatly strengthened his influence. Four other dictionaries had been published in the United States since 1708 - Samuel Johnson, Ir.'s, John Elliott's, Caleb Alexander's and William Woodridge's - but Noah's quickly dominated the popular field, and in those days dictionaries were accepted even more gravely than they are today.1 Thus he left

Their influence was described by Allen Walker Read in The Development of Faith in the Dictionary in America, a paper read before the Present Day English Section of the Modern Language Association at Philadelphia, Dec. 29, 1934. So late as 1851 the deputy superintendent of the common schools of Massachusetts reported after he had made a tour through the State: "In many towns the dictionary was the only authoritative judge and umpire in literary matters." Webster's and its rivals were sold very

cheaply. The following is from a letter by Bemis and Ward, book-sellers of Canandaigua, N. Y., Jan. 16, 1833: "We published Walker's until last year, but . . . the market was crowded with them at 20 to 25 cents. Our country merchants get their supplies of them in the cities, and we have sold our plates, not being able or willing to make the book poor enough to compete with such editions. We retail Webster's [School Dictionary] at 87 cents — Walker's at 50. The poorer editions are probably sold at 37½."

the ending in -or triumphant over the ending in -our, he shook the security of the ending in -re, he rid American spelling of a great many doubled consonants, he established the s in words of the defense group, and he gave currency to many characteristic American spellings, notably jail, wagon, plow, mold and ax. These spellings still survive, and are practically universal in the United States today; their use constitutes one of the most obvious differences between written English and written American. Moreover, they have founded a general tendency, the effects of which reach far beyond the field actually traversed by Webster himself. His reforms, of course, did not go unchallenged by the guardians of tradition. A glance at the literature of the first years of the Nineteenth Century shows that most of the more pretentious authors of the time ignored them, though they were quickly adopted by the newspapers. For example, the Rev. Aaron Bancroft's "Life of Washington" (1807) contains -our endings in all such words as honor, ardor and favor. Washington Irving, who began to publish in the same year, also inclined toward them, and so did William Cullen Bryant, whose "Thanatopsis" came out in 1817, and most of the other literary bigwigs of the era followed suit. After the appearance of the "American Dictionary" in 1828 a formal battle was joined, with Lyman Cobb and Joseph E. Worcester as the chief formal opponents of the reformer. His inconsistencies gave them a handy weapon for use against him - until it began to be noticed that the orthodox English spelling was quite as inconsistent. He sought to change acre to aker, but left lucre unchanged. He removed the final f from bailiff, mastiff, plaintiff and pontiff, but left it in distaff. He changed c to s in words of the offense class, but left the c in fence. He changed the ck in frolick, physick, etc., into a simple c, but restored it in such derivatives as frolicksome. He deleted the silent u in mould, but left it in court. These slips were made the most of by Cobb in a furious pamphlet in excessively fine print, printed in 1831.1 He also detected Webster in the frequent faux pas of using spellings in his definitions and explanations that conflicted with the spellings he advocated. Various other purists joined in the attack, and it was carried on with great fury on the appearance of Worcester's Dictionary, in 1846, three years

A Critical Review of the Orthography of Dr. Webster's Series of Books . . . ; New York, 1831. A modern and more moderate review

of Webster's inconsistences is in A Linguistic Patriot, by Kemp Malone, American Speech, Oct., 1925.

after Webster's death. The partisans of conformity rallied round Worcester, and for a while the controversy took on all the rancor of a personal quarrel. According to McKnight, Harvard University required candidates for matriculation to follow Worcester's spellings "as late as the last decade of the Nineteenth Century."

Both Cobb and Worcester, in the end, accepted the -or ending and so surrendered on what was really the main issue, but various other champions arose to carry on the war. Edward S. Gould, in a once famous essay,2 denounced the whole Websterian orthography with the utmost fury, and Bryant, reprinting this philippic in the Evening Post, said that on account of Webster "the English language has been undergoing a process of corruption for the last quarter of a century," and offered to contribute to a fund to have Gould's denunciation "read twice a year in every school-house in the United States, until every trace of Websterian spelling disappears from the land." But Bryant was forced to admit that, even in 1856, the chief novelties of the Connecticut schoolmaster "who taught millions to read but not one to sin" were "adopted and propagated by the largest publishing house, through the columns of the most widely circulated monthly magazine, and through one of the ablest and most widely circulated newspapers in the United States"which is to say, the Tribune under Greeley. The last academic attack was delivered by Bishop A. C. Coxe in 1886, and he contented himself with the resigned statement that "Webster has corrupted our spelling sadly." T. R. Lounsbury, with his active interest in spelling reform, ranged himself on the side of Webster, and effectively disposed of the controversy by showing that the great majority of his spellings were supported by precedents quite as respectable as those behind the fashionable English spellings. In Lounsbury's opinion, a great deal of the opposition to them was no more than a symptom of antipathy to all things American among certain Englishmen and of subservience to all things English among certain Americans.⁸

Thus Webster gradually conquered the country, and many, though certainly not most, of the reformed spellings he advocated

¹ Modern English in the Making,

p. 490.
2 Democratic Review, March, 1856.
In Good English New York, 1867,
p. 145 ff, Gould gloated over the
fact that in the Webster's Dictionaries of 1854 and 1866, brought out

after Webster's death, many of his spellings were withdrawn, or reduced to the estate of variants.

³ See his English Spelling and Spelling Reform; New York, 1909, p. 229.

at one time or another are the American standard today. Moreover, not a few of them have been adopted in England, and others seem to be making headway there. This invasion, of course, does not go without resistance, and every now and then there is an uproar in the English papers against American orthography, matching in virulence the perennial uproars against American slang. Back in 1892 Brander Matthews noted sadly "the force, fervor and frequency of the objurgations in the columns of the Saturday Review and of the Athenæum." Those objurgations continue to be launched in the more finicky section of the English press to this day. Here is a specimen from a letter in the Literary Supplement of the London Times, the object of the assault being an edition of Walter Pater's "Marius the Epicurean" with certain somewhat gingery concessions to American usage:

Hardly a page but is blistered with hideous vulgarisms such as offenses, skillful, fiber, theater, somber, traveling, moldering, marvelous, jeweler, worshiper, esthetic; things which to Pater, one feels, would have been merely horrible. Nor is there even the grace of consistency in evil-doing; since we get mouldering on page 49, and moldering on page 143, favour on page 69 with favor four pages farther on, and traveller on dust-cover and title-page against traveler throughout the book.

The reason? Small doubt that these monstrous hybrids in "English" publications of "English" literature are bred by mass-production out of Copyright Law; making the best of both worlds by slipping into an English series-cover a book printed from stereo-plates made in U.S.A.

Surely the re-issue of English classics in the "nu speling" from "America" might be left to American publishers. And if it pays London to cater for U.S.A. readers, one might at least expect some warning for those who prefer the King's English undefiled: such as asterisks in the list against those volumes in which the "nu speling" is used, or the use of the "nu speling" itself in the covers, title-pages, and advertisements.²

2. THE ADVANCE OF AMERICAN SPELLING

But such uncompromising defenders of English spelling lead a forlorn hope. Not only is there a general movement toward American forms in the newspapers—including the *Times* itself—; there is also a general yielding by English "authorities." The Concise Oxford Dictionary of the Brothers Fowler, which came out in

¹ Americanisms and Briticisms; New York, 1892, p. 37. 2 This Nu Speling, by C. R. Prance; London Times, April 24, 1930.

1914, offers plenty of examples. The authors say in their preface that they "stop short of recognizing forms that at present strike every reader as Americanisms," but they surely go far enough. In all the words ending in -ise and -isation the English s is changed to the American z. They prefer leveler to leveller and riveted to rivetted, though clinging sentimentally to traveller. They retain the first e in judgement, but omit it from likeable, and even go ahead of American usage by omitting it from nileage. They dismiss the -or ending as "entirely non-British," but concede that it is necessary in horror and torpor. Finally, they swap the English y for the American i in tire, cider and siphon, recognize a as a variant for y in pyjama, concede that jail is as good as gaol, prefer the American asphalt to the English asphalte, toilet to toilette, and balk to baulk. and admit program, wagon, check (on a bank) and skeptic without precisely endorsing them. The monumental Oxford Dictionary upon which the Concise Oxford is grounded shows many silent concessions, and quite as many open yieldings - for example, in the case of ax, which is admitted to be "better than axe on every ground." Moreover, many English lexicographers tend to march ahead of it, outstripping the liberalism of its editor, the late Sir James A. H. Murray. In 1914, for example, Sir James was still protesting against dropping the first e from judgement, but two years earlier the "Authors' and Printers' Dictionary," edited by Horace Hart,1 Controller of the Oxford University Press, had dropped judgement altogether. "The Authors' and Printers' Dictionary" was, and is, an authority approved by the Master Printers' and Allied Trades' Association of London, the Edinburgh Master Printers' Association, the Belfast Printing Trades Employers' Association, and the executive committee of the London Association of Correctors of the Press, i.e., proofreaders. Hart is now dead, but the seventh edition (1933), revised by some unnamed hand, continues to show a great many characteristic American spellings. For example, it recommends the use of jail and jailer in place of the English gaol and gaoler, drops the final e from asphalte and stye, changes the y to i in cyder, cypher and syren, and advocates the same change in tyre, drops the redundant t from nett, changes burthen to burden, spells wagon with one g, prefers fuse to fuze, and takes the e out of

Authors' & Printers' Dictionary
... an attempt to codify the best
typographical practices of the

present day, by F. Howard Collins; 4th ed., revised by Horace Hart; London, 1912. storey. "Rules for Compositors and Readers at the University Press, Oxford," also edited by Hart (with the advice of Sir James Murray and Dr. Henry Bradley) is another very influential English authority. It gives its imprimatur to bark (a ship), cipher, siren, jail, story, tire and wagon, and even advocates kilogram, tiro and omelet. Cassell's New English Dictionary goes quite as far. Like the "Authors' and Printers' Dictionary and the Concise Oxford it clings to the -our and -re endings and to the redundant a in such words as esthete and anesthesia, but it prefers jail to gaol, net to nett, story to storey, asphalt to asphalte, tire to tyre, wagon to waggon, vial to phial, and pygmy to pigmy.

There is, however, much confusion among these authorities; the English are still unable to agree as to which American spellings they will adopt and which they will keep under the ban for a while longer. The Concise Oxford and the "Authors' and Printers' Dictionary" prefer bark to barque and the late Poet Laureate, Dr. Robert Bridges,² adopted it boldly, but Cassell still clings to barque. Cassell favors baritone; the Oxford and the A. and P. are for barytone. The Oxford is for czar; Cassell and the A. and P. for tsar. The Oxford admits program; Cassell and the A. and P. stick to programme. Cassell and the A. and P. adopt the American scimitar; the Oxford retains the English scimetar. All three have abandoned enquire for inquire, but they remain faithful to encumbrance, endorse and enclose, though the Oxford and Cassell list indorsation and the Oxford also gives indorsee. Both the Oxford and Cassell have abandoned æther for ether, but they cling to æsthetic and ætiology. Neither gives up plough, cheque, connexion, mould, mollusc or kerb, and Cassell even adorns the last-named with an astounding compound credited to "American slang," to wit, kerbstone broker. All the English authorities that I have consulted prefer the -re and -our endings; nevertheless, the London Nation adopted the -or ending in 1919,4 and George Bernard Shaw had adopted it years before,5 as had Walter Savage

I Horace Hart: Rules for Compositors and Readers at the University Press, Oxford: 23rd ed.; London, 1914. I am informed by Mr. Humphrey Davy, of the London Times, that, with one or two minor exceptions, the Times observes the rules laid down in this book.

2 Edited by Dr. Ernest A. Baker; London, 1919. 3 On English Homophones; S.P.E. Tracts, No. II, 1919, p. 7.
4 This note appeared in English,

4 This note appeared in English, May-June, 1919, p. 88: "By the way, the Nation now spell labor, honor, favor." Note the plural verb.

5 Shaw is, in general, an advanced speller. He was spelling program without the final -me when it still

Landor before him. The British Board of Trade, in attempting to fix the spelling of various scientific terms, has often come to grief. Thus, it detaches the final -me from gramme in such compounds as kilogram and milligram, but insists upon gramme when the word stands alone. In American usage gram is now common, and scarcely challenged. A number of spellings, some of them American, are trembling on the brink of acceptance in both countries. Among them is rime (for rhyme). This spelling was correct in England until about 1530, but its recent revival was of American origin. It is accepted by the Concise Oxford, by the editors of the "Cambridge History of English Literature," and by many English periodicals, including Notes and Queries, but not by Cassell. Grewsome has got a footing in both countries, but the weight of English opinion is still against it. Develop (instead of develope) has gone further in both. So has engulf, for engulph. And most English newspapers have begun to drop the redundant a in medieval, esophagus, etc. But they still spell bologna (sausage) balony, thus rivaling but not imitating Al Smith's baloney.

There is not much movement of English spellings in this direction; the traffic, as in the case of neologisms, runs heavily the other way. At Bar Harbor, in Maine, a few of the more Anglophil Summer residents are at pains to put harbour instead of harbor on their stationery, but the local postmaster still continues to stamp all mail Bar Harbor, the legal name of the place. In the same way American haberdashers of the more doggy sort sometimes advertise pyjamas instead of pajamas, just as they advertise braces instead of suspenders, and boots instead of shoes. But this benign folly does not go very far. Even the most fashionable jewelers in Fifth avenue still deal in jewelry, not jewellery. The English ketchup has made some progress against the American catsup, and cheque has come into use of late among American accountants, but only as a convenient means of distinguishing between a bank check (to which it is applied) and

seemed barbaric in England, and he also prefers catalog, toilet and etiquet. But he clings to to shew (as in The Shewing Up of Blanco Posnet), though it is going out, and the Authors' and Printers' Dictionary recommends to show "except in Sc. law, and Bib. and Prayer Book citations."

In Christopher Morley's Thunder on the Left; New York, 1925, the name of Deep Harbor, a place supposedly near New York City, is spelled *Harbour*. This natural slip by a Rhodes scholar is rebuked by Clifford H. Bissell in Is It Pedantry?, Saturday Review of Literature, Aug. 13, 1927.

check in the sense of a verification. Sometimes an American book, intended also for circulation in England, is printed in what American printers call English spelling. This English spelling, at best, is a somewhat lame compromise, and seems to be passing out. As used at the Riverside Press, it embraced until a few years ago, all the -our endings and the following further forms:

cheque grey
chequered inflexion
connexion jewellery
dreamt leapt
faggot premiss (in logic)
forgather waggon
forgo

But in the latest edition of the Riverside Press's Handbook of Style ² all save the -our endings have been omitted, and I am informed by Mr. Henry A. McLaughlin of the Press that English spellings are used "only when we are doing books by English authors, and the English author prefers to have us follow the English usage rather than our own." Another great American press, that of the J. S. Cushing Company, follows a list which includes both the -our endings and these words:

behove	gaiety	lacquey	shily
briar	gaol	moustache	slily
cheque	gipsy	nought	staunch
connexion	inflexion	pigmy	storey (floor)
drily	instal	postillion	verandah
enquire	judgment	reflexion	waggon ³

This list, along with the -our endings, appears also in the style-book of the Macmillan Company, the largest of the English-American publishing firms. It would seem to need revision, for, as we have seen, the English themselves have begun to abandon gaol, storey, waggon, judgement and pigmy, and are showing a considerable

- I Handbook of Style in Use at the Riverside Press, Cambridge, Mass.; Boston, 1913.
- 2 Boston, 1930.
- 3 Preparation of Manuscript, Proof Reading, and Office Style at J. S. Cushing Company's; Norwood, Mass., n.d. Under date of Sept. 19, 1935, Mr. Robert T. Barr, one of the directors of the company, writes: "In practically all of the new books that are now being pub-
- lished we have been requested by the several publishers to follow the new Webster's International Dictionary (1934) in regard to spelling. With the few English books we have been doing lately our orders have been to follow copy."

have been to follow copy."

4 The Authors' Book; New York,
1925. I am indebted here to Mr.
H. S. Latham, vice-president of the

Macmillan Co.

uncertainty about enquire. "The Authors' and Printers' Dictionary," indeed, now prefers the American brier to the English briar, dryly to drily, install to instal, lackey to lacquey, naught to nought, postilion to postillion, shyly to shily and veranda to verandah, and allows reflection for reflexion. Thus there is little of English spelling left save the -our and -re words and the charges of fraud. The Government Printing Office at Washington has followed "Webster's New International" since 1864, when the Superintendent of Public Printing (he became the Public Printer in 1895) was authorized by law to determine "the forms and style in which the printing . . . ordered by any of the departments shall be executed." He issued his first Style Manual in 1887 and it has been revised a number of times since. Down to 1929 it was edited by a board of employés of the Government Printing Office, but in that year representatives of the State, Commerce, Agriculture and Interior Departments and of the Smithsonian Institution were invited to participate. A copy of this work is in the proofroom of nearly every American magazine and newspaper. It favors American spelling in all cases, and its rules are generally observed. The Atlantic Monthly, alone among American magazines of wide circulation, is inclined to be more conservative, probably under the influence of Worcester. It uses the -re ending in words of the center class, retains the u in mould, moult and moustache, retains the redundant terminal letters in such words as gramme, programme and quartette, retains the final e in axe and adze, and clings to the double vowels in such words as mediæval and anæsthesia. In addition, it uses the English plough, whiskey, clue and gruesome, differentiates between the noun practice and the verb to practise, and makes separate words of to ensure, to make certain, and to insure, to protect or indemnify.1

But American spelling is plainly better than English spelling, and in the long run it seems sure to prevail. The superiority of jail to gaol is made manifest by the common mispronunciation of the latter by Americans who find it in print, making it rhyme with coal. Other changes also carry their own justification. Hostler is obviously better English, etymologically speaking, than ostler, and cozy is more nearly phonetic than cosy. Curb has analogues in curtain, curdle, cur-

Text, Type and Style: A Compendium of Atlantic usage, by George B. Ives; Boston, 1921.

few, curl, currant, curry, curve, curtesy, curse, currency, cursory, cur, curt and many other common words: kerb has very few, and of them only kerchief and kernel are in general use. Moreover, the English themselves use curb as a verb and in all noun sense save that shown in kerbstone. Such forms as monolog and dialog still offend the fastidious, but their merit is not to be gainsaid. Nor would it be easy to argue logically against gram, toilet, mustache, ax, caliber, gayety, gray, anesthetic, draft and tire. Something may be said, even, for chlorid, brusk, lacrimal, gage, eolian, niter, sulfite and phenix,1 which still wait for general recognition. A number of anomalies remain. The American retention of e in forego and whiskey is not easily explained, nor the unphonetic substitution of s for z in fuse, nor the persistence of the y in gypsy and pygmy, nor the occasional survival of a foreign form, as in cloture.2 Here we have plain vagaries, surviving in spite of attack by orthographers. Webster, in one of his earlier books, denounced the k in skeptic as a "mere pedantry," but later on he adopted it. In the same way pygmy, gray and mollusk have been attacked, but they still remain sound American. The English themselves have many more such illogical forms to account for. They have to write offensive and defensive (nouns), despite their fidelity to the c in offence and defence.* They hesitate to abandon programme, but never think of using diagramme or telegramme. Worst of all, they are inconsistent in their use of the -our ending, the chief glory of orthodox English orthography.4 In American the u appears only in Saviour and then

This form is used by the Chatham and Phenix National Bank, in New York. But the Phænix Insurance Company, of Hartford, Conn., retains the old spelling. About 100 corporations having the word in their names are listed in the New York telephone directory. A fifth of them use phenix.

2 The Fowlers in The King's English, 2nd ed.; London, 1908, p. 23, say that "when it was proposed to borrow from France what we li.e., the English) now know as the closure, it seemed certain for some time that with the thing we should borrow the name, clôture; a press campaign resulted in closure." But in the Congressional Record it is

still cloture, though with the loss of the circumflex accent, and this form is generally retained by American newspapers—that is, when they do not use gag.

3 Webster's New International prefers offense and defense. In license, advice, device, prophecy, practise, etc. the English rule is that the nouns shall take c and the verbs s. But the American Medical Association Press "has always spelled practice with c, whether for noun or for verb." Journal of the American Medical Association, April 26, 1930. D. 1342.

1930, p. 1342. 4 Says H. W. Fowler in Modern English Usage; Oxford, 1926, p. 415: "The American abolition of only when the word is used in the biblical sense. In England it is used in most words of that class, but omitted from agent nouns, e.g., ambassador, emperor and progenitor, and also from various other words, e.g., horror and torpor. It is commonly argued in defense of it over there that it serves to distinguish French loan-words from words derived directly from the Latin, but Gilbert Tucker shows that this argument is quite nonsensical, even assuming that the distinction has any practical utility. Ancestor, bachelor, error, exterior, governor, metaphor, mirror, senator, superior, successor and torpor all came into English from the French, and yet British usage sanctions spelling them without the u. On the other hand it is used in arbour, behaviour, clangour, flavour and neighbour, "which are not French at all." Tucker goes on:

Even in ardour, armour, candour, endeavour, favour, honour, labour, odour, parlour, rigour, rumour, saviour, splendour, tumour and vapour, where the u has some color of right to appear, it is doubtful whether its insertion has much value as suggesting French derivation, for in the case of twelve of these words the ordinary reader would be quite certain to have in mind only the modern spelling - ardeur, armure, candeur, faveur, honneur, labeur, odeur, rigueur, rumeur, splendeur, tumeur and vapeur - which have the u indeed but no o (and why should not one of these letters be dropped as well as the other?) - while endeavour, parlour and saviour come from old French words that are themselves without the u-devoir, parleor and saveor. The u in all these words is therefore either useless or positively misleading. And finally in the case of colour, clamour, fervour, humour, rancour, valour and vigour, it is to be remarked that the exact American orthography actually occurs in old French! "Finally," I said, but that is not quite the end of British absurdity with these -our -or words. Insistent as our transatlantic cousins are on writing arbour, armour, clamour, clangour, colour, dolour, flavour, honour, humour, labour, odour, rancour, rigour, savour, valour, vapour and vigour, and "most unpleasant" as they find the omission of the excrescent u in any of these words, they nevertheless make no scruple of writing the derivatives in the American way arboreal, armory, clamorous, clangorous, colorific, dolorous, flavorous, honorary, humorous, laborious, odorous, rancorous, rigorous, savory, valorous, vaporize and vigorous - not inserting the u in the second syllable of any one

-our in such words as bonour and favour has probably retarded rather than quickened English progress in the same direction. Our first notification that a book we are reading is not English but American is often, nowadays, the sight of an -or. 'Yankee' we say, and congratulate ourselves on spelling like gentlemen; we wisely decline to regard it as a matter for argument; the English way cannot but be bet-

ter than the American way; that is enough. Most of us, therefore, do not come to the question with an open mind." "The Americans," says Basil de Sélincourt in Pomona, or The Future of English; London, 1928, p. 40, "have dropped a u out of humour and other words; possibly we should have done so, if they had not." My italics.

1 American English; New York, 1921, p. 37.

of these words. The British practice is, in short and to speak plainly, a jumble of confusion, without rhyme or reason, logic or consistency; and if anybody finds the American simplification of the whole matter "unpleasant," it can be only because he is a victim of unreasoning prejudice against which no argument can avail.

If the *u* were dropped in *all* derivatives, the confusion would be less, but it is retained in many of them, for example, *colourable*, *favourite*, *misdemeanour*, *coloured* and *labourer*. The derivatives of *honour* exhibit clearly the difficulties of the American who essays to write correct English. *Honorary*, *honorarium* and *honorific* drop the *u*, but *honourable* retains it. Furthermore, the English make a distinction between two senses of *rigor*. When used in its pathological sense (not only in the Latin form of *rigor mortis*, but as an English word) it drops the *u*; in all other senses it retains the *u*.

In Canada the two orthographies, English and American, flourish side by side. By an Order-in-Council of 1890, official correspondence must show the English spelling, and in 1931 the Canadian Historical Association, the Canadian Geographical Society and the Royal Society of Canada joined in urging its use by every loyal Canadian. But though it is ordained in all the -our words in "Preparation of Copy For the Printer," issued by the King's Printer at Ottawa,2 there are, in that pamphlet, various other concessions to American usage. The English aluminium, for example, is to be used in scientific documents, but the American aluminum is permitted in commercial writing. Cipher, dryly, jail, net, program and wagon are to be spelt in the American manner, and even alright is authorized. Nearly all the Canadian newspapers use the American spelling and it is also taught in most of the public schools, which are under the jurisdiction, not of the Dominion government, but of the provincial ministers of education. In Australia the English spelling is official, but various American forms are making fast progress. According to the Triad (Sydney), "horrible American inaccuracies of spelling are coming into common use" in the newspapers out there; worse, the educational authorities of Victoria authorize the use of the American -er ending. This last infamy has been roundly denounced by Sir Adrian Knox, Chief Justice of the Commonwealth, and the Triad has displayed a good deal of colonial passion in supporting

I Canada Won't Even Import American Spelling, Baltimore Evening Sun, Aug. 5, 1931.

him. "Unhappily," it says, "we have no English Academy to guard the purity and integrity of the language. Everything is left to the sense and loyalty of decently cultivated people." But even the Triad admits that American usage, in some instances, is "correct." It is, however, belligerently faithful to the -our ending. "If it is correct or tolerable in English," it argues somewhat lamely, "to write labor for labour, why not boddy for body, steddy for steady, and yot for yacht?" Meanwhile, as in Canada, the daily papers slide into the Yankee orbit.

3. THE SIMPLIFIED SPELLING MOVEMENT

Franklin's "Scheme For a New Alphabet and Reformed Mode of Spelling" was by no means the first attempt to revise and rationalize English orthography. So long ago as the beginning of the Thirteenth Century a monk named Ormin tried to reform the spelling of the Middle English of his time. The chief difficulty then encountered was in distinguishing between long vowels and short ones, and Ormin proposed to get rid of it by doubling the consonants following the latter. Thus he spelled fire, fir, and fir, firr. His proposal got no support, and the manuscript in which he made it lay in obscurity for six centuries, but when it was exhumed at last it turned out to be very useful to philologians, for it threw a great deal of light upon early Middle English pronunciation. Thus, the fact that Ormin spelled God as we do showed that the word was then rhymed with load, and the fact that he spelled goddspell (gospel) with two d's showed that a shorter o was beginning to prevail in the derivative.

Ormin was followed after three and a half centuries by Sir John Cheke (1514-57), the first regius professor of Greek at Cambridge. Middle English had passed out by that time, and Modern English was in, but many survivals of the former were still encountered, including a host of now-useless final e's. Sir John proposed to amputate all of them. He also proposed to differentiate between the short and long forms of the same vowels by doubling the latter. Finally, he proposed to get rid of all silent consonants, thus making doubt, for example, dout, and turning fault into faut, for it was so pronounced at that time.1 Cheke was supported in his reforms by a

¹ He was in favor of what he called

opposed the excessive use of loan-He was in favor of what he called opposed the excessive use of loan-a "clean and pure" English, and words, then very popular. In a

number of influential contemporaries, including Roger Ascham, but English went on its wild way. In 1568 another attempt to bring it to rule was made by Sir Thomas Smith, one of his friends and colleagues at Cambridge. Smith's proposals were published in a Latin work entitled "De Recta et Emendata Linguæ Anglicanæ Scriptione," and the chief of them was that the traditional alphabet be abandoned and a phonetic alphabet substituted. A century later the Rev. John Wilkins, then Dean of Ripon and later Bishop of Chester, came forward with another phonetic alphabet - this time of about 450 characters! But though Wilkins argued for it very learnedly on physiological grounds, printing many engravings to show the action of the tongue and palate, it seems to have made no impression on his contemporaries, and is now forgotten save by antiquarians. Nor was any greater success made by his numerous successors. They framed some very apt and pungent criticisms of English orthography and projected a number of quite reasonable reforms, but they had little hand in the determination of actual spelling practise. That was mainly the work of printers, and after 1650 their rules began to be accepted by English authors, and most of them remain in force to this day. Since Franklin's time the literature of the subject has taken on large proportions, and contributions to it have been made by all sorts of persons, ranging from scientific philologians to fanatics of the sort who project new religions and new political economies.2 In the last century the most noise was made by Sir Isaac Pitman, the inventor of the system of shorthand bearing his name. In the early 40's, in association with Alexander J. Ellis, he proposed a new phonetic alphabet of forty letters, and during the years following

translation of the Gospel of Mark, published in 1550, he substituted hunderder for centurion, and crossed for crucified.

¹ A good account of the early reformers is in Every-Day English, by Richard Grant White; Boston, 1881, Ch. X. See also Introduction to the Science of Language, by A. H. Sayce, 4th ed.; London, 1900, p. 330 ff; Modern English in the Making, by George H. McKnight; New York, 1928, p. 117 ff and the various passages listed under Spelling in his index; The Development

of Modern English, by Stuart Robertson; New York, 1934, pp. 271-80; and Handbook of Simplified Spelling; New York, 1920, p. 5.

² A partial list of the books on the subject printed in the United States between 1807 and 1860 is in The English Language in America, by George Philip Krapp; New York, 1925, Vol. I, p. 330. Most of those printed down to the end of 1922 are listed in Arthur G. Kennedy's Bibliography of Writings on the English Language; Cambridge (Mass.), 1927.

he made vigorous propaganda for it in his *Phonographic Journal*, and through the Phonetic Society, which he organized in 1843.

But the real father of the Simplified Spelling movement was probably Noah Webster. The controversy over his new spelling, described in the last section, aroused a great deal of public interest in the subject, and in the early 70's even the dons of the American Philological Association began to give it some attention. In 1875 they appointed a committee consisting of Professors Francis A. March of Lafayette College, W. D. Whitney and J. Hammond Trumbell of Yale, S. S. Haldeman of the University of Pennsylvania, and F. J. Child of Harvard to look into it, and in 1876 this committee reported that a revision of spelling was urgent and that something should be done about it. Specifically, they proposed that eleven new spellings be adopted at once, to wit, ar, catalog, definit, gard, giv, hav, infinit, liv, tho, thru and wisht. During the same year there was an International Convention for the Amendment of English Orthography at Philadelphia, with several delegates from England present, and out of it grew the Spelling Reform Association, which immediately endorsed the eleven new spellings of the five professors. Three years later a similar body was organized in England, with A. H. Sayce, deputy professor of comparative philology at Oxford as its president, and Charles Darwin, Alfred Tennyson, Sir Isaac Pitman, Sir John Lubbock, and such eminent philologians as J. A. H. Murray, W. W. Skeat and Henry Sweet among its vice-presidents. The Philological Society of England and the American Philological Association kept a friendly watch upon the progress of events. In 1880 the former issued a pamphlet advising various "partial corrections of English spellings," and in 1886 the latter followed with recommendations affecting about 3500 words, and falling under ten headings. Most of the new forms listed had been put forward years before by Webster, and some of them had entered into unquestioned American usage in the meantime, e.g., the deletion of the u from the -our words, the substitution of er for re at the end of words, and the reduction of traveller to traveler.

The trouble with the others was that they were either too uncouth to be adopted without a long struggle or likely to cause errors in pronunciation. To the first class belonged tung for tongue, ruf for rough, batl for battle and abuv for above, and to the second such

forms as cach for catch and troble for trouble. The result was that the whole reform received a setback: the public dismissed the reformers as a pack of lunatics. Twelve years later the National Education Association revived the movement with a proposal that a beginning be made with a very short list of reformed spellings, and nominated the following twelve changes by way of experiment: tho, altho, thru, thruout, thoro, thoroly, thorofare, program, prolog, catalog, pedagog and decalog. Then, in 1906, came the organization of the Simplified Spelling Board, with a subsidy of \$15,000 a year from Andrew Carnegie (later increased to \$25,000 a year), and a formidable list of members and collaborators, including Henry Bradley, F. I. Furnivall, C. H. Grandgent, W. W. Skeat, T. R. Lounsbury and F. A. March. The board at once issued a list of 300 revised spellings, new and old, and in August, 1906, President Theodore Roosevelt ordered their adoption by the Government Printing Office. But this effort to hasten matters aroused widespread opposition, and in a little while the spelling reform movement was the sport of the national wits. The Government Printing Office resisted, and so did most of the departments, and in the end the use of the twelve new spellings was confined to the White House. Not many American magazines or newspapers adopted them, and they were seldom used in printing books. When, in 1919, Carnegie died, his subsidy ceased,1 and since then the Simplified Spelling Board has moved from the glare of Madison avenue, New York, to the rural retirement of Lake Placid, and there has been a serious decline in its activities. During Carnegie's lifetime it issued a great many bulletins and circulars, but since 1924 it has published nothing save a small magazine called Spelling - three issues in 1925 and four in 1931.2 In its heyday the board claimed that 556 American newspapers and other periodicals, with a combined circulation 18,000,000, were using the twelve simplified spellings of the National Education Association's list and "most of the 300 simpler spellings" recommended by its own first list, and that 460 universities, colleges and normal-

low made a single payment of \$100, and a patron one of \$1000. But apparently not many customers came to the cashier's desk, and the Leag now seems to be moribund.

First and last, he is said to have spent \$283,000 on the movement.
 In 1020 it organized a Simplified

² In 1920 it organized a Simplified Spelling Leag [sic] to raise funds. Members were asked to contribute \$10 a year and associates \$1. A fel-

schools were either using most of these spellings "in their official publications and correspondence," or permitting "students to use them in their written work." But not many of these publications or educational institutions were of much importance. The *Literary Digest* led the very short list of magazines of national circulation, and the Philadelphia *North American* led the newspapers. With regard to the colleges, the situation in Massachusetts was perhaps typical. Three institutions had adopted the new spelling — Clark College, Emerson College and the International Y.M.C.A. College. But Harvard was missing, and so were the Massachusetts Tech, Wellesley, Smith and Boston University.

The board issued various lists of reformed spellings from time to time, and in 1919 it brought out a Handbook of Simplified Spelling summarizing its successive recommendations. They were as follows:

- 1. When a word begins with or includes x or x substitute x esthetic, medieval, subpena. But retain the dipthong at the end of a word: alumnx
 - 2. When bt is pronounced t, drop the silent b: det, dettor, dout.
 - 3. When ceed is final spell it cede: excede, procede, succede.
- 4. When ch is pronounced like hard c, drop the silent h except before e, and y: caracter, clorid, corus, cronic, eco, epoc, mecanic, monarc, scolar, scool, stomac, tecnical. But retain architect, chemist, monarchy.
- 5. When a double consonant appears before a final silent e drop the last two letters: bizar, cigaret, creton, gavot, gazet, giraf, gram, program, quartet, vaudevil.
- 6. When a word ends with a double consonant substitute a single consonant: ad, bil, bluf, buz, clas, dol, dul, eg, glas, les, los, mes, mis, pas, pres, shal, tel, wil. But retain ll after a long vowel: all, roll. And retain ss when the word has more than one syllable: needless.
- 7. Drop the final silent e after a consonant preceded by a short stressed vowel: giv, bav, liv.
- 8. Drop the final silent e in the common words are, gone and were: ar, gon, wer.
- 9. Drop the final silent e in the unstressed final short syllables, ide, ile, ine, ise, ite and ive: activ, bromid, definit, determin, practis, hostil.
 - 10. Drop the silent e after lv and rv: involv, twelv, carv, deserv.
- 11. Drop the silent e after v or z when preceded by a digraph representing a long vowel or a diphthong: achiev, freez, gauz, sneez.
- 12. Drop the e in final oe when it is pronounced o: fo, bo, ro, to, wo. But retain it in inflections: foes, hoed.
- 13. When one of the letters in ea is silent drop it: bred, brekfast, bed, bart, barth.
 - 14. When final ed is pronounced d drop the e: cald, carrid, employd,
- Reasons and Rules For Simplified Spelling, April, 1919.

marrid, robd, sneezd, struggld, wrongd. But not when a wrong pronunciation will be suggested: bribd, cand, fild (for filed), etc.

- 15. When final ed is pronounced t substitute t: addrest, shipt, helpt, indorst. But not when a wrong pronunciation will be suggested: bakt, fact (for faced), etc.
- 16. When ei is pronounced like ie in brief substitute ie: conciet, deciev, wierd.
- 17. When a final ey is pronounced y drop the e: barly, chimny, donky, mony, vally.
- 18. When final gh is pronounced f substitute f and drop the silent letter of the preceding digraph: enuf, laf, ruf, tuf.
 - 19. When gh is pronounced g drop the silent h: agast, gastly, gost, goul.
 - 20. When gm is final drop the silent g: apothem, diafram, flem.
- 21. When gue is final after a consonant, a short vowel or a digraph representing a long vowel or a diphthong drop the silent ue: tung, catalog, barang, leag, sinagog. But not when a wrong pronunciation would be suggested: rog (for rogue), vag (for vague), etc.
- 22. When a final ise is pronounced ize substitute ize: advertize, advize, franchize, rize, wize.
- 23. When mb is final after a short vowel drop b: bom, crum, dum, lam, lim, thum. But not when a wrong pronunciation would be suggested: com (for comb), tom (for tomb), etc.
- 24. When ou before l is pronounced o drop u: mold, sholder. But not sol (for soul).
- 25. When ough is final spell o, u, ock, or up, according to the pronunciation: altho, boro, donut, furlo, tho, thoro, thru, hock, hiccup.
- 26. When our is final and ou is pronounced as a short vowel drop u: color, bonor, labor.
- 27. When ph is pronounced f substitute f: alfabet, emfasis, fantom, fonograf, fotograf, sulfur, telefone, telegraf.
- 28. When re is final after any consonant save c substitute er: center, fiber, meter, theater. But not lucer, mediocer.
- 29. When rh is initial and the h is silent drop it: retoric, reumatism, rime, rubarb, rithm.
- 30. When sc is initial and the c is silent drop it: senery, sented, septer, sience, sissors.
- 31. When u is silent before a vowel drop it: bild, condit, garantee, gard, ges, gide, gild.
- 32. When y is between consonants substitute i: analisis, fisic, gipsy, paralize, rime, silvan, tipe.

Obviously, this list was too long to have much chance of being accepted quickly. Some of the spellings on it, to be sure, were already in good American usage, brought in by Webster, but others were uncouth and even ridiculous. Worse, there were many exceptions to the rules laid down—for example, in rules 1, 4, 6, 12, 14, 15 and 21. The board, as if despairing of making any headway with so many words, brought out simultaneously a much shorter list, and leaflets arguing for it were distributed in large numbers. It was as follows:

ad	insted
addrest	liv(d)
anser(d)	program
ar	reciet
askt	reviev(d)
bil(d)	shal
buro	shipt
catalog	tel
det	telefone
engin	(al)tho
enuf	thoro(ly, -fare, etc.)
fil(d) .	thru(out)
fixt	twelv
giv	wil
hav	yu
	<i>y</i> =

On the reverse of this leaflet was the following:

When yu hav by practis familiarized yourself with the 30 WORDS, why not, for the sake of consistency, apply the principles exemplified by their spellings to other words? For instance, if yu write

addrest, anserd, askt, bild, fild, fixt, livd, recievd, shipt, why not write advanst, announst, cald, carrid, delayd, doubld, examind, followd, indorst, invoist, pleasd, preferd, signd, traveld, troubld, wisht, etc.?

telefone, why not write telegraf, fotograf, fonograf, alfabet, etc.?

ar, engin, giv, hav, liv, reciev, twelv, why not write activ, comparativ, definit, determin, examin, favorit, genuin, hostil, imagin, infinit, nativ, opposit, positiv, practis, promis, textil, believ, curv, resolv, serv, etc.?

ad, bil, fil, shal, wil, why not write od, eg, bel, wel, mil, bluf, stuf, pur, dres, les, buz, etc.?

catalog, why not write prolog, sinagog, etc.?

det, why not write dout, etc.?

insted, why not write bred, brekfest, ded, hed, red, helth, plesure, wether, etc.?

program, why not write gram, cigaret, quartet, gazet, bagatel, quadril, vaudevil, etc.?

reciev, why not write deciev, conciet, etc.? thoro, why not write boro, furlo, etc. enuf, why not write ruf, tuf, laf, cof, etc.?

But this list also failed to win any considerable public support. On the contrary, its clumsy novelties gave the whole spelling reform movement a black eye. In the Summer of 1921 the National Education Association, which had launched the campaign for reform in 1898, withdrew its endorsement, and during the years following most of the magazines and newspapers that had adopted its twelve new spellings went back to the orthodox forms. So long ago as 1909, when W. H. Taft succeeded Roosevelt as President, the New York

Sun announced the doom of the movement in an editorial of one word: thru. This was somewhat premature, for Carnegie's money was still paving for a vigorous propaganda, but his death ten years later, as I have said, put an end to large-scale crusading, and since then spelling reform has been promoted mainly by individuals, no two of whom agree. Some of their schemes are extremely simple - for example, that of William McDevitt, a San Francisco bookseller, who simply drops out all the neutral vowels and silent consonants. Thus, the becomes th, writer is riter, because is becaus, would is woud, and after is aftr. Other current proposals involve changes in the values of the alphabet, and are thus more complicated. Dr. H. Darcy Power, an English-born professor at the University of Freiburg in Germany, proposes that x, c and q, which are redundant, be given the new values of th, ch and qw respectively, and that the different values of the vowels be indicated by drawing lines either above or below them, e.g., \bar{a} for the a in hate, a for that in car, and a without any mark for that in bat. In order to distinguish between the two sounds of th he proposes that x be used in thy and \bar{x} in thigh. The neutral vowel he disposes of by either dropping it altogether or displacing it with an apostrophe. Here is a specimen of his fonetic speling, prepared by himself:

Sir C. P. Hunter [names are not as yet modified], spēking as a reprēzentativ biznes man, said he had long strongli objekted tu x wāst ov tīm and muni in our skuls and x sakrifīs ov praktikl and intelektūal efishensi dū tu x tīm spent and wāsted in lurning our unnesesarili difikult speling. Xat muni, and wot wos mor importnt, tīm, kud be put tu infinitli mor praktikl ūs if it wer devōted tu rēl edukāshn. Our irashunl and difikult speling wos a hindrns and a handikap tu x impruvment ov our trād and komers.¹

It will be noted that Dr. Power, like most spelling reformers, is not quite faithful to his own system, for he spells said, not as sed, but in the orthodox manner. Another revolutionist, Frederick S. Wingfield of Chicago, proposes in his fwnetik orthagrafi to employ the redundant c, j, q, w and y to represent the vowels in at, eat, ah, oh and ooze respectively, and to make various other changes in the values of the letters. Here is the Lord's Prayer according to his system:

Qur Fqdhr, hy qrt in hevn: hclwd bj dhqi neim. Dhqi kizdm kam, dhqui uil bj dan, on rth cz it iz in hevn. Giv as dhis dei qur deili bred, cnd forgiv

The English Alphabet: What It Is, What I Should Be – and What It Could Be; Freiburg i. B., 1930.

as qur dets cz uj forgivn qur detrz. Cdn ljd as nqt intu temteishn, bat djlivr as frqm jvl. For dhquin iz dhj kixdm, dhj pquar, cnd dhj glwri forevr. Eimen.¹

A somewhat similar scheme is that of Dr. R. E. Zachrisson, professor of English in the University of Upsala, Sweden. He calls it Anglic, and it seems to be backed by enthusiasts with plenty of cash, for a monthly magazine in advocacy of it was launched at Upsala in 1930, an illustrated fortnietly followed in 1931, and there are textbooks and phonograph records. Its rules fill nine pages of the official textbook,² and seem to be somewhat complicated. The consonants, with few exceptions, have their ordinary values, but there are many changes in the vowels, some of which are doubled or provided with modifying vowels. This clustering of vowels tends to be confusing, so italics or bold-face type are used to distinguish stressed syllables, e.g., in kreaet (create) the ae is so distinguished. Here is the first sentence of Lincoln's Gettysburg Address in Anglic:

Forskor and sevn yeerz agoe our faadherz braut forth on this kontinent a nue naeshon, konseevd in liberty, and dedikaeted to the propozishon that aul men ar kreaeted eequal.³

In 1927 the late Dr. Robert Bridges, Poet Laureate of England and founder of the Society for Pure English, began publishing a series of prose pamphlets embodying some new spellings and a few new letters. One of the latter was a symbol for the sound represented by i, ic, ie, ei, y, ye, ig, igh, eigh, uy, ay, ai, ey and eye in the words I, indictment, tie, eider, fly, dye, sign, sigh, height, buy, ay, aisle, eying and eye. It was an i with a hook attached to its right side, making it a sort of b with a dot over it. Another was a symbol for the ng of sing. It was an n with a similar hook. Dr. Bridges also used a script a to distinguish the broad a of father from the flat a of cat, and a script g to distinguish the soft g of gentle from the hard g of thing. Further, he omitted the final mute e in most situations, though retaining it when it indicated a long preceding vowel, as in finite, and when it occurred at the end of a syllable "which has a long vowel, and can

cism of Anglic, and of all like systems, in a review of the Anglic textbook in American Speech, June, 1931, p. 378 ff. It is signed A. G. K. and is apparently by Dr. Arthur G. Kennedy. Another devastating criticism is in Or Shall We Go Anglic?, by Janet Rankin Aiken, Bookman, Feb., 1931.

¹ Among Spelling Reformers, by Frederick S. Wingfield, American Speech, Oct., 1931. Mr. Wingfield also gives specimens of the spelling of other reformers.

² Anglic: A New Agreed Simplified English Spelling, final rev. ed.; Upsala, 1931.

Upsala, 1931.
3 There is a brief but cogent criti-

be recognized only as a whole, as *love*." ¹ These reforms got no support in England, and seem to have passed out with their distinguished author, who died in 1930.

On January 28, 1935, the Chicago Tribune announced out of a clear sky that it had adopted twenty-four simplified spellings and was preparing to add others from time to time. Its first list was rather cautious - catalog for catalogue, cotilion for cotillion, controled for controlled, fantom for phantom, hocky for hockey, skilful for skillful, advertisment for advertisement, harken for hearken, and so on. Many of these, in fact, were already in more or less general use. But when, in its second list, dated February 11, it added agast for aghast, aile for aisle, bagatel for bagatelle, bailif for bailiff, burocracy for bureaucracy, crum for crumb and missil for missile, it got into wilder waters, and when, in subsequent announcements, it proceeded to genuinly for genuinely, hefer for heifer, herse for hearse, staf for staff, warant for warrant, doctrin for doctrine, iland for island, lether for leather, trafic for traffic and yern for yearn, it was far out upon the orthographical deep.2 Its innovations met with a mixed reception. Some of its readers applauded, but others protested, and in a little while it was constrained to abandon iland. Its list did not include such favorites of the Simplified Spelling Board as thro, thru and filosofy.

But despite the fact that the activities of the board, as its secretary, Dr. Godfrey Dewey, admits sadly, have "slowed down almost to the stopping point," it has probably had some influence upon the course of American spelling. It failed to bring in tho and thoro, but it undoubtedly aided the general acceptance of catalog, program and their congeners. The late George Philip Krapp of Columbia, who was certainly no Anglophobe, believed that fonetic, fonograf, fosfate, fotograf and the like were "bound to be the spelling of the future" in this country. Such forms as burlesk, nabor, naborhood, nite, foto, sox, hi, lite, holsum, biskit, ho-made, thanx and kreem, though they

1 Collected Essays, Papers, Etc.; London, 1927, pref.

3 In a letter from the Lake Placid

Hindenburg Line, dated "14 Je 34." 4 Modern English; New York, 1910, p. 181.

p. 181.
5 Nite, says Blanche Jennings
Thompson in Our Vanishing Vocabulary, Catholic World, Aug.,
1934, "connotes speakeasies, gin,
cheapness and vulgarity." Night
"suggests quiet, rest and beauty."

² All of the articles announcing and arguing for these changes were written by James O'Donnell Bennett. The dates of two have been given. The others appeared on Feb. 25, March 4, March 18 and March 25.

still lack the imprimatur of any academic authority, are used freely by the advertising writers, and by such advance-agents of change as the contributors to Variety. The former try to get rid of the twelve ways of representing the k-sound by employing k itself whenever possible, e.g., in kar, klothes, klassy, kwality, kosy, kollegekut, butter-krust, keen-kutter, kutlery, kleen, kake, and so on.1 They also introduce many other novelties, e.g., uneeda, trufit (shoes), wilcut (knives), veribest, dalite (alarm clocks), staylit (matches), az-nu (second-hand), shur-on (eye-glasses), slipova (covers), notaseme (hosiery), kant-leek (water-bottle), and the like. Most of these, of course, rise and fall with the commodities they designate, and thus have only the dignity of nonce-words, but in their very number there is some sign of a tendency. Meanwhile the advertisement writers and authors combine in an attempt to naturalize alright, a compound of all and right, made by analogy with already and almost. In my days as a magazine editor I found it in American manuscripts very often, and it not seldom gets into print.2 So far no dictionary supports it, but in "Webster's New International" (1934) it is listed as "commonly found." It has already migrated to England and has the imprimatur of a noble lord.* Another vigorous newcomer is sox for socks. The White Sox are known to all Americans; the White Socks would seem strange, and the new plural has got into the Congressional Record.4 Yet another is slo, as in go slo. And there are also someway, someplace, etc., drive urself (automobiles for hire),5 nuf sed, and naptha.6

1 The Craze for K, by Louise Pound, American Speech, Oct., 1925; Spelling-Manipulation and Present-Day Advertising, by the same, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. VI, 1923; and Word-Coinage and Modern Trade-Names, by the same, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. I, 1913, especially p. 35.

2 For example, in Teepee Neighborn by Come Coolidge, Boston

bors, by Grace Coolidge; Boston, 1917, p. 220; Duty and Other Irish Comedies, by Seumas O'Brien; New York, 1916, p. 52; Salt by Charles G. Norris; New York, 1918, p. 135, and The Ideal Guest, by Wyndham Lewis, Little Review, May, 1918, p. 3. O'Brien is an Irishman and Lewis an Englishman, but the printer in each case was American. I find allright, as one word but with two Ps, in Diplomatic Correspondence with Belligerent Governments, etc. European War, No. 4; Washington, 1918,

p. 214. 3 Viscount Harberton, in How to Lengthen Our Ears, London, 1917,

p. 28. 4 May 16, 1921, p. 1478, col. 2. 5 In Why Not U for You?, American Speech, Oct., 1929, Donald M. Alexander of Ohio Wesleyan University argues seriously that this substitution should be made, just as I has been substituted for various earlier forms of the first person

6 See The Spelling of Naphtha, by J. J. Jones, American Speech, Dec.,

4. THE TREATMENT OF LOAN-WORDS

In the treatment of loan-words English spelling is much more conservative than American. This conservatism, in fact, is so marked that it is frequently denounced by English critics of the national speech usages, and it stood first among the "tendencies of modern taste" attacked by the Society for Pure English in its original prospectus in 1913 — a prospectus prepared by Henry Bradley, Dr. Robert Bridges, Sir Walter Raleigh and L. Pearsall Smith,¹ and signed by many important men of letters, including Thomas Hardy, A. J. Balfour, Edmund Gosse, Austin Dobson, Maurice Hewlett, Gilbert Murray, George Saintsbury and the professors of English literature at Cambridge and London, Sir Arthur Quiller-Couch and W. P. Ker. I quote from this caveat:

Literary taste at the present time, with regard to foreign words recently borrowed from abroad, is on wrong lines, the notions which govern it being scientifically incorrect, tending to impair the national character of our standard speech, and to adapt it to the habits of classical scholars. On account of these alien associations our borrowed terms are now spelt and pronounced, not as English, but as foreign words, instead of being assimilated, as they were in the past, and brought into conformity with the main structure of our speech. And as we more and more rarely assimilate our borrowings, so even words that were once naturalized are being now one by one made un-English, and driven out of the language back into their foreign forms; whence it comes that a paragraph of serious English prose may be sometimes seen as freely sprinkled with italicized French words as a passage of Cicero is often interlarded with Greek. The mere printing of such words in italics is an active force toward degeneration. The Society hopes to discredit this tendency, and it will endeavour to restore to English its old recreative energy; when a choice is possible we should wish to give an English pronunciation and spelling to useful foreign words, and we would attempt to restore to a good many words the old English forms which they once had, but which are now supplanted by the original foreign forms.2

1930, p. 154. Mr. Jones prints the following letter from Fels and Company of Philadelphia, manufacturers of Fels-Naptha soap: "Fels-Naptha has been manufactured for almost forty years, and since the very beginning, when we wedded the name Fels and the word naptha we recognized that the first b was superfluous, and we merely discarded it. Since that time our spelling of naptha has

found favor and it is now listed in all large and up-to-date dictionaries." This last seems to have been an exaggeration. I can't find naptha in Webster's New International (1934). The decay of ph to p is discussed in Chapter VII, Section 3. I Smith is an expatriate American.

² S.P.E. Tracts, No. 1, Preliminary Announcement and List of Members, Oct., 1919, p. 7. The Literary Supplement of the London

Since this was written, and probably at least partly because of it, there has been some change in England, but the more pretentious English papers continue to accent, and often italicize, words that have been completely naturalized in this country, e.g., café, début, portière, éclat, naïveté, régime, rôle, soirée, protégé, élite, gemütlichkeit, mêleé, tête-a-tête, porte-cochère, divorcée, fiancée and dénouement. Even loan-words long since naturalized are sometimes used in their foreign forms, e.g., répertoire for repertory, muslim for moslem, crêpe for crape, and légion d'honneur for legion of honor. The dictionaries seldom omit the accents from recent foreign words. Cassell's leaves them off régime and début, but preserves them on practically all the other terms listed above; the Concise Oxford always uses them. In the United States usage is much looser. Dépôt became depot immediately it entered the language, and the same rapid naturalization has overtaken employé, matinée, débutante, negligée, exposé, résumé, hofbräu, and scores of other loan-words. Café is seldom seen with its accent, nor is señor or divorcée or attaché. Writing in the Atlantic Monthly twenty years ago, Charles Fitzhugh Talman said that "the omission of the diacritic is universal. Even the English press of French New Orleans ignores it." 2 Mr. Talman listed some rather astonishing barbarisms, among them, standchen for ständchen in Littell's Living Age, and gave an amusing account of the struggles of American newspapers with thé dansant, then a novelty. He said:

Put this through the hopper of the typesetting machine, and it comes forth, "the the dansant"—which even Oshkosh finds intolerable. The thing was, however, often attempted when these dansant came into fashion, and with various results. Generally the proof-reader eliminates one of the the's, making dansant a quasi-noun, and to this day one reads of people giving or attending

Times supported the Society in a leading article on Jan. 8, 1920. "Of old," it said, "we incorporated foreign words rapidly and altered their spelling ruthlessly. Today we take them in and go on spelling them and pronouncing them in a foreign way. Rendezvous is an example, régime is another. They have come to stay; the spelling of the first, and at least the pronunciation of the second, should be altered; and a powerful organization of schoolmasters and journalists could secure changes which the

working classes are in process of securing with the words (more familiar to them) garridge and shofer." See also A Few Practical Suggestions, by Logan Pearsall Smith, S.P.E. Tracts, No. III, 1920, especially Sections I, II and III.

In later Tracts the Society printed lists of proposed new spellings. In No. XIII (1923) it advocated rencounter for recontre, role for rôle, tamber for timbre, intransigent for intransigeant, and malease for malaise.

2 Accents Wild, Dec., 1915, p. 807 ff.

dansants. Latterly the public taste seems to favor dansante, which doubtless has a Frenchier appearance, provided you are sufficiently ignorant of the Gallic tongue. Two other solutions of the difficulty may be noted:

Among those present at the "the dansant";

Among those present at the *the-dansant*; that is, either a hyphen or quotation marks set off the exotic phrase.

There has been some improvement in recent years, but not much. Even in the larger cities, the majority of American newspapers manage to get along without using foreign accents. They are even omitted from foreign proper names, so that Bülow becomes Bulow and Poincaré becomes Poincare. For a number of years the Baltimore Evening Sun was the only Eastern daily that, to my knowledge, had linotype mats for the common French and German accents. The New York American did not acquire a set until late in 1934, when they were laid in to print some short lexicographical articles that I was then writing for the paper. Even when they are in stock they are seldom used correctly, for American copy-readers take a high professional pride in their complete ignorance of foreign languages, as they do in their ignorance of the terminology of all the arts and sciences. For the former they have the example of Walt Whitman, who, according to Dr. Louise Pound, often omitted accents in "his manuscript notes and in early editions," and used them incorrectly in his later editions.1 The Congressional Record avoids them as much as possible, and the State Department, ordinarily very conservative and English, has abandoned visé for visa, though it is faithful to chargé. With this iconoclasm the late Dr. Brander Matthews was in hearty sympathy. Writing in 1917, and dealing with naïve and naïveté, which he welcomed into the language because there were no English equivalents, he argued that they would "need to shed their accents and to adapt themselves somehow to the traditions of our orthography." He went on:

After we have decided that the foreign word we find knocking at the doors of English [he really meant American, as the context shows] is likely to be useful, we must fit it for naturalization by insisting that it shall shed its accents, if it has any; that it shall change its spelling, if this is necessary; that it shall modify its pronunciation, if this is not easy for us to compass; and that it shall conform to all our speech-habits, especially in the formation of the plural.²

guage?, Delineator, Nov., 1917, p. 12. See also his French Words in the English Language, S.P.E. Tracts, No. V, 1921.

Walt Whitman and the French Language, American Speech, May, 1926, p. 423.

^{1926,} p. 423. 2 Why Not Speak Or Own Lan-

This counsel is heeded by many patriotic Americans. So far as I can find, bozart (for beaux-arts) is not in any dictionary, but it is used as the name of "America's second-largest verse magazine," published at Box 67, Station E, Atlanta, Ga., as the name of a leadpencil very popular in the South, and in the titles of a number of business firms, including one with quarters in Radio City, New York,1 Exposé long since lost its accent and is now commonly pronounced to rhyme with propose. Schmierkäse has become smearkase, and the sauer in sauer-kraut and sauer-braten is often spelled sour.2 Coleslaw, by folk-etymology, has become cold-slaw. Führer is fuhrer, cañon is canyon, and vaudeville is sometimes vodvil. I have even seen jonteel, in a trade name, for the French gentil, and parfay for parfait. In derivatives of the Greek haima it is the almost invariable American custom to spell the root syllable hem, but the more conservative English make it hæm-e.g., in hæmorrhage and hæmophilia. In an exhaustive list of diseases issued by the United States Public Health Service 8 the hæm- form does not appear once. In the same way American usage prefers esophagus, diarrhea and etiology to the English æsophagus, diarrhæa and ætiology. In the stylebook of the Journal of the American Medical Association I find many other spellings that would shock an English medical author, among them curet for curette, cocain for cocaine, gage for gauge, intern for interne, lacrimal for lachrymal, and a whole group of words ending in -er instead of in -re.4

American newspapers seldom distinguish between the masculine and feminine forms of common loan-words. Blond and blonde are used indiscriminately. The majority of papers, apparently mistaking

To compensate for this a firm in Hollysburg, N. Y. calls itself Beaux-Artes, Inc., thus giving the plural of art a complimentary e.

2 It is to be found thus in the 1852 edition of Webster's American Dictionary, edited by his son-in-law, Chauncey A. Goodrich, and in Mark Twain's Innocents Abroad; New York, 1869, p. 94. But sauerkraut is given in the Standard Dictionary (1906), and Webster's New International (1934).

Nomenclature of Diseases and

Conditions, prepared by direction of the Surgeon General; Washington, 1916.

4 American Medical Association Style Book; Chicago, 1915. At the 1921 session of the American Medical Association in Boston an English gynecologist read a paper and it was printed in the Journal. When he received the proofs he objected to a great many of the spellings, e.g., gonorrhead for gonorrhead, and fallopian for Falloppian. The Journal refused to agree to his English spellings, but when his paper was reprinted separately they were restored.

blond for a simplified form of blonde, use it to designate both sexes. So with employée, divorcée, fiancée, etc. Here the feminine form is preferred; no doubt it has been helped into use in the case of the -ee words by the analogy of devotee.1 In all cases, of course, the accents are omitted. In the formation of the plural American adopts native forms much more quickly than English. All the English authorities that I have consulted advocate retaining the foreign plurals of most of the loan-words in daily use, e. g., sanatoria, appendices, indices, virtuosi, formulæ, libretti, media, thés-dansants, monsignori. But American usage favors plurals of native design, and sometimes they take quite fantastic forms. I have observed delicatessens, monsignors, virtuosos, rathskellers, vereins, nucleuses and appendixes. Banditti, in place of bandits, would seem an affectation to an American, and so would soprani for sopranos and soli for solos. Both English and American labor under the lack of native plurals for the two everyday titles, Mister and Missus. In the written speech, and in the more exact forms of the spoken speech, the French plurals, Messieurs and Mesdames, are used, but in the ordinary spoken speech, at least in America, they are avoided, whenever possible, by circumlocution. When Messieurs has to be spoken it is pronounced messers, and in the same way Mesdames becomes mezdames, with the first syllable rhyming with sez and the second, which bears the accent, with games. In place of Mesdames a more natural form, Madames, seems to be gaining ground in America. Thus, I have found Dames du Sacré Coeur translated as Madames of the Sacred Heart in a Catholic paper of wide circulation,2 and the form is apparently used by American members of the community.

Dr. Louise Pound * notes that a number of Latin plurals tend to become singular nouns in colloquial American, notably curricula, data, dicta, insignia and strata, and with them a few Greek plurals, e.g., criteria and phenomena. She reports hearing the following uses of them: "The curricula of the institution is being changed," "This data is very significant," "The dicta, 'Go West,' is said to have come from Horace Greeley," "What is that insignia on his sleeve?", "This may be called the Renaissance strata of loan-words," "That is no criteria," and "What a strange phenomena!"—all by speakers

¹ See Words From the French (-é, -ée), by Matthew Barnes, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXX, 1928.

² Irish World, June 26, 1918.

³ The Pluralization of Latin Loan-Words in Present-Day American Speech, Classical Journal, Dec., 1919.

presumed to be of some education. The error leads to the creation of double plurals, e.g., curriculas, insignias, stratas, stimulis, alumnis, bacillis, narcissis. The Latin names of plants lead to frequent blunders. Cosmos and gladiolus are felt to be plurals, and from them, by folketymology, come the false singulars, cosma and gladiola. Dr. Pound notes many other barbarous plurals, not mentioned above, e.g., antennas, cerebras, alumnas, alumnuses, narcissuses, apparatuses, emporiums, opuses, criterions, amæbas, cactuses, phenomenons.

5. PUNCTUATION, CAPITALIZATION, AND ABBREVIATION

In capitalization the English are much more conservative than we are. They invariably capitalize such terms as Government, Prime Minister, Church and Society, when used as proper nouns; they capitalize Press, Pulpit, Bar, etc., almost as often. Some of the English newspapers, in their leading articles (Am.: editorials), print all names of persons in capitals and small capitals, e.g., Mr. Ramsay Macdonald, and also such titles as the King and the Prime Minister. In the London Times this is also done in news articles. But in the United States only the New York Times appears to do so, and it confines the practise to its editorials. In the Eighteenth Century there was a fashion for reducing all capitals to small letters, and Lord Chesterfield thus denounced it in a letter to his son, April 13, 1752:

It offends my eyes to see *rome*, *france*, *caesar*, *henry the fourth*, etc. begin with small letters; and I do not conceive that there can be any reason for doing it half so strong as the reason of long usage to the contrary. This is an affectation below Voltaire.

But Thomas Jefferson thought otherwise, and in the first draft of the Declaration of Independence nature and creator, and even god are in lower case. During the 20's and 30's of the succeeding century, probably as a result of French influence, the movement against the capitals went so far that the days of the week were often spelled with small initial letters, and even Mr. became mr. Curiously enough, the most striking exhibition of this tendency of late years is offered by an English work of the highest scholarship, the Cambridge History of English Literature. It uses the lower case for all

ters, even sentences are begun with small letters.

I A correspondent tells me that, in the manuscripts of Jefferson's let-

titles, even baron and colonel, before proper names, and also avoids capitals in such words as presbyterian, catholic and christian, and in the second parts of such terms as Westminster abbey and Atlantic ocean.

There are also certain differences in punctuation. The English, as everyone knows, usually put a comma after the street number of a house, making it, for example, 34, St. James's Street.1 They insert a comma instead of a period after the hour when giving the time in figures, e.g., 9,27, and omit the o when indicating less than 10 minutes, e.g., 8,7 instead of 8.07. They do not use the period as the mark of the decimal, but employ a dot at the level of the upper dot of a colon, as in 3.1416. They commonly write 8th October instead of October 8th, and when they write 8/10/35 they mean October 8, 1935, not August 10, 1935, as we should usually mean. They cling to the hyphen in to-day, to-night and to-morrow; it is fast disappearing in America.2 They are far more careful than we are to retain the apostrophe in possessive forms of nouns used in combination, e.g., St. Mary's Church, ladies' room. In geographical names they sometimes use it and sometimes omit it; in the United States the Geographic Board endeavors to obliterate it, and most American newspapers do so. The English newspapers usually spell out street, avenue, etc., print them as separate words, and give them capital initials, but in the United States they are commonly abbreviated and printed in small letters, and sometimes they are hooked to the preceding proper names with hyphens. "Some of our papers," says the Scripps-Howard Style Book, "abbreviate streets and avenues thus: Prospect-st., Euclid-av., Bulkley-blvd., Wanamaker-pl. Notwithstanding certain objections, we approve of this abbreviated style, for space reasons." Many papers abbreviate county and company in the same way, e.g., Grady-co. and Pullman-co. The Chicago Tribune does not abbreviate such words, but it prints them in lower case, and treats even hall, house, mansion, building, park and palace likewise.3

- I This custom is sometimes imitated by American Anglophiles, but it is certainly not general in the United States.
- 2 Mr. David H. Dodge of San Francisco reminds me that the Western Union used to charge for each of these words as two words. But now it counts only one. It also counts good-bye as one, though Webster's
- New International gives it a hyphen. In England good-bye has a hyphen but good night is two words.
- 3 Many American newspapers and chains of newspapers print style books for the use of their staffs. That of the Scripps-Howard group I have just quoted. Among the most elaborate are The Style Book of the Detroit News, edited by

There remains a class of differences that may as well be noticed under spelling, though they are not strictly orthographical. Specialty, aluminum and alarm offer examples. In English they are speciality, aluminium and alarum, though alarm is also an alternative form. Specialty, in America, is always accented on the first syllable; speciality, in England, on the third. The result is two distinct words, though their meaning is identical. How aluminium, in America, lost its fourth syllable I have been unable to determine, but all American authorities now make it aluminum and all English authorities stick to aluminium. Perhaps the boric-boracic pair also belongs here. In American boric is now almost universally preferred, but it is also making progress in England. How the difference between the English behove and the American behoove arose I do not know.

A. L. Weeks; Detroit, 1918; Style Book of the New York Herald Tribune; New York, 1929; Rules of Composition For the Use of Editors, Copy Readers, Operators and Proof Readers (Chicago Tribune); Chicago, 1934; and General Style Book (New York News); New York, 1931. Such

books are not for sale, though copies usually may be obtained by persons interested. There are discussions of capitalization and abbreviation in virtually all the current desk-books of "good" English. For English usage see Modern English Punctuation, by Reginald Skelton; London, 1933.

IX

THE COMMON SPEECH

I. OUTLINES OF ITS GRAMMAR

The American common speech, of course, is closely related grammatically to the vulgar dialects of the British Isles, and in many ways it is identical with them. In both one encounters the double negative, the use of the adjective as an adverb, the confusion of cases in the pronoun and of tenses in the verb, and various other violations of the polite canon. But these similarities are accompanied by important differences. For one thing, vulgar American is virtually uniform throughout the country, whereas the British dialects differ so greatly that some of them are mutually unintelligible. There are, as we have seen in Chapter VII, certain group and regional peculiarities in the United States, but virtually all of them have to do with pronunciation and vocabulary, and are thus of no importance to grammar. A Boston taxicab-driver who moved to San Francisco would find the everyday speech of his fellows, save for a few vowel sounds and a few localisms, very like his own, and he would encounter little more difficulty in communicating with them if he moved to Chicago, New Orleans or Denver. For another thing, vulgar American shows the same tendency to ready change that characterizes the standard language, and is thus given to taking in new forms and abandoning old ones more rapidly than any of the English dialects. I myself remember when the use of the present form of the verb for the preterite, as in he give, began to develop into a wholesale adoption of a sort of historical present, as in he win a dollar, I say to him, and so on. And various observers have noted the disappearance of forms that were common only a generation or two ago, or their descent to the dialects, e.g., sot (for sat), riz, driv, clomb, see'd, and gin (for given).1 The English dialects have changed too, as one may

Verbs of the Vulgate in Their Historical Relations, by Henry Alexander, the same, April, 1929.

r See The Verbs of the Vulgate, by Robert J. Menner, American Speech, Jan., 1926, p. 239, and The

discover by comparing the Cockney of Dickens with the Cockney of today, but they have apparently changed less than vulgar American, and the changes occurring in some of them have affected others hardly at all.

For many years the indefatigable schoolmarm has been trying to put down the American vulgate, but with very little success. At great pains she teaches her pupils the rules of what she conceives to be correct English, but the moment they get beyond reach of her constabulary ear they revert to the looser and more natural speech-habits of home and work-place. They acquire, after a fashion, a reading knowledge of her correct English, and can even make shift to speak it on occasion, or, at all events, something colorably resembling it, but for all ordinary purposes they prefer a tongue that is easier, if less elegant. The schoolmarm's heroic struggles to dissuade them have got little aid from her professional superiors. They have provided her with a multitude of textbooks, most of them hopelessly pedantic, though others are sensible enough,1 and they have invented a wealth of teaching methods, mostly far more magical than scientific, but they have not thrown much light upon the psychological problem actually before her. In particular, they have failed to make an adequate investigation of the folk-speech she tries to combat, seeking to uncover its inner nature and account for its vitality. American philologians have printed admirable studies of many of the other languages spoken in the United States, including the most obscure Indian tongues,2 but incredible as it may seem,

- An excellent account of the contents of these books is to be found in Grammar and Usage in Textbooks on English, by Robert C. Pooley; Madison, Wis., 1933. The bad ones recall a dictum of Noah Webster in his Dissertations on the English Language; Boston, 1789, pref., p. vii: "Our modern grammars have done much more hurt than good. The authors have labored to prove, what is obviously absurd, viz., that our language is not made right; and in pursuance of this idea, have tried to make it over again, and persuade the English to speak by Latin rules, or by arbitrary rules of their own. Hence they have rejected many phrases
- of pure English, and substituted those which are neither English nor sense."
- 2 If there were a Pulitzer Prize for such works it would undoubtedly go to Dr. Morris Swadesh's monograph, The Phonetics of Chitimacha, Language, Dec., 1934, p. 345 ff. Chitimacha is an Indian tongue that is now spoken by but two people, and "they employ slightly different phonemic systems." Thus Dr. Swadesh was forced to deal with one form as the standard language, and the other as a dialect. His immensely patient and exhaustive inquiry was carried on during the Summers of 1932 and 1933 on a grant from the Com-

they have yet to produce a grammar of the daily speech of nearly 100,000,000 Americans. It was not until 1908, indeed, that any serious notice of it was taken in academic circles,1 and not until 1914 that an investigation of it was undertaken on an adequate scale and by an inquirer of adequate equipment. That inquirer was Dr. W. W. Charters, then professor of the theory of teaching at the University of Missouri, and now (1936) director of the Bureau of Educational Research at Ohio State University. One of the problems he found himself engaged upon in 1914 was that of the teaching of the grammar of Standard English in the public elementary schools. In the course of his investigation he encountered the theory that such instruction should be confined to the rules habitually violated - that the one aim of teaching grammar was to correct the speech of the pupils, and that it was useless to harass them with principles which they already observed. Apparently inclining to this somewhat dubious notion, Dr. Charters applied to the School Board of Kansas City for permission to undertake an examination of the language actually used by the children in the elementary schools of that city, and that permission was granted.

The materials he gathered were of two classes. First, the teachers of grades III to VII inclusive in twelve Kansas City public schools were instructed to turn over to Dr. Charters all the written work of their pupils, "ordinarily done in the regular order of school work" during a period of four weeks. Secondly, the teachers of grades II to VII inclusive in all the city schools, together with the principals, were instructed to make note of "all oral errors in grammar made in the school-rooms and around the school-buildings" during the five school-days of one week, by children of any age, and to dispatch these notes to Dr. Charters also. The ages thus covered ran from nine or ten to fourteen or fifteen, and perhaps five-sixths of the material studied came from children above twelve. Its examination

School Board for 1908. Unluckily, I am informed by Mr. Edwin C. Dodson, superintendent of schools at Connersville, that a fire destroyed the board's copy of this report, and I have been unable to find one elsewhere. But in Dec., 1909, Mr. Wilson printed a paper on Errors in the Language of Grade-Pupils, based upon the Connersville material, in the Educator-Journal.

mittee on Research in American Native Languages. His report occupies no less than eighteen pages in Language.

I The pioneer study seems to have been a brief investigation of the oral errors made by public-school children in Connersville, Ind. It was undertaken by G. M. Wilson, and his observations were printed in the report of the Connersville

threw a brilliant light upon the speech actually employed by children near the end of their schooling in a typical American city, and per corollary, upon the speech employed by their parents and other older associates. If anything, the grammatical and syntactical habits revealed were a bit less loose than those of the authentic Volkssprache, for practically all of the written evidence was gathered under conditions which naturally caused the writers to try to write what they thought to be correct English, and even the oral evidence was conditioned by the admonitory presence of the teacher, by her probably frequent failure to note errors, and by her occasional incapacity to detect them. Moreover, it must be obvious that a child of the lower classes, during the period of its actual contact with pedagogy, probably speaks better English than at any time before or afterward, for it is only then that any positive pressure is exerted upon it to that end. But even so, the departures from standard usage that were unearthed were numerous and striking, and their tendency to accumulate in definite groups appeared to show the working of general laws.1

The materials accumulated by Dr. Charters were so large that a complete Virchovian autopsy upon them was impracticable, and in consequence he confined his examination to parts of them. He chose (a) the oral errors "reported by the teachers of grades III and VII and by the principals"; (b) the oral errors made by another group consisting of the children of grades VI and VII; and (c) the written errors made by children of the last-named in twelve schools. The children of grade III had had no formal instruction in grammar, but it was in the curricula of grades VI and VII. He classified the oral errors of his (a) group as follows:

Error

- Subject of verb not in nominative case.
- Predicate nominative not in nominative case.
- Object of verb or preposition not in objective case.
- 4. Wrong form of noun or pronoun.
- I Dr. Charters's report appears as Vol. XVI, No. 2, University of Missouri Bulletin, Education Series No. 9, Jan., 1915. He was aided in

	Percentage of		
llustration	the Total Errors		

Us girls went. 4

2

They were John and him. It is me.

She gave it to Martha and I.

Sheeps; theirself. The problem what is -

his inquiry by Edith Miller, teacher of English in one of the St. Louis high-schools.

Danagaratara of

		1 51 6612648	c 0)
	Error	Illustration the Total E	rrors
5.	First personal pronoun standing first in a series.	Me and him.	2
6.	Failure of the pronoun to agree with its noun in number, person and gender.	Nobody can do what they like	. 0
7•	Confusion of demonstrative adjective and personal pronoun.	Them things.	3
8.	Failure of verb to agree with its subject in number and person.	There is six. You was.	14
9.	Confusion of past and present tenses.	She give us four. He ask me.	2
10.	Confusion of past tense and past participle.	I seen, I have saw.	24
ıı.	Wrong tense form.	Attackted; had ought.	5
12.	Wrong verb.	Lay for lie; ain't got; confusion of can and may, shall and will	
13.	Incorrect use of mood.	If I was in your place.	0
	Incorrect comparison of adjectives.	Joyfulest; beautifuler; more bet ter; worser.	- I
15.	Confusion of comparatives and superlatives.	She is the tallest (of two).	0
16.	Confusion of adjectives and adverbs.	He looked up quick. That there book.	e 4
17-	Misplaced modifier.	He only went two miles.	0
	Double negative.	He isn't hardly old enough.	11
	Confusion of preposition and conjunction.	He talks <i>like</i> he is sick.	0
20.	Syntactical redundance.	Mother she said so. Where is i	10
21.	Wrong part of speech due to similarity of sound.	I would of known; they for there	?. I

It will be noted that 57% of the total errors discovered involved the use of the verb, and that nearly half of these, or 24% of the total, involved a confusion between the preterite and the perfect participle. Difficulties with pronouns accounted for 14%, double negatives for 11% and the confusion of adjectives and adverbs for 4%. The (b) group, composed of children of grades VI and VII, in both of which grammar was studied, made almost the same errors, and in substantially the same proportions. Those in the use of the verb dropped from 57% to 52%, but those in the use of pronouns remained at 14%, and those involving the double negative remained at 11%. In the written work of the (c) group certain changes appeared, but they were hardly significant. The percentage of errors

in the use of verbs dropped to 50, and those involving the double negative to 1, but those in the use of pronouns rose to 24.

Dr. Charters, of course, confined himself to a comparative study of errors actually made and observed, and no attempt was made to relate them statistically to instances of correct usage. Twelve years later Dr. Robert J. Menner of Yale argued that this method was "likely to produce an exaggerated impression of the frequency of errors "1 - obviously, a plausible contention. Since then several efforts have been made to investigate the material quantitatively, but so far without results that meet every critical standard. The most ambitious of these attempts was that of Dr. L. J. O'Rourke and his associates in 1930-33. With the coöperation of 40,000 teachers they sought to test the grammatical knowledge of 1,500,000 publicschool children, ranging from the third grade to the thirteenth, in the forty-eight States of the Union, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Porto Rico and the Philippines. Their test-papers included three categories of questions. The first had to do with such essentials as Charters covered in his inquiry; their second concerned more delicate matters, and their third included points properly belonging to style rather than to grammar, e.g., the use of he or his following one as a pronoun. The percentages of children passing the tests of the first category, in the grades from the seventh to the thirteenth, were as follows:

7	34.7	9	52.8	rr	69.5
8	44· 7	10	61.5	12	74-3 ²

These figures, if they are to be depended upon as reasonably accurate, show that the schoolmarm's efforts to inculcate "good grammar" have some effect, but they also show that more than half the school-children of the country speak the vulgate at least up to the first year of high-school. And what they speak, of course, is simply what they hear at home. Indeed, Dr. Menner's own inquiries indi-

The Verbs of the Vulgate, above cited, p. 231.

2 The report of Dr. O'Rourke is summarized in English Use and Misuse, by Paul S. Schilles, New York Times, July 10, 1934. A more extensive account of the investigation is in Rebuilding the English-Usage Curriculum to Insure Greater Mastery of Essentials, by Dr. O'Rourke himself; Washington, 1934. It was made on a grant from the Psychological Corporation, with aid from the Carnegie Corporation and the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, and among its sponsors were Dr. Charles H. Judd of the University of Chicago and Dr. Edward L. Thorndike of Teachers College, Columbia.

3 In O'Rourke and Leonard, by Janet

cate that many of the errors on Dr. O'Rourke's list are common among persons presumably educated. His observations were made on the speech of about forty men and women, divided into three classes, described by him as follows:

- 1. People trained in some special profession (usually with college degrees), but with little general culture, and little literary background.
 - 2. The average product of American high-schools.
 - 3. People with little education and no background.

He found that individuals of his second class sometimes used begin, come, done, give, sit and run as preterites, and broke, drank, rode and threw as perfect participles, and that even those of his first class, "trained in some special profession (usually with college degrees)," occasionally resorted to begin, come, done and give, broke and drank. "The most meticulous speakers," he said, "occasionally lapse into carelessness, just as the most illiterate sometimes attempt to speak elegantly." This tendency, naturally enough, is chiefly found among educated persons living in close association with uncultured groups. The "bad grammar" of the Southern whites was noted by the earliest travelers below the Potomac, and it is still observable there, even in the loftiest circles. All of us, on occasion, slip easily into the circumambient speech habits, if only to enjoy their pleasant looseness, just as an educated German sometimes slips into the Mundart of his province. And what is thus borrowed from below not infrequently finds more or less secure lodgment above. as the frequent appearance of it's me, rile, broke and bust in perfectly good American usage well demonstrates.1

Rankin Aiken, American Speech, Dec., 1934, Dr. O'Rourke is criticized sharply for assuming that the "bad grammar" he unearthed is really bad. "The more people make a given mistake," she says, "the less it should be corrected. This fundamental principle, recognized by lexicographers and the more liberal grammarians, must be the basis of our thinking on the subject. Unlike arithmetic, where the more frequent an error is, the more attention it needs, the linguist must insist that speech errors proved to be very frequent are thereby proved to be not errors at all." As an example, Dr. Aiken cites the use of who in "Do you know who

they were waiting for this morning?" See also the preface to George O. Curme's Syntax; Boston, 1031, p. vi.

1931, p. vi.

I Logan Pearsall Smith, in Words and Idioms; London, 1925, p. 149, points out that there are no less than four distinct varieties of Standard English. The first is "the language of colloquial talk, with its expletives, easy idioms, and a varying amount of slang." Second comes "the vernacular of good conversation, more correct, more dignified, and entirely, or almost entirely, free from slang." Then comes written prose, "which is richer in vocabulary and somewhat more old-fashioned in construction

Dr. Menner argues that any list of conjugations of the verbs of the vulgate should include a "liberal intersprinkling of normal principal parts, at least as alternatives." But it must be manifest that this intersprinkling would be of little significance unless it were accompanied by statistical evidence as to the prevalence of the varying forms in a typical section of the general population. That evidence is still lacking, but meanwhile one may certainly give some credit to the testimony of one's ears. The vulgar, to be sure, occasionally say I saw, but no one who has ever listened to their speech attentively can doubt that they usually say I seen, just as, at the other end of the scale the illuminati occasionally say I done, but usually say I did. If the study of dialects had to include the investigation of all shadings up to the purest form of the standard speech, then the study of dialects would be vain, and indeed absurd. As Dr. Menner himself says, there are verbs which the people of his lowest class conjugate improperly "without exception," e.g., to come and to run. These, at least, need not be outfitted with alternatives. In the case of other verbs, usage among the humble is not fixed, and both the standard preterites and perfect participles and their vulgar variants are heard. In yet other cases, all persons not downright illiterate reveal a distaste for certain forms, e.g., brung, fit and druv, and seldom employ them save in conscious attempts at waggishness. But all these verbs, save only those of the third class, actually belong to the vulgate, though they may not be used invariably, and their grammatical and syntactical history and relations deserve a great deal more patient study than they have got so far. The same thing is true of the pronouns of the common speech, and of all its other contents. The theory that it is somehow infra dig to investigate them is one that American scholarship can hardly entertain much longer.2

than the spoken language," and finally there is the language of poetry. "If we examine this linguistic ladder," says Mr. Smith, "we will find that its lowest rung is fixed close to the soil of popular and vulgar speech." The vulgar speech has like varieties. Its written form differs considerably from its spoken form, and the latter ranges from an almost simian gabble to something closely approximating ordinary colloquial American.

1 Menner, p. 232.

² A bibliography of the very meager literature of the subject from 1908 to 1936, running to but 33 items, is to be found in The Most Common Grammatical Errors, by Henry Harap, English Journal, June, 1930. Mr. Harap lists the errors usually observed, but makes no attempt to estimate either their relative or their absolute frequency. He avoids the question, he says, because "of the lack of uniformity in recording

Rather curiously, the sermo vulgus was for long as diligently neglected by the professional writers of the country as by the philologians. There are foreshadowings of it in "The Biglow Papers," in "Huckleberry Finn" and in some of the frontier humor of the years before the Civil War, but the enormous dialect literature of the later Nineteenth Century left it almost untouched. Localisms in vocabulary and pronunciation were explored at length, but the general folk-speech went virtually unobserved. It is not to be found in "Chimmie Fadden"; it is not in "David Harum"; it is not even in the fables of George Ade. It began to appear in the stories of Helen Green during the first years of the century, but the business of reporting it with complete accuracy had to wait for Ring Lardner, a Chicago newspaper reporter, who began experimenting with it in 1908 or thereabout. In his grotesque but searching tales of baseball-players, pugilists, movie queens, song-writers and other such dismal persons he set down common American with the utmost precision, and yet with enough imagination to make his work a contribution of genuine and permanent value to the national literature. In any story of his taken at random it is possible to unearth almost every grammatical peculiarity of the vulgar speech, and he always resisted very stoutly the temptation to lay on its humors too thickly. Here, for example, are a few typical sentences from "The Busher's Honeymoon": 1

I and Florrie was married the day before yesterday just like I told you we was going to be... You was to get married in Bedford, where not nothing is nearly half so dear... The sum of what I have wrote down is \$29.40... Allen told me I should ought to give the priest \$5... I never seen him before... I didn't used to eat no lunch in the playing season except when I knowed I was not going to work... I guess the meals has cost me all together about \$1.50, and I have eat very little myself... I was willing to tell her all about them two poor girls... They must not be no mistake about who is the boss in my house. Some men lets their wife run all over them... Allen has went to a college foot-ball game. One of the reporters give him a pass... He called up and said he hadn't only the one pass, but he was not hurting my feelings none... The flat across the hall from this here

them by various investigators." Some later studies are summarized in A Critical Summary of Selective Research in Elementary School Composition, Language, and Grammar, by W. S. Guiler and E. A. Betts, Elementary English Review, March-June, 1934. Of these, the most interesting is Studies in the

Learning of English Expression; No. V: Grammar, by Percival M. Symonds and Eugene M. Hinton, Teachers College Record, Feb., 1932.

1 Saturday Evening Post, July 11, 1914. Reprinted in You Know Me, Al; Garden City, L. I., 1915. one is for rent.... If we should of boughten furniture it would cost us in the neighborhood of \$100, even without no piano... I consider myself lucky to of found out about this before it was too late and somebody else had of gotten the tip.... It will always be ourn, even when we move away... Maybe you could of did better if you had of went at it in a different way.... Both her and you is welcome at my house.... I never seen so much wine drank in my life....

Here are specimens to fit into most of Charters's categories verbs confused as to tense, pronouns confused as to case, double and even triple negatives, nouns and verbs disagreeing in number, have softened to of, n marking the possessive instead of s, like used in place of as, and so on. A study of the whole story would probably unearth all the remaining errors noted by Charters in Kansas City. Lardner's baseball player, though he has pen in hand and is on his guard, and is thus very careful to write would not instead of wouldn't and even am not instead of ain't, provides us with a comprehensive and highly instructive panorama of popular linguistic habits. To him the forms of the subjunctive mood in the verb have no existence, so that shall has almost disappeared from his vocabulary, and adjectives and adverbs are indistinguishable, and the objective case in the pronoun is indicated only by word order. He uses the word that is simplest, the grammatical pattern that is handiest. And so he moves toward the philological millennium dreamed of by George T. Lanigan, when "the singular verb shall lie down with the plural noun, and a little conjunction shall lead them." 1 This vulgar American is a very fluent and even garrulous fellow, and he com-

I Lardner died on Sept. 25, 1933, at the early age of 48. My own debt to him was very large. The first edition of the present work, published in 1919, brought me into contact with him, and for the second edition, published in 1921, he prepared two amusing specimens of the common speech in action. At that time, and almost until his death, he made penetrating and valuable suggestions. His ear for the minor peculiarities of vulgar American was extraordinarily keen. Once, sitting with him, I used the word feller. "Where and when," he demanded, "did you ever hear anyone say feller?" I had to admit, on reflection, that the true form was fella, though it is almost always written feller by authors.

But never by Lardner. So far as I can make out, there is not a single error in the whole canon of his writings. His first book of stories, You Know Me, Al, was published in 1915. He had many imitators, notably Edward Streeter, author of Dere Mable; New York, 1918; H. C. Witwer, who published more than a dozen books between 1918 and his death in 1929; and Will Rogers, who contributed a daily dispatch to a syndicate of newspapers, written partly in Standard English but partly in the vulgate, from 1930 to 1935. He also provided inspiration for the writers of popular songs and of captions for comic-strips. See Stabilizing the Language Through Popular Songs, by Sigmund Spaeth, New Yorker,

monly pronounces his words distinctly, so that his grammatical felonies shine forth clearly. In the conversation of a London Cockney, a Yorkshire farm-laborer or a Scots hillman precisely similar attentats upon the canon are obscured by phonological muddiness, but the Americano gives his consonants their full values and is kind to his vowels. His vocabulary is much larger than his linguistic betters commonly assume. They labor under a tradition that the lowly manage to get through life with a few hundred or a few thousand words. That tradition, according to a recent writer on the subject,1 "originated with two English clergymen, one of whom stated that 'some of the laborers in his parish had not three hundred words in their vocabulary,' while the other, Archdeacon Farrar, said he 'once listened for a long time together to the conversation of three peasants who were gathering apples among the boughs of an orchard, and as far as I could conjecture, the whole number of words they used did not exceed a hundred." The famous Max Müller gave imprudent support to this nonsense, and it was later propagated by Wilhelm Wundt, the psychologist, by Barrett Wendell, and by various other persons who should have known better. It has now been established by scientific inquiry that even children of five or six years have vocabularies of between 2000 and 3000 words, and that even the most stupid adults know at least 5000. The average American, indeed, probably knows nearly 5000 nouns. As for the educated, their vocabularies range from 30,000 words to maybe as many as 70,000.2

July 7, 1934, and The English of the Comic Cartoons, by Helen Trace Tysell, American Speech, Feb., 1935. But these disciples never attained to Lardner's virtuosity.

Margaret Morse Nice, in On the Size of Vocabularies, American

Speech, Oct., 1926.

chology, June, 1925; Contemporary English, by W. E. Collinson; Leipzig, 1927 (an account of the growth of the author's vocabulary); A Vocabulary Study of Children in a Foreign Industrial Community, by Alice M. Jones, Psychological Clinic, March, 1928; Statistics of Vocabulary, by E. A. Condon, Science, March 16, 1928; Extent of Personal Vocabularies and Cultural Control, by J. M. Gillette, Scientific Monthly, Nov., 1929; and Vocabulary of Children's Letters Written in Life Outside School, by J. A. Fitzgerald, Elementary School Journal, Jan., 1934. I list only a few studies. The literature of the subject is very large.

² The results of various investigations are set forth in Mrs. Nice's article, just cited. See also Measuring the Vocabulary of High-School Pupils, by H. L. Neher, School and Society, Sept. 21, 1918; Says Average Man Uses 8,000 Words (an interview with Dr. Frank H. Vizetelly), New York Times, July 15, 1923; The Speech of Five Hundred College Women, by Sara M. Stinchfield, Journal of Applied Psy-

2. THE VERB

The chief grammatical peculiarities of vulgar American lie, as Charters shows, among the verbs and pronouns. The nouns in common use, in the main, are quite sound in form. Very often, of course, they do not belong to the vocabulary of English, but they at least belong to the vocabulary of American: the proletariat, setting aside transient slang, calls things by their proper names, and pronounces those names more or less correctly. The adjectives, too, are treated rather politely, and the adverbs, though commonly transformed into the forms of their corresponding adjectives, are not further mutilated. But the verbs and pronouns undergo changes which set off the common speech very sharply from both correct English and correct American. This process, of course, is only natural, for it is among the verbs and pronouns that nearly all the remaining inflections in English are to be found, and so they must bear the chief pressure of the influences that have been warring upon every sort of inflection since the earliest days. The hypothetical Indo-European language is assumed to have had eight cases of the noun; in Old English they fell to four, with a moribund instrumental, identical in form with the dative, hanging in the air; in Middle English the dative and accusative began to decay; in Modern English they have disappeared altogether, save as ghosts to haunt grammarians. But we still have two plainly defined conjugations of the verb, and we still inflect it, in part at least, for number and person. And we yet retain an objective case of the pronoun, and inflect it for person, number and gender.

Following are paradigms showing the conjugation of some of the more interesting verbs of the vulgate, with notes on variants:

Present Preterite
am 1 was 2

Perfect Participle
been ⁸

I The subjunctive be, of course, is extinct. In the plural, are is commonly used correctly. The use of is in the second and third persons singular and in all persons of the plural is a Negroism, though it is also observed occasionally among the lowest classes of Southern whites. There is a familiar story

illustrating its use. A customer goes into a store and asks, "You-all ain't got no aigs, is you?" The storekeeper replies, "I ain't said I ain't," whereupon the customer retorts in dudgeon, "I ain't axed you is you ain't; I axed you is you ain't; I axed you is you?" In the negative, whether singular or plural, ain't is em-

Present attackt beat become 3 begin bend bet	Preterite attackted ¹ beaten, ² or beat become begun ⁴ bent bet	Perfect Participle attackted beat became began bent bet
bind	bound	bound

ployed almost universally; am not, is not and are not are used only for emphasis, and aren't is unknown.

2 The use of were in the first person singular occurs in certain English dialects, and was once not uncommon in vulgar American, but it has passed out. Today was is often used in the second and third persons plural. In the Eighteenth Century you was was used in the singular and you were in the plural. George Philip Krapp, in The English Language in America, Vol. II, p. 261, quotes "Was you fond of seeing," etc., from a letter of John Adams, 1759.

3 Usually pronounced bin, but sometimes ben, and often appearing without bave, as in "I bin there myself." The English bean is never heard.

In The Druid, No. VI, May 16, 1781, the Rev. John Witherspoon listed attackted among his "vulgarisms in America only."

2 R. J. Menner, in The Verbs of the Vulgate, American Speech, Jan., 1926, argues that beaten and its analogues, bitten, broken, forsaken, bidden, ridden, shaken, taken, fallen, forgotten and gotten, are preterites only in certain regional dialects. He says: "Taken appears in lists of dialectical peculiarities from Tennessee, Southern Ohio, Missouri, Kansas, Arkansas, Alabama and Virginia, and often occurs in stories written in a Southern dialect. But it is not characteristic of New England, New York and Pennsylvania; if it occurs in the North, it occurs exceptionally, and cannot be considered a preterite of the vulgar speech." This was written in 1926. Since then, I believe, the form has made progress, and Mr. Charles J. Lovell tells me that he has heard it very frequently in Bristol county, Mass. There is a discussion of it in The Grammar of the Ozark Dialect, by Vance Randolph, American Speech, Oct., 1927, p. 2.

3 Become is seldom heard in the present tense. Getting is usually substituted, as in "I am getting old." But become is often used as a preterite, as in "What become of him?"

4 In Old English, according to Menner, began(n) was the preterite singular and begunnon the preterite plural. When this distinction began to fade, both began and begun came into good usage, and both were recognized by Ben Jonson in his Grammar, 1640. Henry Alexander, in The Verbs of the Vulgate in Their Historical Relations, American Speech, April, 1929, gives examples of begun from Easton's Relation of the Indyan Warr, 1675, and Madam Knight's Journal, 1704. Noah Webster preferred it to began in his Grammar of the English Language, 1807. In 1928 or thereabout the National Council of Teachers of English submitted a long list of current usages to a committee consisting of authors, editors, linguists, teachers and business men, and asked their judgment. Only 5% of them approved begun as the preterite, but all of those who did so were persons specially trained in English philology. See Current Eng-lish Usage, by Sterling Andrus Leonard; Chicago, 1932, p. 116.

Present	Preterite	Perfect Participle
bite	bitten 1	bit
bleed	bled	bled
blow	blowed, or blew, or blown ²	blowed, or blown
break	broke, or broken ³	broken, or broke
bring	brought, brung or brang 4	brought, or brung
build	built	built
burn	burnt 5	burnt
bust 6	busted, or bust 7	busted
buy	bought, or boughten	bought, or boughten 8
cast	casted	casted
catch	caught, or catched 9	caught, or catched
choose	chose, or chosen	chosen, or chose 10

I See the note under beaten, above. I have even heard "He bitten off more than he could chew."

2 Here usage seems to be uncertain. I have heard "The whistle blowed," "He blew in his money," and "They blown into town."

3 Alexander quotes brake from Samuel Sewall's Diary, 1673. It was frequently used in those days, apparently under the influence of the King James Bible, in which it occurs 63 times. But it never got into the common speech. Broke is always used in the passive. One hears "I was broke" but never "I was broken." Broke was once in good usage as a participial adjective. The Oxford Dictionary gives examples running from c. 1230 to 1647.

4 Menner argues that brung belongs only to the lowest levels of the vulgate. He says: "Everyone knows that many a person who regularly says I sung or I begun would be horrified at the thought of saying I brung." He adds that "some speakers who habitually say I have did and I have saw regard I brung as merely childish or humorous. But he finds brung as a preterite in Artemus Ward, c. 1865, and in John Neal's The Down-Easters, 1833, and reports it used as a perfect participle in the last-named and in J. G. Holland's The Bay Path, 1857. It appears in a list of Appalachian Mountain words in

Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. X, 1927, p. 470.

5 Burned, with a distinct d-sound, is almost unknown to the vulgate.

6 Burst is seldom heard. In combinations, e.g., on a bust, bust-head and trust-buster, bust is almost Standard American.

7 The use of bust as the preterite is probably promoted by the fashion for a crude historical present, mentioned in Section 1.

8 Boughten is in common use as a participial adjective, as in boughten bread.

9 Catched, which was good English in the Eighteenth Century, is in Lardner, and also in Huckleberry Finn, The Biglow Papers and C. Haliburton's Thomas Clockmaker, 1837, but I incline to believe that it is now used relatively seldom. Cotched is heard only in the South, and mainly among Negroes. It appears in the vocabulary of provincialisms printed in Adiel Sherwood's Gazetteer of the State of Georgia, 3rd ed., 1837, and was condemned by Noah Webster in his Dissertations on the English Language; Boston, 1789, p. 111, as "frequent" and "barbarous." As we have seen in Chapter VII, Section 2, catch is usually pronounced ketch.

to Alexander reports finding chose as the past participle in a military diary of 1774, and choosen, now

Present	Preterite	Perfect Participle
climb	clumb 1	clumb
cling (to hold fast)	clung, or clang	clung
cling (to ring)	clang	clung, or clang
come	come 2	come, or came
creep	crep, or crope	crope
crow	crew	crowed
cuss 8	cussed	cussed
cut	cut	cut
dare	dared, or dast 4	dared
deal	dole	dealt
dig	dug	dug
dive	dove 5	dived
do	done 6	done, or did
drag	drug	drug
draw	drawed	drawed, or drew
dream	drempt, or dremp 7	drempt, or dremp
drink	drunk, or drank 8	drank
	•	

obsolete, in the town records of Jamaica, L. I., 1695. He says that the former was used as the participle of to choose so early as the Fourteenth Century, and that it survived in good usage until the days of Southey. Choosed is in Sherwood's Georgia Vocabulary, 1837.

I Clumb is in Lardner, and also in Huckleberry Finn and The Biglow Papers. Clomb was in good usage down to the end of the Seventeenth Century, and has survived as a poetical archaism.

2 Come as the preterite is very old, but came as the past participle is

apparently recent.

3 To curse is used only when the act shows a certain formality and solemnity. "The blind man cursed the guy what robbed him" would be heard, but not "He cursed his wife." In the latter situation to cuss would be used, most often followed by out. Bartlett, in his Dictionary of Americanisms, 1848, listed to cuss as then "common to various parts of the Union."

4 Dast is more common in the negative, as in "He das'n't do it." It was originally a form of the present, and is sometimes still used.

5 Dove seems to be making its way into Standard American, apparently supported by drove. It occurs in Theodore Roosevelt's Hunting the Grizzly; New York, 1905, p. 111, and in Amy Lowell's Legends; Boston, 1921, p. 4. The judges appointed by the National Council of Teachers of English decided against it, but there was apparent among them a trend toward accepting it. See Leonard, above cited, p. 117. In 1926 Leonard submitted it to a committee of 26 eminent academic authorities on English. Five of them approved it unreservedly, and 11 called it sound "cultivated, informal English." Div is reported from the Ozarks, in Snake County Talk, by Jay L. B. Taylor, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. VI, 1923, p. 205.

6 Menner reports hearing done used as the preterite by persons belonging to all three of his classes. But he heard did as the past participle only among "people with little education and no background."

7 Vance Randolph, in The Grammar of the Ozark Dialect, American Speech, Oct., 1927, says that dremp is the usual form in the Ozarks. But elsewhere, I believe, drempt is more common.

8 Drinked is in The Biglow Papers and in Artemus Ward, but it seems to have gone out, save maybe in

Preterite	Perfect Participle
drove 1	drove
drownded 2	drownded
et, or eat ³	eat, ate, or et 4
fell, or fallen	fell
fed	fed
felt	felt
fetched	fetched
fought 6	fought
found	found
found 7	found
flung, or flang	flung
	drove ¹ drownded ² et, or eat ³ fell, or fallen fed felt fetched fought ⁶ found found ⁷

remote areas. The committee of judges appointed by the National Council of Teachers of English condemned the preterite use of drunk, but "linguists and members of the Modern Language Association, probably because of their awareness of the historical justification for the form, placed it higher than the other groups." See Leonard, above cited, p. 116. Menner reports the use of drank as the perfect participle by persons of all three of his categories.

I Driv and druv seem to survive only in humorous use, save maybe in the remoter rural parts. Both, as past participles, are in The Biglow Papers, and Bartlett, in his Dictionary of Americanisms, 1848, listed druv as a preterite then in common use. Driv was denounced as a New England provincialism by T. G. Fessenden in The Ladies' Monitor; Bellows Falls, Vt., 1818, p. 171.

2 This in the active voice. In the passive, I think, drowned is more common. "This is so common," said the Rev. John Witherspoon in The Druid, No. VI, May 16, 1781, "that I have known a gentleman reading in a book to a company, though it was printed drowned, read drowned."

3 Ate, in my observation, is seldom used as the preterite, though it appears in Lardner, and is reported by Menner. The use of eat as its own preterite was formerly sound in English, and still survives more or less on relatively decorous levels.

I find it in Of Human Bondage, by W. Somerset Maugham; New York, 1915, p. 24. It is encountered plentifully in Shakespeare. According to Leonard, above cited, p. 118, et as the preterite is "entirely correct in England, incorrect in the United States." It is so given in Broadcast English; London, 1935, and H. W. Fowler, in Modern English Usage; Oxford, 1926, actually condemns ate as "wrong."

4 Eaten is seldom used. In The Vul-

gate in American Fiction, American

Mercury, Dec., 1927, Wallace Rice says that eat was used as the perfect participle by Shakespeare, Fletcher, Fuller, Evelyn, Mary II, Purchas, J. Collins, Arbuthnot, Pope, Malmesbury, Johnson, Prior, Coleridge, Jane Austen, Marryat, Tennyson, Dickens and Thackeray. He says that the Imperial Dictionary; London, 1892, prefers eat to eaten, and that it has been approved by various American grammarians. 5 Fotch seems to be mainly confined to the Appalachian mountain dialect, though I have heard Lowland Negroes use it. Noah Webster, in his Dissertations on the English Language; Boston, 1789, p. 111, says that it was then "very common in several States, but not among the better classes of people." 6 Fit appears to have gone out. It is in Congreve's The Way of the World, 1700, and was apparently

1825 to 1869.
7 "He was found \$2" is much more

in good usage then. Thornton gives

American examples running from

Present	Preterite	Perfect Participle
flow	flew	flowed
fly	flew	flew
forbid	forbid	forbid
forget	forgot, or forgotten	forgotten
forsake	forsaken	forsook
freeze	frozen, or froze 1	froze
get 2	got, or gotten	gotten,3 or got
give	give, or given 4	give, or gave
glide	glode 5	glode
go	went	went, or gone
grope	grope 6	grope
grow	growed	growed
hang	hung ⁷	hung
have	had	had, or hadden
hear	heerd, or hern	heerd, or hern
heat ⁸	het, or heaten	het, or heaten
heave	hove	hove

common than "He was fined." The pull of the preterite of to find is obvious.

I Friz seems to be archaic. It occurs

in The Biglow Papers.

2 There was a time when get was almost invariably pronounced git, but the standard pronunciation is now more common. In "Do you get me?" the e is never i. Gotten is rare in England, save in ill-gotten.

- 3 Leonard says, in Current English Usage, p. 118: "Both linguists and dictionaries testify that this form is acceptable in the United States. although it is nearly obsolete in England." In the late Eighteenth Century gotten was fashionable in both countries, and Noah Webster, in his Dissertations, 1789, listed it among the affectations of "young gentlemen who have gone through a course of academical studies, and received the usual honors of a university." Got, as everyone knows, is a verb of all work in the vulgate. Its excessive use was denounced by the editor of the English Journal, March, 1927. See Get and Got, by Wallace Rice, American Speech, April, 1932. Also, Gotten, by George O. Curme, the same, Sept.,
- 4 Gin and guv are archaic. The former, marked rare, appears in a

Maine word-list compiled by E. K. Maxfield, Dialect Notes, Vol. V. Pt. IX, 1926, p. 387. It was in common use from about 1800 to the Civil War, and is listed in Fessenden's Georgia Vocabulary, and in the glossary printed with David Humphrey's The Yankey in England; Boston, 1815. Lardner uses both give and gave as the perfect participle. Menner reports that he found give in use as the preterite among all three of his classes, but that give and gave as the perfect participle were confined to "people with little education and no background." Henry Harap lists give as the preterite among The Most Common Grammatical Errors, English Journal, June, 1930, p. 441.

5 Glode once enjoyed a certain respectability in the United States, as in England. It is to be found in the Knickerbocker Magazine for April, 1856. It is also in Shelley's The Revolt of Islam, 1818.

The Revolt of Islam, 1818.
6 Almost invariably followed

around.

7 The literary hanged is never heard. "The man was hung," not hanged.

8 To heat is seldom heard. The common form is to heaten. When het is used it is always followed by up. Webster favored it as the preterite, and Krapp says (The English Lan-

Present	Preterite	Perfect Participle
help	helped, or help	helped, or help
hide	hidden 1	hid
hist ²	histed	histed
hit	hit	hit
hold	helt	helt, or held
holler	hollered	hollered
hurt	hurt	hurt
keep	kep	kep, or kept
kneel	kneeled	kneeled, or knelt
know	knowed	knew, or knowed 8
lay	laid, or lain	lain, or laid
lead	led	led
lean	lent	lent
leap	lep	lep
learn	lernt	lernt
lend 4	loaned	loaned
let	left 5	left
lie (to falsify)	lied	lied
lie (to recline) 6	laid, or lain	lain, or laid
light	lit	lit
loosen 7	loosened	loosened
lose	lost	lost
make	made	made
mean	ment	ment
meet	met	met
mow	mown	mowed
pay	paid	paid
plead	pled	pled
prove	proven, or proved	proven ⁸
quit	quit	quit

guage in America, Vol. II, p. 258), that it "only just failed to be accepted into good general use."

I See the note under beat, above.

2 Hoist is seldom heard.

3 Both forms appear in Lardner, and both are reported by Menner.

4 To lend is being displaced by to loan. The standard preterite, lent, is seldom heard save as noted below. Harap notes in The Most Common Grammatical Errors, English Journal, June, 1930, p. 442, that to lend has begun to displace to borrow. Certainly, "I lent a dollar from him," meaning "I borrowed a dollar," is now common.

5 To let is being supplanted by to leave, as in "Leave me be," but this substitution has probably gone furthest in the preterite. "He let me have it" is seldom heard; the usual form is "He left me."

6 Seldom used; lay takes its place. To lay was condemned by 93% of the judges appointed by the National Council of Teachers of English, but one of them, a linguist, noted that it "was good in the Eighteenth Century." See Leonard, above cited, p. 113.

7 To loose is very seldom heard. Even to loosen seems to be going out. The popular form is to unloosen, which is conjugated like

to loosen.

8 The linguists, authors and editors on the committee of the National Council of Teachers of English placed proven "among the disputable usages; the other groups of judges regarded it as estab-

Present	Prete r ite	Perfect Participle
raise	raised 1	raised
recognize 2	recognize	recognize
rench 8	renched	renched
ride	ridden 4	rode 5
rile 6	riled	riled
ring	rung	rang
rise	rose, or riz 7	rose, or riz
run	run	ran
Sass 8	sassed, or sass	sassed, or sass
say	sez, said, or say	said
see	seen, see, or seed	saw, or see 9
set 10	set	sat
shake	shaken, or shuck	shook
shine (to polish)	shined	shined
shoe	shoed	shoed
show	shown	shown
shut 11	shut	shut
sing	sung	sang
sink	sunk	sank
skin	skun, or skan	skun
sleep	slep	slep, or slept
slide	slid	slid
sling	slung, or slang	slang, or slang

lished." See Leonard, before cited,

- I Riz as the preterite of to raise is now confined to the rural regions. Various contributors to Dialect Notes report it from States as far apart as Connecticut and Louisiana.
- 2 Pronounced reconize in all three situations.
- 3 Used in place of rinse. In New England rench is sometimes rense. See Dialect Notes, Part II, 1890, o. 63.

4 Rid is in Artemus Ward, but it is

seldom heard today.

5 Menner reports that he has heard rode by persons who are "the average product of American highschools."

6 Always used in place of roil.

7 Riz seems to be going out as the preterite of to rise, though it is still heard. To rise, says Menner, "is a rare verb in the vulgate. Get up (of people) and come up (of the sun) are substituted for it." But bread still rises. In her Journal, 1704, Sarah Kemble Knight used riss as the preterite.

- 8 To sass is always used in place of to sauce, which would seem a schoolmarmish affectation to the vulgar Americano. The adjective is sassy.
- 9 Lardner gives seen, see and seed as the preterite, and saw and see as the perfect participle. See as the preterite is in the New Haven Records (1639), the Easthampton Records (1654), the Huntington Records (1681), and the Journal of Sarah Kemble Knight (1704). It is denounced by the Rev. John Witherspoon in The Druid, No. VI, May 16, 1781, and he says that it was then "common in both England and the United States."

10 Used almost always in place of sit. The preterite sot, once in wide use,

is now rarely heard.

11 Bartlett, in his Dictionary of Americanisms, 1848, listed shet as then in common use. It is still heard, but shut seems to be prevailing. Sometimes shutted appears as the preterite, as in "You bet he shutted up."

Present	Preterite	Double at Dunistate
smell	smelt	Perfect Participle
sneak	snuck	smelt
speak		snuck
speed	spoke, or spoken	spoke 1
spell	speeded	speeded
spill	spelt	spelt
spin	spilt	spilt
spit	span	span, or spun
spoil	spit	spit
spring	spoilt	spoilt
steal	sprung	sprang
sting	stole	stole
stink	stang	stung
strike	stank	stunk, or stank
	struck	struck
sweat	sweat ²	sweat
sweep swell	swep	swep
	swole	swollen
swim	swum	swam
swing	swang	swung
take	taken, or tuck	took,8 or tuck
teach 4	taught	taught
tear	torn	tore
tell	tole ⁵	tole
tend 6	tended, tend, or tent	tended
think	thought 7	thought
throw	throwed, or thrown	throwed, or threw 8
wake	woke	woken
	•	

I Alexander traces spoke back to Gower, 1390, and says that it was still accepted as the perfect participle of to speak as late as 1754.

- 2 Dr. Josiah Combs reports that in the Southern mountains "the ending -ed is usually dropped in the preterite in verbs whose infinitive ends in -t." (Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. IV, 1916, p. 292.) In the general vulgate, I believe, sweat is fast becoming an invariable verb. I have heard "He sweat and puffed" and "I have sweat over it all night."
- 3 Have took is in The Biglow Papers, and Menner finds it in other humorous works of the period. He reports hearing it from the lips of his "people with little education and little literary background," but his "people trained in some special profession (usually with college degrees)" seem to have been guilt-

less of it. Tuck as the preterite is listed as in common use in 1848 by Bartlett in his Dictionary of Americanisms.

- 4 To teach, of course, is seldom heard. To learn is used in its place.
- 5 Bartlett, in his Dictionary of Americanisms, 1848, says that tell'd was then in common used as the preterite. It seems to have passed out.
- 6 Always used in place of attend. The preterite, it seems to me, sometimes takes a distinct t, as in "He tent to his business."
- 7 Thunk is never used seriously; it always shows humorous intent.
- 8 Menner reports hearing thrung, which he describes as an Irishism. I have never encountered it. There was a time when trun was often heard, both as preterite and as perfect participle, but it seems to have gone out.

Present	Preterite	Perfect Participle
wear	wore	wore
weep	wep	wep
wet	wet	wet
win	won, wan, or win 1	won, or wan
wish ²	wished	wished
wring write	wrung, or wrang written	wrang, or wrung wrote ⁸

A glance at these paradigms is enough to show several general tendencies, the most obvious of which is the transfer of verbs from the strong conjugation with vowel change to the weak without it, and vice versa. The former began before the Norman Conquest, and was marked during the Middle English period. Chaucer used growed for grew in the prologue to "The Wife of Bath's Tale," and rised for rose and smited for smote are in John Purvey's edition of the Bible, c. 1385. Many of these transformations were afterward abandoned, but a large number survived, for example, climbed for clomb as the preterite of to climb, and melted for molt as the preterite of to melt. Others showed themselves during the early part of the Modern English period. Comed as the perfect participle of to come, and digged as the preterite of to dig are both in Shakespeare, and the latter is also in Milton and in the Authorized Version of the Bible. This tendency went furthest, of course, in the vulgar speech, and it has been embalmed in the English dialects. I seen and I knowed, for example, are common to all of them. But during the Seventeenth Century, for some reason to me unknown, there arose a contrary tendency - that is, toward strong conjugations. The vulgar speech of Ireland, which preserves many Seventeenth Century forms, shows it plainly. Ped for paid, gother for gathered, and ruz for raised are still heard there, and P. W. Joyce says flatly that the Irish, "retaining the old English custom [i.e., the custom of the period of Cromwell's invasion, c. 1650], have a leaning toward the strong inflection." 4 Certain forms of the early American national period, now reduced to the estate of localisms, were also survivors of the Seventeenth Century.

- I Lardner once told me that he believed win was supplanting both won and wan. Winned is also heard.
- 2 Usually converted into wisht, as in "I wisht he would go," the present tense being understood.
- 3 "I have wrote" was in good usage until the middle of the Eighteenth Century.
- 4 English As We Speak It In Ireland, 2nd ed.; London, 1910, p. 77.

"The three great causes of change in language," says A. H. Sayce, "may be briefly described as (1) imitation or analogy, (2) a wish to be clear and emphatic, and (3) laziness. Indeed, if we choose to go deep enough we might reduce all three causes to the general one of laziness, since it is easier to imitate than to say something new." 1 This tendency to take well-worn paths, paradoxically enough, seems to be responsible both for the transfer of verbs from the strong to the weak declension, and for the transfer of certain others from the weak to the strong. A verb in everyday use tends almost inevitably to pull less familiar verbs with it, whether it be strong or weak. Thus, fed as the preterite of to feed and led as the preterite of to lead eased the way in the American vulgate for pled as the preterite of to plead; and rung as plainly performed the same office for brung, and drove for dove and hove, and stole for dole, and won for skun. Contrariwise, the same combination of laziness and imitativeness worked toward the regularization of certain verbs that were historically irregular. One sees the antagonistic pull of the two influences in the case of verbs ending in -ow. The analogy of knew and grew suggests snew as the preterite of to snow, and it is sometimes encountered in the American vulgate. But meanwhile knew and grew have been themselves succumbing to the greater regularity of knowed and growed. So snew, losing support, grows rare and is in palpable decay, but knowed and growed show great vigor, as do many of their analogues. The substitution of heerd for heard also presents a case of logic and convenience supporting analogy. The form is suggested by feared, cheered, cleared, etc., but its main advantage lies in the fact that it gets rid of a vowel change, always an impediment to easy speech.

Some of the verbs of the vulgate show the end-products of other language movements that go back to a very early period. There is, for example, the disappearance of the final t in such words as crep, slep, lep, swep and wep. Most of these, in Old English, were strong verbs. The preterite of to sleep (slæpan), for example, was slep, and of to weep was weop. But in the course of time both to sleep and to weep acquired weak preterite endings, the first becoming slæpte and the second wepte. This weak conjugation, in most cases, was itself degenerated. Originally, the inflectional suffix had been -de or

I Introduction to the Science of Language; London, 1900, Vol. I, p. 166.

-ede and in some cases -ode, and the vowels were always pronounced. The wearing-down process that set in in the Twelfth Century disposed of the final e, but in certain words the other vowel survived for a good while, and we still observe it in such archaisms as learnéd and belovéd. Finally, however, it became silent in other preterites, and loved, for example, began to be pronounced (and often written) as a word of one syllable: lov'd.1 This final d-sound now fell upon difficulties of its own. After certain consonants it was hard to pronounce clearly, and so the sonant was changed into the easier surd, and such words as pushed and clipped became, in ordinary conversation, pusht and clipt. In other verbs, the -t (or -te) ending had come in long before, and when the final e was dropped only their stem vowels needed to be changed. Thus arose such forms as slept. In vulgar American another step is taken, and the suffix is dropped altogether. Thus, by a circuitous route, verbs originally strong, and for many centuries hovering between the two conjugations, have eventually become strong again.

The case of *helt* is probably an example of change by false analogy. During the Thirteenth Century, according to Sweet ² " d was changed to t in the weak preterites of verbs [ending] in rd, ld, nd." Before that time the preterite of sende (send) had been sende; now it became sente. It survives in our modern sent, and the same process is also revealed in built, girt, lent, rent and bent. The popular speech, disregarding the fact that to hold is a strong verb, arrives at helt by imitation. In the case of tole, which I almost always hear in place of told, there is a leaping of steps. The d is got rid of by assimilation with l and without any transitional use of t. So also, perhaps, in swole, which is fast displacing swelled. Attackted and drownded seem to be examples of an effort to dispose of harsh combinations by a contrary process. Both are old in English. Boughten and dreampt present greater difficulties. Lounsbury says that boughten probably originated in the Northern (i.e., Lowland Scots) dialect of English,

which has very much disfigured the tongue, and turned a tenth part of our smoothest words into so many clusters of consonants."

² A New English Grammar; Oxford, 1900, Part I, p. 380.

3 The noun is commonly made holt, as in, "I got a-holt of it."

The last stand of the distinct -ed was made in Addison's day. He was in favor of retaining it, and in the Spectator for Aug. 4, 1711, he protested against obliterating the syllable in the termination "of our præter perfect tense, as in these words, drown'd, walk'd, arriv'd, for drowned, walked, arrived,

"which . . . inclined to retain the full form of the past participle," and even to add its termination "to words to which it did not properly belong." The p-sound in drempt follows a tendency that is also seen in such pronunciations as warm(p)th, com(p)fort and some(p)thing, and that has actually inserted a p in Thompson (Tom's son). The general movement toward regularization is well exhibited by the new verbs that come into the language constantly. Practically all of them show the weak conjugation, for example, to broadcast.2 Even when a compound has as its last member a verb ordinarily strong, it is often weak itself. Thus the preterite of to joy-ride is not joy-rode, nor even joy-ridden, but, unless my ears fail me, joy-rided. And thus bust, from burst, is regular and its usual preterite is busted, though burst is irregular and its preterite is the verb itself unchanged. The same tendency toward regularity is shown by the verbs of the kneel class. They are irregular in English, but tend to become regular in colloquial American. Thus the preterite of to kneel, despite the example of to sleep and its analogues, is not knel', nor even knelt, but kneeled. I have even heard feeled as the preterite of to feel, as in "I feeled my way," though here felt still persists. To spread also tends to become weak, as in "He spreaded a piece of bread." And to peep remains so, despite the example of to leap. The confusion between the inflections of to lie and to lay extends to the higher reaches of spoken American, and so does that between lend and loan. In the vulgate the proper inflections of to lend are often given to to lean, and so leaned becomes lent, as in "I lent on the counter." In the same way to set has almost completely superseded to sit, and the preterite of the former, set, is used in place of sat. But the perfect participle (which is also the disused preterite) of to sit has survived, as in "I have sat there." To speed and to shoe have become regular, not only because of the general tendency toward the weak conjugation, but also for logical reasons. The prevalence of speed contests of various sorts, always to the intense interest of the proletariat, has brought such words as speeder, speeding, speed-mania, speed-maniac and speed-limit into

broadcasted last night" is what one commonly hears. The effort to justify broadcast by analogy with cast fails, for the preterite of to cast, in the vulgar speech, is not cast but casted.

History of the English Language; revised ed.; New York, 1894, p. 398.

The effort of purists to establish broadcast as the preterite has had some success on higher levels, but very little on lower. "Ed Wynn

daily use, and speeded harmonizes with them better than the irregular sped. The American's misuse of to learn for to teach is common to most of the English dialects. More peculiar to his speech is the use of to leave for to let. Charters records it in "Washington left them have it," and there are many examples of it in Lardner.

In studying the American verb, of course, it is necessary to remember always, as Menner reminds us, that it is in a state of transition, and that in many cases the manner of using it is not yet fixed. "The history of language," says Lounsbury, "when looked at from the purely grammatical point of view, is little else than the history of corruptions." What we have before us is a series of corruptions in active process, and while some of them have gone very far, others are just beginning. Thus it is not uncommon to find corrupt forms side by side with orthodox forms, or even two corrupt forms battling with each other. Lardner, in the case of to throw, hears "if he had throwed"; my own observation is that threw is more often used in that situation. Again, he uses "the rottenest I ever seen gave"; my own belief is that give is far more commonly used. The conjugation of to give, however, is yet very uncertain, and so Lardner may report accurately. I have heard "I given" and "I would of gave," but "I give" seems to be prevailing, and "I would of give" with it, thus reducing to give to one invariable form, like those of to cut, to hit, to put, to cost, to hurt and to spit. My table of verbs shows various other uncertainties and confusions. The preterite of to blow may be blowed, blew or blown, and that of to drink oscillates between drank and drunk, and that of to fall is still usually fell, though fallen has appeared, and that of to shake may be either shaken or shuck. The conjugation of to win is yet far from fixed. The correct English preterite, won, is still in use, but against it are arrayed wan and winned, and Lardner, as I have noted, believed that the plain form of the present would eventually oust all of them. Wan seems to show some kinship, by ignorant analogy, with ran and began. It is often used as the perfect participle, as in "I have wan \$4." This uncertainty shows itself in many of the communications that I have received since my last edition was published. Practically every one of my conjugations has been questioned by at least one correspondent; nevertheless, the weight of observation has supported all save a few of them, and I have not made many changes.

The misuse of the perfect participle for the preterite, so common

in vulgar American, is also common in many other dialects of English. It has been going on for a long time, and in American, the most vigorous and advanced of all the dialects of the language, it is particularly well marked. Menner believes that it originated, at least as to some of the verbs, in the decay of the auxiliary have in the present perfect. The omission of the auxiliary, he says,

is one of the most familiar phenomena of rapid or careless speech. I've been, I've bought, I've found, I've done easily degenerate into I been, I bought, I found, I done... The process is a purely phonetic one. When "I've been there several times" and "I've done that since I was born" are contracted to "I been," etc. and "I done," etc. been and done have not become preterites; the meaning is still perfect, though the form is syncopated. ... Thus it is not unlikely that I seen and I done, when they first appeared in the vulgate, were still perfect tenses with the auxiliary syncopated; that owing to the confusion of the two tenses in such cases as "I('ve) never seen it" and "I never saw it," I seen came to be regarded as a real preterite and extended to all the functions of the past tense, as in "I seen it yesterday." This explanation receives some support, in the case of seen, from the fact that the majority of the earliest instances of seen for saw that I have found are of the somewhat ambiguous type "I (they) never seen." If this be indeed the earlier usage, it may well indicate that the modern genuine preterite seen developed from the genuine perfect ('ve) seen by means of the intermediate stage seen, as in "I never seen," of doubtful interpretation."

In the case of certain strong verbs, says Menner, the substitution of the perfect participle for the preterite originated in a confusion between the singular and plural forms of the preterite, which were once distinct. When this distinction began to disappear, the plural preterite, usually with u for its vowel, was sometimes substituted for the singular form in a, and so the preterite and the perfect participle coalesced, for the latter was usually also in u. Menner offers begun, clumb, rung, sung and swum as examples. Two further considerations may be mentioned. The first is that the perfect form of the verb was also commonly its adjectival form, and so got some support in mere familiarity. The second is that it was usually the authentic preterite in the passive voice, and so got more.

The contrary substitution of the preterite for the perfect participle is old in English, and there was a time indeed when even the best writers were apparently unconscious of its inelegance. An examination of any play of Shakespeare's will show many such forms

triumphed over the plural. See A History of Modern Colloquial English, by H. C. Wyld; London, 1920, p. 343.

The Verbs of the Vulgate, American Speech, Jan., 1926, pp. 238-9.

² This, of course, was not the case invariably. More often the singular

as "I have wrote," "I am mistook" and "He has rode." In several cases this confusion has survived. "I have stood," for example, is now perfectly correct English, but before 1550 the proper form was "I have stonden." Menner's inquiries indicate, however, that two of the false perfects now familiar, saw and did, are relatively recent. He says:

Many writers employ seen as preterite from the 40's to the 60's, whereas saw as past participle is extremely rare. The earliest instance I have run across is in Artemus Ward's "Scenes Outside the Fair-Grounds": "We have saw a entertainment as we never saw before," and Josh Billings seems to have been the first humorist to employ saw for seen, as well as did for done, extensively. Even as late as "Huckleberry Finn," where seen and see largely replace saw in the past tense, saw does not occur in the participle. The priority of the incorrect preterite over the incorrect participle is likewise plain in the verb do, though done had not attained so wide a popularity in the first half of the century as seen. The remarks of grammarians and commentators on the state of the language corroborate the practise of the humorists as an accurate reflection of the vulgar speech. Bartlett, whose dictionary of Americanisms was published in 1848, and Schele de Vere, whose similar book was published in 1872, record only the use of the participle for the preterite in these verbs. . . . The oldest commentary on Americanisms, John Witherspoon's essays, originally printed in . . . 1781, notes he had fell, he had rose, he had threw, he had drew, but not he had saw or he had did.1

The substitution of the preterite for the perfect participle seems to me to be increasing of late, and such striking examples as "How old of a cat have you ever saw?" are surely not uncommon. But a sense of its uncouthness appears to linger at the back of the proletarian mind, and sometimes it is embellished with an en suffix, and so brought into greater harmony with more orthodox forms of the perfect. I find that boughten, just discussed, is used much oftener in the perfect than in the simple past tense; for the latter bought usually suffices. The quick ear of Lardner detects various other coinages of the same sort, among them tooken, as in "little Al might of tooken sick." Hadden is also met with, as in "I would of hadden." But the majority of preterites remain unchanged. Lardner's baseball player never writes "I have written" or "I have wroten," but always "I have wrote." And in the same way he always writes "I have did, ate, went, drank, rode, ran, saw, sang, woke and stole."

In the American vulgate, as Menner notes, the auxiliary have is under heavy pressure in all situations, and promises to disappear In the paper just cited, pp. 236-7. 2 Supplied by Mr. B. A. Bergman.

from those in which it is still used. I have heard was used in place of have, as in "before the Elks was come here." 1 Sometimes it is confused ignorantly with a distinct of, as in "she would of drove" and "I would of gave." 2 More often it is shaded to a sort of particle attached to the verb as an inflection, as in "He woulda tole you," "Who coulda took it?," "He musta been there." In going through this change it drags its surrogate, of, along with it, and so one encounters such forms as kinda, sorta, coupla and outa.3 But that is not all. Having degenerated to of, have is now employed as a sort of auxiliary to itself, in the subjunctive, as in "If you had of went," "If it had of been hard" and "If I had of had." 4 I have encountered some rather astonishing examples of this doubling of the auxiliary. One appears in "I wouldn't hadda went"; another in "I'd 'a' hadda saved more money." Here, however, the a may belong partly to had and partly to the verb; such forms as a-going are very common in American. But in the other cases, and in such forms as "I hadda wanted," it clearly belongs to had. Meanwhile, to have, ceasing to be an auxiliary, becomes a general verb indicating compulsion. Here it promises to displace must. The American seldom says "I must go"; he almost invariably says "I have to go" or "I have got to go," in which last case got is the auxiliary.

Some typical inflections of the verb for mode and voice are shown in the following paradigm of to bite:

- I Remark of a policeman talking to another. What he actually said was "before the Elks was c'm 'ere." Come and here were one word, approximately cmear. The context showed that he meant to use the past perfect tense. Dr. Kemp Malone reminds me that was was once the auxiliary of come, and still is in German.
- The following curious example, sent to me by Dr. Morris Fishbein, editor of the Journal of the American Medical Association, is from a letter received by a California physician: "If I had of waited a day longer before I wrote to you I would not of had to write that letter to you." Wallace Rice, in The Vulgate in American Fiction, American Mercury, Dec., 1927,
- protests against rendering the degenerated have as of. Even in Standard English, he argues, it is sometimes pronounced uv, and so should keep its proper spelling. To support this he brings forward many authorities. But the fact remains, as Lardner was quick to notice, that the plain people, when they seize pen in hand, often turn have into of.
- 3 There are many examples in The English of the Comic Cartoons, by Helen Trace Tysell, American Speech, Feb., 1935, p. 47.
- 4 These examples are from Lardner's story, A New Busher Breaks In, in You Know Me, Al, p. 122 ff. 5 Pronounced bafta, or, in the past
- 5 Pronounced hafta, or, in the past tense, hatta. Sometimes the d is retained, and had to becomes hadda.

Active Voice

Indicative Mode

PresentI bitePast PerfectI hadda bitPresent PerfectI have bitFutureI will bitePastI have bittenFuture Perfect(wanting)

Subjunctive Mode

Present If I bite Past Perfect If I hadda bit

Past If I bitten

Potential Mode

Present I can bite Past I coulda bite Present Perfect (wanting) Past Perfect I coulda bit

Imperative (or Optative) Mode

Future I shall (or will)

bite

Infinitive Mode

(wanting)

Passive Voice Indicative Mode

Present I am bit Past Perfect I had or (hadda) been

bit

Present Perfect I been bit Future I will be bit Fast I was bit Future Perfect (wanting)

Subjunctive Mode

Present If I am bit Past Perfect If I hadda been bit

Past If I was bit

Potential Mode

Present I can be bit Past I could be bit Present Perfect (wanting) Past Perfect I could been bit

Imperative Mode

(wanting)

Infinitive Mode

(wanting)

The subjunctive, which is disappearing from Standard American,¹ is virtually extinct in the vulgar tongue. One never hears "if I were

see American Use of the Subjunctive, by Thyra Jane Bevier, American Speech, Feb., 1931. Miss Bevier says that the late Walter Hines Page was the only American author of his time who used the subjunctive correctly. Says George Philip

Krapp, in Modern English; New York, 1910, pp. 289-90: "Practically, the only construction in Modern English in which the subjunctive is in living, natural use, is in the condition contrary to fact: If I were you, I shouldn't do it."

you," but always "if I was you." In the third person the -s is not dropped from the verb. One hears, not "if she go," but always "if she goes." "If he be the man" is never heard; it is always "if he is." Such a sentence as "Had I wished her, I had had her" would be unintelligible to most Americans; even "I had rather" is forgotten. In the same way the distinction between will and shall, preserved in Standard English but already breaking down in the most correct American, has been lost entirely. Will has displaced shall completely, save in the imperative. This preference extends to the inflections of both. Sha'n't is very seldom heard; almost always won't is used instead. As for should, it is displaced by ought to (degenerated to oughter or oughta), and in its negative form by hadn't oughter, as in "He hadn't oughter said that," reported by Charters. Lardner gives various redundant combinations of should and ought, as in "I don't feel as if I should ought to leave" and "They should not ought to of had." I have encountered the same form, but I don't think it is as common as the simple oughta forms. In the main, should is avoided, sometimes at considerable pains. Often its place is taken by the more positive don't. Thus "I don't mind" is used instead of "I shouldn't mind." Ain't has displaced is not, am not, isn't and aren't, and even have not and haven't. One recalls a famous speech in a naval melodrama of a generation ago: "We ain't got no manners, but we can fight like hell." Such forms as "He ain't here," "I ain't the man," "Ain't it the truth?", "You been there, ain't you?", "You ain't drank much," "Them ain't what I want" and "I ain't heerd of it" are common. Charters adds the incomparable "It ain't right to say, 'He ain't here today.'"

In the negative a clear not is used only for special emphasis, as in "You will not do it." In almost all other situations it is reduced to n't, and sometimes this n't, in rapid utterance, shrinks to n or is dropped altogether. Says Dr. E. C. Hills of the University of California:

Usually before a consonant, and regularly before a dental, not becomes merely vocalic n, as in "I didn'(t) do it," "We couldn'(t) stop," and "He hasn'(t) gone." With can, in rapid fluent speech uttered without self-consciousness, not before a consonant tends to disappear completely, so that "I c'n do it" is affirmative, while the negative form is "I can'(t) do it." Some of my friends who are not trained phoneticians insist that they pronounce the

In the negative, ought not has degenerated to oughtna or oughten,

as in "You oughtna (or oughten) do that."

t in "I can'(t) do it," but when they are off their guard I do not hear the t. Moreover, when they say "I can'(t) do it," or even "I can'(t) go tonight," without pronouncing the t, my friends regularly understand the expression to be negative. If one pronounces the can with emphasis and followed perhaps by a slight pause, "I can go tonight" is affirmative. In combination with the y of you, nt becomes nch as in "Haven't you seen it?" "Didn't you do it?" This change, however, does not occur before the initial y of a verb, as in "He didn'(t) yell," in which the t is usually not pronounced at all.1

Dr. Hills, of course, is here discussing a colloquial American lying somewhere between the vulgate and the standard speech, but what he says applies to the vulgate. He should have added that when can is used in the negative it takes the a of its mother, can't (and also of pan, stand, etc.), not the shorter a of ran, etc. Thus there is a phonetic difference between affirmative can and negative can, though they must be written alike. The nch-sound that Dr. Hill mentions has attracted the attention of the begetters of comic-strips. They frequently use can cha for can't you. When to do is used in the negative, the form is almost invariably don't; doesn't is seldom heard. Among Southerners this use of the plural for the singular rises almost to the level of cultured speech. When, a few years ago, a fresh effort to police the national speech habits was begun at Columbia University, the editor of the Petersburg, Va., Progress-Index replied as follows:

One of the expressions listed in the indictment of the savants is he don't, a contraction, of course, of he does not. Here in Virginia many men of the highest education use the phrase habitually. Their ancestors have used it for many generations, and it might be argued with some reason that when the best blood and the best brains of Virginia use an expression for so long a time it becomes correct, regardless of the protests of the professional grammarians.²

According to Menner, the widespread use of the present for the preterite is relatively recent. "In almost all the comic writers of the first half of the [Nineteenth] Century," he says, gin and give are

1 Not in American English, American Speech, Sept., 1927.

2 Oct. 21, 1931. Mark Twain, whose speechways were Southern, often used don't in the singular. For example, in Innocents Abroad, 1869, p. 84: "Sometimes the patient gets well, but as a general thing he don't." Otto Jespersen, in A Modern English Grammar; Heidelberg,

1922, Vol. I, p. 228, says that the use of don't for doesn't "cannot be explained as a simple morphological substitution of one personal form of the verb for another, as do is not similarly substituted for does when not follows." He finds analogues for it in ent (ain't) for in't and wan't for wasn't.

in rivalry as the preterites of to give, but in "Huckleberry Finn" give prevails. He suggests that its rise may be due to the fact that a number of common verbs showing the same vowel, e.g., hit, quit and spit, are unchanged in the preterite. Certainly it is a fact that such verbs are apparently rather more often put into the new historical present in the vulgate than those of any other class. Examples are begin, sit and win. But the other verbs seem to be going the same way, and the vulgar preterite of one of them, sez, i.e., says, appears to be older than give. Charters's material offers many specimens, among them "We help distributed the fruit," "She recognize, hug, and kiss him" and "Her father ask her if she intended doing what he ask"; and Lardner has "If Weaver and them had not of begin kicking" and "They would of knock down the fence." I notice that used, in used to be, is almost always reduced to simple use, as in "It use to be the rule," with the s very much like that of hiss. One seldom, if ever, hears a clear d at the end. Here, of course, the elision of the d is due primarily to assimilation with the t of to-anexample of one form of decay aiding another.

3. THE PRONOUN

The following paradigm shows the usual inflections of the personal pronoun in the American vulgate:

	Firs	t Person	
	Comm	on Gender	
		Singular	Plural
Nominative		I	we
Possessive { Conjoint Absolute		my	our
	igl Absolute	mine	ourn
Objective		me	us
	Seco	nd Person	
	Comm	on Gender	
Nominative		you	yous
Possessive	{ Conjoint { Absolute	your	your
	\ Absolute	yourn	yourn
Objective		you	yous

This substitution of use for used is listed by Henry Harap among The Most Common Grammatical

Errors, English Journal, June, 1930. p. 441.

Third Person Masculine Gender

	Masculi	ne Gender	
Nominative		he	they
Possessive	{ Conjoint { A bsolute	his hisn	their theirn
Objective		him	them
	Femini	ne Gender	
Nominative		she	they
Possessive	{ Conjoint { Absolute	her	their
	\ Absolute	hern	theirn
Objecti ve		her	them
	Neute	r Gender	
Nominative		it	they
Possessive	{ Conjoint { Absolute	its	their
rossessive	\ Absolute	its	theirn
Objective	`	it	them

These inflections are often disregarded in use, but nevertheless it may be profitable to glance at them as they stand. The only variations that they show from Standard English are the substitution of nfor s as the distinguishing mark of the absolute form of the possessive, and the attempt to differentiate between the logical and the merely polite plurals in the second person by adding the usual sign of the plural to the former. The use of n in place of s is not an American innovation. It is found in many of the dialects of English, and is, in fact, historically quite as sound as the use of s. In John Wycliffe's translation of the Bible (c. 1380) the first sentence of the Sermon on the Mount (Mark v, 3) is made: "Blessed be the pore in spirit, for the kyngdam in hevenes is heren." And in his version of Luke xxiv, 24, is this: "And some of ouren wentin to the grave." Here heren (or herun) represents, of course, not the modern hers, but theirs. In Old English the word was heora, and down to Chaucer's day a modified form of it, here, was still used in the possessive plural in place of the modern their, though they had already displaced hie in the nominative.1 But in John Purvey's revision of the Wycliffe Bible, made a few years later, hern actually occurs in II Kings vii, 6, thus: "Restore thou to hir alle things that ben hern." In Old Eng-

by the Scandinavian pronouns which are represented by the modern she, they, them and their." This substitution, at first dialectical, gradually spread to the whole language.

I Henry Bradley, in The Making of English; New York, 1904, pp. 54-5: "In the parts of England which were largely inhabited by Danes the native pronouns (i.e., heo, hie, heom and heora) were supplanted

lish there had been no distinction between the conjoint and absolute forms of the possessive pronoun; the simple genitive sufficed for both uses. But with the decay of that language the surviving remnants of its grammar began to be put to service somewhat recklessly, and there arose a genitive inflection of this genitive — a true double inflection. In the Northern dialects of English that inflection was made by simply adding s, the sign of the possessive. In the Southern dialects the old n-declension was applied, and there appeared such forms as minum and eowrum (mine and yours), from min and eower (my and your). Meanwhile, the original simple genitive, now become youre, also survived, and the literature of the Fourteenth Century shows the three forms flourishing side by side: youre, youres and youren. All of them are in Chaucer.

As for the addition of s to you in the nominative and objective of the second person plural, it exhibits no more than an effort to give clarity to the logical difference between the pure plural and the merely polite plural. Another device to the same end is the familiar dual, you-two, which also appears in the first and second persons, as in we-two, us-two and them-two.² Yet another, confined to the South, is you-all or y'all, which simply means you-jointly as opposed to the you that means thou.³ The substitution of the plural

- I See A New English Grammar, by Henry Sweet; Oxford, 1900, Pt. I, p. 344.
- p. 344.
 There is also a triple, you-three, but beyond that the device begins to fade.
- 3 It is commonly believed in the North that Southerners use you-all in the singular, but this is true, if it is ever true at all, of only the most ignorant of them. The word may be addressed to individuals, but only when they are thought of as representatives of a group. "Have you-all any eggs?" spoken to a storekeeper, means have you and your associates, the store as a group entity, any eggs. This distinction was elucidated at length by the late C. Alphonso Smith in You-All As Used in the South, Uncle Remus's Magazine (Atlanta) July, 1907, reprinted in Kit-Kat (Columbus, O.), Jan., 1920. The literature of the subject is extensive

and full of bitterness. See especially You-All and We-All, by Estelle Rees Morrison, American Speech, Dec., 1926; You-All and We-All Again, by Lowry Axley, the same, May, 1927; You-All, by G. B., the same, Aug., 1927; You-All, by W. Fischer, the same, Sept., 1927; You-All Again, by Estelle Rees Morrison, the same, Oct., 1928; Y'All, by Lowry Axley, the same, Dec., 1928; an anonymous note in the same, Dec., 1928, p. 158; One More Word on You-All, by Lowry Axley, the same, June, 1929; Mr. Axley and You-All, by Herbert B. Bernstein, the same, Dec., 1929; The Truth About You-All, by Bertram H. Brown, American Mercury, May, 1933, p. 116; You-All Again, by W. E. Nesom, American Mercury, June, 1933, p. 248; You-All Once Again, by Alba W. Duke, American Mercury, July, 1933, p. 377. The newspaper literature of

you for the singular thou began in England in the Thirteenth Century, and at the same time analogous substitutions occurred in the other Western European languages. In these languages the true singular survives alongside the debased plural, but English has dropped it entirely, save for poetical and liturgical uses and in a few dialects. It had passed out of ordinary polite speech by Elizabeth's day. By that time, indeed, its use had acquired an air of the offensive, such as it has today, save between intimates or to children, in Germany. Thus, at the trial of Sir Walter Raleigh in 1603, Sir Edward Coke, then Attorney-General, displayed his animosity to Raleigh by addressing him as thou, and finally burst into the contemptuous "I thou thee, thou traitor!" And in "Twelfth Night" Sir Toby Belch urges Sir Andrew Aguecheek to provoke the disguised Viola to combat by thouing her. In our own time, with thou passed out en-

the subject is enormous; I content myself with citing three articles: You-All Again (editorial) Richmond Times-Dispatch, May 24, 1925; Just a Moment, by Loudon Kelly, Denver Rocky Mountain News, Jan. 23, 1933; You-All, by H. L. Mencken, New York American, July 16, 1934. You-All has been traced by various fanciful writers to the French vous tout and to a somewhat analogous Pennsylvania German form. But Dr. Smith showed that it has deep roots in English. Mark Antony's "You all did see upon the Lupercal" will be recalled. According to R. C. Goffin (S.P.E. Tracts, No. XLI, p. 26) you-all is also used by native speakers of English in India. He says that it is there a translation of a Hindustani idiom. In the South who-all and what-all are also common, and in the more remote mountain regions you-uns and we-uns dispute for place with you-all and we-all. See The Plural Forms of You, by E. C. Hills, American Speech, Dec., 1926, p. 133. In the Ozarks, says Vance Randolph in The Grammar of the Ozark Dialect, American Speech, Oct., 1927, p. 6, even us-uns is occasionally encountered.

I Thou was adopted by the Quakers,

c. 1650, precisely because it had a connotation of humility. "This thou and thee," said George Fox in his Journal, 1661, "was a sore cut to proud flesh, and them that sought self-honor; who, though they would say it to God and Christ, would not endure to have it said to themselves. So that we were often beaten and abused and sometimes in danger of our lives for using those words to some proud men, who would say, 'What, you ill-bred clown, do you thou me?" How and when the Quakers came to substitute thee for thou in the nominative has not been established. In all probability the change was effected by the same process that has changed you to y' in y'ought and y'all. The more careful Quakers still use thou in written discourse. But both thou and thee are passing out; save in the Philadelphia area, the younger members of the Society of Friends commonly use you. See The Speech of Plain Friends, by Kate W. Tibbals, American Speech, Jan., 1926; Quaker Thee and Its History, by E. K. Maxfield, the same, Sept., 1926; Quaker Thee and Thou, by E. K. Maxfield, the same, June, 1929.

tirely, even as a pronoun of contempt, the confusion between you in the plural and you in the singular presents plain difficulties to a man of limited linguistic resources. He gets around them by setting up a distinction that is well supported by logic and analogy. "I seen yous" is clearly separated from "I seen you." And in the conjoint position "yous guys" is separated from "you liar."

Of demonstrative pronouns, there are but two in Standard English, this and that, with their plural forms, these and those. To them, vulgar American adds a third, them, which is also the personal pronoun of the third person, objective case. In addition it has adopted certain adverbial pronouns, this-here, these-here, that-there, those-there and them-there, and set up inflections of the original demonstratives by analogy with mine, hisn and yourn, to wit, thisn, thesen, thatn and thosen. I present some examples of everyday use:

Them are the kind I like.
Them men all work here.
Who is this-here Smith I hear about?
These-here are mine.
That-there medicine ain't no good.
Those-there wops has all took to the woods.
I wisht I had one of them-there Fords.
I like thesen better'n thosen.

The demonstratives of the *thisn*-group seem to be composition forms of *this-one*, *that-one*, etc., just as *none* is a composition form of no(t)-one. In every case of their use that I have observed the simple demonstratives might have been set free and one actually substituted for the terminal n. But it must be equally obvious that they have been reinforced very greatly by the absolutes of the *hisn*-group, for in their relation to the original demonstratives they play the part of just such absolutes and are never used conjointly. Thus, one says, in American, "I take *thisn*" or "*Thisn* is mine," but one never says "I take *thisn* hat" or "*Thisn* dog is mine." In this con-

It occurs, too, of course, in other dialects of English, though by no means in all. The Irish influence probably had something to do with its prosperity in vulgar American. At all events, the Irish use it in the American manner. Joyce, in English As We Speak It in Ireland, pp. 34-5, argues that this usage was suggested by Gaelic. In Gaelic the accusative pronouns, e, i and iad

(him, her and them) are often used in place of the nominatives, se, si and siad (he, she and they), as in "Is iad sin na buachaillidhe" (Them are the boys). This is "good grammar" in Gaelic, and the Irish, when they began to learn English, translated the locution literally. The familiar Irish "John is dead and him always so hearty" shows the same influence.

joint situation plain this is always used, and the same rule applies to these, those and that. Them, being a newcomer among the demonstratives, has not yet acquired an inflection in the absolute. I have never heard them'n, and it will probably never come in, for it is forbiddingly clumsy. One says, in American, both "Them are mine" and "Them collars are mine."

This-here, these-here, that-there, those-there and them-there are plainly combinations of pronouns and adverbs, and their function is to support the distinction between proximity, as embodied in this and these, and remoteness, as embodied in that, those and them. "This-here coat is mine" simply means "This coat here, or this present coat is mine." 1 But the adverb promises to coalesce with the pronoun so completely as to obliterate all sense of its distinct existence, even as a false noun or adjective. As commonly pronounced, this-here becomes a single word, somewhat like thish-yur, and thesehere becomes these-yur, and that-there and them-there become thatere and them-ere. Those-there, if I observe accurately, is still pronounced more distinctly, but it, too, may succumb to composition in time. The adverb will then sink to the estate of a mere inflectional particle, as one has done in the absolutes of the thisn-group. Them, as a personal pronoun in the absolute, of course, is commonly pronounced em, as in "I seen em," and sometimes its vowel is almost lost, but this is also the case in all save the most exact spoken English. Sweet and Lounsbury, following certain German grammarians, argue that this em is not really a debased form of them, but the offspring of hem, which survived as the regular plural of the third person in the objective case down to the beginning of the Fifteenth Century. But in American them is clearly pronounced as a demonstrative. I have never heard "em men" or "Em are the kind I like," but always "them men" and "Them are the kind I like." It is possible that them, in this situation, may be a descendant of the Old English thaem (those).

The relative pronouns are declined in the vulgate as follows:

Nominative	who	which	what	that
Possessive	∫ whose	whose		
	whosen	whosen		
Objective	who	which	what	that

The Rev. John Witherspoon, in The Druid, No. VI, May 16, 1781, denounced "This-here report of

that-there committee." He said: "Some merchants, whom I could name, in the English Parliament,

Two things will be noted in this paradigm. First there is the disappearance of whom as the objective form of who, and secondly there is the appearance of an inflected form of whose in the absolute, by analogy with mine, hisn and hern. Whom is fast vanishing from Standard American; 1 in the vulgar language it is virtually extinct. Not only is who used instead in situations where good usage has begun to tolerate it; it is also used in such constructions as "The man who I saw " and " Them who I trust in." George Philip Krapp explains this use of who on the ground that there is a "general feeling," due to the normal word-order in English, that "the word which precedes the verb is the subject word, or at least the subject form.² But this explanation is probably fanciful. Among the plain people no such "general feeling" for case exists. Their only "general feeling" is a prejudice against case inflections in any form whatsoever. They use who in place of whom simply because they can discern no logical difference between the significance of the one and the significance of the other.

"The relative whose," says R. J. Menner, "is a rare word in popular speech. One may listen to conversations for weeks without hearing it." Not infrequently that and a genitive pronoun are substituted for it, as in "He's a fellow that I don't know his name," and sometimes that is omitted, as in "He was a man I never trusted his word." But sometimes whose is used in place of the forbidding whom, especially when a genitive sense is apprehended, e.g., "Bless those whose it's our duty to pray for." In the absolute whosen is sometimes used, as in "If it ain't hisn, then whosen is it?", obviously under the influence of the other absolutes in -n. There is an analo-

whose wealth and not merit raised them to that dignity, use this vulgarism very freely, and expose themselves to abundance of ridicule by so doing."

S. A. Leonard, in Current English Usage, says that "Who are you looking for?" is "established." "The linguists," he says, "rated it higher than did any of the other groups of judges [appointed by the National Council of Teachers of English]; the other groups placed the expression among disputed usages. All the groups save the business men and authors gave

majorities for approval." J. Y. T. Greig, in Breaking Priscian's Head; London, 1929, denounces whom in this situation as "pedantry" and "schoolmarmery." "Every sensible English-speaker on both sides of the Atlantic," he declares, "says "Who were you talking to?' and the sooner we begin to write it the better. Whom is a relic of the bad old days when inflections were cherished for their own sake."

² Modern English; New York, 1910, p. 300.

³ Troublesome Relatives, American Speech, June, 1931.

gous form of which, to wit, whichn, resting heavily on which one. Thus "Whichn do you like?" and "I didn't say whichn" are plainly variations of "Which one do you like?" and "I didn't say which one." That, as we have seen, has a like form, thatn, but never, of course, in the relative situation. "I like thatn" is familiar, but "The one thatn I like" is never heard. If that, as a relative, could be used absolutely, I have no doubt that it would change to thatn, as it does as a demonstrative. So with what. As things stand, what is sometimes substituted for that, as in "Them's the kind what I like." Joined to but it can also take the place of that in other situations, as in "I don't know but what."

The substitution of who for whom in the objective case, just noticed, is typical of a general movement toward breaking down all case distinctions among the pronouns, where they make their last stand in English and its dialects. This movement, of course, is not peculiar to vulgar American; nor is it of recent beginning. So long ago as the Fifteenth Century the old clear distinction between ye, nominative, and you, objective, disappeared, and today the latter is used in both cases. Sweet says that the phonetic similarity between ye and thee, the objective form of the true second singular, was responsible for this confusion. In modern spoken English, indeed, you in the objective often has a sound far more like that of ye than like that of you, as, for example, in "How do y' do?" and in American its vowel takes the neutral form of the e in the definite article, and the word becomes a sort of shortened yeh. But whenever emphasis is laid upon it, you becomes quite distinct, even in American. In "I mean you," for example, there is never any chance of mistaking it for ye. In Shakespeare's time the other personal pronouns of the objective case threatened to follow you into the nominative, and there was a compensatory movement of the nominative pronouns toward the objective. The late T. R. Lounsbury collected many examples.2 Marlowe used "Is it him you seek?", "'Tis her I esteem" and "Nor thee nor them shall want"; Fletcher used "'Tis her I admire"; Shakespeare himself used "That's me." Contrariwise, Webster used "What difference is between the duke and I?" and Greene

cal account of the process in Case-Shiftings in the Pronouns, in Chapters on English, by Otto Jespersen; London, 1918.

I A New English Grammar, Pt. I,

² History of the English Language, revised ed.; New York, 1894, p. 274-5. There is an elaborate histori-

used "Nor earth nor heaven shall part my love and I." Krapp unearthed many similar examples from the Restoration dramatists.¹ Etheredge used "Tis them," "It may be him," "Let you and I" and "Nor is it me"; Matthew Prior, in a famous couplet, achieved this:

For thou art a girl as much brighter than her As he was a poet sublimer than me.

This free exchange, in fact, continued until the Eighteenth Century was well advanced; there are examples of it in Addison. Moreover, it survived, on the colloquial level, even the furious attack that was then made upon it by grammarians, and to this day it's me is in good usage, and most authorities of any sense, if they do not actually defend it, at least condone it.² On the level of the vulgate, it is firmly intrenched. The schoolmarm continues to inveigh against it, but her admonitions go unheeded. Similarly, "us fellas" is so far established that "we fellas" from the mouth of an iceman would seem almost an affectation. So, too, is "Me and her are friends." So, again, are "Her and I set down together," "Him and his wife" and "I knowed it was her." Here are some other characteristic examples of the use of the objective forms in the nominative from Charters, Lardner, Rogers and others:

- r Modern English, before cited, pp. 288-9.
- 2 These authorities include Sayce, Sweet, Ellis, Jespersen and the Fow-lers, and in America, Whitney, Barrett Wendell, Lounsbury and Oliver F. Emerson. Their remarks on the subject are summarized by Wallace Rice in Who's There? -Me, American Speech, Oct., 1933. George H. McKnight, in Modern English in the Making; New York, 1928, pp. 532-33, cites many examples of it's me from modern English writers, including Laurence Housman, May Sinclair, Anne Douglas Sedgwick, Joseph Conrad and St. John Ervine. He also cites examples of it's her from J. Middleton Murry and A. A. Milne, of *it's him* from J. W. Croker, James Stephens and A. S. M. Hutchinson, and of it's us from Hutchinson. The committee of judges appointed in 1926 by the National Council of Teachers of

English approved it's me by a vote of 130 to 91. Rather significantly, the business men on the committee turned out to be far more conservative than the authors, editors, linguists and teachers. They voted against it 18 to 5. In 1921 it was formally approved by the late Edward J. Tobin, then superintendent of schools of Cook county, Ill. (i.e., of Chicago), and in 1926 it got the imprimatur of the College Entrance Examination Board. See American Speech, Dec., 1926, p. 163. The Tobin pronunciamento was discussed all over the country for weeks. The analogous French form, c'est moi, was denounced by Petrus Ramus in his French grammar, 1562. But in a later edition, 1572, he admitted it, saying, "To rob our language of such expressions would be like drawing a sword against all France." See McKnight, cited above, p. 222.

Me and her was both late.
His brother is taller than him.
That little boy was me.
Us girls went home.
They were John and him.
Her and little Al is to stay here.
She says she thinks us and the Allens.
If Weaver and them had not of begin kicking.
Us two'll walk, me and him.
But not me.
Him and his gang.
Him and I are friends.
Me and them are friends.

Here are some grotesque confusions, indeed. Perhaps the best way to get at the principles underlying them is to examine first, not the cases of their occurrence, but the cases of their non-occurrence. Let us begin with the transfer of the objective form to the nominative in the subject relation. "Me and her was both late" is obviously sound American; one hears it, or something like it, on the streets every day. But one never hears "Me was late" or "Her was late" or "Us was late" or "Him was late" or "Them was late." Again, one hears "Us girls was there" but never "Us was there." Yet again, one hears "Her and John was married" but never "Her was married." The distinction here set up should be immediately plain. It exactly parallels that between her and hern, our and ourn, their and theirn: the tendency, as Sweet says, is "to merge the distinction of nominative and objective in that of conjoint and absolute." 1 The nominative, in the subject relation, takes the usual nominative form only when it is in immediate contact with its verb. If it be separated from its verb by a conjunction or any other part of speech, even including another pronoun, it takes the objective form. Thus "Me went home" would strike even the most ignorant shopgirl as "bad grammar," but she would use "me and my friend went" or "me and him" or "me and them" without the slightest hesitation. What is more, if the separation be effected by a conjunction and another pronoun, the other pronoun also changes to the objective form, even though its contact with the verb may be immediate. Thus one hears "Me and her was there," not "me and she"; "Her and him kissed," not "her and he." Still more, this second pronoun commonly undergoes the same inflection even when the first member of the

¹ A New English Grammar, Pt. I,

p. 341.

group is not another pronoun, but a noun. Thus one hears "John and her was married," not "John and she." To this rule there is but one exception, and that is in the case of the first person pronoun, especially in the singular. "Him and me are friends" is heard often, but "Him and I are friends" is also heard. I seems to suggest the subject powerfully, and is the actual subject of perhaps a majority of the sentences uttered by an ignorant man. At all events, it resists the rule, at least partially, and may even do so when separated from the verb by another pronoun, itself in the objective form, as, for example, in "I and him were there."

In the predicate relation the pronouns respond to a more complex regulation. "I seen he" or "He kissed she" or "He struck I" would seem as ridiculous to an ignorant American as to the Archbishop of Canterbury, and his instinct for simplicity and regularity naturally tends to make him reduce all similar expressions, or what seem to him to be similar expressions, to coincidence with the more seemly "I seen him." I incline to think that it is some such subconscious logic, and not the analogy of "It is he," as Sweet argues, that has brought "It is me" to conversational respectability, even among rather careful speakers of English.1 In compensation for this use of the objective form in the nominative position there occurs in vulgar American a use of the nominative form in the objective position, as in "She gave it to mother and I," "She took all of we children" and "Anything she has is O.K. for I and Florrie," all borrowed from Lardner.2 What lies at the bottom of this seems to be a feeling somewhat resembling that which causes the use of the objective

- It may be worth noting that the archaic misuse of me for my, as in "I lit me pipe," is almost unknown in American, either standard or vulgar, though a correspondent in Philadelphia tells me that it is a localism in that city, and is sometimes used by elderly persons of Irish birth. Even "me own" is seldom heard. This survival of the Middle English pronunciation of mi (my) is very common in England.
- 2 The writers of popular songs supply many examples. Sigmund Spaeth, in Stabilizing the Language Through Popular Songs, New Yorker, July 7, 1934, cites "Re-

member I was once a girl like she," "A sweet slice of Heaven for just you and I," and "Twas foolish for we two to fight." In 1924 one Gehring, running for Congress in New York City, circulated a card reading "He thinks like you and I." On June 25, 1925, the Los Angeles Examiner printed on its first page a head reading "Silva Says Killing Prompted By Insults at He and Buddy." Sometimes there is a double exchange in case-forms, as in a speech heard by a correspondent in Wyoming: "Between I and you, him and her drinks too much."

form before the verb, but exactly contrary in its effects. That is to say, the nominative form is used when the pronoun is separated from its governing verb, whether by a noun, a noun-phrase or another pronoun, as in "She gave it to mother and I," "She took all of we children" and "He paid her and I," respectively. But here usage is far from fixed, and one observes variations in both directions - that is, toward using the correct objective when the pronoun is detached from the verb, and toward using the nominative even when it directly follows the verb. "She gave it to mother and me," "She took all of us children" and "He paid her and me" would probably sound quite as correct, to a Knight of Pythias, as the forms just given. And at the other end Charters and Lardner report such forms as "I want you to meet he and I" and "It is going to cost me \$6 a week for a room for she and the baby." I have noticed, however, that the use of the nominative is chiefly confined to the pronoun of the first person, and particularly to its singular. Here again we have an example of the powerful way in which I asserts itself. And superimposed upon that influence is a cause mentioned by Sweet in discussing "between you and I." It is a sort of by-product of the pedagogical war upon "It is me." "As such expressions," he says, "are still denounced by the grammars, many people try to avoid them in speech as well as in writing. The result of this reaction is that the me in such constructions as 'between John and me' and 'he saw John and me' sounds vulgar and ungrammatical, and is consequently corrected into I." Here the schoolmarm, seeking to impose an inelastic and illogical grammar upon a living speech, succeeds only in corrupting it still more.

Following than and as the American uses the objective form of the pronoun, as in "He is taller than me" and "such as her." He also uses it following like, but not when, as often happens, he uses the word in place of as or as if. Thus he says "Do it like him," but "Do it like he does" and "She looks like she was sick." What appears here is apparently an instinctive feeling that these words, followed by a pronoun only, are not adverbs, but prepositions, and that they should have the same power to put the pronoun into an oblique case that other prepositions have. Just as "the taller of we" would sound absurd to all of us, so "taller than he," to the unschooled American,

¹ A New English Grammar, Pt. I, p. 341.

sounds absurd. This feeling has a good deal of respectable support. "As her" was used by Swift, "than me" by Burke and "than whom" by Milton. The brothers Fowler show that, in some cases, "than him" is grammatically correct and logically necessary.¹ For example, compare "I love you more than him" and "I love you more than he." The first means "I love you more than (I love) him"; the second, "I love you more than he (loves you)." In the first him does not refer to I, which is nominative, but to you, which is objective, and so it is properly objective also. But the American, of course, uses him even when the preceding noun is in the nominative, save only when another verb follows the pronoun. Thus he says "I love you better than him," but "I love you better than he does."

In the matter of the reflexive pronouns the American vulgate exhibits forms which plainly show that it is the spirit of the language to regard self, not as an adjective, which it is historically, but as a noun. This confusion goes back to Old English days; it originated at a time when both the adjectives and the nouns were losing their old inflections. Such forms as Petrussylf (Peter's self), Cristsylf (Christ's self) and Icsylf (I, self) then came into use, and along with them came combinations of self and the genitive, still surviving in vulgar American in hisself and theirselves (or theirself). Down to the Sixteenth Century these forms remained in perfectly good usage. "Each for hisself," for example, was written by Sir Philip Sidney, and is to be found in the dramatists of the time, though modern editors always change it to himself. How the dative pronoun got itself fastened upon self in the third person masculine and neuter is one of the mysteries of language, but there it is, and so, against all logic, history and grammatical regularity, himself, themselves and itself (not its-self) are in favor today. But the American, as usual, inclines against these illogical exceptions to the rule set by myself. I constantly hear hisself and theirselves, as in "He done it hisself" and "They know theirselves." Also, the emphatic own is often inserted between the pronoun and the noun, as in "Let every man save their own self." In general the American vulgate makes very extensive use of the reflexive. It is constantly thrown in for good measure, as in "I overeat myself" and it is as constantly used singly, as in "self and wife."

¹ The King's English, 2nd ed.; Oxford, 1908, p. 63.

The American pronoun does not necessarily agree with its noun in number. I find "I can tell each one what they make," "Each fellow put their foot on the line," "Nobody can do what they like" and "She was one of these kind of people" in Charters, and "I am not the kind of man that is always thinking about their record" and "If he was to hit a man in the head . . . they would think their nose tickled" in Lardner. At the bottom of this error there is a real difficulty: the lack of a pronoun of the true common gender in English, corresponding to the French soi and son.2 His, after a noun or pronoun connoting both sexes, often sounds inept, and his-or-her is intolerably clumsy. Thus the inaccurate plural is often substituted. The brothers Fowler have discovered "Anybody else who have only themselves in view" in Richardson, and "Everybody is discontented with their lot" in Disraeli, and Ruskin once wrote "If a customer wishes you to injure their foot." I find two examples in a single paragraph of an article by Associate Justice George B. Ethridge of the Supreme Court of Mississippi: "We should keep it possible for anyone to correct their errors" and "No person can be happy in life if they"; * and another in a war speech by Woodrow Wilson: "No man or woman can hesitate to give what they have." 4 In the lower reaches of the language the plural is used with complete inno-

- I Here, of course, kind is probably felt to be plural. Those is used in the same way, as in "Those are the kind."
- 2 In 1858 Charles Crozat Converse of Erie, Pa., proposed thon for he-orshe and thon's for his-or-her, but though both are listed in Webster's New International Dictionary, 1934, they have made no progress. See English, Jan., 1920, p. 262. Thon is an old Northern English word signifying yonder, now sunk into dialect. The late Ella Flagg Young, the first woman president of the National Education Association, favored hiser and himer, and tried to induce the association to approve them, c. 1910. Mr. James F. Morton of Paterson, N. J., has proposed hesh for he-and-she, and some one else has proposed heer for him-and-her. Mr. Lincoln King of Primghar, Iowa, advocates ha, hez and hem in the nominative, genitive and objective respectively. Another re-

former, this time anonymous (The Post Impressionist, Washington Post, Aug. 20, 1935) proposes bes, bir and hem. In Thought and Language; London, 1934, p. 7, P. B. Ballard tells of a female revolutionist in England who complained that "while the masculine personal pronoun had three distinct forms, he, bis and him, for the separate cases of the singular, the feminine pronoun had only two, she and her," and "suggested as a remedy for this gross piece of injustice that the feminine pronoun should be declined she, shis and shim." English, of course, also suffers from the lack of a word corresponding to the German geschwister, meaning brothers and/or sisters. The biologists use siblings, but it has not come into general use.

3 Congressional Record, Feb. 27, 1935, p. 2784.

4 The speech was made in New York City, Sept. 27, 1918.

cence, and such forms as "Everybody knows their way," "Some-body has gotten theirs," "Nobody could help themselves" and "A person ought never take what ain't theirn" are common.

In demotic American the pedantry which preserves such forms as someone's else is always disregarded; someone else's is invariably used. "I have heard "Who else's wife was there?" and "If it ain't his'n, it ain't nobody here else's." I note, too, that he's seems to be assimilating with his. In such sentences as "I hear he's coming here to work," the sound of he's is already almost that of his. Finally, there is a curious substitution of the simple personal pronoun for the genitive among the Negroes of the South, noted by George O. Curme.¹ Examples are in "He roll he eyeballs" and "Who dog is it?" But this substitution is not encountered in the general vulgate.

4. THE NOUN

The only inflections of the noun remaining in English are those for number and for the genitive, and so it is in these two regions that the few variations to be noted in vulgar American occur. The rule that, in forming the plurals of compound nouns or noun-phrases, the -s shall be attached to the principal noun is commonly disregarded, and it goes at the end. Thus, "I have two sons-in-law" is never heard among the plain people; one always hears "I have two son-in-laws." So with the genitive. I once overheard this: "That umbrella is the young lady I go with's." Often a false singular is formed from a singular ending in s, the latter being mistaken for a plural. Chinee, Portugee and Japanee are familiar: I have also encountered trapee, specie, *tactic * and summon (from trapeze, species, tactics and summons). A correspondent of American Speech once reported hearing calv and hoov as singulars in Nebraska, and Dr. Louise Pound has encountered corp and appendic in the same great

Parts of Speech and Accidence; Boston, 1935, p. 47.

Boston, 1935, p. 47.

The history of such forms is recounted in The English Group Genitive, by Otto Jespersen, printed in his Chapters On English; London, 1918.

3 This occasionally gets into print. See South American Travels, by Henry Stephens; New York, 1915, p. 114. It is also used by Ezra Pound in his translation of Remy de Gourmont's The Natural Philosophy of Love: New York, 1922.

Love; New York, 1922.

4 "The tactic in Japan has always been," etc. Law and Order in Japan, by Harry F. Ward, New York Nation, Sept. 9, 1925, p. 289.

5 Folk-Etymological Singulars, by Wilbur Gaffney, Dec., 1927, p. 130.

State.1 In the mountains along the Tennessee-North Carolina border chee is the singular of cheese,2 and in the Ozarks likewise cheese is treated as a plural, though it apparently has no singular. Molasses, too, according to Vance Randolph, is considered a plural in the Ozarks, and both there and in North Carolina license is its own plural.3 Throughout the South the Primitive Baptists use Baptist (pronounced Baptiz) as both singular and plural.4 On at least one occasion a Texas Congressman referred to a fellow member of the House as "a Knights of Columbus," 5 and I believe that this usage is not uncommon among the Catholic proletariat. I have also encountered intelligentsia in the singular, but here, of course, we go beyond the bounds of the vulgate. Dr. Pound has called attention to the facility with which plural nouns are treated as singulars, e.g., woods, grounds, stairs, stockyards, as in "The party reached a picnic grounds" and "We passed a stockyards." Incidence, in my observation, is commonly misused for incident, as in "He told an incidence." Here incidence (or incident) seems to be regarded as a synonym, not for happening, but for story. The general disregard of number often shows itself when the noun is used as object. I have already quoted Lardner's "Some of the men has brung their wife along"; in a popular magazine I lately encountered "Those book ethnologists . . . can't see what is before their nose." The common indicators of quantity seldom add s for the plural in the vulgate. Especially when preceded by a numeral, such words as mile, bushel, dozen, pound, pair, foot, inch, gallon and peck retain their singular form.

1 Some Singular-Plural Forms, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. I, 1913, p. 48.

2 Dialect Notes, Vol. I, Pt. VIII,

1895; p. 376.

3 For this headline from the Oxford Public Ledger, Jan. 15, 1934, I am indebted to Mrs. B. K. Hays of Oxford: "Hunting License Bring in \$85,000." *License* appeared as a plural in a syndicated cartoon by J. N. Darling (Ding), Feb. 4, 1936.

4 The following admonition is from the Baptist and Commoner (Little Rock, Ark.), Jan. 2, 1928: "Will the brethren never learn that when more than one Baptist is meant they should say Baptists, not Baptist. Over and over again they write like this: 'The Baptist believe,' or 'The Baptist in these parts,' etc. Which one of the Baptists do you mean, brother, when you say the Baptist? You never hear any one saying the Methodist believe and the Methodist in these parts. When they mean more than one Methodist they say Methodists. Why, then, say Baptist when you mean Baptists, that is, more than one? "

5 Mr. Blanton, Congressional Record,

April 3, 1935, p. 5103. 6 Her World, by Lucile, San Francisco News, April 1, 1924.

5. THE ADJECTIVE

The adjectives in English are inflected only for comparison, and the American commonly uses them correctly, with now and then a double comparative or superlative to ease his soul. More better is the commonest of these. It has a good deal of support in logic. A sick man is reported today to be better. Tomorrow he is further improved. Is he to be reported better again, or best? The standard language gets around the difficulty by using still better. The American vulgate boldly employs more better. In the case of worse, worser is used, as Charters shows. He also reports baddest, more queerer and beautifullest, and from the Ozarks Vance Randolph reports most Almighty God. The American of the folk freely compares adjectives that are incapable of the inflection logically. Charters reports most principal, and I myself have heard uniquer and even more uniquer, as in "I have never saw nothing more uniquer." I have also heard more ultra, more worse, idealer, liver (that is, more energetic, more alive), perfectest, and wellest, as in "He was the wellest man you ever seen." 2 In general, the -er and -est terminations are used instead of the more and most prefixes, as in beautiful, beautifuller, beautifullest. The fact that the comparative relates to two and the superlative to more than two is almost always forgotten. I have never heard "the better of the two," in the popular speech, but always "the best of the two." Charters also reports "the hardest of the two" and "My brother and I measured and he was the tallest." "It ain't so worse" is in common use. Superlatives are sometimes made from present participles, e.g., fightingest. Vance Randolph reports shootingest and dancingest from the Ozarks, and Dr. Louise Pound has dredged kissingest, leakingest, goingest, laughingest and high-steppingest from the general speech.8 She adds onliest, orphanest, womanishest, lunatickest, spindliest, unjustest, outlandishest and allrightest, and the comparative pathetiker.

Adjectives are made much less rapidly in American than either substantives or verbs. The only suffix that seems to be in general use

The Grammar of the Ozark Dialect, American Speech, Oct., 1927, p. 8.

p. 8.
2 To which, perhaps, may be added furtherest, which appeared in a

Chicago dispatch on the first page of the San Francisco Chronicle, Feb. 2, 1922.

³ Notes on the Vernacular, American Mercury, Oct., 1924, p. 235.

for that purpose is -y, as in tony, classy, hefty, daffy, nutty, ritzy, dinky, snappy,1 leery, etc. The use of the adjectival prefix supertends to be confined to the more sophisticated classes; the plain people seldom use it.2 This relative paucity of adjectives appears to be common to the more primitive varieties of speech. E. C. Hills, in his elaborate study of the vocabulary of a child of two,3 found that it contained but 23 descriptive adjectives, of which six were the names of colors, as against 50 verbs and 173 common nouns. Moreover, most of the 23 minus six were adjectives of all work, such as nasty, funny and nice. Colloquial American uses the same rubberstamps of speech. Funny connotes the whole range of the unusual; hard indicates every shade of difficulty; nice is everything satisfactory; wonderful is a superlative of almost limitless scope. The decay of one to a vague n-sound, as in this'n, is matched by a decay of than after comparatives. Earlier than is seldom if ever heard; composition reduces the two words to earlier'n. So with better'n, faster'n, hotter'n, deader'n, etc. Once I overheard the following dialogue: "I like a belt more looser'n what this one is." "Well, then, why don't you unloosen it more'n you got it unloosened?" That decay of the -ed termination which has substituted damn for damned has also clipped many other adjectives, e.g., high-toned. I never hear "a high-toned man"; it is always high-tone.

6. THE ADVERB

All the adverbial endings in English, save -ly, have gradually fallen into decay; it is the only one that is ever used to form new adverbs. At earlier stages of the language various other endings were used, and some of them survive in a few old words, though they are no longer employed in making new ones. The Old English endings were -e and -lice. The latter was, at first, merely an -e-ending to

various dictionaries, including two German ones and one Italian one. 2 See Vogue Affixes in Present-Day Word-Coinage, by Louise Pound, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. I, 1918.
3 The Speech of a Child Two Years of Age, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. II, 1914.

see Nifty, Hefty, Natty, Snappy, by Klara H. Collitz, American Speech, Dec., 1927, and Observations on Nifty, Hefty, Natty, Snappy, by Henry J. Heck, the same, Oct., 1928. Mrs. Collitz tries to determine the etymology of the words she discusses, and Mr. Heck shows how they are defined in

adjectives in -lic, but after a time it attained to independence and was attached to adjectives not ending in -lic. In Middle English this -lice changed to -li and -ly. Meanwhile, the -e-ending, following the -e-endings of the nouns, adjectives and verbs, ceased to be pronounced, and so it gradually fell away. Thus a good many adverbs came to be indistinguishable from their ancestral adjectives, for example, hard in to pull hard, loud in to speak loud, and deep in to bury deep (Old English, deop-e). Worse, not a few adverbs actually became adjectives, for example, wide, which was originally the Old English adjective wid (wide) with the adverbial -e-ending, and late, which was originally the Old English adjective læt (slow) with the same ending.

The result of this movement toward identity in form was a confusion between the two classes of words, and from the time of Chaucer down to the Eighteenth Century one finds innumerable instances of the use of the simple adjective as an adverb. "He will answer trewe" is in Sir Thomas More; "and soft unto himself he sayd" in Chaucer; "the singers sang loud" in the Authorized Version of the Bible (Nehemiah xII, 42), and "indifferent well" in Shakespeare. Even after the purists of the Eighteenth Century began their corrective work this confusion continued. Thus one finds "The people are miserable poor" in Hume, "How unworthy you treated mankind" in the Spectator, and "wonderful silly" in Joseph Butler. To this day the grammarians battle against the amalgamation, still without complete success; every new volume of rules and regulations for those who would speak by the book is full of warnings against it. Among the great masses of the plain people, it goes without saying, it flourishes unimpeded. The cautions of the schoolmarm, in a matter so subtle and so plainly lacking in logic or necessary, are forgotten as quickly as her prohibition of the double negative, and thereafter the adjective and the adverb tend more and more to coalesce in a part of speech which serves the purposes of both, and is simple and intelligible and satisfying.

Charters gives a number of characteristic examples of its use: "wounded very bad," "I sure was stiff," "drank out of a cup easy," "He looked up quick." Many more are in Lardner: "a chance to see me work regular," "I am glad I was lucky enough to marry happy," "I beat them easy," and so on. And others fall upon the ear every day: "He done it proper," "He done himself proud," "They

landed safe," "She drove careless," "They didn't know no different," "She was dressed neat," "She was awful ugly," "The horse ran O.K.," "It near finished him," "It sells quick," "I like it fine," "He et hoggish," "Everyone will be treated fair," "She acted mean," "He loved her something fierce," "They keep company steady," not to forget "Don't take it serious," which appeared some years ago in a song crooned by the once celebrated Rudy Vallée. The bob-tailed adverb, indeed, enters into a large number of the commonest coins of speech, and in many situations is perfectly "correct," though pedants may denounce it. On the level of the vulgate there is an almost incomplete incapacity to distinguish any useful difference between adverb and adjective, and beneath it, perhaps, lies the similar incapacity to distinguish between the grammatical effects and relations of the common verb of being and those of any other verb. If "It is bad" is correct, then why should "It leaks bad" be incorrect? It is just this disdain of purely grammatical reasons that is at the bottom of most of the phenomena visible in vulgar American, and the same impulse is observable in all other languages during periods of inflectional decay. During the highly inflected stage of a language the parts of speech are sharply distinct, but when inflections fall off they tend to disappear. The adverb, being at best the step-child of grammar - as the old Latin grammarians used to say, Omnis pars orationis migrat in adverbium - is one of the chief victims of this anarchy. John Horne Tooke, despairing of bringing it to any order, even in the most careful English, called it, in his "Diversions of Purley," "the common sink and repository of all heterogeneous and unknown corruptions."

Where an obvious logical or lexical distinction has grown up between an adverb and its primary adjective the unschooled American is very careful to give it its terminal -ly. For example, he seldom confuses hard and hardly, scarce and scarcely, real and really. These words convey different ideas. Hard means unyielding; hardly means barely. Scarce means present only in small numbers; scarcely is substantially synonymous with hardly. Real means genuine; really is an

I The case for it is stated with great eloquence by Wallace Rice in Go Slow — Proceed Slowly, American Speech, Sept., 1927. He cites a number of impeccable authorities in support of it. They agree, he shows, that the shortened form is usually

good idiom whenever the adverb is stressed. "He is dying slowly" is sound, but so too is "How slow he dies." Thus go slow is justified, and so is get-rich-quick. Get-rich-quickly would sound feeble and banal.

assurance of veracity. So, again, with late and lately. Thus, an American says "I don't know, scarcely," not "I don't know, scarce"; "He died lately," not "He died late." 1 But in nearly all such cases syntax is the preservative, not grammar. These adverbs seem to keep their tails largely because they are commonly put before and not after verbs, as in, for example, "I hardly (or scarcely) know," and "I really mean it." Many other adverbs that take that position habitually are saved as well, for example, generally, usually, surely, certainly. But when they follow verbs they often succumb, as in "I'll do it sure," and when they appear in front of adjectives they usually succumb, too, as in "It was sure hot" and "I will write real soon." 2 Practically all the adverbs made of verbs in -y lose the terminal -ly and thus become identical with their adjectives. I have never heard mightily used; it is always mighty, as in "He hit him mighty hard." So with filthy, dirty, nasty, lowly, naughty and their cognates. One hears "He acted dirty," "He spoke nasty," "The child behaved naughty," and so on. Here even Standard English has had to make concessions to euphony. Cleanlily is seldom used; cleanly nearly always takes its place. And the use of illy and thusly is confined to the half educated.8

Vulgar American, like all the higher forms of American and all save the most precise form of written English, has abandoned the old inflections of here, there and where, to wit, hither and hence,

for "here lately." But it is obviously derived from "here of late." The use of real, as in real nice, real smart, real good, etc., is an exception. But the American Legionary distinguishes between real nice and really true. He never says, "I real seen him."

² That there is logical and historical justification for this is demonstrated by Robert C. Pooley in Real and Sure as Adverbs, American Speech, Feb., 1933. "No one," says Mr. Pooley, "ever says 'I will write really soon.' We may say 'I will write soon, really," or 'I will really write soon,' but never 'I will write really soon.' It simply isn't English, grammar and grammarians notwithstanding."

3 Dr. Josiah Combs reports that

in the Southern mountains -ly is sometimes added to adverbs which lack it in Standard English, e.g., ever, as in "It has everly been the custom." But he adds: "This usage is rare, and is confined usually to Primitive Baptist syntax, when the preacher strikes an attitude, and attempts to place his language on stilts." See Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. IV, 1916, p. 288. In another paper (Language of the Southern Highlands, Publications of the Modern Language Association, Dec., 1931), Combs reports the use of adverbs as adjectives, as in "I'm as gaily as a girl" and "He feels weakly." This, of course, is nothing new in English: poorly has been used as an adjective, according to the Oxford Dictionary, since the Sixteenth Century.

thither and thence, whither and whence. These fossil remains of dead cases are fast disappearing from the language. In the case of hither (to here) even the preposition has been abandoned. One says, not "I came to here," but simply "I came here." In the case of hence, however, from here is still used, and so with from there and from where. Finally, it goes without saying that the common American tendency to add s to such adverbs as towards is carried to full length in the vulgar language. One constantly hears, not only somewheres and forwards, but even noways and anyways, where'bouts and here'bouts. Here we have but one more example of the movement toward uniformity and simplicity. Anyways is obviously fully supported by sideways and always. As for the dropping of the a of about in here'bouts and where'bouts, it is supported by the analogous dropping of the al in almost, when the word precedes all, anyone or everybody. One seldom hears "Almost anyone can do that"; the common form is "most anyone."1

7. THE DOUBLE NEGATIVE

In Vulgar American the double negative is so freely used that the simple negative appears to be almost abandoned. Such phrases as "I see nobody," "I could hardly walk," "I know nothing about it" are heard so seldom among the masses of the people that they appear to be affectations when encountered; the well-nigh universal forms are "I don't see nobody," "I couldn't hardly walk," and "I don't know nothing about it." Charters lists some very typical examples, among them, "He ain't never coming back no more," "You don't care for nobody but yourself," "Couldn't be no more happier" and "I can't see nothing." In Lardner there are innumerable others: "They was not no team," "I have not never thought of that," "I can't write no more," "No chance to get no money from nowhere," "We can't have nothing to do," and so on. Some of his specimens show a considerable complexity, for example, "Matthewson was not only going as far as the coast," meaning, as the context shows, that he was going as far as the coast and no farther. Many other curious specimens are in my collectanea, among them: "One swaller

¹ See Grammar and Usage in Textbooks on English, by Robert C. Pooley; Madison, Wis., 1933, p. 136.

don't make no Summer," "I never seen nothing I would of rather saw," and "Once a child gets burnt once it won't never stick its hand in no fire no more," and so on. The last embodies a triple negative. In "You don't know nobody what don't want nobody to do nothing for 'em, do you? there is a quadruplet, and in "I ain't never done no dirt of no kind to nobody," reported from the Ozarks by Vance Randolph, there is a quintuplet.

Like most other examples of "bad grammar" encountered in American, the compound negative is of great antiquity and was once quite respectable. The student of Old English encounters it constantly. In that language the negative of the verb was formed by prefixing a particle, ne. Thus, singan (to sing) became ne singan (not to sing). In case the verb began with a vowel the ne dropped its e and was combined with the verb; in case it began with an \hat{b} or a w followed by a vowel, the h or w of the verb and the e of ne were both dropped, as in næfth (has not), from ne-hæfth (not has), and nolde (would not), from ne-wolde. Finally, in case the vowel following a w was i, it changed to y, as in nyste (knew not), from ne-wiste. But inasmuch as Old English was a fully inflected language the inflections for the negative did not stop with the verbs; the indefinite article, the indefinite pronoun and even some of the nouns were also inflected, and survivors of those forms appear to this day in such words as none and nothing. Moreover, when an actual inflection was impossible it was the practice to insert this ne before a word, in the sense of our no or not. Still more, it came to be the practice to reinforce ne, before a vowel, with na (not) or naht (nothing), which later degenerated to nat and not. As a result, there were fearful and wonderful combinations of negatives, some of them fully matching the best efforts of Lardner's baseball players. Sweet gives several curious examples.1 "Nan ne dorste nan thing ascian," translated literally, becomes "No one dares not ask nothing." "Thæt hus na ne feoll" becomes "The house did not fall not." As for the Middle English "He never nadde nothing," it has too modern and familiar a ring to need translating at all. Chaucer, at the beginning of the period of transition to Modern English, used the double negative with the utmost freedom. In the prologue to "The Knight's Tale" is this:

¹ A New English Grammar, Pt. I, pp. 437-8.

thither and thence, whither and whence. These fossil remains of dead cases are fast disappearing from the language. In the case of hither (to here) even the preposition has been abandoned. One says, not "I came to here," but simply "I came here." In the case of hence, however, from here is still used, and so with from there and from where. Finally, it goes without saying that the common American tendency to add s to such adverbs as towards is carried to full length in the vulgar language. One constantly hears, not only somewheres and forwards, but even noways and anyways, where'houts and here'bouts. Here we have but one more example of the movement toward uniformity and simplicity. Anyways is obviously fully supported by sideways and always. As for the dropping of the a of about in here'bouts and where'bouts, it is supported by the analogous dropping of the al in almost, when the word precedes all, anyone or everybody. One seldom hears "Almost anyone can do that"; the common form is "most anyone." 1

7. THE DOUBLE NEGATIVE

In Vulgar American the double negative is so freely used that the simple negative appears to be almost abandoned. Such phrases as "I see nobody," "I could hardly walk," "I know nothing about it" are heard so seldom among the masses of the people that they appear to be affectations when encountered; the well-nigh universal forms are "I don't see nobody," "I couldn't hardly walk," and "I don't know nothing about it." Charters lists some very typical examples, among them, "He ain't never coming back no more," "You don't care for nobody but yourself," "Couldn't be no more happier" and "I can't see nothing." In Lardner there are innumerable others: "They was not no team," "I have not never thought of that," "I can't write no more," "No chance to get no money from nowhere," "We can't have nothing to do," and so on. Some of his specimens show a considerable complexity, for example, "Matthewson was not only going as far as the coast," meaning, as the context shows. that he was going as far as the coast and no farther. Many other curious specimens are in my collectanea, among them: "One swaller

¹ See Grammar and Usage in Textbooks on English, by Robert C. Pooley; Madison, Wis., 1933, p. 136.

don't make no Summer," "I never seen nothing I would of rather saw," and "Once a child gets burnt once it won't never stick its hand in no fire no more," and so on. The last embodies a triple negative. In "You don't know nobody what don't want nobody to do nothing for 'em, do you? there is a quadruplet, and in "I ain't never done no dirt of no kind to nobody," reported from the Ozarks by Vance Randolph, there is a quintuplet.

Like most other examples of "bad grammar" encountered in American, the compound negative is of great antiquity and was once quite respectable. The student of Old English encounters it constantly. In that language the negative of the verb was formed by prefixing a particle, ne. Thus, singan (to sing) became ne singan (not to sing). In case the verb began with a vowel the ne dropped its e and was combined with the verb; in case it began with an \hat{b} or a w followed by a vowel, the h or w of the verb and the e of ne were both dropped, as in næfth (has not), from ne-hæfth (not has), and nolde (would not), from ne-wolde. Finally, in case the vowel following a w was i, it changed to y, as in nyste (knew not), from ne-wiste. But inasmuch as Old English was a fully inflected language the inflections for the negative did not stop with the verbs; the indefinite article, the indefinite pronoun and even some of the nouns were also inflected, and survivors of those forms appear to this day in such words as none and nothing. Moreover, when an actual inflection was impossible it was the practice to insert this ne before a word, in the sense of our no or not. Still more, it came to be the practice to reinforce ne, before a vowel, with na (not) or naht (nothing), which later degenerated to nat and not. As a result, there were fearful and wonderful combinations of negatives, some of them fully matching the best efforts of Lardner's baseball players. Sweet gives several curious examples.1 "Nan ne dorste nan thing ascian," translated literally, becomes "No one dares not ask nothing." "Thæt hus na ne feoll" becomes "The house did not fall not." As for the Middle English "He never nadde nothing," it has too modern and familiar a ring to need translating at all. Chaucer, at the beginning of the period of transition to Modern English, used the double negative with the utmost freedom. In the prologue to "The Knight's Tale" is this:

r A New English Grammar, Pt. I, pp. 437-8.

Ne nevere yet no vileynye ne sayde In al his lyf unto no maner wight.

By the time of Shakespeare this license was already much restricted, but a good many double negatives are nevertheless to be found in his plays, and he was particularly shaky in the use of nor. In "Richard III" one finds "I never was nor never will be"; in "Measure for Measure," "Harp not on that nor do not banish treason"; and in "Romeo and Juliet," "I will not budge for no man's pleasure." Most of these have been expunged by ticklish editors, but the double negative continues to flourish, not only in the vulgar speech but also on higher levels. I turn to the Congressional Record and at once find "without hardly the batting of an eye." 1 Indeed, even such careful writers of English as T. H. Huxley, Robert Louis Stevenson and Leslie Stephen have occasionally succumbed.2 The double negative is perfectly allowable in the Romance languages, and now and then some anarchistic English grammarian boldly defends and even advocates it. A long time ago a writer in the London Review a argued that its abandonment had worked "great injury to strength of expression." Obviously, "I won't take nothing" is stronger than either "I will take nothing" or "I won't take anything." And equally without doubt there is a picturesque charm, if not really any extra vigor in the vulgar American "He ain't only got but one leg," "I ain't scarcely got practically nothing," "She never goes hardly nowhere," "Time is what we ain't got nothing but" and "Ain't nobody there," the last, of course, being understood to mean "There is no one there." "I wouldn't be surprised if it didn't rain" is almost Standard American. So is the somewhat equivocal form represented by "I have never been able to find but a single copy." 4 In the Southern mountains the double negative flourishes lushly. Here are some specimens submitted to a candid world by Dr. Iosiah Combs: 5

He ain't got nary none.

Forch-on [i.e., educated] preachers ain't never a-goin' to do nothin' nohow. I hain't never seen no men-folks of no kind do no washin' [of clothes].

1 Mr. Withrow of Wisconsin, March 28, 1935, p. 4881.

sional Record, but from Noah Webster's Dissertations on the English Language; Boston, 1789, Pt. II, p. 150.

5 Old, Early and Elizabethan English, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. IV, 1916, p. 284.

<sup>For some examples see The King's English, by H. W. and F. G. Fowler, 2nd ed.; Oxford, 1908, p. 321 ff.
Oct. 1, 1864.</sup>

⁴ I take this, not from the Congres-

To which may be added the title of a once-popular song: "I ain't never done nothing to nobody no time." And the following contribution by Will Rogers: "Neither don't put anybody to work." And the inquiry of a storekeeper in Washington county, Virginia, supplied by Mr. Carl Zeisberg, of Glenside, Pa.: "There wouldn't be nothing I couldn't show you, you don't think?" Says Mr. Zeisberg: "I think I know the reason for these complex negatives: their genesis lies in an innate consideration for the customer's wishes, an excessive timidity."

8. OTHER SYNTACTICAL PECULIARITIES

"Language begins," says Sayce, "with sentences, not with single words." In a speech in process of rapid development, unrestrained by critical analysis, the tendency to sacrifice the integrity of words to the needs of the complete sentence is especially marked. One finds it clearly in vulgar American. Already we have examined various assimilation and composition forms: that'n, use'to, woulda, them'ere, and so on. Many others are observable. Off'n is a good example; it comes from off of or off from and shows a preposition decaying to the form of a mere inflectional particle. One constantly hears "I bought it off'n John." Sorta, kinda, coupla, outa and their like follow in the footsteps of woulda. Usen't follows the analogy of don't and wouldn't, as in "I didn't usen't to be." Would've and should've are widely used; Lardner commonly heard them as would of and should of. The neutral a-particle also appears in other situations, especially before way, as in that-a way, this-a way and atta-boy. It is found again in a tall, a liaison form of at all.2 It most often represents of or have, but sometimes it represents to, as in orta and gonta (going to). There are philologians who believe that the appearance of such particles indicates that English, having shed most of its old inflections, is now entering upon a new inflected stage. "Form," says George O. Curme, "is now playing a greater rôle than in early Modern English. The simplification of our English, our most precious heritage, was carried a little too far in older English, and

New York Times, Aug. 20, 1934.
 At all is often displaced by any or none, as "He don't love her any," and "It didn't hurt me none."

³ Parts of Speech and Accidence; Boston, 1935, p. v.

it was later found necessary to add more forms, and in the present interesting period of development still more are being created." "The articulatory words of a purely positional language," adds George Kingsley Zipf,¹ "will tend in time to become agglutinized to the words they modify, and through agglutinization become inflectional affixes. . . . As they become more firmly agglutinized they become more formally inflections which modify the meaning of the word to which they are appended. The use of the affix is extended to other words to modify their meaning in the same direction. The language thus becomes more and more inflected." Dr. Zipf calls this "the grand cycle in linguistic development," and believes that English is now on the up-curve. A study of liaison in spoken American — for example, the use of farzino for as far as I know, noted by David Humphreys in his glossary so long ago as 1815 — should throw some light upon this process, but that study still lags.²

Many of the forms that the grammatical pedants rail against most vehemently—for example, the split infinitive, the use of between, either and neither with more than one, the use of than after different, the use of like for as, and so on—are so firmly established in the American vulgate that the schoolmarm's attempts to put them down are plainly hopeless. Most of them, in fact, have crept into more or less elegant usage, and such reformers as Robert C. Pooley and Janet Rankin Aiken argue boldly that the war upon them should be abandoned. So long ago as 1872, the peppery Fitzedward Hall demonstrated, in his "Recent Exemplifications of False Philology," that different than had been used by Addison, Steele, Defoe, Richardson, Miss Burney, Coleridge, De Quincey, Thackeray and Newman, yet most of the current textbooks of "correct" English continue to denounce it. In September, 1922, the novelist, Meredith Nicholson, joined in the jehad against it in a letter to the New York Herald:

Within a few years the abominable phrase different than has spread through the country like a pestilence. In my own Indiana, where the wells of English undefiled are jealously guarded, the infection has awakened general alarm.

rather elaborate investigations of liaison have been made by Mr. Harry Gwynn Morehouse, but they remain, I believe, unpublished. It is, of course, discussed incidentally in many treatises on American

¹ The Psycho-Biology of Language; Boston, 1935.

² My files show only one published article on the subject, and that one is by a layman. He is Hugh Mearns, and his article, Our Own, Our Native Speech, was published in Mc-Clure's Magazine, Oct., 1916. Some

To which the New York Sun, a few days later, replied sensibly:

The excellent tribe of grammarians, the precisians and all others who strive to be correct and correctors, have as much power to prohibit a single word or phrase as a gray squirrel has to put out Orion with a flicker of its tail.

The error of Mr. Nicholson, and of all such unhappy viewers with alarm, is in assuming that there is enough magic in pedagogy to teach "correct" English to the plain people. There is, in fact, far too little; even the fearsome abracadabra of Teachers' College, Columbia, will never suffice for the purpose. The plain people, hereafter as in the past, will continue to make their own language, and the best that grammarians can do is to follow after it, haltingly, and not often with much insight into it. Their lives would be more comfortable if they ceased to repine over it, and instead gave it some hard study. It is very amusing, and not a little instructive.

PROPER NAMES IN AMERICA

I. SURNAMES

On October 20, 1919, Mr. Mondell of Wyoming, then the majority leader, arose in the House of Representatives and called the attention of the House to the presence in the gallery of a detachment of 27 soldiers, "popularly known by the appropriate title and designation of Americans All." A few moments later Mr. Wilson of Connecticut had the names of these soldiers spread upon the record for the day. Here they are:

Pedro Arez
Sylvester Balchunas
Arezio Aurechio
Jules Boutin
Oasge Christiansen
Kusti Franti
Odilian Gosselin
Walter Hucko
Argele Intili
Henry Jurk
David King
John Klok
Norman Kerman
Eugene Kristiansen

Frank Kristopoulos
Johannes Lenferink
Fidel Martin
Attilio Marzi
Gurt Mistrioty
Michael Myatowych
Francisco Pungi
Joseph Rossignol
Ichae Semos
Joe Shestak
George Strong
Hendrix Svennigsen
Fritz Wold

This was no unusual group of Americans, though it was deliberately assembled to convince Congress of the existence of a "melting pot that really melts." I turn to the list of promotions in the Army, sent to the Senate on January 10, 1935, and find Taulbee, Bamberger, Lecocq, Brandt, Thuis, Campanole, Mauborgne, Cocheu, Wuest, Boschen, Schudt, Andruss, Ahrends and Mueller among the new colonels, and Plassmeyer, Munnikhuysen, Eichelberger, Schillerstrom, Koenig, Van Deusen, Goetz, Bluemel, Mercader, Milam, Ramee, Shurtleff and Selleck among the new lieutenant-colonels. I proceed to the roll of the Seventy-fourth Congress and find Bachman, Bilbo, Borah, Bulow, Dieterich, La Follette, Norbeck, Schall,

Schwellenbach, Steiwer, Vandenberg, Van Nuys and Wagner in the Senate, and Arends, Bacharach, Beiter, Biermann, Binderup, Boehne, Boileau, Brunner, Bulwinkle, Cavicchia, Carlson, Celler, Christianson, Citron, DeRouen, Dickstein, Dietrich, Dirksen, Ditter, Dockweiler, Dondero, Doutrich, Eckert, Eichner, Ekwall, Ellenbogen, Engel, Engelbright, Fernandez, Focht, Gasque, Gearhart, Gehrmann, Hildebrandt, Hoeppel, Hoffman, Imhoff, Jacobsen, Kahn, Keller, Kinzer, Kleberg, Kloeb, Knutson, Kocialkowski, Kopplemann, Kramer, Kvale, Lamneck, Lehlbach, Lemke, Lesinski, Lundeen, Maas, Marcantonio, Montet, Moritz, Palmisano, Peyser, Pfeifer, Rabaut, Ramspeck, Romjue, Sabath, Sadowski, Sauthoff, Schaefer, Schneider, Schuetz, Schulte, Seger, Sirovich, Sutphin, Utterback, Wallgren, Werner, Wolfenden, Zimmerman and Zioncheck in the House. I go on to the roster of the National Institute of Arts and Letters (1935) and find Becker, Benét, Cortissoz, Ferber, Hagedorn, Keller, Lefevre, Repplier, Sandburg, Schelling and Wister among the literati, Beaux, Dielman, DuMond, Groll, Guerin, Johansen, Jennewein, Kroll, Laessle, La Farge, Lie, Marr, Niehaus, Patigian, Roth, Speicher, Sterner, Volk, Vonnoh and Weinman among the painters and sculptors, and Damrosch, Kroeger, Loeffler, Oldberg, Schelling, Stock and Stoessel among the musicians. I conclude with a glance through "Who's Who in America" for 1934-35, confining myself to the A's, and quickly unearth Ausgaard, Abbé, Abrams, Abt, Acher, Ackerman, Adami, Adler, Adolphe, Adoue, Affleck, Agar, Agassiz, Aggeler, Agger, Ahl, Ahrens, Aigler, Albaugh, Aldrin, Almstedt, Alsberg, Alschuler, Altaffer, Alter, Althoff, Althouse, Altschul, Amateis, Amberg, Ameli, Amerman, Amstutz, Amweg, Anceney, Anders, Andress, Andrus, Angeli, Angelliotti, Angier, Angstman, Ansorge, Anspach, Anspacher, Anstadt, App, Appenzellar, Appleget, Arant, Archambault, Arendt, Arensberg, Arentz, Argow, Armbruster, Armentrout, Arn, Arnstein, Artman, Ascher, Asplund, Auer, Auerbach, Auf der Heide, Ault, Auman, Auringer, Authier and Aydelotte - all "notable living men and women of the United States," and all native-born. If I took in the foreign-born I might add Abbate, Achi, Adamowski, Agersborg, Aguinaldo, Alencastre, Altglass, Altrocchi, Amateis, Angoff, Aronovici, Aronstam, Arrighi, Asakawa, Askenstedt, Avancena and Avinoff.

Almost any other list of Americans, covering the whole country, would show as large a proportion of non-British surnames. Indeed,

every American telephone directory offers evidence that, despite the continued cultural and political preponderance of the original English strain, the American people, as a London weekly was saying nearly a generation ago, have ceased to be "predominantly of British stock." 1 The blood in their arteries is inordinately various and inextricably mixed, but yet not mixed enough to run a clear stream. A touch of foreignness still lingers about millions of them, even in the country of their birth. They show their alien origin in their domestic customs, in their habits of mind, and in their very names. Just as the Scotch and the Welsh have invaded England, elbowing out the actual English to make room for themselves, so the Irish, the Germans, the Italians, the Scandinavians and the Jews of Eastern Europe, and in some areas, the French, the Slavs and the hybrid-Spaniards have elbowed out the descendants of the first colonists. It is no exaggeration, indeed, to say that wherever the old stock comes into direct and unrestrained conflict with one of these new stocks, it tends to succumb. The Irish, in the big cities of the East, attained to a political hegemony before the first native-born generation of them had grown up.2 The Germans, following the limestone belt of the Allegheny foothills, preëmpted the best lands East of the mountains before the new Republic was born. And in our own time we have seen the Swedes and Norwegians shouldering the natives from the wheat lands of the Northwest, and the Italians driving the decadent New Englanders from their farms, and the Jews gobbling New York, and the Slavs getting a firm foothold in the mining regions and disputing with the Irish for Chicago, and the French Canadians penetrating New Hampshire and Vermont, and the Japanese and Portuguese menacing Hawaii. The birth-rate among all these foreign stocks, though it is falling, is still appreciably greater than among the older stock, and though the death-rate is also somewhat above the white average, the net increase remains considerable. Even with immigration cut off it is probable that they will continue to rise in numbers faster than the original English and so-called Scotch-Trish

2 The great Irish famine, which

launched the chief emigration to America, extended from 1845 to 1847. The Know Nothing movement, which was chiefly aimed at the Irish, extended from 1852 to 1860.

I London Nation, March 12, 1912. In Ch. XII the census returns of the foreign-born and of persons of foreign or mixed parentage are given.

Smith remains the predominant surname in the United States, followed by Johnson, Brown, Williams, Jones, Miller, Davis, Anderson, Wilson and Moore in order, but five of these have been heavily reinforced by non-English names. "One in every eighty-eight Americans," says Howard F. Barker, research associate of the American Council of Learned Societies, "is now a Smith, but only a little better than half could trace their ancestry to the British Isles." The rest are German Schmidts, Scandinavian Smeds, Czech Kovárs, Hungarian Kovácses, Syrian Haddads and Polish Kowalczyks, and Jews who have sought escape from German or Slavic names. "Many a Johnson," continues Mr. Barker, "who traces his ancestry will find himself an Irish McShane, a Swedish Johansson, or a Dutch or Danish Jansen. By reason of these conversions Johnson has become our second most popular surname and the only name beside Smith to be borne by over a million Americans." He goes on:

A large proportion of our *Millers* would be more exactly known as *Müller*, *Mühler* or *Möller*, and another substantial group as *Millar*... *Moore*, starting with fair backing in England and Ireland, has proceeded to acquire most of the usage belonging to the English *Moor* and *More*, the Scotch *Muir*, and the German *Moor*, *Mohr* and *Möhr*.

In the same way Anderson has assimilated many non-British names of similar etymology and sound, e.g., Andresen, Andriessen, Andersohn, Anderson, and so on. In St. Paul and Minneapolis it now ranks second among surnames, being preceded only by Johnson, with Nelson and Peterson following. Johnson also leads in Chicago, with Smith, Anderson, Miller and Brown following. In New York as a whole the leaders run: Smith, Cohen, Miller, Brown, Schwartz. Many of the Browns, of course, were originally Brauns, Braunsteins, and the like. In Boston Smith is followed by Sullivan, Brown, Johnson and Murphy. In New Orleans it is followed, rather inexplicably, by Levy, with Miller and Williams following. In Cincinnati Meyer is in third place. In Philadelphia Miller is in second place, and in

I Surnames in the United States, American Mercury, June, 1932, p. 228. Mr. Barker's ingenious studies of American surnames have uncovered a great deal of new material, and are marked by wide knowledge and shrewd judgment. His principal work, National Stocks in the Population of the United

States as Indicated by Surnames in the Census of 1790, is part of the Report of the Committee [of the American Council of Learned Societies] On Linguistic and National Stocks in the Population of the United States, printed by the Government Printing Office; Washington, 1932.

San Francisco it is in fourth.¹ There have been notable changes during the past quarter century. In 1913 Cohen was in eighth place in New York City; it has now moved to second.² In Boston Murphy was in third place in 1913; it has now been displaced by Brown and Johnson, which then followed it.³

In 1928 Mr. Barker estimated that there were then 66,250,000 persons in the country using English and Welsh names, and that of the number 41,550,000 had got them by ancient inheritance, 7,500,000 were Negroes whose forebears had assumed them, and 17,200,000 were whites who had adopted them themselves, or got them from fathers or grandfathers who had adopted them. At the same time he estimated that, of the 18,000,000 persons bearing Irish names, 15,750,000 had got them by inheritance, 1,300,000 were Negroes, and 950,000 were whites who had them by adoption, and that, of the 8,800,000 bearing Scottish names, 6,600,000 had them by inheritance, 1,200,000 were Negroes, and 1,000,000 had them by adoption.4 Changes in surnames go on in all countries, and at all times. They are effected very largely by transliteration or translation. Thus the name of Taaffe, familiar in Austrian history, had an Irish prototype, probably Taft. General Demikof, one of the Russian commanders at the battle of Zorndorf, in 1758, was a Swede born Themicoud, and no doubt the founder of the house in Sweden was a Frenchman. Edvard Grieg, the Norwegian composer, had a Scotch forefather named Craig. Franz Maria von Thugut, the Austrian diplomatist, was a member of an Italian Tyrolese family named Tunicotto. This became Thunichgut (do no good) in Austria, and was changed to Thugut (do good) to bring it into greater accord with its possessor's deserts. In Bonaparte the Italian buon(0) became the French bon. The family is said to have come from

Our Leading Surnames, by Howard F. Barker, American Speech, June, 1926.

In the Borough of Brooklyn Cohen is actually in first place. See the New York Times, Feb. 28, 1933. The count was made on a grant from the Emergency Unemployment Relief Committee. Miller was in third place, followed by Brown and Jones. In the 200-card set of guide-cards sold for office use only Smith, Brown and Cohen have cards of their own. Herbert Asbury

says in All Around the Town; New York, 1934, p. 272, that the first New York City directory, published in 1786, showed the names of seven *Smiths*, one *Kelly*, and one *Brown*, but no *Cohen*.

3 For the 1913 ranking see the World

Almanac for 1914, p. 668.

4 How We Got Our Surnames, by Howard F. Barker, American Speech, Oct., 1928, and How the American Changes His Name, by the same, American Mercury, Sept., 1935.

Southern Greece to Corsica, and to have been named Kalomeris originally. Of this, Buonaparte was simply an Italian translation. Many familiar English surnames are Anglicized forms of Norman-French names, for example, Sidney from St. Denis, Divver from De Vere, Bridgewater from Burgh de Walter, Garnett from Guarinot, and Seymour from Saint-Maure. A large number of so-called Irish names are similarly the products of rough-and-ready transliterations of Gaelic patronymics, for example, Findlay from Fionnlagh, Dermott from Diarmuid, and McLane from Mac Illeathiain. In the United States, with a language of peculiar vowel-sounds and even consonant-sounds struggling against a foreign invasion unmatched for strength and variety, such changes have been far more numerous than across the ocean, and the legal rule of idem sonans is of much wider utility than anywhere else in the world. If it were not for that rule there would be endless difficulties for the Wises whose grandfathers were Weisses, and the Leonards born Leonhards, Leonhardts or Lehnerts, and the Manneys who descend and inherit from Le Maines.

"What changes names most," says Mr. Barker, "is the abrasion of common speech." They tend almost inevitably to be assimilated with more familiar names of like, or nearly like sound, and folk etymology often helps along the process. Thus the Thurgods, in the course of years, have become Thoroughgoods, and the German Todenackers have become the Pennsylvania Toothachers, and the Jewish Jonases have joined the tribe of Jones, and the Dutch Wittenachts have become the Kentucky Whitenecks. In Pennsylvania, says Mr. Barker, "Bachmann was first 'improved' as Baughman, promptly misunderstood as Boughman (pronounced to rhyme with ploughman), and then more easily spelled Bowman, which made possible one more shift in pronunciation." The original Herkimer in New York was a Herchheimer; the original Waldo in New England was a German named Waldow. Edgar Allan Poe, it has been alleged, was a member of a family settled in Western Maryland, the founder being one Poh or Pfau, a native of the Palatinate. Major George Armistead, who defended Fort McHenry in 1814, when Francis Scott Key wrote "The Star-Spangled Banner," was the descendant of an Armstädt who came to Virginia from Hesse-Darmstadt. John Morton, one of the signers of the Declaration of Independence, had a Finnish grandfather named Marttinen. Harriet Lane Johnson was the descendant of Pennsylvania Germans named Lehn. General George A. Custer, the Indian fighter, was the great-grandson of one Küster, a Hessian soldier paroled after Burgoyne's surrender. William Wirt, anti-Masonic candidate for the Presidency in 1832, was the son of a German named Wörth. General J. J. Pershing is the descendant of a German named Friedrich Pfoersching, who immigrated to Pennsylvania in 1749; the name was at first debased to Pershin, but in 1838 the final g was restored. General W. S. Rosecrans was really Rosenkrantz. General James Longstreet was the descendant of one Dirck Stoffels Langestraet who came to New Amsterdam in 1657. Herbert C. Hoover was the great-great-greatgrandson of Andreas Huber, a German who settled in Lancaster county, Pennsylvania, in 1740. "In colonial times," says Mr. Barker, "some of the Hubers remained as such, but most changed to Hoover, some to Hover, others to Hoober, Hoeber and even Hoofer." Joshua Levering, Prohibition candidate for the Presidency in 1896, was descended from Pennsylvania German Lieberings. Samuel W. Pennypacker, Governor of Pennsylvania (1903-07), was descended from a Dutch Pannebacker who reached Pennsylvania before 1700. Edmund Burke Fairfield, once chancellor of the University of Nebraska, had a French forefather named Beauchamp. Even the surname of Abraham Lincoln, according to some authorities, was an anglicized form of the German Linkhorn.2

- I See The Name Pershing, by J. H. A. Lacher, American Speech, Aug., 1926, and Pershing Again, by the same, American Speech, May, 1927. Mr. Lacher tells me that there is no reason to believe that this Stammvater Pfoersching was an Alsatian, as the general appears to think. He arrived on Oct. 2, 1749 on the ship Jacob, Captain Adolph de Grove, from Amsterdam via Shields, England. It brought 290 passengers, and they were described as "from Swabia, Wirtemberg and Darmstadt."
- ² See The German Element in the United States, by A. B. Faust; New York, 1909, Vol. II, pp. 183-4. Now and then the story goes round that Roosevelt is a Jewish name, originally Rossacampo. The Rooseveltii, it is said, were expelled from Spain

in 1620, and sought refuge in Holland, Germany and other Northern countries, where their surname was changed to Rosenfeldt, Rosenbau, Rosenblum, Rosenvelt and Rosenthal. In Holland it finally became Roosevelt, and all branches of the family save one were baptized. When this story was published in 1935, on the authority of Chase S. Osborn, former Governor of Michigan, the editor of the Detroit Jewish Chronicle applied to President Franklin D. Roosevelt for light. The President's reply, dated March 7, 1935, was as follows: "All I know about the origin of the Roosevelt family in this country is that all branches bearing the name are apparently descended from Claes Martenssen Van Roosevelt, who came from Holland sometime

Such changes have been almost innumerable in the United States; every work upon American genealogy is full of examples. The first foreign names to undergo the process were Dutch and French. When, in 1664, the English drove the Dutch out of New Amsterdam, their property and their surnames were both at the mercy of the invaders. Some of the wealthier and more resolute of them, dug in up the Hudson, resisted both forms of spoliation with great pertinacity, and in consequence a number of their names survive to this day, along with some of their money - for example, Van Rensselaer, Stuyvesant, Ten Eyck and Schuyler. But the lesser folk were helpless, and in a little while most of the Kuipers were Coopers, nearly all the Haerlens were Harlands, and many of the Van Arsdales, Van de Veers and Reigers were Vannersdales, Vandivers and Rikers.1 Among the French in New England there were similar transmogrifications, and Petit changed to Poteet, Caillé to Kyle, De La Haye to Dillehay, Dejean to Deshong, Guizot to Gossett, Soulé to Sewell, Gervaise to Jarvis, Bayle to Bailey, Fontaine to Fountain, and Denis to Denny. "Frenchmen and French Canadians who came to New England," says Schele de Vere, "had to pay for such hospitality as they there received by the sacrifice of their names. The brave Bon Cœur, Captain Marryatt tells us in his Diary, became Mr. Bunker, and gave his name to Bunker's Hill:2 Pibaudière was changed

before 1648 - even the year is uncertain. Where he came from in Holland I do not know, nor do I know who his parents were. There was a family of the same name on one of the Dutch islands and some of the same name living in Holland as lately as 30 or 40 years ago, but, frankly, I have never had either the time or the inclination to try to establish the line on the other side of the ocean before they came over here, nearly 300 years ago. In the dim distant past they may have been Jews or Catholics or Protestants — what I am more interested in is whether they were good citizens and believers in God -I hope they were both." See the Congressional Record, March 15, 1933, p. 3915.

r Among the early New Amsterdam Dutch, surnames were in a state of flux. "They wavered," says Barker in Surnames in the United States, American Mercury, June, 1932, p. 226, "from patronym to descriptive, as from Jansen, Cornielsen and Hendricksen to Blauvelt, Ten Eyck or Van Buren, and back again, as well as from patronym to patronym. When twenty years of English rule had influenced them to adopt the English manner, they generally settled on the descriptive, but without any unanimity regarding spelling or the retention of the van if this was involved."

2 Here Marryatt and Schele de Vere seem to have slipped. Dr. S. E. Morison, whose authority in Massachusetts history is undisputed, tells me that the hill was really named after George Bunker, who came to Charlestown from England

before 1635.

into Peabody, Bon Pas into Bumpus, and the haughty de l'Hôtel became a genuine Yankee under the guise of Doolittle." 1 But it was the German immigration, beginning in 1683, and rising largely after 1717, that provoked the first really wholesale slaughter. The captains of ships landing at Philadelphia were required to furnish the authorities with lists of their passengers, and after 1727 this order was usually complied with. In addition, every immigrant was required to subscribe to an oath of allegiance, and to another abjuring the Church of Rome. Thus three lists of names were produced, and in recent years they have been published.2 But when the newcomers got to the Pennsylvania uplands their names were barbarously manhandled by the officials, usually Scotch-Irish, of the local courts and other offices of record. Almost every Johannes Kuntz of the ship lists thus became a John Coons in the interior, and every Pfeffer a Pepper, and every Schmidt a Smith. The names including the more characteristic German sounds, impossible to the British larynx - for example, the guttural in ch and g — were under especially heavy pressure. Thus, Bloch was changed to Block or Black, Hoch to Hoke, Albrecht to Albert or Albright, and Steinweg to Steinway, and the Grundwort, bach, was almost always turned into baugh or paugh, as in Baughman and Fishpaugh (or Fishpaw). The ü met the same fate: Grün was changed to Green, Sänger to Sanger or Singer, Glück to Gluck, Wärner to Warner, Löwe to Lowe, Brühl to Brill, Stäheli to Staley, Düring to Deering, and Schnäbele to Snabely, Snavely or Snively.8 In many other cases there were changes in spelling to preserve vowel sounds differently represented in German and English. Thus, Blum was changed to Bloom, Alt to Ault, Reuss to Royce, Koester to

Americanisms; New York, 1872, p. 112. A few years ago Professor Atcheson L. Hench of the University of Virginia discovered Schele de Vere's own copy of this work in the university library, with annotations in his hand. He listed, apparently for a revised edition that never appeared, some other curious changes, e.g., Ainse to Hanks, St. Cyr to Sears, Monat to Miner, L'Auwergne to Lovern, Dudelant to Douglas, Henri Livernois d'Oligney to Hy Alden, and Jean Baptiste Sans Souci l'Evêque to John Lavake. I am indebted to Professor Hench for this.

Pennsylvania German Pioneers: a Publication of the Original Lists of Arrivals in the Port of Philadelphia From 1727 to 1808, edited by W. J. Hinke; 3 vols.; Norristown, Pa., 1934.
 The name of August Lüchow,

3 The name of August Lüchow, founder of the famous German restaurant in Fourteenth street, New York, is almost invariably pronounced Loo-chow in the town. Ask a taxi-driver to take you to Lüchow's, and he will stare at you blankly. This change was promoted by the prudent dropping of the umlaut from the sign of the establishment in 1017.

Kester, Kuehle to Keeley, Schroeder to Schrader, Stehli to Staley, Weymann to Wayman, Klein to Kline or Cline, Friedmann to Freedman, Bauman to Bowman, Braun to Brown, and Lang (as the best compromise possible) to Long. The change of Oehm to Ames belongs to the same category; the addition of the final s represents a typical effort to substitute the nearest related Anglo-Saxon name, or name so sounding. Other examples of that effort are to be found in Michaels for Michaelis, Bowers for Bauer, Johnson for Johannsen, Ford for Furth, Hines for Heintz, Kemp for Kempf, Foreman for Führmann, Kuhns or Coons for Kuntz, Grosscup for Grosskopf, Westfall for Westphal, Rockefeller for Roggenfelder, Kerngood for Kerngut, Collenberg for Kaltenberg, Cronkhite for Krankheit, Betts for Betz, Crile for Kreil, Swope for Schwab, Hite or Hyde for Heid, and Young for Jung.2 The early German immigrants had no very definite ideas about the spelling of their own names. Many variant forms are to be found in the Pennsylvania records. "They were easily swayed," says Barker, "in the use of vowels, converting from one to another.* They also shifted from one consonant to another within limits, as from p or b to f, or from d to t, or vice versa." 4

I The researches of the late Stephen Kekulé von Stradonitz showed that the original American Rockefeller was a German Roggenfelder (ryefielder) from the lower Rhine.

2 Many more such transliterations and modifications are listed by A. B. Faust, in The German Element in the United States, above cited, particularly in his first volume. Others are in Pennsylvania Dutch, by S. S. Haldemann; London, 1872, p. 60, and in The Origin of Pennsylvania Surnames, by L. Oscar Kuhns, Lippincott's Magazine, March, 1897, p. 305. See also Studies in Pennsylvania German Family Names, by the last named (his list is reprinted in Report of the Committee [of the American Council of Learned Societies] on Linguistic and National Stocks in the Population of the United States; Washington, 1932, p. 312 ff); Deutsche Familiennamen unter fremden Völkern, by Stephan Kekulé von Stradonitz, *Mitteilungen der Aka*- demie zur wissenschaftlichen Erforschung und zur Pflege des Deutschtums (Munich), April-May, 1928; and Deutsche Namen in Amerika, by the same, B.-Z. am Mittag (Berlin), Sept. 22, 1927.

3 For example, Schultz often appeared in the early Pennsylvania records as Scholtz, Shiltz and Shoultz.

4 In the records of St. Paul's Lutheran Church, Arcadia, Md., founded c. 1770 (Twenty-third Report of the Society For the History of the Germans in Maryland; Baltimore, 1929, pp. 27-28) there are some curious variants from the period 1790-1825. Thus a name which now appears as Algire was then Allgeiger, Algeier, Allgeier, Allgeyer and Allgire, an Elsroad of today was Eltzroth, Elseroad, Elserote, Elserode and Elsrode, and a Loudenslager of today was Lautenschläger, Laudensläger, Laudenschläger, Lautenschleger and Laudenslager. I once knew a Lautenberger whose name had shrunk to

Even when no accent betrays it, the foreign diphthong is under hard pressure. Thus the German oe disappears and Loeb is changed to Lobe or Laib, Oehler to Ohler, Loeser to Leser, Schoen to Schon or Shane, and Mueller to Miller or Muller, as in Whittier's "Maud Muller" (1866). The k in German words beginning with kn tends to disappear: they are assimilated with the old Devonshire surname, Knapp. Thus Knoebel is often pronounced Noble. In the same way the German sch shrinks to s, and Schneider becomes Snyder, Schlegel becomes Slagel, and Schluter becomes Sluter. If a German or other foreigner in America clings to the original spelling of his name he must usually expect to hear it mispronounced. Roth, in America, quickly becomes Rawth, Ranft is pronounced Ranf; Frémont, losing both accent and the French e, becomes Fremont; Blum begins to rhyme with dumb; Mann rhymes with van, and Lang with hang; Krantz, Lantz and their cognates with chance; Kurtz with shirts; the first syllable of Gutmann with but; the first of Kahler with bay; the first of Werner with turn; the first of Wagner with nag. Uhler, in America, is always Youler. Berg loses its German e-sound for an English u-sound, and its German hard g for an English g; it becomes identical with the berg of iceberg. The same change in the vowel occurs in Erdmann. In König the German diphthong succumbs to a long o, and the hard g becomes k; the common pronunciation is Cone-ik. Often, in Berger, the g becomes soft, and the name rhymes with verger. It becomes soft, too, in Bittinger. In Anheuser the eu changes to ow or ei. The final e, important in German, is nearly always silenced; Dohme rhymes with foam; Kühne becomes Keen. In the collectanea of Judge J. C. Ruppenthal, of Russell, Kansas, a very careful observer, are many curious specimens. He finds Viereck transformed into Fearhake, Vogelgesang into Fogelsong, Pfannenstiel into Fanestil, Pfüger into Phlegar, Pfeil into Feil, and Steinmetz into Stimits. I have myself encountered Isennock for Eisenach, and Duttera, Dutterer, Dotterer and Dutrow (all in one family!) for Dötterer.1

In addition to these transliterations there are constant translations of foreign proper names. "Many a Pennsylvania Carpenter," says Dr. S. Grant Oliphant, "bearing a surname that is English, from

Lauten, pro. Lawton. What is now Upperco in Maryland was once Oberkugen, Opferkuchen, Oberkuchen.

¹ Westminster (Md.) Democratic Advance, Aug. 10, 1934.

the French, from the Latin, and there a Celtic loan-word in origin, is neither English, nor French, nor Latin, nor Celt, but an original German Zimmermann." 1 A great many other such translations are under everyday observation. Pfund becomes Pound; Becker, Baker; Schumacher, Shoemaker; König, King; Koch, Cook; 2 Newmann, Newman; Schaefer, Shepherd or Sheppard; Meister, Master(s); Schwartz, Black; Weiss, White; Kurtz, Short; Weber, Weaver; Bucher, Booker; Vogelgesang, Birdsong; Sonntag, Sunday, and so on. It is not unusual for some members of a family to translate the patronymic while others leave it unchanged. Thus, in Pennsylvania (and no doubt elsewhere) there are Carpenters and Zimmermans of the same blood. Partial translations are also encountered, e.g., Studebaker from Studebecker, and Reindollar from Rheinthaler, and radical shortenings, e.g., Swiler from Lebenschweiler, Kirk from Kirkeslager, and Castle (somewhat fantastically) from Katzenellenbogen. The same processes show themselves in the changes undergone by the names of the newer immigrants. The Hollanders in Michigan often have to submit to translations of their surnames. Thus Hoogsteen becomes Highstone; Roos, Rose; Veldhuis, Fieldhouse; Huisman, Houseman; Prins, Prince; Kuiper, Cooper; Zwartefoote, Blackfoot; Zilvernagel, Silvernail; Bredevelt, Brookfreed; Wagenaar, Wagner; Dÿkhuis, Dykehouse; Koning, King; Werkman, Workman; Nieuwhuis, Newhouse; and Christiaanse, Christians. Similarly the Greek Triantafyllou (signifying rose) is often turned into Rose, Mylonas becomes Miller, and Giannopoulos (the descendant of Giannis, or Ioannis) becomes Johnson. The Greek surnames are often very long, and in American they have to be shortened. Thus, "Pappadakis, Pappachristides and Pappadimitracoupoulos," says Mr. Sotirios S. Lontos, editor of Atlantis, the Greek daily of New York, "become Pappas by taking a portion of the front part of the name, while Panagiotopoulos, Constantinopoulos and Gerasimopoulos change into Poulos by adopting only the tail end. So the Pappases

1 Baltimore Sun, Dec. 2, 1906.

2 Koch, a common German name, has very hard sledding in America. Its correct pronunciation is almost impossible to Americans; at best it becomes Coke or Koash. Hence it is often changed, not only to Cook, but to Cox, Coke or even Cockey.

The fother of the once protorious

3 The father of the once notorious evangelist, William A. Sunday, was

a German named Sonntag, killed in the Civil War, 1863.

4 For these Dutch examples I am indebted to President John J. Hiemenga and Prof. Henry J. G. Van Andel, of Calvin College, Grand Rapids, Mich., to Prof. B. K. Kuiper of the same city, and to Dr. Paul de Kruif.

and Pouloses have naturally become the Smiths and Browns of American Greeks, although these names are fairly uncommon in their native land." 1 But Pappas itself is sometimes sacrificed, despite its general popularity. Thus Pappageorgiou is shaved down to Georgious, Pappadimitracoupoulos becomes Jameson (part clipping and part translation), and Pappapolychronopoulos becomes Chronos, with Poulos following Pappas into the discard.2 Other Greek names are changed to bring them into harmony with American analogues. Thus Christides becomes Christie, Nikolaou becomes Nicholas, and Georgiou becomes George. John Cameron, a train-robber sentenced to Leavenworth for twenty-seven years on December 20, 1026, was born Kamariotis. On April 5, 1935, a Greek living in Pontiac, Mich., broke into the news by reason of his name. It was Glasskos Pappatheodorokomoundoronicolucopoulos, and his eight children, it appeared, favored changing the surname to Pappas. "There are many Pappases and many Copouloses," he said to a Pontiac Daily Press reporter, "and I would like my children to keep the name as it really is. But," with an expressive shrug of the shoulders, "I guess I'll have a tough time making them do it." 8

The Slav immigrants to America brought with them names even more difficult to American tongues than those of the Greeks, and they had to make changes following all the usual patterns. Among the Czechs these include more or less crude transliterations, e.g., of Zděný into Stenny, Hřebec into Hurbick, and Cerviček into Servisk; translations, e.g., of Kovář into Smith, Holič into Barber, Mlynář into Miller, Vlk into Wolf, and Zelény into Green; and efforts to bring untranslatable names into harmony with English names of similar sound, e.g., Macá becomes Macy, Mosnička becomes Mason, Kutiš becomes Curtis, and Vališ becomes Wallace. Some of the Czech

1 American Greek, American Speech, March, 1026, p. 208.

United States for a number of years, was constrained to change his surname while he was here to Kriton, picked from Plato's Phaedon. At the same time he shortened his given names, Timoleon Dimitriu, to Timon Damon, and commonly used only their initials. Now that he has returned to Athens he is once more Timoleon Dimitriu Curculakis.

3 I am indebted here to Mr. H. A. Fitzgerald, editor and manager of the *Daily Press*.

March, 1926, p. 308.

I am indebted here to Mr. T. D. Curculakis of Athens. Pappas means priest, and Mr. Curculakis explains that its popularity is at least partly due to the fact that the Greek priests, during the long years of bondage to the Turks, were the chief guardians of the national spirit. It was a pappas who raised the flag of liberty at the Monastery of St. Laura on March 25, 1821. Mr. Curculakis, who lived in the

immigrants, put down as Austrians in the earlier immigration returns, settled among Germans, and in consequence not a few of them adopted German names, often by translation. Thus Krejči (tailor) became Schneider, Dvořák (courtier) became Hoffman, and Svec (shocmaker) became Schumacher. A family named Matoušek changed its name to Matuscheck to accommodate German spelling, then modified it to Mathushek, and finally translated it into the English Matthews. Some of the Czechs also changed their names to Irish forms. Thus Prujín became Brian and then O'Brien, and Otřáska became O'Tracy. "Among freak aliases," says Monsignor J. B. Dudek, the leading authority on the Czech language in America, "O'Hare for Zajíc (rabbit), O'Shaunnessy for Očenášek (dim. 'Our Father,' used as a common term for the Lord's Prayer), McLoud for Mráček (a small cloud), and Casey, for which a Mr. Sýr (cheese) let pass a contemplated German Käse, will about tie for second honors. The first prize goes without question to one Záchod (originally, a bypath; then euphemistically, and now exclusively, the châlet de nécessité), who fondly imagined that a German Backhaus would escape the American interpretation, back-house. It did not; and a rapid translation to Bakehouse failed utterly to remove certain first impressions." Public opinion among the older Czechs was violently opposed to this abandonment of Czech patronymics, but it had to yield to natural forces. Says Monsignor Dudek:

The farmers of a certain county refused to patronize a banker who had assumed Newer in place of Novák. (Newman, a better translation, is now more commonly substituted for this name.) A storm of protest arose when a Nebraska politician, Lapáček, announced himself a La Pache, and a Votruba

r A similar translation of Slavic names has probably gone on in the German areas of Pennsylvania, though I can find no record of it. In Louisiana, in the Eighteenth Century, a small Germany colony was assimilated by the French, and there were many changes in the German names. Thus, Schaf became Chauffe, Buchwalter became Bouchevaldre, Buerckel became Birquelle, Wagensbach became Waguespack, and Katzenberger became Casbergue. During the Spanish occupation some of the German names became Spanish. Thus Hans Peter Keller became Juan Pedro Cueller, and Jacob Wil-

helm Nolte became Santiago Villenol. See Settlement of the German Coast of Louisiana, by J. Hanno Deiler, German American Annals (Philadelphia), New Series, Vol. VIII, No. 4, July-Aug., 1909, p. 192 ff. In the Catskill region of New York there is a family named Masten, always thought of as of Dutch origin, whose actual progenitor was an Englishman named Marston. Miss Lillian D. Wald tells me that in the early days of the great Jewish invasion of New York, many Eastern Jews with difficult Slavic or Hungarian names changed them to Cohen or Goldberg.

who translated his name to *Bran* was thereafter in disrepute. . . . In spite, however, of indignation meetings, lodge resolutions and the newspaper jibes and denunciations provoked by the turncoats, a voluntary de-Bohemianization was constantly in progress, to which the younger set of Czech-Americans, surreptitiously at least, lent hearty encouragement. Removal to another, especially a purely American, community, attainment of majority, engagement in a new occupation, entrance into connubial felicity, and the like were seized as occasions for shaking off cognominal impedimenta.¹

Even when a Czech clings to the original form of his patronymic, he must bear with its mutilation at the hands of his neighbors. Such forms as Hořčička, Ranhojič, Trpaslik and Uprchl, says Monsignor Dudek, "are, while they last, the despair of rural editors and printers, of postmasters, small-town bankers, county clerks, justices of the peace and other officials, and simply through repeated misspelling, misreading and mispronunciation by these worthies, the first steps toward their de-Bohemianization are taken." The Czech accents disappear almost at once, and the values of the Czech letters are quickly changed.

Hanska, Kouba, Kuba, Macá, Suva and others ending in a continue to be so written, but the owners succumb readily enough to pronunciations affected by their American neighbors — Kobey, Koobie, Cuby, Kewpie, Macey, Soovy, and the like. Similarly, Myška (or Myšička) is known as Mitchky, and one, at least, wrote it Mitschka; Jedlička condescends to be known as Jedlicker or Shedlicker, Skála as Scaler, Žaba as Jobber or Chopper, and Hobza, wearying at length of being called Hubsy, adopts Hobbs or even Hobbes of his own accord.²

Among the Poles, as among the Czechs, the older immigrants regard abandonment of the native surnames with aversion, but it goes on wholesale, and in all the usual ways. By translation Krawicc becomes Taylor, Kowalczyk becomes Smith, Tomaszewski becomes Thompson, Mielnik becomes Miller, and Kucharz becomes Cook; by transliteration Jaroscz is converted into Jerris, and Waitr into Waiter; by shortening Filipowicz changes to Philip or Phillips, Winiarecki to Winar, and Pietruszka to Pietrus; and by various com-

1 Czech Surnames in America, American Mercury, Nov., 1925.

The Americanization of Czech Surnames, by J. B. Dudek, American Speech, Dec., 1925. See also Czech-American Names, by the same, Czechoslovak Student Life, April, 1928. One Czech-American who refuses resolutely to change his name is the most distinguished of them all. He is Dr. Ales Hrdlička, cura-

tor of the Smithsonian Institution. His given-name and surname lose their accents in "Who's Who in America," but not in the more accurate English "Who's Who." He explains humorously that an American transliteration of his surname would have to be something akin to hard-liquor. Here I am indebted to Mr. William Absolon, of Providence, R. I.

binations of these devices Siminowicz changes to Simmons, Bartoszewicz to Barton, and Chmielewski (chmiel - hops) to Hopson and then to Hobson.1 Many a poor Pole, despairing of making anything feasible to Americans out of his surname, abandons it for some quite unrelated English name, or elevates a given name to its place. The example of Josef Konrad Korzienowski will be recalled; he became Joseph Conrad in England, and made the name one that will be long remembered. The Polish suffixes, -ewski, -owicz and so on, are fast succumbing to linguistic pressure in this country, and it seems likely that after a few generations most of them will be gone. The Russian, Bulgarian and Serbian names are subject to the same attrition. They suffer, in addition, from the fact that the transliteration of the Cyrillic alphabet presents difficulties that have yet to be solved. Does -owski, -ovski or -offski come nearest the Russian original? This is a problem that confronts many a Russian.2 All of these Slavs follow the examples of the Czechs and Poles in changing their names in this country. Of the Yugo- or South-Slavs, Louis Adamic, the well-known Yugoslav-American writer and publicist, says:

Often they choose Anglo-Saxon names, or what appear to them to be Anglo-Saxon names, whose sound or spelling or both resemble the original Yugoslav patronymics. Thus Onlak becomes O'Black; Miklavec or Milavič, McClautz; Ogrin, O'Green; Crček, Church; Jakša or Jakšič, Jackson; Bizjak, Busyjack; Oven, Owens; and Stritar, Streeter. Not infrequently they translate their names into literal or near-literal English equivalents; for instance, Cerne into Black, Belko or Belič into White, or Podlesnik into Underwood.3

All the Slavs differentiate between the masculine and feminine forms of surnames. Thus the son of the famous actress, Helena Modjeska, became Ralph Modjeski, and as such attained to fame of his own as an engineer.* But in this country the feminine form disappears.5 Perhaps the American gypsies should be included among

- 1 For aid here I am indebted to Mr. Sergei Senykoff of Detroit. 2 See Slavonic-English Transliterations, by H. B. Wells, American Speech, Sept., 1927. Also, Sixth Report of the United States Geometric Parallel Westington Years graphic Board; Washington, 1933,
- p. 41.
 3 The Yugoslav Speech in America, American Mercury, Nov., 1927.
 4 The family name was originally
- Modrzejewski a palpable impossibility in America.
- 5 I am indebted here to Mr. Emil Revyuk, editor of the Ukrainian daily, Svoboda, of Jersey City. Mr. Revyuk says that Ukrainian surnames undergo all the usual changes. For example, Petryshyn and Petryshak become Peterson, Perey becomes Parry, Danylchuk, Danylchenko and Danylshyn become Danielson, Makohon becomes Mac-Mahon, Zhinchak becomes Smith, Shevchynsky becomes Wagner, Macheyovsky is contracted to

the Slavs, for many of them, though they are largely of Rumanian blood, bear Slav surnames. For example, Joe Adams, long celebrated as the King of the Gypsies, was really Ioano Adamovič. Most gypsies have two names — the nav romanes, which is used among themselves and is formed by adding the father's given-name to the given-name of the son or daughter, and the nav gajikanes, which is an American-sounding name for general use. The numerous Mitchells among them all descend from a patriarch named MiXail.¹

The Scandinavians have had to make almost as many changes in their surnames as the Slavs, and for much the same reasons. This is especially true of the Swedes. "A number of characteristic Swedish sounds, particularly ö and sj," says Roy W. Swanson,² "are almost impossible to the Anglo-Saxon vocal organs. Thus Sjörgren, that common name in which these obstacles occur, is variously written Shogren, Schugren, Segren or Seagren." Mr. Swanson continues:

The fate of the ö in America is decided in divers ways: the umlaut is omitted, which is the most usual change (Grondahl, Stromberg, Lonnquist, Mork, Soderstrom, etc.); or the name is translated (Grön becomes Green); or there is an attempt by the learned few to perpetuate the ö-sound by resorting to French phonetics (Huerlin, Leuvenmark)... With the other two umlauted vowels, ä and å, the attempts to preserve the original sound are more successful. Thus å is replaced by o or oh, so that names like Aman and Aslund become Ohman and Ohslund, Spångberg becomes Spongberg, Akerberg becomes Okerberg, etc.... [and] the English e seems to replace very satisfactorily the Swedish ä, [so that] Änberg becomes Engberg; Sällström, Sellstrom; Slättengren, Slettengren, etc.

Certain combinations of letters in Swedish, e.g., bj, hj, ki and lilj, quickly succumb to Americanization. Thus, one Esbjörn enrolled in the Federal Army during the Civil War as Esbyorn and was mustered out as Osborn. Says Mr. Swanson:

The native American persists in giving the hj sound a j instead of a y pronunciation. The Hjelms seem to get round the difficulty by universally dropping the j, and becoming Helms. In Swedish it is the h which is silent. The Hjorts find an acceptable English translation in Hart. Kilberg, Kindbloom, Kindlund, Kilström, Kindberg, Kjellstrand, Kjellman, Kilgren receive phonetic changes in Chilberg, Chindbloom, Chinlund, Cilstrom, Chinberg,

Here I follow the transliteration of my correspondents.

Mack, and Nyzovych to Nash. Mr. Vladimir Geeza, editor of the New Life, of Olyphant, Pa., adds the following: Daniliwsky becomes Daniels, Petrusiw becomes Peters, Silwerovitch becomes Silvers, and Wowk (wolf) becomes Wolf.

I Gypsy Fires in America, by Irving Brown; New York, 1924, pp. 20 and 38.

² The Swedish Surname in America, American Speech, Aug., 1928.

Chilstrand, Challman, Chilgren and Gillgren. This change seems to be universal. In fact, the Minneapolis telephone directory has less than ten Swedish-American names in the original ki-. Liljedahl, Liljegren, Liljeqvist often translate the first part: Lilydahl, Lilygren, Lilyquist, or in some other way remove the embarrassment of the lj combination: Liliecrona, Lillquist.

In other cases lj is got rid of by bolder devices, as when Ljung (signifying heather) is turned into Young, Ljungdahl into Youngdahl, and so on. Other attempts at transliteration are numerous. Thus -qvist and -kvist become -quist or -quest; -gren (a bough) becomes green or grain, as in Holmgrain and Youngreen; -blad (a leaf) becomes blade, as in Cedarblade; and bo- (an inhabitant) is turned into bow, as in Bowman from Boman. Direct translations are also frequent, e.g., of Nygren into Newbranch, Sjöstrand into Seashore and Högfelt into Highfield. Sometimes the spelling of a name is changed to preserve the Swedish pronunciation, as when Ros becomes Roos, Strid becomes Streed, and Andrén becomes Andreen. "The -een termination," says Mr. Swanson, "seems to be very popular among the Swedes in America, and is sometimes carried even into the -son names, e.g., Olseen for Olson." Nearly all these changes are in what the Swedes call borgerliganamn, i.e., names of the plain people. The prästnamn (priest-names), all of which end with either -us or -ander, are changed less often, partly because their bearers are very proud of them, and partly because they usually present less difficulty to Americans. The adelsnamn (aristocratic names) are cherished even more jealously, but they are naturally not numerous. When Archbishop Nathan Söderblom visited the Swedish marches of the Middle West in 1923 he made an eloquent plea for the preservation of Swedish patronymics, but it seems to have had little effect. Many well-known Swedish-Americans bear changed names. Thus Col. Charles A. Lindbergh's family name was originally Mansson,1 and that of Professor C. H. Seashore of the University of Iowa was Sjöstrand. The orthodox Swedish spelling calls for two s's in such names as Svensson, Jonsson and Olsson, but one of them is usually dropped in America. In the names ending in -ander, e.g., Lekander, Kilander and Bolander, the accent is shifted from the second syllable, where it lies in Sweden, to the first.2 Many of the early Swedish immigrants really had no surnames, in our sense of the word. The son of Johan Karlsson was not Lars Karlson but Lars Johansson, and

Days in Sweden, by James W. Lane, 2 I am indebted here to Mr. John A. Commonweal, Sept. 9, 1931. Stahlberg, of Plentywood, Mont.

Lars's son Johan in his turn was simply the son of Karl. Says Dr. George M. Stephenson of the University of Minnesota:

So it went from father to son. The very limited number of given names resulted in an unusually large number of Johanssons, Anderssons, Peterssons, Olssons, Karlssons and Swenssons. In the United States, of course, much confusion resulted in the delivery of mail, in legal transactions, and so forth. The similarity of names led to nicknaming to give distinction to individuals: for instance, John Johnson in the employ of Mr. Green was called John Green to distinguish him from another John Johnson; the John Carlson who had gone with the gold rush to California was known as California Carlson. The portly Albert Swanson was called Albert Fat Swanson, and the Peter Anderson whose house was set back some distance from the road was designated Pete-in-the-Field, whereas a man by the same name residing in the village was Pete-in-the-Street. John G. Princell, the religious leader, was the son of Magnus Gudmundson, who changed his name to Gummeson in America. Princell took his name from Princeton, Ill.¹

The Norwegians and Danes have also made changes in their names - for example, Bakken has been translated as Hill, Leebakken has been shortened as Lee, and Bruss, Knutson and Terjesen have been transliterated as Bruce, Newton and Toycen (pro. Tyson) 2but on the whole those changes have been fewer than among the Swedish names, for many Norwegian patronymics lie well within the phonological patterns of American. Indeed, not a few of them are of English or Scotch origin, and even more are of German (or Swiss) or Dutch origin.8 The names of the Finns need a more extensive overhauling in this country. Some of them are translated, e.g., Mäki into Hill, Jarvi into Lake, Unsijärvi into Newlake, Joki into River(s), Hahti into Bay, Tuisku into Storm, Talvi into Winter(s), and Metsä into Forest or Forrest; others are transliterated, so that Laine, e.g., becomes Lane, Hämäläinen becomes Hamlin, Paatalo becomes Patlow, and Hartikainen becomes Hartman; and others are abbreviated, e.g., Peijariniemi to Niemi, Hakomäki to Maki or Mackey; Saarikoski to Koski, and Höyhtyä to Hoyt. Lähteenmäki (spring hill) may be abbreviated to Mäki and then translated into Hill. Pitkäjärvi (long

The Religious Aspects of Swedish Immigration; Minneapolis, 1932, p. 427.

² I am indebted here to Mr. Wallace Lomoe, of the Milwaukee Journal. He says that when several cadets of the House of Toycen went into the World War, their comrades pronounced the name as spelled, and that this pronunciation has been

retained. But the other Toycens call themselves Tyson. Mr. Lomoe's own name was originally Lömoe. It is commonly pronounced LaMoe, with the accent on the second syllable.

³ See Norwegian Surnames, by George T. Flom Scandinavian Studies and Notes, Vol. V. No. 4, 1918.

The Italians, in the early days of their immigration to the United States, changed their names with some frequency, but with the advent of Mussolini and the rise of a new Italian national spirit this process was halted. The late James E. March, Republican leader of the Third Assembly District in New York, was originally Antonio Maggio. Paul Kelly, leader of the Longshoremen's Union, was Paolo Vaccarelli. Jim Flynn, the only man who ever knocked out Jack Dempsey, was Andrea Chiariglione. One Alessandro Smiraglia has become Sandy Smash, Francesco Napoli is Frank Knapp, Francesco Tomasini is Frank Thomas, and Luigi Zampariello is Louis Smith. Henry Woodhouse, a gentleman once prominent in aeronautical affairs, came to the United States from Italy as Mario Terenzio Enrico Casalegno; his new surname is simply a translation of his old one. Other such translations are fairly common, e.g., Little for Piccolo, White for Blanco, Whitehand for Blancamano and Pope for Pape. Transliterations and clipped forms are also occasionally encountered, e.g., Shellat for Scellato, Rondy for Rondinone, Bellows for Bello, Marinace for Marinaccio, Lowery for Lauria, Lance for Lanza and Silvy for Silvig. There is an Italian Galloway in New York whose name was originally Gallo. The early Italians ran to Irish names for two reasons. The first was that they came into contact with the Irish in the Catholic churches, and not infrequently married Irish girls. The other was that most of the politicians and prizefighters of their admiration were Irishmen. Moreover, those who entered the prize-ring themselves soon found, like the Jews, that

Vapaa, editor of *Industrialisti*, Duluth, Minn.

I I am indebted here to Mr. Reino W. Suojanen, editor of Walwoja, Calumet, Mich., and to Mr. Ivar

Irish names drew larger houses. The Italian surnames, in the main, are not as difficult to Americans as those of the Greeks and Slavs; thus they have been under rather less pressure. But the long ones seem doomed to succumb. There is no reason why Vitolo, Muccia or Guerci should not survive, but there is hard sledding ahead for Pietroluongo, Cicognani and Guglielminetti. In many cases the pronunciation of Italian names is changed. In particular, those ending with e tend to lose it, just as the analogous German names lose it. Thus, the surname of the celebrated Al Capone is commonly pronounced so that it rhymes with zone, and its bearer, I am informed, prefers it so. As for the Italian a, it is quickly Americanized, so that the first syllable of Sacco rhymes with back, and the first of Vanzetti with can.

The commoner Spanish names, like the commoner Italian names, seem to be easy for Americans, and hence they have been little changed. Gomez, Garcia, Gonzalez, Castro, Valdez, Ruiz, Lopez, Sanchez and the like have been taken in without resistance, and are usually pronounced, especially in the Southwest, with some approximation to correctness. There have been few translations, and even fewer attempts at transliteration.2 Changes in other Latin names are much more frequent. The long Rumanian patronymics are quickly shortened in this country, and many of the more difficult shorter ones are supplanted by translated or transliterated forms, e.g., Miller for Morariu, Jones for Ionescu, Patterson for Patrascu, Sage for Suciu, and Stanley or Stanton for Stănilă. In Bristol county, Mass., where Portuguese immigrants are numerous, they often change their names, but in most cases the changes are slight. Thus, Luiz becomes Lewis, Pereira becomes Perry, Marques becomes Marks, Martins becomes Martin, Freitas becomes Frates, Correia becomes Corey or Curry, Jorge becomes George, Jordão becomes Jordan, Silva becomes Silver, Lourenço becomes Lawrence, Morais becomes Morris, and Terra becomes Terry. Sometimes there is a translation, e.g., from Ferreira to Smith, and now and then there is a curious transliteration,

For material and suggestions here I am indebted to Mr. Guiseppe Cautela of Brooklyn, N. Y., and Mr. J. H. A. Lacher of Waukesha, Wis.

² Mr. Hugh Morrison of New York, who has a wide acquaintance among Mexican-Americans, says that he knows of but two who bear

[&]quot;American" names. One of them, born Pérez, is now Peters; the other, a full-blooded Indian, is Jim Anderson.

³ I am indebted here to Mr. George Stanculescu, editor of the American Roumanian News, Cleveland.

e.g., from Caranguejo to Crabtree and from Soares to the German Schwartz.1 It is not uncommon for the surviving Portuguese names to be pronounced in the American manner, e.g., Lopes for Lopez, Nunes for Nunez and Alves for Alvez, and for their bearers to yield to the American pronunciation.2 But of all the Latin surnames, the French seem to fare the worst. In the early part of this chapter I have given examples of the radical changes some of them underwent in colonial days. The invasion of New England by French-Canadians has produced many more -White for Le Blanc, Woods for Dubois, Drinkwater for Boileau, Larch for L'Archevêque, Larraby for La-Rivière, Shampoo for Archambault, and so on.8 A small colony of Hollanders including Flemings of French name settled in Boyle county, Kentucky, in the Nineteenth Century, and in a little while all its Badeaus were Beddows, its La Rues were plain Rues, its De Bons were Debauns, and its Des Champses were Scomps.4 There was another slaughter, this time at the hands of the Spaniards, in the late Eighteenth Century. They were in control of the Mississippi from 1763 to 1800 and kept the public records. Thus the names of many French traders and settlers, coming up from Louisiana or down from Canada, were changed to accord with Spanish notions. In this way Chouteau became Chotau and Choto (and was later transformed by the invading Americans into Shoto). "The fine disregard for spelling," says John Francis McDermott,5 "may be illustrated by the name Kiercereau, which is also spelled Kiercerau, Kiersereau, Kierserau, Kersereau, Kerserau, Kesserau, Kessereau, Kiergerau, Kiergereaux, Kiercereaux, Kiergero, Kergzo, Quircero, Guiercero (this is probably an inaccuracy of copying), Tiercero, Tiercerot, Tercero." Mr. McDermott says that French nomenclature was also considerably upset by the prevalence of dit names, i.e., inherited nicknames, and by the confusion between estate-names and true surnames among certain of the immigrants from Canada.

The Hungarians, Armenians, Syrians and other newcomers to the

I I am indebted here to Mr. João R. Rocha, proprietor of O Independente, New Bedford, Mass., and to Mr. Peter L. C. Silveira, editor of the Jornal Portugues, Oakland, Calif.

2 I am indebted here to Mr. Charles J. Lovell, of Pasadena, Calif.

3 See La Langue française au Canada, by Louvigny de Montigny; Ottawa, 1916, p. 146, and Name Tragedies, by C. P. Mason, *American Speech*, April. 1920, p. 320.

April, 1929, p. 329.

4 A Tragedy of Surnames, by Fayette Dunlap, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. II, 1913.

5 French Surnames in the Mississippi Valley, American Speech, Feb., 1934.

Republic have had to modify their more difficult patronymics like the rest. The first-named, who sometimes bear surnames analogous to the English St. John, often translate them, e.g., Szentgyörgyi becomes Saint George and Szentpétery becomes Saint Peter. Sometimes other names are translated, e.g., Borbély into Barber, Papp into Priest, Péntek into Friday, Kovács into Smith, Mészáros into Butcher, Sebes into Speed, Kerekes into Wheeler, and Szabó into Taylor; and sometimes they are transliterated, e.g., Kállay into Kelly, Gyulay into July, Horvath into Howarth, Szüle into Sewell, Szemán into Seaman, Nyiri into Neary, Kayla into Kayler, and Maklary into McCleary. When names are retained they are frequently changed in spelling. Thus Bela sometimes becomes Behla, Köszegy becomes Koesegi, Köves becomes Koevesh, and Kiss becomes Kish. The Hungarians, like the Chinese, always put the surname first, and this custom is kept up after their names have been Americanized. Thus, Charley Braun is always Braun Charley, and Steve Takach is Takach Steve. Ilona Nagy, wife of Peter Kiss, is either Kiss Péterné Nagy Iolna or Kissné Nagy Ilona.1 A well-known Hungarian-American, Mr. L. Lázzló Ecker-Rácz, has got round the difficulty presented by Rácz by abbreviating his surname to Ecker-R.2 The Syrians and Armenians frequently bear names that are even stranger to Americans than the Hungarian names, and so they have to make radical changes. Thus, the Syrian Sham'un is changed to Shannon, Hurayz to Harris, Musallem to Abraham, Mugabba'a to McKaba, and Abbud to Abbott. Khouri, a common Syrian name, becomes Khoury, Coury, Courey, Khuri, Koorey or Corey. The Syrian Haddad, though it presents no phonological difficulties, is commonly translated into Smith, and Ashshi into Cook. Says Dr. Philip K. Hitti of Princeton:

"Did you not receive any aid from American sources?" asked I of the Maronite priest in Detroit who was showing me his newly built church, and priding himself on its being one of the finest Syrian church buildings in the

2 At this writing Mr. Ecker-R is at-

tached to the Federal Emergency Relief Administration at Washington. "The abbreviation," he tells me, "was adopted in consideration of others, and to protect myself from some interesting variations in spelling. The R does not, as the Washington Star is wont to interpret, stand for Republican."

I I am indebted here to Dr. Nicholas M. Alter of Jersey City; to Mr. Hugo Kormos, editor of the Magyar Herald of New Brunswick, N. J.; to Mr. Henry Miller Madden, of Columbia University; to Dr. Joseph Remény, of Western Reserve University; and to Mr. Joseph Yartin of New York.

country. No sooner had his negative reply been made than my eyes caught Edward A. Maynard on the altar, and, asking for an explanation, the priest replied, "Oh, well, that is Wadi' Mu'awwad." 1

The Armenian names go the same route. Sometimes they are translated, e.g., Tertzagian into Taylor, Ohanesian or Hovanesian into Johnson, and Hatzakordzian into Baker; sometimes they are crudely transliterated, e.g., Jamgotvhain into Jamison, Bedrosian into Peterson, Melkonian into Malcolm, and Heditzian into Hedison; sometimes they are abbreviated, e.g., Bozoian into Bozo, Karageozian into Kara, Dermenjian into Dermen, Mooradian into Moore, and Hampartzoomian into Hampar; and sometimes they are subjected to even more brutal processes, as when Garabedian becomes Charleston, Kizirboghosian becomes Curzon, and Khachadoorian becomes Hatch.²

But of all the immigrant peoples in the United States, the Jews seem to be the most willing to change their names. Once they have lost the faith of their fathers, a phenomenon almost inevitable in the first native-born generation, they shrink from all the disadvantages that go with their foreignness and their Jewishness,⁸ and seek to conceal their origin, or, at all events, to avoid making it unnecessarily noticeable.⁴ At the height of the immigration from Eastern Europe even the members of the first generation moved rapidly in that direction, though they commonly remained true to

- The Syrians in America; New York, 1924, p. 101. I am indebted here to Dr. Hitti and to Mr. H. I. Katibah, editor of the Syrian World of New York.
- 2 I am indebted here to Mr. R. Darbinian, editor of *Hairenik*, Boston, and to Dr. K. A. Sarafian, of La Verne College, University of Southern California, Los Angeles.
- This last, on occasion, is a heavy burden, for there is always more or less Anti-Semitism afloat. Its causes remain to be investigated. The reasons for it that Jews commonly accept are almost as dubious as those advanced by anti-Semites. The literature of the subject is very large, but virtually all of it is worthless.
- 4 See The Jews, by Maurice Fishberg; New York, 1911, especially p. 485 ff. Also, Reaction to Per-

sonal Names, by Dr. C. P. Oberndorf, Psychoanalytic Review, Vol. V, No. 1, January, 1918. This, so far as I know, is the only article in English which deals with the psychological effects of surnames upon their bearers. Abraham Silberer and other German psychoanalysts have made contributions to the subject. Dr. Oberndorf alludes, incidentally, to the positive social prestige which goes with an English air or a French air in America. He tells of an Italian who changed his patronymic of Dipucci into de Pucci to make it more "aristocratic." And of a German bearing the genuinely aristocratic name of von Landsschaffshausen who changed it to "a typically English name" because the latter seemed more distinguished to his neighbors.

the synagogue. How many of the Jews of New York now sport new names I don't know, but it certainly must be a very large proportion of the whole number, and it may run to a full half. They follow all the patterns in vogue among the other newcomers to the country, and have added one of their own, i.e., the prettification of their traditional names, whereby Cohen becomes Cohn, Coyne, Conn, Cowan, and even Cain, Solomon becomes Salomon, Solmson and Salmon, the names in Rosen- become Rose or Ross, and Levy becomes Lewy, Levitt, Levay, Levoy, Levie, LeVie, Levene, Levien, Levin, Levine, Levey, Levvy, Levie and Lec. Like the Germans whose names they so often bear, they also seek refuge in translations more or less literal. Thus, Blumenthal is changed to Bloomingdale, Reichman to Richman, and Schlachtfeld to Warfield. One Lobenstine (i.e., Lobenstein) had his name changed to Preston during the war, and announced that this was "the English version" of his patronymic. A Wolfsohn similarly became a Wilson, though without attempting any such fantastic philological justification for the change, and a Bernheimer became a Burton. Fielder, a common name among the Russian Jews, often becomes Harper in New York; so does Pikler, which is Yiddish for drummer. Stolar, which is a Yiddish word borrowed from the Russian, signifying carpenter, is changed to Carpenter. Lichtman and Lichtenstein become Chandler. Meilach, which is Hebrew for king, becomes King, and so does Meilachson. Sher is changed into Sherman, Michel into Mitchell, Rogowsky into Rogers, Rabinovitch into Robbins, Davidovitch into Davis, Moiseyev into Macy or Mason, and Jacobson, Jacobovitch and Jacobovsky into Jackson. This last change proceeds by way of a transient change to Jake or Jack as a nickname. Jacob is always abbreviated to one or the other among the Russian and Polish Jews. Yankelevitch also becomes Jackson, for Yankel is Yiddish for Jacob.2

It has thus become impossible in America to recognize Jews by their names. There are not only multitudes of *Smiths*, *Browns* and *Joneses* among them, but also many *Adamses*, *Lincolns*, *Grants*, *Lees*, *Jeffersons* and *Harrisons*, and even *Vanderbilts*, *Goulds*, *Schuylers*, *Cabots* ⁸ and *Lowells*. I turn to the roster of the Social Justice Com-

favorites among them. There are many London Jews with Scotch names, including even MacGregor.

For these observations I am indebted to Mr. Abraham Cahan.

The English Jews, who pronounce Levy lev-vy not lee-vy, often change it to Lewis. They also change Abraham and Abrahams to Braham and Bram, and Moses to Moss. Taylor and Gordon are

³ In 1923 the Boston Cabots sought

mission of the Central Conference of American Rabbis (1931), and find an Ellis, a Fox and a Wise. I proceed to a list of committees of the Zeta Beta Tau fraternity, an organization of Jewish college men, otherwise highly race-conscious, and find Waller, Harwick, Rose, Ferguson, Livingston, Howland, Newman, Harte, Cotton, Ney, Morgan, Harris, Lewis, Richards, Gladstone, Eno, Rand and Butt.1 I go to a roll of Boston Jews who have written books, and find Taylor, Lyons, Millin, Curtiss (geb. Kirstein?), Coleman, Davis, White and Burroughs.2 The process which turned a Braunstein into a Trotsky in Russia, and a Finkelstein into a Litvinoff has gone on in this country on a truly gigantic scale. And even when the old names have been retained, they have been modified, in many thousands of cases, in pronunciation. All the familiar name-endings --stein, -baum, -thau, -thal and so on - acquire new values. The fashion for changing the pronunciation of stein from stine to stean seems to have come in during the World War, and it spread very quickly and is now almost universal. The single name Stein is still usually pronounced stine, Klein is still Kline and Weinberg is still Wineberg, but Epstein, Bernstein, Hammerstein and their congeners are now Epstean, Bernstean, 8 Hammerstean, etc. The name of Anton Rubinstein, the composer, is always pronounced -stean by American radio announcers. Even the last syllables of names in -stine, e.g., Durstine, are commonly made -stean in New York. In Einstein the first syllable rctains the sound of the German diphthong, but the -stein becomes -stean. In the same way Weil is Weel. How and why this affectation came into vogue I do not know, but probably it owes something to anti-German feeling during the war. French example may have helped, for in French Goldstein comes close to gollsteen. The diphthong ei, with its German value, is of course very rare in English, but in either it seems to be driving out ee.*

a remedy in equity against a Kabot-chnick who had borrowed their name, but the courts decided against them.

against them.

1 Zeta Beta Tau Quarterly, April,

² Long List of Books Written by Boston Jews, by Fanny Goldstein, Boston Evening Globe, May 23, 1934.

³ In New York, of course, Bernstein is Boinsteen, just as Stern is Stoin.

⁴ The German names containing ei sometimes retain the German value and sometimes do not. Schleigh is commonly pronounced sly and Reiter remains ry-ter, but Reifschneider and its variants, Reifsnyder and Reifsnider tend to become reef. Weigand(t) is commonly Wee-gand. Soon or late, I suppose, even Reilly will become Reel-y, at any rate in New York.

Since the war Lehman has ceased to to be layman and become leeman, Morgenthau has become morgen-thaw, and Strauss has begun to turn into straws. The first German s in the last-named, of course, loses its sh-sound. In most other situations the German diphthong au is likewise aw, so that Blaustein becomes Blawsteen and Rosenbaum becomes Rosenbawm. Kühn (usually spelled Kuhn), Loch & Company is always coon-lobe. Meier is often meer, Bache is baysh, Shapiro is sometimes shap-yro, and Baruch is ber-ook, with the accent on the last syllable. In New York, of course, the last syllable of the -berg names is often boig. In the case of the -thal names a new consonant has been invented. It is the th of thick, but with a distinct t-sound preceding. The name often sounds like Rosent-thal, and the same tth is also heard in Thalberg, Thalheimer, etc. The spelling of Jewish names is frequently changed, even when their pronunciation is but little modified. In New York I have encountered a Dalshheimer turned Dalsemer, Schlesingers turned Slessinger or Slazenger, and Schöns turned Shain, Shane or Shean. Elsewhere I have heard of Labovitzes turned Laborises, Labouisses and even La Borwits. I was once told - by a witness, alas, not too reliable - of a Ginzberg who spelled his name Guinness-Bourg.2 The spelling of the -heimer names is often changed to -himer, that of the -heim names to -hym, and that of the -baum names to -bem or even -bum.

Many of the changes in Jewish surnames are effected by degrees. Thus Goldstein first becomes Goldstone, then Golston and finally Golson. Samuel Goldwyn, the movie magnate, was born Gelbfisch, and passed as Goldfish in his pupal stage. Sometimes these successive changes have method in them, as is indicated by the following tale from Dr. Pepys's Diary in the Journal of the American Medical Association written by Dr. Morris Fishbein, himself a Jew:

Today in ye clinic a tale told of Dr. Levy who hath had his name changed to Sullivan. A month after he cometh again to ye court, this time wishing to

- when Herbert H. Lehman became a candidate for Governor of New York in 1932 his banking firm announced that he pronounced his name leeman. New York Times, Oct. 5, 1932.
- 2 Which recalls the Ginzberg in Anita Loos's But Gentleman Marry Brunettes (1928) who, following the example of the Battenbergs (now Mountbattens), changed his
- name to Mountginz. Another, according to Miles L. Hanley (American Speech, Oct., 1933, p. 78), became Gainsborough. Other variants are Ginsburgh, Guinsburg, Ginzbourg, Ginsbourgh, Ginsbern, Ginsbury and Gins.
- 3 Question of Assumed Names Passed On In Goldwyn Suit, Variety, Oct. 25, 1923, p. 19.

become Kilpatrick. On request for ye reason, he telleth ye court that ye patients continually ask of him, "What was your name before?" If granted ye change he shall then tell them "Sullivan."

The Jews make these changes with extraordinary facility for two reasons. One of them I have mentioned - their desire to get rid of the two handicaps of foreignness and Jewishness at one clip. The other lies in the fact that they have borne their surnames, taking one with another, for less time than most Christians, and thus have less sentimental attachment to them. "Surnames became general among them," says Dr. H. Flesch,1 "only toward the end of the Eighteenth or at the beginning of the Nineteenth Century. In the years 1782-83 the Jews in Austria were compelled by law to assume surnames. In Frankfort-on-the-Main the same rule was prescribed by the edict of September 30, 1809; in Prussia by order of Hardenburg, dated March 11, 1812; and in Bavaria by the law of 1813." "In Austria," says C. L'Estrange Ewen,2 "the commissioners appointed to select the designations looked upon the occasion as a harvest, and, when insufficient financial consideration was forthcoming, bestowed most unpleasant appellatives." He gives, among others, these examples: Bettelarm (destitute), Eselkopf (ass's head), Fresser (glutton), Galgenvogel (gallows-bird), Geldschrank (money-chest), Karfunkel (carbuncle), Küssemich (kiss me), Rindkopf (cow-head), Saumagen (hog's pauch), Schmetterling (butterfly) and Veilchenduft (scent of violets). To these many of the -stein names might be added: Goldstein (goldstone), Edelstein (precious-stone), Einstein (one stone), and so on.8 The Sephardic or Spanish Jews whose surnames are much older, seldom change them, even in America: the Cardozos, daSilvas, Fonsecas, Abarbanels, deCassereses and Solis Cohens are as

I Place-Names and First-Names as Jewish Family-Names, Jewish Forum, April. 1025.

Forum, April, 1925.
2 In A History of Surnames of the British Isles; New York, 1931, p. 213.

3 Ewen tells of two Jews who compared notes after visiting the policeoffice. One had drawn an excellent name, Weisheit (wisdom), but the other had been labelled Schweiszhund (bloodhound). "Why Schweiszhund?" demanded Weisheit. "Didn't you pay enough?"
"Gott und die Welt," replied

Schweiszhund, "I have given half of my wealth to buy that w alone!" The Jews themselves have a vast repertory of such stories. I borrow another from the London Jewish Daily Post, June 27, 1935, where it is credited to George Sokolsky's We Jews: A Mrs. Selby was introduced to a Mrs. Levy at the bridgetable. "Are you related," asked Mrs. Levy, "to the Selbys of Sydney?" "No," answered Mrs. Selby, "the Sydney Selbys are Silverbergs, while we are Schneiders."

proud of their patronymics as the *Percys* or *Salm-Salms*.¹ But the Ashkenazim (German, Polish and Russian) Jews have no such reason for clinging to the names clapped on them. Says Dr. Solomon Solis Cohen: ²

Suppose a man's name to be Israel Weisberg – why should he not become Israel Whitehill? And if it be Jacob Wittkofsky, why not Jacob Witt? Why should any Central European or Eastern Jew burden his children with a lot of useless and generally mispronounced syllables, that seem to flaunt a foreign flavor? There is nothing Hebrew, Jewish or Israelitish about these cognomens. They are German, Polish, Russian, Hungarian, etc. If not changed in spelling they will inevitably be changed in pronunciation. Why not a rational deliberate change?

The literature dealing with English, Scotch, Welsh and Irish surnames is enormous,⁸ but there is little in print about their permutations in the United States, and that little offers only meager light. The relative infrequency of hyphenated names is obvious; they began to appear on the wave of Anglomania that followed the Civil War, but the ribaldry of the vulgar quickly discouraged them.⁴ They survive, speaking generally, only among grass-widows and

I Some years ago the Solis Cohens of Philadelphia, a family distinguished in medicine, took action against a Jewish dentist who sought to assume their name. During the first days of the immigration of Jews from Russia many of them, on arriving in this country, borrowed German-Jewish names. (Many others, of course, had them already). "At that time," says Jane Doe in Concerning Hebrew Names, Reflex, Nov., 1928, "the aristocrat was the German Jew." Some of the English Jews had surnames long before those of Germany and the Slav countries. See Name List of English Jews of the Twelth Century, in The Jews of Angevin England, by Joseph Jacobs; London, 1893, p. 345 ff. Not many English Jews ever came to the United

2 In a memorandum prepared for the author, April 25, 1925.

3 See A Bibliography of Writings on the English Language From the Beginning of Printing to the End of 1932, by Arthur G. Kennedy; Cambridge (Mass.), 1927, pp. 57 ff, 149-50, 187, and 332 ff. The best work on the subject is A History of Surnames of the British Isles, by C. L'Estrange Ewen; New York, 1931.

4 They arose in England through the custom of requiring an heir by the female line to adopt the family name on inheriting the family property. Formerly the dropped his own surname. Thus, the ancestor of the present Duke of Northumberland, born Smithson, took the ancient name of Percy on succeeding to the underlying earl-dom in the Eighteenth Century. But about a hundred years ago heirs in like case began to join the two names by hyphenation, and such names are now very common in England. Thus, the surname of Lord Barrymore is Smith-Barry, that of Lord Vernon is Venables-Vernon, that of Lord Saye and Sele Twisleton-Wykeham-Fiennes, and that of the Earl of Wharncliffe is Montagu-Stuart-Wortley-Mackenzie. The name of Vice-Admiral the Hon. Sir Reginald Aylmer Ranfurly Plunkett-Ernle-Erle-Drax, K.C.B., C.B., D.S.O., R.N., brother to Lord Dunsany, the

female singers and elocutionists. The former sometimes indicate that they have been liberated from their bonds by prefixing their maiden surnames to their late husbands' names, with or without hyphens. The latter, when they marry, frequently make similar amalgamations, and at the same time begin to call themselves Madame. A few of the older English surnames have undergone modification in America, e.g., Venables, which has lost its final s. There has also been a tendency to abandon Griffiths for Griffith.1 And where spellings have remained unchanged, pronunciations have been modified, especially in the South.2 Callowhill, in Virginia, is sometimes pronounced Carrol; Crenshawe is Granger; Hawthorne, Horton; Norsworthy, Nazary; Ironmonger, Munger; Farinholt, Fernall; Camp, Kemp; Drewry, Droit; Enroughty, Darby; and Taliaferro, Tolliver. Dr. David Starr Jordan, in "The Days of a Man" (1922), tells of a neighbor in Western New York (c. 1860) who spelled his name Zurhorst and pronounced it Zirst, and of others who made Cassia of Kershaw, Shuard of Sherwood and Glasby of Gillespie. To match such prodigies the English themselves have Sillinger for St. Leger, Sinjin for St. John, Crippiny for Crespigny, Weems for Wemyss, Looson-Gor for Leveson-Gower. Kaduggan for Cadogen, Mawlbra for Marlborough, Askew for Ayscough, Marshbanks for Marjoribanks, Po-ell for Powell, Beecham for Beauchamp, Trample-sure for Trampleasure, Barkly for Berkelev. Chumly for Cholmondeley, Kookno for Cogenhoe, Trosley for Trotterscliffe, and Darby for Derby. In general, there is a tendency in America to throw the accents back, i.e., in such names as Cassels, Gerard, Doran, Burnett and Maurice. In England the first syllable is commonly accented; in the United States, the second.

Irish writer, would ruin him in the United States. So would that of Walter Thomas James Scrymsoure-Steuart-Fothringham, a Scotch magnate.

Speech of B. W. Green; Richmond, 1899.

¹ According to Howard F. Barker (Surnames in -is, American Speech, April, 1927, p. 318), "the defection from Griffiths dates far back." In Who's Who (London), 1935, there are 16 Griffithses to 27 Griffiths, whereas in Who's Who in America, 1934-35, the 21 Griffiths are matched by but 3 Griffithses.

² See Word-Book of Virginia Folk-

A correspondent writes in explanation of this amazing pronunciation:

"The family, having rather unwillingly had to change their name to Enroughty to secure an inheritance, balanced up by continuing to pronounce their original name—Darby."

⁴ See The Trampleasures, Time and Tide (London), June 29, 1935. 5 A long list is in Titles and Forms

⁵ A long list is in Titles and Forms of Address; 2nd ed.; London, 1929, p. 15 ff.

This difference is often to be noted in Irish names. "An Irishman," says Ernest Boyd, the Irish critic, now living in New York, "says Wáddell, Móran, Bérnard, Púrcell, Máhony, etc., but Americans and Irish-Americans stress the last syllable, as in Morán, or the penult, as in Mahóny. Another sea-change in Irish names," adds Mr. Boyd, "is in the gutturals: Coughlin and Gallagher, instead of being pronounced Cochlin and Gallacher, become Coglin and Gallager, with the hard g." The Irish in America have not taken to the revived Gaelic name-forms which delight so many of their Landsleute at home. I have searched several American telephone directories without finding any MacSuibhne (McSweeney), OMaolcathaigh (Mulcahy), OSuilleobhain (O'Sullivan), OTreasaigh (Tracy), OMurchadha (Murphy) or MacEochagain (Geoghan).1 The Welsh custom of spelling certain names in F with two small f's, e.g., ffinch, ffrench, ffarington and ffoulkes has been imitated in England, but not in America: there is not a single example in either "Who's Who in America" or the Manhattan telephone directory.2 Such forms as John Smith of F and John Jones of William are occasionally found in the United States; they offer a convenient way to distinguish between cousins of the same name. The territorial form seen in Charles Carroll of Carrollton and John Randolph of Roanoke has not taken root; the only recent example that I can think of is Kohler of Kohler. But this is the trade-mark of a corporation rather than the name of a man.8

Any list of American names is bound to show some extremely curious specimens — most of them clumsy adaptations of non-English names, but others apparently of Anglo-Saxon provenance. Frank Sullivan, an eager collector of such delicacies, gives the place of honor in his cabinet to the names of the Misses Dagmar Sewer and Mary Lou Wham. Some time ago one of the large life-insurance companies printed a list designed to show "the colorful variety of appellations which policy-holders bear." From it I take the following:

I My thanks are due to Mr. Boyd for help here. He tells me that in Gaelic names the O is never separated by an apostrophe. It is always either written close up or separated clearly, as in Sean O Murchadha. In the latter case it is not followed by a period.

2 See Two Little f's, by Trevor Davenport-ffoulkes, London Sunday Times, April 22, 1034.

day Times, April 22, 1934.

The Kohler Company of Kohler, Wis., manufacturers of plumbing materials. The president of the company, Walter J. Kohler, was Governor of Wisconsin, 1929–30.

Willy Twitty
Edward J. Bible
Julius A. Suck
Harry B. Ill
E. J. Cheesewright
Robert Redheffer
Julia C. Barefoot
Ralph St. Cathill

Sello Bibo
G. H. Upthegrove
Chintz Royalty
Barnum B. Bobo
John Bilious
James A. Masculine
Ansen B. Outhouse
F. Bulpitt

Christian Girl
Memory D. Orange
Oscar R. Apathy
Alphonse Forgetto
Henry Kicklighter
William Dollarhide
Ernest Sons
Emil E. Buttermilk

To which may be added a few specimens from Nebraska, collected by two of Dr. Louise Pound's disciples: 1

George Pig Eche Rattles Irma Halfway George Goatleg Mary Admire Keith R. Catchpole

2. GIVEN-NAMES

The non-British American's willingness to anglicize his patronymic is far exceeded by his eagerness to give "American" baptismal names to his children. The favorite given-names of the old country almost disappear in the first native-born generation. The Irish immigrants who flocked in after the famine of 1845-47 bearing such names as Patrick, Terence and Dennis named their American-born sons John, George, William and James. The Germans, in the same way, abandoned Otto, August, Hermann, Ludwig, Rudolph, Heinrich, Wolfgang, Wilhelm, Johann and Franz. For many of these they substituted English equivalents: Lewis, Henry, William, John, Frank, and so on, including Raymond for Raimund.² In the room of others they began giving their offspring fanciful names: Roy,

I Curious Names, by Mamie Meredith and Ruth Schad Pike, American Speech, Feb., 1928.

2 My own given-names may throw some light on the process. They are Henry Louis. I was named Henry after my father's brother. Their mother was Harriet McClellan, who came to Baltimore from Kingston, Jamaica. She was of North Irish stock and a member of the Church of England. Henry seems to have been borrowed from some member of her family. I was named Louis after my paternal grandfather, but his actual givennames were Burkhardt Ludwig. I gather that it was at first proposed

to call me Henry Burkhardt, but that there was some objection to the Burkhardt, probably from my mother. So a compromise was made on Ludwig. Its harsh sound, whether pronounced in the correct German way or in the American way, caused further qualms, and it was decided to translate it. But the clergyman employed to baptize me wrote it Louis in his certificate, and so I acquired a French name. It was, of course, always pronounced Lewis in the family circle. I have often thought of changing it to something more plausible, but have somehow never got to the business.

Lester, Milton and the like. Later on they abjured that madness, and today, save for an occasional Rudolph, Fritz or Otto, their givennames are hardly distinguishable from the general.¹

The first Jews to come to America in any number were of the Sephardic moiety; the favorite given-names among them were Solomon, Benjamin, Daniel, David, Elias, Emmanuel, Nathan, Isaac, Nathaniel and Mendes, and these are pretty well preserved among their descendants today. But the German Jews who came in after 1848 were considerably less faithful to the ancestral Samuel, Jonas, Isaac, Moses, Isidor, Israel and Leon, most of which have been gradually disappearing. In the first American-born generation there were some rather fantastic attempts at substitution, e.g., Morton for Moses, Leo or Lee for Leon, and Seymour or Sanders for Samuel, but in the main the old names were simply abandoned, and American names adopted instead. The later-coming Polish and Russian Jews went much faster and much further. Even the most old-fashioned of them, says Abraham Cahan, changed Yosel to Joseph, Yankel to Jacob, Liebel to Louis, Feivel to Philip, Itzik to Isaac, Ruven to Robert, and Moishe or Motel to Morris as soon as they began to find their way about, and presently their sons burst forth as Sidney, Irving, Milton, Stanley and Monroe. Their grandsons are John, Charles, Harold, James, Edward, Thomas, and even Mark, Luke and Matthew, and their daughters are Mary, Jane, Elizabeth, Alice and Edith. In Baltimore, probably due to Southern influence, Carol and Shirley are favorite given-names for girls among the Polish Jews. In the Middle West, prompted by Scandinavian examples, there are Jewish Huldas, Karens and Helgas. In the New York telephone directory (Winter, 1934-5) I find Cohens male named Allen, Archie, Arthur, Bert, Carl, Charles, Clarence, DeWitt, Edgar, Edward, Ed-

I Carl has been adopted by Americans of other stocks, and such combinations as Carl Gray (a railroad president born in Arkansas), Carl Williams (a farm-paper editor, born in Indiana), and Carl Murphy (the founder of the Baltimore Afro-American, a leading Negro newspaper) are common. A feminine variant, Karle, has appeared, and I suspect that Carl has helped to popularize Carlyle and Carleton. Simon Newton (see the World Almanac for 1921, p. 150) sought

to determine the most popular American given-names by examining 100,000 names in biographical dictionaries, Army and Navy registers, Masonic rosters and the Detroit City Directory. He found that John, William, James, George and Charles were the most popular, in the order named, but that Carl was thirty-eighth, and ahead of Ernest, Michael, Lewis and Hugh, all of which would have been far above it on an English list.

win, Elliot, Ellis, Ernest, Felix, Frank, Frederick, George, Godfrey, Harry, Harvey, Henry, Herbert, Howard, Irving, Jack, Jacques, James, Jerome, Jules, Lawrence, Lee, Lester, Malcolm, Mark, Martin, Marvin, Mathias, Maximilian, Maxwell, Michael, Mitchell, Mortimer, Morton, Murray, Norman, Oscar, Paul, Philip, Ralph, Sidney, Theodore, Victor and William, and Cohens female named Amelia, Annabel, Annette, Bessie, Betty, Birdie, Charlotte, Dorothy, Elizabeth, Emily, Estelle, Ethel, Florence, Gertrude, Helen, Irene, Jennie, Josephine, Lucille, Mae, Mary, Myra, Rae, Renee, Rose, Sophia, Sue and Sylvia. There are but three Moses Cohens, three Moes and one Moise, but there are seven Lawrences, eight Herberts and fifteen Henrys. Among the ladies there is not a single Rachel, Miriam or Rebecca, and the four surviving Sarahs are overborne by three Sadies, two Saras and one Sally.

Any other list of Jewish names would show a similar disappearance of the older forms. I turn to a history of Zeta Beta Tau, the Jewish college fraternity, published in its Quarterly for April, 1931, and find the following given-names among Jews who are otherwise extremely conscious of their Jewishness: Vernon, Lawrence, Clarence, Kay, Randolph, Pierce, Seymour, Lionel, Ernest, Tracy, Willis, Mortimer, Jules, Deane, Allyn, Lazarre, Les and Bert, not to mention Frederick, Edward, George, William, Charles, Harold, Richard, Ralph, Walter, Theodore, Arnold and Alan. In a list including the names of more than 275 members I find but one Abraham and one Samuel, and not a single Moses or Isaac. In another issue of the same magazine is a somewhat spoofish article on current Jewish givennames.2 The authors divide them into three classes, the Biblical, the mercantile, and the baronial. "Examples of the first group," they say, "though not entirely extinct, have about lapsed into disuse." The mercantile names "are those of children who are bound to succeed in the world of affairs."

We find possessors of these names in operators of the cloak and suit industry, and in the smaller towns they are invariably the proprietors of the leading clothing shoppes. Generally, the bearer of a mercantile name, viz.: Julius, Max,

In Berlin, according to the Jewish Encyclopedia, Vol. IX, p. 157, Harry is now monopolized by the Jews, and so are Jacques and James. All, it will be noted, are non-German names. But two old German names, Ludwig and Julius, are

also greatly in favor. See N. Pulvermacher: Berliner Vornamen; Berlin, 1902.

lin, 1902.
2 On Naming the Boy, by Earl L. and Samuel G. Winer, Zeta Beta Tau Quarterly, Dec., 1926, p. 7.

Emanuel, Gus or Nathan, is a representative constituent of our most conservative and substantial citizenry. His business continues successfully through two or more generations. He passes important motions at the B'nai B'rith Conventions and at the Conventions of the National Clothiers Association. Horatio Alger's Julius the Street Boy was probably of Jewish extraction, for his exploits exemplify a protagonist of this type.

The authors divide their baronial group into four subgroups—Anglo-Saxon family names, e.g., Sydney, Melvin and Murray; names taken from the map of England, e.g., Chester, Ely and Hastings; aromatic French names, e.g., Lucien, Jacques and Armand; and surnames of popular heroes, e.g., Lincoln, Sherman and Lec. "The eldest son," they say, "is Abraham; then in order follow Hyman, Julius, Sydney, Leonard, and finally the élite Llewelyn." They close with a warning that Jewish given-names begin to grow so incongruous that they may do damage to their bearers.

The owner of the name becomes a misfit because of his styling, and finds it exceedingly difficult to acclimate the man to the name. Wherefore the parents of a child, in bestowing upon him his given designation, should first invoke the gods that be, and then exercise care and caution to give their eight-day-old scion a name that will please him when he reaches an age whereat he has an appreciation of phonetics and an understanding of the association of ideas.

Among the East Side Jews of New York (now mainly translated to the Bronx) any youth showing a talent for music is likely to abandon his original given-name for Misha, Jasha or Sasha, all of them Russian diminutives; and among the younger female intelligentsia Sonia is a prime favorite. But these are probably only passing fashions.

The Latin immigrants to the United States have had even less difficulty with their given-names than with their surnames, and have thus changed them more rarely than the Jews. The Spanish Jorge, José, Juan, Jaimé, Francisco, Manuel, Ignacio, Pedro, Tomas and Antonio have fared pretty well in this country, and in the regions where there is a relatively large Spanish-speaking population they are even pronounced more or less correctly. Occasionally, along the border, Francisco becomes Frank, José becomes Joe, Pedro becomes Pete, and Santiago (not Jaimé) becomes Jim, but Juan seldom if ever changes his name to John, and Jesus (hay-soos, with the accent on the second syllable) commonly sticks to his name, despite the fact that it seems half-ridiculous and half-scandalous to most Americans. María is a frequent given-name for men in Mexico, but it is seldom

heard in the American Southwest. Sometimes it is changed to the more masculine Mariano, and sometimes it is quietly dropped for something else. Manuel and Ignacio are never changed. Manoel is a favorite given-name among the Portuguese, and the first-born son almost always bears it, just as the first-born daughter is Maria. But in the New Bedford region the Portuguese immigrants commonly change Manoel to Manuel, and Maria to Mary. Other frequent changes are from José to Joseph or Joe, from Francisco to Frank, from Lourenço to Lawrence, from João to John or Jack, from Rafael to Ralph, from Guilherme to William or Bill, from Pedro to Peter, from Margarida to Margaret or Maggie, from Ignês to Agnes, from Amélia to Emma, from Ana to Annie, and from Izabel to Lizzie, Betty or Elizabeth.2 Among the Rumanians, similarly, Ioan becomes John, Marin becomes Martin or Marian, Dănilă and Dumitrue become Daniel or Dan, Mihai becomes Mike, Gheorghe becomes George, Florea becomes Frank, Floarea becomes Florence or Flora, Cataline becomes Katie, Maria becomes Mary, and Lina, rather curiously, becomes Helen.8 The Italian given-names fare pretty well in the United States. Most Americans call any strange Italian Joe or John, but it does not outrage them to discover that his real name is Antonio, Andrea, Carlo, Bartolomeo, Uberto, Nicolo, Tomaso or Vincenzo. Giuseppe, Giacomo and Giovanni, being harder for them, are commonly changed to Joseph, Jack and John. In the second generation almost every Vincenzo becomes a Vincent, every Riccardo a Richard, every Giuseppe a Joseph and every Tomaso a Thomas, but the influence of the priests keeps the Italians, like the Mexicans, from venturing into the gaudy nomenclature of the Jews. The charming Italian names for women, e.g., Antonietta, Bianca, Carlotta, Costanza, Letizia and Giuliana, show signs of surviving in America: they are sometimes, though still rarely, borrowed by Americans of the older stocks. The Scandinavian names, in the

r I am indebted here to Mr. Hugh Morrison of New York, who lived long among Mexicans in the West. He says he knows one sensitive immigrant who changed his givenname of Jesús, to José "to escape smirks."

2 I am indebted here to Mr. Peter L. C. Silveira, editor of the Jornal Portugues of Oakland, Calif., to Mr. Charles J. Lovell, of Pasadena, and to Mr. João R. Rocha, proprietor of O Independente, New Bedford, Mass. Mr. Lovell's investigations show that among the Sylvias, a numerous Portuguese-American tribe, the four names, Manuel, Joseph, John and Antone, account for 47.3% of all males.

3 I am indebted here to Mr. George

3 I am indebted here to Mr. George Stanculescu, editor of the American Roumanian News, Cleveland. main, are likewise under only light pressure, e.g., Gustaf, Erik, Olof (or Olaf), Nils, Anders, Magnus, Gunnar, Axel, Holger, Knut, Jons, Harald and Henrik. Hjalmar is sometimes changed to Elmer or Henry, and Sven to Stephen, but the rest appear likely to survive. So do some of the Scandinavian women's names, e.g., Hedvig, Sigrid, Helma, Magdalene, Ingeborg and Karen (or Karin). But a great many of the Scandinavians born in this country, of course, bear "American" names. The present Governor of Minnesota (1935) is Floyd B. Olson, and his Secretary of State is Mike Holm. However, it should be noted that the B in Governor Olson's name stands for Bjerstjerne,1 and that among the other Olsons and Olsens in "Who's Who in America" are a Nils, an Ingerval, a Karl, a Carl, an Ernst, two Oscars and two Juliuses. The Finns abandon their native givennames much more willingly. Most of the children born in this country are given "American" names, and even among their elders Kalle and Kaarlo are commonly changed to Charley or Charles, Jussi and Juhana to John, Matti to Matthew, Jaakko to Jack, Taavetti to David, Yrgö to George, Antti to Andrew or Andy, Kerttu to Gertrude, Maija to Mary, Lilja to Lillian, Elly to Ellen and Aili to Aileen. The ineffable Elmer often displaces Ilmari and Raymond takes the place of Reino. For Väinö the common substitute is Wayne. Sometimes a Kalle, on changing his name to Charley, finds the combination of sounds impossible, and must make shift with Sali. Similarly, a Liisa, Americanized to Lizzie, calls herself Lisi, for there is no z-sound in the Finnish phonology. But she writes it Lizzie.2

It is the Slavs whose given-names suffer most sadly in the Republic. Whatever his own wishes in the premisses may be, every Pole named Stanislaw must resign himself to being called Stanley by his neighbors, and every Sztefan must consent to become a Steve. In the same way Czeslaw is changed to Chester, Vladislaw to Walter, Vatslaw to Wallace, Piotr to Pete, Grzegdrz to Harry, and Kazimierz to Casey, and, among women's names, Miechyslawa to Mildred and Bronislawa to Bertha. So, too, the Russian Michayil becomes Mike, his brother Andrey becomes Andy, and his cousin Grisha joins

reduced it to Be-jesus Be-johnson.

For aid here I am indebted to Mr. Ivar Vapaa, editor of Industrialisti, Duluth, Minn., and to Mr. Reino W. Soujanen, editor of Walwoja, Calumet, Mich.

If he spelled it out it would probably cost him some votes. Years ago a Norwegian tramp-steamer, the *Björnstjerne Björnson*, named after the celebrated contemporary of Ibsen, used to trade to Baltimore. The stevedores, baffled by the name.

the Polish Grzegdrz as Harry. All Ivans, of course, quickly become Johns. Among the Ukrainians nearly every Wasil (a popular name in the Ukraine) becomes William, though Basil would be a better equivalent. In the case of Hrybory (Gregory) transliteration beats translation, and it becomes Harry. Other common changes are from Volodymyr (the Russian Vladimir) to Walter, from Andrey (Andrew) to Albert, from Bohdan to Daniel, from Myroslav to Myron, from its feminine form, Myroslava, to Marilyn, and from the lovely Nadia to the banal Hope.1 Monsignor J. B. Dudek has described at length the slaughter of Czech given-names. When they show any resemblance to "American" names, as in the cases, for example, of Jan, Petr, Tomáš, Antonín and Marie, they are quickly displaced by the "American" names. In other cases they are translated, as when Vavřinec becomes Lawrence and Bohdanka becomes Dorothy. In yet other cases there are arbitrary changes to quite unrelated "American" names, as when Vaclav, which means crowned with a wreath, becomes James or William, and Vojtěch, which means the leader of an army, becomes William or Albert. Says Monsignor Dudek:

Cenék, an old name dating back to pagan times, is still in use among modern Czechs. It is a corruption of Castoslav (častovati, to treat, to show hospitality). For no apparent reason Vincent is sometimes taken instead. Hynek is a corruption of the German nickname Heinz (Heinrich), and, through resemblance to the Spanish Hinigo, is often incorrectly translated Ignatius, which exists in Bohemian as Ignát or Ignač. Both Hynek and Ignát sometimes become Enoch in this country. . . . Small boys christened Václav are frequently called Wesley until their Catholic parents become aware of the incongruity of putting their offspring under the patronage of a Methodist saint. Occasionally, however, Wesley remains, or is shortened to Wes. Šilvestr (Sylvester) turns also into Wes. Both Michal (Michael) and Mikuláš become Mike, though Mikuláš is the Czech form of Nicholas, and should therefore be rather Nick, which I have not heard among American Czechs.

Monsignor Dudek reports some curious efforts to take American given-names into American-Czech. He says:

I I am indebted here to Mr. Emil Revyuk, editor of Svoboda, the Ukrainian daily of Jersey City, and to Mr. Vladimir Geeza, editor of the New Life, of Olyphant, Pa.

2 The Americanization of Czech Given-Names, American Speech, Oct., 1925. A list of "American" equivalents of Czech given names, apparently for the use of readers desiring to make changes, is printed annually in the Cesko-Americký Kalendář issued by Katolík, the Czech semi-weekly published by the Benedictine Fathers in Chicago. It is full of unconscious humors. Thus it gives Patricius and Paddy for Vlastimil but not Patrick, Bess, Betsy and Betty for Alžběta but not Elizabeth, and Nell and Nelly for Helena but not Helen.

Džán and Džim have obtained recognition in print as Bohemian versions of John and Jim; there are also the diminutives, Džaník (Johnnie) and Džimik (Jimmie). Gladyška is American-Bohemian for Gladys, which, as far as I know, does not exist in Czech proper.

Chauncey, says Monsignor Dudek, is one American given-name from which Czech-American boys are safe, for it suggests the Czech word čunče, a suckling pig. The girls are likewise protected against Mabel, for most Czechs know sufficient German to think of the German word mobel, which means furniture. "But fond Bohemian-American mammas," he concludes, "have tried everything from Abalina to Zymole on female infants, and Kenneth, Chilson, Luther, Dewey, Woodrow, Calvin, etc., have been bestowed upon the sons of families clinging to surnames like Kubíček, Ševčík, Borecký, Pospišil, Veverka and Vrba." Mr. William Absolon sends me some curious examples: Ellsworth Kos, La Verne Joan Vodnaňová, Wayne Stodola, Priscilla Zeman, Marylin Kučera and Virgil Forrest Strachota. "It is," he says, "beyond the powers of a hostinsky in Nové Město, Praha, to fathom the visitor who signs the hotel register Courtney Roland Cížek, ordering a vepřová, or Leslie Wells Zástěrka, raising a litre of Plzenský."

The Greek given-names go the same route. They are not changed, says Mr. Sotirios S. Lontos, editor of the Atlantis, the Greek daily of New York, "in a haphazard way, but more or less in accordance with established standards." He goes on:

[If a Greek's] first name is Panagiotis he is advised that henceforth he will be called Pete. Demetrios becomes Jim, Basil is changed into Bill, Haralampos into Harry, Stacros into Steve, and Christos into Crist. If his name is Constantine he has the choice of either Gus or Charles, and as a rule he gives preference to the first as nearer in sound to his original name. If he is called Athanasios he can select either Athan or Nathan, or Tom for his new name. Demosthenes is usually abbreviated into Demos. That was too plebeian a name, however, for a certain proprietor of an aristocratic candy shop, who very effectively gave his name the noble form of De Moss. Finally, while anybody called Michael may retain this name for American usage, among his countrymen here he will be known as Mackis, which is the Greek version of Mike.²

Similar patterns of change are to be found among the Syrians. Mikha'il becomes Michael or Mitchell, Jurjus becomes George,

In the same way the Lithuanians in America have developed *Džióvas* for *Joe*. See Einiges aus der Sprache der Amerika-Litauer, by Alfred Senn, *Sudi Baltici* (Rome), Vol. II, 1932, p. 47.

² American Greek, American Speech, March, 1926. I am also indebted to Mr. T. D. Curculakis, of Athens.

Dauud becomes David, Butrus becomes Peter, and Hanna becomes John. So far the Christian Syrians. Among the Moslems Mahmoud takes the strange form of Mike, and Habib becomes Harry.1 The Irish in America seldom succumb to that fashion for Gaelic givennames which now prevails in the Irish Free State. An occasional Irish boy is named Padraic (Patrick), Sean (John) or Seumas (James), but when this is done a concession is commonly made to American speech habits by giving Padraic three syllables instead of the proper two, by making Sean Seen instead of Shawn, and by making Seumas Seemas or Sumas instead of Shamus.2 Such forms as Peadar (Peter), Caitlin (Cathleen), Marie (Mary), Sighle (Sheila), Eibhlin (Eileen), Seosmh (Joseph), Liam (William) and Stiobhan (Stephen) are not often encountered. The Chinese seldom change their family-names, but nearly all of them adopt "American" given-names. In the days when Chinese laundrymen were numerous in the big cities the generic name for them was John, but they also called themselves Frank, George, Charlie, Lee (from Li), Tom, Jim and so on, and I once encountered one named Emil. On higher levels more pretentious names are taken. Thus a late Chinese ambassador to the United States, educated in this country, was Dr. Vi-Kyuin Wellington Koo, one of his successors was Dr. Sao-ke Alfred Sze. Most such Chinese use their original Chinese names at home; the "American" given-names are commonly for use abroad only. In a recent issue of the Chinese Christian Student I find the following somewhat bizarre combinations:

> Wesley K. C. May Luther Shao Tarkington Tseng Jennings Pinkwei Chu Quentin Pen Ivan Wong

Tennyson Chang
Hunter Hwang
Herman Chan-en Liu
Mabel Ping-Hua Lee
Fisher Yu
Moses Swen

The American Indians, as they take on the ways of the white man, commonly abandon their native names, at least outside the tribal circle. In a list of the graduates of the Carlisle Indian School ^a I find a Chippewa named *Francis Coleman*, a Seneca named *Mary J. Greene*, a Gros Ventre named *Jefferson Smith*, and a Sioux named

I I am indebted here to Mr. H. I. Katibah, editor of the Syrian World.

² Here I am indebted to Mr. Ernest Boyd.

³ Names of Graduates of the Carlisle Indian School, 1889–1913; Carlisle, Pa., 1914.

Inez Brown. Sometimes the tribal names are retained as surnames, either translated or not, e.g., Standing Bear, Bighorse, Blackbear, Yellow Robe, Sixkiller, Lone Wolf, White Thunder, Red Kettle, Owl Wahneeta, Wauskakamick, Beaver, Nauwagesic, Tatiyopa, Weshinawatok, Kenjockety, Standingdeer, Yukkanatche, Ironroad and Whitetree, but such forms are greatly outnumbered by commonplace English names, e.g., Jackson, Simpson, Brown, Johnson, Stevens, Jones, Smith and Walker, and by names borrowed from the Spanish, e.g., Martinez, Miguel, Rodriguez and Ruiz, and from various white immigrant languages, e.g., Leider, Geisdorff, Haffner, Snyder, Volz, Petoskey, McDonald, Hogan, Peazzoni, Lundquist and DeGrasse. On the reservations, the tribal names are in wider use, but even there they are often translated. Says Mr. H. L. Davis:

The Indian Bureau for some years made an effort to retain the Indians' names in their original languages, translations into English only being sanctioned when the native version was too long or too unpronounceable to admit of fast handling. However, almost all Indian names are ungodly long and almost totally unpronounceable, so translation has been pretty generally adopted everywhere. Sometimes the results are upsetting, especially when the Indians aren't sufficiently saddle-broke to understand what a name that sounds entirely all right in their own lingo may sound like when translated literally. Appellations such as Dirty Face and Big Baby are received with the utmost solemnity by the Cheyennes, the Sioux have Bull Head and Stink Tail, I have heard of a chief on the Northwest Coast who answered with the utmost simplicity and frankness to Unable-to-Fornicate (or words to that effect), and I once knew a Siletz who insisted with firm complacency that his name, no matter what anybody thought about it, was Holy Catfish.

Native names in the native language have generally been retained among the Navajo and to a considerable extent among the White Mountain Apache. It is a kind of half-and-half business, for the Indian Bureau requires the patronymic to apply to all heirs of a man's body, which by itself upsets the whole Indian name-system wherever it is applied. Indians in a free state don't use patronymics at all. Among the White Mountain Apache the problem is attacked more sensibly; the Indians are permitted to take what names they please, and for registration purposes are given reference-letters and numbers, like automobiles.

The Paiute Indians of the Great Basin get round the patronymic requirement by keeping their native names only for religious and ceremonial purposes, and adopting for business use the surname of some white family—generally that of some rancher whom the Indian works for or burns from regularly. This will eventually result, of course, in the native names disappearing entirely, as it has done among the Cherokee and such tribes of the Eastern United States, and as doubtless it did among the Negroes of the South in the early stages of slave-importation.¹

r Private communication. See also Indian Personal Names from the Nebraska and Dakota Regions, by Margaret Kennell, American Speech, Oct., 1935. John remains the favorite given-name among native Americans today, as it has been among people of British stock since the Norman Conquest. Following it comes William, and following William come James, Charles and George. The popularity of John and William, says a writer in the Nation (New York), "cannot be explained on the grounds that they are short, for William is not, or that they are Biblical, for so are the now happily extinct Shadrach, Meshach and Abednego, or that they are fine, strong names, for so are Roger, Guy, Nicholas and Bartholomew, which have hardly any currency." For a time John's and William's popularity was so great that it was necessary to qualify them. In 1545 the will of John Parnell de Gyrton ran thus:

Alice, my wife, and Olde John, my son, to occupy my farm together til Olde John marries; Young John, my son, shall have Brenlay's land.²

I once knew an American family, of German origin, in which it was an immemorial custom to name every son John. There were eight or ten in that generation: they were distinguished by their middle names, which ranged from Adam to Thomas. After the publication of the Genevan Bible, in 1570, children began to be given Biblical names in England, but the fashion lasted only long enough to be transplanted to the New World, where vestiges of it are still encountered. I find Reuben, Zebulon and Josh (apparently a clipped form of Joshua) on the roll of the Seventy-fourth Congress, and Ezra, Hiram, Ezekiel, Zechariah, Elijah, Isaiah and Elihu in "Who's Who in America." These names excite the derision of the English; an American comic character, in an English novel or play, usually bears one—that is, when he is not named Jefferson or Washington. The pious extravagances of the Puritan nomenclature belong to half-forgotten history, but they are recalled by certain surviving

s Simon Newton's study, summarized in the World Almanac for 1921, shows that John occurs 8280 in every 100,000 individuals, William 7611 times, James 4259, Charles 4253, and George 4171. Following come Thomas 2710, Henry 2366, Robert 2303, Joseph 2266, Edward 1997, Samuel 1628, Frank 1570, Harry 1112, Richard 1027, Francis 1003, Frederick 1000, Walter 970, David 967, Arthur 904, Albert 862, Benjamin 833, Alexander 748, Daniel

690, Louis 658, Harold 531, Paul 512, Fred 509, Edwin 500 and Andrew 485. Raymond is in fortyninth place, with 244 occurrences, Elmer is sixty-first with 174, Chester in seventy-third with 131, Harvey in seventy-ninth with 122, Milton in ninety-fifth with 96. Rather curiously, Washington and Marshall are below Homer and Luther.

2 In the Driftway, Nation, Feb. 7, 1923.

women's names, e.g., Mercy, Faith, Charity, Hope and Prudence, and by occasional men's names, e.g., Peregrine and Preserved. The more old-fashioned Mormons sometimes name their children after eminent characters in the demonology of their faith, e.g., Nephi, Lehi, Mahonri and Moroni, all of which are to be found in the Salt Lake City telephone directory, along with many Hebers, Jareds and Lamans. But the younger generation leans toward more fanciful names, e.g., La Rue, Yerma, Tola and Lavar for girls, La Mar, Feramorz and Herald for boys, and La Verne for both girls and boys. Among the Youngs of Salt Lake I find two Brighams, a Percival, a Don Carlos, a Spencer, a Seymour and a Leslie, but no Nephi or Moroni.1 Some years ago a devout Norwegian Mormon in Salt Lake City named his twin sons Cherubim and Seraphim. The use of surnames as given-names is far more general in the United States than in England, or, indeed, than in any other country. Fully three out of four eldest sons, in American families of any pretensions, bear their mothers' surnames either as first names or as middle names. This use of surnames originated in England during the Seventcenth Century, and one of its fruits was the adoption of a number of distinguished names, e.g., Cecil, Howard, Douglas, Percy, Duncan and Stanley, as common given-names.2 But the English began a return to John, Charles and William during the century following, and now the use of surnames is distinctively American. Of the fourteen Presidents of the United States who have had middle names at all, nine have had family names, and of these three dropped their givennames and used these family names instead. Six other Presidents have had family names as given-names. This makes fifteen in all, or half the whole number since Washington. On the roll of the House of Representatives, Seventy-fourth Congress, I find Representatives christened Graham, Prentiss, Bryant, Wilburn, Glover, Parker, Colgate, Braswell, Everett, Usher, Wall, Aubert, Hampton, Allard, Finly, Byron, Dow, Lister, Marvin, Maury, Tilman, Jennings, Compton and Hatton, beside the usual Randolphs, Chesters, Lloyds, Cliffords, Melvins, Schuylers, Wesleys, Miltons, Deweys, Clevelands, Bayards, Warrens, Chaunceys and Elmers. Chauncey was the surname of the second president of Harvard (1654-72). It was bestowed upon their offspring by some of his graduates, and came into im-

I I am indebted for part of this to Mr. Theodore Long, of Salt Lake City.

² See Curiosities of Puritan Nomenclature, by Charles W. Bardsley; London, 1880, p. 205 ff.

mediate popularity, possibly on the ground that it had a vaguely Biblical smack. Elmer was the surname of two brothers of New Jersey who played active but forgotten parts in the Revolution. Washington, Jefferson, Jackson, Lincoln, Marshall, Columbus, Lee, Calvin, Luther, Wesley and Homer, all familiar given-names in the United States, are quite unknown in England. It is common in this country for a woman, on marrying, to use her maiden surname as a middle name; thus, Miss Mary Jones, on becoming Mrs. Brown, signs herself Mary Jones Brown. It is also common, as I have noted in Section 1 of this chapter, for divorcées to use their maiden surnames in combination with their late husbands' names, either with or without hyphenization; thus, Mrs. John Brown, née Jones, on leaving John's bed and board, becomes either Mrs. Jones-Brown or Mrs. Jones Brown.

Many strange given-names are to be found in any American list of names. A former Chicago judge, once constantly in the newspapers, was baptized Kenesaw Mountain, after the scene of General W. T. Sherman's defeat on June 27, 1864.2 The general himself had Tecumsels for his middle name - one of the very few cases of a white man bearing an Indian name in American history. He was called Cump by Mrs. Sherman. A late politico of New York, once a candidate for Governor, had the given-name of D-Cady, and a late American ethnologist, McGee, always insisted that his first name was simply W J, and that these letters were not initials and should not be followed by periods. A public accountant in Philadelphia is Will-A. Clader: he tells me that "the hyphen is the result of poor chirography" and that he adopted the style because people began using it in writing to him. In Connecticut, some years ago, there was a politician named K. N. Bill whose given-names were Kansas Nebraska, and he had a sister baptized Missouri Compromise.8 The

- In Defense of Elmer, New York Herald-Tribune (editorial) Jan. 18, 1935. In the Toronto Saturday Night, March 16, 1935, J. H. Simpson says that Elmer has now invaded Canada. Mr. Simpson also notes the popularity of Earl—a two-syllable word, like fil-lum—"in what might be termed the less sophisticated parts of the United States." In these parts, he continues, "a peculiar custom is to hold hus-
- band-calling contests. One has to hear a Kansas farmer's wife calling her *Earl* or *Elmer* to appreciate the depths to which a so-called Christian name can sink."
- 2 The Geographic Board has decided that *Kenesaw* should be *Kennesaw*, but the learned judge sticks to one n.
- 3 For this I have to thank Mr. William J. Foote, of the Hartford Courant.

chaplain of the United States Senate is the Rev. Ze Barney T. Phillips, D.D.: the Public Printer had to have a character specially cut to print the name. A well-known American writer, of Spanish ancestry, is Emjo Basshe. His given names were originally Emmanuel Jode Abarbanel. "When I grew older," he says, "and realized that one could not carry around so many names without tripping I took Em from my first name and Jo from my second, and Em Jo came to life. Foolishly I did not join the two, and a lot of critics had a holiday with them. But I did later, and Emjo became my name, legally and otherwise." 2 There was a Revolutionary patriot named Daniel of St. Thomas Jenifer, and he has a descendant of that name in Maryland today. Thornton reprints a paragraph from the Congressional Globe of June 15, 1854, alleging that in 1846, during the row over the Oregon boundary, when "Fifty-four forty or fight" was a political slogan, many "canal-boats, and even some of the babies . . . were christened 54° 40'."

In many minor ways there are differences in nomenclatural usage between England and the United States. The English, especially of the upper classes, frequently give a boy three or more given-names, but it is most unusual in the United States. Michael is now fashionable in England, but here it is bestowed only rarely. Evelyn, in England, is given to boys as well as girls, but not in this country, though Florence is sometimes encountered among Irishmen, and a late Governor of Kentucky, indubitably he, was the Hon. Ruby Laffoon. Many aristocratic English given-names, e.g., Reginald, Algernon, Percy, Wilfred, Cedric, Cyril, Cecil, Aubrey and Claude, are commonly looked upon as sissified in the United States, and any boy who bears one of them is likely to have to defend it with his fists.

I Dr. Phillips tells me that his givenname is the surname of some of his
father's relatives. His father also
bore it. The Ze Barney family,
once well-known in Chautauqua
county, New York, is now extinct
there. There is a tradition that the
Ze is roughly equivalent to the
Mac in Gaelic names, but of this
nothing is known certainly. C. L'E.
Ewen, in A History of the Surnames
of the British Isles, says (p. 379)
that zeu appears as an element in
some Cornish names, signifying
black. It has deu, sew and sue as
variants.

2 Private communication, July 22, 1935. Mr. Basshe has since informed me that his first child has been named *Emjo* likewise.

3 It stands in forty-second place on the Newton list, with 314 occurrences to every 100,000 individuals.

4 In Claude and Percy, American Speech, April, 1928, Howard F. Barker quotes the following from an unidentified issue of the Christian Science Monitor (Boston): "Captain Claude S. Cochrane, commander of the Bear and associated with its later adventures, will leave his old ship and go North in

Only one Percival, so far as I know, has ever appeared in "Who's Who in America." It is very uncommon, in England, for diminutives to be bestowed at baptism, but in this country many girls are christened Peggy, Flo, Mamie, Mollie or Beth, and on the roll of the Seventy-fourth Congress I find a Ben, a Phil, a Josh, a Bert, a Dan, a Tom, an Abe, a Nat, a Sol, a Hattie (once the only lady Senator), a Fritz, two Pats (both in the Senate), two Wills, three Joes, five Sams, five Harrys and seven Freds. The Texas delegation alone, twentythree head of he-men, shows a Tom, a Sam, a Nat, a Joe and a Fritz. The Newton study of American given-names puts Harry in thirteenth place, with 1112 occurrences in every 100,000 individuals, and Fred in twenty-seventh, with 509. The English Hal is seldom used in this country; here the usual diminutives for Henry are Harry, Hank and Hen. Alf is also uncommon in the United States, and Jem is unknown. Ted, in England, is the diminutive for Edward; here it is used for Theodore, especially in the form of Teddy. In the Southern highlands, says Dr. Josiah Combs,1 diminutives are very widely used, and "any highlander is lucky if he escapes with his original firstname." The same might be said of most parts of the country. Dr. Combs gives some examples: Ad for Adam, Cece for Cecil, Am for Ambrose, Clem for Clement, Hence for Henderson, Jace for Jason, Lom for Columbus, Newt for Newton, Gid for Gideon, Lige for Elijah, Rance for Ransom, Ves for Sylvester, and Zach for Zachariah, and, among girls' names, Barb for Barbara, Em for Emma, Marg for Margaret, Millie for Millicent, Mildred and Amelia, Phronie for Sophronia, Suke or Sukey for Susan, Tavia for Octavia, Marth for Martha, Tildy for Matilda, and Tish for Letitia. He might have added a great many more, e.g., Lafe for Lafayette, Wash for Washington, Jeff for Jefferson, Frank for Francis, Bill for William, Mollie for Mary, Mamie or Polly for Margaret, Lizzie or Betty for Elizabeth, Gussie for Augusta, and so on. The common mountain name for any boy, he says, is Bud, for any male, Baby, and for any female, Sug.2 A number of given-names are pronounced differently in Eng-

Language Association, Vol. XLVI,

command of the Bering Sea patrolforce. . . . It is said by those who know that he is the only man afloat in the Coast Guard who could afford to admit the name of Claude."

I Language of the Southern Highlanders, Publications of the Modern

No. 4, p. 1313.

2 It might profit some aspirant to the Ph.D. to investigate the nicknames prevailing among boys. John Brophy and Eric Partridge say in Songs and Slang of the British Soldier, 1914–1918; London, 1930,

land and America. Evelyn, in England, is given two syllables instead of three and the first is made to rhyme with leave. Irene is given three syllables, making it Irene-y. Ralph is sometimes pronounced Rafe, and Jerome is accented on the first syllable. Some years ago there was a fashion for changing the spelling of American girls' names, and the country bloomed with Sharlots, Ysobels, Edythes, Kathryns, Goldyes, Sadyes and Maes, but now only Mae appears to flourish. Despite the frequent bestowal of diminutives at baptism, I believe that their use is also declining. When I was a boy it was very rare, at least in the South, to hear such names as William, Charles, Frederick, Elizabeth, Margaret and Lillian uttered in full, but now it is common. Finally, the American custom of annexing the regal II, III, etc., to the surnames of boys bearing the given names of uncles, grandfathers or other relatives is quite unknown in the Motherland,1 and so it is the custom, now happily passing, of addressing boys named after their fathers as Junior.

There are some regional differences in American given-names. In the South it is common for a girl to be given a surname as a given-name. Thus Barnett Snodgrass or Powell Smith may be female and lovely. Mrs. George E. Pickett, the second wife of the general, was baptized La Salle and called Sally. Beverly and Shirley are often encountered. Sometimes a girl is actually called George, Frank or Charles, after her father. It is also a custom down there to give a girl two names, and to call her by both. If she is christened Eva Belle she remains Eva Belle on all occasions, and is never merely Eva or Belle. Even the servants are always careful to call her Miss Eva Belle. These peculiarities are to be observed among the gentry; on

extraordinarily obese boy bore the majestic name of Barrel.

that every British soldier named Taylor was nicknamed Buck, and that the following were also almost universal: Darky or Smudge for Smith, Nappy for Clark, Pedlar for Palmer, Tug for Wilson, Spud for Murphy, Dolly for Gray and Dusty for Miller. When I was a boy in Baltimore, c. 1890, every youngster whose father was a physician was called Doc, and any boy whose father had any other title got it likewise. Every Smith was Smitty. Skinny boys were called Slim, fat ones were Fats, and short ones were Shortie. In my gang an

A distinction seems to be growing up between the use of Roman and Arabic numerals. The latter tend to be reserved for individuals in the direct line of descent. Thus, John Smith 3rd is the son of John Smith, Jr., who was the son of John Smith. But John Smith II may be a nephew of either John Smith or John Smith, Jr. However, these lines are not yet clearly marked. In the Groton School Catalogue for 1934-35 there are, among 180 boys, 6 II's, 9 III's and 51 Jr.'s.

lower levels there is a prodigious efflorescence of curious feminine names. The aim of every mother is to find a name for her darling that will be both exquisite and unprecedented, and not infrequently a rich if somewhat untutored fancy enters into the process. In the Cumberland Mountains of Tennessee a recent inquirer unearthed Olsie, Hassie, Coba, Bleba, Onza, Retha, Otella and Latrina.1 "One girl," he says, "was named Vest for no other reason than that her father wrapped her in his vest (English: waistcoat) when she was only a week old and carried her proudly across the hollow to display his first-born before admiring neighbors." Another girl was called Delphia "cause her Pa, he went to Philadelphia once." In the same vicinity lived a girl named Trailing Arbutus Vines. Another investigator, this time in the Blue Ridge of Virginia, found girls named Needa, Zannis, Avaline and Weeda (the last possibly a corruption of Ouida).2 Bold combinations of common given-names are frequent, e.g., Lucybelle, Floramay, Lilymary and Sallyrose. Dr. Louise Pound has unearthed some curious examples, e.g., Olouise (from Olive and Louise), Marjette (Marjorie + Henrietta), Maybeth (May + Elizabeth), Lunette (Luna + Nettie), Leilabeth (Leila + Elizabeth), Rosella (Rose + Bella), Adrielle (Adrienna + Belle), Birdene (Birdie + Pauline), Bethene (Elizabeth + Christine), Olabelle (Ola + Isabel), and Armina (Ardelia + Wilhelmina). Even surnames and men's given-names are employed in these feminine blends, as in Romiette (Romeo + Juliette), Adnelle (Addison + Nellie), Adelloyd (Addie + Lloyd), and Charline (Charles + Pauline). A woman professor in the Middle West has the givenname of Eldarema, coined from those of her grandparents, Elkanah, Daniel, Rebecca and Mary. The common feminine endings are often used to make entirely new names, some of them very florid in fancy. From Iowa Miss Katherine Buxbaum, of the State Teachers College at Cedar Falls, reports Darlene, Ombra, Orba, Eneatha, Bashie, Arrazeta, Averill, Beatha, Berneita, Burtyce, Chalene, Clarene, Coelo, Colice, Denva, Garnette, Glenice, Glenola, Icel, Lavaun, La Una, Mirnada, Orvetta, Retha, Twila, Vella, Verlie, Vista, Vola, Waive

Christian Names in the Cumberlands, by James A. Still, American Speech, April, 1930.
 Christian Names in the Blue Ridge

² Christian Names in the Blue Ridge of Virginia, by Miriam M. Sizer, American Speech, April, 1933.

³ Stunts in Language, English Journal, Feb., 1920, p. 92; Blends, Anglistische Forschungen, heft 42, p. 16.

and Wave.1 From Idaho come Lejitta, Neuta, Navilla, Uarda, Dupriel, Jeneal, Onola, Oha, Dretha, Vilda, Verla, Utahna and Fava; from Texas, Estha, Edina, Blooma, Ardis, Iantha, Inabeth, Versey, Vivinne, DeRue, Leora, Ila, Gomeria, Swanell, Verla and Valaria; 2 from Western Maryland, Le Esta, Dolor, Philadelphia, Emavida and Uretha; from Rhode Island, Murdena, Seril, Besma, Varlow, Satyra, Ithamer, Zilpah and Mosetta; * from Alabama, Luda, Arrillah, Pet, Eusona, Leetha, Conola, Aklus, Metella, Homera and Mahala; 4 and from the Pacific Coast, Mauna Loa, Icy Victorious, Henriola, Mirrle, Euliel, Catalpa, Syringia, Wistaria and Eschscholtzia. These regions of onomatological new growth, of course, are predominantly Protestant; in the domains of Holy Church the priests insist upon saints' names, or at all events upon names that conceivable saints might conceivably bear. It would be a mistake, however, to assume that only the lowly patronize novel girls' names. A correspondent in New York has unearthed the following from the Social Register for 1933 and 1934: Ambolena, Adgurtha, Anzonetta, Armella, Helentzi, Theotiste, Thusnelda, Berinthia, Belva Dula, Credilla, Chancie, Daisette, Estherina, Columbia Maypole, Melrose Abbey, Edelweiss, Yetive, Nopie, Velvalee, Lotawana, Isophene and Lamiza.

The masculine given-names of the Bible Belt are not quite so fanciful as the feminine names, but nevertheless they often depart widely from the accepted standards of the cities. American statesmen named Hoke, Ollie, Finis and Champ (a shortening of Beauchamp, pro. to rhyme with lamp) will be recalled. Miss Buxbaum reports pupils baptized Osey, Thorrel, Burl, Hadwen, Oriel, Lath, Zotas, Koith and Iloah (pro. I-lo), and "two stalwart young men named Merl and Verl." From the Cumberlands of Tennessee James A. Still reports Oder, Creed, Waitzel, Esco, Oarly, Oral, Osie, Irby, Cam and Mord. Sometimes the pet-names of infancy persist, as in

I Christian Names, American Speech, Oct., 1933.

3 The Sideshow, Providence Journal,

May 29, 1935.

4 List of Qualified Voters of Talladega County, Ala., Sylcauga News, April 25, 1935.

6 Christian Names, American Speech, Oct., 1933.

² The Texas specimens are from a list of high-school students competing in interscholastic games and debates at the University of Texas, May 4, 5 and 6, 1922.

⁵ This last is the given-name of a lady professor in the University of California. Apparently her parents were fond of the California poppy (Eschscholtzia californica). I am indebted here to Mr. Henry Madden of Palo Alto, to Dr. H. E. Rollins of Cambridge, Mass., to Miss Esther Smith of Lonaconing, Md., and to Mr. H. L. Davis.

the cases of young men named Pee Wee, Poke, Cap, Babe and Hoss. Kentucky, which produced the himalayan Ollie James, now has a Cap R. Carden (b. 1866) in the House of Representatives (1935). Says Mr. Still:

Three brothers in the little settlement of Shawnee bear the names Meek, Bent and Wild. Lem and Lum are the names of twins. One young man carried the substantial name of Anvil, and another that of Whetstone. A small mountain boy has Speed as his Christian name.1

Excessive inbreeding among the mountain people may be responsible in part for this vogue for strange given-names. "When fortyseven persons in one hollow," says Miss Miriam M. Sizer, of Sperryville, Va.,2 "possess identical surnames, the given-name becomes the common distinguishing factor." Many of the usual American givennames are in use, but sometimes the supply that is locally familiar seems to run out. Miss Sizer's novelties include Nias, Bloomer, Tera, Malen, Lony, Geurdon, Brasby, Ather, Delmer, Rector, Doley, Elzie, Ivason and Elmer Catholic. "A man who was a great admirer of the James brothers," she says, "named his boy Jesse-James-and-Frank. Another . . . named his boy Christopher-Columbus-Who-Discovered-America." At Wetumka, Ala., near Montgomery, there is a tombstone to the memory of "Henry Ritter Ema Ritter Dema Ritter Sweet Potatoe Creamatartar Caroline Bostick, daughter of Bob and Suckey Catlen; born at Social Circle, 1843; died at Wetumka, 1852." Obviously, Bob and Suckey admired the whole Ritter family.

Among the Negroes there is naturally a considerable exaggeration of this reaching out for striking and unprecedented names. They have, rather curiously, inherited no given-names from their African ancestors. It is possible that Cuffy, which was a common Negro name in the Eighteenth Century, and became a generic name for Negroes later on, was of African origin, but it seems more likely that it was derived from the Dutch koffie (coffee). The early slaves were given such names as Cato, Caesar, Hector, Pompey, Jupiter and Agamemnon.8 But when they began to assume their masters' sur-

r Christian Names in the Cumberlands, American Speech, April,

2 Christian Names in the Blue Ridge of Virginia, American Speech, April, 1933.
3 The only inquiry into early Negro

names that I am aware of has been made by Miss Blanche Britt Armfield, of Concord, N. C., who has kindly placed her observations at my disposal. From Southern newspapers of the period from 1736 to the end of the Eighteenth Century names they also took all the more usual American given-names, and today the nomenclature of the educated portion of them is indistinguishable from that of the whites. Here are the given-names of the clergy mentioned on the church page of a single issue of the Pittsburgh Courier, one of the principal Negro newspapers: Frederick, John, Talmadge, James, Allen, Miles, Louis, Arthur, Wilbur, George, Claude. Even in the South, according to Urban T. Holmes of the University of North Carolina, 1 Negro parents "have, for the most part, kept to standard names." But when they depart from the standard they sometimes go even further than their fellow Methodists and Baptists of the dominant race. In Rockingham county, North Carolina, Mr. Holmes unearthed Agenora, Alferita, Artice, Audrivalus, Earvila, Eldeese, Julina, Katel, Limmer, Louvenia, Ludie, Mareda, Margorilla, Matoka, Orcellia, Princilla, Reada, Roanza, Venton Orlaydo and Vertie Ven, and elsewhere in the total immersion country other Marco Polos have discovered Clendolia, Deodolphus, Pernella, Delsey, Nazarene, Zion, Vashti, Sociamelia and Messiah. Medical men making a malaria survey of Northampton county, North Carolina, staggered back to civilization with the news that they had found male Aframericans named Handbag Johnson, Squirrel Bowes, Prophet Ransom, Bootjack Webb and Solicitor Ransom, and females named Alimenta, Iodine, Zooa, Negolia, Abolena, Arginta and Dozine.² And from New Orleans, at about the same time, came news of two Negro babies who, born during a flood, were christened Highwater and Overflow.8 A similar catastrophe produced William McKinley Louisiana Levee Bust Smith, reported by Miss Naomi C.

(chiefly notices of runaway slaves) she has unearthed Annika, Boohum, Boomy, Bowzar, Cuffee, Cuffey, Cuffy, Habella, Kauchee, Mila, Minas, Monimea, Pamo, Qua, Quaco, Quamana, Quamina, Quash, Quod, Yonaha and Warrah, and in the files of Catterall's Judicial Cases, running from 1672 to 1848, she has found Ails, Ama, Anaca, Aphnah, Cato Sabo, Cavannah, Comba, Conder, Cotica, Cuffy, Cush, Dunke, Grizzy, Guela, Isom, Juba, Liceta, Limus, Matha, Mealy, Miley, Minda, Mingo, Mood, Moosa, Mozingo, Naneta, Paya, Quash, Quashey, Quay, Quico, Quomana, Sabany, Sambo, Sauny, Sawney, Seac, Silla,

Syphax, Tamer, Temba and Tenah. Some of these were probably Indian rather than Negro names. Others were of French or Spanish origin. Mingo was the name of an Indian tribe, and it survives as a place-name. *Juba* was the name of two Numidian kings who played parts in the contest between Pompey and Julius Caesar, but it is also the name of a river in Africa.

¹ A Study in Negro Onomastics, American Speech, Aug., 1930.
2 See the American Mercury, March,

^{1927,} p. 303.

³ See Name-Lore From New Orleans, by Marion E. Stanley, American Speech, June, 1927, p. 412.

Chappell, of Richmond, Va.1 On Miss Chappell's list are also Chesapeake & Ohio Railroad Harry Stringfellow Johnson, Charlotte County Roberts, Theophilus Otis Israbestis Tott, Claude St. Junius Eugene Leech Abraham Bonaparte Springer Hartsfield Love Gray Nixon, and Matthew Mark Luke John Acts-of-the-Apostles Son-of-Zebedee Garden-of-Gethsemane Hill, this last the name of a colored pastor's son. But Miss Chappell's prize discovery is Pism C. Jackson named by a devout mother after the Hundredth Psalm (Psalm C)! Other investigators of Afro-American onomatology have favored me, inter alia, with the following specimens: Himself Yubank, Slaughter Bugg, Lingo D. Graham, Notre Dame Richards, Erie Canal Jackson, Lemon Mitchell, Munsing Underwear Johnson, Gentle Judge McEachern, King Solomon Ray, Nazro Barefoot, Magazine Shaw, Pictorial Review Jackson (called Torial for short), Tennessee Iron and Coal Brown, Earthly Gaskin, Hebrew Hill, Lutheran Liggon. Utensil Yvonne Johnson, Savannah Satan, Missouri Soup and Fate Cutts.2 Three of the sisters of Joe Louis the pugilist are Eammarell, Eulalia and Vunies.8 The name of Positive Wassermann Johnson, reported from Evanston, Ill., probably represents the indelicate humor of a medical student. The young brethren who deliver colored mothers in the vicinity of the Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore sometimes induce the mothers to give their babies grandiose physiological and pathological names, but these are commonly expunged later on by watchful social workers and colored pastors. Placenta, Granuloma and Gonadia, however, seem to have survived in a few cases.

3. PLACE-NAMES

"There is no part of the world," said Robert Louis Stevenson,4 "where nomenclature is so rich, poetical, humorous and picturesque as the United States of America. All times, races and languages have brought their contribution. *Pekin* is in the same State with *Euclid*, with *Bellfontaine*, and with *Sandusky*. The names of the States themselves form a chorus of sweet and most romantic vocables: *Dela-*

1 Negro Names, American Speech, April, 1929. of Wakefield, R. I., Mr. Donald Moffat of Brookline, Mass., and Dr. Henry H. Haines of Buffalo, N. Y.

² I am especially indebted here to Miss Lenora Lund of Greensburg, Pa., Mr. Beverly Entzler of Goldsboro, N. C., Mr. George Macready

³ Hartford Courant, Sept. 25, 1935 4 In Across the Plains; New York, 1892.

ware, Ohio, Indiana, Florida, Dakota, Iowa, Wyoming, Minnesota and the Carolinas: there are few poems with a nobler music for the ear: a songful, tuneful land." A glance at the latest United States Official Postal Guide 1 or report of the United States Geographic Board 2 quite bears out this encomium. The map of the country is besprinkled with place-names from at least half a hundred languages, living and dead, and among them one finds examples of the most daring and charming fancy. There are Spanish, French and Indian names as melodious and charming as running water; there are names out of the histories and mythologies of all the great races of man; there are names grotesque and names almost sublime. "Mississippi!" rhapsodized Walt Whitman; "the word winds with chutes - it rolls a stream three thousand miles long . . . Monongahela! it rolls with venison richness upon the palate." Nor was Whitman the first to note this loveliness: Washington Irving was writing about it in the Knickerbocker Magazine so long ago as 1839,3 and in 1844 Henry R. Schoolcraft printed an appreciative treatise upon the Indian names in New York State.4 Between the end of the Civil War and the end of the century about thirty studies of American place-names appeared, and since then the number has run to nearly a hundred. The majority of these works have been of small value, but Lewis H. Mc-Arthur's "Oregon Geographic Names" 5 is a treatise worthy of the highest praise, and since the appearance of Allen Walker Read's very judicious "Plans for the Study of Missouri Place-Names" in 1928 6

I Issued annually, with monthly supplements.

² The sixth report, embracing decisions down to 1932, was issued in 1933, and pamphlet supplements come out frequently. The board is composed of representatives of the State, War, Treasury, Commerce, Interior, Navy, Postoffice and Agriculture Departments, and of the Government Printing Office, the Library of Congress, and the Smithsonian Institution. It was created by an executive order of President Harrison, Sept. 4, 1890, and its decisions as to spelling are binding on all Federal departments. In the sixth report more than 26,000 geographical names are listed, covering the whole world, but with the continental United States pre-

dominating. There is a valuable preface on the spelling of geographical names.
3 National Nomenclature, Vol. XIV,

4 Aboriginal Names and Geographical Terminology of the State of New York, Proceedings of the New

York Historical Society, 1844. 5 Portland, 1928. The material was first printed in the Oregon Historical Quarterly, beginning in Dec., 1925.

6 Missouri Historical Review, Jan. See also Introduction to a Survey of Missouri Place-Names, by Robert L. Ramsay, Allen Walker Read and Esther Gladys Leech; Columbia, Mo., 1934. Mr. Read's Observations of Iowa Place-Names, American Speech, Oct., 1929, is an the investigation of the subject has been put upon a really scientific basis.1

The original English settlers, it would appear, displayed little imagination in naming the new settlements and natural features of the land that they came to. Their almost invariable tendency, at the start, was to make use of names familiar at home, or to invent banal compounds. Plymouth Rock at the North and Jamestown at the South are examples of their poverty of fancy; they filled the narrow tract along the coast with new Bostons, Cambridges, Bristols and Londons, and often used the adjective as a prefix. But this was only in the days of beginning. Once they had begun to move back from the coast and to come into contact with the aborigines and with the widely dispersed settlers of other races, they encountered rivers, mountains, lakes and even towns that bore far more engaging names, and these, after some resistance, they perforce adopted. The native names of such rivers as the James, the York and the Charles succumbed, but those of the Potomac, the Patapsco, the Merrimac and the Penobscot survived, and they were gradually reinforced as the country was penetrated. Most of these Indian names, in getting upon the early maps, suffered somewhat severe simplifications. Potowanmeac was reduced to Potomack and then to Potomac; Unéaukara became Niagara; Reckawackes, by folk etymology, was turned into Rockaway, and Pentapang into Port Tobacco.2 But, despite such

excellent discussion of the subject: what he says about Iowa names might be applied to the place-names of any other State. In The Basis of Correctness in the Pronunciation of Place-Names, American Speech, Feb., 1933, he makes another valuable contribution to the subject.

petent as Mr. Read to be concerned with the subject. In England the English Place-Name Society has been carrying on an elaborate and well coördinated survey of English place-names since 1922. It has the coöperation of linguists, historians, paleographers, archeologists, topographers and other experts, and under the editorship of Dr. Allen Mawer, provost of University College, London, and Professor F. M. Stenton, of Reading University, it has already published a dozen valuable volumes. There is a statement of its plans and aims in the Literary Supplement of the London Times, May 3, 1923.

The authority here is River and

2 The authority here is River and Lake Names in the United States, by Edmund T. Ker; New York, 1911. Stephen G. Boyd, in Indian

A bibliography running down to 1922 will be found in A Bibliography of Writings on the English Language from the Beginning of Printing to the End of 1922, by Arthur G. Kennedy; Cambridge and New Haven, 1927, p. 349 ff. For the period since 1925 the bibliographies printed in each issue of American Speech may be consulted. Unfortunately, most of the published studies of American place-names are amateurish, and it is unusual for a philologian as com-

elisions and transformations, the charm of thousands of them remained, and today they are responsible for much of the characteristic color of American geographical nomenclature. Such names as Tallahassee, Susquehanna, Mississippi, Allegheny, Chicago, Kennebec, Patuxent and Kalamazoo give a barbaric brilliancy to the American map.

Ye say they all have passed away,
That noble race and brave;
That their light canoes have vanished
From off the crested wave;
That mid the forests where they roamed
There rings no hunter's shout;
But their name is on your waters;
Ye may not wash it out.²

The settlement of the continent, once the Eastern coast ranges were crossed, proceeded with unparalleled speed, and so the naming of the new rivers, lakes, peaks and valleys, and of the new towns and districts, strained the inventiveness of the pioneers. The result is the vast duplication of names that shows itself in the Postal Guide. No less than eighteen imitative Bostons and New Bostons still appear, and there are nineteen Bristols, twenty-eight Newports, and twenty-two Londons and New Londons. Argonauts starting out from an older settlement on the coast would take its name with them, and so we find Philadelphias in Illinois, Mississippi, Missouri and Tennessee, Richmonds in Iowa, Kansas and nine other Western States, and Princetons in fifteen. Even when a new name was hit upon it seems to have been hit upon simultaneously by scores of scattered bands of settlers; thus we find the whole land bespattered with

Local Names; York (Pa.), 1885, says that the original Indian name was *Pootuppag*.

New Mexico, who in 1661 wrote it Mischipi. . . . The modern spelling occurs as early as 1718." The Southern Choctaws called the lower river the Malbanchya, meaning a place of foreign languages, a reference to the early European settlements.

2 The bard here is the ineffable Lydia > Huntley Sigourney (1791-1865), the Amy Lowell and Edna St. Vincent Millay of a more seemly era. She wrote 40 books, and contributed 2000 poems to 300 periodicals. The lines I quote are from Indian Names, c. 1822.

The best discussion of Mississippi that I have found is in Louisiana Place Names of Indian Origin, by William A. Read; Bulletin of the Louisiana State University, Feb., 1927. The name comes from two Algonkian words, misi, great, and sipi, water. The early Spaniards and French called the river the Rio Grande, the Buade, the Rivière de la Conception, the Colbert and the St. Louis. "The first European to use the Indian name," says Dr. Read, "was Peñolosa, the Governor of

Washingtons, Lafayettes, Jeffersons and Jacksons, and with names suggested by common and obvious natural objects, e.g., Bear Creek, Bald Knob and Buffalo. The Geographic Board, in its fourth report, made a belated protest against this excessive duplication. "The names Elk, Beaver, Cottonwood and Bald," it said, "are altogether too numerous." Of postoffices alone there are fully a hundred embodying Elk; counting in rivers, lakes, creeks, mountains and valleys, the map of the United States probably shows at least twice as many such names.

A study of American place-names reveals eight general classes, as follows: (a) those embodying personal names, chiefly the surnames of pioneers or of national heroes; (b) those transferred from other and older places, either in the Eastern States or in Europe; (c) Indian names; (d) Dutch, Spanish, French, German and Scandinavian names; (e) Biblical and mythological names; (f) names descriptive of localities; (g) names suggested by local flora, fauna or geology; (b) purely fanciful names. The names of the first class are perhaps the most numerous. Some consist of surnames standing alone, as Washington, Cleveland, Bismarck, Lafayette, Taylor and Randolph; others consist of surnames in combination with various old and new Grundwörter, as Pittsburgh, Knoxville, Bailey's Switch, Hagerstown, Franklinton, Dodge City, Fort Riley, Wayne Junction and McKeesport; and yet others are contrived of given-names, either alone or in combination, as Louisville, St. Paul, Elizabeth, Johnstown, Charlotte, Williamsburg and Marysville. All our great cities are surrounded by grotesque Bensonhursts, Bryn Joneses, Smithvales and Krauswoods. The number of towns in the United States bearing women's given-names is enormous. I find, for example, eleven postoffices called Charlotte, ten called Ada and no less than nineteen called Alma. Most of these places are small, but there is an Elizabeth with nearly 125,000 population, an Elmira with 50,000, and an Augusta with more than 60,000.

The names of the second class we have already briefly observed. They are betrayed in many cases by the prefix New; more than 600 such postoffices are recorded, ranging from New Albany to New Windsor. Others bear such prefixes as West, North and South, or various distinguishing affixes, e.g., Bostonia, Pittsburgh Landing, Yorktown and Hartford City. One often finds Eastern county names applied to Western towns and Eastern town names applied to West-

ern rivers and mountains. Thus, Cambria, which is the name of a county but not of a postoffice in Pennsylvania, is a town in seven Western States; Baltimore is the name of a glacier in Alaska, and Princeton is the name of a peak in Colorado. In the same way the names of the more easterly States often reappear in the West, e.g., in Mount Ohio, Colo., Delaware, Okla., and Virginia City, Nev. The tendency to name small American towns after the great capitals of antiquity has excited the derision of the English since the earliest days; there is scarcely an English book upon the States without some fling at it. Of late it has fallen into abeyance, though sixteen Athenses still remain, and there are yet many Carthages, Uticas, Spartas, Syracuses, Romes, Alexandrias, Ninevehs and Troys.1 The third city of the nation, Philadelphia, got its name from the ancient stronghold of Philadelphus of Pergamon. To make up for the falling off of this old and flamboyant custom, the more recent immigrants brought with them the names of the capitals and other great cities of their fatherlands. Thus the American map now bristles with Berlins, Bremens, Hamburgs, Warsaws and Leipzigs, and also shows Stockholms, Venices, Belgrades and Christianias.2

The influence of Indian names upon American nomenclature is obvious. No fewer than twenty-six of the States have names borrowed from the aborigines,⁸ and the same thing is true of large num-

Classical Place-Names in America, by Evan T. Sage, American Speech, April, 1929. Mr. Sage says that Pennsylvania shows more classical place-names than any other State, with Ohio ranking second, New York third, Texas fourth, and Connecticut last. He calls attention to the pseudo-classical names: Demopolis (Ala.), Cosmopolis (Wash.), Gallipolis (O.), Indianapolis (Ind.), Thermopolis (Wyo.), Coraopolis (Pa.), and Opolis (Kans.). See also Origin of the Classical Place-Names of Central New York, by Charles Maar, Quarterly Journal of the New York State Historical Association, July, 1926.

2 See Amerikanska Ortnamn af Svenskt Ursprung, by V. Berger; New York, 1915. The Swedish names listed by Mr. Berger are chiefly to be found in Minnesota, Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska and the Dakotas. See also Scandinavian Place-Names in the American Danelaw, by Roy W. Swanson, Swedish-American Historical Bulletin (St. Peter, Minn.), Aug., 1929. In most of the States local antiquaries have investigated the State names. See, for example, The Origin and Meaning of the Name California, by George Davidson; San Francisco, 1910; California, the Name, by Ruth Putnam; Berkely, 1917; Arizona, Its Derivation and Origin, by Merrill P. Freeman; Tucson, 1913; Ohio, 1803-1903, by Maria Ewing Martin; New Straitsville, 1903; the Naming of Indiana, by Cyrus W. Hodgin; Richmond (Ind.), 1903; Idaho, Its Meaning, Origin and Application, by John E. Rees; Portland (Ore.), 1917. See also The Origin of American State Names, by F. W. Lawrence, Na-

bers of towns and counties. The second city of the country bears one, and so do the largest American river, and the greatest American water-fall, and four of the five Great Lakes, and the scene of the most important military decision ever reached on American soil. "In a list of 1,885 lakes and ponds of the United States," says Louis N. Feipel,1 "285 are still found to have Indian names; and more than a thousand rivers and streams have names derived from Indian words." Walt Whitman was so earnestly in favor of these Indian names that he proposed substituting them for all other place-names, even the oldest and most hallowed. "California," he said in "An American Primer," 2 "is sown thick with the names of all the little and big saints. Chase them away and substitute aboriginal names. . . . Among names to be revolutionized: that of the city of Baltimore. . . . The name of Niagara should be substituted for the St. Lawrence. Among places that stand in need of fresh, appropriate names are the great cities of St. Louis, New Orleans, St. Paul." But eloquent argument has also been offered on the other side, chiefly on the ground that Indian names are often hard to pronounce and even harder to spell. In 1863 R. H. Newell (Orpheus C. Kerr), a popular humorist of the time, satirized the more difficult of them in a poem called "The American Traveler," beginning:

> To Lake Aghmoogenegamook, All in the State of Maine, A man from Wittequergaugaum came One evening in the rain.8

I can find neither of these names in the latest report of the Geographic Board, but there are still towns in Maine called Anasagunticook, Mattawamkeag, Oquossoc and Wytopitlock, and lakes called Unsuntabunt and Mattagomonsis. But many Indian names began to disappear in colonial days. Thus the early Virginians changed the name of the Powhatan to the James, and the first settlers in New

tional Geographic Magazine, Aug., 1920. The literature on the names of cities is rather meager. A model contribution to the subject is Baltimore - What Does the Name Mean?, by Hermann Collitz, Johns Hopkins Alumni Magazine, Jan., 1934. Baltimore, of course, gets its name from the title of the Barons Baltimore, Lords Proprietor of Maryland. Dr. Collitz shows that

the name comes from the Irish ballti-more, signifying "the place of the great lord."

¹ American Place-Names, American

Speech, Nov., 1925, p. 79.
2 Atlantic Monthly, April, 1904, pp.

³ It is reprinted in Local Discolor, by Mamie Meredith, American Speech, April, 1931.

York changed the name of Horicon to Lake George. In the same way the present name of the White Mountains displaced Agiochook; and New Amsterdam (1626), and later New York (1664), displaced Manhattan, which survived, however, as the name of the island, and was revived in 1898 as the name of a borough. In our own time Mt. Rainier has displaced Tacoma (or Tahoma). By various linguistic devices changes have been made in other Indian names. Thus, Mauwawwaming became Wyoming, Maucwachoong became Mauch Chunk, Ouemessourit became Missouri, Nibthaska became Nebraska, Rarenawok became Roanoke, Asingsing became Sing-Sing, and Machibiganing became Michigan.

The Dutch place-names of the United States are chiefly confined to the vicinity of New York, and a good many of them have become greatly corrupted. Brooklyn, Wallabout and Gramercy offer examples. The first-named was originally Breuckelen, the second was Waale Bobbt, and the third was De Kromme Zee. Hell-Gate is a crude translation of the Dutch Helle-Gat. During the early part of the last century the more delicate New Yorkers transformed the term into Hurlgate, but the change was vigorously opposed by Washington Irving, and Hell-Gate was revived. The Dutch hoek was early translated into the English book, and as such is found in various place-names, e.g., Kinderhook, Sandy Hook, Corlaers's Hook and Hook Mountain. The Dutch kill, meaning channel, is in Kill van Kull, Peekskill, Catskill and Schuylkill. Dorp (village) is in New Dorp.2 Kloof (valley, ravine) survives, in the Catskills, in Kaatersill Clove, North Clove and Clove Valley. Bosch (corrupted to bush), wijk (corrupted to wick) and vlei (usually written vly or fly) are also occasionally encountered. The first means a wood, the second a district, and the third either a valley or a plain. Very familiar Dutch place-names are Harlem, Staten, Flushing (from Vlissingen), Cort-

- I This substitution, I am informed, was due to the jealousy of Seattle, the citizens of which objected to having the greatest American peak south of Alaska bear the name of the rival city of Tacoma. But it is still called Tacoma in Tacoma.
- 2 The name of Jamaica, L. I., was originally Rustdorp and that of Westchester was Ostdorp. To this day Schenectady is commonly

called The Dorp locally, and its people pass as Dorpians. See Dialectical Evidence in the Place-Names of Eastern New York, by Edward E. Hale, American Speech, Dec., 1929. Mr. Hale's errors in Dutch are corrected by A. F. H. Swaen, in Dutch Place-Names in Eastern New York, American Speech, June, 1930.

landt, Nassau, Coenties, Spuyten Duyvel, Yonkers, Barnegat and Bowery (from bouwerij, a farmstead). Block Island was originally Blok, and Cape May, according to Schele de Vere, was Mey. The French place-names have suffered even more severely than the Dutch. Few persons would recognize Smackover, the name of a small town in Arkansas, as French, and yet in its original form it was Chemin Couvert. Schele de Vere, in 1871, recorded the degeneration of the name to Smack Cover; the Postoffice, always eager to shorten and simplify names, has since made one word of it and got rid of the redundant c. In the same way Bob Ruly, a Michigan name, descends from Bois Brulé; Glazypool, the name of an Arkansas mountain, from Glaise à Paul; Low Freight, the name of an Arkansas river, from L'Eau Frais; Loose creek, in Missouri, from L'Ours; Swashing creek from San Joachim; Baraboo, in Wisconsin, from Baribault; Picketwire, in Arkansas, from Purgatoire; and Funny Louis, in Louisiana, from Funneleur. A large number of French place-names, e.g., Lac Supérieur, were translated into English at an early day, and nearly all the original Bellevues are now Belleviews or Bellviews. Belair, La., represents the end-product of a process of decay which began with Belle Aire, and then proceeded to Bellaire and Bellair. All these forms are still to be found, together with Bel Air and Belle Ayr. The Geographic Board's antipathy to names of more than one word has converted La Cygne in Kansas, to Lacygne. Lamoine, Labelle, Lagrange and Lamonte are among its other improvements, but Lafayette for La Fayette, long antedated the beginning of its labors.1 Sheer ignorance has often been responsible for

The Geographic Board of Canada is naturally more tender with French names, but some of them are so long that it is forced to shorten them. Le Petit Journal of Montreal reported on Nov. 22, 1931 that there was a Coeur-Très-Purde-la-Bienheureuse-Vierge-Marie-de-Plaisance (commonly reduced to Plaisance) in Quebec, and a Ste. Marie-Madeleine to keep it company. The board also makes war on the numerous k's in Canadian Indian names on the ground that k is not a French letter. Examples: Kapiki-

kikakik, Kakekekwaki. In general, the board opposes the abandonment of French names. Thus it has decided for Matissard (lake) as against Horsetail, and for Laberge (creek) as against Lizard. Some of the Canadian names show strange combinations. When the French-speaking rustics found a village they commonly give it a saint's name and then tack on the name of the district. The result is such marvels as St. Evariste de Forsyth, St. Hippolyte de Kilkenny and St. Louis du Ha Ha.

the debasement of French place-names. Consider, for example, the case of *Grande Ronde*. It is the name of a valley and a river in Eastern Oregon, and it used to be the name of a town in Yamhill county. But then a big lumber company came along, enlarged the town-site, put a mortgage on it, and issued bonds against it. On these bonds, as in the incorporation papers of the company, the name was spelled *Grand Ronde*. The Oregon Geographic Board protested, but when it was discovered that rectifying the blunder would cost many hundreds of dollars, the lumber company refused to move, and so the place is now *Grand Ronde* — in French, a sort of linguistic hermaphrodite.¹

According to Harold W. Bentley 2 no less than 2000 American cities and towns have Spanish names, and thousands more are borne by rivers, mountains, valleys and other geographical entities. He says that there are more than 400 cities and towns of Spanish name in California alone. They are numerous all over the rest of the trans-Mississippi region, and, curiously enough, are even rather common in the East. The Mexican War was responsible for many of the Eastern examples, but others e.g., Albambra, Altamont and Eldorado, seem to reveal nothing more than a fondness for mellifluous names. The map of California is studded with lovely specimens: Santa Margarita, San Anselmo, Alamagordo, Terra Amarilla, Sabinoso, Las Palomas, Ensenada, San Patricio, Bernalillo, and so on. Unfortunately, they are intermingled with horrifying Anglo-Saxon inventions, e.g., Oakhurst, Ben Hur, Drytown, Skidoo, Susanville, Uno and Ono, including harsh bastard forms, e.g., Sierraville, Hermosa Reach, Point Loma and Casitas Springs. Many names originally Spanish have been translated, e.g., Rio de los Santos Reyes into Kings river, and Rio de las Plumas into Feather river, or mauled by crude attempts to turn them into something more "American," e.g., Elsinore in place of El Señor, and Monte Vista in place of Vista del Monte. Probably a fifth of the Spanish place-names in California are the names of saints. The names of the Jewish patriarchs and those of the holy places of

I I am indebted here to Mr. Lewis A. McArthur, secretary to the Oregon Geographic Board. He tells me also of the fate of *Psyche*, a town in Clallam county. The local residents, baffled by the name,

called it *Pysht*, and in the end the Postoffice succumbed, and *Pysht* it is today.

² A Dictionary of Spanish Terms in English; New York, 1932, p. 17.

Palestine are seldom, if ever, encountered: the Christianity of the early Spaniards seems to have concerned itself with the New Testament far more than with the Old, and with Catholic doctrine even more than with the New Testament. There are no Canaans or rivers fordan in the Southwest, but Concepcions, Sacramentos and Trinidads are not hard to find.

The Americans who ousted the Spaniards were intimately familiar with both books of the Bible, and one finds copious proofs of it on the map of the United States. There are no less than eleven Beulahs, nine Canaans, eleven Jordans and twenty-one Sharons. Adam is sponsor for a town in West Virginia and an island in the Chesapeake, and Eve for a village in Kentucky. There are five postoffices named Aaron, two named Abraham, two named Job, and a town and a lake named Moses. Most of the St. Pauls and St. Josephs of the country were inherited from the French, but the two St. Patricks show a later influence. Fight Wesleys and Wesleyvilles, eight Asburys and twelve names embodying Luther indicate the general theological trend of the plain people. There is a village in Maryland, too small to have a postoffice, named Gott, and I find Gotts Island in Maine (in the French days, Petite Plaisance) and Gottville in California, but no doubt these were named after German settlers of that awful name, and not after the Lord God directly. There are four Trinities, to say nothing of the inherited Trinidads. And in Arkansas and New York there are Sodoms.

Names wholly or partly descriptive of localities are very numerous throughout the country, and among the Grundwörter embodied in them are terms highly characteristic of American and almost unknown to the English vocabulary. Bald Knob would puzzle an Englishman, but the name is so common in the United States that the Geographic Board has had to take measures against it. Others of that sort are Council Bluffs, Patapsco Neck, Delaware Water Gap, Walden Pond, Sandy Hook, Key West, Bull Run, Portage, French Lick, Jones Gulch, Watkins Gully, Cedar Bayou, Keams Canyon, Poker Flat, Parker Notch, Sucker Branch, Frazier's Bottom and Eagle Pass. Butte Creek, in Montana, a small inland stream, bears a name made up of two Americanisms. There are thirty-five post-

Berkshire Downs, on the railway from London to Oxford.

r Gap occurs in England, but it is very rare. There is a Goring Gap between the Chiltern Hills and the

offices whose names embody the word prairie, several of them, e.g., Prairie du Chien, Wis., inherited from the French. There are seven Divides, eight Buttes, eight town-names embodying the word burnt, innumerable names embodying grove, barren, plain, fork, cove and ferry, and a great swarm of Cold Springs, Coldwaters, Summits, Middletowns and Highlands. The flora and fauna of the land are enormously represented. There are twenty-two Buffalos beside the city in New York, and scores of Buffalo Creeks, Ridges, Springs and Wallows. The Elks, in various forms, are still more numerous, and there are dozens of towns, mountains, lakes, creeks and country districts named after the beaver, martin, coyote, moose and otter, and as many more named after such characteristic flora as the paw-paw, the sycamore, the cottonwood, the locust and the sunflower. There is an Alligator in Mississippi, a Crawfish in Kentucky and a Rat Lake on the Canadian border of Minnesota. The endless search for mineral wealth has besprinkled the map with such names as Bromide, Oil City, Anthracite, Chrome, Chloride, Coal Run, Goldfield, Telluride, Leadville and Cement.

There was a time, particularly during the gold rush to California, when the rough humor of the country showed itself in the invention of extravagant and often highly felicitous place-names, but with the growth of population and the rise of civic spirit they have tended to be replaced by more seemly coinages. Catfish creek, in Wisconsin, is now the Yakara river; the Bulldog mountains, in Arizona, have become the Harosomas. As with natural features of the landscape, so with towns. Nearly all the old Boozevilles, Jackass Flats, Three Fingers, Hell-For-Sartains, Undershirt Hills, Razzle-Dazzles, Cow-Tails, Yellow Dogs, Jim-Jamses, Jump-Offs, Poker Citys and Skunktowns have yielded to the growth of delicacy, but Tombstone still stands in Arizona, Goose Bill remains a postoffice in Montana, and the Geographic Board gives its imprimatur to the Horsethief trail in Colorado, to Burning Bear in the same State, and to Pig Eve lake in Minnesota. Various other survivors of a more lively and innocent day linger on the map: Blue Ball, Pa., Hot Coffee, Miss., Cowhide, W. Va., Dollarville, Mich., Oven Fork, Ky., Social Circle, Ga., Sleepy Eye, Minn., Bubble, Ark., Shy Beaver, Pa., Shin Pond, Me., Gizzard, Tenn., Rough-and-Ready, Calif., Non Intervention, Va., T.B., Md., Noodle, Tex., Vinegar Bend, Ala., Matrimony, N. C., Wham, La., Number Four, N. Y., Oblong, Ill., Stock Yards, Neb.,

Stout, Iowa, and so on.1 West Virginia, the wildest of the Eastern States, is full of such place-names. Among them I find Affinity, Annamoriah (Anna Maria?), Bee, Bias, Big Chimney, Bille, Blue Jay, Bulltown, Caress, Cinderella, Cyclone, Czar, Cornstalk, Duck, Halcyon, Jingo, Left Hand, Raven's Eye, Six, Skull Run, Three Churches, Uneeda, Wide Mouth, War Eagle and Stumptown. The Postal Guide shows two Ben Hurs, five St. Elmos and ten Ivanhoes, but only one Middlemarch. There are seventeen Roosevelts, six Codys and six Barnums, but no Shakespeare. Washington, of course, is the most popular of American place-names. But among names of postoffices it is hard pushed by Clinton, Centerville, Liberty, Canton, Marion and Madison, and even by Springfield, Warren and Bismarck. A number of charming double names dot the American map, e.g., Perth Amboy, Newport News, Front Royal, Wilkes-Barré, Princess Anne, Port Tobacco, The Dalles, Baton Rouge, Walla Walla, Winston-Salem. In the older States they are supported by some even more charming names for regions and neighborhoods, e.g., Dame's Quarter, My Lady's Manor and Soldiers' Delight in Maryland.

Many American place-names are purely arbitrary coinages. Towns on the border between two States, or near the border, are often given names made of parts of the names of the two States, e.g., Pen-Mar (Pennsylvania + Maryland), Del-Mar and Mar-Dela (Maryland + Delaware), Texarkana (Texas + Arkansas + Louisiana), Kanorado (Kansas + Colorado), Texhoma (Texas + Oklahoma), Dakoming (Dakota + Wyoming), Texico (Texas + New Mexico), Nosodak (North Dakota + South Dakota), Calexico (California + Mexico). Norlina is a telescope form of North Carolina. Obiowa (Neb.) was named by settlers who came partly from Ohio and partly from Iowa. Penn Yan (N. Y.) was named by Pennsylvanians and New Englanders, i.e., Yankees. Colwich (Kansas) is a telescopic form of the name of the Colorado and Wichita Railroad. There are

See Picturesque Town-Names in America, by Mamie Meredith, American Speech, Aug., 1931; American Towns Bear Odd Names, New York Times, Feb. 7, 1932; and Strangers in Mississippi Find Hot Coffee is Place, Baltimore Evening Sun, Oct. 21, 1932. During the Winter of 1934-5 the Evening Sun printed a series of lists of odd place-names on its editorial page. Some grotesque

English names, almost fit to match the specimens above, are listed in Queer Names, American Church Monthly, Sept., 1931, p. 173, e.g., Upper Swell, Little Snoring, Nether Peover, Appledram, Swaffham, Eye Over, Fetcham, Snailwell, High Easter, Wooton, Wawen, Mutford.

2 In State Border Place-Names, by Henry J. Heck, American Speech, Feb., 1928, 51 such names are listed.

twelve Delmars in the United States. The name of one of them is a blend of Delaware and Maryland; the name of another (in Iowa) was "made by using the names (i.e., the initials of the names) of six women who accompanied an excursion that opened the railroad from Clinton, Iowa." 1 The lower part of the peninsula separating Chesapeake Bay from the Atlantic is known locally as Delmarva, a blend of the first three syllables of Delaware, Maryland and Virginia. A part of the area is in each of these States.2 Benld (Ill.) is a collision form of Benjamin L. Dorsey, the name of a local magnifico; Cadams (Neb.) is a collision form of C. Adams; Wascott (Wis.) derives from W. A. Scott; Eleroy (Ill.) from E. Leroy; Bucoda (Wash.) is a blend of Buckley, Collier and Davis; Caldeno, a waterfall of the Delaware Water Gap, got its name in 1851 from the names of three visitors, C. L. Pascal, C. S. Ogden, and Joseph McLeod; ³ Pacoman (N. C.) derives from the name of E. H. Coapman, a former vice-president of the Southern Railway; Gilsum (N. H.) is a blend of Gilbert and Sumner; Paragould (Ark.) is a blend of W. J. Paramore and Jay Gould; Marenisco (Mich.) is named after Mary Relief Niles Scott; Miloma (Minn.) derives its name from the first syllable of Milwaukee, in the name of the Milwaukee, Chicago, Minneapolis & St. Paul Railroad, and the first two syllables of Omaha, in the name of the Chicago, Minncapolis & Omaha Railroad; Gerled (Iowa) is a blend of Germanic and Ledyard, the names of two nearby townships; Rolyat (Ore.) is simply Taylor spelled backward; Biltmore (N. C.) is the last syllable of Vanderbilt plus the Gaelic more, signifying great.

The Geographic Board, in its laudable effort to simplify American nomenclature, has played ducks and drakes with some of the most picturesque names on the national map. Thus, I find it deciding against Portage des Flacons and in favor of Burro canyon, against Cañons y Ylas de la Cruz and in favor of the barbarous Cruz island. The name of the De Grasse river it has changed to Grass. De Laux

separate State is frequently made by local politicians and boosters. This proposal gets some support in Baltimore, where the Delmarvian Kultur is not greatly admired. The Delaware Water Gap, by

3 The Delaware Water Gap, by L. W. Brodhead; Phila., 1870, p.

I Louise Pound: Blends, Anglistische Forschungen, Heft XLII, p. 10. The origin of the names of the other Delmars I do not know. Mr. Donald L. Cherry of Watsonville, Calif., suggests that some of them may derive from the Spanish del mar, signifying of the sea.

² The proposal that it be made a

it has changed to the intolerable D'Llo. It has steadily amalgamated French and Spanish articles with their nouns, thus achieving such barbarous forms as Duchesne, Degroff and Eldorado. But here its policy is fortunately inconsistent, and so a number of fine old names have escaped. Thus, it has decided in favor of Bon Secour and against Bonsecours, and in favor of De Sota, La Crosse and La Moure, and against Desoto, Lacrosse and Lamoure. Its decisions are confused and often unintelligible. Why Laporte, Pa., and La Porte, Ind. and Iowa; Lagrange, Ind., and La Grange, Ky.? Here it would seem to be yielding a great deal to local usage.

The Board proceeds to the shortening and simplification of native names by various devices. It deletes such suffixes as town, city, mills, junction, station, center, grove, crossroads and courthouse.1 It removes the apostrophe and often the genitive s from such names as St. Mary's; it shortens burgh to burg 2 and borough to boro; and it combines separate and often highly discrete words. The last habit often produces grotesque forms, e.g., Newberlin, Fallentimber, Bluehill and Threctops. It apparently cherishes a hope of eventually regularizing the spelling of Allegany. This is now Allegany for the Maryland county, the Pennsylvana township and the New York and Oregon towns, Alleghany for the Colorado town and the Virginia county and springs, and Allegheny for the mountains, the Pittsburgh borough and the Pennsylvania county, college and river. The Board inclines to Allegheny for all. Other Indian names give it constant concern. Its struggles to set up Chemquasabamticook as the name of a Maine lake in place of Chemquasabamtic and Chemquassabamticook, and Chatahospee as the name of an Alabama creek

The addition of courthouse to a place-name to indicate a county-seat (it is commonly abbreviated to C. H.) seems to be a Southernism. "The county-towns of Virginia," said John R. Bartlett in his Glossary (2nd ed., 1859) "are often called courthouses without regard to their proper names. Thus, Providence, the county-town of Fairfax, is unknown by that name, and Culpepper Court-House has superseded its proper name of Fairfax. The same practise has existed to some extent in Maryland. Thus,

after the Battle of Bladensburg, and the dispersion of our forces, they were ordered to assemble at Montgomery Court-House." John S. Farmer, in his Americanisms Old and New (1889), said that the practise also extended to South Carolina. It survives in the names of a few Virginia county-towns, and of one town in Ohio, but is going out. Now and then it encounters a stout

Now and then it encounters a stout local resistance. When it tried to shorten Pittsburgh to Pittsburg that resistance was sufficient to preserve Pittsburgh, which is now official. in place of Chattahospee, Hoolethlocco, Hoolethlocco, Hoolethlocco and Hootethlocco are worthy of its learning and authority.

The American weakness for spelling pronunciations shows itself in the case of geographical names. Richard Grant White, in 1880,1 recorded an increasing tendency to give full value to the syllables of such borrowed English names as Worcester and Warwick. In Worcester county, Maryland, the name is usually pronounced Wooster, but on the Western Shore of the State one hears Worcest'r. Norwich is another such name; one hears Nor-witch quite as often as Norrich. Another is Delhi; one often hears Del-high. Yet another is Birmingham; it is pronounced as spelled in the United States, and never in the clipped English manner. Greenwich as the name of a Connecticut town is pronounced Grennidge as in England, but as the name of a San Francisco street it is Green-witch. Thames as the name of a Connecticut river is pronounced as spelled, but is Temz in England. Houston as the name of the Texas city is Hyewston, but as the name of a New York City street it is Howston. White said that in his youth the name of the Shawangunk mountains, in New York, was pronounced Shongo, but that the custom of pronouncing it as spelled had arisen during his manhood.2 So with Winnipiseogee, the name of a lake; once Winipisuakie, it gradually came to be pronounced as spelled. There is frequently a considerable difference between the pronunciation of a name by natives of a place and its pronunciation by those who are familiar with it only in print. Baltimore offers an example. The natives always drop the medial i and so reduce the name to two syllables; in addition, they substitute a neutral vowel, very short, for the o. The name thus bebecomes Baltm'r. Maryland, at home, is always Mare-I'nd. Anne Arundel, the name of a county in the State, is Ann'ran'l. Calvert county, also in Maryland, is given a broad a, but in Calvert street,

nounce every syllable and enunciate shire distinctly. In England it is always Woostersh'r. The English have a great number of decayed pronunciations, e.g., Maudlin for Magdelen, Sissiter for Cirencester, Merrybone for Marylebone. Their geographical nomenclature shows many corruptions due to faulty pronunciation and folk etymology, e.g., Leighton Buzzard for the Norman Leiton Beau Desart.

I Every-Day English, p. 100. See also American English, by Gilbert Tucker; New York, 1921, p. 33, and American Pronunciation, by J. S. Kenyon; Ann Arbor, Mich., 1932, pp. 135-6.

² This spelling-pronunciation seems to have disappeared. The local pronunciation today is *Shongum*. I have often noted that Americans, in speaking of the familiar *Worcestershire* sauce, commonly pro-

Baltimore, it is flat. Staunton, Va., the birthplace of Woodrow Wilson, is Stanton to its people, but Taunton, Mass., has acquired an r-sound. Arkansas, as everyone knows, is pronounced Arkansaw by the Arkansans.1 The local pronunciation of Illinois is Illinoy. Missouri, at home, is Mizzoora, though efforts have been made for many years by the local schoolmarms and other purists to unvoice the z's and to convert the final a into y.² In the early days the pronunciation of Iowa was always Ioway, but the schoolmarm has brought in Iowub, with the accent on the first syllable. St. Louis, to the people of the city, is St. Lewis, but Louisville, to its denizens, is Louie-ville, with the first syllable French and the second American. Des Moines, locally, is Day-moin, but Dee-moin is also heard; the two s's are always silent. Terre Haute is Terry-hut. Beaufort is Byu-furt in South Carolina but Bo-furt in North Carolina. New Orleans is New Oar-lins, with a heavy accent on the first syllable, but when New is omitted and Orleans is used as an adjective modifying a following noun it becomes Or-leens, with the accent on the second syllable. In Baltimore Orleans street is always Or-leens. Cocur d'Alene is Kur-da-lane, with the accent on the lane, and the vowel of kur lying between that of cur and that of poor.8 Cairo, Ill., is always Care-o locally, never Ky-ro. Raleigh, N. C., is Rolly, rhyming with jolly. Honolulu, in the original native speech, was Ho-nolulu, but now it is Hon-olulu. San Antonio, Tex., is Santonyo, though the second an is often inserted by the fastidious. The name of Taos, N. Mex., is pronounced to rhyme with house. Albuquerque, N. Mex., is Al-bu-ker-ky, with the accent on the first syllable, the a of which is American, not Spanish. Laramie, Wyo., is often reduced to two syllables locally, and pronounced Lormie or Lahrmie. Beatrice, Ncb., is accented on the second syllable. Wichita is Witch-

I The Legislature of the State, by an act approved March 15, 1881, decided that the name "should be pronounced in three syllables, with the final s silent, the a in every syllable with the Italian sound, and the accent on the first and last syllable." But the Italian a in the second syllable has been flattened. In Kansas the Arkansas river is called the Arkansas, with the last two syllables identical with Kansas. The people of Arkansas City in the

same State use the same pronunciation. See The Basis of Correctness in the Pronunciation of Proper Names, by Allen Walker Read, American Speech, Feb., 1935.

Names, by Allen Walker Read,
American Speech, Feb., 1935.

Pronunciation of the Word Missouri, by Allen Walker Read,
American Speech, Dec., 1933.

I am indebted here to Mr. Marshall

3 I am indebted here to Mr. Marshall Ballard, editor of the New Orleans Item, and to Mr. H. F. Kretchman, editor of the Coeur d'Alene Press. i-taw. The first syllable of Akron rhymes with jack, not with jake. Spokane is Spo-can, not Spo-cane. Bonne Terre, an old town near St. Louis, is Bonnie-tar. Portage, Wis., is pronounced as an English word. Lafayette, a frequent town name, is Laugh-y-et. Havre de Grace is pronounced Haver de Grass, with two flat a's. Versailles, in Indiana, is Versales. In Northern Michigan the pronunciation of Sault in Sault Ste. Marie is commonly more or less correct; the Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste. Marie Railroad is called the Soo, and there is a Soo canal. This may be due to Canadian example, or to some confusion between Sault and Sioux. The Rouge in Baton Rouge gets its French value locally, but the Baton becomes bat'n, with the bat rhyming with cat, and the o reduced to a neutral vowel. The local pronunciation of Tucson, according to the Tucson Sunshine-Climate Club, is Tu-sahn, with the accent on the second syllable, but most Americans make it Too-s'n, with the accent on the first syllable. It is a great point in San Francisco to pronounce the name of Geary street Gary, that of Kearny Karny, and that of Sutter with the u of put: doing so proves that one is an old-timer.1 The Spanish place-names of California offer difficulties to natives and strangers alike. For years the Los Angeles Times has printed a standing notice that the name of the city should be pronounced Loce Ahng-hayl-ais, but the resident boosters and Bible-searchers continue to say Loss Angle-iss, Loss Anjell-iss, Loce-Angle-iss, Loce Angle-ez, and even Sang-lis. The common local abbreviation is L. A.; Los is seldom heard.2 The name of the Indian village that originally occupied the site of the city was Yang-na; the Spaniards, in 1760, changed this to El Pueblo de Nuestra Señora la Reina de Los Angeles (The Town of Our Lady, Queen of Angels). Many other California towns have shortened their Spanish names in the same way. What is now Ventura was formerly San Buena Ventura, San José was San José de Guadalupe, and Santa Clara was Santa Clara de Asis. Santa Fe, in New Mexico, was originally the Villa Real de Santa Fé de San Francisco. Some of the Spanish place-names

themselves: Jax for Jacksonville, Balto for Baltimore, Philly for Philadelphia, K. C. for Kansas City, and Chi for Chicago. In the familiar ballad, Casey Jones, Casey was originally K. C.

r Private communication from Miss Miriam Allen de Ford of San Francisco.

² So far as I can find, no one has ever investigated the local abbreviations for town-names. A few suggest

in the Southwest have been shortened for daily use. Frisco for San Francisco is frowned upon locally, but is used elsewhere. San Bernardino is San Berna'dino, San B'rdino, San B'rdoo, or B'rdoo, San Pedro is Pedro, Santa Monica is Santa Mon, San Jacinto is San Jack, and Sacramento is Sacto or Sac. In New Mexico and Arizona, where the Spanish-speaking population is relatively large, the Spanish pronunciation is preserved, but in the adjoining States it is fast succumbing to Americanization. The name of the Raton pass, separating New Mexico from Colorado, is pronounced Rah-ton in New Mexico but Ra-toon in Colorado. Similarly, Costilla, a border-town, is Koastee-yah in New Mexico and Kos-til-la in Colorado, San Luis, in Colorado, is San Loo-is, Garcia is Gar-shah, Saguache is Sigh-watch, La Junta is La Hunta instead of La Hoonta, Buena is Bew-nah, Salida is Sa-lye-dah and Cerro is Sir-ro.2 Even the name of the State is often Color-ray-do. The Spanish a, says Joseph B. Vasché of the State Teachers College at San José, Calif., appears to be doomed, and the o and i are going with it. There are frequent pedagogical efforts to restore the old pronunciations, but Mr. Vasché believes that any return to them is impossible. The value of \tilde{n} has been preserved only by changing it to ny, as in canyon. Another change in spelling is the abandonment of the accent in such place-names as San José and Santa Fé. It does not appear on the letterhead of the San José State Teachers College, just mentioned, and the Geographic Board omits it from the name of the capital of New Mexico, though retaining it on the name of the city in Argentina. The accents in French and Scandinavian names are sloughed off in the same way. Every Belvédère of the early days is now a Belvidere, and every Ste. Thérèse has become a St. Therese. In Minnesota the Swedish Skåne has become Skane, and Malmö is Malmo.4 If there were any considerable number of German place-names on the American map their umlauts would be sacrificed. The German ch-sound, when it

I I am indebted here to Dr. Joseph M. Prendergast, of Burlingame, Calif.

² Spanish Place-Names in Colorado, by Eleanor L. Ritchie, American Speech, April, 1935, and Some Spanish Place-Names of Colorado, by George L. Trager, the same, Oct., 1935. See also Arizona Place-Names, by W. C. Barnes; Tucson, 1935.

³ Trends in the Pronunciation of the Spanish Place-Names of California, American Speech, Aug., 1931, p. 461.

⁴ For a list of other changes see Scandinavian Place-Names in the American Danelaw, by Roy W. Swanson, Swedish-American Historical Bulletin (St. Peter, Minn.), Aug., 1929, p. 16.

appears in Loch, a Scottish word, is always converted into ck.1 The Holston river in Tennessee was originally the Holstein.2

4. OTHER PROPER NAMES

"Such a locality as at the corner of Avenue H and Twenty-third street," says W. W. Crane, "is about as distinctly American as Algonkian and Iroquois names like Mississippi and Saratoga." 8 Rudyard Kipling, in his "American Notes," 4 gave testimony to the strangeness with which the number-names, the phrase the corner of, the word block, and the custom of omitting street fell upon the ear of a Britisher of a generation or more ago. He quotes with amazement certain directions given to him on his arrival in San Francisco from India: "Go six blocks north to [the] corner of Geary and Markey [Market?]; then walk around till you strike [the] corner of Sutter and Sixteenth." 5 The English almost always add the word street (or road or place or avenue) when speaking of a thoroughfare: such a phrase as Oxford and New Bond would strike them as incongruous.8 The American custom of numbering and lettering

1 The Pennsylvania Germans, in return, make a frightful hash of certain familiar "American" names. In an appendix to his Dictionary of the Non-English Words of the Pennsylvania-German Dialect; Lancaster, Pa., 1924, M. B. Lambert lists Nei Jarrick for New York, Baerricks for Berks, Daerm for Durham, lesdaun for Easton, Heio for Ohio, Lenggeschder for Lancaster, Phildelphi for Philadelphia, Redden for Redding, and Tschaertschi for Jersey. In New York, according to Arthur Livingston (La Merica San-emagogna, Romanic Review, Vol. IX, No. 2, April-June, 1918), the Italians convert Jersey City into Gerseri, Hoboken into Obochino, and Flatbush into Flabussce. In Canada, according to Adjutor Rivard (Études sur les Parlers de France au Canada; Quebec, 1914, 167) the French-Canadians change Somerset to Saint-Morissette, Sutherland to Saint-Irlande, and Sandy Brook's Point to Saint-Abroussepoil. In Cleveland, so I am told by Dr. Joseph Remény of Cleveland College, the Hungarians call the Buckeye road the Bakrud, which has a silly meaning in Hungarian, where baka is a soldier and rud is a pole.

2 A curious bastard form is Anabeim, the name of a town near Santa Ana. It was founded by a German winegrower in the 80's. In Pennsylvania such forms as Schultzville and Schaefferstown are common.

3 Our Street Names, Lippincott's Magazine, Aug., 1897, p. 264. 4 New York, 1891, Ch. I.

5 Here Kipling made two errors. The the would never be omitted before corner, and Sutter and Sixteenth streets do not meet.

6 But I am reminded by Mrs. Pieter Juiliter, of Scotia, N. Y., that "true Oxonians always speak of the Broad, the High, the Turl and the Corn instead of Broad street, High street, Turl street, and Cornmarket street." The article, however, is always used; it is never used in the United States.

streets is usually ascribed by English writers to sheer poverty of invention, but of late some of them have borne witness to its convenience. One such is C. K. Ogden, who says in "Basic English": 1

[By] anyone who has driven around the suburbs [looking for] The Laurels, 13a, Aspidistra Court Gardens, peering from a taxi through the darkness at No. 8, at Catspaw Mansions, at The Chestnuts, at No. 41, and at a variety of indiscernibles, before finally turning the corner of an unsuspecting mews, also known locally as Smith's Passage, the advantages of living in No. 123 West 456th street will hardly be disputed.

Another is E. Stewart Fay, author of a learned work on London street-names.² He says:

It is a great pity that the Marquis of Westminster and Thomas Cubitt developed Belgravia before the new system had become general in America. . . . However much the present residents of Eaton place may protest at the idea of their street being called Sixth avenue or E street, it is certain that long before now London would have been accustomed to street-naming sanity and would value an address in E street as highly as one in Eaton place. . . . I have no wish to see the Strand rechristened First avenue. But I do claim that the jerry-builders of Middlesex, Essex, Kent and Surrey would be very much better advised to plan their names upon some useful basis than to go on senselessly perpetrating meaningless Romeo streets and futile Snowdrop crescents.

The English often give one street more than one name. Thus, Oxford street, in London, becomes the Bayswater road, High street, Holland Park avenue, Goldhawk road and finally the Oxford road to the westward, and High Holborn, Holborn viaduct, Newgate street, Cheapside, the Poultry, Cornhill and Leadenhall street to the eastward. The Strand, in the same way, becomes Fleet street, Ludgate bill and Cannon street. But the American system of numbering and lettering streets shows some signs of increasing acceptance. There is a First avenue in Queen's Park, London, and parallel to it are Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth and Sixth avenues - all small streets leading northward from the Harrow road, just east of Kensal Green cemetery. Mr. Fay reports a set of three numbered avenues at East Acton, and one or two at Mortlake. "At Plaistow," he says, "someone has endeavored to see the light, but unfortunately without bringing much intelligence to the task, for his three numbered avenues are arranged in the shape of a triangle! " There is also a First street in Chelsea - a very modest thoroughfare near Lennox gardens and not far from the Brompton Oratory.8 The English custom

Words, by Earnest Weekley; London, 1930. A brief bibliography is appended.

¹ London, 1930, p. 25.

² Why Piccadilly?; London, 1935.

³ See the Chapter on London Street-Names in Adjectives – and Other

of giving grandiloquent names to small houses in the suburbs has never taken root in the United States, but Summer-camps are usually named, and not infrequently their titles show a gay and saucy spirit, e.g., Kamp Takitezy, U Kan Kom In, Hatetoleaveit, Viol-Inn, The Cat's Meeow and Iszatso.1 Tourist-camps often bear names of the same sort. There was a time when all American apartment-houses were elegantly labeled, but of late many of them have been given only street-numbers. There are even hotels without names - six of them in Manhattan. The names of American suburbs often engage the national wits. Those in -burst are so numerous that they have produced a satirical type, Lonesomehurst. The garden city movement, launched by an Englishman, Sir Ebenezer Howard, in 1898, was quickly imitated in this country, and with it came a new popularity for names suggesting feudal estates, e.g., Cecil Manor, Bryn Jenkins and Smithdale. The developers of suburbs in low, marshy places have a great liking for adding heights to their names.

The numbering and lettering of streets was apparently invented by Major Pierre-Charles L'Enfant in 1791, when he laid out the plan of Washington. In the older American cities the downtown streets still usually have names surviving from colonial days, and some of them were borrowed originally from London, e.g., Cheapside, Cornhill and Broadway.2 In the United States such pretentious designations as avenue, boulevard, drive and speedway are used much more freely than in England. Boulevard, in some American cities. has of late taken on the meaning of a highway for through traffic, on entering which all vehicles must first halt. In England such a highway is commonly called an arterial road. Every American town of any airs has a Great White Way; in the Middle West, in the Era of Optimism, rows of fine shade-trees were cut down to make room for them. Avenue is used in England, but according to Horwill, it is "usually reserved for a road bordered by trees." Professor Weekley says that the first avenue in London was St. Bride's, opened in 1825. In America the word was formerly used to designate a thoroughfare in the suburbs, not built up like a street, but laid out for future building, and hence not a road. In the Baltimore of my youth

appears on some street signs in San Francisco, and also in San Diego. This suggests that *Broadway* is recent on the Pacific Coast.

Naming the Bungalow, by Ida M. Mellen, American Speech, March, 1927.

² I am informed by Miss Miriam Allen de Ford that Broadway street

Charles street became Charles street avenue at the old city boundary, and the Charles street avenue road a bit farther out. At Towanda, Pa., there is a Plank road street. Many American towns now have plazas, which are quite unknown in England, and nearly all have City Hall parks, squares or places. The principal street of a small town, in the United States, used to be Main street, but since the appearance of Sinclair Lewis's novel of that name, in 1920, the designation has taken on a derogatory implication, and is going out. In England, Main street is usually the High street, not forgetting the article; but in Scotland there are many Main streets. The newer suburbs of American towns are full of lanes, roads and ways, but the English circus, crescent, terrace, walk, passage and garden are seldom encountered. Alley survives in a few of the older cities, but row, court and yard are virtually extinct. These English names for thoroughfares, like the American boulevard and avenue, have lost most of their original significance. "A Londres," complains André Maurois (quoted by Professor Weekley), "Cromwell place est une ruc, Cromwell gardens n'est un jardin, et Hyde Park terrace n'est pas une terrasse."

The pronunciation of street-names in the United States shows the same freedom that marks the pronunciation of place-names. The old Dutch names of New York City are sadly mangled by the present inhabitants of the town, e.g., Desbrosses, which was de Broose in Dutch, is now Des-brossez. Spanish names are often corrupted in the same way in the Southwest, and French names in the Great Lakes region and in Louisiana and thereabout. In New Orleans Bourbon has become Bur-bun or Boi-bun, Dauphine is Daw-fin, Foucher is Foosh'r, Enghien is En-gine, Chartres is Charters, and Felicity (originally Félicité) is Fill-a-city. The French, in their far-off day, bestowed the names of the Muses upon certain of the city streets. They are now pronounced Cal-y-ope or Cal-y-op, You-terp or Youtoip, Mel-po-mean, Terp-si-core, Drieds, and so on. Bons Enfants, apparently too difficult for the present inhabitants, has been translated into Good Children, and the rue Royale into Royal street. In Montgomery, Ala., the local Darktown, Boguehomme by name, is called Boag-a-home-a.1

As everyone knows, the right of Americans to be so called is

I For aid here I am indebted to Mr. Maurice K. Weil of New Orleans.

frequently challenged, especially in Latin-America, but so far no plausible substitute has been devised, though many have been proposed, e.g., Unisians, Unitedstatesians, Columbards, etc. On October 28, 1928, the Paris Figaro opened a discussion of the subject, in which M. Dumont-Wilden, editor of the Revue Bleue, Gabriel Louis-Jaray, of the Comité France-Amérique, André Siegfried, author of "America Comes of Age," and various other ingenious Frenchmen participated, but nothing came of it. There are also frequent debates over the designation to be applied to the inhabitants of various States and cities. The people of Alabama commonly call themselves Alabamians, and those of Indiana call themselves Indianians, but in both States there are minorities which object to the redundant i.1 In Oklahoma Oklahoman has the weight of enlightened opinion behind it, but Oklahomian is often heard outside the State. In Idaho the English faculty of the State university favors Idahovan, but Idahoan is heard much more often. In Atlanta some of the people call themselves Atlantans and others prefer Atlantians: the Atlanta Constitution uses the former and the Journal the latter. In New Orleans Orleanian, with the accent on the an, is preferred by the elegant, but the vast majority of citizens say Orleenian, with the accent on the leen.2 Larousse's Grand Dictionnaire Universal prints (under noms) a list of the designations of persons living in all the principal towns of France, but so far as I know, no such compilation has ever been attempted for the United States. Nevertheless, George R. Stewart, Jr., of the University of California, has attempted to determine the principles underlying their formation. His conclusions may be roughly summarized as follows:

- r. If the name of the town ends in -ia, the name of the citizen is formed by adding n, e.g., Philadelphian.
 - 2. If it ends in -on, -ian is added, e.g., Bostonian, Tucsonian.
 - 3. If it ends in -i, -an is added, e.g., Miamian.
- 4. If it ends in -y, the y is changed to i and an is added, e.g., Albanian, Kansas Citian.
 - 5. If it ends in -o, -an is added, e.g., Chicagoan, Elpasoan.
- P. See Dunn and Indianan, by Jacob P. Dunn, Indianapolis News, Aug. 11, 1922. Mr. Dunn, forgetting Canadian, argues that Indianian is just as absurd as Texian or Cubian. But Mr. Julian Hall, editor of the Dothan (Ala.) Eagle, prefers Alabamian on the ground of long usage. "If there is any merit," he

says, "in the rule of spelling a proper name just as the possessor spells it, then we are Alabamians."

In the Canal Zone the Americans commonly call the people of Panama Panamanians, with the first syllable showing a Latin a, but the third rhyming with cane.

- 6. If it ends in a sounded -e, or in -ie or -ee, -an is added, e.g., Muskogeean, Albuquerquean, Guthrian, Poughkeepsian.
- 7. If it ends in -a, not preceded by i, the common rule is to add -n, e.g., Topekan, Tacoman.
 - 8. If it ends in -olis, the change is to -olitan, e.g., Annapolitan.
- 9. If it ends with a consonant or with a silent -e, -ite or -er is added, e.g., Brooklynite, Boiseite, Wheelingite; New Yorker, Pittsburgher, Davenporter.1

But there are frequent exceptions to these rules. In California the Spanish names ending in o do not take an, but change the o to a and add n, e.g., San Franciscan, San Diegan, Sacramentan, Palo Altan, San Matean and Los Gatan. Even those not ending in o tend to take an, e.g., Santa Cruzan, Salinan, San Josean and Montereyan. A Buffalo man is not a Buffaloan, but a Buffalonian, and by the same token a Toronto man is a Torontonian. A Quincy, Ill., man is not a Quincian, but a Quincyan. The hideous suffix -ite seems to be gaining on all others. A citizen of Akron, O., used to be an Akronian, but after the town began to boom he became an Akronite. For many years an Episcopal clergyman, Dr. Ringwalt, who wrote editorials for the Camden, N. J., Post-Telegram, tried to make his readers accept Camdenian, but they preferred Camdenite, and Camdenite it is today. In Moscow, Idaho, the intelligentsia of the State University prefer Moscovite, with Moscovian as second choice, but the Moscow Star-Mirror prefers Moscowite, and so do the people of the town.2 A citizen of Raleigh, N. C. (pronounced Rolly), should be a Raleighan by Mr. Stewart's rule, but he is actually a Raleighite, though a citizen of Berkeley, Calif., remains a Berkeleyan, not a Berkeleyite. There is apparently a strong tendency for -ite to follow d, f, g, l, m, n, r and s, as in Englewoodite, St. Josephite, Wheelingite, Seattleite, Durhamite, Brooklynite, Fall Riverite and Yonkersite, but there are some exceptions, e.g., Richmonder, Winnipegger, Montrealer, Lynner, Rochesterian, Memphian. The names ending in k and t usually take er, e.g., Yorker, Quebecer, Davenporter, Rocky Mounter, but in Passaic, N. J., Passaicite is preferred, and in Frederick,

1 Names For Citizens, American Speech, Feb., 1934, p. 78.

2 Moscowite or Moscowian?, Moscow Star-Mirror, April 22, 1935. I am indebted here to Mr. Louis A. Boas, editor of the Star-Mirror.

3 "So far as we are aware," says Kenneth A. Fowler, in The Town Crier, Yonkers *Herald Statesman*, April 25, 1935, "there is no official designation. The most common word is probably Yonkersite, with Yonkers man another quite frequently used phrase. The more tony term of Yonkersonian is seldom if ever heard."

4 This is used in Richmond, Va. In Richmond, Ind., Richmondite is preferred.

Md., the proper form is not Fredericker but Fredericktonian. In the few American towns whose names end with the French g, an is added, e.g., Baton Rougean. Those in -ville drop the final c and add -ian, e.g., Louisvillian. In Los Angeles the correct form is Angeleño (pro. An-juh-lee-no), but it is not yet in universal use, and in print it always loses its tilde. The average denizen of Los Angeles, asked what he is, still responds that he is an Iowan, a Kansan, a Texan, or what not. In Taos, N. Mex., Taoseño is used, with Taoseña for a female, and the tilde is carefully preserved. I am told by Mr. Spud Johnson, editor of the Taos Valley News, that Taosian and Taosite are sometimes used by tourists and the indigenous vulgar, but "partly because there is a well-known woman's club called Las Taoseñas, which has made the name familiar, and partly because it is graceful and easy and the alternatives are somewhat clumsy, the Spanish form is used even by the Lions and the Chamber of Commerce." 1 The people of Cambridge, Mass., borrowing from those of the English university town, call themselves Cantabrigians, and those of Saugus, Mass., call themselves Saugonians. Those of Providence, R. I., remembering proudly that they live in what is officially the State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations, simply call themselves Rhode Islanders. A citizen of Schenectady, N. Y., is ordinarily a Schenectadian, but often says that he is a Dorpian, from the ancient Dutch designation of the town-the Dorp, or the Old Dorp. Similarly, a citizen of Reading, Pa., uses Berks County Dutchman in preference to Readingite. A citizen of Poughkeepsic, N. Y., is ordinarily a Poughkeepsian, but sometimes he calls himself an Apokeepsian, and some years ago the local Rotarians tried to make Apokeepsian official. It is supposed to be more nearly in accord with the original Indian name of the town. A citizen of Cape Girardeau, Mo., is a Girardean, omitting the Cape. A man of Greensboro, N. C., may be either a Greensburger or a Greensboroite, according to his private taste. A man of Lancaster, Pa., is a Lancastrian. A man of Hagerstown, Md., is not a Hagerstownite but a Hagerstowner or (occasionally) Hagerstonian. A Montrealer, if French, is un Montréalais, and if female une Montréalaise. A Quebecer, if French, is a Québecois.2 In the towns bearing classical or pseudo-classical names

Miss Jean E. Riegel, of Bethlehem, Pa.; Miss Helen Merrill Bradley, of Toronto; Mrs. F. M. Hanes, of Durham, N. C.; Miss Katherine

r Private Communication, June 15, 1935.

² For help here I am indebted to Mrs. Jessie I. Miller of Cairo, Ill.;

the inhabitants wear extremely majestic labels, e.g., Trojan, Carthagenian (Carthage, Mo.), Phoenician (Phoenix, Ariz.), Florentine (Florence, Ala.), Roman, Athenian, Spartan, but a citizen of Columbus, O., is a Columbusite not a Columbian.¹ The names of certain American towns are so refractory that no special designations for their citizens have ever arisen. Examples are La Crosse, Wis., Oshkosh, Wis.,² Little Rock, Ark., Independence, Mo., Rutland, Vt.,³ and

Ferguson, of Cedar Rapids, Iowa; Miss Nan Strum, of Rocky Mount, N. C.; Mrs. Carolina Penna Hyman, of Los Altos, Calif.; Miss Mable E. Bontz, of Sacramento, Calif.; Mrs. Alicia L. Rooney, of San Antonio, Tex.; Messrs. Maury Maverick, of San Antonio, Tex.; Mahlon N. Haines, of York, Pa.; Harry Allard, of Cape Girardeau, Mo.; S. H. Abramson, of Montreal; J. S. Creegan, of Albuquerque, N. Mex.; Wilson O. Clough, of Laramie, Wyo.; E. L. Clark, of Providence, R. I.; Henry Broderick, of Seattle, Wash.; J. A. Macdonald, of Van-couver, B. C.; Rowland Thomas, of Little Rock, Ark.; Philip G. Quinn, of Fall River, Mass.; Herman Baradinsky, of New York; Alfred C. Booth, of East Orange, N. J.; Israel Bloch, of Lynn, Mass.; N. R. Callender, of Benton Harbor, Mich.; Harry Corry, of Davenport, Iowa; John William Cummins, of Wheeling, W. Va.; Henry Ware Allen, of Wichita, Kansas; Marshall Ballard, of New Orleans; James Doolittle, of Grand Rapids, Mich.; J. A. Coneys, of Englewood, N. J.; Carl J. Ruskowski, of Schenectady, N. Y.; Charles Stewart Lake, of Columbus, O.; Duncan Aikman, of Los Angeles, Calif.; Eugene Davidson, of New Haven, Conn.; J. G. Sims, Jr., of Fort Worth, Tex.; J. L. Meeks, of Florence, Ala.; Gerald W. Johnson, of Baltimore; Leigh Toland, of La Crosse, Wis.; A. C. Ross, of Rochester, N. Y.; Folger McKinsey, of Baltimore; Virginius Dabney, of Richmond, Va.; Irving C. Hess, of San Diego, Calif.; Morris Fletcher Atkins, of Montpelier, Vt.; Donald L. Cherry, of Watsonville, Calif.;

R. R. Peters, of Bucyrus, O.; L. M. Feeger, of Richmond, Ind.; Charles F. Eichenauer, of Quincy, Ill.; Otto Stabell, of Passaic, N. J.; J. W. Spear, of Phoenix, Ariz.; Torrey Fuller, of Poughkeepsie, N. Y.; Raymond Fields, of Guthrie, Okla.; James Q. Dealey, of Dallas, Tex.; Charles P. Manship, of Baton Rouge, La.; Paul R. Kelty, of Portland, Ore.; Edwin M. Shanklin, of Des Moines, Iowa; Julian Hall, of Dothan, Ala.; C. Oliver Power, of Carthage, Mo.; J. E. Barbey, of Reading, Pa.; Samuel Grafton, of New York, and Clyde K. Hyder, of Lawrence, Kansas; Col. Patrick H. Callahan, of Louisville; Lieut. Col. E. L. M. Burns, of Ottawa; Monsignor J. B. Dudek, of Oklahoma Čity, Okla.; Dr. J. A. Kostalek, of the University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, Dr. W. L. Frazier, of Boise, Idaho; Dr. H. K. Croessmann, of DuQuoin, Ill.; Dr. D. C. Alldredge, of Berkeley, Calif.; Dr. J. Christopher O'Day, of Honolulu; and Messrs. Theodore W. Noyes and Philander Johnson, of Washington, D. C.

In Columbus, Ind., Columbusite is used only rarely, but Columbusonian even more rarely. "I don't believe," says Mr. Melvin Lostutter, editor of the Columbus Evening Republican, "you would be accurate in applying a local designation to our citizenry."

2 Mr. L. K. Bronson, managing editor of the Oshkosh Northwestern, tells me that Oshkoshian has been used, but only rarely. "Oshkosh man," he says, "is the more common description."

3 Miss Harriet E. Matthison, of the Rutland *Herald*, says that there is the New Jersey Oranges. Some of the States are in the same position, e.g., Massachusetts and Connecticut. A resident of the District of Columbia always calls himself a Washingtonian. A citizen of Arkansas is an Arkansawyer, following the local pronunciation of the State name. A citizen of Michigan is a Michigander. A citizen of New Jersey is a Jerseyman. A rough popular humor often supplies opprobrious forms. Thus the people of Chicago (or at least some of them) have been called Chicagorillas, those of Baltimore Baltimorons,2 those of Omaha Omahogs, those of Louisville Louisvillains, those of Swampscott, Mass., Swampskeeters, and those of Cedar Rapids, Iowa, Bunnies (See der rabbits). All the States have nicknames, and some have more than one. A number of these are almost as well known as the actual State names, e.g., Hoosier (Indiana), Keystone (Pennsylvania), Empire (New York), Buckeye (Ohio), Old Dominion (Virginia), Show Me (Missouri), Palmetto (South Carolina), Lone Star (Texas), Tarheel (North Carolina), and Bay (Massachusetts).8 In some cases the inhabitants are known by the nicknames of their States, e.g., Hoosiers, Tarbeels, Buckeyes, Crackers (Georgia). In other cases separate nicknames have arisen, e.g., Jayhawks (Kansas), Colonels (Kentucky), Blue Hen's Chickens (Delaware). In the early days most of the designations in vogue were ribald, e.g., Lizards (Alabama), Buzzards (Georgia), Pukes (Missouri), Web-feet (Oregon), Whelps (Tennessee), Beetheads (Texas), Leatherheads (Pennsylvania), Foxes (Maine), Toothpicks (Arkansas), Bug-eaters (Nebraska), Weasels (South Carolina), Tadpoles (Mississippi), Muskrats (Delaware), Clam-catchers (New Jersey), Crawthumpers (Maryland). In his "Slang in America" (part of "November Boughs," 1888) Walt Whitman printed a list largely identical with the foregoing: apparently he borrowed it from an anonymous newspaper article reprinted in the Broadway Journal

by Miles L. Hanley, American Speech, Oct., 1933, p. 78.

[&]quot;no recollection" in the Herald office "of hearing Rutland people called by any particular name." "The secretary of the Chamber of Commerce," she adds, "informs me that she would think Rutlander preferable to Rutlandite."

I See On the Difficulty of Indicating Nativity in the United States, by Miriam Allen de Ford, American Speech, April, 1927, and Comments,

² Chicagorilla is the invention of Walter Winchell. Baltimoron was coined by Harry C. Black, of the Baltimore Evening Sun, and first appeared in that paper, Feb. 15, 1922.

³ A list of those currently in use is printed annually in the World Almanac.

for May 3, 1845.¹ The etymology of the State nicknames has engaged a large number of amateur philologians, but with inconclusive results. The origin of *Hoosier*, for example, remains uncertain.² Many cities also have generally recognized nicknames, e.g., the Hub (Boston), the Windy City (Chicago), the Monumental City (Baltimore), and the Quaker City (Philadelphia), and nearly every small place of any pretensions has tried to launch one for itself, usually embodying Queen or Wonder.

Another field that awaits scientific exploration is that of the joketowns - Podunk, Squedunk, Hohokus, Goose Hill, Hard-Scrabble, and so on. Almost every large American city is provided with such a neighbor, and mention of it on the local stage arouses instant mirth. For many years Hoboken was the joke-town of New York, Watt was that of Los Angeles, and Highlandtown was that of Baltimore, but Hoboken won its way to metropolitan envy and respect during Prohibition, Watt has been absorbed in Los Angeles, and Highlandtown is now a glorious part of Baltimore. "The humorous connotation of certain Indian names," said the late George Philip Krapp, "has always been felt, and names like Hohokus, Hoboken, Kalama-200,3 Keokuk, Oshkosh, Skaneateles, names of real places, have acquired more than local significance, as though they were grotesque creations of fancy. There is, however, no postoffice named Podunk in the United States Official Postal Guide. Just how this word came to be used as a designation for any small, out-of-the-way place is not known. It is an Indian word by origin, the name of a brook in Connecticut and a pond in Massachusetts, occurring as early as 1687. There is also a Potunk on Long Island." * Here Dr. Krapp seems to have been in error, for an onomastic explorer, E. A. Plimpton, re-

1 See Nicknames of the States; a Note on Walt Whitman, by John Howard Birss, American Speech, June, 1932, p. 389.

June, 1932, p. 389.

2 See The Origin of the Term Hoosier, by O. D. Short, Indiana Magazine of History, June, 1929.

See also Tar Heels (anonymous), American Speech, March, 1926.

3 Kalamazoo, which was settled in 1829 and chartered as a city in 1884, remained a joke-town down to the end of the century. A set of derisive verses, credited to the Denver News, circulated through the newspapers about 1900. Its refrain was:

O Kalamazozle — mazizzle — Mazazzle-mazeezle-mazoo! That liquid, harmonious, easy, euphonious Name known as Kalamazoo!

It will be noted that k is prominent in the names of all the towns cited by Dr. Krapp.

4 The English Language in America; New York, 1925, p. 176. ported in the Boston Herald for February 8, 1933, that he had discovered a veritable Podunk in Massachusetts, not far from Worcester.¹ Dr. Louise Pound says that Skunk Center, Cottonwood Crossing and Hayseed Center are favorite imaginary towns in Nebraska, and that Sagebrush Center reigns in Wyoming, Rabbit Ridge in Kansas, and Pumpkin Hollow in the State of Washington. For Missouri Charles E. Hess reports Gobbler's Knob, Possum Hollow, Hog Heaven, Slabtown, Hog-Eye, Skintown, Bugtown and Puckey-Huddle.² Frogtown is a common nickname for a Negro settlement in many parts of the United States.

I See The Locus of *Podunk*, by Louise Pound, *American Speech*, Feb., 1934, p. 80.

2 For Dr. Pound see the paper just

cited. Mr. Hess's paper, Poduck in Southeast Missouri, is in American Speech, Feb., 1935, p. 80.

XI

AMERICAN SLANG

I. THE NATURE OF SLANG

Slang is defined by the Oxford Dictionary as "language of a highly colloquial type, considered as below the level of standard educated speech, and consisting either of new words or of current words employed in some special sense." The origin of the word is unknown. Ernest Weekley, in his "Etymological Dictionary of Modern English," 1921, suggests that it may have some relation to the verb to sling, and cites two Norwegian dialect words, based upon the cognate verb slenge or slengje, that appear to be its brothers: slengjeord, a neologism, and slengjenamn, a nickname. But he is not sure, so he adds the note that "some regard it as an argotic perversion of the French langue, language." A German philologian, O. Ritter, believes that it may be derived, not from langue, but from language itself, most probably by a combination of blending and shortening, as in thieve(s' lang)uage, beggar(s' lang)uage, and so on.1 "Webster's New International," 1934, follows somewhat haltingly after Weekley. The Oxford Dictionary, 1919, evades the question by dismissing slang as "a word of cant origin, the ultimate source of which is not apparent." When it first appeared in English, about the middle of the Eighteenth Century,2 it was employed as a synonym of cant, and so designated "the special vocabulary used by any set of persons of a low or disreputable character"; and half a century later it began to be used interchangeably with argot, which means the vocabulary special to any group, trade or profession. But

- 1 Archiv für das Studium der neueren Sprachen, Vol. CXVI, 1906. I am indebted for the reference to Concerning the Etymology of Slang, by Fr. Klaeber, American Speech, April, 1926. The process is not unfamiliar in English: tawdry, from Saint Audrey, offers an example.
- 2 It has since appeared in German, French and Swedish, as is shown by the titles of Deutsches Slang, by Arnold Genthe; Strassburg, 1892; Le Slang, by J. Manchon; Paris, 1923; and Stockholmska Slang, by W. P. Uhrström; Stockholm, 1911.

during the past fifty years the three terms have tended to be more or less clearly distinguished. The jargon of criminals is both a kind of slang and a kind of argot, but it is best described as cant, a word derived from the Latin cantus, and going back, in its present sense, to c. 1540. One of the principal aims of cant is to make what is said unintelligible to persons outside the group, a purpose that is absent from most forms of argot and slang. Argot often includes slang, as when a circus man calls his patrons suckers and speaks of refunding money to one full of complaints as squaring the beef, but when he calls the circus grounds the lot and the manager's quarters the white wagon, he is simply using the special language of his trade, and it is quite as respectable as the argot of lawyers or diplomats. The essence of slang is that it is of general dispersion, but still stands outside the accepted canon of the language. It is, says George II. McKnight,1 "a form of colloquial speech created in a spirit of defiance and aiming at freshness and novelty. . . . Its figures are consciously farfetched and are intentionally drawn from the most ignoble of sources. Closely akin to profanity in its spirit, its aim is to shock." Among the impulses leading to its invention, adds Henry Bradley,2 "the two more important seem to be the desire to secure increased vivacity and the desire to secure increased sense of intimacy in the use of language." "It seldom attempts," says the London Times, "to supply deficiencies in conventional language; its object is nearly always to provide a new and different way of saying what can be perfectly well said without it." 3 What chiefly lies behind it is simply

1 English Words and Their Back-

their way to the dumps." Emerson and Whitman were its partisans. "What can describe the folly and emptiness of scolding," asked the former (Journals, 1840), "like the word jawing?" "Slang," said Whitman, "is the wholesome fermentation or eructation of those processes eternally active in language, by which the froth and specks are thrown up, mostly to pass away, though occasionally to settle and permanently crystalize." (Slang in America, 1885.) And again: "These words ought to be collected - the bad words as well as the good. Many of these bad words are fine." (An American Primer, c. 1856.)

ground; New York, 1923, p. 43.

2 Art. Slang, Encyclopaedia Britannica, 14 ed.; New York, 1929.

3 American Slang (leading article), May 11, 1931. Many other definitions of slame are exactly in IV. tions of slang are quoted in What is Slang? by H. F. Reves, American Speech, Jan., 1926. A few by literati may be added. "Slang," said Carl Sandburg, "is language that takes off its coat, spits on its hands, and gets to work." "Slang," said Victor Hugo, "is a dressingroom in which language, having an evil deed to prepare, puts on a disguise." "Slang," said Ambrose Bierce, "is the speech of him who robs the literary garbage-carts on

a kind of linguistic exuberance, an excess of word-making energy. It relates itself to the standard language a great deal as dancing relates itself to music. But there is also something else. The best slang is not only ingenious and amusing; it also embodies a kind of social criticism. It not only provides new names for a series of every-day concepts, some new and some old; it also says something about them. "Words which produce the slang effect," observes Frank K. Sechrist, "arouse associations which are incongruous or incompatible with those of customary thinking."

Everyone, including even the metaphysician in his study and the eremite in his cell, has a large vocabulary of slang, but the vocabulary of the vulgar is likely to be larger than that of the cultured, and it is harder worked. Its content may be divided into two categories: (a) old words, whether used singly or in combination, that have been put to new uses, usually metaphorical, and (b) new words that have not yet been admitted to the standard vocabulary. Examples of the first type are rubberneck, for a gaping and prying person, and iceberg, for a cold woman; examples of the second are hoosegow, flimflam, blurb, bazoo and blah. There is a constant movement of slangterms into accepted usage. Nice, as an adjective of all work, signifying anything satisfactory, was once in slang use only, and the purists denounced it,2 but today no one would question "a nice day," "a nice time," or "a nice hotel." The French word tête has been a sound name for the human head for many centuries, but its origin was in testa, meaning a pot, a favorite slang word of the soldiers of the decaying Roman Empire, exactly analogous to our block, nut and bean. The verb-phrase to hold up is now perfectly good Ameri-

The Psychology of Unconventional Language, Pedagogical Seminary, Dec., 1913, p. 443. "Our feeling and reactions to slang words," continues Sechrist, "may be due to the word as such, to the use it is put to, to the individual using it, to the group using it, to the thing tabooed to which it applies, or to the context in which it is found... Unconventional language keeps close to the objective world of things. It keeps oriented to the sense of touch, contact, pressure, preferring a language material which is ultimately verifiable by the most realistic sense." This

last, I fear, is somewhat dubious. See also An Investigation of the Function and Use of Slang, by A. H. Melville, *Pedagogical Seminary*, March, 1912; and La Psychologie de l'argot, by Raoul de La Grasserie, *Revue Philosophique* (Paris), Vol. LX, 1905.

2 It came in about 1765. During the early Eighteenth Century elegant was commonly used, and in Shakespeare's day the favorite was fine. Nice has had many rivals, e.g., ripping and topping in England, and grand and swell in America, but it hangs on.

can, but so recently as 1901 the late Brander Matthews was sneering at it as slang. In the same way many other verb-phrases, e.g., to cave in, to fill the bill and to fly off the handle, once viewed askance, have gradually worked their way to a relatively high level of the standard speech. On some indeterminate tomorrow to stick up and to take for a ride may follow them. "Even the greatest purist," says Robert Lynd, "does not object today to the inclusion of the word bogus in a literary English vocabulary, though a hundred years ago hogus was an American slang word meaning an apparatus for coining false money. Carpetbagger and bunkum are other American slang words that have naturalized themselves in English speech, and mob is an example of English slang that was once as vulgar as incog or photo." 1 Sometimes a word comes in below the salt, gradually wins respectability, and then drops to the level of slang, and is worked to death. An example is offered by strenuous. It was first used by John Marston, the dramatist, in 1500, and apparently he invented it, as he invented puffy, chilblained, spurious and clumsy. As strange as it may seem to us today, all these words were frowned on by the purists of the time as uncouth and vulgar, and Ben Jonson attacked them with violence in his "Poetaster," written in 1601. In particular, Ben was upset by strenuous. But it made its way despite him, and during the next three centuries it was used by a multitude of impeccable authors, including Milton, Swift, Burke, Hazlitt, and Macaulay. And then Theodore Roosevelt invented and announced the Strenuous Life, the adjective struck the American fancy and passed into slang, and in a little while it was so horribly threadbare that all persons of careful speech sickened of it, and to this day it bears the ridiculous connotation that hangs about most slang, and is seldom used seriously.

All neologisms, of course, are not slang. At about the time the word hoosegow, derived from the Spanish, came into American slang use, the word rodeo, also Spanish, came into the standard vocabulary. The distinction between the two is not hard to make out. Hoosegow was really not needed. We had plenty of words to designate a jail, and they were old and good words. Hoosegow came in simply because there was something arresting and outlandish about it—and the users of slang have a great liking for pungent novelties.

The King's English and the Prince's American, Living Age, March 15, 1028.

Rodeo, on the other hand, designated something for which there was no other word in American - something, indeed, of which the generality of Americans had just become aware - and so it was accepted at once. Many neologisms have been the deliberate inventions of quite serious men, e.g., gas, kodak, vaseline. Scientist was concocted in 1840 by William Whewell, professor of moral theology and casuistical divinity at Cambridge. Ampere was proposed solemply by the Electric Congress which met in Paris in 1881, and was taken into all civilized languages instantly. Radio was suggested for wireless telegrams by an international convention held in Berlin in 1906, and was extended to wireless broadcasts in the United States about 1920, though the English prefer wireless in the latter sense. But such words as these were never slang; they came into general and respectable use at once, along with argon, x-ray, carburetor, stratosphere, bacillus, and many another of the sort. These words were all sorely needed; it was impossible to convey the ideas behind them without them, save by clumsy circumlocutions. It is one of the functions of slang, also, to serve a short cut, but it is seldom if ever really necessary. Instead, as W. D. Whitney once said, it is only a wanton product of "the exuberance of mental activity, and the natural delight of language-making." This mental activity, of course, is the function of a relatively small class. "The unconscious genius of the people," said Paul Shorey, "no more invents slang than it invents epics. It is coined in the sweat of their brow by smart writers who, as they would say, are out for the coin." 2 Or, if not out for the coin, then at least out for notice, kudos, admiration, or maybe simply for satisfaction of the "natural delight of language-making." Some of the best slang emerges from the argot of college students, but everyone who has observed the process of its gestation knows that the general run of students have nothing to do with the matter, save maybe to provide an eager welcome for the novelties set before them. College slang is actually made by the campus wits, just as general slang is made by the wits of the newspapers and theaters. The

The Life and Growth of Language; New York, 1897, p. 113. zation of group activity and interest, and groups without this interest, e.g., farmers, rarely invent slang terms." The real reason why farmers seldom invent them, of course, is that farmers, as a class, are extremely stupid. They never invent anything else.

² The American Language, in Academy Papers; New York, 1925, p. 149. Henry Bradley says (Art. Slang, Encyclopaedia Britannica, 14th ed.; 1929) that "slang develops most freely in groups with a strong reali-

idea of calling an engagement-ring a handcuff did not occur to the young gentlemen of Harvard by mass inspiration; it occurred to a certain definite one of them, probably after long and deliberate cogitation, and he gave it to the rest and to his country.

Toward the end of 1933 W. J. Funk of the Funk and Wagnalls Company, publishers of the Standard Dictionary and the Literary Digest, undertook to supply the newspapers with the names of the ten most fecund makers of the American slang then current. He nominated T. A. (Tad) Dorgan, the cartoonist; Sime Silverman, editor of the theatrical weekly, Variety; Gene Buck, the song writer; Damon Runyon, the sports writer; Walter Winchell and Arthur (Bugs) Baer, newspaper columnists; George Ade, Ring Lardner and Gelett Burgess.1 He should have added Jack Conway and Johnny O'Connor of the staff of Variety; James Gleason, author of "Is Zat So? "; Rube Goldberg, the cartoonist; Johnny Stanley and Johnny Lyman, Broadway figures; Wilson Mizner and Milt Gross. Conway, who died in 1928, is credited with the invention of palooka (a thirdrater), belly-laugh, Arab (for Jew), S.A. (sex appeal), high-hat, pushover, boloney (for buncombe, later adopted by Alfred F. Smith), headache (wife), and the verbs to scram, to click (meaning to succeed), and to laugh that off.2 Winchell, if he did not actually invent whoopee, at least gave it the popularity it enjoyed, c. 1930.*

1 Mr. Funk added my own name to the list, but this, apparently, was only a fraternal courtesy, for I have never devised anything properly describable as slang, save maybe booboisie. This was a deliberate invention. One evening in February, 1922, Ernest Boyd and I were the guests of Harry C. Black at his home in Baltimore. We fell to talking of the paucity of words to describe the victims of the Depression then current, and decided to remedy it. So we put together a list of about fifty terms, and on Feb. 15 I published it in the Baltimore Evening Sun. It included boobariat, booberati, boobarian, boo-bomaniac, boobuli and booboisie. Only booboisie, which happened to be one of my contributions, caught on. A bit later I added Homo boobus, and Boyd, who is learned in the tongues, corrected it to

Homo boobiens. This also had its day, but its use was confined to the intelligentsia, and it was hardly slang. Even booboisie lies rather outside the bounds.

² Conway's coinages are listed by Walter Winchell in Your Broadway and Mine, New York Graphic, Oct. 4, 1928, and in A Primer of Broadway Slang, Vanity Fair, Nov., 1927. In December, 1926, under the title of Why I Write Slang, Winchell contributed a very shrewd article to Variety. In it he differentiated clearly between the cant of criminals, which is unintelligible to the general, and what he called Broadway slang. The latter differs from the former, he said, "as much as Bostonese from hog Latin."

as Bostonese from hog Latin."

3 Lexicographical explorers have found whoopee in a cowboy song published by John A. Lomax in 1910, in Kipling's Loot (Barrack-

He is also the father of Chicagorilla, Joosh (for Jewish), pash (for passion) and shafts (for legs), and he has devised a great many nonce words and phrases, some of them euphemistic and others far from it, e.g., for married: welded, sealed, lohengrined, merged and middleaisled; for divorced: Reno-vated; for contemplating divorce: telling it to a judge, soured, curdled, in husband trouble, this-and-that-way, and on the verge; for in love: on the merge, on fire, uh-huh, that way, cupiding, Adam-and-Eveing, and man-and-womaning it; for expecting young: infanticipating, baby-bound and storked. I add a few other characteristic specimens of his art: go-ghetto, debutramp, phffft, foofff (a pest), Wildeman (a homosexual), heheheh (a mocking laugh), Hard-Times Square (Times Square), blessed-event (the birth of young), the Hardened Artery (Broadway), radiodor (a radio announcer), moom-pitcher (moving picture), girl-mad, Park Rowgue (a newspaper reporter) and intelligentlemen. Most of these, of course, had only their brief days, but a few promise to survive. Dorgan, who died in 1929, was the begetter of apple-sauce, twentythree, skiddoo, ball-and-chain (for wife), cake-eater, dumb Dora, dumbell (for stupid person), nobody home, and you said it. He also gave the world, "Yes, we have no bananas," though he did not write the song, and he seems to have originated the cat's pajamas, which was followed by a long series of similar superlatives.2 The sports writers, of course, are all assiduous makers of slang, and many of

Room Ballads), 1892, and in Mark Twain's A Tramp Abroad, 1880. Whoope was common in the English literature of the Fifteenth, Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries, but it was probably only our whoop with a silent final e. Said Winchell in the New York Mirror, Jan. 17, 1935: "They contend whoopee is older than Shakespeare. Well, all right. I never claimed it, anyhow. But let 'em take makin' whoopee from me and look out!"

I Dorgan's claims to both twentythree and its brother skiddoo have
been disputed. An editorial in the
Louisville Times, May 9, 1929,
credits Frank Parker Stockbridge
with the theory that twenty-three
was launched by The Only Way,
a dramatization of Dickens's Tale
of Two Cities, presented by Henry
Miller in New York in 1899. In

the last act an old woman counted the victims of the guillotine, and Sydney Carton was the twenty-third. According to Stockbridge, her solemn "Twenty-three!" was borrowed by Broadway, and quickly became popular. He says that skiddoo, derived from skedaddle, was "added for the enlightenment of any who hadn't seen the play."

2 See Tad Dorgan is Dead, by W. L. Werner, American Speech, Aug., 1929. The flea's eyebrows, the bee's knees, the snake's hips and the canary's tusks will be recalled. A writer in Liberty, quoted in American Speech, Feb., 1927, p. 258, says that Dorgan also helped to popularize hard-boiled, the invention of Jack Doyle, keeper of a billiard academy in New York.

their inventions are taken into the general vocabulary. Thus, those who specialize in boxing have contributed, in recent years, kayo, cauliflower-ear, prelim, shadow-boxing, shug-fest, title-holder, punchdrunk, brother-act, punk, to side-step and to go the limit; those who cover baseball have made many additions to the list of baseball terms given in Chapter V; and those who follow the golf tournaments have given currency to birdie, fore, par, bunker, divot, fairway, to tee off, stance, and onesome, twosome, threesome and so on—some of them received into the standard speech, but the majority lingering in the twilight of slang.

George Philip Krapp attempts to distinguish between slang and sound idiom by setting up the doctrine that the former is "more

I For a learned discourse on the pathological meaning of this term see Punch Drunk, by Harrison S. Martland, Journal of the American Medical Association, Oct. 13, 1928. In severe cases "there may develop a peculiar tilting of the head, a marked dragging of one or both legs, a staggering, propulsive gait with facial characteristics of the parkinsonian syndrome, or a backward swaying of the body, tremors, vertigo and deafness." Some of the synonyms are cuckoo, goofy, cutting paper-dolls and slug-nutty.

2 See Jargon of Fistiana, by Robert E. Creighton, American Speech, Oct., 1933, and Color Stuff, by Harold E. Rockwell, the same, Oct., 1927. William Henry Nugent, in The Sports Section, American Mercury, March, 1929, says that the father of them all was Pierce Egan, who established Pierce Egan's Life in London and Sporting Guide in 1824. A year earlier Egan printed a revised edition of Francis Grose's Classical Dictionary of the Vulgar Tongues, 1785. In it appeared to stall off, cheese it, to trim (in the sense of to swindle), to pony up, squealer, sucker, yellow-belly, and many other locutions still in use.

3 See Baseball Slang, by V. Samuels, American Speech, Feb., 1927, p. 255. Hugh Fullerton, one of the rev. elders of the fraternity, says that the first baseball reports to be

adorned with neologisms, e.g., south-paw, initial-sack, grass-cutter, shut-out and circus-play, were written by Charlie Seymour of the Chicago Inter-Ocean and Lennie Washburn of the Chicago Herald during the 80's. Some years ago the Chicago Record-Herald, apparently alarmed by the extravagant fancy of its baseball reporters, asked its readers if they would prefer a return to plain English. Such of them as were literate enough to send in their votes were almost unanimously against a change. As one of them said, "One is nearer the park when Schulte slams the pill than when he merely hits the hall." For the argot of baseball players, as opposed to the slang of sports writers, see Baseball Terminology, by Henry J. Heck, American Speech, April, 1930.

4 See Golf Gab, by Anne Angel, American Speech, Sept., 1926. In 1934 Willis Stork, a student of Dr. Louise Pound at the University of Nebraska, prepared a paper on The Jargon of the Sports Writers, mainly confined to an examination of the sports pages of two Lincoln, Neb., papers, the State Journal and the Star from July 1, 1933 to July 15, 1934. So far it has not been published. See also Our Golf Lingo Peeves the British, Literary Digest, April 11, 1931.

expressive than the situation demands." "It is," he says, "a kind of hyperesthesia in the use of language. To laugh in your sleeve is idiom because it arises out of a natural situation; it is a metaphor derived from the picture of one raising his sleeve to his face to hide a smile, a metaphor which arose naturally enough in early periods when sleeves were long and flowing; but to talk through your hat is slang, not only because it is new, but also because it is a grotesque exaggeration of the truth." The theory, unluckily, is combated by many plain facts. To hand it to him, to get away with it and even to hand him a lemon are certainly not metaphors that transcend the practicable and probable, and yet all are undoubtedly slang. On the other hand, there is palpable exaggeration in such phrases as "he is not worth the powder it would take to kill him," in such adjectives as breakbone (fever), and in such compounds as fire-eater, and yet it would be absurd to dismiss them as slang. Between blockhead and bonehead there is little to choose, but the former is sound English, whereas the latter is American slang. So with many familiar similes, e.g., like greased lightning, as scarce as hen's teeth: they are grotesque hyperboles, but hardly slang.

The true distinction, in so far as any distinction exists at all, is that indicated by Whitney, Bradley, Sechrist and McKnight. Slang originates in the effort of ingenious individuals to make the language more pungent and picturesque - to increase the store of terse and striking words, to widen the boundaries of metaphor, and to provide a vocabulary for new shades of difference in meaning. As Dr. Otto Jespersen has pointed out,2 this is also the aim of poets (as, indeed, it is of prose writers), but they are restrained by consideration of taste and decorum, and also, not infrequently, by historical or logical considerations. The maker of slang is under no such limitations: he is free to confect his neologism by any process that can be grasped by his customers, and out of any materials available, whether native or foreign. He may adopt any of the traditional devices of metaphor. Making an attribute do duty for the whole gives him stiff for corpse, flat-foot for policeman, smoke-eater for fireman, skirt for woman, lunger for consumptive, and yes-man for sycophant. Hidden resemblances give him morgue for a newspaper's file of clippings, bean

¹ Modern English; New York, 1910,

² Language: Its Nature, Development and Origin; London, 1922, p. 300.

G. K. Chesterton said pretty much the same thing in The Defendant; London, 1901: "All slang is metaphor, and all metaphor is poetry."

for head, and sinker for a doughnut. The substitution of far-fetched figures for literal description gives him glad-rags for fine clothing, bonehead for ignoramus, booze-foundry for saloon, and cart-wheel for dollar, and the contrary resort to a brutal literalness gives him kill-joy, low-life and hand-out. He makes abbreviations with a free hand - beaut for beauty, gas for gasoline, and so on. He makes bold avail of composition, as in attaboy and whatdy ecallem, and of onomatopoeia, as in biff, zowie, honky-tonk and wow. He enriches the ancient counters of speech with picturesque synonyms, as in guy, gink, duck, bird and bozo for fellow. He transfers proper names to common usage, as in ostermoor for mattress, and then sometimes gives them remote figurative significances, as in ostermoors for whiskers. Above all, he enriches the vocabulary of action with many new verbs and verb-phrases, e.g., to burp, to neck, to gang, to frame up, to hit the pipe, to give him the works, and so on. If, by the fortunes that condition language-making, his neologism acquires a special and limited meaning, not served by any existing locution, it enters into sound idiom and is presently wholly legitimatized; if, on the contrary, it is adopted by the populace as a counter-word and employed with such banal imitativeness that it soon loses any definite significance whatever, then it remains slang and is avoided by the finical. An example of the former process is afforded by tommy-rot. It first appeared as English school-boy slang, but its obvious utility soon brought it into good usage. In one of Jerome K. Jerome's books, "Paul Kelver," there is the following dialogue:

"The wonderful songs that nobody ever sings, the wonderful pictures that nobody ever paints, and all the rest of it. It's tommy-rot!"

"I wish you wouldn't use slang."

"Well, you know what I mean. What is the proper word? Give it to me."

"I suppose you mean cant."

"No, I don't. Cant is something that you don't believe in yourself. It's tommy-rot; there isn't any other word."

Nor were there any other words for hubbub, fireworks, foppish, fretful, sportive, dog-weary, to bump and to dwindle in Shake-speare's time; he adopted and dignified them because they met genuine needs.² Nor was there any other satisfactory word for graft

This sense of the word, of course, is to be differentiated sharply from the philological sense of a more or less secret jargon.

2 A long list of his contributions to the vocabulary, including a number borrowed from the slang of his time, is to be found in Modern English in the Making, by George H. McKnight; New York, 1928, p. 188 ff.

when it came in, nor for rowdy, nor for boom, nor for joy-ride, nor for slacker, nor for trust-buster. Such words often retain a humorous quality; they are used satirically and hence appear but seldom in wholly serious discourse. But they have standing in the language nevertheless, and only a prig would hesitate to use them as George Saintsbury used the best of the bunch and joke-smith. So recently as 1929 the Encyclopaedia Britannica listed bootlegger, speakeasy, dry, wet, crook, fake, fizzle, hike, hobo, poppycock, racketeer and O.K. as American slang terms, but today most of them are in perfectly good usage. What would one call a racketeer if racketeer were actually forbidden? It would take a phrase of four or five words at least, and they would certainly not express the idea clearly.

On the other hand, many an apt and ingenious neologism, by falling too quickly into the gaping maw of the proletariat, is spoiled forthwith and forever. Once it becomes, in Oliver Wendell Holmes's phrase, "a cheap generic term, a substitute for differentiated specific expressions," it quickly acquires such flatness that the fastidious flee it as a plague. The case of strenuous I have already mentioned. One recalls, too, many capital verb-phrases, thus ruined by unintelligent appreciation, e.g., to freeze on to, to have the goods, to cut no ice, to fall for, and to get by; and some excellent substantives, e.g., dope and dub, and compounds, e.g., come-on and easy-mark, and simple verbs, e.g., to neck and to vamp. These are all quite as sound in structure as the great majority of our most familiar words and phrases to cut no ice, for example, is certainly as good as to butter no parsnips -, but their adoption by the ignorant and their endless use and misuse in all sorts of situations have left them tattered and obnoxious, and soon or late they will probably go the way, as Brander Matthews once said, of all the other "temporary phrases which spring up, one scarcely knows how, and flourish unaccountably for a few months,

In 1932-33 Dr. Walter Barnes of the New York University set four of his associates to canvassing 100 college, high-school and elementary teachers on the subject of slang. They were asked to scrutinize a list of 432 slang terms, and to estimate them as acceptable, trite and forceless, doubtful, or offensive. Those chosen as most acceptable were pep, fake, stiff upper lip, double-cross and booster. All these,

in ordinary discourse, are nearly if not quite irreplaceable. Others high on the list were speakeasy, bonedry, broke, fan, go-getter, snappy, to make the grade, pull (in the sense of influence), come-back, frame-up, racket, give-away, cinch and to turn down. The results of the inquiry were issued in mimeograph as Studies in Current Colloquial Usage; New York, 1933.

and then disappear forever, leaving no sign." Matthews was wrong in two particulars here. They do not arrive by any mysterious parthenogenesis, but come from sources which, in many cases, may be determined. And they last, alas, a good deal more than a month. Shoo-fly afflicted the American people for four or five years, and "I don't think," aber nit, over the left, good night and oh yeah were scarcely less long-lived.1 There are, indeed, slang terms that have survived for centuries, never dropping quite out of use and yet never attaining to good usage. Among verbs, to do for to cheat has been traced to 1789, to frisk for to search to 1781, to grease for to bribe to 1557, and to blow for to boast to c. 1400.2 Among nouns, gas for empty talk has been traced to 1847, jug for prison to 1834, lip for insolence to 1821, sap for fool to 1815, murphy for potato to 1811, racket to 1785, bread-basket for stomach to 1753, bush-money to 1709, hick to 1690, gold-mine for profitable venture to 1664, grub for food to 1659, rot-gut to 1597 and bones for dice to c. 1386. Among the adjectives, lousy in the sense of inferior goes back to 1600; when it burst into American slang in 1010 or thereabout it was already more than two centuries old. Booze has never got into Standard English, but it was known to slang in the first years of the Fourteenth Century. When nuts in the sense revealed by "Chicago was nuts for the Giants" came into popularity in the United States c. 1920, it was treated by most of the newspaper commentators on current slang as a neologism, but in truth it had been used in precisely the same sense by R. H. Dana, Jr., in "Two Years Before the Mast,"

The life of such a word or phrase seems to depend, at least to some extent, upon its logical content. When it is sheer silliness the populace quickly tires of it. Thus "Ah there, my size, I'll steal you," "Where did you get that hat?", "How'd you like to be the iceman?", "Would you for fifty cents?", "Let her go, Gallegher", "So's your old man" and their congeners were all short-lived. Many such vacuities have a faintly obscene significance. It is their function to conceal the speaker's lack of a logical retort by raising a snicker. Those of rather more sense and appositeness, e.g., "Tell your

troubles to a policeman," "How did you get that way?", "Where do you get that stuff?", "I'll say so" and "You said a mouthful," seem to last longer. In 1932 a Bridgeport, Conn., high-school teacher, Miss Julia Farnam, told the Bridgeport Post on returning from a visit to England that she had met there "the daughter of an earl" who thought "You said a mouthful" "the cleverest expression she ever heard." (Post, Oct. 3.)

2 These and the following examples are taken from The Age of Slang, by J. Louis Kuethe, Baltimore

Evening Sun, July 3, 1934.

1840, and by Mark Twain in "Following the Equator," 1897.1 Sometimes an old slang word suddenly acquires a new meaning. An example is offered by to chisel. In the sense of to cheat, as in "He chiseled me out of \$3," it goes back to the first years of the Nineteenth Century, but with the advent of the N.R.A., in the late Summer of 1933, it took on the new meaning of to evade compliance with the law by concealment or stealth. It has been credited to Franklin D. Roosevelt, but I believe that its true father was General Hugh S. Johnson, J.D.

With the possible exception of the French, the Americans now produce more slang than any other people, and put it to heavier use in their daily affairs. But they entered upon its concoction relatively late, and down to the second decade of the Nineteenth Century they were content to take their supply from England. American slang, says George Philip Krapp, "is the child of the new nationalism, the new spirit of joyous adventure that entered American life after the close of the War of 1812." 2 There was, during the colonial and early republican periods, a great production of neologisms, as we have seen in Chapter III, but very little of it was properly describable as slang. I find to boost, defined as to raise up, to lift up, to exalt, in the glossary appended to David Humphreys's "The Yankey in England," 1815,8 but all the other slang terms listed, e.g., duds for clothes, spunk for courage, and uppish, are in Francis Grose's "Classical Dictionary of the Vulgar Tongue," published in London thirty years before. The Rev. John Witherspoon's denunciation of slang in "The Druid," 1781, is a denunciation of English slang, though he is discussing the speech habits of Americans. But with the great movement into the West, following the War of 1812, the American vulgate came into its own, and soon the men of the ever-receding frontier were pouring out a copious stream of neologisms, many of them showing the audacious fancy of true slang. When these novelties penetrated to the East they produced a sort of linguistic shock, and the finicky were as much upset by the "tall talk" in which they were embodied as English pedants are today by the slang of Holly-

¹ For this I am indebted to Mr. James

D. Hart of Cambridge, Mass. 2 Is American English Arcl Southwest Review, Summer, 1927, p. 302.

³ The first example in the Supplement to the Oxford Dictionary is from John Neal's Brother Jonathan,

wood.1 That some of them were extremely extravagant is a fact: I need point only to blustiferous, clam-jamphric, conbobberation, helliferocious, mollagausauger, peedoodles, ripsniptiously, slangwhanger, sockdolager, to exflunctify, to flummuck, to giraffe, to hornswoggle, to obflisticate and to puckerstopple.2 Most of these, of course, had their brief days and then disappeared, but there were others that got into the common vocabulary and still survive, e.g., blizzard, to hornswoggle, sockdolager and rambunctious, the lastnamed the final step in a process which began with robustious and ran through rumbustious and rambustious in England before Americans took a hand in it. With them came many verb-phrases, e.g., to pick a crow with, to cut one's eye-tecth, to go the whole hog. This "tall talk," despite the horror of the delicate, was a great success in the East, and its salient practitioners - for example, David Crockett - were popular heroes. Its example encouraged the production of like neologisms everywhere, and by 1840 the use of slang was very widespread. It is to those days before the Civil War that we owe many of the colorful American terms for strong drink, still current, e.g., panther-sweat, nose-paint, red-eye, corn-juice, forty-rod, mountain-dew, coffin-varnish, bust-head, stagger-soup, tonsil-paint, squirrel-whiskey and so on, and for drunk, e.g., boiled, canned, cockeyed, frazzled, fried, oiled, ossified, pifflicated, pic-cycd, plastcred, snozzled, stewed, stuccoed, tanked, woozy.8 "Perhaps the most striking difference between British and American slang," says Krapp, " is that the former is more largely merely a matter of the use of queer-sounding words, like bally and swank, whereas American slang suggests vivid images and pictures." This was hardly true in the heyday of "tall talk," but that it is true now is revealed by a comparison of current English and American college slang. The vocabulary of Oxford and Cambridge seems inordinately obvious and banal to an American undergraduate. At Oxford it is made up in large part of a series of childish perversions of common and proper nouns, effected by adding -er or inserting gg. Thus, breakfast becomes brekker, collection becomes collecker, the Queen Street

Specimens of this tall talk are given in Chapter IV, Section 1.

² For these examples I am indebted to M. M. Mathews, who prints a longer list in The Beginnings of American English; Chicago, 1931, pp. 114-15.

³ For a much longer list see Slang Synonyms for *Drunk*, by Manuel Prenner, *American Speech*, Dec., 1928.

⁴ The English Language in America; New York, 1925, Vol. I, p. 114.

Cinema becomes the Queener, St. John's becomes Jaggers and the Prince of Wales becomes the Pagger-Wagger. The rest of the vocabulary is equally feeble. To match the magnificent American lounge-lizard the best the Oxonians can achieve is a bit of a lad, and in place of the multitudinous American synonyms for girl¹ there are only bint and a few other such flabby inventions.² All college slang, of course, borrows heavily from the general slang vocabulary. For example, chicken, which designated a young girl on most American campuses until 1921 or thereabout,³ was used by Steele in 1711, and, in the form of no chicken, by Swift in 1720. It had acquired a disparaging significance in the United States by 1788, as the following lines show:

From visiting bagnios, those seats of despair,
Where chickens will call you my duck and my dear
In hopes that your purse may fall to their share,
Deliver me! 4

There is a list of them in English Words and Their Background, by George II. McKnight; New York,

1923, p. 61.

2 I am indebted here to Mr. Hiram D. Blauvelt. The literature dealing with American college slang begins with A Collection of College Words and Customs, by B. H. Hall; Cambridge, Mass., 1851. Its contents are summarized in College Slang of a Century Ago, by Joseph C. Smith, *Delta Kappa Epsilon Quarterly*, May, 1933. For the slang in vogue at the beginning of the present century see College Words and Phrases, by Eugene H. Babbitt, Dialect Notes, Vol. II, Pt. I, 1900, a very valuable compilation. For later periods see College Slang, by M. C. McPhee, American Speech, Dec., 1927, and College Abbreviations, by W. E. Schultz, the same, Feb., 1930. There are many monographs on the slang of definite colleges, for example: College Slang Words and Phrases From Bryn Mawr College, by Howard J. Savage, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. V, 1922; Colgate University Slang, by J. A. Russell, American Speech, Feb., 1930; A Babylonish Cruise [Girard College], by Carroll H. Frey, Steel and Garnet, Dec., 1922; Johns Hopkins Jargon, by J. Louis Kuethe, American Speech, June, 1932; Kansas University Slang, by Carl Pingry and Vance Randolph, the same, Feb., 1928; Midshipman Jargon, by Mary B. Peterson, the same, Aug., 1928; Negro Slang in Lincoln University, by Hugh Sebastian, the same, Dec., 1934; University of Missouri Slang, by Virginia Carter, the same, Feb., 1931; Slang at Smith, by M. L. Farrand, Delineator, Oct., 1920; Stanford Expressions, by W. R. Morse, American Speech, March, 1927; Stanfordiana, by John A. Shidler and R. M. Clarke, Jr., the same, Feb., 1932; More Stanford Expressions, by John A. Shidler, the same, Aug., 1932; and College Slang Words and Phrases From Western Reserve University, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. III, 1915.

3 I take the date from Slang Today and Yesterday, by Eric Partridge; 2nd ed.; London, 1935, p. 429. Partridge says that it was displaced, at least for a time, by the English

flapper.

4 The Married Man's Litany, New Hampshire Spy, June 10. I am indebted for the quotation to Dr. James Truslow Adams.

Like the vulgar language in general, popular American slang has got very little sober study from the professional philologians. The only existing glossary of it by a native scholar - "A Dictionary of American Slang," by Maurice H. Weseen, associate professor of English at the University of Nebraska - is an extremely slipshod and even ridiculous work.1 There are several collections by laymen, but most of them are still worse.2 The best, and by far, is "Slang Today and Yesterday," by Eric Partridge,8 which deals principally with English slang, but also has a valuable section on American slang. All the dictionaries of Americanisms, of course, include words reasonably describable as slang, but they appear only incidentally, and not in large numbers. Thornton, for example, bars out a great deal of interesting and amusing material by confining his researches to written records. In England the literature of the subject is far more extensive. It began in the Sixteenth Century with the publication of several vocabularies of thieves' argot, and has been enriched in recent years by a number of valuable works, notably the Partridge volume just cited, "Slang, Phrase and Idiom in Colloquial English and Their Use," by Thomas R. G. Lyell,* and the monumental "Slang and Its Analogues," by John S. Farmer and W. E. Henley. Before the com-

1 New York, 1934. Dr. Weseen seems to be uncertain about the meaning of the word slang. He extends it to embrace trade and class argots, the technical vocabularies of various arts and mysterics, common mispronunciations, and the general body of nonce-words. On what theory does he hold that A No. 1, boss, and close call are slang? Or chaw, snoot and coupla? Or cold snap, eternal triangle and dead as a doornail? Or moron, journalese and Hoosier? Or such painful artificialities as Emersonthusiast, mound mainstay ("the chief pitcher for a baseball team"), and powerphobe ("a person who fears the political power of public companies"). Some of his definitions are howlers, as, for example, "an uncouth person" for leatherneck (Tell it to the Marines!), and "the home of a newly married couple"—just that, and nothing more—for love-nest. 2 For example, A Thesaurus of Slang, by Howard N. Rose; New York, 1934. Rose's aim is the lowly one of aiding writers of pulp fiction. The ordinary English words are listed alphabetically, and the equivalents in slang or argot follow them. Thus the fictioneer who yearns to give verisimilitude to his otherwise bald and unconvincing narrative may learn readily what college students call a library or a lavatory, and how hoboes distinguish between the professional levels of their trade.

3 2nd ed.; London, 1935. It contains a long and interesting history of modern slang, and separate chapters on various varieties of cant and argot.

4 Tokyo, 1931.

5 In seven volumes; London, 1800—1904. This huge work is mainly devoted to cant, but it also contains a great deal of English and American slang. About 15,000 terms are listed. In many cases there are dated quotations, but the dates are not always accurate. In his preface Farmer promised to include a bib-

pletion of the last-named, the chief authorities on English slang were "A Dictionary of Slang, Jargon and Cant," by Albert Barrère and Charles G. Leland,1 and "A Dictionary of Modern Cant, Slang and Vulgar Words," by J. C. Hotten.² Relatively little attention is paid to slang in the philological journals, but it is frequently discussed in the magazines of general circulation and in the newspapers.3 When the English papers denounce Americanisms, which is very often, it is commonly slang that arouses their most violent dudgeon. This dudgeon, of course, is grounded upon its very success: the American movies and talkies have implanted American slang in England even more copiously than they have implanted more decorous American neologisms. As the Spectator was saying lately, its influence "on the British Empire continues, ever more rapidly, to increase - a portent frequently mentioned and almost as frequently deplored." 4 Sometimes it is belabored as intolerably vulgar, indecent and against God, as when the Christian World 5 blamed it for the prevalence of "dishonest and debased thought" and ascribed its use to "a sneaking fear and dislike of calling beautiful things by their beautiful names and of calling ugly things by their ugly names"; sometimes it is sneered at as empty and puerile, signifying nothing, as when Allan Monkhouse 6 demanded piously "What is the good of all this?" and answered "Such words are the ghosts of old facetiousness, and the world would be better without them"; and sometimes efforts are made to dispose of it by proving that it is all stolen from England, as when Dr. C. T.

liography, a vocabulary of foreign slang, and a study of comparative slang, but this intention seems to have been abandoned. An abridgment in one volume by the same authors appeared in London in 1905. Farmer alone printed a Dictionary of Americanisms in London in 1889. It included relatively little

1 In two volumes; London, 1889-90. It listed about 4800 terms, and like Slang and Its Analogues was privately printed. There was a second

edition in 1897.

2 Usually called simply the Slang Dictionary. The first edition appeared in London in 1859. There were later editions in 1860, 1864, and 1874, and many reprints.

3 The more respectable literature,

running down to 1922, is listed in A Bibliography of Writings on the English Language, by Arthur G. Kennedy; Cambridge and New Haven, 1927, p. 419 ff. There is a briefer bibliography in the third edition of the present with the third edition of the present with the third edition. York, 1928, p. 463 ff. For the period since 1922 the bibliographies printed in each issue of American Speech and annually in the Publications of the Modern Language Association are useful, though they are far from complete.

4 In a review of the Weseen Dictionary of American Slang, March 15, 1935.

5 May 14, 1931. 6 American Slang, Manchester Guardian Weekly, March 8, 1935.

Onions, one of the editors of the Oxford Dictionary, offered to show a London reporter that the dictionary listed any American slang term he could name.1 Alas, for Dr. Onions, after making good with to grill, fresh, to figure (in the sense of to conclude), bunkum (he apparently forgot its clearly American origin) and rake-off (he had to fall back upon an American example), he came to grief with boloney and nerts. One of the favorite forms of this latter enterprise is a letter to the editor announcing the discovery that this or that locution, lately come into popularity by way of the talkies, is to be found in Shakespeare,2 or the Authorized Version of the Bible, or maybe even in Piers Plowman. There are also the specialists who devote themselves to demonstrating that American slang is simply a series of borrowings from the Continental languages, particularly French - for example, that and how is a translation of et comment, that you're telling me is from à qui le dites-vous, and that to get one's goat is from prendre sa chèvre.3 But not all Englishmen, of course, oppose and deride the American invasion, whether of slang or of novelties on high levels. Not a few agree with Horace Annesley Vachell that "American slanguage is not a tyranny, but a beneficent autocracy. . . . Lounge-lizard, for example, is excellent. . . . It is humiliating to reflect that English slang at its best has to curtsey to American

I London Evening News, April 30, 1934.

2 The same quest is sometimes pursued by Americans. See, for example, Shakespeare and American Slang, by Frederic S. Marquardt, American Speech, Dec., 1928, and Slang From Shakespeare, by Anderson M. Baten; Hammond, Ind., 1931. 3 Prendre sa chèvre has been traced to Henri Estienne's Satires, c. 1585. It is to be found also in Montaigne and Molière, and was included in the 1776 edition of the Dictionnaire de l'Académie. Mr. Rowland M. Myers, to whom I am indebted here, suggests that Estienne may have picked it up in the course of his Greek studies. I have been told that the locution originated, in America, in the fact that the oldtime horse-trainers, having a nervous horse to handle, put a goat in its stall to give it company. When the goat was taken away the horse yielded to the heebie-jeebies, and so was easily beaten on the track. A variant etymology was printed in the London Morning Post, Jan. 31, 1935. It was so precious that it deserves to be embalmed: "Among the Negroes in Harlem it is the custom for each household to keep a goat to act as general scavenger. Occasionally one man will steal another's goat, and the household debris then accumulates, to the general annoyance." The phrase "Let George do it," once so popular in the United States, is said by some to have been only a translation of "Laissez faire à Georges," which originated in France during the Fifteenth Century, and at the start had satirical reference to the multiform activities of Cardinal Georges d'Amboise, Prime Minister to Louis XII.

slang." To which "Jackdaw" adds in John O'London's Weekly: "We do but pick up the crumbs that fall from Jonathan's table."

During the World War there was some compensatory borrowing of English army slang and argot by the American troops, but it did not go very far. Indeed, the list of loan-words that came into anything approaching general use in the A.E.F. was about limited to ace, blimp, cootie, Frog, Jack Johnson, Jerry, over the top and whizz-bang. Some of the favorites of the British soldiers, e.g., fag, blighty, cheerio, to strafe, funk-hole and righto, were seldom if ever used by the Americans. The greater part of the American vocabulary came from the Regular Army, and some of it was of very respectable antiquity, e.g., hand-shaker, Holy Joe (for chaplain), slum (stew), corned willie (corned beef hash), outfit, belly-robber, dog-robber (an officer's servant or orderly),2 doughboy, jawbone (meaning credit, or anything spurious or dubious), mud-splasher (artilleryman), buck-private, top-kick, gold-fish (canned salmon), gob, leatherneck, padre, chow, outfit and punk (bread). A few novelties came in, e.g., tin-hat and a.w.o.l., and there was some fashioning of counterwords and phrases from French materials, e.g., boocoo or boocoop (beaucoup), toot sweet (tout de suite) and trez beans (très bien), but neither class was numerous. Naturally enough, a large part of the daily conversation of the troops was obscene, or, at all events, excessively vulgar. Their common name for cavalryman, for example, could hardly be printed here. The English called the military police red-caps, but the American name was M.P.'s. The British used O.C. for Officer Commanding; the Americans used C.O. for Commanding Officer. The British were fond of a number of Americanisms, e.g., blotto, cold-feet, kibosh, nix, pal and to chew the rag, but whether they were borrowed from the A.E.F. or acquired by some less direct route I do not know.8 About gob, leatherneck and dough-

The Way They Talk Over There, Dec. 10, 1927.

terms that are commonly believed to be in its vocabulary, e.g., rookie: it prefers John or dumb John.

² I am informed by Staff Sergeant J. R. Ulmer, U.S.A., that dog-robber is an enlisted man's term; the officers commonly use striker. In the same way, the enlisted men speak of civvies and the officers of cits (civilian clothes). Sergeant Ulmer says that the Regular Army makes little use of a number of

³ I am indebted here to Dr. H. K. Croessman and to Mr. Elrick B. Davis. See A.E.F. English, by Mary Paxton Keeley, American Speech, 1930, and Soldier Slang, by Capt. Elbridge Colby, U.S.A., eight articles, Our Army, Oct., 1929 – June, 1930. An anonymous

boy there have been bitter etymological wrangles. Gob has been traced variously to a Chinese word (gobshite), of unknown meaning and probably mythical; to gobble, an allusion to the somewhat earnest methods of feeding prevailing among sailors; and to gob, an archaic English dialect word signifying expectoration. The English coast-guardsmen, who are said to be free spitters, are often called gobbies. In May, 1928, Admiral H. A. Wiley, then commander-inchief of the United States Fleet, forbade the use of gob in ship's newspapers, calling it "undignified and unworthy." But the gobs continue to cherish it. Leatherneck, I have been told, originated in the fact that the collar of the Marines used to be lined with leather. But the Navy prefers to believe that it has something to do with the fact that a sailor, when he washes, strips to the waist and renovates his whole upper works, whereas a Marine simply rolls up his sleeves and washes in the scantier manner of a civilian. It is the theory of all gobs that all Marines are dirty fellows. But the step from unwashed necks to leather seems to me to be somewhat long and perilous. The term devil-dogs, often applied to the Marines during the World War, was supposed to be a translation of the German teufelhunde. During the fighting around Chateau Thierry, in June and July, 1918, the Marines were heavily engaged, and the story went at the time that the Germans, finding them very formidable, called them teufelhunde. But I have been told by German officers who were in that

article in the Stars and Stripes, the newspaper of the A.E.F., for April 12, 1918, is also worth consulting. For British war slang see Songs and Slang of the British Soldier, 1914-18, by John Brophy and Eric Partridge; London, 1930; Soldier and Sallor Words and Phrases, by Edward Fraser and John Gibbons; and War Words, in Contemporary English, by W. E. Collinson; Leipzig, 1927, p. 91 ff. The book by Brophy and Partridge also includes American terms, but there are many omissions, and a few gross errors. Its vocabulary is amplified in Additions to a Volume on the Slang and the Idioms of the World War, by Eugene S. McCartney, Papers of the Michigan Academy of Science, Arts and Letters, Vol. X, 1928. See also Lin-

guistic Processes as Illustrated by War Slang, by the same, the same, Vol. III, 1923. (For the last two I am indebted to Dr. W. W. Bishop, librarian of the University of Michigan.) For French war slang see The Slang of the Poilu, by Eric Partridge, Quarterly Review, April, 1932; L'Argot de la guerre, by Albert Dauzet; Paris, 1918; L'Argot des poilus, by François Dechelette; Paris, 1918; Le Langage des poilus, by Claude Lambert; Bordeaux, 1915; L'Argot des tranchées, by Lazar Saineau; Paris, 1915; and Le Poilu tel qu'il se parle, by Gaston Esnault; Paris, 1919. For German, see Wie der Feldgraue spricht, by Karl Bergmann; Giessen, 1916, and Deutsche Soldatensprache, by O. Mausser; Strassburg, 1917.

fighting that no such word was known in the German army. Doughboy is an old English navy term for dumpling. It was formerly applied to the infantry only, and its use is said to have originated in the fact that the infantrymen once pipe-clayed parts of their uniforms, with the result that they became covered with a doughy mass when it rained.¹

2. CANT AND ARGOT

The cant of criminals is, in part, international. In its English form it includes a number of German words, and in all forms it includes Hebrew, Italian and gypsy words. The first vocabulary of it to be compiled was that of a German, Gerold Edilbach, c. 1420. This was followed in 1510 by the famous "Liber vagatorum," which passed through many editions, and in which Martin Luther had a hand. The earliest English references to the subject are in Robert Copland's "The Hye Waye to the Spyttel House," 1517, a dialogue in verse between the author and the porter at the door of St. Bartholomew's Hospital, London. A great many similar books followed during the Sixteenth Century, and toward the end of the succeeding century appeared the first formal glossary, "The Dictionary of the Canting Crew," by some unknown lexicographer signing himself B. E. This remained the standard work until the publication of the first edition of Captain Francis Grose's "Classical Dictionary of the Vulgar Tongue" in 1785, which contained about 3000 entries. There was a second edition in 1788, with 1000 more entries, and a third in 1796. Grose went on gathering materials until his death in 1791, and a fourth edition was brought out by Hewson Clarke in 1811. A fifth, edited by Pierce Egan, followed in 1823, and a sixth in 1868. In 1931 Eric Partridge published a seventh, based on Grose's third, with somewhat elaborate comments. Most of the dictionaries of slang also include thieves' cant; I have listed the more important of them in the preceding section.

r There have been several studies of the use of slang by the authors of fiction, British and American, but rather curiously all of them are by foreigners, e.g., Slang bei Sinclair Lewis, Hanes-Werner Wasmuth; Hamburg, 1935; Slang and Cant in Jerome K. Jerome's Works, by Olaf E. Bosson; Cambridge (England), 1911; Das Prinzip der Verwendung des Slang bei Dickens, by Karl Westendorff; Greifswald, 1923. Dickens himself printed an article on slang in *Household Words*, Sept. 24, 1853.

Down to the Civil War the cant of American criminals seems to have been mainly borrowed from England. During the 30's a great many professional criminals were driven out of London by Sir Robert Peel's act constituting the Metropolitan Police (1829), and not a few of them immigrated to the United States. In the 50's they were reinforced by escaped convicts and ticket-of-leave men from Australia, many of whom settled in California.1 The argot of these argonauts was not only borrowed by their native brethren; a good part of it also got into the common slang of the day, especially along the two coasts. Some of it still survives, e.g., skirt for woman, hick for countryman, moonshine for illicit whiskey, dip for pickpocket, and rat for betrayer.2 But by the opening of the Civil War the American underworld was beginning to fashion its own cant, and by 1870 it was actually making exports to England. One of the first words exported seems to have been joint, in the sense of an illicit or otherwise dubious resort. Many others followed, and since the rise of racketeering in this country the eastward tide has been heavy. "Until about 1880," says Eric Partridge, "English cant was essentially English, with a small proportion of words from French, Italian, Spanish, Dutch and Low German, plus an occasional borrowing from lingua franca, the mongrel Esperanto of the Mediterranean coast. Since that date, however, and especially since the war, it has received many guests from America." 3 Meanwhile, a number of terms borrowed from English cant have been changed in meaning in this country, e.g., conk, which means the nose to English criminals but has come to mean the head in the United States. The present jargon of the American underworld, says Dr. Elisha K. Kane of the University of North Carolina, "embraces the slang of three general classes - criminals, tramps and prostitutes. But as all

I See All Around the Town, by Herbert Asbury; New York, 1934, D. 215.

p. 215.
2 There is a discussion of these borrowings in The American Underworld and English Cant, by Eric Partridge, printed in American Tramp and Underworld Slang, edited by Godfrey Irwin; London, 1931, p. 255 ff.

1931, p. 255 ff.
3 A Fence Turns Beef Before a Beak,
London Evening News, Nov. 21,
1933. In the third of a series of
articles entitled London of the

Crooks, printed in the same newspaper during June and July, 1935, George Dilnot printed definitions of wise-guy, sucker, approach, build-up, pay-off, in-and-in, comeon and easy-mark, all of them apparently borrowings from the cant of American thieves. Like the general slang of the Republic it is much more pungent than its English congener. Consider, for example, the literal English mouthpiece and the synecdochical American lip, both meaning a lawyer.

classes meet, the cant of one is understood, to a degree, by all." 1 Dr. Kane says that of the terms listed in "the English beggar books and cony-catching pamphlets of the Sixteenth Century, not a dozen words have survived" in this country, and that these are "mostly verbs." He adds that the lingo of all English-speaking criminals, as it has come down through the centuries, has gained in simplicity, and that the cumbersome polysyllables that once marked it, e.g., clapperdogeon, hankstelo, holmendods, jobbernoll, jockungage, nigmenog, supernaculum and tickrum-juckrum, have now disappeared. That it is true is proved by an examination of his own glossary, or of any of the others that have been printed.²

In general, criminal argot bears a close resemblance to ordinary slang, and employs the same devices to extend its vocabulary. Making an attribute do duty for the whole produces broad for woman, clatter for patrol-wagon, apple-knocker for farmer, law for policeman, vip for dog, hard stuff for metal money, eye for Pinkerton detective, and big-house for prison. Hidden resemblances produce ice for diamonds, paper-hanger for forger, and third-degree (borrowed from Freemasonry) for police examination. The substitution of far-fetched figures for literal description gives the felon altar for toilet-seat, bull for policeman, bug for alarm-bell, bone-orchard for cemetery, Fourth of July for gun-fight, and clown for village constable, and the contrary resort to a brutal literalness gives him croaker for doctor, and body-snatcher for kidnaper. He is fertile in abbreviations, e.g., dinah for dynamite, dick for detective, poke for pocketbook, poly for politician, and to gyp, obviously from gypsy. He invents many quite new words, e.g., goofy and zook (an old prostitute), and borrows others from foreign languages, e.g., spiel,

The Jargon of the Underworld, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. X, 1927.

For example, Criminal Slang, by Louis E. Jackson and C. R. Hellyer; Portland, Ore., 1914; It's Greek to You—but the Crooks Get It, by Howard McLellan, Collier's, Aug. 8, 1925; Criminalese, by James J. Finerty; Los Angeles, 1926; the Argot of the Underworld, by David W. Maurer, American Speech, Dec., 1931; The Language of the Underworld, by Ernest Booth, American Mercury, May, 1928; Crook Argot, by Maurice G. Smith, American Speech,

Feb., 1928; The Chatter of Guns, by Charles G. Givens, Saturday Evening Post, April 13, 1929. Joseph M. Sullivan, who printed a brief glossary under the title of Criminal Slang; Boston, 1908, returned to the subject in two articles under the same title, New England Magazine, July, 1910, and American Law Review, Nov.-Dec., 1918. A few of the terms in the argot of English criminals are listed in English Underworld Slang, Variety, April 8, 1931, reprinted in American Speech, June, 1931, p. 391 ff.

fin and gelt from German, and ganov, kibitzer, kosher and yentzer from Yiddish. He makes common nouns of proper nouns, e.g., Brodie (from Steve Brodie), meaning a leap; Valentino, meaning a handsome young man who preys upon women; and Pontius Pilate, a judge. Finally, he devises many new verbs and verb-phrases or provides old ones with new meanings, e.g., to belch (to talk), to bible (to make oath), to breeze (to clear out), to case (to spy out), to crash (to enter forcibly), to drill (to shoot), to fall (to be convicted), to finger (to point out), to h'ist (to hold up), to bump off, to hi-jack, to do the book (to serve a life sentence), to flatten out (to lie low), to give the once-over, to go gandering (to look for something or someone), to shake down, to wipe out. Down to a few years ago, for some reason unknown, Cockney rhyming cant, supposed to have come in by way of Australia, was very popular among American thieves. It consists largely of a series of rhyming substitutions, e.g., mince-pie for eye, lump o' lead for head, north and south for mouth, tit for tat for hat, twist and twirl for girl, storm (or trouble) and strife for wife, and babbling brook for crook. It has now gone out of fashion, but a few of its locutions, e.g., twist for girl, remain in use. The idea behind such far-fetched forms is to conceal meaning from the uninitiated. This is an essential characteristic of cant, as opposed to slang. The criminal frequently has to communicate with his fellows in the presence of the enemy, and under circumstances which make a revelation of his plans hazardous to him. For the same reason he inclines toward the terseness that Dr. Kane has remarked. "Brevity, conciseness," says Ernest Booth, "is the essence of thieves' jargon. To be able to convey a warning and the nature of the danger in a single word or phrase is the test." 2

r Fin, obviously from fünf, means five. It is used impartially to designate five dollars, a five-dollar bill and a five-year sentence. Sometimes finif, which is closer to fünf, is used in place of it. Spiel, for spielen, to play or perform, has got into ordinary American slang. Gelt, meaning money in general, needs no gloss. Kosher, in criminal argot, has come to mean reliable, trustworthy. Kibitzer is employed, as in non-felonious American, to designate an onlooker, and especially one who offers unsolicited advice.

Yentzer means a cheater. Ganov, a thief, survives in its original form, and has also produced derivatives. Thus: gun, from its first syllable, means any sort of criminal, but especially a pickpocket; gun-mob means a gang, and gun-moll means a criminal's girl. Gun has bred cannon, of the same meaning.

The Language of the Underworld, American Mercury, May, 1928. Mr. Booth is himself a felon of long professional experience, and is at the moment undergoing incarceration in Folsom Prison in California.

Mr. Booth describes a tense situation in which "two or more thieves must make immediate decision regarding their actions." "Lam [i.e., run away]?" pants a waverer. "No - stick [i.e., remain and shoot it out]," replies the leader - "and the battle is on."

As Dr. Kane says, the argots of criminals, of tramps and of prostitutes have a great deal in common and are mutually intelligible; nevertheless, there are some differences. The criminals themselves are divided into classes that tend to keep apart, and the tramps and prostitutes shade off into the general population. There are also regional differences, and a term still in vogue in the East may be passé in the Middle West or on the Pacific Coast, or vice versa. Thus the Western crooks sometimes call a forger a bill-poster and on the Pacific Coast he may be a scratcher, whereas he is usually a paper-hanger, which is the eldest term, in the East. Again, in the East a jewelry-store is a slum-joint, whereas in the West it is an ice-house. Whenever a new form of thieving is invented it quickly develops a sub-cant of its own. Thus the automobile thieves who had their heyday in 1928 or thereabout devised a series of terms of their own to designate cars of the various more popular makes and designs, e.g., breezer for an open car, shed for a closed car, frontroom for a sedan, B.I. for a Buick, caddy (or golfer) for a Cadillac, ducker for a Dodge, Hudson-pup for an Essex, papa for a Lincoln, spider for a Ford, Studie for a Studebaker, and so on. In the same way the drug peddlers who began to flourish after the passage of the Harrison Act in 1915 were ready with neologisms to reinforce the terminology of drug addiction in the general cant of the underworld. Physicians who supplied addicts with drugs became ice-tong doctors, the addicts themselves became junkers, and the Federal agents who tried to put down the traffic became whiskers, gazers or uncles. A mixture of cocaine and morphine was called a whizz-bang, an occasional user of drugs was a joy-rider, and to simulate illness in the hope of getting drugs was to throw a wing-ding.2 The racketeers who came in with Prohibition in 1920, and quickly arose to first place in the underworld, were lavish enrichers of its language. Some of their inventions, indeed, were adopted by the whole

Maurer, American Speech, April, 1933, and Addenda to Junker Lingo, by V. F. Nelson, the same, Oct., 1933.

I Wonder Who's Driving Her Now, by William G. Shepherd, Journal of American Insurance, Feb., 1929.

² See Junker Lingo, by David W.

population, e.g., big shot, bathtub-gin, torpedo, trigger-man, gorilla (the last three meaning assassin), hide-out, pineapple (a bomb), heat (trouble), to needle, to cook (to redistil denatured alcohol), to cut (to dilute), to muscle in, to take for a ride, to put on the spot. Their term for genuine liquor, McCoy,¹ promises to survive, at least until the last memory of Prohibition fades. They added two Yiddishisms to the common stock of all American rogues: meshuggah (crazy) and goy (a Christian). Racket itself, of course, was not a new word. It had been used by English criminals, in exactly its present sense, in the Eighteenth Century. Racketeer was a novelty, but I suspect that it was introduced, not by anyone deserving to be so called, but by some ingenious newspaper reporter.²

There is a special prison argot, grounded in large part, of course, on thieves' cant, but with some special terms of its own. Naturally enough, most of the articles of the prison bill-of-fare have derisory names. In virtually all American prisons stew is slum, bread is punk or dummy, gravy is skilley, sugar is sand or dirt, eggs are bombs, roast beef is young-horse, sausages are beagles or pups, and coffee is jamoca (apparently from Java and Mocha). A prisoner lately dressed in is a fish, a sentence is a bit, the isolation cells are the hole, the ice-box, or the cooler, good time is the prisoner's allowance for good behavior, a guard is a screw or hack, a recidivist is a two-time loser (or three-time or n-time, as the case may be), visiting day is the big day, a prison visitor is a hoosier, hacksaw blades are briars, the prison itself is the big house, a reformatory is a college or ref,

It usually appears as the real Mc-Coy. Its origin is disputed. One current etymology connects it with Bill McCoy, an eminent rum-runner in the heyday of Rum Row. Another holds that it comes from the name of Kid McCoy, welterweight champion of the world, 1898-1900. The story runs that a drunk once picked a quarrel with McCoy and refused to believe that he was the prize-fighter. After McCoy's fist had done its work, the drunk picked himself up, saying "It's the real McCoy." See The Real McCoy, by P. R. Beath, American Speech, Feb., 1932, p. 239.

2 Vocabularies of the terms employed by racketeers during their Golden Age are to be found in The Argot

of the Racketeers, by James P. Burke, American Mercury, Dec., 1930, and English As It Is Spoken Owes Debt to Racketeer, New York World, Nov. 17, 1929. For an account of the contribution of Prohibition to the general speech see Volstead English, by Achsah Hardin, American Speech, Dec., 1931. 3 See Table Talk, San Quentin Bulletin, Jan., 1931; Can Cant, by J. Louis Kuethe, Baltimore Evening Sun, Dec. 9, 1932; Prison Lingo, by Herbert Yenne, American Speech, March, 1927; Convicts' Jargon, by George Milburn, the same, Aug., 1931; A Prison Dictionary (Expurgated), the same, Oct., 1933, and Prison Parlance, by J. Louis Kuethe, the same, Feb., 1034.

a county workhouse is a band-box, and a police-station is a can. To smuggle a letter out of the place is to fly a kite. To escape is to crash, to blow, to cop a mope, or to go over the wall. To be released is to spring or to hit the bricks. To go crazy while in confinement is to go stir-bug. To report a prisoner for violating a rule is to turn him in. To be imprisoned for life is to do the book or to do it all. To have no hope of release is to be buried, lagged or settled. To be sentenced to death is to get the works. To be hanged is to be topped or to dance. To be electrocuted is to burn, to fry or to squat. The march to the electric-chair is the last waltz. The chair itself is the hot-seat or hot-squat, and the death-house is the dance-hall. Special argots are also in use in various lesser sorts of hoosegow, e.g., reformatories and orphanages. The only report that I have been able to find on the vocabulary of incarcerated orphans 1 indicates that the young inmates speak a jargon made up of borrowings from both school slang and criminal cant. From the former come bull-fest, collegiate, nifty and pash, and from the latter to scram, to gyp and screw (a watchman or officer).

The argot of tramps and hoboes also coincides with that of criminals, for though some of them are far from felons they inhabit a section of the underworld, and are pursued almost as relentlessly as yeggmen by the constabulary. Tramps and hoboes are commonly lumped together, but in their own sight they are sharply differentiated. A hobo or bo is simply a migratory laborer; he may take some longish holidays, but soon or late he returns to work. A tramp never works if it can be avoided; he simply travels. Lower than either is the bum, who neither works nor travels, save when impelled to motion by the police. The wobblies (members of the I.W.W.) of the years following the war were hoboes but certainly not tramps or bums. But all three classes use substantially the same argot.² In

The Argot of an Orphans' Home, by L. W. Merryweather, American Speech, Aug., 1932.

2 The best vocabulary of it is probably that in American Tramp and Underworld Slang, by Godfrey Irwin; London, 1931. Mr. Irwin spent "more than twenty years as a tramp on the railroads and roads of the United States, Canada, Mexico and Central America, and on tramp steamers in Central American waters." Other useful articles

are Hobo Cant, by F. H. Sidney, Dialect Notes, Vol. V, Pt. II, 1919; Hobo Lingo, by Nicholas Klein, American Speech, Sept., 1926; The Argot of the Vagabond, by Charlie Samolar, the same, June, 1927; More Hobo Lingo, by Howard F. Barker, the same, Sept., 1927; The Vocabulary of Bums, by Vernon W. Saul (alias K. C. Slim), the same, June, 1929; Junglese, by Robert E. Oliver, the same, June, 1932; How the Hobo Talks, by Charles

it a bed-roll is a bindle or balloon, and the man who carries one is a bindle-stiff. A blanket is a soogan and a suitcase is a turkey. The place where tramps and hoboes foregather is a jungle or hang-out, and one who frequents it unduly, hoping to cadge food from the more enterprising, is a jungle-buzzard. A beggar is a panhandler and an old one is a dino. A sneak-thief is a prowler, a dirty fellow (most tramps are relatively clean) is a grease-ball, a Texan is a long-horn, a Southerner is a rebel, a migratory worker is a boomer, an employment-agent is a shark, and a farmer or other poor simpleton is a scissor-bill. The tramp who carries a boy with him, to rustle food for him and serve him otherwise, is a jocker or wolf, and the boy is a punk, gazooney, guntzel, lamb or prushun.1 To steal washing off the line is to gooseberry it. The discourse heard in mission-halls is angel-food, and the bum who listens to it is a mission-stiff. A Catholic priest is a buck or Galway, and the Salvation Army is Sally Ann. In the days before hitch-hiking, hoboes spent a great deal of their time stealing rides on the railroads, and their railroad vocabulary remains rich and racy. A locomotive is a hog, a coal-car is a battlewagon, a caboose is a crummy, a freight-car is a rattler, a refrigerator-car is a reefer, a freight-train is a drag, a fast freight is a manifest or red-ball, an engineer is a hoghead, a conductor is a con, a brakeman is a shack or brakie, and a section-hand is a gandy-dancer. Most of the larger railroads of the country have names in the argot of the road. The Chicago & Alton is the Carry-all, the Chicago, Burlington & Quincy is the Q, the Baltimore and Ohio is the Dope, the Missouri Pacific is the Mop, the Southern Pacific is the Soup Line, and that part of it between Maricope, Ariz., and Yuma is the Gila Monster Route. In the old days a small town used to be a tank or a jerkwater, but now it is a filling-station. A tramp's professional name is his moniker, e.g., Frisco Slim. The favorite jungle delicacy is mulligan or slum, a stew made of meat and vegetables. Food in general is chuck, garbage or scoffings, a meal given out at a kitchen door is a lump, milk is cow-juice, butter is salve or axle-grease, soup is shackles or Peoria, beer is slops, coffee is hot-stuff, mud or embalming-fluid, pancakes are flat-cars, sausage is gut, a chicken is a gump or twostep, catsup is red-lead, eggs are headlights, corned beef and cabbage

Ashleigh, Everyman (London), May 21, 1931; Wobbly Talk, by Stewart H. Holbrook, American Mercury, Jan., 1926.

I The etymology of this word is mysterious. It seems to suggest Prussian, but I have been unable to find any evidence of a connection.

is Irish turkey, pastry is toppings, and the meringue on a pie is calf-slobber.

A large part of the argot of the hoboes is borrowed from that of the railroad men. In both, for example, a locomotive is a hog and an engineer is a hoghead. But the railroad men also have many picturesque terms that their unwelcome guests have never picked up. To them a conductor is not a con, but Captain, a grabber, the master, the skipper, the king-pin, the big-ox or the brains. A passenger brakeman is a baby-lifter, a fireman is a bell-ringer, tallowpot, stoker, smoke, bakehead, fireboy or diamond-cracker, a trainmaster is a master-mind, a master-mechanic is a master-maniac, a machinist is a nut-splitter, a telegraph-operator is a brass-pounder, a car-repairer is a car-toad or carwhacker, an air-brake repairman is an air-monkey, a switchman is a cinder-cruncher, snake, goose or clown, a yard-master is a dinger, ringmaster or the general, his assistant is a jam-buster, a train-dispatcher is a detainer, a yard-conductor is a drummer, a track-laborer is a jerry or snipe, the foreman of a track-gang is the king snipe, and a yard-clerk is a mudhop, numbergrabber or number-dummy. They use crummy to designate a caboose, but they also use buggy, hack, hearse, cage, clown-wagon, crib, dog-house, louse-cage, monkey-house, parlor, way-car, shanty or hut. The last is sometimes also applied to the cab of a locomotive. A Pullman sleeper is a snoozer, a large locomotive is a battleship, a stock-car is a cow-cage, a passenger-car is a cushion, a cross-over is a diamond, a train-order is a flimsy, a freight-yard is a garden, a switch is a gate, a yard-engine is a goat, a signal torpedo is a gun, a go-ahead hand or lantern signal is a high-ball, a fast passenger-train is a highliner, the tool-box under the caboose is a possum-belly, a helper locomotive for mountain use is a pusher, roof-garden or sacred-ox, the step at the front end of a yard-engine is a scoop, telegraph wires are strings, and a yard-office is a bee-hive. To cool a hot-box is to freeze the hub, to set the brakes is to anchor her, to set the emergency-brakes is to wing her, to jump from a car is to hit the grit, to boast is to blow smoke, to quit for the day is to pin for home, and to quit the service is to pull the pin. The old term boomer, designating a railroad man given to drifting from road to road, is now almost obsolete, for there are very few boomers left.1 Another argot that

I I am indebted here to Our Own Language, Railroad Men's Magazine, June, 1930, and to an Old Timers' Dictionary issued by the Central Vermont Railway, the latter kindly sent to me by Mr. J. H. impinges upon the speech of hoboes is that of the circus and carnival men. The carnival men, indeed, also borrow a great deal from criminal cant, for in parts at least their business skirts the dim frontiers of the law. They have effected some changes of meaning in their borrowings. Thus gonov, which means a thief to thieves, means a fool on the carnival lot, and the same meaning is given to guntzel, which means, in the jungles, the boy companion of a tramp. To the carnival men a stand outside a show is a bally-stand, concessions are joints or hooplas, a seller of cheap novelties is a gandy-dancer, a hamburger-stand is a grab-joint, a fortune-teller's tent is a mit-joint, a photograph-gallery is a mug-joint, cheap prizes are slum or crap, a snake-eater or other such freak is a geek, a gambling concession is a flat-joint, and the man operating it is a thief. The circus men have a rather more seemly vocabulary.2 To them the gaudy pictures in front of the side-shows constitute the banner-line, the circus-programme is the Bible, toy balloons are bladders, tickets are dukets, the ringmaster is always the equestrian-director, the powder used for making pink lemonade and other such drinks is flookum, the manager of the circus is the gaffer, a hamburger-stand is a greasejoint, the men who drive stakes are the hammer gang, a dressing-tent is a pad-room, that for clowns is Clown Alley, posters are paper, bouncers are pretty boys, the big tent is the rag, the men who load and unload the show are razorbacks, clowns are white-faces or Joevs, acrobats are kinkers, bareback riders are rosinbacks, and the tattooed man is the picture-gallery. The patrons are always suckers. A man who works animals is never a tamer, but always a trainer. Elephants,

Fountain. In 1925 the Pennsylvania Railroad printed a brief glossary on the bills-of-fare of its diningcars. It was reprinted in American cars. It was reprinted in American Speech, Jan., 1926, p. 250. See also Railroad Terms, by F. H. Sidney, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. V, 1916; A Glossary of Pullman Service Terms, Pullman News, Sept., 1922; Railroad Lingo, by Grover Jones, Bookman, July, 1929; Railroad Slang, by Robert S. Harper, Writer's Digest, May, 1931; Railroad Lingo, by Russell V. Batie, American Speech, Feb., 1934. There is some interesting and unfamiliar is some interesting and unfamiliar matter in The Sign Language of Railroad Men, by Charles Carpenter, American Mercury, Feb.,

I I am indebted here to Mysteries of the Carnival Language, by Charles Wolverton, American Mercury, June, 1935. See also Carnival Cant, by David W. Maurer, American Speech, June, 1931, and Carnival Slang, by E. P. Conkle, the same,

Feb., 1928, p. 253.

The best available glossary is in Circus Words, by George Milburn, American Mercury, Nov., 1931. See also A Circus List, by Percy W. White, American Speech, Feb., 1926, and More About the Language of the Lot, by the same, the

same, June, 1928.

whether male or female, are bulls, zebras are convicts, tigers are stripes, and camels are humps. To slough is to strike the tents preparatory to moving on, to spot is to lav out their situation on the next lot, to kife is to swindle, and to three-sheet is to boast. The Monday-man, who had an exclusive concession to raid clothes-lines in the vicinity of the lot, has succumbed to the accumulating virtue of circuses, and the mud-show, drawn from town to town by horses, has gone with him.1

The theater, which is one of the chief sources of popular slang, also has a florid argot, and in part it is almost esoteric enough to amount to a cant. "Shouted by a breathless dancer to her companions, bawled by a lusty stage-hand to his mates, mulled sagely back and forth by two spent animal-trainers," says Gretchen Lee, "it conveys nothing whatever to the casual ear. They might better be speaking Choctaw." 2 This lingo reached its most extravagant forms among vaudeville performers, who are now much less important and numerous than they used to be in show business. (Observe that the article is always omitted.) Some years ago Julius H. Marx printed the following specimen dialogue between two of them, met by chance on Broadway:

First Vaudevillian - How they comin', Big Boy?

Second V. - Not so hot, not so hot. I'm playin' a hit-and-run emporium over in East New York.

First V. - Gettin' much jack?

Second V. - Well, the storm and me is cuttin' up two and a half yards, but when the feed bill and gas for the boiler is marked off, they ain't much sugar left.

First V.-Why don't you air her and do a single?

Second V. - I guess I should; every one that's caught us says that the trick is a hundred per cent. me. I had 'em howling so forte last night the whole neighborhood was in a uproar. What are you doing these days?

First V. - I just closed with a turkey that went out to play forty weeks and folded up after ten days. Believe me, them WJZ and WEAF wise-crackers ain't doin' show business any good. In the West now they are even gettin' the rodeo by radio.

Second V. - Why don't you get yourself a partner and take a flyer?

First V. - Well, if I could get a mama that could do some hoofin' and tickle a uke, I think I would.

Second V. - Well, ta ta, I gotta go now and make comical for the bozos.

1 The chautauqua, now also virtually extinct, developed an argot much more decorous than that of the circus and carnival. It is embalmed for posterity in Chautauqua Talk, by J. R. Schultz, American Speech,

Aug., 1932. Mr. Schultz printed a brief supplement in American Speech, Oct., 1934, p. 233. 2 Trouper Talk, American Speech,

Oct., 1925.

If you get a chance come over and get a load of me, but remember, Capt. Kidd, lay off my wow gags.¹

Most of this, of course, would be intelligible to any college student: there is far more slang in it than argot or cant. The stage-hands and box-office men have lingoes of their own,² and there is a considerable difference between the vocabulary of a high-toned Broadway actor and that of a hoofer (dancer) who grinds, bumps and strips (i.e., rotates her hips, follows with a sharp, sensuous upheaval of her backside, and then sheds all her clothes save a G-string) ⁸ in burlesque. Rather curiously, there seems to be no comprehensive glossary of theatrical argot in print.⁴ That of the movies has found its Webster in Mr. Glendon Allvine, whose glossary of "Studio Lingo" is printed as an appendix to "The Silver Streak," by Roger

- This was printed in the Conning Tower in the New York World, but I have been unable to determine the date.
- 2 For the former, see American Stage-Hand Language, by J. Harris Gable, American Speech, Oct., 1928, and for the latter The Strange Vernacular of the Box-Office, New York Times, Oct. 30, 1925.
- York Times, Oct. 30, 1925.
 3 For this lovely phrase I am indebted to Mr. Harry Van Hoven.
 4 An inadequate one is in Stage
- Terms, by Percy W. White, American Speech, May, 1926, and an even more scanty one is in Theatrical Lingo, by Ottilie Amend, the same, Oct., 1927. Neither of these lexicographers shows any sign of having had personal experience in the theater. Rather better ones are in The Language of the Theatre, by B. Sobel, Bookman, April, 1929, and A Primer of Broadway Slang, by Walter Winchell, Vanity Fair, Nov., 1927. The latter includes some attempts at etymologies. The peculiar vocabulary of the theatri-cal weekly, Variety, which has supplied Broadway with many neologisms, is described in The Language of Lobster Alley, by Hiram Motherwell, Bookman, Dec., 1930, and Variety, by Hugh Kent, American Mercury, Dec., 1926. Variety was edited until his death in 1933 by Sime Silverman. In an obituary of

him by Epes W. Sargent, printed in his paper on Sept. 26, 1933, it was stated that at the start *Variety* was "written in the English language," but that it "never really bit into the business until Sime changed his policy and wrote as a majority of the actors of that day spoke. . . . It was not that he could not write English, but that most variety actors of that day did not speak it." Here is a specimen heading from *Variety*, reprinted in the Manchester *Guardian*, Jan. 30, 1930:

Pash Flaps M. C. Fan Clubs Rated Worthless to Theatres As B. O. Gag.

The Guardian explained to its English readers that the intention here was "to convey the assurance that impassioned young women (flaps, flappers) organized into clubs because of their admiration for the master of ceremonies (usually the leader of the orchestra), have been found useless as a device for increasing box-office receipts." Some recent specimens from Variety: to air (to go on the air), crix (pl. of critic), outstander (one who is outstanding), builder-upper, juve (juvenile), to guest (to appear as a guest), to ready (to make ready).

Whately, Jack O'Donnell and H. W. Hanemann. Some of the terms listed are very amusing. A breakaway is a weapon made of yuccawood, so light that it will do no harm when a comedian is clouted with it. A studio hospital is a butcher-shop. The divan in a manager's office is the casting-couch. A face without expression is a dead pan. The cancellation of a call for extras is a death-knell. The head property-man is the first broom. A performer's business agent is a fleshpeddler. A Western picture is a horse-opera. An actor who seizes the center of the stage is a lens-hog. An elderly actress, commonly playing weeping mothers, is a tear-bucket. Camera lenses are bottles. A complaining actor is a bleater. A spoiled film is a buzzard. Noises in the sound-recording system are canaries. The fogging produced by halation is a ghost. An electrician's helper is a grunt. An assistant cameraman is a jockey. Any performer not a Caucasian is a zombie.

Nearly every other trade has its argot, and some of them are quite as picturesque as that of the movie people. Vocabularies of many of them have been published.2 Nor is there any lack of such jargons,

1 Los Angeles, 1935. A shorter wordlist is in Movie Talk, by Albert Parry, American Speech, June, 1928. There is a very brief list of radio terms in Radio Slang, by Hilda Cole, Radioland, March, 1935. For the usage in England, which differs considerably from that in this country, see A Dictionary of Wireless Terms, by R.

Stranger; London, 1933.
2 I must content myself with references to only a small part of the literature: Auctioneers: Jewelry Auction Jargon, by Fred Witman, American Speech, June, 1928. Aviators: Aviation Lingo, by P. R. Beath, American Speech, April, 1930; The Speech of the American Airmen, by Chalmers K. Stewart; Akron, O., 1933 (a master's dissertation, still in MS). Beauticians: Beauty Shoppe Jargon, by N. R. L., the same, April, 1928. Cattlemen: Cow Country Lingo, Chicago Daily News, Aug. 14, 1922; Nebraska Cow Talk, by Melvin Van Denbark, American Speech, Oct., 1929; The Idiom of the Sheep Range, by Charles Lindsay, the same, June, 1931; Ranch Diction of the Texas Panhandle, by Mary Dale Buckner, the same, Feb., 1933. Firemen: Firemen Invent Their Own Slang, New York Sun, March 16, 1932; The Word Potsy, the same, March 26, 1932. Fishermen: Schoonerisms: Some Speech-Pe-culiarities of the North-Atlantic Fishermen, by David W. Maurer, American Speech, June, 1930. Furniture salesmen: Furniture Lingo, by Charles Miller, the same, Dec., 1930. Lumbermen: Logger Talk, by Guy Williams; Seattle, 1930; Logger Talk, by James Stevens, American Speech, Dec., 1925; Sawmill Talk, by Edward Herry, the same, Oct., 1927; Lumberjack Lingo, by J. W. Clark, the same, Oct., 1931; It Ain't English, But It's Hiyu Skookum, by Stewart H. Holbrook, Portland Sunday Oregonian, Nov. 11, 1934. Lunch-wagon attendants: Lunch-Wagon Slanguage, World's Work, Feb., 1932. Miners: The Lingo of the Mining Camp, American Speech, Nov., 1926; Mining Town Terms, by Joseph and Michael Lopushansky, the same, June, 1929; Mining Expressions Used in Colorado, by L. J. Davidson, the some of them unintelligible enough to the general to be almost classed as cant, on higher levels. The pedagogues, for example, employ many strange terms in their professional writings, e.g., mind-set and stimulus-response-bonds, and use others in strange ways, e.g., project, to socialize and outstanding. Two of their favorites, reaction and outstanding, have come into the common speech as counterwords. I.Q., which they apparently invented, was taken in at once. But they have been less successful in introducing their confusing way of spelling out figures beginning with hundreds, e.g., three hundred seventy-one, with the usual and omitted. The social-workers, whose passion is the uplift, have developed a similar lingo, and some of its pearls, e.g., community-chest, child-welfare, mental-bygiene and survey, are now in general use. Nor are the librarians, hospital nurses, fire insurance "engineers" and other such slaves to the common weal much behind. In part, of course, these lingoes

same, Dec., 1929; California Gold-Rush English, by Marian Hamilton, the same, Aug., 1932. Musicians: Radio Bandmen Speak a Strange Language at Their Labors, by Louise Reid, New York American, June 22, 1935; Hot Jazz Jargon, by E. J. Nichols and W. L. Werner, Vanity Fair, Nov., 1935; Jazzing Up Our Musical Terms, by A. C. E. Schonemann, American Speech, June, 1926. Newspaper reporters: Newspaper Nomenclature, by Dorothy Colburn, the same, Feb., 1927; Going to Press, the same, Dec., 1928. Oilfield workers: The Language of the Oil Wells, by Clark S. Northup, *Dialect Notes*, Vol. II, Pts. V and VI, 1903-4; Oil Field Diction, by A. R. McTee, *Publica*tions of the Texas Folk-Lore Society, No. IV, 1925; Language of the California Oil Fields, by F. R. Pond, American Speech, April, 1932. Postoffice workers: Speech in the Post Office, the same, April, 1932. Sailors: Navy Slang, by B. T. Harvey, Dialect Notes, Vol. IV, Pt. II, 1914; Navy Terms, American Speech, March, 1926; Sailor Words, by E. J. Croucher, Word-Lore, April, 1928; Fo'c'sle Lingo, by Jack Healy, American Speech, April, 1928; Elegy for a Dying

Tongue, by C. B. W. Richardson, Scribner's, Aug., 1935. Shoe salesmen: Lingo of the Shoe Salesman, the same, Dec., 1934. Sugar-beet workers: Sugar Beet Language, the same, Oct., 1930. Taxi-Drivers: The Taxi Talk, by George Milburn, Folk-Say, Vol. I, 1929. Telegraphers and linemen: Some Telegraphers' Terms, by Hervey Brackbill, American Speech, April, 1929; Lineman's English, by C. P. Loomis, the same, Sept., 1926. Undertakers: Mortuary Nomenclature, Hygeia, Nov., 1925. The general terminology of the American labor movement is dealt with in Bulletin No. 25, Bureau of Business Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University, 1921.

I For an extensive glossary see The Terminology of Social Workers, by LeRoy E. Bowman, American

Speech, June, 1926.

2 For the librarians see Library Language, by Nellie Jane Compton, American Speech, Nov., 1926. For the nurses see Hospital Talk, by Dorothy Barkley, the same, April, 1927. For the fire insurance brethren see Fire Insurance Terminology, by H. B. Bernstein, the same, July, 1926.

consist of legitimate technicalities, but they also contain a great deal of loose speech that is more properly describable as either argot or slang. In the case of the nurses it even verges on cant, for one of its purposes is to conceal meanings from patients.¹

r For the benefit of students who wish to travel further down this lane I append a brief bibliography of oddities: Aquarium English, by Ida Mellen, American Speech, Aug., 1928; The Language of the Saints [i.e., Mormons], by Dorothy N. Lindsay, the same, April, 1933; The Speech of Plain Friends [i.e., Quakers], by Kate W. Tibbals, the same, Jan., 1926; Some Peculiarities of Quaker Speech, by Anne W. Comfort, the same, Feb., 1933; The Catholic Language, by Benjamin Musser, Ecclesiastical Review, Dec., 1926; The Book Reviewer's Vo-

cabulary, by W. O. Clough, American Speech, Feb., 1931; Auto-Tourist Talk, by L. J. Davidson, the same, April, 1934; Legal Lingo, by Reuben Oppenheimer, the same, Dec., 1926; A Dictionary of American Politics, by Edward Conrad Smith; New York, 1924; Twisting the Dictionary to Pad Political Vocabulary, New York Times, Dec. 16, 1923; American Political Cant, by Lowry Charles Wimberly, American Speech, Dec., 1926; and More Political Lingo, by the same, the same, July, 1927.

XII

THE FUTURE OF THE LANGUAGE

I. THE SPREAD OF ENGLISH

The English tongue is of small reach, stretching no further than this island of ours, nay not there over all.

This was written in 1582. The writer was Richard Mulcaster, headmaster of the Merchant Taylors' School, teacher of prosody to Edmund Spenser, and one of the earliest of English grammarians. At the time he wrote, English was spoken by between four and five millions of people, and stood fifth among the European languages, with French, German, Italian and Spanish ahead of it in that order, and Russian following. Two hundred years later Italian had dropped behind but Russian had gone ahead, so that English was still in fifth place. But by the end of the Eighteenth Century it began to move forward, and by the middle of the Nineteenth it had forced its way into first place. Today it is so far in the lead that it is probably spoken by as many people as the next two European languages — Russian and German — combined.

It is not only the first—and, in large part, the only—language of both of the world's mightiest empires; it is also the second language of large and populous regions beyond their bounds. Its teaching is obligatory in the secondary schools of countries as diverse as Germany and Argentina, Turkey and Denmark, Portugal and Rumania, Estonia and Japan. Three-fourths of all the world's mail is now written in it, it is used in printing more than half the world's newspapers, and it is the language of three-fifths of the world's radio stations. No ship captain can trade upon the oceans without some knowledge of it; it is the common tongue of all the great ports, and likewise of all the maritime Bad Lands, from the South Sea Islands and the China Coast to the West Coast of Africa and the Persian

of Basic English, by C. K. Ogden; New York, 1934, p. 5.

I See The Geography of Great Languages, by E. H. Babbitt, World's Work, Feb., 1908; and The System

Gulf. Every language that still resists its advance outside Europe for example, Spanish and Portuguese in Latin-America, Italian and French in the Levant, and Japanese, Chinese and Hindi in the Far East - holds out against it only by making large concessions to it. Spanish is under heavy assault from English, and especially from American, in Cuba, Puerto Rico, Mexico and the Isthmian region, and everywhere in South and Central America it has taken in many English and American words.² Japanese has gone even further. Professor Sanki Ichikawa, of the University of Tokyo, reports that in a few months' reading of Japanese newspapers and magazines he encountered 1400 English words,3 and Dr. Sawbay Arakawa lists nearly 5000 in his "Japanized English." 4 " Of the various European languages which have left a mark on the Japanese vocabulary," says Professor Ichikawa, "English is by far the most important, and its future influence will probably be such that not only words and expressions will continue to be borrowed in greater numbers, but even the structure and grammar of the Japanese language will be considerably modified." Chinese, at least along the coast, seems destined to go the same way. According to Professor Tsung-tse Yeh, of Tsing Hua University, Peking,5 its stock of English loan-words has been greatly reinforced since the revolution of 1911, and it is now fashionable for journalists and other vernacular writers to make a large show of them. Dr. Tsung-tse presents only a meager list, but in it I find four Americanisms - p'u-k'e for poker, fan-shih-ling for vaseline, te-lu-feng for telephone, and ch'ueh-erh-ssu-teng for charleston (dance). According to another Chinese, Dr. W. W. Yen,

I Cosmopolitan Conversation, by Herbert Newhard Shenton; New York, 1933, p. 315. When Dr. Shenton asked the secretary of the International Shipping Conference, representing 17 countries, what language was used at its meetings, the reply was: "The Conference is perhaps more fortunate than other bodies in that it has from the start [1921] adopted the simple unwritten rule that English is the only language to be employed, and as practically all the members are expert in that language we have no difficulty."

2 See The American Language in Mexico, by H. E. McKinstry, American Mercury, March, 1930; Sports Slang in Latin-America, by Richard F. O'Toole, the same, Nov., 1930; and Spain's Waning Cultural Influence Over Hispanic-America, by Earle K. James, American Speech, Sept., 1926.

Tokyo, 1928, p. 165. See also The Impact of English on Japanese; Tokyo, 1928, p. 165. See also The Impact of English on Japanese, by Lionel Crocker, English Journal, April, 1928, and Anglicized Japanese, by Frederick W. Brown, Quarterly Journal of Speech Education, Feb., 1927.

4 4th ed.; Tokyo, 1930.

5 On Chinese Borrowings From English and French, in The Basic Vocabulary, by C. K. Ogden; London, 1930, pp. 86-95.

the study and employment of the English language by thousands of our students, many of whom adopt the literary and teaching professions, and the translation of books from English into Chinese, bound to retain some of the original mode of expression, have unconsciously and inevitably affected our modes of thought and the expression thereof, so that slowly but surely Chinese diction, grammar and style will adopt to a certain extent the English.¹

How many people speak English today? It is hard to answer with any precision, but an approximation is nevertheless possible. First, let us list those to whom English is their native tongue. They run to about 112,000,000 in the continental United States, to 42,000,000 in the United Kingdom, to 6,000,000 in Canada, 6,000,000 in Australia, 3,000,000 in Ireland, 2,000,000 in South Africa, and probably 3,000,-000 in the remaining British colonies and in the possessions of the United States. All these figures are very conservative, but they foot up to 174,000,000. Now add the people who, though born to some other language, live in English-speaking communities and speak English themselves in their daily business, and whose children are being brought up to it - say 13,000,000 for the United States, 1,000,-000 for Canada, 1,000,000 for the United Kingdom and Ireland, and 2,000,000 for the rest of the world - and you have a grand total of 101,000,000. Obviously, no other language is the everyday tongue of so many people. Spanish, it has been claimed, is spoken by more than 100,000,000, but that is little more than half the toll of English. Whether German or Russian comes next is in some doubt, but in any case it is certain that both lie below Spanish. The census of December 17, 1926, indicated that but 80,000,000 of the 150,000,000 citizens of the U.S.S.R. used Russian as their first language; the number has increased since, but probably by no more than 10,000,000.3 German is spoken by 65,000,000 Germans in the Reich, by perhaps 7,000,000 in Austria, by a scant 3,000,000 in German Switzerland, by perhaps 5,000,000 in the lost German and Austrian territories, and by another 5,000,000 in the German-speaking colonies in Russia, the Balkan and Baltic states, and South America. This makes 85,-

A lecture before the Literary and Social Guild of Peiping, Jan. 13, 1931. I borrow the quotation from C. K. Ogden's Debabelization; London, 1931, p. 133.

London, 1931, p. 133.

2 Hispania, May, 1935. I am indebted here to Dr. William H. Shoemaker of Princeton University.

3 I am indebted for the 1926 figures to Mr. S. S. Shipman of the Am-

torg Trading Corporation, New York. During 1935 the newspapers reported from Moscow that the population of Russia was estimated to be 162,000,000, but Russian estimates are always likely to be optimistic. Outside the national boundaries, of course, Russian is spoken hardly at all, save by emigrants who are rapidly losing it.

ooo,ooo altogether. Italian and Portuguese 1 are the runners-up, and the rest of the European languages are nowhere. Nor is there any rival to English in Asia, for though Chinese is ostensibly the native tongue of more than 300,000,000 people, it is split into so many mutually unintelligible dialects that it must be thought of less as a language than as a group of languages. The same may be said of Hindi. As for Japanese, it is spoken by no more than 70,000,000 persons, and thus lags behind not only English, but also Spanish, Russian and German. As for Arabic, it probably falls below even Italian.

Thus English is far ahead of any competitor. Moreover, it promises to increase its lead hereafter, for no other language is spreading so fast or into such remote areas. There was a time when French was the acknowledged second language of all Christendom, as Latin had been before it, and even to this day, according to Dr. Frank E. Vizetelly, the number of persons who have acquired it is larger than the number of those who have it by birth. But the advantages of knowing it tend to diminish as English conquers the world, and

- 1 The relative ranking of Italian and Portuguese is in dispute. Portugal itself, including Madeira and the Azores, had but 6,698,345 inhabitants in Dec., 1930, but Brazil was estimated officially, in 1935, to have 42,345,096. Unfortunately, it is impossible to determine accurately how many of the people of Brazil really speak Portuguese, and how many of the people of the Portuguese colonies. Senhor Edgard Schwery of São Paulo, Brazil, sent me, under date of May 28, 1935, an estimate that there was then 56,460,128 Portuguese-speaking persons in the world, and Senhora Edith del Junco, also of São Paulo, ventured upon 57,514,856 on June 5. The Italian census of April 21, 1932, showed 41,176,671 persons in Italy, but it did not include the inhabitants of the Italian colonies, or the large number of Italian-speaking persons in Algiers, Tunis, Egypt, Malta and other Mediterranean countries and islands.
- 2 The population of India was 351,-399,880 on Feb. 26, 1931. How many

- of its people speak some dialect of Hindi is not known precisely, but probably not more than half. Dr. George William Brown, in Language, Sept., 1935, p. 271, estimates the number at 100,000,000. The language, however, serves the commercial classes as a lingua franca, and efforts are under way, led by the Mahatma Gandhi, to make it universal. It is already either in use or optional in thirteen of the eighteen Indian universities.
- 3 In my third edition, 1923, p. 382 ff, I printed various estimates of the number of persons speaking the principal languages at different periods, ranging from 1801 to 1921. Others for earlier periods, going back to 1500, will be found in Growth and Structure of the English Language, by O. Jespersen, 3rd ed.; Leipzig, 1919. F. Max Müller's estimate, c. 1870, is in his On Spelling, p. 7. Other estimates are given in Debabelization, by C. K. Ogden; London, 1931, p. 41 ff, and by Frank H. Vizetelly in the World Almanac, 1935, p. 242.

it is now studied as an accomplishment far more often than as a utility. In Czarist Russia, according to a recent observer, "the educated classes spoke chiefly two foreign languages, French and German. French was the language of diplomacy, society, and fashion; German was utilized in the more prosaic fields of business and commerce. However, with the staggering efforts now made at industrialization, at attempts, as Stalin puts it, 'to overreach and outstrip all capitalist countries,' including America, German is of first importance, with English running a very close second." In our own high-schools and colleges French is retained in the curriculum, but it is hardly likely that more than 5% of the students ever acquire any facility at speaking it, or even at reading it. In the schools of Germany, Scandinavia and Japan, however, English is taught with relentless earnestness, and a great deal of it sticks. Indeed, even the French begin to learn it.

How far it has thus gone as a second language I do not know, but a few facts and figures taken at random may throw some light on the question. In February, 1929, the Stockholm newspaper, Nya Dagligt Allehanda, undertook to find out what proportion of the population of Stockholm had acquired it. All sorts of persons were interviewed, from bankers and business men to taxi-drivers and policemen. It was discovered that every fourth person had enough of the language for all ordinary purposes. This inquiry also showed that 65% of all the foreign business of Sweden was carried on in English. In writing to German correspondents the Swedish firms used German, but for all other foreign correspondence they used English. At the same time the Public Library of Stockholm reported "an incredible inquiry" for English and American books - classical English and modern American. The place thus held by English was formerly held by German and French; the change has come since 1900. In Norway and Denmark there has been a similar movement and in Finland "suggestions have been made that English should replace Swedish as the second official language." In Estonia, since 1920, "English has been the second language taught to the nativeborn, and the third to those minorities (Germans, Swedes, Russians, Jews) who use their own tongue first and learn the native language

American Language Fights for Recognition in Moscow, American Mercury, Jan., 1931.

r Eli B. Jacobson, professor of American literature and history at the Second Moscow University, 1929—30. The quotation is from his The

at school. . . . A hundred thousand boys and girls in Estonia want to learn English." 1 Its position in Portugal is the same, with no minorities to challenge it, and "a very large proportion of the educated inhabitants [already] have a working knowledge of it." 2 In Turkey, before 1923, the second language was French, but since the proclamation of the Republic "the tendency has entirely changed, ... and almost everybody, ... not only in Constantinople but throughout Anatolia, is learning English as hard as he can go. . . . The Ministry of Public Instruction has introduced English as a regular part of the school routine in all the secondary schools throughout the country. . . . On all sides, and every day, one hears such expressions as 'I want to learn English' and 'How long will it take me to learn English? " All this on the authority of Herbert M. Thompson, professor of English at the Galata Saray Lycée, "the Eton of Turkey." 8 Mr. Thompson says that in the commercial section of the school, "where pupils have the option of learning either English or German," all save one chose English both in 1928 and in 1929. In the evening classes the number of pupils taking English averages 150-200 a year, whereas the number taking German is but six or eight.

But perhaps the largest advances of English have been made in Latin-America. Half a century ago English was little used in the lands and islands settled by the Spaniards and Portuguese; the second language in all of them, in so far as they had a second language, was French. But the impact of the Spanish-American War has forced French to share its hegemony, as the English occupation of Egypt has pitted English against it in that country, and indeed throughout the Levant. The Latin-Americans still prefer French on cultural counts, for they continue to regard France as the beacon-light of Latin civilization, but they turn to English for the hard reasons of every day. This movement is naturally most marked in the areas that have come under direct American influence - above all, in Puerto Rico, where about a fourth of the people now speak Eng-

2 English in Portugal, by J. Da Providéncia Costa and S. George West, London Times Literary Supple-

ment, Feb. 28, 1935, p. 124.
3 English for the Turks, London Nation and Athenaeum, Nov. 16, 1929.

I The English Language for Estonia, London Spectator, July 6, 1929, p. 11. The anonymous author of this article says that German, which was formerly the second language of the country, would be displaced faster if it were not for the fact that German text-books are cheaper than English text-books.

lish 1 - but it is also visible everywhere below the Rio Grande. In the Philippines a survey of tenant rice-farmers' families, made so long ago as 1921-22, showed that 34% of the children were literate in English, as against only 2% literate in Spanish. Among the older people twice as many were literate in English as in Spanish. English is now widely used in the courts, executive offices and Legislative Assembly of the islands, and is frequently employed by political orators.2 Under the Constitution of the new Philippine Commonwealth, Art. XIII, Section 3, "the Legislative Assembly shall take steps toward the development and adoption of a common national language based on one of the existing native languages," but there is not much likelihood that any such artificial tongue will be perfected in the near future, or that it will be used by the generality of Filipinos when it is. Meanwhile, "until otherwise provided by law, English and Spanish shall continue as official languages" - with English, it will be observed, put first.

English is making steady inroads upon French as the language of diplomacy and of other international intercourse, and upon German as the language of science. In the former case, to be sure, French still offers a sturdy resistance. "There are certain respects," says Dr. Herbert Newhard Shenton in "Cosmopolitan Conversation," 8 "in which the international-conference movement is characteristically French. This does not apply to all classes of interests in the movement, but does apply to the movement as a whole. The favored rendezvous of conferences are in France or in French-speaking countries; more of the permanent headquarters are located in France than in any other country, and many others are located in Frenchspeaking countries." Thus French "still remains the preferred official language of international conferences." But certainly not by the old wide margin. Of the 330 international organizations dealt with in Dr. Shenton's book, 282 have one or more official languages, and among these 78% include French and 58% English. A century ago, or even half a century ago, the percentages would have been nearer

1 For this I am indebted to Dr. José Padín, commissioner of education for Puerto Rico. He says: "On the whole, I should say that about 400,000 people out of a total population of 1,600,000 speak and read English and, in a lesser degree, write it." See also his English in Puerto Rico; San Juan, 1935.

2 The English Language in the Philippines, by Emma Sarepta Yule, American Speech, Nov., 1925.
3 New York, 1933. This is a large work. A brief statement of Dr. Shenton's findings, prepared by himself, is to be found in International Compunication, edited by tional Communication, edited by C. K. Ogden; London, 1931.

100% and 25%. Perhaps the turn of the tide came with the Versailles Conference. At that historic gathering the two representatives of the English-speaking countries, Wilson and Lloyd George, had no French, whereas the French spokesman, Clemenceau, spoke English fluently—incidentally, with a strong American accent.¹ Thus English became the language of negotiation, and it has been heard round council tables with increasing frequency ever since.

All over the Far East it has been a lingua franca since the Eighteenth Century, at first in the barbarous guise of Pidgin English, but of late in increasingly seemly forms, often with an American admixture. In Japan, according to the Belgian consul-general at Yokohama, it is now "indispensable for all Europeans. One can do without Japanese, but would be lost without English. It is the business language." 2 In China, according to Dr. Lim-boon Keng, president of Amoy University, "we have practically adopted English," and in India, though but 2,500,000 natives can read and write it, it not only competes with Hindi in business, but is fast becoming the language of politics. Those Indians who know it, says Sir John A. R. Marriott³ "are the only persons who are politically conscious. Indian nationalism is almost entirely the product of English education; the medium of all political discussion is necessarily English." It is, adds R. C. Goffin,4 "the readiest means of obtaining (a) employment under the government; (b) employment in commercial houses of any standing, whether Indian or foreign; (c) command of the real lingua franca of the country - for Hindustani is of very little use south of the Central Provinces; (d) knowledge of Western ideas, both ancient and modern. . . . English in other ways has

I The consequences of this situation, and of like situations elsewhere, are discussed by Dr. Otto Jespersen in An International Language; London, 1928, p. 15 ff. Clemenceau, says Dr. Jespersen, "gained an undue ascendancy because he was practically the only one who had complete command of both languages."

2 English, Aug., 1919, p. 122. He adds: "Before the war German was widely spread among medical men, university professors, scientists, the army officers, and politicians. The political ideas of those who built modern Japan were in-

spired by German thought.... Apart from this, everything is English (British or American). The foreign language for the Navy, of course, is English. There is little use for the French language." At the first World's Congress of Engineering, held in Tokyo in 1929, all the sessions were conducted in English, and not a single one of the 900 papers, including 400 presented by Japanese delegates, was translated into Japanese.

3 The English in India; London,

1932, p. 18.
 Some Notes on Indian English,
 S.P.E. Tracts, No. XLI, 1934, p. 22.

shown itself a useful instrument for a country setting out to learn the habits of democracy. It is most convenient for the politician, for example, to be able to employ a language with only one word (instead of three or even four) for you. . . . There is no country today where a foreign language has been so thoroughly domesticated as has English in India." ¹

Altogether, it is probable that English is now spoken as a second language by at least 20,000,000 persons throughout the world ² — very often, to be sure, badly, but nevertheless understandably. It has become a platitude that one may go almost anywhere with no other linguistic equipment, and get along almost as well as in large areas of New York City. Here, for example, is the testimony of an English traveler:

It was only on reaching Italy that I began to fully realize this wonderful thing, that for nearly six weeks, on a German ship, in a journey of nearly

10,000 miles, we had heard little of any language but English!

In Japan most of the tradespeople spoke English. At Shanghai, at Hong Kong, at Singapore, at Penang, at Colombo, at Suez, at Port Said—all the way home to the Italian ports, the language of all the ship's traffic, the language of such discourse as the passengers held with natives, most of the language on board ship itself, was English.

The German captain of our ship spoke English more often than German.

All his officers spoke English.

The Chinese man-o'-war's men who conveyed the Chinese prince on board at Shanghai received commands and exchanged commands with our German sailors in English. The Chinese mandarins in their conversations with the ships' officers invariably spoke English. They use the same ideographs in writing as the Japanese, but to talk to our Japanese passengers they had to speak English. Nay, coming as they did from various provinces of the Empire, where the language greatly differs, they found it most convenient in conversation among themselves to speak English! ³

And here is that of an American:

In Berlin, Hamburg, Dresden, Munich, Vienna, Paris, Amsterdam, Venice, Florence, Rome, Milan, nearly all of Switzerland, and in such resorts as Wiesbaden, Baden-Baden, Carlsbad, Deauville, Biarritz, Vichy, St.-Jean-de-Luz, Lake Como, and the entire Riviera, it is difficult to find a first-class hotel

I For a more detailed account of the spread of English see Debabelization, by C. K. Ogden; London, 1931, p. 53 ff.

2 How many persons are studying it today it is not easy to determine. Dr. Janet Rankin Aiken (American Mercury, April, 1933, p. 426) puts the number at 80,000,000, counting in the children in the English-

speaking countries, but this is probably an overestimate. Dr. Aiken says that 500,000,000 people, "or more than one-fourth of all on earth," now live under governments which use English.

3 Alexander M. Thompson: Japan For a Week; Britain Forever!;

London, 1910.

where they are willing to permit you to hear the language of the country. One might think the employees were required to abjure their own tongue.¹

My own experience may be added for whatever it is worth. I have visited, since the World War, sixteen countries in Europe, five in Africa, three in Asia and three in Latin-America, beside a large miscellany of islands, but I don't remember ever encountering a situation that English could not resolve. I have heard it spoken with reasonable fluency in a Moroccan bazaar, in an Albanian fishingport, and on the streets of Istanbul. During the war the German army of occupation in Lithuania used it as a means of communicating with the local Jews, many of whom had been in America. In part, of course, its spread has been due to the extraordinary dispersion of the English-speaking peoples. They have been the greatest travelers of modern times, and the most adventurous merchants, and the most assiduous colonists. Moreover, they have been, on the whole, poor linguists, and so they have dragged their language with them, and forced it upon the human race. Wherever it has met with serious competition, as with French in Canada, with Spanish along our southwestern border, and with Dutch in South Africa, they have compromised with its local rival only reluctantly, and then sought every opportunity, whether fair or unfair, to break the pact. If English is the language of the sea, it is largely because there are more English ships on the sea than any other kind, and English shipcaptains refuse to learn what they think of as the barbaric gibberishes of Hamburg, Rio and Marseilles.

But there is more to the matter than this. English, brought to close quarters with formidable rivals, has won very often, not by mere force of numbers and intransigence, but by the weight of its intrinsic merit. "In riches, good sense and terse convenience (Reichtum, Vernunft und gedrängter Fuge)," said the eminent Jakob Grimm nearly a century ago,² "no other of the living languages may be put beside it." To which the eminent Otto Jespersen adds: "It seems to me positively and expressively masculine. It is the language of a grown-up man, and has very little childish or feminine about it." Dr. Jespersen then goes on to explain the origin and nature of

I English as Europe's Esperanto, by Harold Callender, New York Times Magazine, Aug. 24, 1930.

Magazine, Aug. 24, 1930.

2 Ueber den Ursprung der Sprache,
a lecture delivered before the Berlin Academy of Sciences, Jan. 9,

^{1851.} Reprinted in Auswahl aus den kleineren Schriften; Berlin, 1871.3 Growth and Structure of the Eng-

³ Growth and Structure of the English Language, 3rd ed.; Leipzig, 1919, p. 2.

this "masculine" air: it is grounded chiefly upon clarity, directness and force. He says:

The English consonants are well defined; voiced and voiceless consonants stand over against each other in neat symmetry, and they are, as a rule, clearly and precisely pronounced. You have none of those indistinct or half-slurred consonants that abound in Danish, for instance (such as those in hade, hage, livlig), where you hardly know whether it is a consonant or a vowel-glide that meets the ear. The only thing that might be compared to this in English is the r when not followed by a vowel, but then this has really given up definitely all pretensions to the rank of a consonant, and is (in the pronunciation of the South of England) 1 either frankly a vowel (as in here) or else nothing at all (in hart, etc.). Each English consonant belongs distinctly to its own type, a t is a t, and a k is a k, and there is an end. There is much less modification of a consonant by the surrounding vowels than in some other languages; thus none of that palatalization of consonants which gives an insinuating grace to such languages as Russian. The vowel sounds, too, are comparatively independent of their surroundings; and in this respect the language now has deviated widely from the character of Old English, and has become more clear-cut and distinct in its phonetic structure, although, to be sure, the diphthongization of most long vowels (in ale, whole, eel, who, phonetically eil, houl, ijl, huw) counteracts in some degree this impression of neatness and evenness.

Dr. Jespersen then proceeds to consider certain peculiarities of English grammar and syntax, and to point out the simplicity and forcefulness of the everyday English vocabulary. The grammatical baldness of the language, he argues (against the old tradition in philology), is one of the chief sources of its vigor. He says:

Where German has, for instance, alle diejenigen wilden tiere, die dort leben, so that the plural idea is expressed in each word separately (apart, of course, from the adverb), English has all the wild animals that live there, where all, the article, the adjective, and the relative pronoun are alike incapable of receiving any mark of the plural number; the sense is expressed with the greatest clearness imaginable, and all the unstressed endings -e and -en, which make most German sentences so drawling, are avoided.

The prevalence of very short words in English, and the syntactical law which enables it to dispense with the definite article in many constructions "where other languages think it indispensable, e.g., 'life is short,' 'dinner is ready'"—these are further marks of vigor and clarity, according to Dr. Jespersen. "'First come, first served,'" he says, "is much more vigorous than the French 'Premier venu,

But certainly not in that of the United States, save maybe in the Boston area and parts of the South.

premier moulu' or 'Le premier venu engrène,' the German 'Wer zuerst kommt, mahlt zuerst,' and especially than the Danish 'Den der kommer først til mølle, far først malet." Again, there is the superior logical sense of English - the arrangement of words, not according to grammatical rules, but according to their meaning. "In English," says Dr. Jespersen, "an auxiliary verb does not stand far from its main verb, and a negative will be found in the immediate neighborhood of the word it negatives, generally the verb (auxiliary). An adjective nearly always stands before its noun; the only really important exception is where there are qualifications added to it which draw it after the noun so that the whole complex serves the purpose of a relative clause." In English, the subject almost invariably precedes the verb and the object follows after. Once Dr. Jespersen had his pupils determine the percentage of sentences in various authors in which this order was observed. They found that even in English poetry it was seldom violated; the percentage of observances in Tennyson's poetry ran to 88. But in the poetry of Holger Drachmann, the Dane, it fell to 61, in Anatole France's prose to 66, in Gabriele d'Annunzio to 49, and in the poetry of Goethe to 30. All these things make English clearer and more logical than other tongues. It is, says Dr. Jespersen, "a methodical, energetic, business-like and sober language, that does not care much for finery and elegance, but does care for logical consistency and is opposed to any attempt to narrow life by police regulations and strict rules either of grammar or of lexicon." In these judgments another distinguished Danish philologist, Prof. Thomsen, agrees fully.

Several years ago an American philologian, Dr. Walter Kirkconnell, undertook to count the number of syllables needed to translate the Gospel of Mark into forty Indo-European languages, ranging from Persian and Hindi to English and French.¹ He found that, of all of them, English was the most economical, for it took but 29,000 syllables to do the job, whereas the average for all the Teutonic languages was 32,650, that for the Slavic group 36,500, that for the Latin group 40,200, and that for the Indo-Iranian group (Bengali, Persian, Sanskrit, etc.) 43,100. It is commonly believed that French is a terse language, and compared to its cousins, Italian and Spanish, it actually is, but compared to English it is garrulous, for it takes

I Linguistic Laconism, American Journal of Philology, Vol. XLVIII, 1927, p. 34.

36,000 syllables to say what English says in 29,000.1 Dr. Kirkconnell did not undertake to determine the average size of the syllables he counted, but I am confident that if he had done so he would have found those of English shorter, taking one with another, than those of most other languages. "If it had not been for the great number of long foreign, especially Latin, words," says Dr. Jespersen, "English would have approached the state of such monosyllabic languages as Chinese." "They are marvellous," says Salvador de Madariaga,2 "those English monosyllables. Their fidelity is so perfect that one is tempted to think English words are the right and proper names which acts are meant to have, and all other words are pitiable failures.3 How could one improve upon splash, smash, ooze, shriek, slush, glide, squeak, coo? Is not the word sweet a kiss in itself, and what could suggest a more peremptory obstacle than stop?" "The Spanish critic," says Dean Inge, "is quite right in calling attention to the vigor of English monosyllables. No other European language has so many." 4

For these and other reasons English strikes most foreigners as an extraordinarily succinct, straightforward and simple tongue - in some of its aspects, in fact, almost as a kind of baby-talk. When they

1 When I printed a brief account of Dr. Kirkconnell's research in The Future of English, Harper's Magazine, April, 1935, a number of correspondents challenged his conclusion. One of these was Mr. Louis Rittenberg, editor of the American Hebrew and Jewish Tribune, who put in a plea for Hungarian. "Whenever," he said, "I am called upon to estimate the length of a Hungarian novel for translation into English, there is invariably an increase in wordage of between 20% and 25%, and this is so recognized by publishers for whom I have performed such tasks at one time or another." (Private communication, June 6, 1935.) Similar caveats were filed in behalf of French, Russian, Spanish, and even German. I leave Dr. Kirkconnell to fight it out with his critics.

2 Englishmen, Frenchmen, Spaniards; London, 1928.

3 Mark Twain's comparison of Eng-

lish and German, in A Tramp Abroad, Appendix D; Hartford, 1880, will be recalled: "Our descriptive words have a deep, strong, resonant sound, while their German equivalents seem thin and mild. Boom, burst, crash, roar, storm, bellow, blow, thunder, explosion: they have a force and magnitude of sound befitting the things which they describe. But their German equivalents would be ever so nice to sing the children to sleep with. Would any man want to die in a battle called by so tame a term as schlacht? Would not a consumptive feel too much bundled up in a shirt-collar and a seal ring who was about to go out into a storm which the bird-song word gewitter was employed to describe? If a man were told in German to go hölle, could he rise to the dignity of feeling insulted?" 4 W. R. Inge: More Lay Thoughts

of a Dean; London, 1932.

proceed from trying to speak it to trying to read and write it they are painfully undeceived, for its spelling is almost as irrational as that of French or Swedish, but so long as they are content to tackle it viva voce they find it loose and comfortable, and at the same time very precise. The Russian, coming into it burdened with his six cases, his three genders, his palatalized consonants and his complicated pronouns, luxuriates in a language which has only two cases, no grammatical gender, a set of consonants which (save only r) maintain their integrity in the face of any imaginable rush of vowels, and an outfit of pronouns so simple that one of them suffices to address the President of the United States or a child in arms, a lovely female creature in camera or the vast hordes of the radio. And the German, the Scandinavian, the Italian, and the Frenchman, though the change for them is measurably less sharp, nevertheless find it grateful, too. Only the Spaniard brings with him a language comparable to English for logical clarity, and even the Spaniard is afflicted with grammatic gender.

The huge English vocabulary is likely to make the foreigner uneasy, but he soon finds that nine-tenths of it lies safely buried in the dictionaries, and is never drawn upon for everyday use. On examining 400,000 words of writing by 2500 Americans Dr. Leonard P. Ayres found that the 50 commonest words accounted for more than half the total number of words used, that 250 more accounted for another 25%, and that 1000 accounted for 90%.1 That the language may be spoken intelligibly with even less than 1000 words has been argued by Dr. C. K. Ogden, the English psychologist. Dr. Ogden believes that 850 are sufficient for all ordinary purposes and he has devised a form of simplified English, called by him Basic (from British American Scientific International Commercial), which uses no more. Of this number, 600 are nouns, 100 are adjectives, 100 are "adjectival opposites," 30 are verbs, and the rest are particles, etc. Two hundred of the nouns consist of the names of common objects, e.g., bottle, brick, ear, potato and umbrella; the rest are the names of familiar groups and concepts, e.g., people, music, crime, loss and weather. No noun is admitted (save for the names of a few common objects) "which can be defined in not more than ten other words." The reduction of verbs to 30 is effected by taking advantage of one of the prime characteristics of English (and especially of American)

r The Measurement of Spelling Ability; New York, 1915.

- its capacity for getting an infinity of meanings out of a single verb by combining it with simple modifiers. Consider, for example, the difference (in American) between to get, to get going, to get by, to get on, to get on to, to get off, to get ahead of, to get wise, to get religion and to get over. Why should a foreigner be taught to say that he has disembarked from a ship? Isn't it sufficient for him to say that he got off? And why should he be taught to say that he has recovered from the flu, or escaped the police, or ascended a stairway, or boarded a train, or obtained a job? Isn't it enough to say that he has got over the first, got away from the second, got up the third, got on the fourth, and simply got the fifth? The fundamental verbs of Basic are ten in number - come, go, put, take, give, get, make, keep, let and do. "Every time," says Dr. Ogden (he is writing in Basic), "you put together the name of one of these ten simple acts (all of which are free to go in almost any direction) with the name of one of the twenty directions or positions in space, you are making a verb." In addition to its 850 words, of course, Basic is free to take in international words that are universally understood, e.g., coffee, engineer, tobacco, police and biology, and to add words specially pertinent to the matter in hand, e.g., chloride and platinum in a treatise on chemistry. It is interesting to note that of the fifty international words listed by Dr. Ogden, no less than seven are Americanisms, new or old, viz., cocktail, jazz, radio, phonograph, telegram, telephone and tobacco, and that one more, check, is listed in American spelling.1

Whether Basic will make any progress remains to be seen.² It has been criticized on various grounds. For one thing, its vocabulary shows some serious omissions—for example, the numerals—and for another, its dependence upon verb-phrases may confuse rather than help the foreigner, whose difficulties with prepositions are notorious.³ There is also the matter of spelling, always a cruel

The literature of Basic is already extensive. The most comprehensive textbook is The System of Basic English, by C. K. Ogden; New York, 1934.

2 Among its most ardent partisans is Mr. Crombie Allen, one of the dignitaries of Rotary International. He printed its 850 words on the back of his New Year's card for 1935, and says under date of May 6,

1935: "Alighting from a plane on a 20,000-mile airplane tour of Rotary Clubs in Latin-America after flying across the Andes, I found the club at Mendoza (Argentina) studying Basic from my New Year's greeting."

greeting."

The sharpest criticism is in A Critical Examination of Basic English, by M. P. West, E. Swenson and others; Toronto, 1934. The authors

difficulty to a foreigner tackling English. But Dr. Ogden waives this difficulty away. For one thing, he argues that his list of 850 words, being made up mainly of the commonest coins of speech, avoids most of them; for another thing, he believes that the very eccentricity of the spelling of some of the rest will help the foreigner to remember them. Every schoolboy, as we all know, seizes upon such bizarre forms as through, straight and island with fascinated eagerness, and not infrequently he masters them before he masters such phonetically spelled words as first, tomorrow and engineer. In my own youth, far away in the dark backward and abysm of time, the glory of every young American was phthisic, with the English proper name, Cholmondeley, a close second. Dr. Ogden proposes to let the foreigners attempting Basic share the joy of hunting down such basilisks. For the rest, he leaves the snarls of English spelling to the judgments of a just God, and the natural tendency of all things Anglo-Saxon to move toward an ultimate perfection. Unluckily, his Basic now has a number of competitors on its own ground,1 and it must also meet the competition of the so-called universal languages, beginning with Volapük (1880) and Esperanto (1887) and running down to Idiom Neural (1808), Ido (1907), Interlingua (1908), and Novial, invented by Dr. Jespersen (1928).2 Some of these languages, and notably Esperanto and Novial, show a great ingenuity, and all

argue that the vocabulary of Basic, when all the various forms and different meanings of its words are counted in, really runs to 3925 words. See also Thought and Language, by P. B. Ballard; London, 1934, p. 166 ff, and Basic and World English, by Janet Rankin Aiken, American Mercury, April, 1933, In A New Kind of English, American Mercury, April, 1933, Dr. Aiken takes what seems to be a rather more favorable view. The latter article is written in Basic.

initials of the institute. Both are examined critically in English as the International Language, by Janet Rankin Aiken, American Speech, April, 1934. Dr. Aiken has herself lately (1935) put forward a rival to Basic under the name of Little English. It has a vocabulary of 800 words, or 50 less than Basic.

I One is Swenson English, invented by Miss Elaine Swenson, chief of the Language Research Institute at New York University. Another is the invention of H. E. Palmer, educational adviser to the Japanese Department of Education and chief of the Institute for Research in English Teaching, Tokyo. The latter has been called Iret, after the

² The latest is Panamane (1934), invented by Manuel E. Amador, P. O. Box 1055, Panama, R. P., son of the first President of Panama. It seems to be a mixture of English and Spanish. Here is the first sentence of Lincoln's Gettisburgo Adress, translated by Señor Amador himself: "Kat skori ed sept yaryen ahgeo, nos padri brenguuh foth aupan esty kontinente un noe nasione konsibo na libertya ed dediso am propossya ke tui manni son kreo egale."

of them have enthusiastic customers who believe that they are about to be adopted generally. There are also persons who hold that some such language is bound to come in soon or late, though remaining doubtful about all those proposed so far - for example, Dr. Shenton, who closes his "Cosmopolitan Conversation," by proposing that the proponents of Esperanto, Interlingua, Novial and the rest come together in a conference of their own, and devise "a neutral, synthetic, international auxiliary language" that will really conquer the world.

But this, I believe, is only a hope, and no man now born will ever see it realized. The trouble with all the "universal" languages is that the juices of life are simply not in them. They are the creations of scholars drowning in murky oceans of dead prefixes and suffixes, and so they fail to meet the needs of a highly human world. People do not yearn for a generalized articulateness; what they want is the capacity to communicate with definite other people.1 To that end even Basic, for all its deficiencies, is better than any conceivable Esperanto, for it at least springs from a living speech, and behind that speech are nearly 200,000,000 men and women, many of them amusing and some of them wise. The larger the gang, the larger the numbers of both classes. English forges ahead of all its competitors, whether natural or unnatural, simply because it is already spoken by more than half of all the people in the world who may be said, with any plausibility, to be worth knowing. After the late war I went to Berlin full of a firm determination to improve my German, always extremely anæmic. I failed to get anywhere because virtually all the Germans who interested me spoke very good English. During the same time many other men were having the same experience - one of them being John Cournos, the English novelist. "Nothing annoved me more," he said afterward,2 "than the frequency with

1 The most persuasive argument that I am aware of against the feasibility of setting up an artificial international language is to be found in Interlanguage, by T. C. Macaulay, S.P.E. Tracts, No. XXXIV, 1930. And the best argument for it is in An International Language, by Otto Jespersen; London, 1928, Pt. I. English as a World Language, by Michael West, American Speech, Oct., 1934, is a judicious discussion

of the elements that must enter into any international language, whether purely artificial or an adaptation of English. See also English as the International Language, by Janet Ran-kin Aiken, above cited, and Eng-lish as an International Language: A Selected List of References, by Lois Holladay; Chicago, 1926. 2 English as Esperanto, English,

Feb., 1921, p. 451.

which my inquiries of the man in the street for direction, made in atrocious German, elicited replies in perfect English." A few years later Dr. Knut Sanstedt, general secretary to the Northern Peace Union, sent a circular to a number of representative European publicists, asking them "what language, dead or living or artificial" they preferred for international communications. Not one of these publicists was a native or resident of the British Isles, yet out of fifty-nine who replied thirty voted for English. Of the six Swedes, all preferred it; of the seven Norwegians, five; of the five Hollanders, four. Among the whole fifty-nine, only one man voted for Esperanto.1

2. ENGLISH OR AMERICAN?

But as English spreads over the world, will it be able to maintain its present form? Probably not. But why should it? The notion that anything is gained by fixing a language in a groove is cherished only by pedants. Every successful effort at standardization, as Dr. Ernest Weekley has well said, results in nothing better than emasculation.2 "Stability in language is synonymous with rigor mortis." It is the very anarchy of English, adds Claude de Crespigny, that has made it the dominant language of the world today.3 In its early forms it was a highly inflected tongue - indeed, it was more inflected than modern German, and almost as much so as Russian. The West Saxon dialect, for example, in the days before the Norman Conquest, had grammatical gender, and in addition the noun was inflected for number and for case, and there were five cases in all. Moreover, there were two quite different declensions, the strong and the weak, so that the total number of inflections was immense. The same ending, of course, was commonly used more than once, but that fact only added to the difficulties of the language. The impact of the Conquest knocked this elaborate grammatical structure into a cocked hat. The upper classes spoke French, and so the populace had English at its mercy. It quickly wore down the vowels of the endings to a neutral e, reduced the importance of their consonants by moving the stress

¹ Anglic: A New Agreed Simplified English Spelling, by R. E. Zachrisson; Upsala (Sweden), 1931, p. 7. English As She Will be Spoke, Atlantic Monthly, May, 1932. The

quotation following is from The English Language, by the same author; New York, 1929, p. 9. 3 Esperanto, American Speech, Sept.,

^{1926.}

forward to the root, and finally lopped off many inflections in toto. By the time of Chaucer (1340?-1400) English was moving rapidly toward its present form. It had already become a virtually analytical language, depending upon word position rather than upon inflection for expressing meanings, and meanwhile the influence of French, which had been official from 1066 to 1362, had left it full of new words, and made it a sort of hybrid of the Teutonic and Romance stocks. It has remained such a hybrid to this day, and in some ways, indeed, its likeness to French, Italian and Spanish is more marked than its likeness to German. Once its East Midland dialect had been given preëminence over all other dialects by Chaucer and his followers, it began to develop rapidly, and in the time of Shakespeare it enjoyed an extraordinarily lush and vigorous growth. New words were taken in from all the other languages of Europe and from many of those of Africa and Asia, other new words in large number were made of its own materials, and almost everything that remained of the old inflections was sloughed off.1 Thus it gradually took on a singularly simple and flexible form, and passed ahead of the languages that were more rigidly bound by rule.

I think I have offered sufficient evidence in the chapters preceding that the American of today is much more honestly English, in any sense that Shakespeare would have understood, than the so-called Standard English of England. It still shows all the characters that marked the common tongue in the days of Elizabeth, and it continues to resist stoutly the policing that ironed out Standard English in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries. Standard English must always strike an American as a bit stilted and precious. Its vocabulary is patently less abundant than his own, it has lost to an appreciable extent its old capacity for bold metaphor, and in pronunciation and spelling it seems to him to be extremely uncomfortable and not a little ridiculous. When he hears a speech in its Oxford (or Public-School) form he must be a Bostonian to avoid open mirth. He be-

The process is described at length in Modern English in the Making, by George H. McKnight; New York, 1928. See also Modern English, by George Philip Krapp; New York, 1910, especially Ch. IV; and A History of the English Language, by T. R. Lounsbury; rev. ed.; New York, 1894. "English," says Harold Cox in English as a World Lan-

guage, London Spectator, May 10, 1930, "has the great advantage that it more or less represents an amalgam of languages. It is largely Scandinavian in origin, but it also embodies a vast number of words directly derived from Latin, and many others coming to us from French and Italian, besides not a few coming from German."

lieves, and on very plausible grounds, that American is better on all counts — clearer, more rational, and above all, more charming. And he holds not illogically that there is no reason under the sun why a dialect spoken almost uniformly by nearly 125,000,000 people should yield anything to the dialect of a small minority in a nation of 45,000,000. He sees that wherever American and this dialect come into fair competition — as in Canada, for example, or in the Far East — American tends to prevail, and that even in England many of its reforms and innovations are making steady headway, so he concludes that it will probably prevail everywhere hereafter. "When two-thirds of the people who use a certain language," says one of his spokesmen, decide to call it a freight-train instead of a goods-train they are 'right'; and the first is correct English and the second a dialect."

Nor is the American, in entertaining such notions, without English support. The absurdities of Standard English are denounced by every English philologian, and by a great many other Englishmen. Those who accept it without cavil are simply persons who are unfamiliar with any other form of the language; the Irishman, the Scotsman, the Canadian, and the Australian laugh at it along with the American—and with the Englishman who has lived in the United States. As an example of the last-named class I point to Mr. H. W. Seaman, a Norwich man who had spent ten years on American and Canadian newspapers and was in practice, when he wrote, as a journalist in London. He says:

I speak for millions of Englishmen when I say that we are as sick and tired of this so-called English as you Americans are. It has far less right to be called Standard English speech than Yorkshire or any other country dialect has—or than any American dialect. It is as alien to us as it is to you. True, some of my neighbors have acquired it—for social or other reasons—but

I Its influence upon the English of Australia and of South Africa is already marked. In a glossary of Australianisms appended by the Australian author, C. T. Dennis, to his Doreen and the Sentimental Bloke; New York, 1916, I find the familiar verbs and verb-phrases, to beef, to biff, to bluff, to boss, to break away, to chase one's self, to chew the rag, to chip in, to fade away, to get it in the neck, to back and fill, to plug along, to get sore,

to turn down and to get wise; the substantives, dope, boss, fake, creek, knockout-drops and push (in the sense of crowd); the adjectives, bitched (in the sense of married) and tough (as before luck), and the adverbial phrases, for keeps and going strong. In South Africa many Americanisms have ousted corresponding English forms, even in the standard speech.

2 William McAlpine, New Republic, June 26, 1929. then some of the Saxon peasants took pains to acquire Norman French, which also was imposed upon them from above.1

Mr. Seaman describes with humor his attempts as a schoolboy to shed his native Norwich English and to acquire the prissy fashionable dialect that passes as Standard. He managed to do so, and is thus able today to palaver on equal terms with "an English public-school boy, an Oxford man, a clergyman of the Establishment, an announcer of the British Broadcasting Company, or a West End actor," but he confesses that it still strikes him, as it strikes an American, as having "a mauve, Episcopalian and ephebian ring." And he quotes George Bernard Shaw as follows:

The English have no respect for their language. . . . It is impossible for an Englishman to open his mouth without making some other Englishman hate or despise him. . . . An honest and natural slum dialect is more tolerable than the attempt of a phonetically untaught person to imitate the vulgar dialect of the golf club.

The views of Basil de Sélincourt, author of "Pomona, or The Future of English," and of J. Y. T. Greig, author of "Breaking Priscian's Head," I have quoted in previous chapters. Both cling to the hope that some form of English denizened in England may eventually become the universal form of the language, but both are plainly upset by fears that American will prevail. "Right and wrong in such a matter," says Mr. de Sélincourt, "can be decided only by the event. However it be, the United States, obviously, is now the scene of the severest ordeals, the vividest excitements of our language. . . . The contrasting and competitive use of their one language by the English and the Americans gives it a new occasion for the exercise of its old and noble faculty of compromise. In a period of promise and renewal, it was beginning to grow old; the Americans are young. . . . Its strong constitution will assimilate tonics as fast as friends can supply them, and take no serious harm. Changes are certainly in store for it." Mr. Greig is rather less sanguine about the prospects of compromise between English and American. "It is possible," he says gloomily, "that in fifty or a hundred years . . . American and not English will be the chief foreign language taught in the schools of Asia and the European Continent. Some Americans look forward to this without misgiving, nay, with exultation; and I

The Awful English of England, American Mercury, Sept., 1933, p. 73.

for one would rather have it fall out than see perpetuated and extended that silliest and dwabliest of all the English dialects, Public-School Standard." To which I add an extract from an English review of Logan Pearsal Smith's "Words and Idioms" (1925), quoted by the late Brander Matthews: 1

It is chiefly in America - let us frankly recognize the fact - that the evolution of our language will now proceed. Our business here is to follow sympathetically what happens there, admitting once for all that our title to decide what English is is purely honorary. The more unmistakably we make the admission, the more influence we shall have; for in language it is the fait accompli that counts, and in the capacity for putting new words over, the Americans, if only because they have twice the population, are bound to win every time.2

The defects of English, whether in its American or its British form, are almost too obvious to need rehearsal. One of the worst of them lies in the very fact that the two great branches of the language differ, not only in vocabulary but also in pronunciation. Thus the foreigner must make his choice, and though in most cases he is probably unconscious of it, he nevertheless makes it. The East Indian, when he learns English at all, almost always learns something approximating Oxford English, but the Latin-American is very apt to learn American, and American is what the immigrant returning to Sweden or Jugoslavia, Poland or Syria, Italy or Finland certainly takes home with him. In Russia, as we saw in Chapter I, Section 8, American has begun to challenge English, and in Japan and elsewhere in the Far East the two dialects are in bitter competition, with American apparently prevailing. That competition, which has been going on in Europe since the World War, presents a serious problem to foreign teachers of the language. Says Dr. R. W. Zandvoort of The Hague:

A generation ago, this problem had scarcely arisen. Most Continental language teachers, if interrogated on the subject, would probably have stated that they recognized one standard only, that set by educated usage in the South of England, and that, except perhaps for scientific purposes, local variants did not come within their purview. Nor was this surprising, con-

Matthews, "that in this last sentence the British reviewer used two Americanisms - putting new words over and every time; and apparently he used them quite unconscious of their transatlantic origin."

¹ American Leadership in the English Idiom, Literary Digest International Book Review, March, 1926. See also Shall We All Speak American?, by Frank D. Long, Passing Show (London), July 13, 1935. 2 "It is amusing to note," added

sidering the proximity of the Continent to England, the prestige enjoyed by Southern English within the British Isles, and the distance from that other center of Anglo-Saxon culture, the United States of America. Since the Great War, however, it has become increasingly difficult for European teachers and scholars to ignore the fact that different norms of English usage are being evolved in another hemisphere, and that these norms are beginning to encroach on territory where hitherto Standard Southern English has held undisputed sway. Not that they are greatly concerned about the sort of English spoken in Australia, New Zealand, or Canada; these areas as yet exert no appreciable cultural influence upon the rest of the civilized world, and as members of the British Commonwealth of Nations are more or less amenable to the linguistic authority of the mother country. So long, too, as the attitude of educated Americans towards their own form of speech was expressed in the words of Richard Grant White that "just in so far as it deviates from the language of the most cultivated society of England, it fails to be English," there was no need for Continental language teachers to take even American English seriously. But with its world-wide dissemination through business, literature, the talking film, the gramophone record, on one hand, and the growing determination of Americans to assert their independence in matters of language on the other, the situation is taking on a different aspect.1

Unluckily, neither of the great dialects of English may be described as anything approaching a perfect language. Within the limits of both there are still innumerable obscurities, contradictions and irrationalities, many of which have been noticed in the preceding chapters. Those in spelling are especially exasperating. "Eight long vowels," says Dr. Arthur G. Kennedy,² "are spelled in at least sixty-six different ways; hardly a letter in the alphabet could be named which does not represent from two to eight different sounds; at least six new vowel characters and five new consonant characters are needed; nearly a fifth of the words on a printed page contain silent letters; and the spelling of many words such as colonel, one and choir is utterly absurd." "But spelling," says Dr. George Philip Krapp,⁸

would be only a beginning of the general house-cleaning for which our precious heritage of English speech as we know it today provides a profitable opportunity. The language is burdened with quantities of useless lumber, which from the point of view of common sense and reason might just as well be burned on the rubbish heap.... Why should we permit an exceptional plural feet or teeth when we possess a perfectly good regular way of making

¹ Standards of English in Europe, American Speech, Feb., 1934.

² The Future of the English Language, American Speech, Dec., 1933.

³ The Future of English, in The Knowledge of English; New York, 1927, p. 537.

plurals by adding s? And why should verbs like write have two past forms, wrote and written, when most verbs of the language get along quite satisfactorily with only one?

There is yet another difficulty, and a very serious one. Of it Dr. Janet Rankin Aiken says:

This difficulty is idiom—idiom observable in a large part of what we say and write, but centering particularly in verb and preposition. It has been calculated 1 that including all phrase constructions there are well over a hundred different forms for even a simple, regular verb like call, besides extra or lacking forms for irregular verbs like speak, be and set. Each of these verb forms has several uses, some as high as a dozen or more, to express not only time but such other motions as possibility, doubt, habit, emphasis, permission, ability, interrogation, negation, generalization, expectation, duration, inception, and a bewildering number of other ideas. Native speakers of English have difficulty with verb constructions; how much more so the foreign student of the language! 2

Finally, there are the snarls of sentence order – naturally numerous in an analytical language. Says Dr. Aiken:

Each of the sentence types — declarative, interrogative, imperative, and exclamatory — has its own normal order, but there are many exceptional orders as well. In certain constructions the verb may or must come before the subject, and frequently the complement comes before the subject, or the subject is embedded within the verb phrase. All these orders, both normal and exceptional, must somehow be mastered before the student can be said to use English properly.

I introduce a foreign-born witness of high intelligence to sum up. He is Dr. Enrique Blanco, of the department of Romance languages at the University of Wisconsin, a native of Spain who has acquired a perfect command of English and writes it with vigor and good taste. He says:

English is not easy to learn. It is a puzzling, bewildering language; and the ambitious foreigner who sets himself to the task of learning it soon discovers that it can not be acquired in a short time. As Mr. Mencken quotes in his book: "The vowel sounds in English are comparatively independent of their surroundings." We would suggest that the word "comparatively" be changed to "absolutely." That's one of the greatest troubles in the English language; one never knows how to pronounce a vowel. The a, for instance,

1 By Dr. Rankin herself in A New Plan of English Grammar; New York, 1933, Ch. XIX.

York, 1933, Ch. XIX.
2 English as the International Language, American Speech, April, 1934, p. 104.

3 The reference is to the third edi-

tion of the present work; New York, 1923. The quotation, and the one following, are from Otto Jespersen's Growth and Structure of the English Language, 3rd ed.; Leipzig, 1919.

that apparently inoffensive first letter of the alphabet, soon assumes, for the student of English, most terrifying proportions; it has a different sound in nearly every word. Beginning with meat and going on through awful, alas, mat, ate, tall, fail, cap, said, and so forth, one can run across nearly every conceivable sound in human speech. As soon as the enterprising would-be American has learned to pronounce door nicely, he is politely informed that boor must be pronounced differently. Arch and march sound very logical, but one gets a frown if he pronounces patriarch in the same manner. If a man goes to church he may sit on a humble chair, but the word choir must be pronounced with a greater degree of respectfulness; coming out of the sacred precinct, a man may be robbed, or just simply robed. An egg can take a mate unto itself and be eggs, but if a child has a friend they are not childs but children; a pastor may refer to brother Jones, but he is careful to speak to his brethren. Ouotes Mr. Mencken: "Each English consonant belongs distinctly to its own type. a t is a t, . . . and there is an end." Unfortunately, the end is far from being there, for the t in English is often not a t at all, but an sh, as in intuition, constitution, where the t has two different sounds in the same word, and nation, obligation, where the t is not a t but something else. Need I go on? Yet, this language is supposed to be "vastly easier" than any other.1

As we have seen in Chapter VIII, efforts to remedy the irrationalities of English spelling have been under way for many years, but so far without much success. The improvement of English in other respects must await a revolutionist who will do for it what Mark Twain tried to do for German in "The Awful German Language" -but with much less dependence upon logic. "If English is to be a continuously progressive creation," said Dr. Krapp,2 "then it must escape from the tyranny of the reason and must regain some of the freedom of impulse and emotion which must have been present in the primitive creative origins of language. . . . Suppose the children of this generation and of the next were permitted to cultivate expressiveness instead of fineness of speech, were praised and promoted for doing something interesting, not for doing something correct and proper. If this should happen, as indeed it is already beginning to happen, the English language and literature would undergo such a renascence as they have never known." Meanwhile, despite its multitudinous defects, English goes on conquering the world. I began this chapter with the pessimistic realism of Richard Mulcaster, 1582. I close it with the florid vision of Samuel Daniel, only seventeen years later:

r American as a World-Language, Literary Digest International Book Review, April, 1924, p. 342.

² The Future of English, above cited, p. 543.

And who in time knows whither we may vent
The treasure of our tongue? To what strange shores
This gain of our best glory shall be sent,
T' enrich unknowing nations with our stores?
What worlds in th' yet unformed Occident
May come refin'd with th' accents that are ours? 1

Musophilus, 1599. Musophilus is a dialogue between a courtier and a poet, in which the latter defends the worldly value of literary learning.

APPENDIX

NON-ENGLISH DIALECTS IN AMERICAN

I. GERMANIC

a. German

The so-called Pennsylvania-Dutch area of Pennsylvania and Maryland covers about 17,500 square miles. It began to be invaded by Germans before the end of the Seventeenth Century, and by 1775 nearly 90,000 had come in. They came "almost exclusively from Southwestern Germany (the Palatinate, Baden, Alsace, Württemberg, Hesse), Saxony, Silesia and Switzerland," with the Palatines predominating. Pennsylvania-Dutch is based mainly upon the Westricher dialect of the Palatinate, and in the course of two centuries has become extraordinarily homogeneous. In the heart of its homeland, in Lehigh, Berks and Lebanon counties, Pennsylvania, between 60% and 65% of the total inhabitants can speak it, and between 30% and 35% use it constantly.2 The fact that it has survived the competition of English for so many years is due mainly to the extreme clannishness of the people speaking it - a clannishness based principally upon religious separatism. This theological prepossession has colored their somewhat scanty literature, and most of the books they have produced have been of pious tendency. They printed the Bible three times before ever it was printed in English in America.3 Their language was called Dutch by their English and Scotch-Irish neighbors because the early immigrants themselves called it Deitsch (H. Ger. Deutsch), and not because they were mistaken for Hollanders. To this day their descendants frequently use

² Lambert, just cited.

A Dictionary of the Non-English Words of the Pennsylvania-German Dialect, by M. B. Lambert; Lancaster, Pa., 1924, p. viii.

³ The Early Literature of the Pennsylvania-Germans, by Samuel W. Pennypacker, Proceedings of the Pennsylvania-German Society, Vol. II, 1893; reprinted 1907, p. 41.

Pennsylvania-Dutch instead of -German in speaking of it. It has been studied at length by competent native philologians.1

Like the English of the Appalachian highlands, it includes a large number of archaisms, both in vocabulary and in pronunciation. The old German short vowel is retained in many words which have a long vowel or a diphthong in modern German, e.g., nemme (nehmen), giwwel (giebel), hiwwel (hübel), votter (vater), huddle (hudeln). In other cases an earlier diphthong is substituted for a later one, e.g., meis (mäuse), leit (leute), Moi (Mai). In yet others a long vowel takes the place of a diphthong, e.g., bees (bose), aach (auch), kleen (klein), or a neutral e is substituted, e.g., bem (bäume). When consonants come together in German, one of them is often dropped, e.g., kopp (kopf), kinner (kinder). In loan-words from English st often takes the sound of the German scht, and there is confusion between t and d, b and w, p and b, s and z. But a number of the characters of the underlying Westricher dialect have disappeared. "Von dem Verwandeln des d und t in r," says the Rev. Heinrich Harbaugh,2 "und dem Verschmelzen des d und t nach l in ll, wie laden in lare, gewitter in gewirrer, halten in halle, mild in mill, findet man im Pennsylvanisch-Deutschen kaum eine Spur." He also says that the final -en is seldom dropped, though its n may be reduced to "einen Nasenlaut." The percentage of English loan-words in use is estimated by Lambert to run from "nil to 12% or 15%, depending upon the writer or speaker and the subject." Harbaugh gives many examples, e.g., affis (office), beseid (beside), bisness (business), boghie (buggy), bortsch (porch), bresent (present), cumpaunde (compound), diehlings (dealings), dschillt (chilled),

1 Lambert's dictionary has been mentioned. It includes an account of Pennsylvania-German phonology. The best treatise on the dialect is The Pennsylvania-German Dialect, by M. D. Learned, American Journal of Philology, Vol. IX, 1888, and Vol. X, 1889, a series of four papers. Other informative works are Pennsylvania-Dutch, by S. S. Haldeman; Philadelphia, 1872; Pennsylvania-German Manual, by A. R. Horne, 3rd ed.; Allentown, Pa., 1905; Common Sense Pennsylvania-German Dictionary, by James C. Lins; Reading, Pa., 1895;

Pennsylvania-German, by Daniel Miller, 2 vols.; Reading, 1903-11; Pennsylvania-Dutch Handbook, by E. Rauch; Mauch Chunk, Pa., 1879. There are many papers on various aspects of the dialect in the Proceedings of the Pennsylvania-German Society, 1891 –, and in the Pennsylvania-German, 1900 –. Unfortunately, there is no agreement among the writers on the dialect about its representation in English print.

2 Harbaugh's Harfe, rev. ed.; Philadelphia, 1902, p. 112.

dschuryman (juryman), ebaut (about), ennihau (anyhow), fäct (fact), fäschin (fashion), fens (fence), gut-bei (good-bye), heist (hoist), humbuk (humbug), käsch (cash), krick (creek), ledscherbuch (ledger-book), lobnsom (lonesome), lof-letter (love-letter), nau (now), rehs (race), schkippe (skip), schtärt (start), tornpeik (turnpike), wälli (valley), weri (very) and 'xäktly (exactly). The pronunciation of creek and hoist will be noted; in the same way sleek becomes schlick. Many English verbal adjectives are inflected in the German manner, e.g., gepliehst (pleased), g'rescht (arrested), gedscheest (chased), gebärrt (barred), vermisst (missed) and verschwapped (swapped). An illuminating brief specimen of the language is to be found in the sub-title of E. H. Rauch's "Pennsylvania Dutch Hand-book ": 1 "En booch for inschtructa." Here we see the German indefinite article decayed to en, the vowel of buch made to conform to English usage, für abandoned for for, and a purely English word, instruction, boldly adopted and naturalized. Some astounding examples of Pennsylvania-German are to be found in the humorous literature of the dialect, e.g., "Mein stallion hat über die fenz geschumpt und dem nachbar sein whiet abscheulich gedämätscht" and "Ick muss den gaul anharnessen und den boghie greasen befor wir ein ride nemmen." Such phrases as "Es giebt gar kein use" and "Ick kann es nicht ständen" are very common. But the dialect is also capable of more or less dignified literary use, and the Pastor Harbaugh before-mentioned (1817-67) printed many poems in it, some of them not a little charming. Here are the first and last stanzas of his most celebrated effort, "Das Alt Schulhaus an der Krick ":

Heit is 's 'xäctly zwansig Johr,
Dass ich bin owwe naus;
Nau bin ich widder lewig z'rick
Und schteh am Schulhaus an d'r Krick,
Juscht neekscht an's Dady's Haus

Oh horcht, ihr Leit, wu nooch mir lebt, Ich schreib eich noch des Schtick: Ich warn eich, droh eich, gebt doch Acht, Un nemmt uf immer gut enacht, Des Schulhaus an der Krick! ²

Dutch, by Maynard D. Follin, American Speech, 1929.

¹ Mauch Chunk, Pa., 1879.

² Other strains from Harbaugh's harp are given in Pennsylvania-

Of late, with improvements in communication, the dialect shows signs of gradually disappearing. So recently as the 80's of the last century, two hundred years after the coming of the first German settlers, there were thousands of their descendants in Pennsylvania who could not speak English at all, but now the younger Pennsylvania-Germans learn it in school, read English newspapers, and begin to forget their native patois. An interesting, but almost extinct variant of it, remaining much closer to the original Westricher dialect, is to be found in the Valley of Virginia, to which German immigrants penetrated before the Revolution. In this sub-dialect the cases of the nouns do not vary in form, adjectives are seldom inflected, and only two tenses of the verbs remain, the present and the perfect, e.g., ich geh and ich bin gange. The indefinite article, en in Pennsylvania-German, is a simple 'n. The definite article has been preserved, but das has changed to des. It is declined as follows:

Nom.	der	die	des-'s	die
Dat.	dem-'m	der	dem-'m	dene
Acc.	den-der	die	des-'s	die

The only persons still speaking this Valley German are a few remote country-folk. It was investigated nearly a generation ago by H. M. Hays, from whom I borrow the following specimen:

'S war eimol ei Mätel, wu ihr Liebling fat in der Grieg is, un' is dot gmacht wure. Sie hut sich so arg gedrauert un' hut ksat: "O wann ich ihn just noch eimol sehne könnt!" Ei Ovet is sie an 'n Partie gange, aver es war ken Freud dat für sie. Sie hut gwünscht, ihre Lieve war dat au. Wie freundlich sie sei hätt könne! Sie is 'naus in den Garde gange, un' war allei im Monlicht khockt. Kschwind hut sie 'n Reiter höre komme. 'S war ihre Lieve ufm weisse Gaul. Er hut ken Wat ksat, aver hut sie uf den Gaul hinner sich gnomme, un' is fatgritte. . . . 2

The Germans, since colonial days, have always constituted the largest body of people of non-British stock in the country. In 1930, despite the sharp decline in immigration, the Census Bureau found 2,188,006 foreign-born persons whose mother-tongue was German. How many persons of native birth used it as their first language was not determined, but certainly there must have been a great many,

I On the German Dialect Spoken in the Valley of Virginia, *Dialect Notes*, Vol. III, Pt. IV, 1908.

2 I am informed by Mr. August Blum of Pasadena, Calif., who was born in the Western Palatinate near Otterberg, that this specimen of Valley German is virtually identical with the dialect still spoken in his native village. "A few unimportant changes," he says, "would complete the identity, e.g., emol for eimol, aa for au, kumme for komme, genumme for gnomme."

especially in Pennsylvania and the Middle West. Outside the Pennsylvania-German area, as within it, the German spoken in the United States shows a disregard of the grammatical niceties of the Standard language and a huge accession of English loan-words. Its vagaries supply rich material for the German-American wits, and almost all of the seventeen German dailies 1 print humorous columns done in it. I offer a specimen from "Der Charlie," a feature of the New York Staats-Zeitung:

"Was machst du denn in Amerika?" fragt der alte Onkel.

Well, der Kuno war sehr onnest. "Ich bin e Stiefellegger," sagt er.

"Bist du verrückt geworden?" rohrt der Onkel. "Was ist denn das?"

"Das," sagt der Kuno, "is a Antivereinigtestaatenconstitutionsverbesserungsspirituosenwarenhändler." 2

The same ghastly dialect provides the substance of a series of popular comic verses by Kurt M. Stein, most of them contributed to the Chicago Tribune or Evening Post. A specimen:

> Wenn die Robins Loff tun mache', Wenn der Frontlawn leicht ergrünt, Wenn der Lilacbushes shprouteh, Peddlers in der Alley shouteh, Da wird bei uns hausgecleant.8

"Every English noun," says Dr. Albert W. Aron of the University of Illinois, "is a potential loan-word in colloquial American German. Naturally, the great mass of borrowed words belongs to the stock vocabulary of everyday speech, but situations are easily conceivable where any English noun understood by the speaker and the listener may be used. Accordingly, every English noun may find itself returned to its pristine state of being masculine, feminine or neuter." 4 But Dr. Aron's investigation discloses that there is a tendency to

- 1 There were more before 1914. But the German press, as a whole, had been declining since 1894. See The Immigrant Press and Its Control, by Robert E. Park; New York, 1922, p. 318 ff., and especially the chart facing p. 318. The New York Staats-Zeitung and the St. Louis Westliche Post, the two leading dailies, go back to 1834, and the Volksblatt of Cincinnati, now merged in the Freie Presse, was founded in 1836.
- 2 March 28, 1935. I am indebted for this to Mr. George Weiss, Jr., of

- Richmond Hills, N. Y. Stiefelbeiner, perhaps, would have been better. The author of "Der Charlie" is Mr. Heinrich Reinhold Hirsch, editor of the Staats-Zei-
- 3 Mr. Stein has printed the following collections of his lays: Die schönste Lengvitch; Chicago, 1925; Gemixte Pickles; Chicago, 1927; and Limburger Lyrics; New York, 1932.
 4 The Gender of English Loan-Words in Colloquial American

German, Language Monographs, No. VII, Dec., 1930.

make most of them feminine. This is due, he believes, to a number of causes, among them, the fact that the German die sounds very much like the English the, the fact that die is the general German plural and thus suggests itself before the plural nouns, e.g., wages, reins, pants and scissors, that are so numerous in English, and the fact that in some of the German dialects spoken by German immigrants there is a tendency in the same direction. Dr. Aron's investigation was made in the Middle West. He found some local variations in usage, but not many. Not a few loan-words, of course, remain masculine or neuter, chiefly because of the influence of their German cognates or by rhyming or other analogy. Thus, nouns signifying living beings are "practically always masculine," in accord with "the general German principle of allowing a masculine to designate both male and female beings," and "any loan-word ending in -ing is neuter if the meaning is equivalent to that of an English gerund in -ing," since "all German infinitives are neuter." But the movement toward the feminine gender is unmistakable, and to it belong many large groups of words, including all ending in -ence, -ance, -sion or -tion, -y, -sure or -ture, -ege, -age, -ship, -hood and -ness and most in -ment. Sometimes there is vacillation between masculine and feminine, or neuter and feminine, but never between masculine and neuter. "This," says Dr. Aron, "is in consonance with the theory of the feminine tendency of these loan-words." 1

b. Dutch

As in the case of American German, two main varieties of Dutch American are to be found in the United States. The first is a heritage from the days of the Dutch occupation of the Hudson and Delaware river regions, and the second is the speech of more recent immigrants, chiefly domiciled in Michigan, Iowa, Minnesota and the Dakotas. The former is now virtually extinct, but in 1910, while it was still spoken by about 200 persons, it was studied by Dr. J. Dyneley Prince, then professor of Semitic languages at Columbia, and now professor of Slavonic.2 It was originally, he said,

1 Save for the Pennsylvania-German form, American German, liké American English, has been very little studied by philologians. It offers rich opportunities to industrious young *Dozenten*.

The Jersey Dutch Dialect, *Dialect Notes*, Vol. III, Pt. VI, 1910.

the South Holland or Flemish language, which, in the course of centuries (c. 1630–1880), became mixed with and partially influenced by English, having borrowed also from the Mindi (Lenâpe-Delaware) Indian language a few animal and plant names. This Dutch has suffered little or nothing from modern Holland or Flemish immigration, although Paterson (the county seat of Passaic county) has at present [1910] a large Netherlands population. The old county people hold themselves strictly aloof from these foreigners, and say, when they are questioned as to the difference between the idioms: "Onze tal äz lex däuts en hoelliz äs Holläns; kwait dääfrent" (Our language is Low Dutch and theirs is Holland Dutch; quite different). An intelligent Fleming or South Hollander with a knowledge of English can make shift at following a conversation in this Americanized Dutch, but the converse is not true.

Contact with English wore off the original inflections, and the definite and indefinite articles, de and en, became uniform for all genders. The case-endings nearly all disappeared, in the comparison of adjectives the superlative affix decayed from -st to -s, the personendings in the conjugation of verbs fell off, and the pronouns were much simplified. The vocabulary showed many signs of English influence. A large number of words in daily use were borrowed bodily, e.g., bottle, town, railroad, cider, smoke, potato, match, good-bye. Others were borrowed with changes, e.g., sans (since), määm (ma'm), belange (belong), boddere (bother), bääznäs (business), orek (earache). In still other cases the drag of English was apparent, as in blaubääse, a literal translation of blueberry (the standard Dutch word is heidebes), in mep'lbom (mapletree; D., ahoornboom), and in njeuspapier (newspaper; D., nieuwsblad or courant). A few English archaisms were preserved, e.g., the use of gentry, strange in America, as a plural for gentleman. This interesting dialect now exists only in the memory of a few old persons, and in Dr. Prince's excellent monograph.

The Dutch spoken by the more recent immigrants from Holland in the Middle West has been very extensively modified by American influences, both in vocabulary and in grammar. As in Jersey Dutch and in Afrikaans, the Dutch dialect of South Africa, there has been a decay of inflections, and the neuter article *het* has been absorbed

The Dutch settled in South Africa in 1652, but it was not until about 1860 that Afrikaans began to produce a literature. It is so far from Standard Dutch that it has been described as a dialect of Hottentot, but this is an exaggeration. See Grammar of Afrikaans, by M. C.

Botha and J. F. Burger; Cape Town, 1921, pref.; Afrikaans for English-Speaking Students, by D. J. Potgieter and A. Geldenhuys; Cape Town, n.d.; and Oor die Onstaan van Afrikaans, by D. B. Bosman; Amsterdam, 1928. The last is a valuable historical survey.

by the masculine-feminine article de. Says Prof. Henry J. G. Van Andel, of the chair of Dutch history, literature and art in Calvin College at Grand Rapids: "Almost all the American names of common objects, e.g., stove, mail, carpet, bookcase, kitchen, store, postoffice, hose, dress, pantry, porch, buggy, picture, newspaper, ad, road, headline, particularly when they differ considerably from the Dutch terms, have been taken into the everyday vocabulary. This is also true of a great many verbs and adjectives, e.g., to move (moeven), to dig (diggen), to shop (shoppen), to drive (driven). slow, fast, easy, pink, etc. The religious language has remained pure, but even here purity has only a relative meaning, for the constructions employed are often English." 1 English loan-nouns are given Dutch plural endings, e.g., boxen (boxes), roaden (roads) and storen (stores), English verbs go the same route, e.g., threshen (to thresh), raken (to rake) and graden (to grade), and Dutch prefixes are used in the past tense, e.g., ge-cut and ge-mailed.2 Sometimes these borrowings cause a certain confusion, e.g., drijven (to drive) means to float in Standard Dutch.8 There is an extensive borrowing of English idioms, e.g., "What is de troebel?" A little book of sketches by Dirk Nieland, called "Yankee-Dutch" 5 contains some amusing examples, e.g., piezelmietje (pleased to meet you), and there are more in his "'N Fonnie Bisnis," 6 e.g., aan de we (on the way), baaienbaai (by and by), evverwansinnewail (every once in a while), goedveurnotting (good for nothing), and of kos (of course). Mr. Nieland is fond of Americanisms, and introduces them in all his sketches, e.g., bieviedies (B.V.D.'s), sokker (sucker), bokhous (bughouse), boonhed (bonehead), sonnie (sundae), domtom (downtown), draaigoeds (dry-goods), gesselien (gasoline), hoombroe (home-brew), jenneker (janitor), lemmen-paai (lemon-pie), and sannege — (son of a —). In baasie (bossy) the American Dutch have borrowed an American adjective made from what was originally a Dutch noun.

In 1930 there were 133,142 persons in the United States whose mother-tongue was Dutch. Of these, 133,133 had been born in Hol-

¹ Private communication, April 13, 1921.

² I am indebted here to the Rev. B. D. Dykstra of Orange City, Iowa.

³ I am indebted here to Mr. Frank Hanson of Redlands, Calif.

⁴ Nederlanders in Amerika, by J. van Hinte; Amsterdam, 1928, Vol. II. p. 554.

II, p. 554. 5 Grand Rapids, Mich., 1919.

⁶ Grand Rapids, 1929.

land. In addition, there were 170,417 persons of Dutch parentage and 110,416 of partly Dutch parentage, or 413,966 in all. There are no Dutch daily newspapers in the country, but there are ten Dutch and two Flemish weeklies.¹

c. Swedish

The early Swedish immigrants to the United States, says Dr. George M. Stephenson,2 spoke a multitude of Swedish dialects, but they soon vanished in the melting-pot, and "everybody spoke a ludicrous combination of English and Swedish that neither an American nor a recent arrival from Sweden could understand." To the children of the first American-born generation Swedish " was almost a dead language; it had to be kept alive artificially. Instead of using the conversational forms of the personal pronouns, mej and dej, they said mig and dig. They were so proper that they were improper." 3 The resultant jargon has been investigated at length by various Swedish-Americans of philological leanings, and especially by Mr. V. Berger, of the Nordstjernan (North Star) of New York, and by Rektor Gustav Andreen, of Rock Island, Ill.,4 and there have also been studies of it by philologians at home.⁵ It shows all the changes that we have just seen in German and Dutch. It takes in a multitude of American English words bodily, e.g., ajskrim (icecream), baggage, bartender, bissniss (business), blajnpigg (blindpig), bockvete (buckwheat), dinner, dress, dude, frilunsch (freelunch), fäs (face), good-bye, höraka (hay-rake), jabb or jobb (job), jäl (jail), klerk (clerk), ledi (lady), license, meeting, mister, nice,

- I I am indebted for information and suggestions to Prof. B. K. Kuiper, Dr. Paul de Kruif, Mr. Dirk Nieland, Mr. M. J. Francken, Mr. J. L. Van Lancker, Mr. W. A. Nyland, Mme. Hortense Leplae, Dr. John J. Hiemenga, Mr. H. H. D. Langereis and Mr. D. J. Van Riemsdyck, in addition to those already mentioned.
- 2 The Religious Aspects of Swedish Immigration; Minneapolis, 1932, p. 407.
- 3 Stephenson, just cited, p. 429.
- 4 Mr. Berger's first report on it, Vårt Språk, was published by the Augustana Book Concern at Rock Island

in 1912. In 1934 he brought out an enlarged edition under the title of Svensk-amerikanska Språket. Dr. Andreen's Det Svenska Språket i Amerika appeared as No. 87 of the series called Studentföreningen Småskrifter; Stockholm, 1900. It contains a map marking the Swedish areas in the United States.

5 For example, Engelskans Inflytande på Svenska Språket i America, by E. A. Zetterstrand, *Ungdomsvän*nen (Stockholm), June, July and Aug., 1904, and Svenskan in Amerika, by Ruben G:son Berg; Stockholm, 1904. peanut, påcketbok (pocketbook), saloon, supper, svetter (sweater), taul (towel), trunktject (trunk-check), trubbel (trouble), velis (valise); it displaces many Swedish words with translations of analogous but not cognate English words, e.g., bransoldat (fireman) with brandman, brefkort (postcard) with postkort, ekonomidirektör (business-manager) with affärsförståndare, hushållsgöromål (housework) with husarbete, husläkare (family doctor) with familjemedicin; and it takes over a large number of English idioms, either by translation or by outright adoption, e.g., bara i minne (to bear in mind), efter allt (after all), gå republikanskt (to go Republican), i familjen (in the family), Junibrud (June bride), kalla till ordning (to call to order), på tid (on time). In forming the plurals of loannouns, it not infrequently adds the Swedish plural article to the English s, e.g., träcksena (the tracks) and karsarne (the cars). Sometimes the singular article is suffixed to plurals, e.g., buggsen (bugs) and tingsen (things). In other cases the English s is used alone, e.g., ekers (acres). Kars is used as a singular noun, en kars meaning one car. The suffixal singular articles, -en and -et, are, of course, often (but not always) added to loan-nouns in the singular, e.g., trusten (trust), sutkäsen (suitcase) and homesteadet (homestead), and the loan-verbs take the Swedish suffixes a or ar, e.g., mixa (to mix), kicka (to keep), talkar (to talk), resa garden (to raise a garden), and påka funn (to poke fun). There are sometimes difficulties when loan-words resemble or are identical with Swedish words. Thus, barn means a child in Swedish; nevertheless, it is used, and Mr. Berger says that barn-dance is in common use also. Grisa (to grease) also offers embarrassments, for it means to give birth to pigs in Swedish. So does fitta (to fit), which, in Swedish, signifies the female pudenda. Loan-words borrowed by American from other languages go into American-Swedish with the native terms, e.g., bas (boss), which is of Dutch origin; luffa (to loaf), which is German; 2 and vigilans (vigilantes) which is Spanish. The Swedish-American puts his sentences together American fashion. At home he would say Bröderna Anderson, just as the German would say Gebrüder Anderson, but in America he says Anderson Bröderna. In Sweden all over is öfverallt; in America, following the American construction, it becomes allt öfver. Min vän (my friend) is Ameri-

¹ Notes on Swedish-American, by Robert Beckman, American Speech, Aug., 1928, p. 448.

2 In Standard Swedish luffa means to jog, to scamper, to trot.

canized into en van af mina (a friend of mine). The American verb to take drags its Swedish relative, taga, into strange places, as in taga kallt (to take cold), taga nöje i (to take pleasure in), taga fördel af (to take advantage of), and taga tåget (to take a train). The thoroughly American use of right is imitated by a similar use of its equivalent, rätt, as in rätt av (right off), rätt i väg (right away) and rätt intill (right next to), or by the bold adoption of rite. All right, well and other such American counter-words are used constantly, and so are hell and damn. The Swedish-American often exiles the preposition, imitating the American vulgate, to the end of the sentence. He uses the Swedish af precisely as if it were the English of, and i as if it were in. Some instructive specimens of his speech are in "Mister Colesons Sverigeressa," by Gabriel Carlson, for example:

> Du foolar icke mej, sa jag. Har du nå'n transferticket, sa han? Det är inte nå'n af din bissniss, sa jag.

Mr. John A. Stahlberg, of Plentywood, Mont., tells me that he once overheard the following dialogue between a farmer's wife and her son:

Edvard, kom an,2 nu! (Edward, come on, now!)

Men, Mamma, ja må finischa de' här; ja må stäpla vajern på den här fensposten. (But, Mamma, I must finish this-here; I must staple the wire on this-here fence-post).

Edvard! Nu näver du majndar! Nu kommer du an! Mäka mej inte mäd, nu, Edvard! (Edward! Now never you mind! Now you come on! Don't make me mad, now, Edward!)

There are phonetic changes in some of the loan-words taken into American-Swedish. J commonly becomes y, to accord with its pronunciation in Swedish, e.g., yust (just), and th often becomes d, e.g., dat (that). But these changes are common in the speech of many other kinds of immigrants. Perhaps more characteristic is the occasional change from y to g, e.g., funnig (funny) and kresig (crazy). The common American belief that all Swedes, in trying to speak Engish, use been in place of am, is, are, was, were, had been, etc., and pronounce it bane is hardly justified by the observed facts. It may be done but it is certainly not common, even on the lower levels. "I can confidently say," says Robert Beckman, himself a Swedish-

¹ Chicago, 1908. 2 Kom, of course, is good Swedish, but kom an is a loan.

American, "that I have never heard one utter I bane in ordinary conversation. . . . Perhaps inaccurate linguistic observers - listeners, rather - thought they heard bane where a trained ear would have caught something entirely different, though what, I dare not venture to state." 1

The Swedes began to come to the United States before the Civil War, and there were many thousands of them in the upper Middle West by 1880. In 1930 there were 1,562,703 persons of Swedish stock in the country - 595,250 born in Sweden, 676,523 born here of Swedish parentage, and 290,930 born here of partly Swedish parentage. Of the whole number 615,465 gave their mother-tongue as Swedish. They were thus the seventh largest foreign bloc in the country, being surpassed only by the immigrants from England, Ireland, Italy, Germany, Poland and Russia. They have no daily papers, but they support twenty-seven weeklies (1935).2

d. Dano-Norwegian

The pioneer study of the Dano-Norwegian spoken by Norwegian immigrants to the United States was published by Dr. Nils Flaten, of Northfield, Minn., in 1900.8 Two years later Dr. George T. Flom, then of Iowa State University and now of the University of Illinois, followed with a study of the dialects spoken in the Koshkonong settlement in Southern Wisconsin,4 and since then he has continued his investigation of the subject.5 The immigration of Danes and

r Swedish-American I Bane, American Speech, Aug., 1928.

2 I am indebted for aid to Prof. Walter Gustafson, of Upsala College, East Orange, N. J., and to Messrs. John A. Stahlberg, V. Berger, A. H. Anderson, John Goldstrom, Robert Beckman and Valdemar Viking.

3 Notes on American-Norwegian, With a Vocabulary, Dialect Notes, Vol. II, Pt. II, 1900.

4 English Elements in the Norse Dia-

lects of Utica, Wis., Dialect Notes, Vol. II, Pt. IV, 1902.

5 His principal publications are A Grammar of the Sogn Dialect of Norwegian, Dialect Notes, Vol. III, Pt. I, 1905; English Loan-Words

in American-Norwegian, American Speech, July, 1926; On the Phonology of Loan-Words in the Norwegian Dialects of Koshkonong in Wisconsin, in Studier tilägnade Axel Kock; Lund (Sweden), 1926; Um det norske målet i Amerika, Saerprent (Bergen), 1931; and The Gender of English Loan-Nouns in Norse Dialects in America, Journal of Germanic Philology, Vol. V, 1903. The first-named article deals with the noun, pronoun, adjective and numerals in the Americanized form of the Sogn dialect. "The verb," says Dr. Flom, "will form the subject of a later paper." That later paper was completed during 1935, but it has not yet appeared.

Norwegians began more than a century ago, and has been heaviest into the farming areas of the upper Middle West, though there are also large settlements of both peoples in some of the big cities, especially Chicago and Brooklyn. In 1930 there were 347,852 natives of Norway in the country, 476, 663 persons of Norwegian parentage, and 275,583 of partly Norwegian parentage, or 1,100,098 in all. In the same year there were 179,474 natives of Denmark, 219,152 persons of Danish parentage and 130,516 of partly Danish parentage, or 529,142 in all. The Dano-Norwegian language, of course, shows considerable dialectical variations, but they are not important for the present purpose. About thirty-five periodicals in it are published in the United States, including one daily paper.

Dr. Flom's admirable studies deal mainly with the spoken language, and his examples of loan-words are given in a phonetic alphabet which often differs considerably from the alphabet used in Norwegian-American publications. When a word beginning with an unstressed initial vowel is borrowed, he says, the vowel is often lost. Thus, account becomes kaunt, election becomes leckshen, and assessor becomes sessar. "The dissyllabic noun efekt (effect) is an exception, as is also the word aperashen (operation)." The word edzukashen (education) likewise keeps its initial vowel, for the consonantal sound dz would be hardly admissible in Norwegian at the beginning of a word. The vowel in an unstressed initial syllable is commonly suppressed, even when it is not the first letter, as in spraisparti (surprise-party) and stiffiket (certificate). In the latter case a transition form, settifiket, has been lost. There is also some loss of vowels in medial syllables, as in bufflo (buffalo), fektri (factory), lakris (licorice) and probishen (prohibition). Consonants are lost less often, but there are examples in paler (parlor), korna (corner), potret (portrait) and blackbor (blackboard). In the last case the final -bor is not the English board but the Norwegian bord, having the same meaning and pronounced bor. In insurance the last syllable is changed to ings. The sound of h often disappears in compounds, as in brikkus (brick-house), fremus (frame-house) and purus (poorhouse). In cases where consonants are duplicated they may be reduced to a single consonant, as in fretren (freight-train), or separated by a vowel, as in fensestretcher (fence-stretcher). Sometimes an inorganic consonant appears, as in bikril (hickory), gofert

(gopher) and brand (bran). The sound of th commonly becomes t, as in latt (lath) and timoti (timothy); rs becomes ss, as in hosspaur (horsepower); and final dz becomes s, as in launs (lounge) and in the proper name Kemris (Cambridge). Miss Anne Simley, in a report on Norwegian phonology in Minnesota, says that the common impression that y is always substituted for j and dj is not well founded. The error is most often made, she says, by Norwegians who have learned to read English after learning to read Norwegian, in which language the letter j has the sound usually expressed in [English] writing by y. For the same reason v is substituted for w.

Dr. Flaten supplies the following examples of American-Norwegian, gathered near Northfield, Minn.: 3

Mrs. Olsen va aafel bisi idag; hun maatte béke kék. (Mrs. Olsen was awfully busy today; she had to bake cake.)

Reilraaden ha muva schappa sine. (The railroad has moved its shops.) Je kunde ikke faa resa saa mye kaes at je fik betalt morgesen i farmen min. (I couldn't raise enough cash to pay the mortgage on my farm.)

Det meka ingen difrens. (That makes no difference.)

Hos'n fila du? Puddi gud. (How do you feel? Pretty good.)

This dialect, says Dr. Flaten, is "utterly unintelligible to a Norseman recently from the old country. In the case of many words the younger generation cannot tell whether they are English or Norse. I was ten years old before I found that such words as paatikkel (particular), staebel (stable), fens (fence) were not Norse, but mutilated English. I had often wondered that poleit, trubble, söpperéter were so much like the English words polite, trouble, separator. So common is this practice of borrowing that no English word is refused admittance into this vocabulary provided it can stand the treatment it is apt to get. Some words, indeed, are used without any appreciable difference in pronunciation, but more generally the root, or stem, is taken and Norse inflections are added as required by the rules of the language." Sometimes the English loan-word and a corresponding Norwegian word exist side by side, but in such cases, according to Dr. Flom,4 "there is a prevalent and growing tendency" to drop the latter, save in the event that it acquires a special

I English Loan-Words in American Norwegian, above cited.

² A Study of Norwegian Dialect in Minnesota, *Dialect Notes*, Aug., 1930.

³ Notes on American-Norwegian, above cited.

⁴ English Elements in the Norse Dialects of Utica, Wis., above cited.

meaning. "Very often in such cases," he continues, "the English word is shorter and easier to pronounce or the Norse equivalent is a purely literary word—that is, does not actually exist in the dialect of the settlers. . . . In the considerable number of cases where the loan-word has an exact equivalent in Norse dialect it is often very difficult to determine the reason for the loan, though it would be safe to say that it is frequently due simply to a desire on the part of the speaker to use English words, a thing that becomes very pronounced in the jargon that is sometimes heard."

Dr. Flom's vocabulary of loan-words includes 735 nouns, 235 verbs, 43 adjectives and 7 verbs, or 1025 words in all - a very substantial part of the total vocabulary of the Norwegian-Americans of rural Wisconsin. Dr. Flaten's earlier vocabulary runs to almost 550 words. The Dano-Norwegian øl is abandoned for the English beer, which becomes bir. Tonde succumbs to baerel, barel or baril (barrel), frokost to brekkfaest (breakfast), skat to taex (tax), and so on. The verbs yield in the same way: vaeljuéte (valuate), titsche (teach), katte (cut), klém (claim), savére (survey), refjuse (refuse). And the adjectives: plén (plain), jelös (jealous), kjokfuldt (chockfull), krésé (crazy), aebel (able), klir (clear), piur (pure), pur (poor). And the adverbs and adverbial phrases: isé (easy), reit evé (right away), aept to (apt to), allreit (all right). Dr. Flaten lists some grotesque compound words, e.g., nekk-töi (necktie),1 kjaensbogg (chinch-bug), gitte long (get along), staets-praessen (state's prison), traevling-maen (traveling-man), uxe-jogg (yoke of oxen). Pure Americanisms are not infrequent, e.g., bösta (busted), bésbaal (baseball), dipo (depot), jukre (to euchre), kaemp-mid'n (camp-meeting), kjors (chores), magis (moccasin), malasi (molasses), munke-rins (monkey-wrench), raad-bas (road-boss), sjante (shanty), strit-kar (street-car), tru trin (through train). The decayed American adverb is boldly absorbed, as in han file baed (he feels bad). "That this lingo," says Dr. Flaten, "will ever become a dialect of like importance with the Pennsylvania-Dutch is hardly possible. . . . The Norwegians are among those of our foreign-born citizens most willing to part with their mother tongue." But meanwhile it is spoken by many thousands of them, and it will probably

anything that is draped around the neck, such as ties, collars, mufflers, etc.

r Mr. Valdemar Viking tells me that the *tõi* here is not a corruption of the English *tie*, but a good Dano-Norwegian word. *Halstõi* means

linger in isolated farming regions of the upper Middle West for years.1

e. Icelandic

The only study of American Icelandic in English that I have been able to unearth is a paper on its loan-nouns, published more than thirty years ago by Vilhjálmar Stefánsson, the Arctic explorer, who was born in the Icelandic colony at Árnes, Manitoba, on Lake Winnipeg.2 But there is considerable interest in the subject among the Icelanders, both at home and in this country, and Dr. Stefán Einarsson of the Johns Hopkins University, a native of Iceland, has been, for some years past, collecting materials relating to it, and has in contemplation a treatise on it. The dialect is called Vestur-íslenska, and shows many of the characters that we have found in American-Swedish and American-Dano-Norwegian. But, since it is a much more ancient language than the tongues of the Scandinavian mainland, it is more highly inflected, and its inflections are almost invariably fastened upon its borrowings from American English. "No word," says Mr. Stefánsson, "can be used in Icelandic without being assigned a gender-form distinguished by the post-positive article." Thus river becomes rifurinn (masculine), road becomes rótin (feminine) and depot becomes dipoidh 3 (neuter). In general, either formal or semantical similarities to Icelandic words determine the gender of the loan-words. The effect is sometimes curious. Thus the American candy, ice-cream, saloon, sidewalk, township and cornstarch are all neuter, but beer, boss, cowboy and populist are masculine, and tie (railroad), prohibition and siding are feminine. In the case of some words usage varies. Thus caucus has no fixed gender; different speakers make it masculine, feminine or neuter. Cracker, automobile, field, telephone and turkey are other such words. Banjo may be either feminine or neuter, bicycle may be either masculine or neuter, and bronco may be either masculine or feminine. The gender of loan-words tends to be logical, but it is not always so.

- In addition to the gentlemen already mentioned, I am indebted for aid to Messrs. A. H. Anderson and Wallace Lomoe.
- ² English Loan-Nouns Used in the Icelandic Colony of North Dakota, Dialect Notes, Vol. II, Pt. V, 1903.
- In order to avoid two Icelandic characters that are unknown in modern English and might be confusing, I have adopted the equivalents approved by the Royal Geographical Society of England.

Farmer is always masculine and so is engineer, and nurse is always feminine, but dressmaker is given the masculine post-positive article, becoming dressmakerinn. However, when the pronoun is substituted, in speaking of a dressmaker, hún, which is feminine, is commonly used. Words ending in l or ll are usually considered neuter, e.g., baseball, corral, hotel, hall. "A striking example," says Mr. Stefánsson, "is the term constable. The natural gender is evidently masculine and the Icelandic equivalent, lögreglumathur, is masculine; yet constable is usually employed as a neuter, though occasionally as a masculine." Words in -er fall under the influence of the Icelandic masculine nouns in -ari, denoting agency, and so usually become masculine, e.g., director, ginger, mower, parlor, peddler, reaper, separator. Republican and socialist are masculine, but democrat is neuter. Cash-book, clique, contract, election and grape are feminine for the reasons stated on page 631. Of the 467 loan-nouns listed by Mr. Stefánsson, 176 are neuters and 137 are masculines. There are but 44 clear feminines, though 80 others are sometimes feminine. Here are some specimens of Vestur-íslenska in action:

Eg baudh honum inn á salún og atladhi adh tríta hann á einum bír, en hann vildi bae nó míns adh eg trítadhi: heldur vildi hann adh vidh skyldum raffla fyrir drykk. (I invited him to a saloon and intended to treat him to a beer, but he would by no means let me treat him; he preferred that we should raffle [throw dice?] for a drink.)

Hvernig fîlardhu? (How do you feel?)

Rétt eftir adh vidh höfdhum krossadh rifurinn komum vidh á dípóidh og fórum út úr karinu. (Right after we had crossed the river we came to the depot and left the car.)

Bae djisos, thú ert rangur. (By Jesus, you are wrong.)

Mig vantar ekki ad lata fúla mig sona. (I don't want to be fooled like that.) 1

The Icelanders sometimes borrow the sense of English loan-words which resemble Icelandic words of quite different meaning. For example, in the phrase "adh ganga brotinn á gemlingshús" (to go broke in a gambling-house), brotinn is an Icelandic word which always means broken, not broke, and gemlings is the genitive of the Icelandic noun gemlingur, meaning a yearling sheep. Similarly, the English verb to beat, which has been generally taken in, collides with the Icelandic verb bita (to bite). Dr. Einarsson tells me

r These are mainly from Frá Ameríka, a lecture by Jón Ólafsson, delivered at Reykjavík in 1897 and printed in Sunnanfari, Vol. VII, 1898, p. 1 ff. For the reference and the translations I am indebted to the great courtesy of Dr. Einarsson. that there have been a number of discussions of Vestur-íslenska in Heimskringla and Lögberg, the Icelandic weeklies published at Winnipeg. It has also been put to literary use by the Icelandic American novelist, J. M. Bjarnason,¹ and by Kristján N. Júlíus, "the Icelandic Bobby Burns." The first Icelanders to come to the United States settled in Utah in 1855. The Census of 1930 showed 7413 persons of Icelandic stock in the country — 2768 born in Iceland, 3177 born here of Icelandic parentage, and the rest born here of partly Icelandic parentage. There are many more across the Canadian border, especially in Manitoba. The American Icelanders print no periodicals, but at Winnipeg, in addition to the two weeklies that I have mentioned, there are various other publications.

f. Yiddish

Yiddish, though it is spoken by Jews, and shows a high admixture of Hebrew,⁸ and is written in Hebrew characters, is basically a Middle High German dialect, greatly corrupted, not only by Hebrew, but also by Russian, Polish, Lithuanian, Hungarian, and, in the United States, English. At the Census of 1930, 1,222,658 Jews gave Yiddish as their mother-tongue; in all probability another million could then speak it, or, at all events, understand it. Since the cutting off of immigration from Eastern Europe it has been declining, and there are many Jews who view it hostilely as a barbaric jargon, and hope to see it extirpated altogether; nevertheless, there are still thirty-seven Yiddish periodicals in the country, including twelve daily newspapers, and one of the latter, the Jewish Daily Forward of New York, had a circulation of nearly 125,000 in 1935.

The impact of American-English upon Yiddish has been tre-

1 Bess-bréf, Heimskringla, 1893-4.

education, e.g., cheshbon (arithmetic); (d) generic words, e.g., chaye (living being); (e) words signifying phenomena that "are clothed by the popular consciousness with a superstitious glamour," e.g., kadoches (fever); (f) words referring to birth, marriage and death, e.g., levaya (funeral); and (g) terms of opprobrium or approbation, e.g., bal-zedoko (charitable person).

² Kvidhlingar; Winnipeg, 1920.
⁸ Isaac A. Millner, in What is Yiddish?, East and West, April 20, 1923, says that 20% of the Yiddish vocabulary is Hebrew. This part includes some very important elements, classified by Mr. Millner as follows: (a) words that refer to the Jewish religion, e.g., kosher; (b) words that stand in some relation to it, e.g., chedar; (c) words that have to do with elementary

mendous; in fact, it has been sufficient to create two Yiddishes. "The one," says Dr. Ch. Zhitlowsky, "is the wild-growing Yiddish-English jargon, the potato-chicken-kitchen language; the other is the cultivated language of Yiddish culture all over the world." 1 But though Dr. Zhitlowsky and his fellow Yiddishists may rail against that potato-chicken-kitchen language, it is the Yiddish of the overwhelming majority of American Jews. In it such typical Americanisms as sky-scraper, loan-shark, graft, bluffer, faker, boodler, gangster, crook, guy, kike, piker, squealer, bum, cadet, boom, bunch, pants, vest, loafer, jumper, stoop, saleslady, ice-box and raise are quite as good Yiddish as they are American. For all the objects and acts of everyday life the Jews commonly use English terms, e.g., boy, chair, window, carpet, floor, dress, hat, watch, ceiling, consumption, property, trouble, bother, match, change, party, birthday, picture, paper (only in the sense of newspaper), gambler, show, hall, kitchen, store, bedroom, key, mantelpiece, closet, lounge. broom, table-cloth, paint, landlord, fellow, tenant, bargain, sale, haircut, razor, basket, school, scholar, teacher, baby, mustache, butcher, grocery, dinner, street and walk. In the factories there is the same universal use of shop, wages, foreman, boss, sleeve, collar, cuff, button, cotton, thimble, needle, machine, pocket, remnant, piece-work, sample, etc. Many of these words have quite crowded out the corresponding Yiddish terms, so that the latter are seldom heard. For example, ingle, meaning boy (Ger. jungel, a diminutive of junge, a boy), has been wholly obliterated by the English word. A Yiddish-speaking Jew almost invariably refers to his son as his boy, though strangely enough he calls his daughter his meidel. "Die boys mit die meidlach haben a good time" is excellent American Yiddish. In the same way fenster has been completely displaced by window, though tür (door) has been left intact. Tisch (table) also remains. but chair is always used, probably because few of the Jews had chairs in the Old Country. There the beinkel, a bench without a back, was in use; chairs were only for the well-to-do. Floor has apparently prevailed because no invariable corresponding word was employed at home: in various parts of Russia and Poland a floor is a dill, a podlogé, or a bricke. So with ceiling. There were six different words for it.

¹ Quoted by George Wolfe in Notes on American Yiddish, American Mercury, Aug., 1933, p. 478.

Yiddish inflections have been fastened upon most of these loanwords. Thus, "Er hat ihm abgefaked" is "He cheated him," zubunt is the American gone to the bad, fix'n is to fix, usen is to use, and so on. The feminine and diminutive suffix -ké is often added to nouns. Thus bluffer gives rise to blufferké (hypocrite), and one also notes dresské, hatké, watchké and bummerké. "Oi! is sie a blufferké!" is good American Yiddish for "Isn't she a hypocrite!" The suffix -nick, signifying agency, is also freely applied. Allrightnick means an upstart, an offensive boaster, one of whom his fellows would say "He is all right" with a sneer. Similarly, consumptionick means a victim of tuberculosis. Other suffixes are -chick and -ige, the first exemplified in boychick, a diminutive of boy, and the second in next-doorige, meaning the woman next door, an important person in Jewish social life. Some of the loan-words, of course, undergo changes on Yiddish-speaking lips. Thus landlord becomes lendler, certificate becomes stiff-ticket, lounge becomes lunch, tenant becomes tenner, and whiskers loses its final s. "Wie gefällt dir sein whisker?" (How do you like his beard?) is good Yiddish, ironically intended. Fellow, of course, changes to the American fella, as in "Rosie hat schon a fella" (Rosie has got a fella, i.e., a sweetheart). Show, in the sense of chance, is used constantly, as in "Git ihm a show" (Give him a chance). Bad boy is adopted bodily, as in "Er is a bad boy." To shut up is inflected as one word, as in "Er hat nit gewolt shutup'n" (He wouldn't shut up). To catch is used in the sense of to obtain, as in catch'n a gmilath chesed (to raise a loan). Here, by the way, gmilath chesed is excellent Biblical Hebrew. To bluff, unchanged in form, takes on the new meaning of to lie: a bluffer is a liar. Scores of American phrases are in constant use, among them, all right, never mind, I bet you, no sir and I'll fix you. It is curious to note that sure Mike, borrowed by the American vulgate from Irish-English, has also gone over into American-Yiddish. Finally, to make an end, here are two complete American-Yiddish sentences: "Sie wet clean'n die rooms, scrub'n dem floor, wash'n die windows, dress'n dem boy und gehn in butcher-store und in grocery. Dernoch vet sie machen dinner und gehn in street für a walk." 1

For some time past there has been a movement among the New York Jews for the purification of Yiddish, and it has resulted in the

York, and a distinguished writer in both Yiddish and English.

I am indebted throughout this section to Mr. Abraham Cahan, editor of the leading Yiddish daily in New

establishment of a number of Yiddish schools. Its adherents do not propose, of course, that English be abandoned, but simply that the two languages be kept separate, and that Jewish children be taught Yiddish as well as English. The Yiddishists insist that it is more dignified to say a gooten tog than good-bye, and billet instead of ticket. But the movement makes very poor progress. "The Americanisms absorbed by the Yiddish of this country," says Abraham Cahan, "have come to stay. To hear one say 'Ich hob a billet für heitige vorschtellung' would be as jarring to the average East Side woman, no matter how illiterate and ignorant she might be, as the intrusion of a bit of Chinese in her daily speech." Yiddish, as everyone knows, has produced a very extensive literature during the past two generations; it is, indeed, so large and so important that I can do no more than refer to it here. Much of it has come from Jewish authors living in New York. In their work, and particularly their work for the stage, there is extensive and brilliant evidence of the extent to which American-English has influenced the language.2

2. LATIN

a. French

Ever since the close of the Eighteenth Century patriotic French-Canadians have been voicing fears that the French language would be obliterated from their country, soon or late, by the growth of English, but so far it has not happened. At the present moment probably 25% of all the Canadians continue to speak French and to think of it as their mother-tongue, though most of them, of course, also speak more or less English. But the French they speak is by no means that of Paris. Dr. E. C. Hills, who spent five Summers in a Frenchspeaking community near Montreal, studying the local speechways, came away convinced that "a Parisian would not understand the common language of the district." 8 It differs considerably from place to place, but all over Canada it is heavily shot with English,

¹ See the article on Yiddish, by Nathaniel Buchwald, in the Cambridge History of American Literature, Vol. IV, p. 598, and the bibliography following, p. 822 ff, and also Curiosities of Yiddish Literature,

by A. A. Roback; New York, 1933. 2 See Notes on Yiddish, by H. B. Wells, American Speech, Oct., 1928, p. 63 ff. 3 Language, March, 1928, p. 43.

and especially with American. "The effect of English on the French," says A. Marshall Elliott, "has been immeasurably greater" than that of French on the English. . . . The French has made use of all the productive means - suffixes, prefixes - at its disposal to incorporate the English vocables in its word-supply . . . and to adapt them by a skilful use of the inflectional apparatus to all the requirements of a rigid grammatical system." On one page of N. E. Dionne's "Le Parler Populaire des Canadiens Français" 2 I find barkeeper, bargaine, barroom, bullseye, buckwheat, buggy, buckboard, bugle, bully, bum, business and bus - most of them, it will be observed, American rather than English, and one of them, bum, an American loan from the German. In Sylva Chapin's "Dictionnaire Canadien-Français" are many more, e.g., lager (another German loan), overalls, cracker, gerrymander, baseball, blizzard, blue-nose, bluff, boodle (from the Dutch originally), boss (also from the Dutch), brakeman, cocktail, C.O.D., cowboy, greenback, johnny-cake, peanut, sleigh (a third Dutch loan), squatter, teetotaler, township and trolley. A larger number have been Gallicized, e.g., boodlage (boodle), boodleur (boodler), conducteur, lyncher (to lynch), élévateur and engin (locomotive), and some appear in two forms, e.g., bum and bommeur, which have produced the verb bommer, and loafer and lôfeur, which have produced the verb lôfer. Here are some quotations from current Canadian-French newspapers: "sur le scrîne" (screen), "les effets du vacouomme-clîneaur," "Le typewriter empêche d'embrouiller les textes," "Les Goglus sont wise" (a headline), and "Hold-up de M. Houde" (another).4 Louvigny de Montigny, in "La Langue Française au Canada" 5 complains bitterly that American words and phrases are driving out French words and phrases, even when the latter are quite as clear and convenient. Thus, un patron, throughout French Canada, is now un boss, petrole is l'huile de charbon (coaloil), une bonne à tout faire is une servante générale, and un article d'occasion is un article de seconde main! " Vous regardez bien, Monsieur," which means "Your eyesight is good," or "You look in the right direction" in Standard French, means "You are looking well"

I Speech Mixture in French Canada, American Journal of Philology, Vol. X, No. 2, 1889, p. 143.

² Quebec, 1909. This work is a lexicon running to 671 pp.

³ Montreal, 1894.

⁴ For these I am indebted to Lieut. Col. E. L. M. Burns of Ottawa.

⁵ Ottawa, 1916, p. 22.

in Canadian-French. The latter is full of French dialect words inherited from the early settlers, and unknown in Standard French, e.g., the Norman verbs chouler (to tease a dog), fafiner (to hesitate) and jaspiner (to gossip). The influence of the dialects is also responsible for numerous differences in grammatical gender between the two languages, e.g., hôtel, examen, arc and éclair, which are masculine in Standard French, are feminine in Canada, and garantie and écritoire, which are feminine in Standard French, are masculine. It has also produced some peculiarities in phonology, e.g., a for elle, i for il, ils, lui and y, ab for e, aw for ab, and dz for d. The final d, r, s and t are often sounded where they are now mute in Standard French.1

"Two varieties of French, different yet closely related," says Dr. William A. Read of Louisiana State University, "are spoken in Louisiana. The first variety is represented by a dialect which is not far removed from Standard French in syntax, vocabulary and pronunciation. This is the speech of most Creoles and of many cultivated Acadians. Naturally, some new words are used and various old words have acquired senses unknown in Standard French." 2 The Acadians (Cajuns), who are descendants of the French colonists expelled from Nova Scotia by the English in 1755, speak a dialect brought from their former home and showing kinship with

1 Dominion French Discovered, New York Sun, June 30, 1927. The literature of Canadian French, by native philologians, is extensive. There is a bibliography of it, down to 1908, in A Study of an Acadian-French Dialect Spoken on the North Shore of the Baie-des-Chaleurs, by James Geddes, Jr., Halle, 1908, and there are many references to later writings in the appendix to Louvigny de Montigny's La langue française au Canada, above cited. A Société du Parler Français au Canada was founded at Quebec in 1902 under the auspices of Laval University, and on June 29, 1912 the first Congrés de la Langue Française au Canada was held at Quebec. Its proceedings were published the same year. See also Dialect Re-search in Canada, by A. F. Chamberlain, Dialect Notes, Vol. I, Pt. II, 1890, which contains a bibliog-

raphy running to 1890. The earliest American writer on the subject was the late Dr. A. Marshall Elliott (1844–1910), professor of Romance languages at the Johns Hopkins University, and founder of the Modern Language Association (1883) and *Modern Language* Notes (1886). His pioneer paper, Contributions to a History of the French Language in Canada appeared in the American Journal of Philology, Vol. VI, Pt. II, 1885. He followed it with four papers on Speech Mixture in French Canada in the same journal, Vol. VII, Pt. II, 1886; Vol. VIII, Pts. II and III, 1887; and Vol. X, Pt. II, 1889. 2 Louisiana-French, Louisiana State

University Studies, No. 5, 1931. This is a work of 253 pages, and is full of valuable material, especially on loan-words.

the dialects of the North, West and Center of France. There is yet a third variety of Louisiana-French. It is the Nègre spoken by the Negroes, or, as they often call it, Congo or Gumbo—a vulgate based on the speech of the white Creoles, but much debased.¹ It is, says Dr. George S. Lane of the Catholic University, "the usual speech not only between Negroes, but also between white and Negro. In fact, few Negroes understand Standard French, hardly any speak it. Negro-French . . . is often the only type of French known to the children, especially to those under fifteen years of age." ² It is composed, says Dr. Read, "of a highly corrupt French vocabulary, some native African words, and a syntax for the most part essentially African." He gives the following specimen of it:

Lendenmain matin Médo di moin, Mo chien apé mégri. Dépi milat-là rentré dans la cou-là, Ye na pi des os pu chats.

There is a large literature of this Gumbo-French, chiefly in the form of songs, and readers of Lafcadio Hearn, George W. Cable, Kate Chopin and Grace Elizabeth King will recall it. The written literature of the educated Creoles, now fading out in the face of the advance of English, was wholly in Standard French. Rather curiously, most of it was produced, not during the days of French rule, but after the American occupation in 1803. "It was not until after the War of 1812," says a recent historian,3 " that letters really flourished in French Louisiana. The contentment and prosperity that filled the forty years between 1820 and 1860 encouraged the growth of a vigorous and in some respects a native literature, comprising plays, novels, and poems." The chief dramatists of the period were Placide Canonge, A. Lussan, Oscar Dugué, Le Blanc de Filleneufve, P. Pérennes and Charles Testut; today all their works are dead, and they themselves are but names. Testut was also a poet and novelist; other novelists were Canonge, Alfred Mercier, Alexandre Barde, Adrien Rouquette, Jacques de Roquigny and Charles Lemaître. The principal poets were Dominique Rouquette, Tullius Saint-Céran, Constant Lepouzé, Felix de Courmont, Alexandre Latil, A. Lussan

I An account of it is in Louisiana Gumbo, by Edward Laroque Tinker, Yale Review, Spring, 1932.

² Notes on Louisiana-French, Language, Dec., 1934.

³ Edward J. Fortier, in the Cambridge History of American Literature; New York, 1921, Vol. IV, p. 591. A bibliography is appended, p. 820 ff.

and Armand Lanusse. But the most competent of all the Creole authors was Charles E. A. Gayerré (1805–95), who was at once historian, dramatist and novelist. Today the Creole literature is only a memory. "The time will inevitably come," says Dr. Read, "when French will no longer be spoken in Louisiana; for Creoles and Acadians alike are prone to discard their mother-tongue, largely because they are compelled in their youth to acquire English in the public-schools of the State." Even in St. Martinville, le petit Paris, says Dr. Lane, "most native residents between twenty-five and forty, while able to speak French, use it only among close associates or in addressing older people. Few under twenty-five make use of it at all, though they understand it readily and are able to speak it. Today, one hears ordinarily on the street either bad English or the Negro-French dialect spoken by white and black alike." 1

b. Italian

Rémy de Gourmont, the French critic, was the first to call attention to the picturesque qualities of the Americanized Italian spoken by Italian immigrants to the United States. This was in 1899.² Nineteen years later Dr. Arthur Livingston, of the Italian department of Columbia University, published an instructive and amusing study of it, under the title of "La Merica Sanemagogna" (The American Son-of-a-Gun), in the Romanic Review (New York).³ Since then it has attracted other scholars in the United States, and a growing literature deals with it; ⁴ in addition, it is not infrequently discussed in the books which Italian visitors write about their adventures and observations in this country.⁵ Finally, it has produced some interest-

- There is a bibliography of Louisiana-French in Dr. Read's monograph, above cited, and another in The Survival of French in the Old District of Sainte Genevieve [Missouri], by W. A. Dorrance, *Uni*versity of Missouri Studies, Vol. X, No. 2, 1935.
- 2 L'Esthétique de la langue Française; Paris, 1899.
- 3 Vol. IX, No. 2, April-June, 1918, p. 206 ff.
- 4 Of especial value are two articles on Italian Dialects in the United
- States, by Herbert H. Vaughn, professor of Italian at the University of California, American Speech, May and October, 1926; Piedmontese Dialects in the United States, by A. G. Zallio of Sacramento Junior College, American Speech, Sept., 1927; and The Speech of Little Italy, by Anthony M. Turano, an Italian-American lawyer of Reno, Nev., American Mercury, July, 1932.
- 5 For example, Un Italiano in America, by Adolfo Rossi; Treviso,

ing writing of its own, ranging from such eloquent pieces as Giovanni Pascoli's "Italy" 1 to the Rabelaisian buffooneries of Carlo Ferrazzano. Ferrazzano, who died in 1926, wrote many macchiette coloniali for the cheap Italian theaters of New York. The macchietta coloniale was an Americanized variety of the Neapolitan macchietta, which Dr. Livingston describes as "a character-sketch - etymologically, a character-' daub'-most often constructed on rigorous canons of 'ingenuity': there must be a literal meaning, accompanied by a double sense, which, in the nature of the tradition, inclines to be pornographic." The macchietta was brought to New York by Edoardo Migliaacio (Farfariello),2 purged of its purely Neapolitan materials, and so adapted to the comprehension of Italians from other parts of Italy. For nearly a generation it was the delight of the Italians of New York, but in late years it has gradually succumbed to the decline in Italian immigration and the competition of the movies and talkies. Farfariello wrote fully five hundred macchiette and Ferrazzano probably as many more; some of the latter were printed. They were commonly in verse, with now and then a descent to prose. I take from Dr. Livingston's study a specimen of the latter:

Ne sera dentro na barra americana dove il patrone era americano, lo visco era americano, la birra era americana, ce steva na ghenga de loffari tutti americani; solo io non ero americano; quanno a tutto nu mumento me mettono mmezzo e me dicettono: Alò spaghetti; iu mericano men? No! no! mi Italy men! lu blacco enze? No, no! lu laico chistu contri? No, no! Mi laico mio contry! Mi laico Italy! A questa punto me chiavaieno lo primo fait! "Dice: Orré for America!" Io tuosto: Orré for Italy! Un ato fait. "Dice: Orré for America!" Orré for Italy! N'ato fait e n' ato fait, fino a che me facetteno addurmentare; ma però, orré for America nun o dicette!

Quanno me scietaie, me trovaie ncoppa lu marciepiedi cu nu pulizio vicino che diceva; Ghiroppe bomma! Io ancora stunato alluccaie: America nun gudde! Orré for Italy! Sapete li pulizio che facette? Mi arrestò!

Quanno fu la mattina, lu giorge mi dicette: Wazzo maro laste naite? Io

^{1907,} and Incontro col Nord America, by Franco Ciarlantini; Milan, 1929. A translation of Signor Ciarlantini's chapter, The Italian Language in the United States, was published in Atlantica (New York), March, 1930, p. 15.

I It is to be found in his Poesie, Vol.

I It is to be found in his Poesie, Vol. II; Bologna, 1897; 5th ed., 1912. There is an account of it in La Merica Sanemagogna, by Dr. Liv-

ingston, who says that it was inspired by Pascoli's "contact with Italian emigrants returning to the Tuscan hills." It is also described and discussed in Italienisch-Amerikanisches, by Walther Fischer, Neuere Sprachen, Sept., 1920, p. 164 ff.

² Still alive in 1936, but long since retired.

risponette: No tocche ngles! "No? Tenne dollari." E quello porco dello giorge nun scherzava, perchè le diece pezze se le pigliaie! ...

The Americanisms here are obvious enough: barra for bar, visco for whiskey, blacco enze for black-hand, laico for like, chistu for this. contri for country, fait for fight (it is also used for punch, as in chiaver nu fait, give a punch, and nato fait, another punch), loffari for loafers, ghiroppe for get up, bomma for bum, pulizio for police, nun gudde for no good, orré for hurray, giorge for judge, wazzo maro for what's the matter, laste for last, naite for night, toccho for talk, tenne for ten, dollari for dollars. All of the surviving macchiette coloniali are heavy with such loan-words; one of them, Farfariello's "A lingua 'nglese," is devoted almost wholly to humorous attempts to represent English words and phrases as the more ignorant Italians of New York hear and employ them. There has also been some attempt to make use of American-Italian on higher literary levels. Pascoli's "Italy" I have mentioned. A satirical poem by Vincenzo Campora, entitled "Spaghetti House" and well known to most literate Italians in the United States, embodies tomato sauce, luncheonette, drug-store and other characteristic Americanisms.1 Others appear in the following sonetto by Rosina Vieni:

> Vennero i bricchellieri a cento a cento, tutta una ghenga coi calli alle mani per far la casa di quaranta piano (1) senza contare il ruffo e il basamento

Adesso par che sfidi il firmamento a onore e gloria degli americani; ma chi pensa ai grinoni, ai paesani morti d'un colpo, senza sacramento? che val, se per disgrazia o per mistecca ti sfracelli la carne in fondo al floro povero ghinni, disgraziato dego?

Davanti a mezzo ponte di bistecca il bosso ghigna e mostra i denti d'oro: - chi è morto è morto . . . io vivo e me ne frego.2

Relatively few of the Italians who came to the United States during the great migration before the World War brought any genuine

gang; ruffo, roof; basamento, basements; grinoni, greenhorns; mistecca, mistake; floro, floor; ghinni, guinea; dego, dago; ponte, pound; bosso, boss.

I It is to be found in Columbus

⁽New York), March, 1935. 2 Zarathustra (New York), May 15, 1926, p. 24. To the text is appended a glossary, as follows: bricchellieri corrisponde a bricklayers; ghenga,

command of Standard Italian with them. Those who had been to school at home had more or less acquaintance with it, but in the family circle and among their neighbors they spoke their local dialects, some of which were mutually unintelligible. In the main, the immigrants from a given section of Italy flocked together - New York, for example, got mostly Neapolitans and Sicilians, and the Pacific Coast a preponderance of Piedmontese and Genoese - but there was still a sufficient mixture to make intercommunication difficult. If all of the newcomers had been fluent in Standard Italian it would have served them, but not many had an adequate vocabulary of it, so resort was had to an amalgam of Standard Italian, the various Italian dialects, and the common English of the country, with the latter gradually prevailing. The result, says Mr. Anthony M. Turano, was "a jargon which may be called American-Italian, a dialect no less distinct from both English and Italian than any provincial dialect is distinct from the Italian language." 1 Mr. Turano believes that American loan-words now comprise "as much as one-fourth of the spoken language of Little Italy." He divides them into three categories, as follows:

- 1. "Words for which a true Italian equivalent is lacking or remote, because of the absence of absolute identity between the American thing or act and its Italian counterpart," e.g., gliarda (yard), visco (whiskey), pichinicco (picnic), ais-crima (ice-cream), ghenga (gang), rodomastro (road-master).
- 2. "Words whose Italian equivalents were generally unknown or unfamiliar to the immigrant before his arrival," e.g., morgico (mortgage), lista (lease), bosso (boss), fensa (fence).
- 3. "Words that win the honors of Italianization by the sheer force of their repetition by the American natives, despite the fact that the Italian language affords familiar and ample equivalents," e.g., stritto (street), carro (car), gambolo or gambolino (gambler), loncio (lunch), cotto (coat), bucco (book), storo (store), checca (cake), loya (lawyer), trampo (tramp).

Mr. Turano continues:

Once an American word has been borrowed, its transformation does not end with its first changes. It is drafted for full service and made to run through all the genders, tenses and declensions of Italian grammar, until it presents the very faintest image of its former self. Thus the word fight, which was first changed into faiti, can be seen in such unrecognizable forms as faitare, faitato, faitava, faito, faitasse, and many more.

Sometimes Italian and English words are combined in a grotesque manner. Thus, Dr. Livingston reports hearing canabuldogga in New

I The Speech of Little Italy, American Mercury, July, 1932, p. 357.

York, from the Italian cane, meaning a dog, and the English bulldog. A half-time barber, working only on Saturdays, is a mezzo-barbiere, a half-time bartender is a mezzo-barritenne, and presser's helpers are sotto-pressatori, sotto being the common Italian designation for inferiority. The Italians in New York use andara a flabussce as a verb meaning to die: it depends for its significance on the fact that the chief Italian cemetery is in Flatbush. Similarly, they have made a word, temeniollo, meaning a large glass of beer, out of Tammany Hall. Not infrequently a loan-word collides with a standard Italian word of quite different meaning. Thus, cecca (check) means magpie in Italian, intrepido (interpreter) means fearless, beccharia (bakery) means butcher-shop, rendita (rent) means income, libreria (library) means bookstore, tronco (trunk) means cut off, and sciabola (shovel) means saber.1 "I was both puzzled and amused during my first week in America," says Mr. Turano, "when I heard a laborer say quite casually that his daily work involved the use of a pico and a sciabola - that is to say, a pick and a saber! " Among the Sicilians, gaddina, meaning a chicken, is a common euphemism for the borrowed goddam.2 There are, of course, some differences in the loanwords in use in different parts of the United States. The Italians of the West are all familiar with ranchio (ranch) but it is seldom heard in the East; similarly, livetta (elevated) is hardly known in the West. Among the Neapolitans d and t in loan-words sometimes change to r, so that city becomes siri, suri or zuri, and city hall becomes siriollo. But the following forms, like most of the terms quoted above, are in general use: 8

abbordato (boarder)
ais-bocsa (ice-box)
apricotto, or abricotto (apricot)
auschieppe (housekeeper)
avvenuta (avenue)
baga (bag)
barna (barn)

I I take these from Un Italiano in America, by Adolfo Rossi; Treviso, 1907, pp. 85–88.

2 Italian and Its Dialects as Spoken in the United States, by Herbert H. Vaughan, American Speech, May, 1926, p. 433.

1926, p. 433.

This list is based on one included in Mr. Vaughan's Italian and Its

Nouns

barritenne, or barrista (bartender) baschetta or baschetto (basket) beca (baker) billo (bill) bisiniss, or besenisso (business) blocco (block) bloffo (bluff)

Dialects as Spoken in the United States, just cited, but there are additions from The Speech of Little Italy, by Mr. Turano, American Mercury, July, 1932, and Dr. Livingston's La Merica Sanemagogna. I have also made use of material kindly sent to me by Mr. Giuseppe Cautela.

bordo (board) bocsa (box) boncio (bunch) boto, or bot (boat) boya (boy) briccoliere (bricklayer) bucia, or buccia (butcher) canna, or canno (can) canneria (cannery) carpentieri (carpenter) carpeta, or carpetto (carpet) cecca (check) cestenotto (chestnut) 1 cianza (chance) colle (coal) collettoro (collector) conductore (conductor) coppo (cop) costume (customer) cupa, or cuppa (cup) dicce, dic or indiccio (ditch) docco, or doc (dock) elevete, or alveto (elevator) faitatore (prize-fighter) falo (fellow) farma (farm) farmaioulo (farm-hand) fattoria (factory) ferri (ferry) ferriboto (ferry-boat) foremme (foreman) fornitura (furniture) frencofutte (frankfurter) fruttistenne (fruitstand) galone or gallone (gallon) garrita (garret) ghemma (game) ghirla, or ghella (girl) giobba (job) gliarda, or jarda (yard) globbo (club)

The plural is cestenozzi. That of pinotto (peanut) is pinozzi.

2 Dr. Livingston borrows sanemagogna from a macchietta by Ferrazzone. Mr. Turano thinks that the form should be sanimagogna. He says: "The component parts of the word are obviously s-animagogna. The first is a contraction of questa, which becomes sta in fregrignollo, or grignona (greenhorn) grollo (grower) grossiere (grocer) grosseria, or grussaria (grocery) guaffo, or guarfo (wharf) gum, or gumma (chewing-gum) kettola, or chettola (kettle) licenza (license) loffaro, or loffarone (loafer) lotto (lot) maccio (match) marchetto (market) mascina (machine) moni (money) morgico, or morgheggio (mortgage) naffia (knife) nursa, or nirsa (nurse) olla (hall) ovrecoto (overcoat) pensila, or pensula (pencil) penta (pint) pepa (paper) piccio (moving-picture) pinotto (peanut) pipa (pipe) pipoli (people) pondo, or ponte (pound) pulizzimmo (policeman) pullo (pull) quarto (quart) racchettiere (racketeer) raida (ride) riccemanne (rich man) rivolvaro (revolver) road (road) saiduak (sidewalk) saina (sign) salone (saloon) sanemagogna, or sanimagogna (sonof-a-gun) 2 schira, or scurta (skirt)

quent semi-standard usage, and sa or ssa in most of the Southern Italian dialects. The second part means soul, and the third designates an iron collar once wern by Italian criminals. The result is this degraded soul, that villainous or criminal soul, or something equally opprobrious. The same operation is applied to a stronger American

stringa (string) sciain (shine) sueta (sweater) sciainatore (bootblack) tacsa, tachise, or taxe (taxes) scio (show) tichetta (ticket) sciumecco (shoemaker) ticia (teacher) sparagrassi (asparagus) sprini, sprigni, or springi (springs) tonica (tonic) tracca (track) stic, or stico (stick) trobolo (trouble) stima (steamer) trocco (truck) stimbotto (steamboat) stim-sciabola (steam-shovel) tub (tub) uilbarro (wheelbarrow) stocco (stock) strappa (strap)

Adjectives

isi (easy) sechenenze (second-hand)
ruffo, or roffo (rough) smarto, or smatto (smart)
sciur (sure) stinge (stingy)

Verbs

abbordare (to board) giumpare (to jump)
draivare (to drive) parcare (to park)
fixare, ficsare, or fichisare (to fix) strappare (to strop a razor)

Phrases

aidonchea (I don't care)
aigatiu, or aigaccia (I got you)
airono (I don't know)
alrait, or orraite (all right)
bigu (be good)
dezzo (that's all)
godam (goddam)

gudbai (good-bye)
il forte gelato (the fourth of July)
bi cuntri (old country)
oke or oche (O.K.)
rongue, or roune (wrong way)
sciacchenze (shake hands)
uatsius (what's the use?)

Dr. Livingston says that the Italians in the United States resent dago and wop, but have become reconciled to guinea, which they spell ghini and use frequently in good-humored abuse, as in grannissimo ghini, a sort of euphemism for fool. He reports that a number of Americanisms have been taken back to Italy by returning immigrants, e.g., schidu (skiddoo) and bomma (bum), "which have become Neapolitan ejaculations." Briccoliere (bricklayer) "circulates in Sicily." 1

The Census of 1930 showed that there were 1,790,424 persons of Italian birth in the United States at that time, 2,306,015 who had been

In addition to the authors and correspondents already mentioned, I am indebted to Miss Adelina Rinaldi, business manager of Atlantica (New York), and to Mr. Giovanni Schiavo, author of The Italians in America Before the Civil War.

phrase. The result is sanimabiggia, meaning this gray-colored soul. My father had a pet variant that he used in milder cases, to wit, sanimapicciula, meaning, in the Calabrian dialect, this small soul." (Private communication, Jan. 29, 1935.)

born here of Italian parentage, and 450,438 who had been born here of partly Italian parentage, or, 4,546,877 in all. Save for the Germans, they constituted the largest racial *bloc* in the country, and they exceeded the Germans in the number of individuals actually born in their country of origin. Of them, 1,808,289 reported that Italian was their mother-tongue. The Italian periodicals published in the United States number 113, of which eight are daily newspapers.

c. Spanish

The changes undergone by Spanish in the New World have been studied at length by Spanish-American philologians, and their numerous monographs on Cubanisms, Mexicanisms, Argentinisms, Chileanisms, Honduranisms and so on put to shame the neglect of the American vulgate by their American colleagues. Even the Spanish spoken in the Southwestern United States has been investigated scientifically, chiefly by Dr. Aurelio M. Espinosa, of Leland Stanford University. Dr. Espinosa's papers on the subject have been printed in both English and Spanish. In English he published a series of "Studies in New Mexican Spanish" in the Revue de Dialectologie Romane from 1909 to 1914, and in Spanish he has brought out an elaborate study of New Mexican phonology in the Biblioteca de Dialectología Hispanoamericana edited by the Instituto de Filología of the University of Buenos Aires, and a number of smaller studies.

The New Mexican speech area investigated by Dr. Espinosa runs from El Paso in the south to beyond Pueblo, Colo., in the north, and

I His first, devoted to phonology, appeared in 1909; his second, dealing with morphology, in 1911, 1912 and 1913; and his third, discussing the English elements in the dialect, in 1914.

2 Buenos Aires, 1930.

3 They include Cuentitos Populares Nuevmejicanos y su Transcripción Fonética, Bulletin de Dialectologie Romane, Dec., 1912; Nombres de Bautismo Nuevomejicanos, Revue de Dialectologie Romane, Dec., 1913; Palabras Españolas e Inglesas, Hispania, Oct., 1922; and Aountaciones para un Diccionario de Nuevomejicanismos, in Homenaje a Bonilla y San Martin, Vol. II; Madrid, 1930. His publications in English include The Spanish Language in New Mexico and Southern Colorado, Publications of the Historical Society of New Mexico, May, 1911; Speech-Mixture in New Mexico, in The Pacific Ocean in History, edited by H. M. Stephens and H. E. Botton; New York, 1917; Syllabic Consonants in New-Mexican Spanish, Language, Dec., 1925; The Language of the Cuentos Populares Españoles, Language, Sept., 1927, and June, 1928.

from near the Texas border in the east to beyond the Arizona border in the west. At the time he made his inquiry it had about 250,000 Spanish-speaking inhabitants - 175,000 in New Mexico, 50,000 in Colorado, and 25,000 in Arizona. Within this area the dialect spoken is generally uniform. In Southern Arizona, Southern California and the upper part of the Mexican State of Sonora there is another speech area, using a dialect somewhat closer to Standard Castilian than that of New Mexico. It has been studied by Dr. Anita C. Post, who took her doctorate at Stanford under Dr. Espinosa.1 Both dialects show a great many resemblances to American-English. There is the same tendency toward the decay of grammatical niceties, the same hospitality to loan-words, the same leaning toward a picturesque vividness, and the same survival of words and phrases that have become archaic in the standard language. "It is a source of delight to the student of Spanish philology," says Dr. Espinosa in "Studies in New Mexican Spanish," "to hear daily from the mouths of New Mexicans such words as agora, ansi, naidien, trujo, escrebir, adrede" - all archaic Castilian forms, and corresponding exactly to the foxfire, homespun, andiron, ragamuffin, fall (for autumn), flapjack and cesspool that are preserved in American. They are survivors, in the main, of the Castilian Spanish of the Fifteenth and Sixteenth Centuries, though some of them come from other Spanish dialects. Castilian itself has changed very much since that time, as Standard English has changed; it is probable, indeed, that a Castilian of the year 1525, coming back to life today, would understand a New Mexican far more readily than he would understand a Spaniard, just as an Englishman of 1630 would understand a Kentucky mountaineer more readily than he would understand a Londoner.

New Mexico has been in the possession of the United States since 1846, and so it is natural to find its Spanish corrupted by American influences, especially in the vocabulary. Of the 1400 words that Dr. Espinosa chooses for remark, 300 are English, 75 are Nahuatl, 10 come from the Indian languages of the Southwest, and 15 are of doubtful or unknown origin; the rest are pure Spanish, chiefly archaic. As in the case of the Pennsylvania Germans, the French Canadians and the Scandinavians of the Northwest, the Spanish-speaking people of New Mexico have borrowed the American names of all

I Southern Arizona Spanish Phonology, Bulletin of the University of Arizona, Vol. V, No. 1, 1934.

objects of peculiarly American character, e.g., besbol (baseball), grimbaque (greenback), játqueque (hot-cake), sosa (soda), quiande (candy), fayaman (fireman), otemil (oatmeal), piquenic (picnic), lonchi (lunch). Most of them have been modified to bring them into accord with Spanish speech-habits. For example, all explosive endings are toned down by suffixes, e.g., lonchi for lunch. So with many r-endings, e.g., blofero for bluffer. And sibilants at the beginning of words are shaded by prefixes, e.g., esteque for steak and espechi for speech. Not only words have been taken in, but also many phrases. though most of the latter are converted into simple words, e.g., olraite (all right), jaitun (hightoned), jamachi (how much), sarape (shut up), enejau (anyhow). This Southwestern Spanish, like Pennsylvania-German, Yankee-Dutch and Vestur-islanska, seems doomed to vanish soon or late. "For a generation at least," says Dr. Post, "the child of Spanish-American parentage has really been learning Spanish at school, rather than at home. The present generation is not saying truje, vide, muncho, as their grandparents did. The Spanish of the future may be more nearly correct, if it does not die out completely."

English, of course, has also influenced the Spanish of the Antilles and of the Canal Zone. "Porto Ricans are conscious of the fact," says Salvador Rovira,1 " that their Spanish has been debased with English idiom, and that it is rapidly becoming mongrel." In the large Puerto Rican colony in New York a large number of American loan-words are in everyday use, e.g., champu (shampoo), dresin (dressing), chopas (chops), cornfleques (cornflakes), ribsteque (rib-steak), chainaría (shoe-shining stand), corna (corner of a street), cuora (quarter of a dollar), fanfurria (frankfurter), bildin (building), cuilto (quilt), ticha (teacher), estor (store), marqueta (market), caucho (couch), lanlor (landlord), lanlora (landlady), boso (boss), meibi (maybe).2 From Panama comes news of nacao (knockout), estrei (straight), managual (man o' war), guachiman (watchman).3 The people of each and every one of the Latin-American countries pride themselves on the purity of their Spanish, but the truth is, of course, that all of them speak dialects more or less marked, and use large numbers of words unknown to Standard Castilian.4 The late

¹ Bilingual Porto Rico, Fleur de Lis (St. Louis University), Dec., 1931.

² I am indebted here to Mr. Hugh Morrison.

Tradiciones y Cantares de Panamá,
 by Narcisco Garay; Brussels, 1933.
 I have mentioned the numerous

studies of these dialects by native

Dr. A. Z. López-Penha, the Colombian poet and critic, once made up for me (1922) a list of American loans in common use in the Latin-American seaports: it included cocktail, dinner-dance, foxtrot, sweater, kimono, high-ball, sundae, bombo (boom), plataforma (platform, political), mitin (meeting), alarmista, big-stick and various forms of bluff (usually blofero, but blofista in Cuba). The American auto has been naturalized, and so has ice-cream, but in the form of milk-cream, pronounced milclee by the lower orders. The boss of a train is the conductor del tren; a commuter is a commutador; switch is used both in its American railroad sense and to indicate the electrical device; slip, dock and wharf (guáfay) are in daily use; so is socket (electrical), though it is pronounced sokáytay; so are poker and many of the terms appertaining to the game. The South Americans often use just in the American way, as in justamente a (or en) tiempo (just in time). They are very fond of good-bye, dam-fool and go to hell. They have translated the verb phrase, to water stocks, into aguar las acciones. In Cuba the watermelon (patilla or sandía, in Spanish) is the mélon-de-agua. Just as French-Canadian has borrowed Americanisms that are loan-words from other immigrant tongues, e.g., bum and loafer from the German, so some of the South American dialects have borrowed rapidas (rapids) and kimono, the first brought into American from the French and the second from the Japanese. The Spanish borrowings from American are naturally most numerous just south of the Rio Grande, just as the American borrowings from Spanish are most numerous along its north bank. Says a recent explorer: 1

When a border Mexican goes out chopeando (shopping), and meets a friend on the street, he cordially shouts: "Como le how do you dea?," to be reassured by the reply: "Oh, very-well-eando, gracias a díos." Pausing, as is his

philologians. Some of them are listed in my 3rd ed., 1923, pp. 460-61. Others are listed in Espinosa's Estudios Sobre el Español de Neuvo Méjico; Buenos Aires, 1930, p. 24 ff. When Spanish talkies for the Latin-American trade were first made in Hollywood, the movie magnates employed a Spanish ac-tor to supervise their diction, and he ordained that the precise Castilian of the Madrid stage be used. This brought a protest from the Mexican actors, who argued that

their own Spanish was the purest on earth. The matter was finally left to the Spanish Royal Academy, and there ensued a row at Madrid. with the result that the actors and authors of fourteen Latin-American countries renounced the Academy's authority. See Those Sensitive Latin-Americans, by Arthur Constantine, New York World, July

1 H. E. McKinstry, in The American Language in Mexico, American Mercury, March, 1930.

custom, to pass the time of day, he will borrow a mecha (the Spanish word for wick or fuse sounds like match, so why not use it?) to light his cigarette, and since he has just received his time-check will ask if there is a chanza to get a chamba (job).¹

The Latin-Americans have taken over the vocabulary of American sport along with the games. "If you read El Universal, the soi-disant great daily of Mexico," says the explorer just quoted, "you will be apprised that at a match de box a gentleman named, as like as not, Battling Martinez, has received from one Kid Sanchez un K.O. as the result of an upper cut (pro. ooper coot) or a left hook ('ook). . . . Next morning you can play tenis and keep score in English terms provided you have learned to give them the correct Spanish accent; and if you watch a game of beisbol or futbol or basket you virtuously call a foul a foul. In the afternoon you may shoot a few rounds of golfo." "Of a Monday morning," says another observer,2 "when all the Latin-American journals are heavy with week-end sporting news, one's eye is apt to be arrested by el score at los links of el country club. Some local cup-collector may be featured at some length. Su pivot, one learns, leaves nothing to be desired; he is un swinger rapído, too, and always makes un espléndido drive. With such a reputation, one can hardly feel surprised to read that he won yesterday's match por walkover."

The number of Spanish-speaking persons in the United States at the moment (1936) is hard to estimate. There were 1,422,533 Mexicans in 1930, of whom 805,535 had been born in this country and 616,998 in Mexico, but many of the latter have since returned home. At the same time the enumerators unearthed 58,302 natives of Spain, 52,774 of Puerto Rico, 47,699 of the Philippines and 2,834 of the Canal Zone. The natives of Cuba and Central and South America do not seem to have been listed. The Puerto Ricans were nearly all concentrated in New York, which had 45,973 of them, and the Filipinos in California. The Cubans live mainly in New York and Florida. There are Spanish daily newspapers in Tampa (2), New York (2), El Paso (2), Los Angeles, Laredo, Tex., and San Antonio.

r See also A Dictionary of Spanish Terms in English, by Harold W. Bentley; New York, 1932, p. 5.

² Richard F. O'Toole, in Sports Slang in Latin America, American Mercury, Nov., 1930.

d. Portuguese

So far as I have been able to discover, there is no discussion in print of the Portuguese spoken in the United States. I am informed, however, by Mr. João R. Rocha, editor of O Independente of New Bedford, Mass., the oldest Portuguese weekly in the country, and Mr. Peter L. C. Silveira, editor of the Jornal Portugues of Oakland, Calif., that it has been markedly modified by American influence. The grammatical changes are few, but there is a heavy borrowing of English words and not a few Portuguese words have been changed in meaning. Thus, the word frizado, which means curled up in Standard Portuguese, has come to mean frozen in America, and the word cigarro, which means a cigarette in Standard Portuguese, means a cigar here. Again, the Portuguese immigrants have abandoned remédios, the Standard Portuguese word for medicines, in favor of medicinas, and have changed the meaning of colégio from a private grammar or secondary school to what we call a college. In the case of high-school, they have produced a translated form, escola alta. From the phrase to park a car they have derived a verb, parcar, and ise it in place of the Standard Portuguese arrumar or estacionar. Virtually all of the other verbs that they have borrowed have been given the Portuguese verbal termination -ar, e.g., drivar (to drive), feeda (to feed), treatar (to treat), ablievar (to believe), tirear (to ride), pinchar (to pitch), savar (to save), crackar (to crack), pumpear (to pump). A number of nouns are also given Portuguese terminations, e.g., feeda (feed), mecha (match), rancho (ranch, thus showing a return to the original Spanish form), raça (race), pana (pan), córa (quarter of a dollar), and passe-presidente (past-president). But loan-nouns are often used unchanged, as in "Vou falar com a meu lawyer por causa do case que tenho na court" (I am going to talk with my lawyer about the case I have in court). In the case of nouns that are identical in Portuguese and English, e.g., conductor and inspector, the Portuguese pronunciation is abandoned for the English. In the use of loan-words English idioms are often borrowed, e.g., não e das suas business (none of your business), fazar um speech (to make a speech), isso faz o spoil (that spoils it), está

nental dos Estados Unidos da America, and forwarded to me through Mr. Rocha.

These notes were kindly made by Mr. A. S. Branco, secretary-general of the União Portuguesa Conti-

alright (it's all right), é fine (it's fine). The Portuguese spoken in Brazil is also full of loans from English, e.g., aristú (Irish stew), buldogue (bulldog), sulipa (slipper, and also sleeper, a railroad tie), arceboque (a boxcar for horses), liderança (leader), araruta (arrowroot). The Brazilians of the nether classes use godeme (God-damn) to signify a blow; they confused the exclamations of the fighting English sailors on the docks with their actual wallops. They use bonde to signify a street-car, for when the first line was established at Rio de Janeiro it was financed by the sale of bonds, and the operating company came to be known as the companhia dos bonds. In Portugal a street-car is called an americano.

The Census of 1930 revealed 167,891 persons of Portuguese blood in the United States — 69,974 foreign-born and the rest born here of Portuguese or mixed parentage. Of this number, 110,197 gave Portuguese as their mother-tongue. There are thirteen Portuguese publications in the country, including a daily, the *Diario de Noricias*, at New Bedford, Mass., where the largest Portuguese colony is located.

e. Rumanian

The Rumanians constitute one of the smaller ethnic stocks in the United States. In 1930 the number of persons so classified by the Census Bureau was 293,453, of whom 146,393 had been born in Rumania, 125,479 had been born here of Rumanian parents, and 21,581 had been born here of mixed parentage. But of the 146,393 of Rumanian birth, but 53,452 reported that Rumanian was their mothertongue. The rest spoke Yiddish (49,508), German (28,640), Hungarian (8,830) or some other language (5,963). The Rumanians proper have three periodicals in this country, of which one, the America, Roumanian 2 News of Cleveland, formerly a daily, now appears three times a week. Its former editor, Mr. George Stanculescu, informs me that American-Rumanian shows the characters of all the other immigrant languages. It has borrowed a large number of common nouns, especially those representing objects and concepts

Roumanian News retains it. (The name of the journal is as I give it.) The Rumanians pronounce Rumania with the first syllable rhyming with home. They spell it România.

I I am indebted here to Mr. Arthur R. Coelho of New York, a native of Brazil.

² I have followed the United States Geographic Board in omitting the o in Rumania, but the America,

unknown in Rumania, e.g., baseball-score, strike-breaker, lockout, picketing, golf-links, surprise-party, football-match, shower-party. Sometimes they are taken in unchanged, but more often they are brought into harmony with Rumanian analogues, e.g., conventie (convention), vilbără (wheelbarrow), grocerie (grocery), butcherie (butcher-shop), bort (boarding-house), saloner (saloon-keeper), platformă (platform in the political sense), poipuri (pipes), matchuri (matches). The loan-verbs are inflected in the Rumanian manner, e.g., Te fixuluesc (I'll fix you), Am betuit (I have made a bet), Se resăluesc (They are wrestling), se matchue (things matching one another), L'au kidnăpuit (They have kidnaped him), Vrea să mă foolooe (He wants to fool me), Nu mă bădărui (Don't bother me).

There is a strong tendency to abandon Rumanian idioms for translated English idioms. Says Mr. Stanculescu:

A correct translation of the English sentence, "You look well in that hat" would be "Iți stă bine cu pălăria acesta." But very often a Roumanian-American borrows the English word look and substitutes în (in) for cu (with), making the sentence "Arăți bine în pălăria-acesta." Similarly "Pari obosit" (You look tired) is translated as "Arăți obosit." The English word for is pentru in Roumanian, but it cannot be so used in all sentence constructions. Thus Books for sale should be Cărți de vânzare. But the Americanized expression is Cărți pentru vânzare, obviously under the influence of the English for.

In Roumanian any reflective action concerning one's bodily organs is done upon the agent. Thus, I wash my hands, my face, etc., should be expressed as Mã spāl pe mâni, pe față, etc. (literally, I wash myself the hands, the face, etc.). But the construction in America, following English example, is îmi spăl mânile, fata, etc. Mã tund is the Roumanian for I cut my hair, but in America one says îmi tai părul. Mã piepten is the correct Roumanian for I comb my hair, but the Americanized form is îmi piepten părul.

The Roumanian dative is on its way to extinction in America. For "Give this letter to my brother" one should say "Da scrisoarea aceasta fratelui meu," but in most cases the Roumanian-Americans make it "Da aceasta scrisoare la fratele meu." Besides changing the word order by placing aceasta (this) before the noun scrisoare (letter), they also adhere to the English preposition to (la), which in Roumanian denotes a movement toward the brother without ever touching him.

The Rumanian in the United States, especially if he be of small education, finds English very difficult, for there are usages in English which have no parallel in Rumanian. The latter, for example, makes no distinction between may and can or will and shall. There is and there are at the beginnings of sentences offer another difficulty, for there are no equivalents in Rumanian. There is also confusion in gender, for Rumanian has grammatical gender, and no it is in its

vocabulary. As in many other languages, an action begun in the past but continued in the present is expressed by a verb in the present tense. Thus, the Rumanian immigrant commonly says "I am in America ten years instead of I have been in America ten years. He finds the sounds of th, sh, ch, ph and gh very strange, and often mispronounces them. Thus one hears tis for this, tot for that, wort for worth, troot for truth, skarp for sharp, skort for short, Kicago for Chicago, pkarmachy for pharmacy and enugkh for enough.

3. SLAVIC

a. Czech

The Right Rev. J. B. Dudek, chancellor of the Catholic diocese of Oklahoma City and Tulsa, who was born in this country of Czech parents, has written an exhaustive study of the changes undergone by the Czech language in the United States, but unfortunately only parts of it have been published. Through the courtesy of Monsignor Dudek, however, I have had access to his complete manuscript, and present herewith a brief summary of his observations.

The first American loan-words, he says, were taken into American Czech by journalists and lecturers "whose chief claim to intellectual superiority seemed to rest, like that of some American Negroes, upon a propensity to employ a terminology unintelligible to the ordinary person." But the masses of immigrant Czechs soon took to imitating these pretenders, and in a little while the common vocabulary was largely English. "A volume half the size of Webster's International," says Monsignor Dudek, "would be required to list the words taken over in this popular manner." Most of them, of course, are nouns or verbs. All of the former are fully inflected "according to the declension, determined by the terminal letter or syllable, into which they would fall if written phonetically in Czech characters." Monsignor Dudek continues:

The Bohemian Language in America, Part I, American Speech, April, 1927; Part II, August, 1927; The Czech Language in America, American Mercury, June, 1925; Czech Surnames in America, American Mercury, Nov., 1925; The American

canization of Czech Surnames, American Speech, Dec., 1925; Czech-American Names, Czechoslovak Student Life, April, 1928; The Americanization of Czech Given-Names, American Speech, Oct., 1925.

The animate or inanimate nature of the object, as well as its gender, plays a part in deciding which of a dozen principal paradigms is to be followed. Thus, bučerák, of one masculine declension, means a butcher; of another, a butcher-knife. The gender of the Czech noun denoting the same object sometimes influences the declension of the loan-noun; hence a barn, for which the Czech word is feminine, is not barn, but barna. Corn, for the same reason, is korna; street-car, strit-kára; pants, pence (plural), and whiskey, viska. Džurí is declined after a neuter formula, but there being two Czech words translatable by jury, one masculine, the other feminine, the borrowed word takes modifiers of either gender. . . . Melas, molasses; šuky or šúze (plural only), a pair of shoes; sodovka, soda-water; kornkabka, a cob-pipe; indyaynče, an Indian child; nygrlatě, a pickaninny; bínze, a bean; bejkbínze (plural), baked beans; můlák, mule; pičes, peach; medes, tomato; kal, a gallon jar; hempsenvič, ham sandwich; eprikoc, apricot; makinchprc, mocking-bird; recna, rat, and hefr (masculine!) heifer, are only a few out of many curiosities for whose appreciation a detailed explanation of Czech phonetics, orthography and grammar is necessary.1

Nouns ending in a long o, in any u, or in a diphthong are generally avoided, for they cannot be readily inflected according to any of the twelve Czech declensions. Sometimes the plural boys is used instead of boy, becoming bojse in American-Czech. Words containing the sounds qu, w, th and wh, especially at their beginnings, are also avoided, for those sounds are difficult to the Czech, as is that of h in certain combinations. Sometimes a word is possible in one case, but not in all. Thus, homebrew is seldom attempted in the nominative, but in the instrumental it is used, e.g., in Otrávil se houmbruen (He poisoned himself with homebrew), and there is a popular verb, houmbrůovati, to homebrew. In the same way, while glue is not used as a noun, the verb zgluuju, to glue, is in common use. The sound of ng is also avoided as much as possible, and words containing it are often changed. Thus loving becomes lavování. The simple g, on Czech lips, assimilates to k, so that pig and pick are homonyms. The agent-nouns are given Czech terminations - -ák, -ař, -ník, -ista, and the like. Thus, a drayman is an ekspresak, a station(depot)-agent is a dýpař, a street-railway employé is a kárník, and a lecturer is a lekčrista. To indicate a female the suffix -ka is used, or the masculine ending of the word is changed to a feminine form. Thus, a woman nurse is a nrska, and a woman Prohibitionist (prohibičník) is a prohibičnice. Sometimes a Czech feminine ending is added to an English one, as in vejtreska (waitress) and čejmbrmejdka (chamber-

The Czech Language in America, American Mercury, June, 1925, pp. 205-6.

maid). Loan-nouns beginning with a often lose it, e.g., knalidžmnt (acknowledgment). Its loss is encouraged by the fact that in Czech the accent is always on the first syllable.

Verbs lose it for the same reason, e.g., kjuzovati (to accuse), dmitovati (to admit). In sentences, the a (often changed to the neutral e) is commonly restored, usually by being added to the preceding word, but it is omitted when the word to which it belongs stands alone. There is an exception in the case of loan-words in athat have the accent on the first syllable, e.g., to agitate, which becomes édžitejtovati, and to amputate, which becomes empjutejtovati. Monsignor Dudek says that practically all the English verbs in everyday use have been taken into American-Czech. They are put into the sixth conjugation "by the simple process of adding to the loanwords, as spoken, the Czech infinitive termination." Nouns are turned into verbs very facilely, e.g., brglařiti (to burgle), hauskípovati (to housekeep), kuklaksovati (to Ku Klux), gademovati (to God-damn) and sanamabičovati (to son-of-a-bitch). There is also an immense borrowing of adjectives. Some of them, e.g., akorat (accurate) and olrajt (all right) are taken in unchanged, but in the great majority of cases they are regularly declined. Almost any noun may become an adjective by adding one of the adjectival terminations to it. And adjectives may be turned into adverbs just as readily by changing their terminal vowels to č. Monsignor Dudek thus describes the proliferation of American-Czech terms in one field, that of automobiling:

Besides the noun automobil, there are automobilista, an automobilist; its feminine, automobilistka; the verb automobilovati, to automobile; and the adjective automobilový. These are the printed forms, but one often hears otomobil, otomobilista, etc. Mašina and kara became synonymous with automobil as soon as machine and car did in American. Autobus or otobus, autotrack or ototrak (autotruck, not -track), garáž (garage), garažník (garage-man), šofér, šofr or šoufr (chauffeur), tájr (tire), karburejtr or karbrejtr (carburetor), hajgir and lougir (high-gear and low-gear), hedlajt (headlight), dymr (dimmer), and the like quickly followed. Cylindr, by which most Czechs formerly understood only a silk hat, has become the silindr of the automobile, which, in the adjective silindrový, is compounded with Czech numerals to describe a car of so many cylinders. Džojraj and džojrajtovati came into use as soon as Americans began joy-riding. The Ford is usually fordka, but both in speech and print it appears also as fordovka, of the same declension, or ford, with the diminutive fordik. Fliver is a flivver, and to ride in one is flivrovati. The adjectives fordovy and flivrovy follow as a matter of course. Plechová (tin) lizí competes with dim lizínka.1

¹ From Monsignor Dudek's MS.

The divagations of a single loan-word are often very interesting. Consider, for example, bečlář (bachelor), pronounced batchelartch. It also appears as bečlák, apparently under the influence of the notion that -or is an agent termination, and there is a feminine form, bečlárka. When used as an adjective it becomes bečlácký or bečlár $sk\acute{\gamma}$, and as a verb, meaning to cook for oneself (analogous to to batch), it is béčovati. But there are two other verbs, the first, bečlovati or bečlařiti, signifying to be a bachelor, and the second, zbečlařiti, signifying to be made a bachelor. The latter has produced a compound noun, zbečlařeny muž, meaning a man whose wife is away from home, and, by extension, a divorced man. Butlegár and butlegr (both forms of bootlegger) have been almost as productive. There is the noun butleg (bootleg), produced by back-formation, and there are the verbs butlegovati (to bootleg) and butlegariti (to be a bootlegger), the gerunds butlegování (bootlegging) and butlegaření (literally, bootleggering), and the compound butlegářství (the bootlegging trade). A Czech at home naturally finds this vocabulary puzzling. When a Czech version of a movie called "Man With Courage," dealing with the life of the late Mayor Anton J. Čermak of Chicago and done by Czech-American actors in Hollywood, was exhibited in Prague, a large part of the dialogue baffled the Czech audiences, and a new recording had to be made in proper Czech.1

The Czechs at home also find it hard to understand the numerous translations of American phrases and idioms. They can make nothing of bilý mezek (white mule), slepé prasátko (blind pig), filmová hvězda (film star), velký klacek (big stick), ohrivá voda (firewater), bledá tvář (paleface) and bilý otrokář (white-slaver). The phrases that include loan-words puzzle them even more, e.g., progresivní republikán (Progressive Republican), politický fence (political fences), střyc Sam (Uncle Sam), trafiční kop (traffic cop), kampánní komise (campaign committee), instruovaná delegace (instructed delegation), and běžeti pro ofis (to run for office). Many loan-words conflict in meaning with Czech words substantially identical. Thus konvikt, in Czech, is the house of a religious community, but in American-Czech it has the meaning of a convict. Similarly, detailní means retail in Czech, but detailed in American-Czech, and

I Hollywood's Czech Language Puzzles the Czechs, Baltimore Evening Sun, April 15, 1935.

kolej means rut or track in Czech but college in Czech-American. The borrowings of most of the other immigrant languages are principally confined to the names of objects and acts unknown in the Old Country, and to current slang. But American-Czech, through the influence of the journalists and lecturers mentioned by Monsignor Dudek, has also taken in many somewhat pretentious words, e.g., bakalářství (baccalaureate), bakalář (bachelor of arts), šaráda (charade), komercni (commercial traveler), kooperace (coöperation), decentralisace (decentralization), and delikt (delinquency).

In 1930 there were 491,638 persons of Czech birth in the United States, 707,384 born in this country of Czech parentage, and 183,057 born here of partly Czech parentage, or 1,382,079 in all. Of those of Czech birth, 201,138 reported that their mother-tongue was Czech, and 240,196 that it was Slovak. The two languages are mutually intelligible, but they nevertheless differ considerably. The Czechs, says Monsignor Dudek, are scattered through virtually all the States of the Union. The largest colonies are in Illinois and Pennsylvania, with about 65,000 each. In Chicago alone there are 50,000 Czechs, and in 1931 one of them was elected mayor. They have nearly fifty publications in the United States, including six daily newspapers.¹

b. Slovak

As I have just noted, Czech and Slovak are mutually intelligible, though by no means identical. Indeed, all the Slavonic languages are very closely allied, and the marked differences which, in Western Europe, separate English from German and French from Spanish are not encountered. It has been said that "a peasant from Slovakia, which enjoys the benefit of a central position in the Slavonic territory, is understood by a Slav from any other country." ² So far as I am aware, there is no printed study of the mutations of Slovak in

- I I am also indebted to Miss Rose Zettel, of Cincinnati, and to the editors of the Daily Svornost, Chicago. The best recent treatise on the Czech language is Jazyk, edited by Oldrich Hujer; Prague, 1935. It is an exhaustive work to which all the leading Czech philologians have contributed, and it includes
- chapters on the changes undergone by German, Hungarian, Ruthenian and other languages in Czechoslovakia.
- 2 N. B. Jopson, reader in comparative Slavonic philology, University of London, in the Encyclopaedia Britannica, 14th ed.; Vol. XX, p. 788.

this country, but Mr. James R. Istochin of Omaha, Neb., has kindly supplied me with the following notes:

As in Czech, loan-words from English are usually given Slovak inflections. A Slovak workman speaks of getting a džab v šape (job in the shop), vo majne (in the mine), or v koksárni (in the coke-yard), where he is supervised by a fórman (foreman) while working na mašine (on a machine), s píkom a šuflou (with pick and shovel), or s virbárom (with a wheelbarrow). If all is well, every two weeks comes peda (pay-day). Then he goes to the bank zkešovat ček (to cash the check). Afterward he proceeds do salony na konery bloku (to the saloon on the corner of the block) to get a glass or two of visky (whiskey), but, while he may accept the American páp (DOD) as a chaser, when he wants beer he asks for the Slovakian pivo. Sometimes he has a kejs (case) or a kek (keg) delivered for home consumption. In Prohibition days he made his hómbru (home-brew) or bought munšajn (moonshine) from a butleger (bootlegger). However, not much of his money is spent for drink. After the necessary amounts for food, shelter, and clothing are deducted, most of his pay stays in the bank. His wife goes do štóru (to the store) to buy the household supplies. She asks for many staple items by their Slovakian names, but the grocerista (grocer) often has to supply such items as: boksu pičesi (box of peaches), kenu korny (a can of corn), bonč binenes (a bunch of bananas), paje (pies), kendy (candy) and keksy (cakes). It is interesting to note that binenes is used as both singular or plural, but that pičesa, kenda, and either the masculine keks or the feminine keksa are singular. Although this Slovak housewife asks for milk by its correct Slovak name, mlieko, her units of liquid measure are the pajnta (pint), kvarta (quart), and galón (gallon). She buys her meats od bučera (from the butcher) or v bučerni (in the butcher-shop). Most articles of apparel are called by their Slovakian names, but I have heard shoppers ask for pence (pants), šusi (shoes), búce (boots), zút (a suit), dres (a dress), sveder (a sweater), overhozy (overalls), and even stakince (stockings). In waiting on them I have been guilty of asking Jaký sajz? (What size?) or Jaké numero? (What number?). They in turn have asked the prajs (price) and the quality of the stof (stuff - material).

The Slovaks are very thrifty folk, and whenever there is enough money in hand the immigrant proceeds to build a *haus*. If the contractor is also a Slovak, the negotiations will be carried on mainly in correct Slovakian terms, but nevertheless, says Mr. Istochin,

there will be talk of flor (floor), itepse (stairs), slejt or itegse (slate or shingle roof), fens (fence), penta (paint), bilding permit (building permit), initiate in Summers (insurance), did (deed), and morgič (mortgage). In the Summer there is always a piknik (picnic) or two. Sometimes it is some distance from home, necessitating the purchase of tikety (tickets) to ride on the trén (train). Of course, one may drive one's automobil - my grandfather used to call it antonobil - my, which requires a quantity gazolinu (gasoline). Most often the bojs (boy, son) is the chauffeur. Some of the seasonal outdoor sports are bejsbal (baseball), futbal (football), and skejtovanie (skating). The Slovaks have not been much attracted by golf and tennis.

Once a month the Slovak-American attends a miting (meeting) of the local branch of the nationalistic society to which he always belongs. The largest of them are the First Catholic Slovak Union and the National Slovak Society, each of which prints a weekly organ. These papers, like the Czech journals, run to a somewhat florid vocabulary. Says Mr. Istochin:

In a recent editorial in Jednota (Middletown, Pa.) published by the F. C. S. U., I find kooperácia (coöperation), konvencia (convention), direktne (directly), systém (system), and organizácia (organization). The same editorial contains čens (chance, opportunity), although the word is enclosed in quotation marks and is followed by a good Slovakian word in parentheses. Another loan-word is overcrowded. This is also set off by quotation marks, but is not followed by a Slovakian equivalent. In the same issue of Jednota a column of personal observations written in a lighter vein contains such borrowings as fulovat' (to fool), okej (O.K.), and džungle (jungle), as well as the expletives well and šúr (sure).

A search through the advertising columns of the Slovak papers reveals even more Americanisms than are to be found in the editorial columns. In a list of the body types of a certain make of automobile advertised in Národné Noviny (Pittsburgh), published by the N. S. S., are: športový roadster so zadným sediskom (sport roadster with back—i.e., rumble-seat), päť-pasažiorový coupe (five-passenger coupé), and zmeniteľny cabriolet (convertible cabriolet). When used as a substantive the name of the car may appear as Chevroletka or Fordka. A comparison of the translations of an identical advertisement reveals that while Jednota uses produkty, originálny; and broadcasting for products, original, and broadcasting, Národné Noviny uses výrobky, pôvodný, and rozblasovacíť.

Many loan-words appear in the vocabularies and specimen sentences printed in the Rev. S. Morávek's "Slovak Self-Taught," 1 e.g., mlyne (mill), majner (miner), strajke (strike), prémia (premium), policu (policy), titul (title), bond (bond), muf (muff) and sveder (sweater). Returning immigrants have taken loan-words back to Czechoslovakia, e.g., sex-appeal, henna, kontrast, kapún (capon) and kúrio, all of which, according to a comment in Furdek, the organ of the Catholic Slovak Students' Fraternity of America, appeared in one story in Slovenské Pohl'ady, a literary magazine published in Slovakia. The Slovaks print about twenty-five publications in this country, including five daily newspapers.

^{1 2}nd ed.; Wilkes-Barre, Pa., 1924.

c. Russian

The only study of American-Russian that I have been able to find in print is a paper by Mr. H. B. Wells.¹ The barrier of a different alphabet, he says, discourages the free adoption of loan-words by the Russian periodicals published in this country, but nevertheless a great many seep in. Verbs of Latin derivation, so numerous in English, "are used with far greater frequency than in Russia, and sometimes practically displace the synonymous words of purely Slavic antecedents."

Thus, importirovat' and eksportirovat' contend with vvozit' and vyvozit' for the privilege of representing to import and to export; annonsirovat' and objavljat' represent to announce, and registrirovat' and zapissat'sja represent to sign up, to register one's self. Such a combination as annulirovat' naturalizationnye sertifikaty (to annul naturalization certificates) would be rare, to say the least, in Russia, though the writer has here obviously struggled for correctness; otherwise he would have written sertifikejty instead of sertifikaty.²

In ordinary conversation the Russians in America use loan-words very freely. Says Mr. Wells:

The Russian-American New Yorker lives v optaune (in the uptown).... His apartment is in a desjatifamil'nyi dom (ten-family house) at 67 Vest 123 strit, ist of Brodvej. There is an élevator in the building. The apartment is very ap tu dejt (up to date); it is furnished with rejdiejtory (radiators) and a refridzherejtor (refrigerator). Several of the rooms have okna na front (windows on the front); these he calls frontovye komnaty (front-rooms). In the living-room there is a vik or viktrola, and in the kitchen a garbich kén.... [His] wife is also quite ap tu dejt. When she wants to imet ljonch or ljonchevat (have lunch), she calls up another lédi (lady) and they go to the drogstor and consume séndvichi (sandwiches), kejk (cake), and ajskrim (ice-cream), smoking sigarety furiously the while and discussing the cost of potejta (potatoes), and whether to mufovat in view of the unsuitability of the neighborhood. She boasts of her boj (boy) in khaj-skul (high-school), who plays football and made a tochdaun (touchdown) last Thanksgiving Day, but who is

I The Russian Language in the United States, American Mercury, April, 1932. Mr. Wells is a native of New Jersey and a Harvard graduate. He is interested in Slavic languages, and studied at the Caroline University of Prague, 1929–30. I have adopted Mr. Wells's system of transliteration, which he explains in a footnote to his paper. The business of rendering Russian in the English alphabet is full of difficul-

ties. The system adopted by the United States Geographic Board is described and discussed in First Report on Foreign Geographic Names; Washington, 1932, and that of the Permanent Committee on Geographical Names For British Official Use is set forth in Alphabets of Foreign Languages, by Lord Edward Gleichen and John H. Reynolds; London, 1933.

nevertheless distraught because he had a fajt (fight) with his gjorla (girl). The gjorla is ku-ku (cuckoo) anyway, and the mother thinks of advising her son not to mix himself up in any monki bisnes (monkey business)... In the evening the Russian comes home to his flét (flat)... He has a kara (car) and the way it eats up gazolin and ojl is frightful... A dark interlude in his life was the time he had a run-in with a kop; he was driving through a uan-vej strit (one-way street), and was exceeding the spidlimit. Moreover, he had left his lajsens at home on the piano, and the kop gave him a tiket.

The plurals of loan-nouns are formed either by adding the regular Russian suffixes, or by inserting the English s before the most frequent of them, -y. Thus one hears both chil'dreny and chil'drensy. The h in loan-words often becomes kh or g. All right has been taken in as o right. Never mind has become one word, nevermine. Such words as teacher, which have been adopted bodily, take a final -ka in the feminine, and the same particle is sometimes used to indicate the diminutive, as in matchka (little match). The number of Russians in the United States is hard to determine. In 1930, 315,721 persons reported that Russian was their mother-tongue, but many of them were probably Jews. There are seventeen Russian publications in the country, including four daily newspapers.

d. Ukrainian

Ukrainian, or Little Russian, differs enough from Great Russian for a speaker of the one to find the other very difficult. In 1930 but 58,685 persons reported to the Census enumerators that Ukrainian was their mother-tongue; to the number should be added 9800 who gave Ruthenian, the name commonly applied to Ukrainian in the former Austrian Empire. Both figures suggest incomplete returns. In Canada the Ukrainians "form the fourth largest racial constituent in the polyglot population," ² and in the prairie provinces of the West they number about 250,000. They publish eight periodicals at Winnipeg and two more at Edmonton, but in the whole United States they have but twelve, seven of which are published in Pennsyl-

- I am indebted for material and suggestions to Mr. Peter Stephanovsky of Chicago, to Miss Helen P. Kirkpatrick, executive secretary of the American Russian Institute, New York, to Mr. Mark Weinbaum, editor of the Novoye Russkaye
- Slovo of New York and to Messrs. E. Moravesky of Chicago and Sergei Senykoff of Detroit.
- 2 Ukrainian Poetry in Canada, by Watson Kirkconnell, Slavonic Review, July, 1934.

vania. There is a Ukrainian daily in Jersey City, the *Svoboda*, and another in New York, the *Ukrainian Daily News*. To the editor of the former, Mr. Emil Revyuk, I am indebted for the following:

The Ukrainian in America makes a copious use of English loan-words. Some of them are the names of things with which he was unfamiliar at home, and others are words that he must use in his daily traffic with Americans. Usually, he tries to bring these loans into harmony with the Ukrainian inflectional system. Thus, he forces most loan-nouns to take on grammatical gender. Those that he feels to be feminine he outfits with the Ukrainian feminine ending, -a, e.g., dreska (dress), vinda (window), hala (hall), grocernya (grocery store), buchernya (butcher's store), strita (street), pikcha (picture). Mechka is the match which makes a fire but match in the meaning of contest of skill is a masculine noun mech. Some nouns are felt to be plural and are outfitted with plural endings. Thus furniture becomes fornichi, which is equivalent to "pieces of furniture," pinatsy is a Ukrainian adaptation of peanuts, and shusy of shoes, and Shkrenty is the plural form of the name of the city of Scranton. Kendi (candy), is declined like a plural noun because its ending is the typical plural ending of Ukrainian nouns, and it reminds the Ukrainian of his name for candy, the plural tsukorky. Blubery (blueberries), is also plural.

The adjective must be recast also to denote by its ending the number and gender. For this reason the Ukrainian does not use many English adjectives, for they do not lend themselves easily to such changes. He has adopted, however, the following: faytersky (of fighting character), bomersky (of the character of a bum), gengstersky (like a gangster), sylkovy (made of silk), volnatovy (made of walnut), bosuyuchy or bosivsky (bossing, domineering). Adopted verbs, too, require a great deal of dressing up to fit them for use in the Ukrainian language, e.g., bosuvaty (to boss), klinuvaty (to clean), ponchwaty (to punch), laykwaty (to like), trubluvaty (to trouble), baderuvaty (to bother), bostuvaty (to bust), shapuvaty (to shop), stykuvaty (to stick), faytuvatysya (to fight with), ringuvaty (to ring), swimuvaty (to swim), peyntuvaty (to paint), bonduvaty (to bond), bayluvaty (to bail) and djompaty (to jump). Parkuvaty karu is the common American Ukrainian for to park the car.

Diminutives are formed by adding -chyk or -syk, e.g., boysyk (a little boy), and augmentatives by adding -ysche, e.g., boysysche (a big boy). The Ukrainian prefers to make his own logical feminines. He does not use waitress but has concocted veyterka from veyter (waiter). In the same way he uses tenerka, bucherka, janitorka, borderka, hauskiperka, svindlerka, ticherka, bomerka (a female tenant, butcher, janitor, boarder, housekeeper, swindler, teacher, bum). He makes abstract nouns by adding -stvo, e.g., farmerstvo (farming), pedlerstvo and plomberstvo (plumbing). He also makes infinitives denoting finish or iterative action, e.g., zbostuvaty (to have busted), pofiksuvaty (to fix completely), popeyntuvaty (to paint all over) and jompuvaty (to be jumping). Says Mr. Revyuk:

Sometimes a Ukrainian word is changed under the influence of an American word, e.g., lezhukh (loafer), from lezhaty (to lie resting) becomes leyzukh, to emphasize its kinship with lazy. Some loan-words, in spite of all efforts, refuse to be changed. This is true of those that have endings strange to the Ukrainian, e.g., those ending in -y: city, lobby, party, lady, country, etc., which by their ending suggest to a Ukrainian either a masculine adjective or a plural noun, but evidently are neither one nor the other. Hence the Ukrainian feels reluctant to inflect Chicago, cemetery and Yankee. He experiences still greater uneasiness with composite words: jitney-boss, city-hall, Kansas City, Jersey City, Niagara Falls, cream-cheese (pot-cheese, which he knows, he will call by the Ukrainian word, syr), piece-work, Tammany Hall, hold-up, card-party, bridge-party, rocking-chair, bathing-suit, ice-cream, high-school, Sing Sing, lolly-pop, knickerbockers, ginger-ale, saleslady. Some adjectives, too, balk at inflection, e.g., jealous (vin tak jeles, vona taka jeles), easy, crazy. Some words lead a double life. Engine, for instance, now passes as a male, assuming the form injay, and now as a female, injava.

Not infrequently the American cuckoo accepted into the Ukrainian nest ejects some other cuckoo, hatched out of an egg deposited by the German, French, or Italian. Thus, in American-Ukrainian, parasola is replaced by ambrela, kelner by veyter (waiter), buchhalter by bookkeeper, fryzier by barber, bilet by tyket (ticket), umbra by sheyd (shade, especially lamp-shade), and velotsyped (velocipede) by bysykel, bitsykel, or even bike. Under the influence of American many Ukrainian words of foreign origin acquire additional meanings. Thus kontrola, which in the Old Country meant auditing, examination of accounts, assumes in America also the meaning of directing, regulating, and still later that of checking, as in the phrase kontrola budyakiv (weed control). Konventsya, which in Ukrainian means an agreement between nations, in American acquires the meaning of a gathering of a party, etc. Mashynist loses the Ukrainian meaning of locomotive engineer, and operator the meaning of surgeon. Each of them acquires the meanings of those words in America. Kompania in the Old Country means associates, a company of soldiers; in America the word comes to mean also a corporation. Likewise, the adjective seriozny, under the influence of American, comes to be used not only in reference to people, meaning serious, but also of conditions, meaning grave. Even original Ukrainian words become affected by this process, e.g., the old Ukrainian word vartuvaty (to be worth), acquires the American idiomatic meaning of to have property of value.

Once the Ukrainian adopts an American word and then uses that word in a phrase which reminds him of some standard American phrase, the whole phrase rushes into his speech. Thus, having adopted train, he cannot refuse the phrases, to get a train, to catch a train, and so he translates them: braty tren, zlovyty tren, which to a person versed in Ukrainian can mean only to get hold of a train, and to overtake the train, respectively. Having borrowed picture and dressed it in Ukrainian costume as pikcha, he cannot shut the door in the face of the phrase to take a picture, and so he has braty pikchu, and also braty dobru pikchu (to take a good picture). Thus he has admitted such phrases as sluzhyty na jury (to serve on a jury), distaty berkot (to get a hair-cut), pity na relief (to go on relief), dopustyty do bary (to admit to the bar).

Many American phrases are translated bodily into Ukrainian, often against the well-established rules of the language. The Ukrainian who knows English

is likely to say kozdy odyn, when kozdy is sufficient and correct, evidently translating the English every one. He replaces rozsmishyty koho with robyty koho smiaty, which is a word-for-word translation of the phrase to make one laugh, but a horror in Ukrainian. He contracts the sentence "Ya bachyv jak vin ishov" into "Ya bachyv yeho ity," which is an apish imitation of the English phrase, "I saw him go." He translates the phrase, "I cannot help it" into "Ya ne mozhu pomohty," as if the word help here meant to render assistance. He says, "Ya ne mozhlyvy preyty," which is a literal translation of "I am unable to come." "My maly dobry chas" follows word by word "We had a good time," and would be unintelligible in the Old Country. "Ya rad vas bachyty nazad" follows word for word the greeting, "I am glad to see you back." "Bery svey chas!" is a similar translation of "Take your time!" and "Trymayte drit!" of "Hold the wire."

The American-Ukrainian changes many Ukrainian idioms. Under the American influence he forgets the phrase, robyty oko do koho and uses robyty ochy do koho (to make eyes to one). The Ukrainian phrase is to make an eye to one. The Ukrainian phrase, ne spuskaty ochey z koho (not to close one's eyes to) becomes derzhaty oko na kim (to keep one's eye on). The idiomatic expression spushoheny nis (the drooping nose) is displaced by the American long face (dovhe lytse). Speaking of his son's age, the American-Ukrainian translates the American idiomatic sentence, "He is six years old," by "Vin ye shist lit stary," though no Ukrainian at home would refer to a child of six as old. His idiomatic phrase speaks of having . . . years.

The American-Ukrainian begins to add possessive pronouns in phrases which do not require them in Standard Ukrainian, often with a humorous effect for those who are still not initiated into the mysteries of the American-Ukrainian language. To use, for instance, the possessive svoyu in the sentence "Vin kuryt svoyu lulku" (He is smoking his pipe), may suggest a question, "Whose pipe do you expect him to smoke if not his own?" The Ukrainian in the Old Country would not use the possessive pronoun in the phrase zatyraty svoyi ruky (to rub one's hands); could you rub anybody else's hands but your own? Again, the possessive pronouns in the sentence, "Win derzhyt svoi ruky v svoiy kysheni" (He is holding his hands in his pocket), may suggest the suspicion that habitually he is holding in his pocket somebody's else's hands or has his hands in somebody else's pockets.

There is noticeable in American-Ukrainian a certain decay of synonyms. Fine distinctions between them are obliterated. Divka, which corresponds more or less to maid, is used also for girl, daughter and sweetheart. "Ya lublu vashu divku" (I love your maid), is rather a rude way of saying, "I love your daughter." Further degeneration of the language is noticeable in the loss of distinction between the verbs of duration, iteration, and conclusion, e.g., ity, pity and khodyty (to be going, to be gone, to go); zhynuty and zahynuty (to die and to disappear). Decay is also promoted by the fact that English loan-adjectives cannot be inflected. After a certain time even the Ukrainian-born American will fail to inflect the adjective made of a proper noun but will follow the simple English device of placing it before another noun and letting it serve thus as adjective; in Standard Ukrainian na rozi Napoleon ulytsi, do Notr Deym shpytalu, z Dubyuk universytetu, Richelieu vyshyvky would all have to change the first noun into an adjective form or place it after the other noun in the genitive case.

The influence of English is also felt in the acquisition by the American-

Ukrainian of the feeling of the need of the article. He begins to punctuate his language with toy, ta, to, ti in all those passages where in English he would use the definite article. Also, he begins to roll his r's after the American fashion even when speaking Ukrainian. Those who were born here find it difficult to enunciate certain typically Ukrainian sounds, such as guttural kh. Thus mukha (the fly), degenerates into muha, khochu into hochu, tykho into tyho, and even khata into hata, though hata in Ukrainian means a dam and khata a hut.

e. Serbo-Croat

In 1930, 30,121 persons living in the United States reported to the Census enumerators that Serbian was their mother-tongue, 79,802 reported that it was Croat, and 77,671 that it was Slovene. Serbian and Croat are identical, though the former is written in the Cyrillic or Russian alphabet and the latter in the Latin, and Slovene differs from the two, according to Louis Adamic,2 hardly more than the German of Vienna differs from that of Hamburg. There are twentytwo Serbo-Croatian-Slovene publications in the United States and Canada, including no less than seven daily newspapers. Mr. Adamic is the author of the only study of the changes undergone by Serbo-Croat in America that I have been able to find.3 He says that, as it is printed in the vernacular press, it remains virtually Standard Serbian. "So far," he says, "I have noticed but a dozen or so of [loan-words] in the news and editorial columns, e.g., majnar and majna, farmar and farma, štrajk and štrajkar, štor, viska and lota (lot). There are one or two humorous columnists who go further in this direction, but they are exceptions." In the everyday speech of the immigrants, however, there is a much larger admixture of Americanisms. Says Mr. Adamic:

The American Yugoslav is not likely to say Združene or Zjedinjene države, which are literal Slovene and Serbo-Croat translations of United States, but rather Unajne štec, or Jus (U. S.) for short. The holiday commemorating the birth of the nation becomes Ďzulajevo (July Day), after the manner of naming certain holidays in the Old Country. A house to him is hauz or gauz; a kitchen, kična; a bucket, boket; a stove, štof; a plate, plet; a pitcher and pic-

I am indebted also to Mr. Vladimir Geeza, editor of the New Life of Olyphant, Pa.

2 The Yugoslav Speech in America, American Mercury, Nov., 1927. Mr. Adamic is a native of Carniola in what was Austrian territory at the time of his birth but is now part of Jugoslavia. He came to this country at the age of fourteen, and has become well known as a writer in English.

3 It has just been cited.

ture, pičer; a shovel, safla; a spoon, špuna; a fork, forka or forkla; a basket, bosket; a bowl, bol; a garden-gate, garten-gec; upstairs and downstairs, abštez and daštez; a bed, bet; a needle, nitl, and a car, kara. Shoes are suhi; house-slippers, hauz- or gauz-šlipari; bloomers, brumars; rubberboots, robarbuce; overalls, obergoz; a sweater, švidar, and a blouse, bluza.

In the morning he brekfešta (breakfasts), picks up his lonč-boket (lunch-bucket), goes to the majna (mine), finds his partnar (partner), and then spends the rest of the day vurkati (working). In the mine there are all sorts of basi (bosses) who basirajo (boss) him. Every so often there is peda (payday) and he gets just enough moni to pay his bord (board), get a šat of viska (shot of whiskey), maybe go to a tenc (dance), and possibly put a few toleri (dollars) aside for a reni tej (rainy day) or the forthcoming štrajk (strike). In this kontri (country) a man must roslat (rustle) to make both ends meet.

Should one accompany an American-Yugoslav housewife who, besides taking care of her hosban (husband) and having a new bebi (baby) once a year, keeps half a dozen bordarjev (boarders), on her daily trip to the market or štor (store), one will see her purchase potetus, redič, onjenc, keruc, epuls, pičus, kebič, kreps, vodamalone, and seleri (potatoes, radishes, onions, carrots, apples, peaches, cabbage, grapes, watermelons, and celery). On the way to the butcher's she will probably remark that things are terribly spensif (expensive); that one had better watch these štorkiparje, for they are krukani (crooked) as a snake, always trying to slip one štuf that is bum or enži (n. g.), whereas she lajka to give her bordarjem gut štuf (likes to give her boarders good stuff). And at the butcher's she gets some porčops (pork-chops), šteks (steaks), maybe a few rebec (rabbits) or a young luštar (rooster) or two, and a little ketsmit (cat-meat). At the društor (drug-store) she buys a fizik (physic) for the bebi and is half tempted to blow herself to an ajskrem soda (ice cream soda).

Arriving home, she orders the wailing bebi to serap (shut up), and tells two of her older children to cease their fajtanje (fighting) and garjep (hurry up) to the rejrod jards (railroad yards) with the biggest bosket in the house and see if they can't pick up some kol (coal). And so on; there is, indeed, hardly an everyday word that is not thus taken from the English language and refashioned to fit the Yugoslav tongue.

In gauz (house), obergoz (over (h) alls) and garjep (hurry up) the commonly Slavonic tendency to turn b into g is visible. Other nouns in common use are džez (jazz), salun (saloon), bara (bar), džhumper (jumper), vikend (week-end), boom (bum), boj or poj (boy), ledi (lady), štrita (street), karpet (carpet), park (park), vošinmašina (washing-machine), redietor (radiator), penta (paint), livirum (living-room), lampa (lamp), šo (show), pajpa (pipe), štrickara (streetcar), pence (pants), tutbroš (tooth-brush), rog (rug), papir (writing-paper or newspaper), pauder (powder), fekteria (factory), mila (mill), sajdvok (sidewalk), štepce (steps), porč (porch), redio (radio), polisman (policeman), major (mayor), kort (court), taksa or teks (tax), džuž (judge), džail (jail), tičar (teacher), pokbuk (pocketbook), džuri (jury), pučer (butcher), stejž (stage), noors

(nurse), senvič or senič (sandwich), štajl (style) and sajn (sign),¹ and, among the Croats, unij (union), masina (machine), boykotirat (boycott), raketir (racketeer), situaciya (situation) and garaž (garage).² Most loan-nouns are given grammatical gender and declined according to the Serbo-Croatian system, but some, e.g., karpet and park, are taken in unchanged and not so declined. These last are commonly thought of as masculine. Very few adjectives have been incorporated, and not many verbs. A number of phrases and idioms have been adopted, e.g., majgundeš (my goodness), gerarehir (get out of here), and the expletives dži (gee) and džizakrajst (Jesus Christ). Yes has displaced the Slavic da, and often appears as yah or yeah. A number of Americanisms have returned to the Old Country and are in common use there, e.g., džež (jazz), salun (saloon), bos (boss), nigr (nigger) and probišn (prohibition).

f. Lithuanian

The only study so far undertaken of the changes undergone by the Lithuanian language in the United States is that of Dr. Alfred Senn of the University of Wisconsin, made on a Sterling research fellowship from Yale. Dr. Senn is a Swiss and his monograph was written in German and printed in Rome 8-a combination that bears striking witness to the opportunities overlooked by American scholarship. His investigation was chiefly made in Connecticut, where there are several Lithuanian colonies, but he also extended it to New York City and Chicago. The first Lithuanians came to the United States before the middle of the last century, but there was no considerable immigration until 1863, when an unsuccessful rebellion against Russian rule drove many thousands into exile. It has been estimated that fully a third of all the patriots who survived the rebellion came to this country, and that there are 1,000,000 persons of Lithuanian blood, either pure or mixed, in the population today. The Census of 1930 unearthed less than half that number (193,606 born in Lithuania, 221,472 born in this country of Lithuanian parents,

I I am indebted here to Miss Louise S. Ivey, of Wanwatosa, Wis., and to Mr. Stephen Stephanchev, of Chicago, whose interest was enlisted by Mr. Adamic.

² I am indebted here to Dr. J. W. Mally, of Cleveland, O.

³ Einiges aus der Sprache der Amerika-Litauer, Studi Baltici, Vol. II, 1932, p. 35 ff.

and 24,117 born here of partly Lithuanian parentage, or 439,395 in all), but it is possible that the returns credited many Lithuanians to Russia or to Poland. In Chicago, says Dr. Senn, the Lithuanian colony numbers at least 80,000. In Waterbury, Conn., there is another of 15,000, and yet others are in New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts and Maryland. The Lithuanians in the United States support fourteen newspapers, of which four are dailies—three in Chicago and one in Brooklyn.

From 1864 to 1904 the Russian government made violent efforts to Russify the Lithuanians remaining in Lithuania. Their schools were closed and the printing of books in their native tongue was forbidden. Thus the colonies of exiles became centers of Lithuanian culture, and publishing houses were set up in Chicago, Boston, Shenandoah, Pa., and other American towns. In 1904 the interdict on Lithuanian books was removed by the Russians, and there began a great cultural revival in Lithuania. One of its fruits was an effort to purge the language of the Polish and Russian elements that had invaded it. This movement gathered fresh impetus after the World War, and so effective has it been that a young Lithuanian of today finds it difficult, on coming to the United States, to understand the speech of his compatriots here, which still retains most of the old loan-words. Even the names of the days of the week differ in the two forms of the language. In addition, American-Lithuanian has taken in a large number of American words and phrases, so the difficulty of intercommunication is really formidable. Meanwhile, the various Lithuanian dialects tend to disappear in this country, and all Lithuanians move toward a common speech. It consists, says Dr. Senn, of "a disorderly mixture of dialects, old Slavic loan-words brought from home, and new English loan-words picked up in America. It is a Pidgin-Lithuanian."

But this American-Lithuanian, though it may sound barbaric to a Lithuanian scholar, yet preserves most of the forms of the mothertongue. The loan-noun, for example, is inflected precisely as if it were a native word. Thus bòmas (from the American bum) takes the masculine gender, is put into the second accent class, and undergoes the following changes for case and number:

	Singular	Plural	Dual
Nominative	bòmas	bòmai	dù bomù
Vocative	bòme '	hòmai	

	Singular	Plural	Dual
Genitive	bòmo	bòmu	
Dative	bòmui	bòmams	dvíem bòmam
Accusative	bòmą	bomùs	dù bomù
Instrumental	bomù	bòmais	dviem bòmam
Locative	bomè	bòmuose	

Save it be feminine logically, an American loan-noun usually takes the masculine gender, which may show any one of five endings in the nominative singular --as, $-\tilde{y}s$, -is, -us or -uo. The ending attached is determined to some extent by the meaning, and by the form in English. Most names of inanimate objects seem to be given the -as ending, e.g., Amèrikas (America), háuzas or áuzas (house), bàksas (box), bólas (ball), divorsas (divorce), fréntas (friend), fornisas (furnace), kāras (car), káutas or kótas (coat), kisas (kiss), krýmas (cream), latas (lot), minšainas (moonshine), ofisas (office), poketbukas (pocketbook), rèkordas (phonograph-record), saliúnas (saloon), sáidvokus (sidewalk) and štòras (store). But agent-nouns in -er take the -is ending, e.g., békeris (baker), gròseris (grocer), blòferis (bluffer), bùtlegeris (bootlegger) and làbsteris (lobster in the opprobrious sense), and so, by analogy, do most other nouns in -er, e.g., bòmperis (bumper) and fénderis (fender). So, also, do nouns whose ending suggests -er to the Lithuanian ear, e.g., dóleris (dollar) and mūvingpìkčeris (moving-picture). So, finally, do nouns in -le, e.g., báisikelis (bicycle) and tròbelis (trouble). One English noun, business, seems to the Lithuanian to have an -is ending readymade, so he leaves it biznis. When the last part of a compound word has already come into American-Lithuanian with an -as ending, e.g., štòras (store), the compound itself sometimes takes the -is ending, e.g., drùgštoris (drug-store). A few American loan-words take the -(i) us ending, chiefly by analogy. Thus redictorius (radiator) is suggested by the Lithuanian word direktorius (director). When the singular form of a loan-noun can't be fitted into the Lithuanian system of declensions, the plural is used as a singular, e.g., bòisas (boy) and šúsas (shoe). The relatively few loan-words that take the feminine endings, -a and -e, not being themselves feminine in significance, usually do so because their English forms show those endings, or something approximating to them, e.g., ambrèla (umbrella), pare (party), balione (bologna) and péde (pay-day). Sìnka (sink), krèkė (cracker), bètspredė (bedspread) and hėmė (ham) are probably made feminine because they suggest the Frauenzimmer, and Dr. Senn says that šapà (shop) may be influenced by shoppe. The nouns lòkė (luck) and fònė (fun) were plainly suggested by the adjectives lucky and funny rather than by the corresponding English nouns. Even proper names are given Lithuanian endings, and regularly inflected. Thus New York, in the nominative singular, becomes Nājorkas, New Haven becomes Najévenas, Waterbury (Conn.) becomes Vòlberis, Vòrberis, Vòrbelis or Vòterburis, and Grand avenue, a street in the last-named, becomes Grináunė.

But some of the commonest coins of American speech, e.g., yes, no, well, sure and O.K., are taken into Lithuanian bodily and without substantial change, and this is true also of most adjectives, e.g., busy (bìzi), particular (partìkli), nice (nais), ready (rèdi), big (big), crazy (kréize), good (gud). Dr. Senn says he knows of but two loan-adjectives that are regularly declined, to wit, dôrtinas (dirty) and foniškas (funny). Lithuanian is extraordinarily rich in diminutives; the word brother alone has fifteen. Some of these are attached to loan-words; thus, lady has produced leiduke, and miss has produced misele. A few masculine loan-nouns have feminine forms, e.g., bùtlegeris-bùtlegere (bootlegger-ess) and týčeris-týčerka (teacher-ess). When English combinations of sounds happen to be difficult to Lithuanian lips they are sometimes changed. Thus picnic becomes pitnikas, order becomes òrdelis, and dollar is often dórelis instead of dóleria. Loan-verbs, avoiding the complicated conjugations of correct Lithuanian, are all conjugated like jùdinu (to move). Among those in most frequent use are álpinu (to help), dòrtinu (to dirty), dráivinu (to drive), júzinu (to use), láikunu (to like), mùvinu (to move), pùšinu (to push) and tròstinu (to trust). But to fix becomes fiksyt, and to spend is spéndyt. When an English verb ends in a vowel it presents difficulties. Sometimes it is fitted with the -inu ending notwithstanding, e.g., trājinu (to try); at other times it is given a final n and some other ending, e.g., pléinina (to play) and mònkina (to monkey). The verb lúzinu (to lose) becomes lòstinu in the past tense, obviously under the influence of lost. A few loanverbs take the -uoti ending, e.g., bāderiuoti (to bother), čenčiúoti (to change) and faitúotis (to fight). American-Lithuanian has borrowed many English and American idioms, e.g., to catch cold, half past six, and I have got, and they are translated literally. Other

I See The Daina: an Anthology of Lithuanian and Latvian Folk-Songs,

by Uriah Katzenelenbogen; Chicago, 1931, p. 38.

phrases are taken over bodily. Thus gudtaim is good time, big surprise, and kréizauze is crazy-house, i.e., lunatic asylum.¹

g. Polish

In September, 1933, at a meeting of the Syndykatu Dziennikarzy Polskich w Ameryce (Society of Polish-American Journalists) at Chicago, Mr. Ernest Lilien read a paper on "The Polish Language and Polish-American Writers." It was devoted mainly to the sins of the speaker's fellow-journalists, and was full of amusing stories. There was the one, for example, about the Polish-American telegraph-editor who received a press dispatch one night (in English. of course) about a storm that had knocked over fifty telegraphpoles, and who translated poles as Polacks, to the consternation of his Polish readers. And there was the one about the other Polish-American editor who, trusting the dictionary too much, translated sewer as szwacska (seamstress, i.e., sew-er). Mr. Lilien handled these brethren somewhat roughly, but his very exposure of their crimes also revealed their defense. For they have to work at high pressure translating the words and idioms of American-English into a quite unrelated and far more formal language, and it is no wonder that they occasionally perpetrate astonishing howlers, and deface Polish with fantastic new growths. All the foreign-language editors of the United States labor under the same difficulty, and fall into the same snares. They try to follow the canons of the language they are writing, but only too often it is impossible, and in consequence they promote the development of a bilingual jargon.

The Polish-American journalists are rather more careful than most, but, as Mr. Lilien showed in his paper, their writings are full of Americanisms, in both word and idiom. Instead of writing obchód or święcenie they turn the English celebration (a term they have to use incessantly) into the facile celebracja, instead of zderzenie (collision) they write kolizja, and instead of wypytywać or przesłuchi-

This account of American-Lithuanian is based upon Dr. Senn's monograph, before mentioned. I am indebted, too, to his Kleine litauische Sprachlehre; Heidelberg, 1929, and to his great kindness in

answering questions. He is, of course, not responsible for anything I have here written. I am also in debt to Mr. Pius Grigaitis, editor of *Naujienos*, the Lithuanian daily of Chicago.

wać (to question) they make it kwestjonować. In Polish the word for street (ulica) should precede the proper name, e.g., Ulica Kościuszkowska or Ulica Kościuszki, but in American-Polish it is usually Kosciuszko ulica (or sztryta), and that is what it promises to remain. The American-Polish housewife, on setting out for the grocery-store, never says "Ide do sklepu korzennego (or kolonialnego)," which is Standard Polish; she says "Ide do groserni," with groscernia correctly inflected for case. Other nouns that have thus come into the language, displacing Polish terms, are szapa (shop), sztor (store), buczernia (butcher), salun (saloon), salwak or sajdwok (sidewalk), pajpa (pipe), kolt (coat), owerholce (overalls), pajnt (paint), strytkara (street-car), wiska (whiskey), trok (truck) and piciosy (peaches).1 In skład-departamentowy the first half is good Polish for a large store, but the second half is the English department, outfitted with a Polish tail. To Mr. Adam Bartosz, editor of Jednosc-Polonia (Baltimore), I am indebted for the following account of a Polish immigrant's rapid introduction to American-Polish:

When he arrived in this country he had little money and his clothes were old and out of the American fashion, but he brought with him a pair of strong shoulders and a willingness to work. So after a day or two of rest he went out to look for a dziab (job). They told him he must go to the fekterja (factory) and see the forman or boss. He got the dziab and worked hard, thinking of his first pejda (pay-day) on Saturday. Out of his first pay he had to pay for his bord and rum (room), and buy himself new siusy (shoes), for he would not dare to go to church in his Polish boots. When Sunday came his first duty was there. He wondered why he had to pay at the entrance, but some friend explained that it was for the zytz (seat). Then he wondered why they had a kolekta in the church, and the same friend explained that it was different here than in the Old Country. There the people paid teksy (taxes) and the priests were paid by the government, but here the priests got nothing from the government, so they had to have kolekta.

After Mass the newcomer went home to enjoy his rokinch (rocking-chair), or perhaps he would get acquainted with some bojsy (boys) and go with them na rajda (for a ride), or to a piknik. He would come home all tired, and go to his bedrum to get a good night's sleep — providing his matras was free of bedbogi. With time, if he happened to be a young man, he would find himself a sweetheartke, take her to muwing-pikciesy (moving-pictures) and buy her ajskrym (ice-cream). Some time later he would go to a photographer and send a pikciur to the old folks at home.

Thus the English words crowd out the Polish in the immigrant's vocabulary. They are changed so much that sometimes one hardly suspects them of English origin. Every Polish housewife in Baltimore, for instance, buys

I I am indebted here to Mr. A. E. Ruszkiewicz of the *Dziennik Dla Wszystkich*, Buffalo.

oszezechy in season – and whether you hear the word spoken or see it written you are surprised to learn that it is the English oyster adopted into Polish-American. The same fate befell tomato, which is merdysy. Only the intelligentsia call crabs raki; the common folk use krebsy. Also, they use steksy and ciapsy for steaks and chops, sasyóki for sausages, leberka for liver pudding, paje for pies, kieksy for cakes, and kiendy for candies.

In 1930 there were 3,342,198 persons of Polish origin in the United States - 1,268,583 born in the territories now included in Poland, 1,781,280 born here of Polish-born parents, and 292,335 born here of mixed parentage. All these, of course, were not Poles; many, and perhaps a good half, were Polish Jews. But the Polish element in the population is still very large. The Polish National Alliance has 350,000 members and assets of \$28,000,000, and the Polish Roman Catholic Union has 250,000 members and assets of \$13,000,000. There are large Polish colonies in Chicago, Buffalo, Cleveland and Detroit, and in the last-named the population of the enclave of Hamtramck is said to be 80% Polish. In Buffalo the Poles are so thick on the East Side that less than ½ of 1% of the population is non-Polish. The early Polish immigrants set up parochial schools for the purpose of preserving the language as well as the faith, but of late the Catholic bishops have been Americanizing them. The Polish National Church, which separated from the Catholic Church thirty years ago, conducts its services in Polish and teaches the language in its schools. There are seventy-five Polish periodicals in the country, of which fifteen are daily newspapers.1

4. FINNO-UGRIAN

a. Finnish

In 1930, according to the census of that year, there were 142,478 persons of Finnish birth in the United States, 148,532 who had been born here of Finnish parents, and 29,526 of partly Finnish parentage —a total of 320,536. Of these, 124,994 reported that Finnish was their mother-tongue. The Finns are scattered through the country from Massachusetts to the Pacific Coast, with their largest colonies in Michigan and Minnesota. They support twenty-one publications

I I am indebted also to Mr. Paul Klimowicz, of Gwiazda Polarna, Stevens Point, Wis.; to Dr. C. H. Wachtel, formerly editor of *Dziennik Chicagoski*, and to Mr. Ernest Lilien, of Stevens Point, Wis.

in their ancestral language, including five dailies. That language has been so greatly modified in the United States that Professor Nisonen, of Suomi College, Hancock, Mich., has proposed that it be called Finglish. Says Mr. John E. Rantamaki, editor of the *Amerikan Suometar*, a tri-weekly published at Hancock:

Many Finns who don't actually mix English words into their Finnish speech use forms that are idiomatically more English than Finnish. For example, consider the sentence "Take care of the boy." In correct Finnish the verb is pidä, but most American Finns use ota, which is a literal translation of take.1

Finnish belongs to the Finno-Ugrian group of languages, along with Hungarian, Lapp, Estonian and a number of minor dialects. It appears to be more closely related to Turkish and Mongolian than to the prevailing languages of Europe. It has fifteen cases, and all of them save the nominative are indicated by adding postpositions to the root. The root itself must always end in a vowel or diphthong. A loan-word, if it ends in a consonant, has a vowel-ending attached to it. Thus house, in the nominative, becomes haussi, from the house (elative) is haussista, and into the house (translative) is haussiksi. Proper names are subjected to the same inflections. Thus, to Kenton is Kentoniin, and from Kenton is Kentonista. The Finnish papers in the United States are full of such curious forms as Ann Arborissa, Kalamazoon and New York Mills'ista. Here is the paradigm of haussi (house), which has generally displaced the correct Finnish talo:

. ,	, i	
Case	Finglish	English
Nominative	haussi	house
Genitive	haussin	of the house
Accusative	haussi, haussin	house
Essive	haussina	as a house
Partitive	haussia	some of the house
Translative	haussiksi	into the house
Inessive	haussissa	in the house
Elative	haussista	from the house
Illative	haussiin	into the house
Adessive	haussilla	at the house
Ablative	haussilta	away from the house
Allative	haussille	toward the house
Abessive	haussitta	without a house
Comitative	haussineen (-nensa)	with a house
Instructive	haussein	with houses 2

r Private communicaton, April 18, 1935.

of what follows, to Mr. Reino W. Suojanen, editor of Walwoja, Calumet, Mich.

² I am indebted here, and for much

Under the influence of English there is some decay of these caseendings, especially in the genitive and the accusative. Even perfectly good Finnish words tend to lose some of their inflections. Here, for example, is the way *kirja* (book) changes for person, in the genitive case, in Finnish and Finglish:

Finglish	English	Finnish
hänen kirja	my book your book his book	minun kirjani sinun kirjasi hänen kirjansa
meidän kirja teidän kirja heidän kirja	our book your book their book	meidän kirjamme teidän kirjanne heidän kirjansa

It will be noted that in Finglish the noun remains invariable: the pronoun alone is felt to be a sufficient indicator of person, as it is in English. The conjugation of the verb is very complicated, involving a great many different endings. Here, for example, is the conjugation, in the indicative mood, of the loan-verb *kliinaan* (to clean), following precisely that of the proper Finnish verb, *puhdistan*:

English	Finglish Present
I clean -	kliinaan
you clean	kiimaan kliinaat
he (she) cleans	hän 1 kliinaa
we clean	kliinaamme
you clean	kliinaatte
	kliinaavat
they clean	kimiaavat
	Past
I cleaned	kliinasin
you cleaned	kliinasit
he (she) cleaned	kliinasi
we cleaned	kliinasimme
you cleaned	kliinasitte
they cleaned	kliinasivat
•	Future
I shall clean	kliinaamme
you will clean	kliinaat
he will clean	kliinaavat
	Present Perfect
I have cleaned	olen kliinannut
you have cleaned	olet kliinannut
he has cleaned	on kliinannut

I The omission of hän would put the verb into the imperative mood.

English

Finglish

we have cleaned you have cleaned they have cleaned olemme kliinannee olette kliinanneet ovat kliinanneet

Past Perfect

I had cleaned you had cleaned he had cleaned we had cleaned you had cleaned they had cleaned olin kliinannut olit kliinannut oli kliinannut olimme kliinanneet olitte kliinanneet olivat kliinanneet

Future Perfect

I shall have cleaned you shall have cleaned he shall have cleaned we shall have cleaned you shall have cleaned they shall have cleaned olen kliinannut vast'edes' olet kliinannut vast'edes' on kliinannut vast'edes' olemme kliinannut vast'edes' olette kliinanneet vast'edes' ovat kliinanneet vast'edes'

I Conditional

I should clean you should clean he should clean we should clean you should clean they should clean kliinaisin kliinaisit kliinaisi kliinaisimme kliinaisitte kliinaisivat

II Conditional

I should have cleaned you should have cleaned he should have cleaned we should have cleaned you should have cleaned they should have cleaned olisin kliinannut olisit kliinannut olisi kliinannut olisimme kliinanneet olisitte kliinanneet olisivat kliinanneet

Nouns naturally constitute the majority of the English and American loan-words in Finglish. Finnish has a word of its own for bootlegger, to wit, trokari, but the Finns in the United States prefer puutlekkeri. Similarly, they prefer pisnes (business) to the correct liketoiminta, kaara (car) to vaunu, paarti (party) to kekkeri, and saitvookki (sidewalk) to jalkakäytävä. Their common term for housemaid is tiskari, which comes from dishwater; the Finnish term is palvelijatar. There is a sentence, often heard, which contains only Finglish words, viz: Pussaa peipipoki kitsistä petiruumaan (Push the baby-buggy from the kitchen into the bedroom). In Finnish pussaa

is a slang term for kiss. Here are some other loan-nouns, with the Finnish equivalents:

English	Finglish	Finnish
baby	peipi	vauva
bed	peti	sänky, or vuode
book	puuka	kirja
business	pisnes	liiketoiminta
coal	koli	kivihiili
clerk	klärkki	kirjuri, or liikeapulainen
fender	fenteri	likasuoja
grocer	krosseri	ruokatavarakauppa
linotype	lainotaippi	latomakone
orange	orenssi	appelsiini
room	ruuma	huone
sale	seili	myynti
shovel	saveli	lapio
store	stoori	kauppapuoti
street	striitti	katu
teacher	titseri	opettaja
tire	taieri	kumiréngas

Most Finnish words end in vowels, so it is usual for the Finnish-Americans to add a vowel to every loan-word which lacks one. No Finnish word ever begins with two consonants, so loan-words which show them are frequently changed, especially by the more recent immigrants. Thus steak becomes either steeki or teeki, truck is either troki or roki, stump is either stumppi or tumppi, and street may be striitti, triiti or riiti. Since there is no c in the Finnish alphabet crossing becomes kroosinki or roosinki. Since there is no f, drift (mining) may become drifti, rifti or rihti. The differences in sound between the English b and d and the Finnish p and t, respectively, are very slight, so bed becomes peti. The hardest English sound for Finns is that of th, but its difficulties are as nothing compared to those presented by the English articles and prepositions, which have no equivalents in Finnish. The newcomer tends to use them when they are not called for, and to omit them when they are. Adjectives are taken into Finglish less often than nouns, but a few have been borrowed for daily use, e.g., pisi (busy) and smartti (smart). The correct Finnish equivalents are touhukas (or kiire) and älykäs, respectively. Among the loan-verbs in everyday use are runnata (to run, in the political sense), pläännätä (to plan), skiimate (to scheme), titsata (to teach) and juusata (to use). In the Finnish papers in the

United States the advertisements are commonly translated into Finglish rather than into Finnish. Done into the latter, a grocery or automobile advertisement would be unintelligible to a great many readers.¹

b. Hungarian

Hungarian, like Finnish, belongs to the Finno-Ugrian group of languages, and in its structure differs very widely from English. In 1930 there were 274,450 persons of Hungarian birth in the United States, and of them 250,393 gave Hungarian as their mother-tongue. In addition there were 272,704 persons of Hungarian parentage and 43,614 of mixed parentage, making 590,768 in all. The Hungarians are mainly concentrated in the Middle Atlantic and East North Central States, and support thirty-three periodicals, of which four are dailies.

American-Hungarian takes in loan-words in large number, and inflects them according to the pattern of the mother-tongue. Thus the verb is commonly outfitted with the usual Hungarian suffix, -ol or -el, and so to move becomes muffol, to catch kecsol, to stop sztoppol, to drive drájvol, to bum bomol, to treat tretel, to cash kesel or bekesel, to lunch luncsol, to finish finishel, and so on. The Hungarian suffixes for case are attached to all nouns, so that into the room becomes room-ba and from the room, room-bol. Verbs are outfitted in the same manner, e.g., fixolni (to fix), muvolni (to move), shoppingolni (to shop). The purest form of the infinitive suffix is -ni, e.g., irni (to write), but there are variations expressive of repetitions, abilities, etc. Hungarian is extraordinarily rich in inflectional forms, and ideas that would take a sentence in English are expressed by one word, e.g., megfixolni (to fix it), megfixoltatni (to get it fixed), megfixoltathatni (to be able to get it fixed), megfixoltathatnánk (we could get it fixed). In making agent-nouns the agent-suffix, -os or -es, is usually added either to the borrowed word or to its stem, e.g., burdos (boarder), groszeros (grocer), storos (storekeeper), bucseros (butcher) and szalónos (saloon-

Mr. Ivar Vapaa, editor of Industrialisti, Duluth, Minn.

In addition to the two Finnish-American editors already mentioned, I am greatly indebted to

keeper), but sometimes it is omitted, as in ticser (teacher), pénter (painter), feker (faker), koszcimer (customer), polisz (policeman), farmer (farmer) and oppretor (operator). Other nouns are modified in other ways to accord with Hungarian analogues, e.g., bokszi (box), farma (farm), majna (mine), kéki (cake), báré (bar), trubli (trouble); yet others are little changed save in spelling, e.g., groszeri (grocery), londri (laundry), dzsél (jail), ofisz (office), pádé (party), csenc or csensz (chance), szalon (saloon), ápsztész (upstairs), szvithárt (sweetheart), pikcser (moving-picture), szuer (sewer), piknik (picnic), aker (acre), bél (bail), bézment (basement), pléz (place), frend (friend), só (show), baket (bucket), páler (parlor), bajler (boiler), kontri (country), kvóder (quarter), biznesz (business), sztór (store), sop (shop), rum (room), kár (car), fild (field), bász (boss), peda (payday), burdingház or burosház (boarding-house), fórman (foreman), bébi (baby), dáli (dolly), kendi (candy).

Many of the common coins of idiom are adopted bodily, e.g., súr (sure), radovéba (right away), vatsemetre? (what's the matter?), ó kontri (old country), ne vorrizz (don't worry), nevermajnd (never mind), ai donker (I don't care), ne baderolj (don't bother me), olrajt (all right), daczolrajt (that's all right). At other times the idioms are translated, e.g., óhaza (old country) and vegye a venatot (to take the train). Here is a sample conversation in American-Hungarian:

A. Megfixolta a ploma a sinket? És olrajt csinálta? (Did the plumber fix the sink? Did he do it all right?).

B. Sure, de nevermajnd, mert az a landlord biznisze (Sure, but never mind, that's the landlord's business).

A. Daczolrajt! miért rézelte a rentet? (That's all right! Why did he raise the rent?).

And here are some other specimens:

Fiam a hájszkulba jár, az elsó osztályt finiseli, a lányon kifiniselte a hájszkult és most ofiszba jár. (My son goes to high-school, and is finishing the first class; my daughter has finished high-school and goes to an office.)

Minden munkába jaró embernek van kárja és maga drájvolja. A fiam is maga drájvolja a kárt, miker kimegy a fíldre. (Every workingman has a car and drives it himself. My son himself drives a car when he goes to the field.)

Az uccán nagy a trafik, csak akker lehet átmenni a másik eldalra, ha sztoppolták a trafikot. (There is much traffic on the streets, and you can pass over only when the traffic is stopped.)

Kinyitok egy kannát, megmelegitem és veszek kekit meg kendit. (I open a can, warm it, and buy cakes and candy.) ¹

5. CELTIC

a. Gaelic

The Irish in America have made little progress in reacquiring the Goidelic Celtic which passes under the name of Gaelic in Ireland, and is now so busily inculcated by the Free State politicians. Some of the older folk among them make shift to speak it, but certainly not many. A column or so in it is sometimes printed in the Irish weeklies, but few can read it. The Welsh cling more resolutely to their national speech, which belongs to the Brythonic branch of Celtic, and there are two periodicals devoted to it - a monthly called Cyfaill and a weekly called Y Drych, both published at Utica, N. Y. The circulations of these journals seem to be small, and they contain much English matter. The 1931 Census showed 32,000 Gaelicspeaking persons in Canada, most of them Highland Scots. Of this number, 20,000 had been born west of the Atlantic, and 24,000 lived in Nova Scotia, mostly in Cape Breton. In the counties of Inverness and Victoria 75% of the population is Gaelic-speaking. On the Nova Scotian mainland there are about 2000 in the county of Antigonish who know the language, and perhaps 500 elsewhere. There are also 500 or more on Prince Edward Island.

The only study of this American-Gaelic that I know of has been made by Mr. J. L. Campbell,² to whom I am indebted for what follows. He remarks that it differs from the French of Quebec and the German of Pennsylvania in that it has had no support, for years past, from a parent-tongue in full vigor at home. Gaelic has been

I am indebted to Dr. József Balassa of Budapest; Dr. Nicholas M. Alter, of Jersey City, N. J.; Mr. A. Dessewffy, editor of Otthon (At Home), Chicago; Mr. Paul Nadanyi, managing editor of Americanai Magyar Népszava, New York; Mr. Joseph Yartin, of New York; Mr. Hugo Kormor, editor of the Magyar Herald, New Brunswick, N. J.; Dr. Joseph Reményi, of Western Reserve University; Mr. John Bencze, supreme secre-

tary of the Verhovay Segély Egylet, Pittsburgh; Mr. George Kemeny, of Detroit; Dr. E. H. Bolgar, of Cleveland; and Mr. Anthony J. Orosz, editor of Függetlenség, Trenton, N. J.

2 Scottish Gaelic in Canada, Edinburgh Scotsman, Jan. 30, 1933. Mr. Campbell has also written a more elaborate paper, Scottish Gaelic in Canada: it is still unpublished, but he has courteously given me access to it.

under official disapproval in Scotland for 400 years, and it was supplanted by English in the schools of Nova Scotia in 1870. In 1918 provision was made for teaching it, but only as a second language, and it has made little if any progress. It is full of English loan-words, most of which it uses unchanged. But others have been Gaelicized, e.g., factoraidh (factory), caball (cable), copar (copper), dama (dam), stobh (stove), fineadh (fine), Geancach (Yankee), postmhaighstir (postmaster), bangaid (banquet), smuglair (smuggler), buiseal (bushel), bruis (brush), feansa (fence), mogais or mogaisean (moccasin), spruis (spruce), seudair (cedar), squa (squaw), staibh (stave). The plurals are often formed in the Gaelic manner, e.g., factoraidhean (factories), tréineachan (trains), càrachean-sràide (street-cars), maidseachan (matches), sentaichean (cents), clirichean (clearings), logaichean (logs). Mixed sentences are very frequent, e.g., "Air son càradh bhicy cles tha sinn làn-uidheamaichte air son enamelling, brazing agus vulcanizing a dheanamh" (For repairing bicycles we are fully equipped, and for doing enameling, brazing and vulcanizing). The softening of consonants is common, e.g., char (car), bheat (beat), pharty (party). Loan-verbs are inflected for tense and mood, e.g., gu 'n callar (until he is called), ma phullas (if we pull). In place of the English -ing, -adh is sometimes used, e.g., driveadh (driving), startadh (starting), smashadh (smashing).

6. SEMITIC

a. Arabic

The chief speakers of Arabic in the United States are the Syrians, most of them Christians from the Lebanon. There are also some Moslems and Druzes, but not many. These Syrians used to be classified in the Census returns as Turks, but they are now properly segregated. In 1930 the Census Bureau found 137,576 of them in the country -52,227 who had been born in Syria, 69,034 born here of Syrian parents, and 11,315 born here of mixed parentage. But the leaders of the Syrian colonies believe that these figures were too low. They estimate that there are from 250,000 to 350,000 persons of Syrian blood in the country. The largest colony is in New York City, but there are others from coast to coast. Indeed, the Syrian-Americans,

who are mainly merchants, are so widely dispersed that their historian, Dr. Philip K. Hitti of Princeton University, says that "there is not a State in the Union, and hardly a town of 5000 population or over, in which they are not represented." They support many periodicals in Arabic, and also have several daily newspapers. There is also an English weekly in New York, the Syrian World, devoted to their interests. I am indebted to its amiable editor, Mr. H. I. Katibah, for notes which I summarize as follows:

Perhaps the first verb to be borrowed from English by the Syrian immigrants to the United States was sannas (to make a cent). It appears in the sentence, "L'yom ma sannasna" (We haven't made a cent today). Another early loan-verb was shannaj (to make change, whether of money or of situation). Examples "Shannijli ha-r-rval" (Change this dollar for me) and "Wayn bi-n-shannij?" (Where do we change?). Sharraj (to charge) is also of some antiquity. Here are some other verbs:

bardan (to board). The form here is the past tense singular, masculine gender.

darrav (to drive).

narvas (to become nervous or agitated). Narvasu might well represent "He got his goat."

layyat (to be late). Example: "L'train mlayyit" (The train is late).

bather (to bother). Examples: "La tbathirni" (Don't bother me), and "Haji tbathru" (Stop bothering him).

bartak (to park).

sammak (to smoke).

anshar (to take out insurance).

bunnab (to pump). There is no p in Arabic.

karrak (to crank). A Syrian was heard to say "Karrakna l-car w'kakkna" (We cranked the car and it kicked us).

faxan (to fix). Example: "Hada mush mfaxan" (This is not fixed).

fabrak (to manufacture). This verb is also heard in Syria.

haldab (to hold up). A recent borrowing.

sayyan (to sign, as a check).

mass (to miss, as a train).

farraz (to freeze).

t'amrak (to become an American). This has an analogue in Standard Arabic, to wit, tfarnaj (to become an Ifranji, or Frank, i.e., a European).

Arabic has a large capacity for coining verbs which convey the meaning of whole sentences in English. When a Syrian related a hard-luck story to a Syrian friend a third Syrian present said Fartinlu, meaning "Tell him it is unfortunate." Kaddam is a verb signifying to say God damn. Inflectional variants are kaddimlu (Tell him God damn) and kaddamlu (He told him God damn). Sometimes a recent immigrant mistakes English suffixes, e.g., -ing, for Arabic case endings, with curious results. An old Syrian woman once said:

r The Syrians in America; New York, 1924, p. 67. Dr. Hitti is associate professor of Oriental languages at Princeton. I am much indebted to him for his courteous aid. "Everytin you buy-it-in, in the house-in-it you make-it-in" ("Everything you buy, you can make in the house).

Loan-nouns are given Arabic pronominal suffixes. Thus your business is bizinsak and my business is bizinsi. Plurals are commonly formed by adding the Arabic -at, as in house-at (houses), star-at (stores), haz-at (bosses), shoes-at (shoes) and lattat (lots). It will be noted that shoes-at is a double plural. The doubling of the first t in lattat indicates what is known to Arabic grammarians as tashdid, or intensification: the word is pronounced lat-tat.

American proper names offer some difficulty to the Syrian who has not mastered English. He commonly converts them into nearly related Arabic words, and sometimes the meaning of the latter is amusingly incongruous. Dr. Hitti tells, for example, of an old Syrian in New York who wrote down his own telephone exchange, Adirondack, as al-qadi 'indak (the judge is with you).

7. GREEK

a. Modern Greek

Classical Greek never begat children which devoured it, as classical Latin begat the Romance languages; nevertheless, it suffered serious injuries as the Hellenic world disintegrated. On the Greek mainland it now has two forms. The first, cultivated by the educated class, is called the katharevousa, and is a somewhat artificial imitation of the classical language; the second, called the demoteke and spoken by the masses of the people, is a Greek with changed vowels, new stresses, a vocabulary heavy with loan-words (from Latin, Romance, Slavic, Turkish and Arabic sources), and a greatly decayed grammar. In the other regions inhabited by Greeks (for example, the Ægean islands and the Asia Minor littoral) the popular language has proceeded in the same general direction but by different paths, so that some of its dialects are mutually unintelligible. There is a wellknown comedy by D. K. Byrantios, "Babylonia" by title, which depicts a group of Greeks from all over the Near East trying in vain to make themselves comprehensible to one another and to "an Athenian scholar who speaks in the language of Plato and Xenophon." I quote from an article by Mr. Sotirios S. Lontos, editor of Atlantis, the Greek daily published in New York.2 "It has often

I I am indebted also to Mr. S. Baddour, editor of Al-Bayan, New March, 1926, p. 307.

York.

occurred to me," adds Mr. Lontos, "that had this play been written today, the author would surely have included among his dramatis personæ a Greek from America, who, speaking the Greek lingo he had acquired during his stay in the United States, would have the experience of his lifetime trying to make himself intelligible to his fellow countrymen in Greece."

This American-Greek is avoided as much as possible by the contributors to *Atlantis*, but it is used freely by the paper's advertisers and by its readers. Like all the other immigrant languages, it has taken in a great many American words, and more are added constantly. Most of them are given Greek suffixes and respond to such inflections as survive in the popular Greek of the homeland. Others suffer changes in their vowels or consonants, or both. Here are some examples from Mr. Lontos's list:

American automobile bank bar barber basket. beef-stew bill-of-fare boss hox bum car chef city-hall coalmine corporation cream depot elevator fan (sporting) farm floor ginger-ale greenhorn hot-cakes hotel license lunch market meat note

American-Greek atmobilly panga barra barberis basketta beefestoo billoferry bossis boxy bummis carro seffis sityholly colmina coporessio creamy typos eleveta fenna farma florry gingerella grihonnis hati-kaekia otelly lasintza launtzi marketta mete nota

American parade parking peanut picnic pies (pl.) policeman postoffice sheriff shine (noun) showcase sidewalk sport stand station (police) steak steward taxes ticket train young man young woman

American-Greek

parata 1 parkin pinotsi picniki paia

policemanos

postoffy, or postoffeon

sherrifis saina sokessa sadeveki sportis standtza stessio stecky stooars texas ticketto traino youngmanos youngwomana 2

Many other words are used without any change, e.g., flat and street. The Greeks have difficulty with our ch and sh sounds, and so have to modify words containing them. The sound of d becomes th or t in Modern Greek. Thus depot is converted into typos. Inasmuch as typos is a perfectly good Greek word, signifying printingoffice, the latter change offers some inconvenience. In the same way newcomers from Greece are puzzled by mappa, which means both map and mop in American-Greek, but signifies cabbage at home. Saina, which is American-Greek for shine, also serves for sign. The American-Greeks, like all the other immigrants, quickly annex the common American expletives and terms of opprobrium. God damn it, at their hands, becomes godamiti, and son-of-a-bitch becomes sonababitsi. Even within the bounds of the Greek vocabulary they fall into new usages in this country. Thus, their common word for fire is photia, whereas per is more often used at home, and they prefer xenodocheon to estiatorion for restaurant. The numbered streets in America give them some difficulty. They do not translate Twenty-fifth street directly, but change the ordinal number to the cardinal, and make street plural, thus coming to

¹ The Greek is parataxis. 2 To Mr. Lontos's list I have added some examples supplied by Mr.

T. D. Curculakis of Athens, to whom I am greatly indebted.

Twenty-five streets. In Greek, proper names take the article, which varies with the gender. Thus the name of every American city, in American-Greek, has its gender. San Francisco and St. Louis are masculine, New York is feminine, and Chicago is neuter. "Boston and Milwaukee," says Mr. Lontos, "take the feminine article when used in good Greek, but in ordinary American-Greek are neuters." The Greeks suffer linguistic confusion immediately they attempt English, for in Modern Greek nay (spelled nai) means yes, P.M. indicates the hours before noon, and the letter N stands for South. To make things even worse, the Greek papoose means grandfather and mammie means grandmother.

The Census of 1930 revealed 174,526 persons of Greek birth in the United States, 101,668 persons born here of Greek parents, and 27,557 born here of parentage partly Greek, or 303,751 in all. Of these, 189,066 reported that Greek was their mother-tongue. The Greek-Americans are served by fifteen periodicals in Greek, of which four are daily newspapers.

8. ASIATIC

a. Chinese

As we have seen in Chapter XII, Section 1, the influence of English on Chinese, even in China, is already very considerable. Not only does Chinese absorb a great many English and American loan-words; it also tends toward grammatical and syntactical accord with English. In the United States these tendencies are naturally very noticeable, not only among the rank and file of Chinese-speaking immigrants, but also among the Chinese students who frequent American universities. Says Dr. Arthur W. Hummel, chief of the Division of Orientalia in the Library of Congress:

Dr. Hu Shih, leader of the current literary revolution in China, has told me, what I had myself previously observed, that his Chinese word-order is very much like that of English. He says that whereas, before he came to America to study, he could not get good English by keeping to the Chinese word-order, he now finds that he can translate his Chinese writings almost word for word. This is, perhaps, more true of Hu Shih's writings than of others; nevertheless, it represents a rather wide-spread tendency, due to the fact that all Chinese youths who go to school at all must spend some time on English.¹

¹ Private communication, July 11, 1934.

There are, of course, difficulties in the way of English loan-words, for on the one hand some of their sounds are absent from Chinese, and on the other hand the lack of an alphabet in Chinese makes it necessary, in writing, to find whole syllables approximating their sounds, and sometimes that leads to absurdity, or, indeed, is downright impossible. Consider, for example, the Chinese handling of the word America, which is first encountered in writings of the Ming Dynasty (1368-1644). It is represented by hooking together the ideograph for ya, a common prefix to proper names, with those for mei (beautiful, admirable), li (clever, or interest on money), chia (a suffix), and chou (region, country). The result is Ya-mei-lichia-chou, meaning the beautiful and clever (or interest-collecting) land. In everyday use this is abbreviated to Mei-kuo (beautiful land). American is similarly reduced to Mei-kuo-jên (beautifulcountry man). Sometimes the effect is amusing, as when New York becomes Niu (to grasp, to seize) -yo (important, compendious), i.e., the grasping, important city, or Roosevelt becomes Lo (a net) -s-fu (a blessing), or -fou (to revive). Many common English words have been taken into Chinese by the same process. The Southern Chinese (who are most numerous in the United States) find our r difficult, so they sometimes change it to l or h, but the Northern Chinese under Manchu influence, make a guttural of it. In both cases, loans often have to be changed radically in order to represent them in Chinese ideographs, which are extremely numerous (about 10.000 are in use) but still fall short of being innumerable. The following examples are listed by Professor Tsung-tse Yeh of Tsing Hua University, Peiping: 1 k'a fei (coffee), sha-fa (sofa), sai-yin-ssŭ (science), fan-shih-ling (vaseline), fan-o-ling (violin), hu-lieh-la (cholera), wei-shih-chi (whiskey), nik-ko-lo (negro), mo-t'o (motor), t'o-la-ssŭ (trust), p'u-k'ê (poker), shui-mên-ting (cement), wa-ssŭ (gas), tê-lü-fêng (telephone), hsüeh-ch'ieh (cigar), p'u-ou (boy), san-wei-chih (sandwich), su-ta (soda), ting (tin), ch'a-ssŭ-ta-ssŭ (justice), pi-k'o-ni-k'o (picnic). In many cases, of course, translation takes the place of this onerous attempt at transliteration. Thus, fork becomes ch'a-tzŭ, from ch'a, a prong, with tzŭ, a common suffix, added, and telephone becomes tien-hua, literally, electricity talk. Other examples are:

r On Chinese Borrowings From English and French, in The Basic

Vocabulary, by C. K. Ogden; London, 1930, p. 92 ff.

cigarette: chih-yen (paper smoke).

safety-razor: t'ui-tzu (gentleman instrument).

tooth-paste: ya-kao (tooth-grease).

elevator: tien-t'i (electricity, or lightning, ladder).

life-insurance: jên-shou-pao-hsien (man old-age guarantee to feel at ease).

locomotive: huo-ch'e-t'ou (fire wagon). motor-car: ch'i-ch'e (vapor wagon).

moving-picture theatre: tien-ying-yiian (electricity shadow hall).

soda-water: ch'i shui (vapor water).1

Sometimes there is a combination of translation and transliteration, e.g., yah-mee (yard), in which the second syllable means rear in Chinese, and ping-chi-ling (ice-cream), in which the first syllable means ice. Many loans, of course, are taken in unchanged or almost so, e.g., hello, kid, guy, nuts and the universal O.K. The Americanized Chinese, even if he be a Cantonese, often masters the r, and is thus able to use such terms as all right, girl, good-morning and dutchtreat. In writing, they are represented, not by syllables of the same general sound, but by corresponding Chinese words. Thus, all right is represented by shih (yes), autumn by chin (autumn), and graft by weila (bribery). The third person pronoun ta is the same in Chinese in all genders, but under the influence of Western education the Chinese have begun to use slightly different ideographs to represent he, she and it, though all of them continue to be pronounced ta. There is a considerable difference of opinion as to the proper representation, in Chinese, of God. About a century ago the Catholic missionaries in China were ordered by a papal decree to use Tien-Chu (Lord of Heaven), but most of the Protestant brethren use Shang-Ti (Emperor Above), with a minority preferring Shên (Spirit). The Chinese journalists of the United States incline toward purism in their writing, but their colleagues in China, following Liang Chi-chao (1869-1928), founder of Peiping's first daily newspaper, are extremely hospitable to neologisms. At the time of the Revolution of 1911 such reformers as Liang Chi-chao, K'ang Yu-wei, and Chang Shih-chao brought in a great many novel political terms from English, and they promise to stick, e.g., teh-moh-ka-la-si (democracy), p'u-lo-lieh-t'a-li-ya (proletariat) and pao-êrh-hsiwei-k'ê (bolshevik). The English honorifics, Mr., Mrs. and Miss, are in common use both in China and among Chinese in this country,

I I am indebted here to Dr. Verne Dyson, director of the Institute of Chinese Studies, New York.

albeit they usually take the forms of Mi-tse-te, Mi-hsi-tse and Mi-tse. Their use is opposed by a faction of Chinese, led by Dr. Liu Fu, president of the Women's College of Peiping, who ordained in 1931 that his charges should be called Kuniang, not Miss. The transliteration of Chinese words into English presents difficulties. The system ordinarily used is that devised by Sir Thomas Wade half a century ago, but of late it has a rival in a scheme for the complete romanization of Chinese writing proposed by Dr. Chao Yüan-jên.²

The Census of 1930 disclosed 74,954 Chinese in the United States, of whom 30,868 had been born here. There are 27,179 in Hawaii. There were more in the Continental United States at earlier periods, but of late, because of the Chinese Immigration Act of 1882 and its successors, the flow of immigrants has been toward South America and the Malay Archipelago, not toward the United States. There are now twenty Chinese periodicals in the country, of which eight are daily newspapers.

b. Japanese

Standard Japanese, even more than Chinese, has been hospitable to English loan-words, and in Chapter XII, Section 1, I have described some of their effects upon the language. The Japanese spoken in this country, of course, is full of them. On account of the differences between the Japanese phonetic system and that of English many have to be changed materially. Every Japanese word ends either in a vowel or in n. Thus, Japanization produces such forms as the following: aisukurimu (ice-cream), bata (butter), bazarin (violin), bifuteki or bisuteki (beefsteak), biru (beer), bisuketto (biscuit), bi-

r The Little Critic, by Lin Yutang, China Critic (Shanghai), April 2, 1931.

2 I am indebted to Mr. Arthur A. Young, editor of the Chinese Christian Student, New York; Mr. Y. E. Hsiao, general secretary of the Chinese Students' Christian Association in North America; Mrs. Elsie Clark Krug, of Baltimore; Mr. Su Chen Ho, of the Brooklyn Museum; Dr. W. W. Pettus, president of the College of Chinese Studies of California College in China, Peiping; Dr. James Stinch-

comb, of the University of Pittsburgh; Mr. S. H. Abramson, of Montreal; Dr. A. Kaiming Chin, of the Chinese-Japanese Library, Harvard University; Mr. John E. Reinecke of Honokaa, Hawaii; Miss Rosalie Yee Quil, of the Pittsburgh Carnegie Library; Miss Grace Yee Quil, of Pittsburgh; Dr. Nancy Lee Swann, curator of the Gest Chinese Research Library, McGill University, Montreal; Mr. Ben Robertson, of the Associated Press, and Mr. Harold Coffin, of the Hawaii Tourist Bureau.

yahoru (beer-hall), botan (button), chokoretto (chocolate), daiamondo (diamond), dansu (dance), dainamaito (dynamite), ereki (electricity), gasu (gas), hankachi or hankechi (handkerchief), katsuretsu (cutlet), kakuteiru (cocktail), kohi (coffee), kosumechikku (cosmetics), kyabetsu (cabbage), naifu (knife), penki (paint), ranpu (lamp), renkoto (raincoat), resu (lace), renzu (lens), risurin (glycerin), seruroido (celluloid), shatsu (shirt), sosu (sauce), suponji (sponge), taouru (towel) and toranpu (tramp).1 There are many substitutions of one vowel for another. The ah of father is commonly substituted for the er of river, the ure of measure, the ir of girl and the or of labor. An i like that of police is added to many words, e.g., match, edge, and the oo (or u) of book is added to others, e.g., block, club, crab, map. An ee-sound is substituted for the short i in sit, it, miss, ship, and for the ai-sound in crime and guide. The g is commonly nasalized, so that Chicago becomes Chicango, and cigar is cingah. Before i, s changes to sh and z to dzh, and before i and u, t and d become ch (tsh) and j (dzh). In words beginning with hi there is often a change to shi. There is a considerable confusion between r and l, and most Japanese find it hard to distinguish between such pairs as grow-glow, broom-bloom, royal-loyal. After f an h is often inserted, as in fhence (fence), and o frequently appears in compounds, e.g., good-o-morningu, good-o-bye. The sounds of th (both as in the and as in thin), pl, bl and ks are almost impossible to a Japanese.2 There are two systems of transcribing Japanese into English, the Hepburn system and that of the Nippon Romazikwai (Roman Letter Association of Japan), which proposes to abolish the ancient Japanese use of modified Chinese ideographs. The Japanese government appears to be unable to decide between the two.

There were 138,834 Japanese in the Continental United States in 1930, of whom 68,357 had been born either in the United States or in its possessions. In addition, there were 139,631 in Hawaii. There are fourteen Japanese periodicals in the United States and eleven in

I All these are from Japanese Borrowings of English Words, by H. Sato, Notes and Queries, May 25, 1929.

2 I am indebted here to Anglicized Japanese, by Frederick W. Brown, Quarterly Journal of Speech Education, Feb., 1927. See also The Pronunciation of Japanese, by Masatoshi Gensen Mori; Tokyo, 1929, Japanized English, by Sawbay Arakawa, 4th ed.; Tokyo, 1930, and English Influence on Japanese, by Sanki Ichikawa, Studies in English Literature (Tokyo), April, 1928. The last lists 1397 words.

Hawaii, including nine daily newspapers in the former and three in the latter,1

9. MISCELLANEOUS

a. Armenian

Armenian is an independent Indo-European language lying between the Indo-Iranian group and Greek. In 1930 there were 51,741 persons in the United States who gave it as their mother-tongue. There are ten Armenian periodicals in the country, of which two are daily newspapers, both published in Boston. I can find no published study of the American dialect of the language. For the following brief note I am indebted to Mr. R. Darbinian, editor of Hairenik, the elder of the two Boston dailies:

A conversation carried on in half English and half Armenian is very common. One frequently hears "Good time me ounetza" (I had a good time), and sentences like the following:

Bossus z is fire crav (My boss fired me).

Lawyer in katzi business hamar (I went to the lawyer on business).

Aman, nervous gellam gor (Oh my, I am getting nervous).

Ays pointé goozem tzouytz dal (I want to show this point, or, I want to point out this).

Yete wholesale house me special oonena yerek chors item cost price garnes (If a wholesale house should have a special, you can get three or four items at cost price).

Yes garachargem temporary board me gazmel, yev togh directornere investigate enen (I move that we organize a temporary board, and let the directors investigate the matter).

Many words and phrases for which there is no equivalent in Armenian are often used, e.g., all right, O.K., good time, jazz. Others that have Armenian equivalents displace them, e.g., yes, no, show, movies, radio, phone, hello, uncle, aunt, nurse, chauffeur, lunch, butcher, grocer, laundry, drug-store.

b. Hawaiian

Hawaiian, which belongs to the Polynesian family of languages and is closely related to Samoan, Maori, Tahitian and Tongan, is the dying tongue of a dying people. The Census of 1930 discovered

I For the statistics of publications throughout this Appendix I am indebted to N. W. Ayer & Sons Directory of Newspapers and Periodicals; Philadelphia, annually.

The population figures are from Fifteenth Census of the United States, 1930: Population, Vol. II; Washington, 1933.

but 22,636 pure-blood Hawaiians in the archipelago, and even the addition of 28,224 persons of mixed blood left them greatly outnumbered by the Caucasians, the Filipinos and the Japanese. The Territorial Legislature, in 1923, passed an act providing for "the preparation and publication of a school text-book in the Hawaiian language," and seven years later a slim volume prepared by Mrs. Mary H. Atcherley was brought out under the imprint of the Hawaiian Board of Missions, but English has been taught in the schools since 1853, and since 1896 it has been obligatory. Writing more than a generation ago, William M. Langdon said in an editorial in the Paradise of the Pacific: 2

By the end of this century the Hawaiian speech will have as little usage as Gaelic or Irish has now, and it will not be many years hence when there will be but small demand for Hawaiian-English interpreters. The native children in the public and private schools are getting a good knowledge of English speech. Hawaiians who speak only their native tongue find it difficult to obtain employment. Time was, thirty years or so ago, when it was necessary for every foreigner to learn Hawaiian; now it becomes necessary for the Hawaiian to learn English.

Since the time of Kamehameha the Great the Hawaiian tongue has been almost revolutionized, so many idioms have crept in and so many English expressions with Hawaiian spelling and pronunciation have been adopted. The children now in school will retain, as long as they live, a comprehension of their mother-tongue and an affection for it too, but it is doubtful if the same can be said of their children.

"When Mr. Langdon wrote this," says Frederick B. Withington,³ "there was considerable Hawaiian spoken throughout the Islands. Most of the important firms had . . . signs with their names in the native tongue. For instance, the law firm of Castle and Withington was known to the Hawaiians as Kakela e Wilkinokona. An understanding of Hawaiian was often necessary in the law courts, and many documents were written or printed in both English and Hawaiian. Today little or none of this bilingual use is necessary." The decay of Hawaiian Mr. Withington ascribes to eight causes, as follows:

- (a) Its inadequacy. Hawaiian was a primitive language and was unable to satisfy the needs of a modern world.
 - (b) The influx of foreign terms. As the Hawaiian became conscious of the

Modern History as a Means of Communication, kindly placed at my disposal by the author.

¹ The First Book in Hawaiian; Honolulu, 1930.

² November, 1903.

³ In The Hawaiian Language: Its

need of new terms he adopted them from the foreigner. Many of these came from uncultured traders and sailors and thus were crude.

- (c) The tendency to vulgarity. The better classes used English and left the Hawaiian to the less cultured. The result was that the language tended toward the vulgar.
- (d) The decrease of the Hawaiian population. If Captain Cook was correct in his estimate of the population, then the Hawaiian population has gone from over 400,000 to about 50,000 in three quarters of a century.
 - (e) The desire for English-conducted schools by the Hawaiians themselves.
- (f) The paucity of a literature. There was no literature among the Hawaiians until the missionaries came and helped them to write it.
- (g) The growing relations with the outside world. As the natives increased their trade they made more and more use of one of the great modern languages.
- (h) The Islands become part of the United States. As Hawaii became an integral part of the United States, English became the official language.

Hawaiian has the shortest alphabet ever heard of - the five vowels and h, k, l, m, n, p and w, or twelve letters in all. It is thus constrained to make radical changes in many loan-words, e.g., kapiki (cabbage), kala (dollar), keleponi (telephone), loke (rose) and Kelemania (Germany). All the vowels are used as words, and all have multiple meanings, e.g., a is a verb in the perfect meaning lit, a noun meaning a small rock, an adjective meaning rocky, an adverb meaning to or until, and a preposition meaning to or of. Other words are formed by combining two vowels, e.g., aa (dwarf), ia (he, she or it), ua (rain), or a consonant and vowel, e.g., ko (sugar), nu (roar), wa (time), hi (cholera). Not only must every word end with a vowel, but also every syllable. Two consonants may never come together. The effect on loan-words is shown in aila (oil), alemanaka (almanac), amene (amen), baka (tobacco), bele (bell), berena (bread), bipi (beef cattle), buke (book), eka (acre), galani (gallon), kanapi (centipede), kapena (captain), keneta (cent), paona (pound), pena (paint), peni (pen), penikala (pencil), pepa (paper), Sabati (Sabbath), sekona (second), silika (silk), talena (talent). Here are some specimen sentences showing the use of loan-words:

Ke kamailio nei oia ma ka olelo Beretania (He speaks in British, i.e., English).

Ua kuai lilo mai la au i elima mau galani (I bought five gallons).

Eia wau ke hoouna aku nei ia oe i umi mau keneta (I am sending you ten cents).

Ke kani nei ke kanaka i ka bele (The man is ringing the bell).1

r For the loan-words and the sentences I am indebted to the Rev. Henry P. Judd, associate secretary of the Board of the Hawaiian Evangelical Association. I also owe thanks to Professor N. B. Beck, of the University of Hawaii, and to Mr. Carl S. Carlsmith, of Hilo. The surviving Hawaiian periodical literature seems to consist only of a weekly published at Hilo and a Sunday-school monthly, in Hawaiian and English, at Honolulu.

c. Gipsy

The language of the Gypsies is a dialect related to those of the northwestern frontier of India, and their Indian ancestors seem to have wandered through Kabulistan into Persia and Syria in the Thirteenth Century. One section then struck southward into Egypt, and the other proceeded into Europe. They are now scattered over all of Europe, and most of northern Africa and North America. In every country where they have settled they have picked up many loan-words from the local language, but Romany or Romanes is still a distinct tongue, with a grammatical system of its own and a vocabulary understood by the Gypsies of widely separated countries.1 Most Gypsies speak this Romanes more or less, but in the United States they commonly use English in their everyday business, with a copious admixture of Romanes words. An example: "Once apré a chairus a Romany chal chored a rāni chillico, and then jālled atút a prastraméngro 'pré the drum" (Once upon a time a Gypsy stole a turkey, and then met a policeman on the road).2 There is a masculine definite article, o, in Romanes, and a feminine article, i, but the American Gypsies always use the English the. The indefinite article is also borrowed, but sometimes it is omitted altogether, as in "Dikóva gáiro" (I see a man). Many of the nouns have suffixes indicating gender, to wit, -o for the masculine, and -i for the feminine. There are some traces of grammatical gender, but in the main these suffixes are used logically. Thus, chávo is boy and chavi or chai is girl, gáiro is man and gáiri is woman. The plural is formed by adding -e, -aw or -yaw, e.g., peéro (foot), peere (feet); grei (horse), gréiaw (horses). But in many, and perhaps most cases the English -s is used. In Pennsylvania there are a few small groups of German Gypsies, known locally as Shekener or Chikener (Ger. Zigeuner). They immigrated from the Rhineland during the Eighteenth Century. Their

The best account of it is to be found in The Dialect of the English Gypsies, by B. C. Smart and H. T. Crofton, 2nd ed.; London, 1875.

² I take this from The English Gypsies and Their Language, by Charles G. Leland, 4th ed.; London, 1893, p. 208.

dialect shows a great many loans from Pennsylvania-German, e.g., kotz (cat), haws (rabbit), hausleira (peddler), bawm (tree), goul (horse), schlong (snake). The number of Gypsies surviving in the United States is unknown, for the Census Bureau is unaware of them. The tribes that once roved the country have been much depleted by disease, intermarriage and the hustling of the police. Most of them are now located in large cities, where the women practise fortune-telling and the men work at ordinary trades.

The Language of the Pennsylvania German Gypsies, by Henry W. Shoemaker, American Speech, Aug., 1926.

LIST OF WORDS AND PHRASES

Because they are so seldom encountered in publications in English, the non-English terms listed in the Appendix are here omitted. But non-English proper names are included. Verbs are indicated by the preposition of the infinitive. In virtually every other case the character of the word is obvious.

a, 329, 334, 335, 336, 337, achtel, 250 acidulous, 118 338, 339, 340, 343, 344, 346, 348, 351, 359, 366, 367, 368, 369, 371, 377, 381, 383, 389, 390, 391, 402, 404, 405, 441, 446, 468, 471, 613; -a, 347, 549; a-, 161; ä, 490; à, 382; å, 490 A 1, 208 A.A.A., 209 Aaron, 535 Abalina, 512 Abarbanel, 501 Abbott, 496 Abbud, 496 Abc, 519 Abednego, 515 aber nit, 157, 566 Abolena, 524 abolitionist, 148 about, 468 about to go, 201 above, 399 Abraham, 496, 507, 508, absorbable, 118 absquatulate, to, 145 abuv, 399 acceptress, 75 accession, to, 196 acclimated, 325 accommodation-train, 146 accompaniment, 118 accouchement, 304 accumulator, 236 ace, 182, 573; -high, 191 achiev, 401 acromatic, 118

acre, 383, 384, 386 activ, 401, 403 actorine, 180 actual, 352 Ad, 519 ad, 170, 183, 401, 403 Ada, 529 Adam, 515, 519, 535 Adam-and-Eve, to, 561 adamic, 75 Adamovič, 490 Adams, 490, 498 ad-club, 170; -man, 170; -rate, 170; -writer, 170 addition, 120 address, 325 addrest, 402, 403 adelantado, 153 Adelloyd, 521 Adgurtha, 522 adhesiveness, 118 adioyne, 379 adjoin, 379 adjunct professor, 242 adjutancy, 118 adjutant, 283 administration, 245 Admire, 505 admire, to, 25 admissibility, 118 admit, to, 199 admitted to the bar, to be, 246 Adnelle, 521 adobe, 152 adopted, 245 Adrielle, 521

adult, 325, 329 adultery, 310 adumbrate, 325 advance, 335 advanst, 403 advertisement, 324, 406 advertising-engineer, 290; -engineer, 289 advertize, 402 advice, 394 adviser, to women students, 242 advisory, 118 advize, 402 advocate, to, 7, 117, 118, adze, 128, 393 ae, 405; -ae, 347; æ, 401 A.E.F., 209 aerocade, 179 æsthete, 390 æsthetic, 390 aetaernall, 379 ather, 300 ætiology, 390, 411 affetuoso, 75 affiliate, to, 141 Affinity, 537 Aframerican, 171 after, 335 aftr, 404 again, 339 against, 339 Agamemnon, 523 agast, 402, 406 agathokakological, 117 agenda, 220, 239 Agenora, 524 agent, 278

aggravate, to, 141, 165, aghast, 406 agile, 341 ag'in, 161 Agiochook, 532 Agnes, 509 a-going, 443 agree with, to, 215 ah, 328, 335, 337, 343, 344, 349, 404 ahead, 352 ahem, 352 a-holt, 438 ahoy, 352 Ah there, my size, I'll steal you, 566 ai, 341 aid, 182, 199 aigle, 214 aile, 406 Aileen, 510 Aili, 510 Ails, 524 Ainse, 482 ain't, 51, 160, 202, 360, 377, 425, 445, 470 ain't got, 420 ain't-supposed-to-itis, 179 air, to, 199, 586 air, 181; -conditioned, 181; conscious, 181; -cooled, 181; -hostess, 181; -line, 146; -liner, -minded, 181; -monkey, 583; -rodeo, aisle, 236, 406; -manager, 284 aislick, 110 aker, 383, 384, 386 Akerberg, 490 Aklus, 522 Akron, 542; -ian, 549; -ite, 549 Al, 183 al, 468 Alabama, 337, 339 Alabamian, 548 alabastine, 173 Alamagordo, 534 Alan, 507 alarm, 415 alarmist, 101 alarum, 415 alas, 614

Alaska, 339 Albanian, 548 Albert, 482, 511, 515 Albrecht, 482 Albright, 482 Albuquerque, 541; -an, 549 alcalde, 152 alcohol-lamp, 233 alderman, 116 ale, 600 Alexander, 515 Alexandria, 530 Alf, 519 alfabet, 402, 403 alfalfa, 152, 263 alfalfy, 360 Alferita, 524 Alfred, 513 Algeier, 483 Algernon, 518 Algire, 483 Alĥambra, 534 alibi, 210 Alice, 506 Alimenta, 524 all, 159, 401 all aboard, 239 Allard, 516 allay-foozee, 160 Allegany, 539 Alleghany, 539 Allegheny, 528, 539 allemande, 296 Allen, 506, 524 all-fired, 316 Allgeier, 483 Allgeiger, 483 Allgeyer, 483 Allgire, 483 Alligator, 536 alligator, 112, 372 all of, 252 allons, 75 allot upon, to, 99 allow, to, 100 alloy, 325 all right, 253 allrightest, 463 allrightsky, 222 all set, 262 allus, 359 ally, 325 Allyn, 507 Alma, 529 alma mater, 338, 347

almond, 337 almoner, 248 almost, 468 alms, 292, 328, 337, 349 aloha, 373 aloof, 344 alphabetical soup, 209 alright, 96, 396, 407 Alt, 482 Altamont, 534 altar, 577 alter, to, 302 altho, 400, 402, 403 aluminium, 396, 415 aluminum, 396, 415 alumnae, 347, 401 alumnas, 413 alumni, 243 alumnis, 413 alumnuses, 413 Alves, 495 Alvez, 495 always, 468 Alžběta, 511 Am, 519 am, 427 Ama, 524 Aman, 490 amass, 334 amateur, 294, 352 ambassador, 395 ambish, 169, 222 ambition, to, 7, 119 Ambolena, 522 Ambrose, 519 ambulance, 288; -chaser, 246 ameed, to, 16 Amelia, 507, 519 Amélia, 509 amen, 268, 337, 338; -corner, 150 amendable, 118 American-bar, 292 Americanism, 6 Americanitis, 179 Americanize, to, 141 Americano, 75 Amerind, 171 Ames, 483 amigo, 153, 376 am not, 445 amœbas, 413 ampere, 559 ampico, 172 amusement-engineer, 290 an, 351; -an, 548, 549 Ana, 509 Anaca, 524 anæsthesia, 390, 393 analisis, 402 analysis, 210 analyzation-engineer, 200 Ananias-club, 174; -fest, Anasagunticook, 531 Änberg, 490 ancestor, 395 anchor her, to, 583 -ander, 491 Anders, 510 Andersohn, 477 Anderson, 477, 493, 494 Andersson, 477, 492 and how, 219, 222, 572 andiron, 128 Andrea, 509 Andreen, 491 Andrén, 491 Andresen, 477 Andrew, 510, 511, 515 Andrey, 510, 511 Andriessen, 477 Andy, 510 anecdote, to, 168 anesthetic, 394 ancurismal, 118 angel, 24; -food, 582 Angeleño, 550 Anglais, 110 angle, 210 angry, 143 Anheuser, 484 aniline, 155 animalize, 118 anlage, 220 Annabel, 507 Annamoriah, 537 Annapolitan, 549 Anne Arundel, 540 Annette, 507 Annie, 509 Annika, 524 announce, to, 220 announst, 403 A No. 1, 208, 570 anser, 403 anserd, 403 ant, 335, 336, 360 antagonize, to, 31, 118, 230 antennas, 413

anteriour, 380 ante up, to, 191 Anthracite, 536 anti-, 180; -bounce-clip, 237; Episcopalian, 181; -Federalist, 181; -fogmatic, 149; -suffragist, Antiskid, 173 antithetical, 118 antmire, 302 Antone, 509 Antonietta, 509 Antonin, 511 Antonio, 508, 509 Antti, 493, 510 Anvil, 523 anxious-bench, 148, 150; -seat, 148, 150 any, 471 anything, 352 anyways, 204, 468 Anzonetta, 522 apartment, 233, 247 apartment-hotel, 233; -house, 233 Apathy, 505 Aphnah, 524 Apokeepsian, 550 apothem, 402 apparatus, 338 apparatuses, 413 appearance-engineer, 289 appellor, 118 appendic, 461 appendices, 412 appendictis, 341 appendixes, 412 apple, 336; -butter, 115; -fest, 218; -jack, 149; -knocker, 577; -pie, 85; -sauce, 263, 561 application-engineer, 291 appreciate, to, 7, 118 appreciation, 118 approach, 576 approbate, to, 128 ar, 399, 401, 403 Arab, 295, 560 aracoune, 104 arboreal, 395 arborescent, 118 arborization, 118 arbour, 395 arch, 614 Archambault, 495

Archie, 506 architect, 401 architecture, 382 Ardis, 522 ardor, 386 ardour, 395 are, 328, 349, 401, 427 a'ready, 352 are not, 428 aren't, 202, 349, 428, 445 argify, to, 129 Arginta, 524 argon, 559 Arillah, 522 Arkansas, 541 Arkansawyer, 552 arkitecture, 382 Armand, 508 Armella, 522 Armina, 521 Armistead, 479 armoir, 214 armonica, 120 armory, 395 armour, 395 arms, 328, 349 Armstädt, 479 Arnold, 507 arnswer, 351 arrange, to, 302 Arrazeta, 521 arriv'd, 438 arroyo, 152 arse, 302, 308 art, 349 arterial road, 546 Arthur, 506, 515, 524 Artice, 524 ary, 129; -ary, 325 as, 458, 472 Asbury, 53/5 ascended, 604 ascertainable, 118 as cross as a b'ar with two cubs and a sore tail, 137 as drunk as a Pole, 296 ash-can, 115, 233; -cart, 233; -man, 233; -truck, 233 Ashshi, 496 as if, 203, 458 ask, 334, 335, 336, 352 Askew, 503 askt, 403 Åslund, 490 asp, 336

asphalt, 339, 389, 390 asphalte, 389, 390 ass, 302, 309 as scarce as hen's teeth, assistant-instructor, 242; -master, 241; -profes-242; -treasurer, 289 associate-editor, 289; -professor, 242 associational, 98 ast, 352 as though, 203 at, 404 a tall, 471 at all, 471 ate, 431, 442, 614 athaletic, 353 Athan, 512 Athanasios, 512 Athenian, 551 Ather, 523 -ative, 325 Atlantans, 548 atomiser, 233 atta-boy, 263, 471, 564 attaché, 409; -case, 246 attack, to, 199 attackt, 428 attackted, 420, 428, 438 attend, to, 435 au, 335, 336, 360 Aubert, 516 Aubrey, 518 Audrivalus, 524 August, 505, 519 Augusta, 529 Ault, 482 aunt, 334, 335, 336, 338, 344, 349, 371 au revoir, 348 authentic, 343 author, to, 93, 192, 195 auto, 169, 170 auto, to, 191, 192 autocade, 179 autocar, 173 autocracy, 343 autogenius, 353 automobile, 233 automotive-engineer, 200 autsch, 158 autumn, 33, 42, 62, 128 Avaline, 521 avenue, 546, 547 average up, to, 198

Averill, 521 avigator, 179 aw, 335, 339, 343, 344 away, 256 a-wee, 378 awe-strickened, 193 awful, 466, 614 awfully, 210, 264 a.w.o.l., 209, 573 ax, 352, 386, 389, 394 axe, 389, 393 Axel, 510 axle-grease, 582 -ay, 347 Ayscough, 503 ayuntamiento, 153 az-nu, 407 b, 353, 368, 401, 402 baa, 349 babbling brook, 578 Babe, 523 babies' class, 241 Baby, 519 baby-bound, 561 baby-carriage, 233, 256 baby-lifter, 583 bacardi, 173 bacaze, 339 baccaliaos, 373 bach, 482 bach, to, 192 Bache, 500 bachelor, 395 Bachmann, 479 bacillis, 413 bacillus, 155, 559 back, 115, 343; -country, 115; -field, 115; -garden, 250, 256; -land, 115; -lane, 115; -line, 115; -log, 115; -lots, 115; -number, 144, 187; settler, 115; -street, -talk, 62, 115; 144; -taxes, 144; -woods, 57, 115, 231; -yard, 250, 256 back, to, 199 back and fill, to, 142, 609 back and forth, 98 Back Bay Fens, 115 backbone, 145 Backhaus, 487 backside, 302 backslider, 74 backwardize, to, 193 back water, to, 142

bacterium, 155 bad, 25, 465 baddest, 463 Badeau, 495 bad-lands, 108, 263 bag, 308, 377 bagatel, 403, 406 bagatelle, 406 baggage, 85, 99, 102, 233, 254; -check, 146; -master, 146; -room, 146; -smasher, 146 bagoong, 373 bah, 349 bahay, 110 bah Jove, 269 bailee, 118 Bailey, 481 Bailey's Switch, 529 bailif, 406 bailiff, 386, 406 bailment, 118 bakalingo, 113 bakehead, 583 Bakehouse, 487 bake-house, 233 Baker, 485, 497 baker, 368 baker's shop, 233 bakery, 176, 233 baking-engineer, 290 Bakken, 492 bakt, 414 balance, 120, 165 balcony, 228 Bald, 529 Bald Knob, 529 balk, 389 ball-and-chain, 561 ballast, 238 ballat, 360 ballistics-engineer, 290 balloon, 582 bally, 270, 568 ballyhoo, 188, 191 bally-stand, 584 balm, 182, 335, 336, 349, bologna, see boloney baloney, see boloney balony, see boloney Baltimore, 530, 531, 540 Baltimoron, 552 bam, 184 bamboozle, to, 126 ban, 182

ban, to, 199 banana, 112 Bancamerica, 172 Bancorporation, 172 band-box, 581 bandits, 412 banditti, 412 bandwagon, 37 bang, 184 banjo, 113 bank-account, 244; -bill, 99; -holiday, 237; -note, 99, 233 banking-account, 233, bank-management-engineer, 201 bank on, to, 227 bankrup, 352 banner-line, 584; -state, 148 banter, 126 Baptist, 462 bar, 334, 335, 339, 349 bar, to, 199 Baraboo, 533 Barb, 519 barb, to, 192, 374 Barbara, 519 barbaratorium, 179 barbecue, 112, 247 Barber, 486, 496 barber, 373 barberia, 177 Bar-B-Q, 209 bard, 349 Barefoot, 505 bargain, to, 269 bargain-counter, 227 Bar Harbor, 391 baritone, 390 bark, 390 Barkly, 503 bark up the wrong tree, to, 100, 143 barly, 402 barm, 349 barmaid, 243 barman, 236, 243 bar-mitzva, 217 barn, 7, 12, 122 Barnegat, 533 Barnum, 537 barque, 390 barracon, 153 barrel, 359; -house, 149

barren, 536 barrens, 115 barrister, 245 barrow, 235 bartender, 37, 150, 236, Bartholomew, 515 Bartolomeo, 509 Barton, 489 Bartoszewicz, 489 Baruch, 500 barytone, 300 Bashie, 521 Basil, 511, 512 basin, 260 basketeria, 177 bas-relief, 338 bassaris, 214 basswood, 115 bastard, 293, 314 bat, 150 batfest, 218 bath, 233 bathe, 252 bathing-suit, 233 bathtub, 233 bathtub-gin, 580 batl, 399 batman, 30 Baton Rouge, 537, 542; -an, 550 batteau, 108, 151 Battenberg, 500 battery, 236 batting-average, 191 battle, 399 battle, to, 199 battleship, 583 battle-wagon, 582 Bauer, 483 bauer, 157 baugh, 482 Baughman, 479, 482 baulk, 389 Bauman, 483 bawd, 349 bawl, to, 198 bawl out, to, 198 Bay, 492, 552 bay, 124 Bayard, 516 bayberry, 124 Bayle, 481 bayou, 98, 151 Bay State, 101 bay-window, 128

bazaar, 234 bazoo, 557 b.d.t., 209 be, to, 427, 445, 613 beach, 236; -comber, 231 beagle, 580 bean, 328, 341, 428, 557, 563 beanery, 176 Bear Creek, 529 beat, to, 428 beaten, 428 Beatha, 521 beatingest, 143 Beatrice, 541 beat the Dutch, to, 259 beat up, to, 198 Beauchamp, 480, 503, 522 Beaufort, 541 beau pré, 105 beaut, 169, 564 beautician, 179, 229, 284, 288 beautiful, 463 beautifuler, 420 beautifuller, 463 beautifullest, 463 beautorium, 179 beaux-arts, 411 Beaver, 514, 529 beaver, 536 Beaver moon, 106 became, 428 becassine, 214 becaus, 404 because, 339 Becker, 485 become, to, 428 becuz, 339 bed, 308 bed-bug, 86, 233, 310 Beddow, 495 bed-rock, 227 Bedrosian, 497 bed-spread, 144 Bee, 537 beech, 124 Beecham, 503 beef, 128 beef, to, 609 beefsteak, 155 beeg, 368 bee-hive, 583 bee-line, 116, 231 been, 328, 341, 373, 374, 427, 441

beer, 243; -garden, 112, 155, 219; -mallet, 236, 244; -sort, 112 bee's knees, 561 bees too bee busy, 260 Beethead, 552 beet, 228; -root, 228 began, 428, 440 began (n), 428 beggar, 368 begin, to, 422, 428, 447 begob, 160 begorry, 160 begun, 428, 441 begunnon, 428 behavior problem, 293 behaviorist, 178 behaviour, 395 behind, 252 Behla, 496 behoove, to, 415 behove, 392 behove, to, 415 Be-jesus Be-johnson, 510 bel, 403 Bela, 496 Belair, 533 Bel Air, 533 belch, 309 belch, to, 578 Beldo, 493 beleev, 381 belgiumize, to, 193 Belgrade, 530 Belič, 489 believ, 403 belittle, to, 7, 14, 22, 100, 118, 224 Belko, 489 Bellair, 533 Bellaire, 533 bell-boy, 227 Belle Aire, 533 Belle Ayr, 533 beller, 353 Bellevue, 533 Bellfontaine, 525 bell-hop, 145, 186, 263 Bello, 493 bellow, 602 Bellows, 493 bell-ringer, 583 belly, 309 bellyache, to, 196 belly-laugh, 560 belly-robber, 573

belovéd, 438 Belsnickel, 159 belt, 239 Belva Dula, 522 Belvidere, 543 Ben, 519 ben, 341, 428 bend, 260 bend, to, 428 benefice, 248 Ben Hur, 534, 537 Benjamin, 506, 515 Benld, 538 Bensonhurst, 529 Bent, 523 bent, 528, 538 berceuse, 347 -berg, 500 Berg, 484 Berger, 484 Berinthia, 522 Berkeley, 503 Berkeleyan, 549 Berks County Dutchman, 550 Berlin, 530 Bernalilo, 534 Bérnard, 504 Berneita, 521 Bernheimer, 498 Bernstein, 499 berries, 45 Bert, 506, 507, 519 Bertha, 510 Besma, 522 bespoke, 234 Bess, 511 Bessie, 507 best, 463 best, to, 199 best bib and tucker, 232 best end, 236 best end of the neck, 236 best of the bunch, 565 bet, to, 428 betcha, 258 Beth, 519 Bethene, 521 betrayed, 302, 310 Betsy, 511 Bettelarm, 501 better, 463 betterment, 145 betterments, 98 better'n, 464 Betts, 483

Betty, 507, 509, 511, 519 between, 472 Betz, 483 Beulah, 535 Beverly, 520 beyond, 343 bhoy, 162 B.I., 579 Bianca, 509 Bias, 537 Bible, 505, 584 bible, to, 196, 578 Bible Belt, 230, 239 bid, 239 biergarten, 112 bierstube, 219 biff, 564 biff, to, 609 big, 368, 377; -chief, 106; -day, 580; -fella, 226; -gun, 144; -horse, 577, 580; -ox, 583; -shot, 580; -stick, 174 Big Baby, 514 Big Chimney, 537 Bighorse, 514 bil, 401, 403 Bilbo, 505 bild, 402, 403 bile, 102, 161, 346 Bilious, 505 Bill, 509, 512, 519 bill, 159, 233; -board, 95, 233, 266; -fold, 239; -of-lading, 238; -poster, Bille, 537 billiards-saloon, 237 billiard-studio, 292 billion, 144, 255 bilt, 381, 384 Biltmore, 538 bimeby, 129 bin, 341, 428 bind, to, 428 bindery, 176 bindle, 582 bindle-stiff, 582 bing, 184 bingle, 263 bint, 569 biograph, to, 194 biology, 604 biorque, 214 bird, 367, 564 Birdene, 521

Birdie, 507 birdie, 562 Birdsong, 485 Birmingham, 540 Birquelle, 487 biscuit, 123, 233, 234, 255, 267 bishop, 149 biskit, 406 Bismarck, 529, 537 bit, 580 bitch, 301, 302 bit of a lad, 569 bitten, 428, 429, 444 bitter, 244 Bittinger, 484 bizar, 401 Bizjak, 489 bj, 490 Bjerstjerne, 510 Björnson Björnstjerne, Black, 482, 485, 489 Blackbear, 514 blackbird, 124 black-coated, 230 blacked, 247 black-eye, 12 Blackfoot, 485 black-hand, 222 black-ink, 255 blackleg, 239 black-stripe, 149 black treacle, 235 -blad, 491 Bladder, 159, 584 blah, 185, 557 blame, to, 199 blamed, 375 Blancamano, 493 blanch, 335 blanche, 214 Blanco, 493 bland, 337 blankety, 316 blarneyfest, 218 blasphemious, 353 blast, 182, 184, 315 blasting-engineer, 290 blather, 338 Blaustein, 500 Blauvelt, 481 bleachers, 191 bleater, 587 Bleba, 521 bled, 429

bleed, to, 429 bleeding, 316 blessed-event, 561 blew, 429, 440 blighty, 573 blimp, 573 blind, 36; -baggage, 147; -pig, 149; -tiger, 100 blintzes, 217 blizzard, 144, 219, 231, 568 Bloch, 482 Block, 482 block, 12, 239, 247, 554, blockhead, 563 Block Island, 533 block of flats, 233, 247 block of service-flats, 233 blond, 411, 412 blonde, 411, 412 blood, 312, 382 blood count, to, 197 blood disease, 307 blooded, 120 bloodfest, 218 blood-poison, 304 bloody, 311, 314, 316 Bloom, 482 Blooma, 522 Bloomer, 523 bloomer, 144 Bloomingdale, 498 blotter, 243 blotto, 573 blow, 315, 602 blow, to, 118, 429, 440, 566, 58x blowed, 429, 440 blow in, to, 227 blown, 429, 440 blow-out, 144 blow smoke, to, 583 blud, 382 blue, 231, 345 Blue Ball, 536 blue-blazer, 149 blue-grass, 114 Blue Hen's Chicken, 552 Bluehill, 539 Blue Jay, 537 blue-law, 150 bluf, 401, 403 bluff, 3, 57, 115, 231 bluff, to, 231, 609 Blum, 482, 484

Blumenthal, 498 blummie, 100 blurb, 557 blustiferous, 568 blut, 312 blutarm, 312 blutwurst, 155 bo, 170, 581; bo-, 491 B. O., 586 boar, 302, 308 board, 349 boarded, 604 board-school, 241 boat, 182 bob, to, 373 bobateria, 177, 179 bobatorium, 179 bobby, 243 Bobo, 505 bobolink, 12 Bob Ruly, 533 bob-sled, 115 boche, 295 bock-beer, 112, 155 bodacious, 359 boddy, 397 body, 397; -snatcher, 577 boedel, 109 bog, 115, 246 bogie, 147 bogue, 214 Boguehomme, 547 bogus, 108, 120, 231, 373, 538 Bohdan, 511 Bohdanka, 511 bohee, 295 boheme, to, 192 bohick, 205 bohoe, 295 bohunk, 263, 295 boid, 350, 367 boil, 346 Boileau, 495 boiled, 568; -dinner, 247; -shirt, 144 boiler, 585 Bois Boulogne, 348 Boiseite, 549 boko, 260 Bolander, 491 boll-weevil-expert, 291 bologna, 391 boloney, 391, 263, 560, bolt, to, 148

bolter, 148 bolts, 119 bom, 402 Boman, 491 bomb, 580 bonanza, 152 Bonaparte, 478 Bon Cœur, 481 bond, 244 bonds, 244 bone-dry, 565 bone-head, 187, 563, 564 bone-orchard, 577 bones, 566 bonnet, 236 Bonne Terre, 542 Bon Pas, 482 Bon Secour, 539 Bons Enfants, 547 boob, 37, 170, 263 boobarian, 560 boobariat, 560 booberati, 560 booboisie, 560 boobomaniac, 560 boobuli, 560 boocoo, 573 boocoop, 573 boodle, 108, 231 boodle, to, 148 boodler, 148 boogie, 296 Boohum, 524 book-concern, 150 bookdom, 178 Booker, 485 bookfest, 218 booking-clerk, 238 booking-office, 147 bookseller's shop, 99 bookstore, 12, 99 boom, 167, 227, 565, 602 boom, to, 93, 141, 167, 227 boomer, 142, 582, 583 boom-town, 142 Boomy, 524 boor, 614 boost, 171 boost, to, 35, 141, 231, 567 booster, 47, 263, 565 boot, 122, 158, 233, 235, 266, 344, 391 bootchkey, 295 bootee, 12 bootery, 176, 266 bootician, 179, 288

Bootjack, 524 bootlace, 235 boot-legger, 149, 288, 565 boot-maker, 122, 266 boots, 85, 247 boot-shop, 122, 266 booze, 244, 566; -fest, 218; -foundry, 564; -hister, 346 boozer, 244 boozery, 176 Boozeville, 536 borax-house, 218 Borbély, 496 Bordeaux, 348 Borecký, 512 bored, 349 boredom, 178 boric-boracic, 415 boro, 402, 403, 539 borracho, 153 borrow, to, 433 bosch, 532 bosom, 302 boss, 24, 69, 98, 108, 231, 372, 570, 609 boss, to, 609 boss around, to, 262 boss-rule, 148 Boston, 527, 528 Boston Common, 115 Bostonia, 529, 548 bother, 315 bottle, 587, 603 bottom, to, 15 bottom, 359; -dollar, 144; -land, 99, 115; -round, 236 bottoms, 115 Bouchevaldre, 487 Boughman, 479 bought, 429 boughten, 425, 429, 438, boulevard, 546, 547 bouncer, 142, 149, 236, 245 bound, 428 bound'ry, 353 Bourbon, 547 bourbon, 263 bourgeois, 250 bout, 182 bower, 157 Bowers, 483 Bowery, 533 bowl, 368

bowler, 234, 239 bowling-alley, 236 bowls, 248 Bowman, 479, 483, 491 bowsprit, 105 Bowzar, 524 box-canyon, 152 box-car, 146, 238 box-engineer, 290 Boyd, 367 boyologist, 179 bozart, 411 Bozo, 497 bozo, 564, 585 Bozoian, 497 bracelet, 335 braces, 85, 235, 239, 391 bracken, 115 brains, 583 brain-trust, 186 brainy, 143 brake, 429 brake-van, 238 brakie, 572 branch, 115, 334, 336, 337 brand-new, 352 brandy-champarelle, 149 brandy-crusta, 149 brang, 429 Brasby, 523 brash, 143 brassière, 347 brass-mounted, 137 brass-pounder, 583 Braswell, 516 Braun, 477, 483 Braunstein, 477, 499 brave, 108 bravo, 108 bread-basket, 566 breadery, 176 breadstuffs, 12, 35, 120, 122 break, 235 break, to, 429 breakaway, 587 break away, to, 609 breakbone, 563 breakfast, 240 breast, 302, 303, 308, 309 bred, 381, 401, 403 Bredevelt, 485 breeze, to, 16, 578 breezer, 579 brekfast, 401 brekfest, 403

brekker, 568 Bremen, 530 bresh, 360 brest, 381 brethren, 353, 614 breve, 250 brevier, 250 brevis, 250 Brian, 487 briar, 392, 393 briars, 580 bribd, 402 brick, 603 brickstein, 150 Bridgewater, 479 brief a barrister, to, 246 brief-case, 246 brier, 393 brigadier, 283 brigghity, 110 Brigham, 516 brile, 346 Brill, 482 brilliant, 250 bring, to, 429 brioche, 214 briqué, 214 brisbanality, 175 Bristol, 527, 528 broad, 305, 577 broad-bean, 260 broadcast, to, 197, 439 broadcasted, 439 broad-gauge man, 147 Broadway, 546 Brodie, 578 broil, 346 broiled, 234 broiler, 240 broke, 422, 429, 565 broken, 428, 429 broker, 244 bromid, 401 Bromide, 536 bromide, 227 bromo-seltzer, 172 bronc, 152, 170 bronchitis, 341 bronco, 152 bronichal, 353 Bronislawa, 510 Brookfreed, 485 Brooklyn, 532; -ite, 549 broom, 344 brother, 280, 614; -act, 562

brought, 420 Brown, 477, 478, 483, 486, 498, 514 brown, 247; -skin, 296 Bruce, 492 Brühl, 482 brung, 423, 429, 437 brush off a hat, to, 251 brush your hat off, 198 brusk, 394 Bruss, 492 bryanize, to, 193 Bryant, 516 Bryn Jenkins, 546 Bryn Jones, 529 b. s., 209 bt, 401 Bubble, 536 Bucher, 485 buchershelf, 159 buchta, 216 Buchwalter, 487 Buck, 520 buck, 302, 308, 582 buck, to, 263 buckaroo, 152 bucket, 243; -shot, 228 Buckeye, 101, 552 buckeye, 12 Buck moon, 106 buck-private, 573 buckra, 98, 112, 113 buckshot, 308 buck the tiger, to, 143 buckwheat, 85; -cake, 12 Bud, 510 budge, to, 126 Buena, 543 Buerckel, 487 Buffalo, 529, 536; -nian, buffalo, 296 Buffalo Creek, 536 buffer, 238 buffet, 292, 347 bug, 12, 86, 233, 310, 577 bugaboo, 144 Bug-eater, 552 bug-eye, 117 bugger, 314 buggy, 583 bug-house, 186 Bugtown, 554 build, to, 429 builder-upper, 586 building, 414

building-lot, 121 build-up, 576 built, 384, 429, 438 bull, 286, 301, 302, 308, 309, 361, 577, 585; -fist, 218, 581; -frog, 114, 308; -snake, 12 Bulldog, 536 bulldoze, to, 141, 142, 263 bulldozing, 148 Bull Head, 514 Bull Run, 535 bullsh, 378 Bulltown, 537 bully, 229 bullyrag, to, 232 Bulow, 410 Bülow, 410 Bulpitt, 505 bum, 92, 155, 156, 170, 263, 306, -bum, 500 310, bum, to, 156 bum-bailiff, 310 bum food, 156 bummelei, 156 bummelig, 156 bummelleben, 156 bummeln, 156 bummelzug, 156 bummer, 93, 156 bummery, 156 bumming-place, 156 bummler, 156 bump, 184 bump, to, 564, 586 bump a block, 261 bumped off, to be, 40 bumper, 147, 238 bump off, to, 578 Bumpus, 482 bum's-rush, 156 bum steer, 156 bunco, 92; -steerer, 37 buncombe, 92, 144, 148, 225 -bund, 219 bundling, 12 bung-starter, 149, 236, 244 bunk, 92, 170; -shooter, 263 Bunker, 481 bunker, 562 bunkum, 558, 572 Bunnie, 552 bunt, to, 191

Buonaparte, 479 burden, 389 bureau, 12, 100, 108, 254 bureaucracy, 406 bureau-of-information, 238 burg, 263, 539 burgh, 539 Burgh de Walter, 479 burglarize, to, 93 burgle, to, 141, 192 burial-abbey, 288 buried, to be, 581 Burl, 522 burlesk, 406 burly, 128 burn, to, 429, 581 Burnett, 503 Burning Bear, 536 burnt, 429, 536 buro, 403 burocracy, 406 burp, to, 309, 564 burro, 152; -load, 152; -trail, 152; -train, 152; -weed, 152 Burroughs, 499 burst, 429, 439, 602 burthen, 389 Burton, 498 Burtyce, 521 bury deep, to, 465 bury the hatchet, 106, 232 bush, 108, 532; -fighting, 108: -league, 108; -leaguer, 191; -ranger, 108: -town, 108; -whacker, 108 bushel, 462 busher, 108 bushwah, 301 business-block, 247 business-suit, 235 bust, 92, 102, 150, 185, 350, 422, 439 bust, to, 429 busted, 429, 439 buster, 102 bust-head, 429, 568 Busyjack, 489 but, 203 butch, to, 192 Butcher, 496 butcher, 344; -shop, 587 Butrus, 513

Butt, 499 butt, to, 198 butte, 151 Butte Creek, 535 butterine, 86 butter-krust, 407 Buttermilk, 505 butter-muslin, 234 butter no parsnips, to, 565 butter-nut, 114 butt in, to, 198 buttinski, 103, 222 Buttle, to, 192 buttonwood, 12 but what, 454 buy, to, 429 buz, 401, 403 Buzzard, 552 buzzard, 587 buzz-saw, 144 B. V. D., 208 by God, 316 by gosh, 316 by gum, 316 by Jove, 313 by-law, 243 Byrd, 367 by 'r Lady, 312 Byron, 516 by way of being, 256 c, 383, 386, 394, 401, 402, 404 cab, 169, 343 cabaret, 347 cabeca, 216 Cabinet, 245 cablegram, 171 caboodle, 231 caboose, 146, 238 Cabot, 498 cach, 400 cache, 98, 108, 182 cacodemonize, to, 117 cacogen, 175 cactuses, 413 caddy, 579 -cade, 180 cadet, 283 Cadogen, 503 Caesar, 523 café, 177, 292, 347, 409 cafeteria, 176, 221, 228 cag, 383, 384 cage, 583 cahoots, 263 Caillé, 481

Cain, 498 Cairo, 541 Caitlin, 513 cake-eater, 561 cakery, 176 caketeria, 177 cake-walk, 145 Cal, 183 calaboose, 98, 152, 263 calabozo, 152 Calais, 348 calamity-howler, 145 calculate, to, 24, 99 cald, 401, 403 Caldeno, 538 calendar, 246 Calexico, 537 calf-slobber, 583 caliber, 394 calibre, 383 California, 349 call, to, 191, 198, 613 call down, to, 198 called to the bar, to be, 246 Callowhill, 503 calm, 334, 335, 338, 371 calox, 173 calumet, 106 calv, 461 calvary, 353 Calvert, 540 calves, 335 Calvin, 512, 517 Cam, 522 Cambria, 530 Cambridge, 527 Camdenite, 549 came, 430 camelcade, 179 camerado, 75 Cameron, 486 camino, 153 camouflage, 347 Camp, 503 campaign, 228 camp-meeting, 116, 150 campo santo, 153 campus, 144, 263 can, 228, 243, 334, 339, 420, 446, 581 can, to, 199, 263 Canaan, 535 canary, 587 canary's tusks, 561 canch, 361

can cha, 446 cand, 402 candidacy, 30, 148, 245 candidate, 283 candidature, 245 candour, 395 c. & s., 209 candy, 41, 234; -shop, 266; -store, 234; -studio, 202 candyteria, 177 cane-brake, 116 canitist, 179 canned, 568; -goods, 234; -music, 186 cannibal, 112 cannon, 236, 578 canoe, 3, 57, 112, 155 canon, 248, 279 cañon, 411 canoodle, to, 142 can-opener, 234 can't, 334, 336, 446 Cantabrigian, 550 can't come it, 99 Canton, 537 can't you, 446 canuck, 151, 295 canvas-back, 114 canyon, 57, 152, 231, 411, 543 Cap, 523 cap, 170, 614 Cape May, 533 capitalize, to, 101 capitan, 153 capitol, 11 Capone, 494 capote Anglaise, 296 captain, 243, 273, 274, 583 cap the climax, to, 142 car, 85, 182, 247, 335 caracter, 401 Caranguejo, 495 carborundum, 172, 173 carburetor, 559 card, 101 Cardozo, 501 care, 339 careless, 466 carencro, 214 Caress, 537 care-taker, 235, 247 caribou, 104, 105 Carl, 506, 510 Carlo, 509

Carlotta, 500 Carlson, 492 carnal connection, 303 carniceria, 177 carnival, 145; of crime, 145 Carol, 506 Carolinas, 526 carom, 236 caroussel, 236 Carpenter, 484, 485, 498 carpet-bagger, 144, 148, 227, 228, 558 carriage, 85; -paid, 238, 240; -rug, 237 carrid, 401, 403 carrier, 147 carriole, 108 Carroll of Carrollton, 504 carry-all, 108, 117, 582 carry on, to, 265 cars, 147 cart, 334 Carthage, 530 Carthagenian, 551 car-toad, 583 carton, 347 cartoon, 347 cart-wheel, 564 carv, 401 car-washery, 176 carwhacker, 583 casa, 152 Casalegno, 493 Casbergue, 487 cascaret, 173 case, 287 case, to, 578 casement-window-engineer, 290 case work, 292 Casey, 487, 510 cashateria, 177 cash in, to, 191 Casitas Springs, 534 casket, 287; -coach, 287 casketeria, 177 Cassels, 503 Cassia, 503 cast, to, 429, 439 casted, 429, 439 casting-couch, 587 Castle, 485 castrate, to, 302 Castro, 494 Cataline, 509

catalog, 391, 399, 400, 402, 403, 406 catalogue, 406 Catalpa, 522 catalpa, 104, 105 catapult, 239 catarrh, 360 catawba, 106 cat-bird, 114 cat-boat, 86, 116, 117 cat-burglar, 243 catcal, 380 catch, 339, 400 catch, to, 429 catched, 429 Catchpole, 505 catcht, 339 catch you up, to, 251 catch up, to, 251 caterpillar, 336 caterpillar-engineer, 289 Catfish, 536 catfish, 114 Cathill, 505 Cathleen, 513 Catholic, 249 catnip, 246 Cato, 523 Cato Sabo, 524 cats, 257 Catskill, 532 cat's pajamas, 561 catsup, 391 cattle, 368 catty-cornered, 128 caucauasu, 107 caucus, 57, 98, 102, 107, 147, 225 caucusdom, 225 caucuser, 225 caught, 339, 429 cauliflower-ear, 562 cause-list, 246 cavalieress, 101 Cavannah, 524 cave in, to, 99, 558 cawcawaassough, 107 cayuse, 150 C.B., 183 C.C.C., 209 cedarblade, 491 cede, 401 cecil, 516, 518, 519 Cecil Manor, 546 Cedar Bayou, 535 Cedric, 518

ceed, 401 cellarette, 178 cellarman, 243 cellophane, 172 celotex, 173 Cement, 536 Cenék, 511 census, to, 196 cent, 116 centenary, 325 center, 393, 402, 539 Centerville, 537 centre, 383 centurion, 398 cereal, 227 cerebras, 413 ceremony, 325 Cerné, 489 Cerro, 543 certain, 6, 339 certainly, 253, 467 Cerviček, 486 cesspool, 128 ch, 335, 382, 384, 401, 404 chafer, 304 chaff, 336 chafing-dish, 227 chained, 375 chain-gang, 144 chain-store, 229, 234 chair, 302, 339, 614; -car, 147, 238; -warmer, 62, 145, 186 chairman, 244 chaise, 382 Chalene, 521 Challman, 491 chamber, 335 chambers, 247 chamois, 343, 347 chamois, to, 194 Champ, 522 champ, 169, 346 champeen, 346 champion, 346 chancellor, 241 Chancie, 522 chanct, 360 Chandler, 498 change, to, 302 change cars, to, 147 channel, 246 chant, 334, 337 chaparejos, 152 chaparral, 98, 152 chapel, 249, 268, 287

chaperon, 126 chaps, 152, 263 chapter, 284 char, 128, 266 char-a-banc, 237 character, 376, 382 charade, 338 chargé, 410 charged, 234 charge-sheet, 243 Charity, 516 Charles, 506, 507, 510, 512, 515, 516, 520, 527 Charleston, 497, 591 Charley, 510 charley-horse, 191 Charlie, 513 Charline, 521 Charlotte, 507, 529 Chartres, 547 charwoman, 128, 266 chase, 115 chase one's self, to, 609 chaser, 150 Chatahospee, 539 chauf, to, 192 Chauffe, 487 chauffeur, 347 Chauncey, 512, 516 chautauqua, 221 chaw, 161, 570 Cheapside, 546 cheap-skate, 187 check, 159, 389, 391, 604 check, to, 198 check against, to, 198 check-book, 244 checkers, 236 check in, to, 198 checkingumin, 105 check out, to, 198 check over, 198 check-room, 238 check up, to, 198, 229 check with, to, 198 chee, 462 cheek, 375 cheer, 339 cheerio, 265, 269, 573 cheese, 462; -cloth, 234 cheese it, 562 Cheesewright, 505 chef, 347 cheka, 209 chelly, 375 chemist, 234, 385, 401

chemist's-shop, 234 Chemquasabamticook, 539 cheque, 390, 391, 392; -book, 244 chequered, 392 Cherubim, 516 cheskey, 295 chest, 340, 346 Chester, 508, 510, 515, 516 chest of drawers, 254 chevalier, 382, 384 Chevy Chase, 115 chewing-gum, 231 chew the rag, to, 198, 573, 609 chianti, 222 Chiariglione, 493 Chicago, 528; -an, 548; -rilla, 552, 561 chick, 375 chicken, 240, 569 chickenburger, 220 chicken-yard, 234 chiclet, 173 chico, 153 chicory, 260 chief, 182; -constable, 243; -lithographer, 289; of police, 243; of the ushers, 289 chiffon, 347 chigger, 152 chigoe, 152 chigre, 152 Chilberg, 490 chilblained, 558 children, 353, 614 childs, 614 child-welfare, 588 chile, 152 chile con carne, 221 Chilgren, 491 Chilson, 512 Chilstrand, 491 chimbley, 353 chimist, 385 chimly, 22 chimny, 402 China jack, 373 Chinberg, 490 chinch, 128, 152, 233 chinche, 152 Chindbloom, 490 Chinee, 111, 461

chink, 295 chink, to, 141 chinkapin, 104, 105 Chinlund, 490 chin-music, 187 chip in, to, 191, 609 chipped beef, 144 chippy, 305 chiquito, 153 chiropodist, 288 chiropract, to, 196 chiropractic, 263 chiropractor, 179 chirotonsor, 288 chisel, to, 567 chist, 346 chit, 376 chlorid, 394 Chloride, 536 chloride, 604 chlorine, 341 Chmielewski, 489 chocolate, 112, 152 chocolateria, 177 choir, 612, 614 cholic, 382 Cholmondeley, 503, 605 chomp, to, 346 choo-choo, 240 choose, to, 429 Chopper, 488 chops, 236 263; chop-sucy, 162, -joint, 162 chore, 128, 234, 266 chorine, 180 chortle, 171 chorus, 382 chose, 429 chosen, 429 Chotau, 495 Choto, 495 Chouteau, 495 chow, 162, 376, 573 chowder, 108 chow-mein, 162 chowmeinery, 176 Christ, 305, 318 Christiaanse, 485 Christiania, 530 Christians, 485 Christides, 486 Christie, 486 Christkind'l, 156 Christkindlein, 156 Christopher-Columbus-

Who-Discovered-America, 523 Christos, 512 Chrome, 536 chromo, 169 Chronos, 486 chrysanthe'em, 353 chuck, 236, 582 chucker-out, 236 Chumly, 503 chump, 129 chump-bone chops, 236 chunky, 120 church, 249, 489 churchman, 249 chute, 98, 151 Cicognani, 494 cider, 389 cigaret, 401, 403 cigarette, 325; -butt, 234; -end, 234 Cilstrom, 490 cinch, 37, 152, 565 cinch, to, 152 cincho, 152 cinder-cruncher, 583 Cinderella, 537 cinema, 95, 237 cipher, 390, 396 circuit-rider, 150 circus, 547; -catch, 191; -play, 562 cite, to, 199 cited as correspondent, to be, 310 citified, 141 citizenize, to, 140 cits, 573 City, 244, 292 city, 539 City editor, 244 City man, 244 city ordinance, 243 city-stock, 244 civilizee, 75 civil-servant, 243 civvies, 573 ck, 386 claim, to, 199, 210 claim-agent, 239 claim-jumper, 144 clam-baker, 247 clamburger, 220 Clam-catcher, 552 clam-chowder, 247

clam-jamphrie, 568

clamorous, 395 clamour, 395 clang, 430 clangorous, 395 clangour, 395 clapboard, 99 clap-boarded, 116 clapperdogeon, 577 Clarence, 507 Clarene, 521 Clark, 520 Clary, 360 clas, 401 clash, 182, 184 clasp, 334, 335, 336 class, 241, 336, 368; -day, classic, 211 classification-yard, 239 classy, 93, 464 clatter, 577 Claude, 518, 524 clawhammer, 86, 144, 167 cleaneteria, 177 cleanlily, 467 cleanly, 340, 467 clean up, 37, 183 clearance, to, 195 clearing, 115 clear the track, to, 147 Clem, 519 Clement, 519 Clendolia, 524 clergyman, 249 clerk, 85, 86, 123, 255 clerk, to, 118 Cleveland, 516, 529 clever, 6, 24, 25, 99, 101, 128 click, to, 560 cliff, 115 Clifford, 516 clift, 360 climb, to, 430, 436 climbed, 436 Cline, 483 cling, to, 430 cling-stone, 114 Clinton, 537 clipped, 438 clipping, 239 clipt, 438 cloak-room, 238 clockologist, 179 clock-watcher, 187 clodhopper, 128

cloister, 288 clomb, 416, 430, 436 clorid, 401 close, 352 close-call, 227, 570 closed season, 236 close season, 236 closet, 310 close-up, 227 closure 394 clothes-peg, 234 clothes-pin, 234 clothing-engineer, 290 clothing store, 27 cloture, 394 clôture, 394 cloud-burst, 144 clove, 100 Clove Valley, 532 clown, 577, 583; -wagon, 583 Clown Alley, 584 club, 243; -car, 147; -sandwich, 247 clue, 393 clumb, 430, 441 clumsy, 558 clung, 430 cmear, 443 c'n, 445 C.O., 573 coal-oil, 234 coal-operator, 256 coal-owner, 256 Coal Run, 536 coast, to, 141 coatee, 141 coax, to, 126 Coba, 521 cocain, 411 cocaine, 411 cock, 85, 235, 301, 304, cockarouse, 107 cockchafer, 304 cockerel, 235 Cockey, 485 cock-eyed, 308, 568 cockroach, 112, 296, 301, cocksure, 126, 308 cocktail, 149, 155, 604 cocktail-room, 292 cocotte, 305 C.O.D., 205, 208 codfish, 93, 143

Cody, 537 co-ed, 86, 170, 263 Coelo, 521 Coenties, 533 Coeur d'Alene, 541 cof, 403 coffee, 604; -engineer, coffin-nail, 186 coffin-varnish, 568 coffin-warehouse, 27 Cogenhoe, 503 cohanize, to, 193 Cohen, 477, 478, 487, 498 Cohn, 498 cohoss, 119 coiner, 243 coive, 367 Coke, 485 coke, 170 cold-deck, 191 cold-feet, 227, 573 Cold moon, 106 cold-slaw, 411 cold-snap, 100, 115, 144, 231, 570 Cold Spring, 536 Coldwater, 536 Coleman, 499, 513 cole-slaw, 108, 263, 411 Colgate, 516 Colice, 521 collar-button, 234 collar-stud, 234 collateral, 145, 231 collecker, 568 collecting-stage, 260 college, 580; -engineer, 291; -widow, 186 collegiate, 581 Collenberg, 483 collide, to, 141, 231 collide head-on, to, 147 Colonel, 552 colonel, 273, 274, 283, 612 coloniarch, 16 colony, 293 color, 402 Colorado, 339, 543 colored, 231, 300 colorful, 229 colorific, 395 colorous, 395 colour, 395 colourable, 396

coloured, 396 Columbard, 548 Columbia Maypole, 522 Columbian, 551 Columbus, 517, 519 columnist, 178 Colwich, 537 com, 402 comb, 402 Comba, 524 combe, 115 combinations, 236 combine, 231 combust, to, 192 come, 422; -back, 183, 186, 227, 565; -down, 144, 227; -on, 565, 576; -onter, 150 come, to, 423, 430, 436, comed, 436 come in collision, to, 231 come out at the little end of the horn, to, 100, 143, 198 come to stay, to, 227 come up, to, 434 comisario, 153 command, 334 commencement, 242; -engineer, 290 commissioner, 283 committee, 245 common, 115 common-loafer, 156 communicable disease, 306 community-chest, 588 commutation, 31; -ticket, commute, to, 147, 192 commuter, 147, 238 company, 245, 344, 414; -lawyer, 246 comparativ, 403 compensate, 325 com(p)fort, 439 complected, 120 complex, 210, 211 compromit, to, 7, 119 Compton, 516 con, 170, 582, 583 conant, 376 conbobberation, 568 concededly, 167

concentrate, to, 325 Concepcion, 535 concertize, to, 141 conch, 378 conciet, 402, 403 condensery, 176 Conder, 524 condit, 402 conduct, to, 99 conductor, 85, 146, 239, 263, 273 conductorette, 178 cone, 303 confab, 183 confessional-address, 220 confirmand, 220 confiscate, 325 conflagrative, 22, 119 Congress, 11 congressional, 98, 119 conjure, 344 conk, 576 con-man, 263 Conn, 498 connexion, 390, 392 conniption, 144, 263 Conola, 522 conscious, 211 conservatory, 227 considerable, 25 consignment-note, 238 consistent, 211 consociational, 98, 140 consols, 244 constable, 243, 344 Constantine, 512 Constantinopoulos, 485 constituency, 120, 245 constitution, 614 contact, to, 194 contact-manager, 288 container-engineer, 200 contested election, 245 controled, 406 controlled, 406 controversialist, 165 conventionitis, 179 convocation, 248 coo, 602 Cook, 485, 488, 496 cook, to, 580 cook-book, 234 cook coffee, to, 215 cookery-book, 234 cookey, 108 cookie, 234

cooking-stove, 234 cook-stove, 234 cooler, 149, 580 coon, 153, 169, 170, 264, 206 Coons, 482, 483 COOP, 344 Cooper, 481, 485 cooper, 344 cooter, 113 cootie, 573 cop, 40, 243 c.o.p., 209 cop a mope, to, 581 copious, 128 Copoulos, 486 copper-bellied, 137 copper-head, 114 Coraopolis, 530 corbigeau, 214 cord, 247 cord, to, 118 cord-wood, 128 Corey, 494, 496 Corlaers's Hook, 532 corn, 7, 12, 42, 85, 106, 122, 234; -belt, 144, 239; -brake, 122: -broom, -cake, 122; 122; -cob, 122; -crib, 115, 122; -cutter, 122; -dodger, 122; 115, -factor, 245; -fed, 122; -flour, 234; -fodder, 122; 122; -fritter, -hook, -grater, 122; 122; -husk, 122; -juice, 122, 149, 568; -knife, 122; -market, 256; -meal, 122, 234; -pone, -shuck, 122; -snake, 122; -stalk, 122; -starch, 122, 234 corned-beef, 352 corned willie, 573 corner, 544 corner, to, 141, 231 corner-loafer, 156, 256 Cornhill, 546 Cornielsen, 481 Corn Laws, 122 Corn moon, 106 Cornstalk, 537 corp, 461 corporation, 244; -lawyer, 246; -profits, 244

corpse, 287; -maker, 137; -reviver, 149 corral, 92, 152 corral, to, 152 Correia, 494 correspondence-engineer, 290 corridor, 238 corset, 301 Cortlandt, 532 corus, 401 cos, 260 cosma, 413 cosmetician, 179, 288 Cosmopolis, 530 cosmos, 413 cost, to, 440 Costanza, 509 coster, 234; -monger, 234 Costilla, 543 cosy, 393 cotched, 429 Cotica, 524 cotilion, 406 cotillion, 406 Cotton, 499 cotton, 234; -belt, 239; -wood, 234 Cottonwood, 529 cottonwood, 536 Crossing. Cottonwood 554 couch, 254 Coughlin, 504 cough up, to, 227 coulda, 443, 444 coulee, 151 council, 245 Council Bluffs, 535 councillor, 243 council-school, 241, 243 counterfeiter, 243 counterfoils, 244 county, 414; -farm, 293; -home, 293 coupé, 347 coupla, 443, 471, 570 Courey, 496 court, 386, 547 courtesan, 305 courthouse, 539 Courtney, 512 Coury, 496 cove, 536 cover, 346 cow, 364; -beast,

-boy, 152; -brute, 306, 583; -cage, -catcher, 96, 146; college, 187; -creature, 301, 302; -critter, 302; -hand, 152; -juice, 582; -puncher, 152 Cowan, 498 cowcumber, 129 Cowhide, 536 cowhide, to, 114 Cow-Tail, 536 Cox, 308, 485 Coyne, 498 coyote, 150, 536 cozy, 393 crab, to, 263 crab-cocktail, 247 crabfest, 218 Crabtree, 495 Cracker, 552 cracker, 7, 101, 122, 123, 234, 267 crackerjack, 264 crack up, to, 143 Craig, 478 cranberry-bog, 115 crane-navvy, 239 crank, 145, 231 crap, 584 crape, 409 craps, 86, 264 crash, 182, 184 crash, to, 578, 581, 602 crass, 16 Crawfish, 536 crawfish, to, 142, 148 Crawthumper, 552 crayfish, 105 crazy-bone, 239 crazy-quilt, 116, 145 Crček, 489 cream-cracker, 235 creamery, 176 creature, 341 Credilla, 522 credit, 242 Creed, 522 creek, 7, 12, 70, 115, 121, 341, 609 creep, to, 430 Crenshawe, 503 creole, 112 creosote, 155 crep, 352, 430, 437

crêpe, 409

crescent, 547 Crespigny, 503 creton, 401 crevasse, 98, 151 crew, 430 crib, 583 cricket, 248 crickthatch, 119 Crile, 483 crime, 603 293; -assault, criminal, 290; -engineer, 304; -operation, 304 Crippiny, 503 crisco, 173 crise, to, 192 crispette, 173 Crist, 512 Cristsylf, 459 criteria, 412 criterions, 413 critic, 380 critikin, 118 crix, 586 croaker, 577 cronic, 401 Cronkhite, 483 crook, 167, 227, 565 crookdom, 178 crook the elbow, to, 150 crope, 430 crossed, 398 crossing-plate, 96, 146 cross, purposes, 128, 231 crossroads, 539 crosswordpuzzleitis, 179 crotchet, 250 croud, 384 crow, to, 430 crowd, 30, 383, 384 crowd out, to, 227 crowed, 430 crower, 308 crown, 116 crucified, 398 cruller, 108 crum, 402, 406 crumb, 406 crummy, 582, 583 crypt, 248 cuanto, 376 cub, 227 Cuba, 349 Cubéan, 353 cucaracha, 112 cuckoo, 562

Cueller, 487 Cuffee, 524 Cuffey, 524 Cuffy, 523, 524 cultus, 150 Cump, 517 cunning, 257 cupiding, 561 curate, 248, 249 curator, 325 curb, 393 curb, to, 199, 394 curet, 411 curette, 411 curio, 169 curled, 561 curricula, 412 curriculas, 413 Curry, 494 curse, 316 curse, to, 430 curse out, to, 229 Curtis, 486 Curtiss, 499 curv, 403 curve, 367 Curzon, 497 Cush, 524 cushion, 583 cuspidor, 216 cuss, 74, 169, 170, 231, 316, 350; -word, 167 cuss, to, 430 cussed, 430 cussedness, 145 cuss out, to, 430 Custer, 480 customable, 119 customize, to, 193 custom-made, 234 cut, 182, 227, 261 cut, to, 199, 430, 440, 580 cut across lots, to, 121 cut a swath, to, 142 cute, 120, 226 cutex, 173 cuticura, 173 cut no figure, to, 95 cut no ice, to, 232, 565 cut-off, 144 cut one's eye-teeth, to, 568 cut out, to, 38 cut paper-dolls, to, 562 cutting, 239 Cy, 252

cyar, 364 cyclery, 176 Cyclone, 537 cyclone, 231 cyder, 389 cymbi, 113 cypher, 389 Cyril, 518 cystoscope, to, 197 Czar, 537 czar, 390 Czeslaw, 510 d, 335, 348, 352, 360, 368, 375, 397, 401, 438, 447 da, 113 dachshund, 155, 347 da-da, 113 daffy, 464 dago, 86, 110, 231, 294, 295, 305; -red, 222 dahlia, 155 Daisette, 522 Dakoming, 537 Dakota, 526 dalite, 407 Dalsemer, 500 Dalsheimer, 500 Dame's Quarter, 537 damfino, 316 damn, 205, 313, 318, 464 damnation, 316, 317 damndest, 316 damned, 317, 464 damphool, 316 Dan, 509, 519 dance, 329, 335, 336, 337, 338 dance, to, 581 dance-hall, 227, 581 dance marathon, 180 dancethon, 180 dancingest, 463 D. & D., 208 dandy, 257 danger, 24 Daniel, 506, 509, 511, 515 Daniel of St. Thomas, 518 Daniels, 490 Danielson, 489 Dănila, 509 Daniliwsky, 490 dansant, 409 dansant-engineer, 290 Danylchenko, 489 Danylchuk, 489 Danylshyn, 489

dar, 339 Darby, 503 dare, 375 dare, to, 430 dared, 430 darken one's doors, to, 100, 119 dark-horse, 148 Darky, 520 darky, 120 Darlene, 521 darn, 316, 317 darned, 317 darnfoolski, 222 daSilva, 501 das'n't, 430 dast, 430 dat, 367 data, 338, 412 Dauphine, 547 Dauud, 513 davenport, 254 Davenporter, 549 David, 506, 510, 513, 515 Davidovitch, 498 Davis, 477, 498, 499 dawter, 381 day-coach, 147 daylight time, 234 dayyan, 217 D-Cady, 517 D. D., 273, 281 de-, 194 deacon, to, 140 dead as a door-nail, 570 dead-beat, 37, 144, 167 deader'n, 464 dead-head, 228 dead-head, to, 147 dead pan, 587 deaf, 340 deal, 182 deal, to, 430 dealt, 430 dean, 242, 248, 274, 279, 289; of women, 241 Deane, 507 dear, 311 death-knell, 587 debamboozle, to, 194 Debaun, 495 debenture, 244 De Bon, 495 debt, 383 debunk, to, 174, 194, 199, debunking, 174 debut, to, 192 début, 347, 409 débutante, 409 debutramp, 561 decalog, 400 deCasseres, 501 deceit, 380 deceived, 310 decent, 303, 308 deciev, 402, 403 deck, 144, 236 decoy, 325 ded, 403 deed, to, 7, 117 deep, 368, 465 Deering, 482 defect, 325 defence, 383, 394 defense, 386, 394 defensive, 394 defi, 169 deficit, 325 definit, 399, 401, 403 deft, 128 DeGrasse, 514 degree-day, 242 degrees of frost, 246 Degroff, 539 dehorning-engineer, 200 deign, 380 Dejcan, 481 dejelly, to, 194 De La Haye, 481 Delaware, 525, 530 Delaware Water Gap, 535 delayd, 403 Delhi, 540 de l'Hôtel, 482 delicate condition, 304 delicatessen, 155 delicatessens, 412 delinquent, 293 deliveress, 75 deliver the goods, to, 227 dell, 115 Delmar, 538 Del-Mar, 537 Delmarva, 538 Delmer, 523 delouse, to, 194 Delphia, 521 Delsey, 524 demagogue, to, 192, 196 demean, to, 121, 165 Demetrios, 512

Demikof, 478 bow-wows, deminition 317 demisemiquaver, 250 demmed, 317 demolition-engineer, 289 Demon Rum, 244 demonstrate, 325 Demopolis, 530 demoralize, to, 118 Demos, 512 De Moss, 512 Demosthenes, 512 dendanthropology, 117 Denis, 481 Dennis, 505 Denny, 481 dénouement, 400 Denva, 521 Deodolphus, 524 depart, 335 deposit-slip, 244 depot, 147, 151, 409 dépôt, 409 deputize, to, 7, 118 deputy-pro-chancellor, derange, to, 118 Derby, 503 derby, 234, 239 Dermen, 497 Dermenjian, 497 Dermott, 479 derned, 317 derrière, 307 DeRue, 522 desave, 161 Desbrosses, 547 Des Champs, 495 deserv, 401 Deshong, 481 desk, 150, 254 Des Moines, 541 desperado, 152 dessert, 247 destitution, 15 destructor, 234 det, 383, 401, 403 detainer, 583 determin, 401, 403 determine, 383, 384 detour, 236, 325 dettor, 401 develop, 391 develope, 391 De Vere, 479

device, 394 devil, 318 devil-dog, 574 devilinsky, 222 devilled-crab, 247 devotee, 412 dewax, to, 194 Dewey, 512, 516 DeWitt, 506 diagnosticate, to, 197 diagram, 402 diagramme, 394 dialog, 394 dialogicians, 179 diamond, 250, 341, 583 diamond-cracker, 583 Diarmuid, 479 diarrhea, 411 diarrhœa, 411 diary, 341 dick, 577 dicker, to, 118 dickey-seat, 237 dicta, 412 dictograph, 173 did, 430, 442 diddle, to, 22 did I say no?, 217 didn't, 352 Diego, 110 diener, 220 dierne, 317 diet-kitchen-engineer, die with one's boots on, to, 142 diff, 170 different, 466 different than, 472 dig, to, 430, 436 diggings, 98, 145 dilatory, 24 Dillehay, 481 diminute, to, 75 din, 128 dinah, 577 dindon farouche, 214 dindon sauvage, 214 diner, 170 dinge, 296 dinger, 583 dingus, 176, 210, 211, 263 dinky, 464 dinner, 240 dinner-bell, 97 dino, 582

dioxygen, 173 dip, 368, 576 diphtheria, 352 diphthong, 352 Dipucci, 497 directly, 251 director, 242 directory-engineer, 290 direct primaries, 245 dirt, 165, 310, 580 dirty, 467 Dirty Face, 514 disagreeable, 268 discipline, 384 discommode, to, 168 disdain, 380 disembarked, 604 dishpan, 234 disme, 116 disorderly house, 304 display-ad, 170 dissenter, 248, 249 distaff, 386 distributing, 376 district, 245, 247 ditch, to, 227 div, 430 dive, 37, 149 dive, to, 430 dived, 430 divide, 115 division, 245 divorcée, 409, 412 divot, 562 Divver, 479 divvy, 191 divvy, to, 148, 192 Dixie, 101 dixième, 116 do, to, 306, 430, 446, 566, do a land-office business, to, 142 do a tailspin, to, 198 Doc, 520 doc, 170 docile, 341 docket, 145 dock-walloper, 263 dock-yard, 239 doctor, 271, 289 doctorine, 180 doctrin, 406 doctrine, 406 Dodge City, 529 dodge the issue, to, 142

do don't, 99 does, 24 doesn't, 446 dogdom, 178 dog-eater, 373 doggery, 145, 149 doggone, 316, 317 dog-house, 583 dognaper, 180 dog on it, 317 do-gooder, 187 dog-robber, 573 dog-weary, 564 Dohme, 484 do it all, to, 581 dokus, 217 dol, 401 dole, 430, 437 Doley, 523 dollar, 116, 155 Dollarhide, 505 dollars to doughnuts, 259 Dollarville, 536 doll up, to, 264 Dolly, 520 Dolor, 522 dolour, 395 -dom, 109, 178 dominie, 109 Dominus, 281 don, 183, 242 donate, to, 121, 165, 231 donation-party, 150 Don Carlos, 516 done, 422, 430, 441, 442 donee, 180 donivorous, 118 donkey, 302 donky, 402 don't, 445, 446, 471 donut, 402 doodad, 176, 211 -doodle, 176 Doolittle, 482 door, 614 Dope, 582 dope, 38, 95, 108, 229, 565, 609; -fiend, 232 dope, to, 55 dope out, 264 dopester, 178 D. O. R. A., 209 Doran, 503 dorg, 350 dorm, 170

Dorothy, 507, 511 dorp, 109, 532 Dorpian, 550 do the book, to, 578, 581 Dotterer, 484 Dötterer, 484 doubld, 403 double-cross, 565 double-header, 191 double pica, 250 double team, 122 doubt, 397 Doug, 183 dough, 45 doughboy, 573, 575 doughface, 74 doughnutery, 176 Douglas, 482, 516 do up brown, to, 143 dout, 397, 401, 403 dove, 430, 437 Dow, 516 down, 115 down-and-out, 93, 143 down-East, 246 downhil, 380 down-town, 143, 246 down-train, 247 doxologize, to, 96, 140 dozen, 462 Dozine, 524 Dr., 272, 280 draft, 334, 382, 394 draftee, 180 drag, 582 drag, to, 430 drain, 339 drains, 235 drama, 337 drank, 422, 425, 430, 440, draper's-shop, 234 drapes, 170 draught, 382 draughts, 236 draw, 70, 120, 170 draw, to, 430 draw a bead, to, 119 draw a bead on, to, 232 draw-bridge, 70, 120 drawed, 430 drawers, 234, 239 drawing-pin, 239 drawing-room, 266 drawing-room-car, 101 dreadful, 99, 210

dream, to, 430 dreampt, 438 dreamt, 392 dreen, 339 dremp, 430 drempt, 430, 439 dres, 403 dress-circle, 228 dress in, to, 580 Dretha, 522 drew, 430 Drewry, 503 drill, to, 578 drillery, 176 drill-hall, 239 drily, 392, 393 drink, to, 430, 440 drinked, 430 drinkery, 176 Drinkwater, 495 driv, 416, 431 drive, 182, 546 drive, to, 431 driver, 260 drive urself, 407 drove, 431, 437 drown, to, 431 drown'd, 438 drownded, 161, 431, 438 drowned, 431 drug, 430 druggist, 231, 234 drug-store, 231, 234, 263 drugteria, 177 drummer, 37, 583 drunk, 430, 440 druv, 423, 431 dry, 86, 191, 565 dry-cleaning-engineer, dry-goods, 122, 123 dry-goods store, 27, 234 drygoodsteria, 177 dryly, 393, 396 Drytown, 534 dub, 37, 565 Dubois, 495 Duchesne, 539 Duck, 537 duck, 149, 564, 569 ducker, 579 dude, 180 Dudelant, 482 dude-wrangler, 180 dudine, 180 duds, 567

du-du, 113 due, 345 dug, 430 dug-out, 144, 231 duke, 345 duket, 584 dul, 401 dum, 402 dumb, 109, 112, 158, 374 dumb Dora, 561 dumbell, 561 dumbfound, 171 dumbfounded, 126 dumb John, 573 dumb-waiter, 86, 254 Dumitrue, 509 dumm, 109 dummy, 580 dump, to, 118 Duncan, 516 Dunkard, 250 Dunke, 524 dunski, 222 duo, 183 Dupriel, 522 Durhamite, 549 Düring, 482 Durstine, 499 dust-bin, 233 dust-cart, 233 dust-engineer, 290 dustman, 233 Dusty, 520 dutchie, 295 Dutch oven, 260 dutiable, 119, 120 Dutrow, 484 Duttera, 484 Dutterer, 484 duty, 345 Duyvel, 533 Dvorák, 487 dwelling-engineer, 290 dwindle, to, 564 Dykehouse, 485 Dÿkhuis, 485 dynamo, 236 dynasty, 341 dysentery, 376 Dżán, 512 Džaník, 512 Džim, 512 Džimik, 512 e, 339, 340, 341, 346, 347, 359, 368<u>, 375</u>, 384, 389, 393, 394, 401, 405, 438, egad, 315

451, 469, 484; -e, 464, 549 ea, 381, 401 each way, 248 eagle, 116 Eagle Pass, 535 Earnmarell, 525 eankke, 110 ear, 603 Earl, 517 earlier'n, 464 earnest, 367 Earthly, 525 earth-wire, 236 Earvila, 524 eastbound, 247 East End, 247 easy, 465 easy-mark, 565, 576 eat, 404, 424 eat, to, 431 eat crow, to, 148, 198 eaten, 431 eatery, 176 eatfest, 218 eat out of my hand, to, Ecker-R, 496 eclaircise, to, 75 éclaircissement, 75 éclat, 409 eco, 401 ecology, 155 écrevisse, 105 ed, 401, 402; -ed, 377, 435, 464 -ede, 438 Edelstein, 501 Edelweiss, 522 Eden, 183 Edgar, 506 edict, 183 Edina, 522 Edith, 506 editor, 289 editorial, 165 Edward, 506, 507, 515, 519 Edwin, 506, 515 Edyth, 520 ee, 381, 412; -ee, 180, 549 eel, 600; -grass, 114 -een, 491 -eer, 180 effuse, to, 75 eg, 401, 403

egg, 340, 614 egg-plant, 114, 246 eggs, 614 egofest, 218 egoist, 340 ei, 402, 484 Eibhlin, 513 eighth note, 250 Eileen, 513 einen bummeln machen, 156 Einstein, 501 Eisenach, 484 either, 6, 341, 472 el, 86 elastic, 334 Eldarema, 521 Eldeese, 524 Eldorado, 534, 539 eldorado, 152 electioneer, to, 228 electragist, 178, 284, 287 electric-sign-engineer, electrize, to, 192 electrocute, to, 192 electrolier, 171 Eleroy, 538 elevator, 57, 120, 234, 239, 254; -boy, 234 Elias, 506 Elihu, 515 Elijah, 515, 519 élite, 409 Elizabeth, 506, 507, 509, 511, 519, 520, 529 Elk, 529, 536 Elkdom, 178 Ellen, 510 Elliott, 507 Ellis, 499, 507 Ellsworth, 512 ellum, 129, 353 Elly, 510 Elmer, 510, 515, 516, 517 elocute, to, 192 Elpasoan, 548 Elseroad, 483 Elserode, 483 Elserote, 483 Elsinore, 534 Elsroad, 483 Elsrode, 483 Eltzroth, 483 Ely, 508 Elzie, 523

Em, 519 em, 452 Emanuel, 508 Emavida, 522 embalm, 335 embalmed-beef, 174 embalming-fluid, 582 embalming surgeon, 287 emerald, 250 Emersonthusiast, 570 emfasis, 402 Emil, 513 Emily, 507 Emjo, 518 Emma, 509, 519 Emmanuel, 506 emote, to, 192 emperor, 395 Empire, 552 empire, 346 employd, 401 employé, 409 employée, 412 emporiums, 413 -en, 193 enceinte, 304 enceinte sow, 305 enclose, 390 encumbrance, 390 endeavour, 395 endive, 260 endorse, 390 endorse, to, 141 Encatha, 521 enervate, 325 Engberg, 490 Enghien, 547 engin, 403 engine, 347 engineer, 227, 228, 604, engineer, to, 93, 141, 231 engineer of good taste, 280 engineman, 291 Englewoodite, 549 English, 250, 296 engulf, 391 engulph, 391 Eno, 499 Enoch, 511 enquire, 390, 392, 393 Enroughty, 503 Ensenada, 534 ensure, to, 393 ent, 446; -ent, 192

enter a claim, to, 142 enthuse, to, 141, 165, 192, 232 enthusiasm, 126 entire, 325 entrance-hall, 237 enuf, 402, 403 envoy, 183 eolian, 394 cower, 449 cowrum, 449 Episcopalian, 249 epoc, 401 epoch, 340 Epstein, 499 equestrian-director, 584 equipment-engineer, 290 er, 353, 367, 396, 399, 402, 411; -er, 192, 463, 549, 568 eraser, 239 Erdmann, 484 Erez Israel, 299 Erie Canal, 525 Erik, 510 Erin go bragh, 160 Ernest, 507 Ernst, 510 erosion-expert, 291 errant, 360 errata, 338 error, 395 -ers, 346 crster, 367 cruptiveness, 101 -ery, 176, 325 Esbjörn, 490 Esbyorn, 490 escaped, 604 Eschscholtzia, 522 Esco, 522 escritoire, 254 Eselkopf, 501 eskate, 375 esleep, 375 esophagus, 391, 411 espace, 375 espantoon, 243 Esq., 278 -est, 463 estampida, 152 estate-agent, 239 estator, 286 Estelle, 507 Estha, 522 Estherina, 522

esthetic, 388, 401 estudent, 375 et, 431 eternal, 316, 317, 339, 379 eternal triangle, 570 Ethel, 507 ether, 155, 390 etiology, 411 etiquet, 391 -ette, 178 étude, 347 eu, 484 Euclid, 525 Eulalia, 525 Euliel, 522 eunuch, 305 European-plan, 86 Eusona, 522 Eva Belle, 520 evangelizationeer, 118 Eve, 535 Evelyn, 518, 520 evensong, 268 eventuate, to, 7, 118, 232 Everett, 516 everything, 352, 353 every time, 611 evincive, 120 evolution, 339 ex-, 274 exactly, 253 exam, 183 examin, 403 examind, 403 example, 334, 336 excede, 401 Excellentia Reverendissima, 282 excess, 325 exchange, 292 exciting, 211 exculpate, 325 excursionist, 231 excurt, to, 141 excuse me, 267 executive-session, 148 ex-ex-seventh, 241 exfluncticate, to, 145 exflunctify, to, 568 expect, to, 24, 99 expenditure, 118 experience, to, 168 experience-meeting, 150 expert, 291 expertize, to, 193 explosion, 602

export-engineer, 291 exposé, 409, 411 express, to, 147 express-car, 146 expressman, 146 express-office, 146 express post, 238 exquisite, 325 ex-seventh, 241 exterior, 380, 395 exterminating engineer, 284, 290 extra, 126 extraordinary, 324, 328, 353 ey, 402 eye, 577 eye-opener, 149 E.Z., 209 ez, 365 Ezekiel, 515 Ezra, 515 f, 335, 375, 383, 386, 402 face-cloth, 236 faced, 402 face the music, to, 119 facile, 341 fact, 402 faculty, 242, 268 fade away, to, 609 fade out, to, 264 fag, 573 faggot, 392 fail, 614 failed, 375 failure to compensate, 293 fair, 466 Fairfield, 480 fairway, 562 fairy, 305 Faith, 516 fake, 565, 609 faker, 86 fall, 33, 42, 62, 100, 128 fall, to, 431, 440, 578 fall down, to, 227 fallen, 428, 431, 440 Fallentimber, 539 fallen woman, 304 fall for, to, 227, 229, 565 fallopian, 411 Falloppian, 411 Fall Riverite, 549 fambly, 353 family welfare, 292

fan, 191, 227, 565; -club, | 586 fancy, 334 fandom, 178 Fanestil, 484 fanlight, 236 fantan, 162 fantom, 402, 406 far, 335, 336 farina, 341 Farinholt, 503 farmateria, 177 farmerette, 178 farther, 349 farzino, 472 fast, 336 faster'n, 464 fast-freight, 146 fat-cat, 186 Fate, 525 father, 280, 334, 349, 371 Father of Waters, 150 Fats, 520 fattigmand, 215 faucet, 235 fault, 397 faut, 397 Fava, 522 faveur, 384 favor, 383, 384, 386, 388, 390 favorit, 403 favorite-son, 148 favour, 383, 388, 395 favourite, 396 F.D.R., 183 Fearhake, 484 feaselick, 110 feather, 383, 384 feature, to, 37, 227 featurize, to, 193 feaze, to, 141 February, 379 Feb'uary, 353 fed, 431 fed-up, 269 feed, to, 431 feed-plant-engineer, 290 feel, to, 431, 439 feeled, 439 feel good, to, 254 feet, 612 Feil, 484 Feivel, 506 Felicity, 547 Felix, 507

fell, 115, 431, 440 fella, 353, 425 feller, 425 fellow, 254 fellow countrymen, 6 fellowship, 98 fellowship, to, 128, 150 felonious attack, 304 felt, 431, 439 female, 184, 303, 304 fen, 115 fence, 386 fences, 148 fender, 236 F.E.R.A., 209 Feramorz, 516 Ferguson, 499 Fernall, 503 Ferreira, 494 ferry, 536 fertile, 341 fertilizer, 292 fervour, 395 -fest, 218 festschrift, 220 fetch, to, 431 fetched, 431 fete, 183 fether, 384 feuillage, 75 few, 374 ffarington, 504 Ffebrewarie, 379 ffinch, 504 ffoulkes, 504 ffrench, 504 F.F.V., 208 f.h.b., 209 fiancée, 347, 409, 412 fiat, 173 fib, 126 fiber, 388, 402 fictioneer, 180 fiction-engineer, 200 Fielder, 498 Fieldhouse, 485 fiend, 182 fierce, 466 54° 40′, 518 fight, to, 431 fight-fight, 373 fightingest, 463 figure, 345 figure, to, 572 fil, 403 fild, 402, 403

filed, 402 filibuster, 148, 231 filing-cabinet, 228 Filipino, 375 Filipowicz, 488 fill, to, 30 fillet, 236, 240 filling-station, 227, 582 fill the bill, to, 142, 558 fillum, 353 filmdom, 178 film-engineer, 290 filosofy, 406 filthy, 467 fin, 578 financial editor, 244 financial-engineer, 290 find, 352 find, to, 431 Findlay, 479 fine, 71, 352, 466 fine, to, 431 finger, 150 finger, to, 578 finif, 578 Finis, 522 finish up, to, 198 finite, 405 Finkelstein, 499 Finly, 516 Fionnlagh, 479 fir, 397 fire, 397; -assessor, 239; -brigade, 243; -bug, 145, 183, 243; -call, 243; -department, 243; -dog, 27; -eater, 62, 563; -raiser, 243; -water, 106 fire, to, 147, 199, 227 fire-alarm box, 243 fireboy, 583 fireman, 228 fireworks, 564 firr, 397 first, 346, 367, 605 first broom, 587 first floor, 235, 238 first joint, 302 first speed, 237 first standard, 241 first storey, 238 first-year-man, 242 fish, 580; -dealer, 234; -monger, 234; -plate, 147; -slice, 260 Fisher, 513

Fishpaugh, 482 Fishpaw, 482 fisic, 402 fit, 423, 431 Fits-U, 209 five-and-ten, 234 fivebled, 25 five minutes of three, 159 five-o'clock tea, 155 fix, 231 fix, to, 26, 197, 210, 253 fixt, 403 fizz, 140 fizzle, 565 fizzle, to, 119 fizzle out, to, 142, 232 flag, to, 147 flagman, 146 flag-stop, 238 flang, 431 flap, 586 flap-jack, 128 flapper, 266, 569, 586 flapperdom, 178 flare up, to, 99 flat, 233; -boat, 145; -car, 146, 582; -foot, 563; -footed, 93, 143; -joint, 584 flatten out, to, 578 flavorous, 395 flavour, 395 flaw, 328, 349 flay, 184 flay, to, 199 flea, 375 flea's eyebrows, 561 flee, 184 flem, 383, 402 flesh-peddler, 587 fletcherize, to, 193 flew, 432 flimflam, 557 flimsy, 126, 583 fling, 184 fling, to, 431 flip-flop, 47, 185, 263 flippant, 126 flirtation, 126 flit, 184 flivver, 263 Flo, 519 Floarea, 509 floater, 148 flong, 261

flooey, 263 flooie, 184 flookum, 584 floor, 231, 328, 349 floor-covering-engineer, floorwalker, 234 floozy, 176, 263, 305 flop, to, 162 flophouse, 162 Flora, 509 Floramay, 521 Florea, 509 Florence, 507, 509, 518 Florentine, 551 Florida, 526 flour and feed store, 27 flow, to, 432 flowed, 432 flowerdom, 178 Flower moon, 106 Floyd, 510 flu, 170 flume, 37, 108 flummuck, to, 568 flung, 431 flunk out, to, 99 flurry, 145, 231 Flushing, 532 fly, 109, 532 fly, to, 432 fly a kite, to, 581 flyer, 239, 565 Flynn, 493 fly off the handle, to, 119, fly-time, 101, 102 fo, 401 F.O.B., 208 foes, 401 fog, 343 Fogelsong, 484 foist, 367, 368 fold up, to, 585 followd, 403 folly, 119 fonetic, 406 fonograf, 403, 406 Fonseca, 501 Fontaine, 481 food, 344 foofff, 561 fool-proof, 228 foot, 462; -hill, 115; -path, 235, 247; -wash, 150; -wear, 227

foots, 170 fop, 126 foppish, 564 forbid, to, 432 force, 101 Ford, 483 fordize, to, 193 fore, 562 forego, 394 Foreman, 483 Forest, 492 forestal, 380 for ever, 350 forgather, 392 forget, to, 432 Forgetto, 505 forgo, 392 forgot, 432 forgotten, 428, 432 fork, 101, 115, 536 for keeps, 95, 232, 609 fork over, to, 99 form, 241, 268 former, 274 formulæ, 412 fornication, 303 For Rent, 266 Forrest, 492 forsake, to, 432 forsaken, 428, 432 forsook, 432 forte, 585 forth and back, 215 fortnight, 250 Fort Riley, 529 forty-rod, 149, 568 forward, 204 forwards, 204, 468 fosfate, 406 fotch, 431 foto, 406 fotograf, 402, 403, 406 Foucher, 547 fought, 431 found, 431 Fountain, 481 fourbled, 25 four-flusher, 187, 191 Fourth of July, 577 fowl-run, 234 Fox, 499, 552 fox-fire, 128 fox-grape, 115 fox-trot, 155 foyer, 237 fragile, 341

frame, to, 263, 264 frame-house, 57, 116, 234, 263 frame-up, 227, 565 frame up, to, 564 franchize, 402 Francis, 513, 515, 519 Francisco, 508, 509 Frank, 505, 507, 508, 509, 513, 515, 519, 520 frankfurt, 220 frankfurter, 155, 186, 220 Franklinton, 529 Franz, 505 Franzosen, 296 frat, 170, 242 fraternal-order, 239 Frates, 494 frau, 347 Frazier's Bottom, 535 frazzled, 568 F.R.C.S., 272 Fred, 515, 519 Frederick, 507, 515, 520, Fredericktonian, 550 Freedman, 483 free-lunch, 85 freez, 401 freeze, to, 432 freeze on to, to, 142, freeze-out, 86, 167, 232 freeze the hub, to, 583 freight, 238; -car, 146; -train, 600 freinschaft, 159 Freitas, 494 Fremont, 484 Frémont, 484 French, 296 French-bean, 235 French letter, 296 French Lick, 535 frend, 381 fresh, 158, 572 fresher, 242 freshet, 122 freshman, 242 Fresser, 501 fretful, 564 Friday, 496 fried, 568 Friedmann, 483 friendly-society, 239 frier, 240

frijol, 152 frijole, 152 Frisco, 543 frisk, to, 566 Fritz, 110, 506, 519 friz, 432 frizzgig, 118 Frog, 573 frog, 96, 146, 294 froggie, 294 Frogtown, 554 frolick, 383, 386 frolicksome, 386 from hell to breakfast, from here, 468 from the hoofs up, 259 from there, 468 from where, 468 frontier, 324 front-lot, 121 front-room, 579 Front Royal, 537 froze, 432 frozen, 432 fruit-dealer, 234 fruiterer, 234 fruiterer's, 234 fruiteria, 177 fruit-seller, 234 fruit-store, 234 fry, to, 581 fudge, 317 fuhrer, 411 führer, 411 Führmann, 483 fulfill, 348 full-house, 191 full professor, 242 fumigating-engineer, 290 fun, 126 function, to, 210 funds, 244 funeral-car, 287 funeral-director, 287 funeral-home, 287 funeralize, to, 140 funk-hole, 573 funny, 464 funny-bone, 239 Funny Louis, 533 funster, 178 fur, 359 furlo, 402, 403 furnished-roomateria, 202 furnishing-engineer, 290

furnitor, 179 furniture-engineer, 290 Furth, 483 further, 372 furtherest, 463 fuse, 389, 394 fust, 346 fuze, 389 g, 348, 352, 368, 377, 389, 402, 405, 484 G.A., 206 gabblefest, 218 gabfest, 218 gadget, 211 gaffer, 584 gag, 394, 586; -rule, 148 gage, 394, 411 gaiety, 392 gaily, 467 Gainsborough, 500 gal, 346 Galgenvogel, 501 Gallagher, 504 Gallipolis, 530 Gallo, 403 gallon, 462 Galloway, 493 galoot, 144 galoshes, 235 Galway, 582 gambling, 126 game-chicken, 302 gandy-dancer, 582, 584 gang, to, 564 gangster, 178 gangway, 236 ganov, 578 ganze, 250 ganz gut, 157 gaol, 383, 389, 390, 392, 393 gaoler, 389 gape, 335 G.A.R., 208 Garabedian, 497 garage, 215, 338 garantee, 402 garbage, 233, 582; -incinerator, 234 Garcia, 494, 543 gard, 399, 402 garden, 547, 583 garden-lot, 121 gargle, 359 garmenteria, 176

Garnett, 479

Garnette, 521 garter, 234, 309; -snake, gas, 169, 170, 183, 236, 334, 559, 564, 566, 585 gasoline, 236, 256 gassed, 199 gastly, 402 gat, 259 gate, 583 gate-money, 191 gather, 338 gauge, 411 gauz, 401 gave, 432, 440 gavel, to, 195 gavot, 401 Gawd, 305 Gawd-a-mighty, 308 gayety, 394 Gay-pay-00, 209 gay Quaker, 100 gazer, 579 gazet, 401, 403 gazooney, 582 G.B., 208 G.b.F., 200 gear-lever, 236 gear-shift, 236 Geary, 542 gebummelt, 156 gee, 257 geechy, 113 gee-gec, 240 gcek, 584 gce-whiz, 158, 316 Geez, 305 gefilte-fisch, 217 geflop, 146 Geisdorff, 514 gelastics, 117 Gelbfisch, 500 Geldschrank, 501 gelt, 578 gem, 183 gemütlich, 157 gemütlichkeit, 400 general, 274, 283, 340, 379, 583 general expert, 292 generally, 467 generator, 236 gentil, 411 Gentle Judge, 525 gentleman, 69, 294; -au- | get solid, to, 197

-cow, 301; -player, 294; -rider, 294 gentlemanly, 120 gentlewoman, 294 gent'man, 352 genuin, 403 genuine, 347 genuinely, 406 genuinly, 406 Geoghan, 504 George, 486, 494, 505, 507, 509, 510, 512, 513, 515, 520, 524 Georgiou, 486 Georgious, 486 Gerard, 503 Gerasimopoulos, 485 Gerled, 538 gerrymander, 148, 171, 228 Gersteinian, 175 Gertrude, 507, 510 Gervaise, 481 ges, 402 geschwister, 460 gesplash, 146 gesture, 210, 211 gesture, to, 195 gesundheit, 157, 347 get, 217, 340 get, to, 150, 197, 210, 432, get ahead of, to, 142, 197, 604 get a move on, to, 95, 227 getaway, 197, 263 get away with, to, 227, 264, 563 get away with it, to, 197 get back at, to, 197 get behind, to, 197 get busy, to, 227 get by, to, 197, 565, 604 get going, to, 197, 604 gether, 360 get it in the neck, to, 609 get off, to, 197, 604 get on, to, 604 get one's goat, to, 572 get on to, to, 198 get on well, to, 251 get over, to, 604 get religion, to, 197, 604 get-rich-quick, 466 thor, 294; -clerk, 294; get solid with, to, 142

get sore, to, 197, 609 get the bulge on, to, 142, get the deadwood on, to, 142 get the drop, to, 142 get the drop on, to, 197 get the hang of, to, 99, 232 get there, to, 197 get the works, to, 581 getting, 428 get together, to, 197 get wise, to, 197, 604, 609 Geurdon, 523 gewitter, 602 geyser, 236 gh, 402 Gheorghe, 509 ghost, 587 Giacomo, 509 Giannopoulos, 485 gibe, 252 Gid, 519 gide, 402 Gideon, 519 gift-shop, 266 gigolo, 215 Gila Monster Route, 582 gild, 402 Gillespie, 503 Gillgren, 491 gillotin, 384 Gilsum, 538 gin, 169, 416, 432; -fix, 149; -fizz, 149 gink, 564 Gins, 500 Ginsbern, 500 Ginsbourgh, 500 Ginsburgh, 500 Ginsbury, 500 Ginzberg, 500 Ginzbourg, 500 ginzo, 295 Giovanni, 509 gipsy, 392, 402 giraf, 401 giraffe, to, 568 Girardean, 550 Girl, 505 girl, 346, 367 girl-mad, 561 girt, 438 Giuliana, 509 Giuseppe, 509

giv, 381, 384, 399, 401, 403 give, 383, 384, 416, 422, 432, 440 give, to, 198, 432, 440, 447, give-away, 565 give hell, to, 314 give him the works, to, 564 given, 432, 440 given-name, 129 give out, to, 198 give suck, to, 303 give the once-over, to, give tittie, to, 309 Gizzard, 536 glad-hand, 186 gladiola, 413 gladiolus, 413 glad-rags, 564 Gladstone, 499 Gladys, 512 Gladyška, 512 glands, 307 glas, 401 Glasby, 503 glass, 243, 337, 368 Glazypool, 533 glebe, 248 glee, 126 Glenice, 521 Glenola, 521 glick, 159 glide, 602 glide, to, 432 glode, 432 Gloria, 183 Glover, 516 Gluck, 482 Glück, 482 glug, 184 G.M., 206 gm, 402 go, to, 198, 432, 604 go-aheadativeness, 96 go astray, to, 303 goatee, 145 Goatleg, 505 go a-whoring, to, 303 gob, 573, 574, 583 go back, to, 198 go back on, to, 142, 167, 198, 232 gobbie, 574 gobble, 574

Gobbler's Knob, 554 go big, to, 198 God, 305, 312, 318, 343, 397 god, 413 goddam, 315, 316 God-damned, 316 goddspell, 397 Godfrey, 507 go for, to, 143 go gandering, to, 578 go-getter, 96, 565 go-ghetto, 561 go gunning for, to, 95 go haywire, to, 198 go hostile, to, 378 goil, 350, 367 goingest, 463 going some, 95, 254 going strong, 609 go it blind, to, 142, 232 G.o.k., 209 goldarn, 316 goldarned, 316 Goldberg, 487 gold-brick, 227 golden-slipper, 149 Goldfield, 536 Goldfish, 500 gold-fish, 573 gold-mine, 566 Goldstein, 499, 500, 501 Goldstone, 500 Goldwyn, 500 Goldye, 520 golf-engineer, 200 golfer, 579 golfitis, 179 golly, 316 goloshes, 235 Golson, 500 Golston, 500 G.O.M., 183, 208 Gomeria, 522 Gomez, 494 gon, 401 Gonadia, 525 gonads, 307 gondola, 146 gondole, to, 192 gone, 401, 432 gone coon, 100 goner, 117, 231 gonorrhæal, 411 gonorrhea, 304, 306 gonorrheal, 411

gonov, 584 gonta, 471 Gonzalez, 494 goober, 113 good, 253 good-afternoon, 252 good and, 227 good and hard, 254 good and tired, 254 good-bye, 252, 414 good-day, 252 good egg, 257 good-form, 260 good mixer, 47 good night, 414, 566 good scout, 109 good-sized, 352 goods-train, 86, 600 goods-waggon, 147 good time, 580 goof, 96, 263, 562, 577 goo-goo, 296 gook, 296 goom, 360 go one better, to, 232 go on the bum, to, 156 go on the stump, to, 232 go on the warpath, to, 119 go on well, to, 251 goose, 295, 583 goose, to, 197 gooseberry it, to, 582 Goose Bill, 536 Goose Hill, 553 go over big, to, 262 go over the wall, to, 581 gopher, 108 gorilla, 263, 560 gorn, 351 gosh, 316 go slo, to, 407 go slow, 466 gospel, 397 gospel-shark, 187 Gossett, 481 gossipfest, 218 gost, 402 go stir-bug, to, 581 got, 51, 251, 432, 604 got away, 604 Gotham, 101 go the limit, to, 562 go the whole hog, to, 137, 143, 232, 373, 568 go through, to, 143, 167 go to bed, to, 302

got off, 604 go-to-meeting, 143, 150 got on, 604 got over, 604 Gott, 535 gotten, 100, 251, 257, 428, 432 got up, 604 goul, 402 goulash, 263 Gould, 498 go under, to, 232 go up Salt River, to, 148 gover'ment, 353 Government, 245 governmental, 231 government bonds, 244 governor, 243, 266, 395 govrenment, 353 goy, 217, 580 g.p., 209 grab, to, 148 grab-bag, 145, 239 grabber, 583 grab-joint, 584 grad, 170 grade, 15, 241 grade-crossing, 239 grade-school, 241 graduate, 243 graduate, to, 196 graft, 37, 191, 228, 337 Graham, 516 grain-broker, 245 grain-market, 256 gram, 391, 394, 401, 403 Gramercy, 532 grammar-school, 241 gramme, 391, 393 gramophone, 235 grand, 99, 210, 557 Grande Ronde, 534 grandificent, 175 grand-marshal, to, 195 grandstand-play, 191 Granger, 503 Grant, 498 grant, 337, 335 Granuloma, 525 grasp, 337 grass, 334, 336, 350, 366 grass-cutter, 562 gratis, 338 Gray, 520 gray, 394 grease, to, 566

grease-ball, 205, 582 grease-joint, 584 greaser, 101, 296 great God, 316 great primer, 250 greats, 243 great Scott, 316 Great War, 248 Great White Father, 150 Great White Way, 546 greef, 381 Greek, 296 Green, 482, 486, 490, 492 green, oo Greene, 513 greenhorn, 128, 231 Greensboroite, 550 Greensburger, 550 Greenwich, 540 greev, 381 Gregory, 511 -gren, 491 grewsome, 391 grey, 392 Grieg, 478 Griffith, 503 Griffiths, 503 grill, to, 572 grilled, 234 grilly, 109 grind, to, 586 grip-sack, 145 gris-gris, 214 Grisha, 510 Grizzy, 524 groceries, 234 grocerteria, 177 grocery, 176 groceryteria, 177 grog, 155 groggery, 176 Grön, 490 Grondahl, 490 groom, 344 groop, 384 grope, to, 432 grope around, to, 432 gros-bec, 214 Grosscup, 483 Grosskopf, 483 grotesk, 384 grotesque, 384 ground floor, 238 ground-hog, 100, 246 grounds, 462

ground-wire, 236 group, 383, 384 grove, 536, 539 grow, to, 432 growed, 432 growler, 243 grrr, 184 grub, 566 grub-stake, 145, 167 gruesome, 393 Grün, 482 grunt, 587 Grzegdrz, 510, 511 guard, 85, 147, 239, 266, guardeen, 346 guardian, 346 Guarinot, 479 gubernatorial, 165, 231 gue, 402 Guela, 524 Guerci, 494 guess, to, 22, 24, 99, 100, 102, 128 guest, to, 586 guffer, 113 Guglielminetti, 494 gu-gu, 295 Guilherme, 500 guillotin, 384 guillotine, 384 guinea, 295 Guinness-Bourg, 500 Guinsburg, 500 Guinzburg, 500 guitar, 360 Guizot, 481 gulch, 144, 231 gullibility, 22 gully, 144 gum, 235 gumbo, 113, 246 Gummeson, 492 gump, 582 gum-shoe, 144 gum-shoe, to, 95 gun, 578, 583 gun-mob, 578 gun-moll, 259, 578 Gunnar, 510 guntzel, 582, 584 Gus, 508, 512 gusher, 86 Gussie, 519 Gustaf, 510 gut, 582

Guthrian, 549 Gutmann, 484 guts, 305, 309 guv, 432 Guy, 515 guy, 39, 254, 564 guy, to, 167, 254 guyascutis, 145 guy-rope, 254 gyarden, 364 gyirls, 364 gym, 170 gyp, to, 577, 581 gypsy, 394, 577 gypsydom, 178 Gyulay, 496 h, 270, 327, 348, 351, 377, 401, 402, 469 ha, 460 Habella, 524 haberdashery, 254 haberteria, 177 Habib, 513 habitan, 75 habit-disease, 293 hablaing, 376 hacienda, 98, 153 hack, 580, 583 had, 432 hadda, 443 hadda bit, 444 Haddad, 477, 496 hadden, 432, 442 hadn't oughter, 445 had ought, 420 Hadwen, 522 hæm, 411 hæmophilia, 411 hæmorrhage, 411 Haerlen, 481 Haffner, 514 hafta, 443 Hagerstonian, 550 Hagerstown, 529; -er, Hahti, 492 haidang, 109 haima, 411 hainous, 383, 384 Hakomäki, 492 Hal, 519 halbe, 250 Halcyon, 537 half, 335, 379 half an hour, 251 half-breed, 231

half-brother to the cholera, 137 halfe, 379 half hour, 251 half note, 250 half past ten, 251 half-pint, 243 Halfway, 505 halitosis, 307, 309 hall, 414 hallelujah, 338 ham, 170 Hämäläinen, 402 Hamburg, 530 hamburger, 155, 220 Hamlin, 492 hammer, 336 hammer-gang, 584 Hammerstein, 499 hammock, 112 Hampar, 497 Hampartzoomian, 497 Hampton, 516 hand, 343 Handbag, 524 hand-car, 146 handcuff, 560 hand down a decision, to, 246 hand him a lemon, to, 198, 563 hand it to him, to, 563 handle without gloves, to, 142 hand-me-down, 101, 239 hand-out, 564 hand-pick, to, 227 hand-shaker, 573 handsome, 336 handy, 120 hang, to, 432 hangar, 215 hang-bird, 100 hanged, 432 hang-out, 186, 582 Hank, 519 Hanks, 482 hankstelo, 577 Hanna, 513 Hanska, 488 hant, 360 haole, 373 happify, to, 119 happy, 465 happy hunting-grounds,

150

har, 339 Haralampos, 512 Harald, 510 harang, 402 harangue, 360 harass, 324 harbor, 301 harbour, 391 hard, 336, 349, 464, 465, hard-boiled, 561 hard-cider, 86, 149, 244 Hardened Artery, 561 hard hat, 234 hard liquor, 244 hardly, 335, 466, 467 Hard-Scrabble, 553 hardshell, 143, 150 hard-shell Baptist, 250 hard stuff, 577 Hard-Times Square, 561 hardware, 234 hare, 123, 246 hari-kari, 149 harken, 406 Harland, 481 Harlem, 532 harlot, 305, 309 harm, 334 harmonica, 120 harmonicon, 120 harmonika, 120 Harold, 506, 507, 515 harp, 295 Harper, 498 Harris, 496, 499 Harrison, 498 Harry, 507, 510, 511, 512, 513, 515, 519 Hart, 490 hart, 401, 600 Harte, 499 Hartford City, 529 harth, 401 Hartikainen, 492 Hartman, 492 Harvey, 507, 515 Harwick, 499 has, 375 has-been, 92 hash, 260 hashery, 176 hash-slinger, 187 has not, 469 hass, 375 Hassie, 521

Hastings, 508 hatateria, 177 hatatorium, 179 Hatch, 497 Hatetoleaveit, 546 hat-shop, 266 hatta, 443 hattery, 176 Hattie, 519 Hatton, 516 Hatzakordzian, 497 haul, to, 122, 124 haunch, 236 haunt, 344 hausfrau, 155 hav, 399, 401, 403 have, 251, 425, 441, 471 have, to, 432, 442, 443 have an ax to grind, to, have got, 257, 443 have gotten, 257 have inthe money stocks, to, 244 have not, 445 haven't, 377, 445 have the brokers bailiffs) in, to, 244 have the floor, to, 142 have the goods, to, 565 Havre de Grace, 542 haw, 349 hawker, 234 Hawthorne, 503 hay-barrack, 108 haycock, 301 Hayseed Center, 554 haystack, 301 H-bone, 236 he, 448, 451, 458, 461 head, 183, 243, 383, 384; -cheese, 86; -electrician, 289; -guy, 254; -master, 241, 268; -mistress, 241 headache, 560 head-guy, 254 headlights, 582 headlineitis, 179 headliner, 236 healthatorium, 179 healtheteria, 177 healthful, 203 health-mobile, 180 healthy, 203 he am, 363

he-and-she, 460 heap big chief, 150 hear, to, 432 hear, hear!, 252 hearing, 350 hearken, 406 hearse, 287, 406, 583 heat, 580 heat, to, 432 heaten, 432 heaten, to, 432 heath, 115 heave, to, 432 Heber, 516 he-biddy, 302 Hebrew, 249, 297, 298, 525; -comedian, 297; -holidays, 297; -rabbi, 297 Hector, 523 hed, 381, 383, 384, 401, Hedison, 497 Heditzian, 497 Hedvig, 510 heeler, 167 heer, 460 heerd, 432 hefer, 406 heft, to, 122, 124, 129 hefty, 124, 464 heheheh, 561 Heid, 483 heifer, 406 height, 353 heighth, 161 heights, 546 -heim, 500 -heimer, 219, 500 heinie, 110, 295 heinous, 383, 384 Heinrich, 505, 511 Heintz, 483 Heinz, 511 heir, 351 hekka, 373 held, 433 Helen, 507, 509, 511 Helena, 511 Helentzi, 522 Helga, 506 hell, 205, 305, 313, 318, hell and high water, 314 hell and red niggers, 314 hell and scissors, 314

hell around, to, 314 hell-bender, 314 hell-bent, 314 hell-bent for election. hell-box, 144 hellcat, 305 hellenium, 171 hell-fired, 316 hell-for-leather, 314 Hell-For-Sartain, 536 Hell-Gate, 532 helliferocious, 568 hellion, 140, 314 hellishing, 314 hell-raiser, 314 hell-roaring, 140, 314 hell-robber, 150 hell to pay, 314 helluva, 316 Helm, 490 Helma, 510 help, 69, 98, 101, 228, 292 help, to, 433 helped, 433 help make, to, 229 helpt, 402 Help Wanted, 228 helt, 360, 433, 438 helter-skelter, 126 helth, 403 hem, 411, 452, 460 he-man, 96 hemidemisemiquaver, 250 hemlock, 124 Hen, 519 Hence, 519 hence, 467, 468 Henderson, 519 Hendricksen, 481 hendy, 365 hen-party, 86 Henrik, 510 Henriola, 522 Henry, 505, 507, 510, 515, 519 heo, 448 heom, 445 heora, 448 he-or-she, 460 her, 448, 451, 454, 455, 456 Herald, 516 heraus mit ihm, 157 herb, 351, 375 Herbert, 507

Herchheimer, 479 here, 448, 467, 600 her ear, 350 here'bouts, 468 heren, 448 Her Excellency, 277 Herkimer, 479 Herman, 513 Hermosa Beach, 534 hern, 432, 448, 456 hernia, 307 heroic, 351 herring choker, 296 Herrmann, 505 herse, 406 herun, 448 hes, 460 he's, 461 hesh, 175, 460 hesped, 217 het, 432 het up, 432 heurack, 159 hev, 360, 365 hex, 159 hex-doctor, 159 hez, 460 hi, 406 hiccup, 402 hick, 263, 566, 576 hickory, 104 hid, 433 hidden, 428, 433 hide, to, 433 hide-out, 580 hie, 448 high-ball, 149, 236, 583 high-binder, 162, 263 high-boot, 233 high-brow, 186, 227, 230, 232 high-brown, 296 highfalutin, 143, 231 Highfield, 491 high-hat, 560 Highland, 536 Highlandtown, 553 high-liner, 583 high-school, 241 high-steppingest, 463 high steward, 242 Highstone, 485 High street, 547 high-tone, 464 high-toned, 464 Highwater, 524

high-yellow, 296 hi-jack, to, 578 hike, 151, 656 Hill, 492 hill, to, 16 hill-side, 99 him, 448, 451, 454, 455, 456, 459 him-and-her, 460 himer, 460; -himer, 500 Himself, 525 himself, 459 Hines, 483 hir, 460 Hiram, 515 hired-girl, 116, 266 hired-man, 116 hire-purchase system, 235 hire system, 235 his, 383, 448, 461 His Eminence, 282 hiser, 460 His Excellency, 275, 277, His Grace, 282 His Highness, 275 His Honor, 277 His Lordship, 279, 282 hisn, 22, 359, 448, 451 his-or-her, 460 hisself, 459 hist, to, 161, 346, 433, 578 histed, 433 historic, 351 hist'ry, 353 His Worship, 279 hit, 360 hit, to, 199, 433, 440 hit-and-run, 585 Hitchcock, 308 hitched, 38, 609 Hite, 483 hither, 467, 468 hit the bricks, to, 581 hit the ceiling, to, 198 hit the grit, to, 583 hit the pipe, to, 564 hiz, 383 hj, 490 Hjalmar, 510 Hjelm, 490 Hjort, 490 ho, 401 hoacky, 206 hoar, 349 hoarding, 86, 95, 235, 266

Hobbes, 488 Hobbs, 488 hobo, 37, 188, 191, 565, Hoboken, 553 Hobson, 489 Hobza, 488 Hoch, 482 hoch, 157 hock, 240, 402 hockey, 406 hocky, 406 Hodge, 252 Hoeber, 480 hoe-cake, 102, 114, 115 hoed, 401 hoek, 532 hofbräu, 347, 409 Hoffman, 487 hog, 582, 583 hog, to, 93, 95 Hogan, 514 hogan, 151 hog-expert, 291 Hog-Eyc, 554 Högfelt, 491 hoggish, 466 hoghead, 582, 583 Hog Heaven, 554 hog-wallow, 102, 114 Hohokus, 553 hoist, 346 hoist, to, 433 hoisting-engineer, 346 Hoke, 482, 522 hokum, 188, 189, 191 hola, 376 hold, 352 hold, to, 433, 438 hold on, 158 hold on, to, 99 hold out, to, 191 hold-up, 37, 167, 227, 232 hold up, to, 557 hold with, to, 215 hole, 119, 580 Holger, 510 Holič, 486 holiday, 237 holiday, to, 195 holla, to, 142 hölle, 602 holler, 353 holler, to, 142, 433 hollered, 433 holloa, to, 142

holloe, to, 142 hollow, 115 hollow, to, 142 hollow ware, 27 Holm, 510 holmendods, 577 Holmgrain, 491 holo, to, 142 holow, to, 142 holsum, 406 holt, 352 holy catfish, 514 holy gee, 316 holy Jesus, 316 Holy Joe, 573 holy jumping Jesus, 316 holy orders, 249 holy-roller, 250 ho-made, 406 hombre, 152, 153 homely, 86, 128, 251 Homer, 515, 517 homer, 191 Homera, 522 home-run, 191 homesickness, 155 home-spun, 128, 231 home-stretch, 144 homicidious, 16 hominy, 100, 105 Homo boobiens, 560 Homo boobus, 560 homologize, to, 119 homosexuality, 311 hon, 170 Hon., the, 266, 275, 277, 278, 279 hon. agent, 278 hon, and gallant member, 294 hon, and learned member, 204 honest, 351 honeyfogle, to, 142 honeyteria, 177 hon. gentleman, 275 honky-tonk, 263, 564 hon. lady, 294 hon. member, 276, 294 honneur, 384 Honolulu, 541 honor, 351, 383, 384, 386, 390, 402 honorarium, 396 honorary, 278, 395, 396 honoree, 180

honorific, 396 honors, 268 honour, 383, 395, 396 honourable, 396 honours, 242 Hoober, 480 hooch, 149, 221, 263 hoochfest, 218 hood, 236, 237 hoodlum, 37, 167 hoodoo, 113 hoof, 344 hoof, to, 585 Hoofer, 480 hoofer, 586 Hoogsteen, 485 hooiberg, 108 hook, 108, 109, 115, 532 Hook Mountain, 532 hoop, 344 hoopla, 584 hoosegow, 221, 557, 558 Hoosier, 101, 552, 553, hoosier, 580 hootch, 263 hoov, 461 Hoover, 480 hooverize, to, 193 hooves, 344 hop, 162, 183, 343 Hope, 511, 516 hop-head, 186 hop-pillow, 162 Hopson, 489 Hořčička, 488 Horicon, 532 hornswoggle, to, 142, 145, 568 horror, 389, 395 horse-engineer, 290 horse of another color, horse-opera, 587 horse's caboose, 301 horse-sense, 144, 227 horse's neck, 149 Horsethief, 536 Horton, 503 Horvath, 496, 507 hose, 302 hospice, 301 hospital, 351 hospitalization-engineer, hospitalize, to, 193

hospital nurse, 240 hoss, 129, 350, 523 host, to, 195 hostil, 401, 403 hostile, 341 hostler, 351, 393 hot, 252; -air, 227; -box, 147; -cake, 231; -dog, -dog-engineer, -mamma, 305; 200; -seat, 581; -spot, 186; -squat, 581; -stuff, 582; -tamale, 152 Hot Coffee, 536 hotel, 351 hotelette, 292 Hot moon, 106 hot patootie, 259 hotter'n, 464 hour, 351 house, 247, 414 house, to, 194 house and lot, 121 household-engineer, 200 housekeep, to, 192 house-lot, 121 Houseman, 485 house-master, 268 house of detention, 293 house of ill repute, 304 house of questionable repute, 304 house of refuge, 292 housing-expert, 291 Houston, 540 Hovanesian, 497 hove, 432 Hover, 480 how, 25 Howard, 516 Howarth, 496 How did you get that way?, 566 How'd you like to be the ice-man?, 566 Howland, 499 Hoyt, 492 Hrdlička, 488 Hřebec, 486 Hryhory, 511 Hub, 553 hub, 98 hubbub, 564 Huber, 480 Hubsy, 488 huckleberry, 114

huckster, 234 Hudson-pup, 579 Huerlin, 490 huero, 153 hug, 447 Huisman, 485 Hulda, 506 human-engineer, 290 humble, 351 humbug, 99, 126, 373 humgig, 118 humility, 351 humor, 351 humorous, 395 humour, 395 hump, 585 hun, 183, 295 hunderd, 353 hunderder, 398 hundred and twentyeighth note, 250 hung, 432 Hungarian cuisine, 299 hunger, 374 hungry, 374 hunker, 99 hunkie, 295 hunkydory, 145 Hunter, 513 hunting, 236, 252 Hunting moon, 106 hupmobile, 173 Hurayz, 496 Hurbick, 486 hurl, 184 hurrah, 360 hurricane, 231 hurry up, 198 hurry up, to, 198 -hurst, 546 hurt, 320 hurt, to, 433, 440 hurtle, 184 hurtleberry, 114 hush-money, 566 hussy, 309 hustle, to, 128, 227 hustler, 231 hut, 583 huzzy, 305 hw, 351 hyak, 151 hyar, 360 Hyde, 483 hygiologist, 179 -hym, 500

Hyman, 508 Hynek, 511 hyperfirmatious, 145 hyphenated-American, 173 i, 339, 340, 341, 346, 353, 368, 377, 382, 389, 401, 402, 405, 451, 469; -i, 548 I, 447, 455, 458 -ia, 548 iad, 451 -ian, 548 Iantha, 522 -iat, 180 ice, 577; -berg, 557; -box, 580; -cream soda, 85, 228; -engineer, 290; -house, 579; -tong doctors, 579; -water, 165 Icel, 521 Icsylf, 459 Icy Victorious, 522 Idahoan, 548 ide, 401 idea, 325 idea-engineer, 290 idealer, 463 idolatries, 303 I don't think, 566 ie, 381, 402 Ignač, 511 Ignacio, 508, 509 Ignát, 511 Ignatius, 511 Ignês, 509 ignoramus, 339 I is, 363 Ila, 522 iland, 384, 406 ile, 346, 401 Ill, 505 ill, 62, 127, 251 illegitimate child, 203 illegitimate mother, 203 ill-gotten, 432 Illinois, 541 I'll say so, 566 illustrate, 325 illy, 15, 467 Ilmari, 510 Iloah, 522 imagin, 403 imagine, 384 imkeeled, 16 immabloodymaterial, 316 immigrate, to, 118 imperturbe, to, 75 imprecriptible, 118 improper assault, 311 impurities, 303 Inabeth, 522 in a certain condition, 311 in-and-in, 576 in back of, 252 in bad, 202 Inc., 244 inch, 462 incidence, 462 incident, 6, 462 inclement, 325 incog, 558 incohonee, 106 income-engineer, 290 incommunicado, 221 incorporated, 244 indegoddampendent, 315 Indiana, 526 Indian corn, 122, 234 Indian-file, 106 Indian-giver, 106 Indianians, 548 Indian meal, 234 Indian-Summer, 106, 229 india-rubber, 239 indices, 412 indifferent, 465 indignation-meeting, 231 individual, 184 indorsation, 390 indorsec, 118, 390 indorser, 118 indorst, 402, 403 induced, 345 ine, 401 -ine, 180, 347 in embryo, 303 inexhaustiveness, 166 inexpressibles, 302, 303 Inez, 514 infanticipating, 561 infantorium, 179 infants'-school, 235, 241 infinit, 399, 403 infirmary, 293 inflexion, 392 influent, 120 influential, 120, 223 infract, to, 118 -ing, 192, 315, 348, 352 Ingeborg, 510 Ingerval, 510

-ingwell, 315 in husband trouble, 561 initial-sack, 562 initiative and referendum, 245 injunct, to, 167 ink-slinger, 101 inland, 239 inland revenue, 239 inn, 123 inner-mission, 220 inning, 248 innovate, to, 192 -ino, 176 inquire, 390 inquiry, 325; -office, 238 insane, 325, 360; -asylum, 293 insect, 310 insignia, 412 insignias, 413 inspector, 243 instal, 392, 393 install, 393 instalment-plan, 235 instead, 340, 384 insted, 384, 403 instruct a barrister, to, instructor, 242 insubordination, 118 insurance-adjuster, 239 insure, to, 393 insurge, to, 192 insurrecto, 221 intelligentlemen, 561 intelligentsia, 462 interduce, 353 interest, 328 interesting condition, interfere with, to, 311 interiour, 380 intermission, 237 intern, 411 intern, to, 194 internal revenue, 239 interne, 411 interstitial glands, 307 interval, 235, 237 interval-land, 99 interview, to, 192 in the belly, 303 intransigeant, 409 intransigent, 409 int'res', 353

intuition, 614 inure, to, 75 invalid-coach, 288 invite, 129 invoist, 403 involv, 401 in writing, 252 Ioan, 509 Iodine, 524 iodine, 341 Ionescu, 494 Iowa, 526, 541 I.Q., 588 Irby, 522 ire, to, 199 Irene, 507, 520 Irish turkey, 583 iron-horse, 147 iron-jawed, 137 Ironmonger, 503 ironmonger, 85 ironmongery, 234 iron out, to, 227 Ironroad, 514 Irving, 506, 507 is, 375, 427 Isaac, 506, 507 Isaiah, 515 -isation, 389 I say!, 252 ise, 401 -ise, 389 Isennock, 484 is going to, 201 ish ka bibble, 217 I should worry, 217 Isidor, 506 island, 383, 384, 406, 605 isle, 183 is not, 428, 445 isn't, 445 isolate, 341 Isom, 524 Isophene, 522 isquontersquash, 105 Israel, 506 Israelite, 298 iss, 375 issue, 352 -ist, 178 -ista, 221 is to go, 201 I swan, 259 Iszatso, 546 it, 448 Italian, 296, 299

ite, 401; -ite, 178, 549 itemize, to, 93, 141, 167, 232 it gets me, 197 Ithamer, 522 -itis, 178, 179, 347 it is me, 51, 422, 455, 457, it is to laugh, 159 it listens well, 220 its, 448 itself, 459 it's her, 455 it's him, 455 it's me, 51, 422, 455, 457, it's up to you, 191 it's us, 455 Itzik, 506 Ivan, 511, 513 Ivanhoe, 537 Ivason, 523 ive, 401 I.X.L., 209 Izabel, 509 ize, 402; -ize, 192 j, 375, 404 Jaakko, 493, 510 jabon, 373 Jace, 519 Jack, 498, 507, 509, 510 jack, 302, 308, 585 iackass, 302 Jackass Flat, 536 Jack Johnson, 573 jack-pot, 191 jack-rabbit, 167 498, Jackson, 489, 493, 514, 517, 529 Jacob, 498, 506 Jacobovitch, 498 Jacobovsky, 498 Jacobson, 498 Jacques, 507, 508 jag, 150 Jaggers, 569 jail, 292, 293, 383, 386, 389, 390, 393, 396 jailer, 389 Taimé, 508 Jake, 498 Jakša, 489 Jakšič, 489 jam, 167 jambalaya, 214 jam-buster, 583

James, 505, 506, 507, 511, 513, 515, 524, 527, 531 Jameson, 486 Iamestown, 527 Jamestown weed, 114 Jamgotvhain, 497 Jamison, 497 jamoca, 580 Jan, 511 jan, to, 192, 196 janders, 360 Jane, 506 janit, to, 196 janitor, to, 196, 235 Janke, 110 Jansen, 477, 481 Jap, 183 japalac, 172, 173 Japanee, 461 Japan jack, 373 Jap Chief, 183 iardinière, 347 Jared, 516 Jaroscz, 488 Jarvi, 492 Tärvi, 493 Jarvis, 481, 493 Jasha, 508 Jason, 519 jaundice, 335, 344, 346 jawbone, 573 jawfest, 218 Jayhawk, 552 jay-walker, 186, 227 jazz, 155, 188, 189, 191, jeans, 128, 263 jedge, 346 Jedlička, 488 Jedlicker, 488 Jeff, 519 Jefferson, 498, 513, 515, 517, 519, 529 jell, to, 93, 191 jelly, 375 Jem, 519 Jeneal, 522 Jennie, 507 Jennings, 513, 516 Jens, 510 jeopard, to, 165 jeopardize, to, 121, 141, 165 jeopardy, 126 jerked-beef, 112 jerk-water, 146, 582

Jerome, 507, 520 Jerris, 488 Jerry, 573 jerry, 583 Jerseyman, 552 Jesse - James - and - Frank, 523 iest, 346 Jesus, 305, 313, 316, 318, 508 Tesús, 500 Jesus Christ, 308, 316 Iesus H. Christ, 316 Particular H. Tesus Christ, 316 Tew, 240, 207, 208, 305 jew, to, 122, 124, 297, 299 jew down, to, 297 jeweler, 383, 388 jeweller, 383 jewellery, 391, 392 jewelry, 391 Tewish boy, 299 Jewish cooking, 299 Jewish religion, 299 jibe, to, 252 jick, 216 jickie, 216 jig, 296 jigabo, 296 jigaboo, 296 jigger, 152, 211 jig-saw, 167 jig's up, 101 jilt, 126 Jim, 508, 512, 513 jiminy, 316 Jim-Jam, 536 Jimmie, 512 Jimson weed, 114, 115 jine, 161, 346 jiner, 346 jinerll, 379 Jingo, 537 jint, 136 jist, 346 jit, 169, 296 jitney, 86, 93, 188, 189, 191, 263 João, 509 Job, 535. Jobber, 488 jobbernoll, 577 job-holder, 243 jocker, 582 jockey, 155, 587

jockungage, 577 Joe, 508, 509, 519 Joey, 584 Johann, 505 Johannes, 482 Johannsen, 483 Johannson, 477, 491, 492 John, 482, 505, 506, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 515, 516, 524, 573 John-Collins, 85, 149 Johnnie, 512 Johnny-cake, 115 Johnny-jump-up, 115 Johnson, 477, 478, 483, 485, 492, 497, 514 Johnstown, 529 join, 346 joiner, 85 joint, 167, 235, 576, 584 joist, 346 ioke-smith, 565 Joki, 492 jolly, 253, 264, 270 Jonas, 506 Jonase, 479 Jonathan, 302 Jones, 477, 478, 479, 494, 498, 514 Jones Gulch, 535 Jones of William, 504 Jonsson, 491 jonteel, 411 Joosh, 561 Jordan, 494, 535 Jordão, 494 Jorge, 494, 508 jornada, 153 José, 508, 509 Joseph, 506, 509, 513, 515 Josephine, 507 Josh, 515, 519 Joshua, 515 joss, 162 josshouse, 162 journalese, 570 joyfulest, 420 joy-ridden, 439 joy-ride, 62, 186, 227, 565 joy-ride, to, 439 joy-rided, 439 joy-rider, 579 joy-rode, 439 Juan, 508 Juba, 524 juba, 113

Judean, 208 judge, 257, 274, 279, 346 judgement, 380 judgmatical, 120 judgment, 392 jug, 235, 566 Iuhana, 510 julep, 85 Jules, 507 Julina, 524 Julius, 507, 508, 510 July, 496 jump, to, 142, 232 jumper, 145 jumping-off place, 145 Jump-Off, 536 jump on with both feet, to, 143 jump the rails, to, 147 unction, 539 June-bug, 114 Jung, 483 jungle, 582 jungle-buzzard, 582 Junior, 520 junior, 242 junior school, 241 junk, 229, 235 junker, 579 junta, 221 Jupiter, 523 Jurjus, 512 Jussi, 510 just, 253, 346 just how many?, 253 just in time, 253 just lovely, 253 just what do you mean?, 253 juve, 586 juvenile, 184 juzgado, 221 k, 368, 375, 382, 383, 394, 406, 484 Kaarlo, 510 Kaatersill Clove, 532 Kabotchnick, 499 kaddish, 217 Kaduggan, 503 kafateria, 177 Kahler, 484 kaif, 177, 347 kake, 407 Kalamazoo, 528, 553 kalfeteria, 177 Kállay, 496

Kalle, 510 Kalomeris, 479 Kaltenberg, 483 Kamariotis, 486 Kamp Takitezy, 546 kanaapie, 110 Kanorado, 537 Kansas Citian, 548 Kansas Nebraska, 517 kant-leek, 407 kar, 407 Kara, 497 karacter, 382 Karageozian, 497 Karen, 506, 510 Karfunkel, 501 Karin, 510 Karl, 510 Karlsson, 491, 492 katchina, 151 Katel, 524 Kathryn, 520 Katie, 509 katy-did, 114 Katzenberger, 487 Katzenellenbogen, 485 katzenjammer, 155 Kauchee, 524 kaukau, 373 Kay, 507 Kayla, 496 Kayler, 496 kayo, 210, 562 Kazimierz, 510 K.C., 246 Keams Canyon, 535 Kearny, 542 kedge, 24 kee, 381 keek, 296 Keeley, 483 keen-kutter, 407 keep, to, 433, 604 keep a stiff upper lip, to, 142, 232 keep one's eye peeled, to, keep tab, to, 142 keer, 339 keg, 340, 383, 384 Keller, 487 Kelly, 478, 493, 496 Kemp, 483 Kempf, 483 Kenesaw Mountain, 517 Kenjockety, 514

Kennebec, 528 Kenneth, 512 Keokuk, 553 kep, 352, 433 kept, 433 ker-, 146; -bang, 146; -bim, 146; -chunk, 146; -flop, 146; -flummux, 146; -plunk, 146; -slam, 146; -slap, 146; -souse, -splash, 146; 146: 146; -swosh, -swash, 146; 146; -thump, -whut, 483 kerb, 390, 394 kerbstone, 394 kerbstone broker, 390 Kerekes, 496 Kerngood, 483 Kerngut, 483 Kershaw, 503 Kerttu, 510 Kester, 483 ketch, 161, 339, 429 ketcht, 339 ketchup, 391 kettle, 346, 368 key, 112, 115 keyless-watch, 96, 235, 239 key-man, 227 Keystone, 552 Key West, 535 Khachadoorian, 497 Khouri, 496 Khoury, 496 Khuri, 496 ki, 113, 490; -ki, 296 kibitzer, 217, 578 kibosh, 263, 573 kick, 142, 227 kick, to, 141 kicker, 142 kick in, to, 198 kick the bucket, to, 142 kick up hell, to, 314 kidding, 37 Kiercereau, 495 kife, to, 585 kike, 295, 305 kiki, 296 Kilander, 491 Kilberg, 490 Kilgren, 490 kill, 109, 532 killfish, 109

kill-joy, 187, 564 Kill van Kull, 532 kilogram, 390, 391 kilometer, 325 Kilpatrick, 501 Kilström, 490 kilt, 360 kilter, 129 kin, 339 kinda, 443, 471 Kindberg, 490 Kindbloom, 490 kindergarten, 112, 155, 235 Kinderhook, 532 Kindlund, 490 kindness, 348 King, 485, 498 king-pin, 583 King's Counsel, 246 king snipe, 583 King Solomon, 525 kinker, 584 kinky, 120 Kirk, 485 Kirkeslager, 485 Kirstein, 499 Kish, 496 kishkes, 217 Kiss, 496 kissingest, 463 kitchen-cabinet, 228 kitchenette, 178 kitchen-fender, 256 kittenship, 118 kittl, 217 kittle, 346 kitty, 191 kiva, 151 kiver, 22, 129, 346 Kizirboghosian, 497 Kjellman, 490 Kjellstrand, 490 klainzaric, 100 klassy, 407 kleen, 407 kleenex, 173 Klein, 483, 499 Klicklighter, 505 Kline, 483 kloof, 532 klothes, 407 km, 484 Knapp, 493 kneel, to, 433, 439 kneeled, 433, 439

knelt, 433, 439 knew, 433, 437 knew not, 469 knickebein, 85 knicker, 170 knife, to, 148 knob, 115 knocked-up, 309, 310 knock into a cocked hat, to, 143 knockout-drops, 609 knock out of the box, to, Knoebel, 484 know, to, 433 knowed, 424, 433, 436, 437 know him like a book, to, 142 know-nothing, 102 know the ropes, to, 142 Knoxville, 529 Knut, 510 Knutson, 492 Koch, 485 kodak, 172, 173, 559; -er, 173; -fiend, 173 kodak, to, 173 Koesegi, 496 Koester, 482 Koevesh, 496 Kohler of Kohler, 504 Koith, 522 kokshut, 151 kolach, 216 kolic, 382 kollege-kut, 407 komusta kayo, 376 König, 484, 485 Koning, 485 Kookno, 503 Koorey, 496 korus, 382 Korzienowski, 489 kosher, 216, 217, 299, 347, 578 Koski, 492 kosy, 407 Köszegy, 496 kotex, 172, 173 Kouba, 488 Kovács, 477, 496 Kovár, 477 Kovář, 486 Köves, 496 Kowalczyk, 477, 488 kowtow, to, 162

Krankheit, 483 Krantz, 484 Krauswood, 529 kraut, 295 Krawiec, 488 kreem. 406 Kreil, 483 Krejčí, 487 Krisking'l, 156 Kriss Kringle, 156 Kriton, 486 kruller, 98 kruxingiol, 214 Kuba, 488 Kubíček, 512 Kucharz, 488 Kuchle, 483 Kühn, 500 Kühne, 484 Kuhns, 483 Kuiper, 481, 485 kuleana, 373 kümmel, 157 Kuntz, 482, 483 Kurtz, 484, 485 Küssemich, 501 Küster, 480 Kutiš, 486 kutlery, 407 -kvist, 491 kwality, 407 -ky, 296 Kyle, 481 L, 208 1, 348, 352, 375, 377, 402; -l, 380 lab, 373 Labelle, 533 labor, 390, 397, 402 laboratory, 325, 373 laborer, 239 laborious, 395 Laboris, 500 La Borwit, 500 Labouiss, 500 labour, 395, 397 labourer, 396 Labovitz, 500 lace-engineer, 290 lachrymal, 411 lackey, 393 lacquey, 392, 393 lacrimal, 394, 411 La Crosse, 539 Lacygné, 533

ladder, 235

ladened, 193 ladies' championship, 293 ladies' international, 293 ladies' room, 414 ladies' round, 293 ladies' wear, 293 ladrone, 221 lady, 69, 284, 293, 302; -actor, 293; -champion, -doctor, 293; -golfer, 293; -inspector, 293; -secretary, 293; -superintendent, 294 læt, 465 laf, 381, 402, 403 Lafayette, 337, 519, 529, 533, 542 Lafc, 519 lag, 215 lager, 112, 368 lager-beer, 155 lagged, to be, 581 lagniappe, 151, 214 Lagrange, 533 Lähteenmäki, 492 Laib, 484 laid, 433 lain, 433 Laine, 492 La Junta, 543 Lake, 492, 493 Lake George, 532 lallapalooza, 160, 175 lam, 402 lam, to, 579 Laman, 516 La Mar, 516 lamb, 582 lame-duck, 92, 148, 187 laminated - wood - engineer, 290 Lamiza, 522 Lamoine, 533 Lamonte, 533 lamp, to, 263 lanai, 377 Lancastrian, 550 Lance, 493 landläufer, 156 land-office, 116 landscape-architect, 288 landscape-gardener, 288 land-slide, 115, 148 Landsschaffshausen, 497 Lane, 479, 492 lane, 547

Lang, 483, 484 Langestraet, 480 Lantz, 484 Lanza, 493 Lapáček, 487 La Pache, 487 lap-robe, 237 Laramie, 541 larcensy, 353 Larch, 495 L'Archevêque, 495 (la) reata, 152 larf, 351 lariat, 152 LaRivière, 495 lark, 124 larn, 339 Larraby, 495 La Rue, 495, 516 La Salle, 520 Las Palomas, 534 lass, 334 lasso, 152 lasso, to, 152 last, 334 last waltz, 581 late, 465, 467 lately, 467 Lath, 522 lather, 338 Latrina, 521 laud, 249, 328 laudanum, 155 Laudenschläger, 483 Laudenslager, 483 Laudensläger, 483 laugh, 334, 336, 366 laughingest, 463 laugh in your sleeve, to, 563 laugh like a hyena over a dead nigger, to, 137 laugh that off, to, 560 La Una, 521 launch, 344 laundry, 344 laurel, 124 Lauria, 493 Lauten, 484 Lautenberger, 483 Lautenschläger, 483 Lautenschleger, 483 L'Auvergne, 482 lava, 338 Lavake, 482 lavandera, 376

Lavar, 516 lavatory, 373 Lavaun, 521 La Verne, 512, 516 law, 577 law-abiding, 120 lawn, 349 Lawrence, 494, 507, 509, lay, 420 lay, to, 309, 433, 439 lay off, to, 40 lay-reader, 249 Lazarre, 507 lazo, 152 ld, 438 lead, to, 433, 437 leader, 228 leader of the orchestra, leads, 238 Leadville, 536 leag, 402 leakingest, 463 lean, to, 433, 439 leaned, 439 leap, to, 433, 439 leapt, 392 learn, 339 learn, to, 433, 435, 440 learnéd, 438 leather, 406 leather and finding store, Leatherhead, 552 263, leather-neck, 187, 57°, 573, 574 leave, to, 433, 440 Lebenschweiler, 485 leberwurst, 155, 220 LeBlanc, 495 lecturer, 242 led, 433, 437 Lee, 492, 498, 506, 507, 508, 513, 517 Leebakken, 492 leech, 272 leery, 464 Le Esta, 522 Leetha, 522 leetle, 346 lefse, 215 left, 433, 440 left at the post, to be, 142 Left Hand, 537 left-luggage room, 238

leg, 302, 308, 309, 340 legal holiday, 237 légion d'honneur, 409 legion of honor, 409 legislate, to, 118, 119, 120, Lehi, 516 Lehman, 500 Lehn, 480 Lehnert, 479 Leider, 514 Leilabeth, 521 Leipzig, 530 Lejitta, 522 Lekander, 491 Lem, 523 Le Maine, 479 Lemon, 525 lend, 439 lend, to, 433, 439 length, 360 lengthy, 15, 100, 119, 120, leniency, 121, 165 lens-hog, 587 lent, 433, 438, 439 Leon, 506 Leonard, 479, 508 Leonhard, 479 Leonhardt, 479 leopard, 383, 384 Leora, 522 lep, 161, 433, 437 leperd, 384 lepero, 153 lepper, 269 lernt, 433 Les, 507 les, 352, 401, 402 Leser, 484 Leslie, 512, 516 Lester, 506, 507 let, to, 433, 440, 604 let George do it, 572 let-George-do-it-itis, 179 lether, 406 Let her go, Gallegher, 566 Letitia, 519 let it slide, to, 143 Letizia, 509 let on, to, 99 let's, 352 letter-box, 235 letter-carrier, 85, 235, 238, 266

letters, 238 Leuvenmark, 490 Levay, 498 levee, 98, 151 level-crossing, 260 leveler, 389 leveller, 389 Levene, 498 l'Evêque, 482 lever, 340 Levering, 480 Leveson-Gower, 503 Levey, 498 Levie, 498 LeVie, 498 Levien, 498 Levin, 498 Levine, 498 Levitt, 498 Levoy, 498 Levvy, 498 Levy, 477, 498, 500 lewdness, 303 lewd woman, 303 Lewis, 494, 499, 505 Lewy, 498 ley fuga, 221 L.G., 183 Li, 513 Liam, 513 liar, 306 libertad, 75 Liberty, 537 liberty-cabbage, 155 libretti, 412 lib'ry, 353 -lic, 464 -lice, 464 license, 394, 462 licensed trade, 243 licensed victualler, 243 Liceta, 524 Lichtenstein, 498 Lichtman, 498 lickety-split, 114 lick to a frazzle, to, 95 lid, 368 lie, to, 433, 439 Liebel, 506 Liebering, 480 lied, 433 lieutenant, 243, 345 lieutenant-colonel, 283 lieutenant-commissioner. 283 lifebelt, 252

lifeboat, 252 lifeguard, 252 life-preserver, 252 lift, 234, 239, 368 liftman, 234 Lige, 519 light, to, 198, 433 lightning-bug, 114 lightning-rod, 100 light out, to, 142, 167, 198 Liisa, 510 like, 420, 424, 425, 458, 472 likeable, 389 like a snowbird in hell, like greased lightning, 563 like hell, 314 likely, 99, 100, 128 Liliecrona, 491 lilj, 490 Lilja, 510 Liljedáhl, 491 Liljegren, 491 Liljeqvist, 491 Lillian, 510, 520 Lillquist, 491 Lilydahl, 491 Lilygren, 491 Lilymary, 521 Lilyquist, 491 lim, 402 lima-bean, 260 limb, 302, 304 limberger, 295 lime-juicer, 295 lime-tree, 115 limey, 55, 295 limited, 147 limited liability company, 244 Limmer, 524 Limus, 524 Lina, 509 Lincoln, 480, 498, 508, 517 Lindbergh, 491 linden, 115 line, 147 linen-draper, 85 Lingo, 525 lingon, 215 lingreese, 216 Linkhorn, 480 Lionel, 507 lip, 566, 576 liqueur, 347 Lisi, 510

Lister, 516 listerine, 172, 173 lit, 368, 433 lite, 406 literat, 75 literature, 352 lithographic-engineer, Little, 493 little, 346 Little Giant, 101 Little Mary, 310 Little Snoring, 537 liturgy, 249 Litvinoff, 499 liv, 399, 401, 403 livd, 403 lived, 368 live-oak, 100, 114 liver, 463 livercheese, 220 liverwurst, 155 live-wire, 37 living, 248 living-room, 219, 235, 266 Livingston, 499 Lizard, 552 Lizzie, 509, 510, 519 lj, 491 Ljung, 491 Ljungdahl, 491 ll, 401; -ll, 380 LL.D., 273 Llewelyn, 508 Lloyd, 516 (l) m, 335 load, 586 loaden, to, 193 loaf, 156 loaf, to, 156 loafer, 99, 155 loafing-place, 156 loan, 439; -office, 292; -shark, 186 loan, to, 128, 195, 433 loaned, 433 lobby, 237 lobby, to, 148, 232 lobby-display, to, 195 lobbyist, 254 Lobe, 484 Lobenstein, 498 Lobenstine, 498 locate, to, 7, 31, 118, 119, 120, 165, 191, 232 loch, 544

locker, 368 locoed, 153 loco foco, 99 locomobile, 173 locomotive, 149 loco-weed, 152 locum tenens, 248 locust, 100, 115, 536 lodger, 235 Loeb, 484 Loeser, 484 log-cabin, 57, 115 log-house, 115 logroll, to, 114 log-rolling, 227, 228 lohengrined, 561 Lom, 519 Lomoe, 492 Lömoe, 492 London, 527, 528 Lonesomehurst, 546 Lone Star, 552 Lone Wolf, 514 Long, 483 longa, 250 long-distance, 235 long-haul, 239 long-horn, 582 long primer, 250 long sauce, 100 Longstreet, 480 Lonnquist, 490 Lony, 523 look, 159 loom, to, 199 loop-hole, 128 Loose Creek, 533 loosen, to, 433 loosened, 433 Looson-Gor, 503 loot, 169 Lopes, 495 Lopez, 494, 495 Lord, 272, 305, 318, 349 lord, 328 lorn, 349 los, 401 Los Angeles, 542 lose, to, 433 lose out, to, 198 Los Gatan, 549 loss, 603 lost, 433 lot, 98, 121 Lotawana, 522 loud, 465

Loudenslager, 483 Louis, 505, 506, 515, 524 Louisvillain, 552 Louisville, 529, 541 Louisvillian, 550 lounge, 243; -lizard, 187, 263, 569, 572; -suit, 235 Lourenço, 494, 509 louse, 305; -cage, 583 lousy, 566 Louvenia, 524 lov'd, 438 love, 308, 406 loved, 438 lovefest, 218 love her up, to, 308 lovely, 257 love-nest, 186, 570 Lovern, 482 low-brow, 186 low-down, 102, 231 Lowe, 482 Löwe, 482 Lowell, 498 Lowery, 493 low-flung, 143 Low Freight, 533 low-gear, 237 low-life, 564 lowly, 467 lozenger, 353 Ltd., 244 lubritorium, 179 lucer, 402 Lüchow, 482 Lucien, 508 Lucille, 507 lucky-dip, 239 lucre, 386 Lucybelle, 521 Luda, 522 Ludie, 524 Ludwig, 505, 507 luggage, 85, 233, 254; -shop, 266; -van, 147 Luiz, 494 Luke, 506 Lum, 523 lumber, 7, 12, 57, 122, 123; -jack, 123; -man, 123; -room, 123; -yard, 123 lump, 582 lump o' lead, 578 lunatickest, 463 lunch, 240 lunch-counter, 239

luncheon, 171 lunchery, 176 luncheteria, 177 Lundquist, 514 Lunette, 521 lunger, 563 lure, to, 199 lustre, 383 lutfisk, 215 Luther, 512, 513, 515, 517, 525, 535 lux, 172 lv, 335, 401 -ly, 464, 465, 466, 467 lynch, to, 141, 231, 232 lynching-bee, 142 lynch-law, 98 Lynner, 549 Lyons, 499 lysol, 173 M., 348 m, 375 ma, 170, 284 Mabel, 512, 513 mac, 235 Macá, 486, 488 MacEochagain, 504 machete, 221 Macheyovsky, 489 machine, 148, 227, 382, machine-shop, 123 MacIlleathiain, 479 Mack, 490 Mackey, 492 mackinaw, 105 mackintosh, 235 Mackis, 512 MacMahon, 480 MacSuihhne, 504 Macy, 486, 498 mad, 12, 143 madam, 305 Madame, 283, 503 Madames, 412 Madames of the Sacred Heart, 412 mad as a hornet, as, 144 mad as a March hare, as, mad-dog, 144 made, 433 made-to-measure, 234 mad-house, 144 Madison, 537 Mae, 507, 520

ma femme, 75 mafia, 222 Magazine, 525 magazine, 325 Magdalene, 510 Maggie, 509 Maggio, 493 magnisonant, 118 Magnus, 510 Maĥala, 522 Mahmoud, 513 Máhoney, 504 Mahonri, 516 maid, 266 maiden, 308 Maija, 510 mail, 238, 256; -bag, 238; -box, 238; -car, 238; -carrier, 238; -clerk, 147; -order, 238; -man, 238; -matter, 238; -train, 238; -van, 238 Main street, 547 maiz, 122, 384 maize, 3, 106, 122, 234, 384 major, 242, 274, 283 makai-ewa, 377 make, to, 433, 604 make a get away, to, 198 make a kick, to, 143 make good, to, 227, 229 make out, to, 229 make the fur fly, to, 142 make the grade, to, 565 make tracks, to, 142 make whoopee, to, 561 Maki, 492 Mäki, 492 Makláry, 496 Makohon, 489 malafee, 113 malaise, 400 Malcolm, 497, 507 malease, 409 male-cow, 301 male-hog, 302 Malen, 523 male-sheep, 302 mama, 585 mama-san, 373 Mamie, 519 Mamie Taylor, 149 mamma, 325 managing director, 244 manaña, 221

man-and-woman it, to, l mangelwurzel, 246 mangle, 261 Manhattan, 532 manhattanize, to, 193 manicure, 178 manicurist, 178 manifest, 582 manitee, 106 Mann, 484 männerchor, 157, 347 Manney, 479 Manoel, 509 mansions, 247, 414 Månsson, 491 Manuel, 508, 509 map, to, 199 marathon, 180 March, 493 march, 614 marcy, 339, 340 Mar-Del, 537 mare, 302 Mareda, 524 Marenisco, 538 Marg, 519 Margaret, 509, 519, 520 Margarida, 509 Margorilla, 524 Maria, 509 María, 508 Marian, 500 Mariano, 509 Maric, 511, 513 Marilyn, 511, 512 Marin, 509 Marinaccio, 493 Marinace, 493 Marion, 537 Marjette, 521 Marjoribanks, 503 Mark, 506, 507 marketeria, 177 marketing-expert, 291 Marks, 494 Marlborough, 503 Marques, 494 marriage-certificate, 235 marriage-lines, 235 marrid, 402 marsh, 246, 339 Marshall, 515, 517 Marshbanks, 503 Marston, 487 mart, 183

Marth, 519 Martha, 519 Martin, 494, 507, 509 martin, 536 Martinez, 514 Martini-cocktail, 85 Martins, 494 Marttinen, 479 marvelous, 388 Marvin, 507, 516 Mary, 183, 506, 507, 509, 510, 513, 519 Maryland, 540 Marysville, 520 Masculine, 505 ma'sh, 246, 350 mashcen, 382, 384 Mason, 486, 498 mass, 249, 334 massa, 271 masseur, 347 massive, 334 mass-meeting, 98, 144, 148, 23I Masten, 487 master, 241, 242, 268, 281, 334, 583; -maniac, 583; -mind, 583; of ceremonies, 586; of propertics, 289 masterpiece, 155 Master(s), 485 masticate, 334 mastiff, 386 mat, 261, 614 match, to, 256 mater, 338 Matha, 524 Mathias, 507 Mathushek, 487 Matilda, 519 matinée, 409 matins, 268 Matoka, 524 Matoušek, 487 matrimonial-engineer, Matrimony, 536 mat-roller, 261 matron, 289 Mattagomonsis, 531 Mattawamkeag, 531 matter, 336, 349 Matthew, 506, 510 Matthews, 487 Matti, 510

mattins, 249 mattress-engineer, 289 Matuscheck, 487 matzoth, 216, 217 Mauch Chunk, 532 mauka, 377 Mauna Loa, 522 Maurice, 503 Maury, 516 mauvaises terres, 108 Mauwauwaming, 532 maverick, 144, 188, 189 maw, 328, 349 Mawlbra, 503 Max, 507 Maximilian, 507 Maxwell, 507 may, 420 Maybeth, 521 Maynard, 497 mayorality, 353 mazuma, 216, 217, 263 mazzaltov, 217 mb, 402 McClautz, 489 McCleary, 496 McCoy, 580 McDonald, 514 McKaba, 496 McKeesport, 529 McLane, 479 McLoud, 487 McShane, 477 McSweeney, 504 M.D., 272 me, 447, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458; -me, 390, 391 meal, to, 196 Mealy, 524 mean, 25, 384, 466 mean, to, 433 measure up, to, 256 measure up to the standard, to, 229 meat, 373, 614 mecanic, 401 mecca, 183 media, 412 mediæval, 393 medic, 170 medical lady, 272 medical man, 272 medical woman, 272 medicine-man, 106 medieval, 301, 401 mediocer, 402

Meek, 523 meen, 381, 384 meeou, 184 meet, to, 433 megaphonia, 175 Meier, 500 Meilach, 498 Meilachson, 498 Meister, 485 melancholy, 267, 325 mêlée, 409 Melkonian, 497 Melrose Abbey, 522 melt, to, 436 melted, 436 Melvin, 508, 516 member, 242 memo, 170 memorandum-book, 247 memorial-park, 288 Memphian, 549 mendery, 176 Mendes, 506 menhaden, 104, 105 ment, 381, 433 mental-hygiene, 588 menu, 347 merchantor, 179 Mercy, 516 mercy, 339, 340 merged, 561 Merl, 522 Merrimac, 527 mes, 401 mesa, 152, 153 mescal, 152 Mesdames, 412 Meshach, 515 meshuggah, 216, 217, 580 meshummad, 217 mesquite, 152 mess, 346 Messiah, 524 Messieurs, 412 Messrs., 282 Mészáros, 496 met, 433 metals, 147 metaphor, 395 Metella, 522 meteorological-office, 239 meter, 402 Methodist, 462 Methodist New Connexion, 249 meticulous, 211

Metsa, 492 Meyer, 477 mezzo-brow, 186 Miamian, 548 Michael, 507, 511, 512, 518 Michaelis, 483 Michaels, 483 Michal, 511 Michayil, 510 Michel, 408 Michigan, 532; -der, 552 michigouen, 106 mick, 295 middle-aisled, 561 middle-aisle it, to, 259 Middlemarch, 537 middle-neck, 236 middle-rump, 236 Middletown, 536 midsommarfest, 215 Miechyslawa, 510 Mielnik, 488 might, 99 mightily, 467 mighty, 467 Miguel, 514 Mihai, 509 mijn, 379 Mike, 509, 510, 511, 512, Mikha'il, 512 Miklavec, 489 Mikuláš, 511 mil, 403 Mila, 524 Milavič, 489 Mildred, 510, 519 mile, 462 mileage, 120, 147, 231, 389 mileage-book, 147 Miles, 524 Miley, 524 militaire, 75 militia-armory, 239 milk-churn, 260 milk-engineer, 200 mill, 116 Millar, 477 millenial, 16 Miller, 477, 478, 484, 485, 486, 488, 494, 520 milliard, 255 Millicent, 519 Millie, 519 milligram, 391 Millin, 499

millinteria, 177 mills, 539 Milton, 505, 506, 515, 516 min, 449 Minas, 524 mince, 260 mince-pie, 578 Minda, 524 mind-set, 588 mine, 379, 447, 449 Miner, 482 minerals, 86, 149, 237 minestrone, 222 Mingo, 524 minim, 250 minima, 250 minion, 250 minion-nonpareil, 250 minister, 245, 249 ministry, 245 Minnesota, 526 minni, 353 minnow, 353 minor-leaguer, 191 minster, 248 minum, 449 mirage, 338 Miriam, 507 Mirnada, 521 Mirrle, 522 mirror, 395 mis, 401 Mis', 124 mischievious, 353 mischievous, 325 misdemeanour, 306 miserable, 465 Misha, 508 misogynist, 341 mistaken, to, 193 Miss, 124 missil, 406 missile, 406 missionary, 24 missionate, to, 98, 140, 150 mission-festival, 220 mission-stiff, 582 Mississippi, 526, 528 Missouri, 525, 532, 541 Missouri Compromise, misstep, 231 Missus, 412 Mister, 273, 274, 280, 412 mistook, 442

Mitchell, 490, 498, 507, | 512 mit-joint, 584 MiXail, 490 mixer, 227 mixologist, 179 mizzen-brow, 186 Mlle., 348 Mlynář, 486 mob, 126, 169, 182, 368, 558 -mobile, 180 mobile police, 226 mobster, 178 moccasin, 101, 102, 105 mocho, 153 model, to, 194 moderately, 253 Modjeska, 489 Modjeski, 489 modren, 353 Modrzejewski, 489 mods, 243 Moe, 507 mohel, 217 Mohr, 477 Möhr, 477 Moisevev, 498 Moishe, 506 moke, 296 molasses, 62, 128, 235, 462 mold, 386, 402 moldering, 388 mollagausauger, 568 Möller, 477 Mollie, 519 mollusc, 390 mollusk, 394 molt, 436 momentum, to, 194 momzer, 218 monarc, 401 monarchy, 401 monastery, 325 Monat, 482 mon cher, 75 Monday-man, 585 moneybund, 219 money-to-burn, 227 moniker, 582 Monimea, 524 monitor, 268 monkey-doodle, 176 monkey-house, 583 monkey with, to, 232 monkey-wrench, 235

monolog, 394 monologuist, 178 Monongahela, 526 monoxide, to, 196 Monroe, 506 monsignori, 412 monsignors, 412 Montagu-Stuart-Wortley-Mackenzie, 502 Montana, 339 Montereyan, 549 Monte Vista, 534 Montréalais, 550 Montrealer, 549 Monumental City, 101, 553 mony, 402; -mony, 325 Mood, 524 moom-pitcher, 561 moonshine, 576 Moor, 477 moor, 115 Mooradian, 497 Moore, 477, 497 Moosa, 524 moose, 104, 536 moosu, 104 Mop, 582 mop, 368 Morais, 494 moral, 341 Móran, 504 Morariu, 494 Mord, 522 More, 477 more, 328, 349, 463, 538 more better, 420, 463 more looser'n, 464 more queerer, 463 more ultra, 463 more uniquer, 463 more worse, 463 Morgan, 499 morgen, 110 Morgenthau, 500 morgue, 563 Mork, 490 Mormon, 57 moron, 174, 570 Moroni, 516 moronize, to, 193 morphician, 289 morphine, 155 morphology, 155 Morris, 494, 506 mortgage-shark, 144

mortician, 86, 178, 284, 287 Mortimer, 507 Morton, 506, 507 moscheto, 112 Moscowite, 549 mose, 104 Moses, 506, 507, 513, 535 Mosetta, 522 mosey, to, 152 Mosie, 507 moslem, 409 Mosnička, 486 mosquito, 112 moss-back, 116, 145 most, 463, 468 most Almighty God, 463 Most Hon., 277 most principal, 463 Most Rev., 282 mote, 347 Motel, 506 mother, 349 motorcade, 179 motor-car, 233, 247 motoritis, 179 motortorium, 179 mototeria, 177 mought, 347 mould, 386, 390, 393 mouldering, 388 moult, 393 mound mainstay, 570 mountain-dew, 568 mountainious, 353 Mountbatten, 500 Mount Ohio, 530 mourners' bench, 150, 250 moustache, 392, 393 mouth-organ, 120 mouthpiece, 576 moveable, 380 movey-star, 108 movie, 95, 169, 170, 187; -cathedral, 188, 292; -filling-station, 188: -house, 237; -mosque, -parlor, 188: 188; -synagogue, 188 moviedom, 178 mow, to, 433 mowed, 433 mown, 433 moyder a skoyt, to, 263 Mozingo, 524

mozo, 153 M.P., 573 Mr., 275, 278, 281, 413 Mráček, 487 Mr. Chief Justice, 279 Mr. Justice, 279 Mrs., 124 Mrs. ex-Senator, 274 Mrs. Major, 283 Mrs. Professor, 274 Mt. Rainier, 532 Muccia, 494 much, 110, 374 mucilage, 235 mud, 582; -guard, 236; -hen, 114; -scow, 116; -show, 585; -splasher, mudhop, 583 Mueller, 484 muffler, 237 mug-joint, 584 mugquomp, 106 mugwump, 106, 107, 148, 23 I Mühler, 477 Muir, 477 Mulcahy, 504 mule-skinner, 167 muletress, 168 Muller, 484 Müller, 477 mulligan, 582 multiple-shop, 234 Mummy, 269 muncheon, 171 Munger, 503 municipial, 353 munnawhattecug, 105 Munsing Underwear, 525 Muqabba'a, 496 Murdena, 522 Murphy, 477, 478, 504, 520 murphy, 566 Murray, 507, 508 mus, 104 Musallem, 496 muscato, 112 muscle in, to, 198, 580 mush, 116 music, 380, 603 music hall, 237 muskeito, 112 musketa, 112 muskmelon, 360

Muskogeean, 549 Muskrat, 552 muskrat, 114, 360 muslim, 409 musqueto, 112 muss, 99, 128, 346 muss, to, 142 must, 443 musta, 443 mustache, 325, 394 mustang, 152 mutt, 169 mutual, 168 mutual-society, 239 my, 447, 449 my dear, 311 My Lady's Manor, 537 Mylonas, 485 My Lord, 279 Myra, 507 Myron, 511 Myroslava, 511 myself, 459 Myšička, 488 Myška, 488 my word, 269 11, 335, 375, 397, 405, 425, 445, 451; -n, 549; n, 543 na, 469 nab, to, 199 nabisco, 172, 173 nabor, 383, 406 naborhood, 406 Nadia, 511 næfth, 469 naht, 469 naïve, 410 naïveté, 409, 410 name, to, 199 nameable, 101 nance, 305 Naneta, 524 nanitch, 150 -naper, 180 naphtha, 352, 407, 408 Napoli, 493 Nappy, 520 narcissis, 413 narcissuses, 413 narrator, 325 narrow, 359 nary, 129, 470 Nash, 490 Nassau, 533 nasty, 268, 464, 467

Nat, 519 nat, 469 Nathan, 506, 512 Nathaniel, 506 nation, 614 nativ, 403 natur, 345 nature-faker, 174 naturelogues, 171 naught, 393 naughty, 467 Nauwagesic, 514 naval-yard, 239 Navilla, 522 navvy, 239 navy-yard, 239 Nazarene, 524 Nazary, 503 na zdar, 216 Nazi, 183, 209 Nazro, 525 nch, 446 nd, 438 ne, 469 near, 93, 384, 466 near-, 180, 181; -accident, 103, 181; -antique, 181; -beer, 181; -champion, -finish, -leather, 181; -mahogany, 181; -porcelain, 181; -seal, 181; -silk, 181; -silver, 181 nearby, 229 Neary, 496 neat, 466 Nebraska, 532 necessarily, 267 necessary, 325 neck, 115 neck, to, 199, 309, 564, 565 necktie, 235 née, 347 Needa, 521 needle, to, 580 needless, 401 neer, 381, 384 negative, to, 118, 232 neger, 385 négligé, 287, 347 negligée, 409 Negolia, 524 Negress, 300 Negro, 299, 385 ne-hæfth, 460

neighbour, 383, 395 neither, 341, 472 neither don't, 471 Nell, 511 Nelly, 511 Nelson, 477 neolin, 173 N.E.P., 209 nephew, 352 Nephi, 516, 518 nerts, 226, 309, 572 nerve, 367 nervous, 126 nerz, 367 ne singan, 469 -ness, 178 nestes, 360 net, 390, 396 net, to, 199 nether-garments, 302 netop, 106 nett, 389, 390 Neuta, 522 Nevada, 339 New, 529 New Amsterdam, 532 Newberlin, 539 New Boston, 528 Newbranch, 491 New Dorp, 532 Newer, 487 Newhouse, 485 ne-wiste, 469 Newlake, 402 New London, 528 Newman, 485, 487, 499 Newmann, 485 ne-wolde, 469 New Orleans, 531, 541 Newport, 528 Newport News, 537 newspaperdom, 178 newspaper men, 228 Newt, 519 New Thought, to, 196 Newton, 492, 519 New York, 532; -er, 549 Ney, 499 ng, 348 N.G., 92, 205, 208 'ngombo, 113 Niagara, 527, 531 Nias, 523 nib, 239 nice, 71, 210, 464, 557 Nicholas, 486, 511, 515

nichts kommt heraus, 157 | Nick, 511 Nicolo, 509 Niemi, 492 Nieuwhuis, 485 nifty, 581 nigger, 296, 299, 305 night-rider, 102 nigmenog, 577 Nikolaou, 486 Nils, 510 nine-pins, 237, 248 Nineveh, 530 nip, 35 nipple, 303 Nira, 209 nit, 157 nite, 406 niter, 394 nitsky, 157 nix, 157, 573 nix come erous, 157 nixie, 157 nixy, 157 N.M., 206 no, 469 no-account, 114, 117 Noble, 484 nobody home, 561 no-end, 260 no flies on, 232 no-how, 114, 117, 470 noise, 367 noive, 367 no kerry, 376 nolde, 469 Nolte, 487 nominated, 245 non-committal, 143, 231 non-committalism, 143 non-committally, 143 nonconformist, 248, 249 nonconformist conscience, 250 none, 451, 469, 471 Non Intervention, 536 nonpareil, 250 Noodle, 536 noodle, 112, 155 noodle-hook, 359 nook, 344 nope, 229, 354 Nopie, 522 no place, 204 Norfolk-Howard, 310

Norlina, 537

Norman, 507 Norse, 183 Norsworthy, 503 North, 529 north and south, 578 North Clove, 532 north-east corner, 247 Norwich, 540 nose-paint, 568 no-siree, 161 no slouch, 232 Nosodak, 537 not, 343, 445, 446, 469 notaseme, 407 notch, 115 note, 233 note-case, 239 not has, 469 nothin' doin', 95 nothing, 469, 470 nothing doing, 227 notice, to, 7 notify, to, 6, 122 notions, 254 not my funeral, 232 not nothing, 424 no(t)-one, 451 Notre Dame, 525 not so hot, 585 not to sing, 469 no two ways about it, 99, nought, 392, 393 nourish, to, 303 Novák, 487 novel, 343 noways, 468 nowheres, 22 nowheres else, 204 N.R.A., 209 nt, 446; n't, 445 nucleuses, 412 nuf sed, 407 null, to, 199 number-dummy, 583 Number Four, 536 number-grabber, 583 numbers, 222 Nunes, 495 Nunez, 495 Nurse, 240 nurse, 240 nurse, to, 303 nurse a constituency, to, 245 nursery, 235

nursery-school, 235 nursing-home, 240, 266 nursing sister, 240 nut, 263, 557 nuts, 301, 566 nut-splitter, 583 nut-sundae, 228 nuttery, 176 nutty, 464 ny, 543 nyam, 113 Nygren, 491 Nyiri, 496 nyste, 469 Nyzovych, 490 0, 343, 344, 346, 401, 402, 484, 490; **-0**, 548; ö, 490; ò, 382 Oakhurst, 534 Oarly, 522 oatmeal, 235 Oberkuchen, 484 Oberkugen, 484 obflisticate, to, 568 obituarize, to, 194 objurgate, to, 325 O'Black, 489 obleek, 382 obligate, to, 40, 99, 118, obligation, 614 obligoddamnation, 316 oblique, 382 Oblong, 536 O'Brien, 487 obscene, 309 obstetricate, to, 197 obtained, 604 O.C., 573 occlusion, 15 oceanography, 155 ocelot, 106 Očenášek, 487 ock, 402 Octavia, 519 od, 403 odditorium, 179 odd jobs, 234 odds and ends, 235 -ode, 438 Oder, 522 odeur, 384 odor, 384 odorous, 395 odour, 395 odsblood, 315

oe, 401, 484 Oehler, 484 Oehm, 483 œsophagus, 411 of, 443, 471 ofay, 214, 296 offal, 128 offence, 394 offense, 386, 388, 394 offensive, 394 off from, 471 101, office-holder, 95, 102, 243 officerette, 178 office-seeker, 148 off'n, 471 off of, 471 offset, 98 of late, 467 often, 348 -ogist, 178, 179 Ogpu, 209 O'Green, 489 Ogrin, 489 oh, 404, 490 Oha, 522 Ohanesian, 497 O'Hare, 487 Ohio, 526 Ohiowa, 537 Ohler, 484 Ohman, 490 oh, oh!, 252 Ohslund, 490 oh-yeah, 40, 566 oi, 345, 367, 368 oil, 346 Oil City, 536 oiled, 568 oil-plan, 237 oinest, 367 oi-yoi, 217 O.K., 92, 205, 226, 230, 466, 565 okay, 207, 208 okeh, 206 Okerberg, 490 okey, 207 Oklahoman, 548 okra, 113 Olabelle, 521 Olaf, 510 old, 352 old-boy, 243 old dear, 260 Old Dominion, 552

Old Hickory, 101 oldsmobile, 173 ole, 352 -olis, 549 -olitan, 549 Ollie, 522, 523 Olof, 510 Olouise, 521 Olseen, 491 Olsen, 510 Olsie, 521 Olson, 491, 510 Olsson, 491, 492 Omahog, 552 OMaolcathaigh, 504 Ombra, 521 omelet, 390 ommigenous, 75 omnibus-bill, 101, 148 OMurchadha, 504 on, 343; -on, 548 on a bust, 429 on an avenue, 247 on a street, 247 once, 352, 353 once-over, 263 oncet, 161 one, 451, 464, 612 one-he, 203 one-horse, 117 100% American, 174 onery, 95, 161, 353 onesome, 562 one-step, 155 on fire, 561 on his legs, to be, 245 Onlak, 489 onliest, 463 onnozel, 109 Ono, 534 Onola, 522 on point duty, 243 onry, 353 on the bench, 191 on the burn, 156 on the fence, 143, 148, 228 on the job, 265 on the merge, 561 on the Q.T., 208 on the side, 227 on the verge, 561 ontil, 360 on time, 232, 238 on to his curves, 191 Onza, 521 00, 344, 345, 383

oof, 184 oona, 113 ooze, 404, 602 opassom, 104 open up, to, 165, 198 operate, to, 31, 196 operating-room, 287 operative, 325 Opferkuchen, 484 opinion, to, 25 opossum, 100, 104 oppose, to, 117, 120 opposit, 403 opuses, 413 Oquossoc, 531 -or, 178, 179, 192, 285, 383, 384, 386, 389, 390, 395 Oral, 522 Orange, 505 orangeade, 173 orate, to, 192 orator, 242 oratory, 24 Orba, 521 Orcellia, 524 orchestra, 237 ordinary, 353 orf, 350 organization, 225 organlog, 171 Oriel, 522 oriole, 124 -orium, 178, 179 Orleenian, 548 ornate, 128 orphanest, 463 orse, 377 orta, 471 orter, 350 Orvetta, 521 -ory, 325 Osborn, 490 Oscar, 507, 510 Oscy, 522 O'Shaunnessy, 487 Oshkosh, 553 Osie, 522 oslerize, to, 193 ossified, 568 ostent, 75 ostermoor, 564 ostler, 393 OSuilleohhain, 504 O'Sullivan, 504 otchock, 105

Otella, 521 other, 349 O'Tracy, 487 Otřáska, 487 O'Tresaigh, 504 otter, 536 Otto, 505, 506 Ou, 402 ouch, 158 ough, 402 oughta, 445 oughten, 445 oughter, 445 oughtna, 445 ought not, 445 ought to, 445 our, 402, 447, 456 -our, 380, 381, 383, 386, 390, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 399 ouren, 448 ourn, 425, 447, 456 oust, to, 199 outa, 443, 471 outfit, 573 out for the coin, 559 Outhouse, 505 out-house, 62, 231 outlandishest, 463 out of wedlock, 203 out of window, 251 outstander, 586 outstanding, 210, 211, 220, 588 outstandingly, 211 Oven, 489 Oven Fork, 536 over all, 350 Overflow, 524 overhall, 353 overhead-expenses, 86 over his signature, 165, 252 overseas, 239 overshoes, 235 over the left, 566 over the top, 573 ow, 353, 382, 383, 484 -ow, 437 Owens, 489 Owl Wahneeta, 514 own, 459 oyster, 367; -stew, 247; -supper, 144, 247 p, 354, 368, 439, 493 pa, 170

Paatalo, 492 paccan, 105 pack, 236 package, 235 package-engineer, 290 packing, 261 packing-engineer, 290 Pacoman, 538 pact, 182 Paddy, 511 Padraic, 513 padre, 152, 573 pad-room, 584 pageant-engineer, 290 Pagger-Wagger, 569 pahea oe, 377 paid, 433, 436 paint-engineer, 200 painting-engineer, 290 paint-maintenance-engineer, 290 paint the town red, to, 142 pair, 462 pajama-engineer, 200 pajamas, 391 pajamboree, 175 pal, 573 palace, 414 palace-car, 147 pale, 99 pale-face, 106, 231 palm, 334, 338 Palmer, 520 Palmetto, 552 palmolive, 173 Palo Altan, 549 palooka, 259, 560 Pamo, 524 pan, to, 196 panaderia, 177 Panagiotopoulos, 485 Panagoitis, 512 pandering, 311 panel-house, 102 pan-fish, 115 panhandle, 86 panhandler, 263, 582 Pannebacker, 480 pan out, to, 142 pansy, 305 pantaloons, 302 pantáta, 216 pantatorium, 179 panther-sweat, 568 pantorium, 179

pantry, 336 pants, 234, 239 papa, 325, 335, 579 papaios, 105 Pape, 493 paper, 584 paper bleu, 214 paper-hanger, 577, 579 paper tut, 159 papoose, 105, 106 Papp, 496 Pappachristides, 485 Pappadakis, 485 Pappadimitracoupoulos, 485, 486 Pappageorgiou, 486 Pappapolychronopoulos, 486 Pappas, 485, 486 Pappatheodorokomoundoronicolucopoulos, 486 pappekak, 145 par, 562 paraffin, 234 paralize, 402 parcel, 235, 350 pard, 170 parfait, 411 parfay, 411 park, 334, 414 park, to, 195, 227 Parker, 516 Parker Notch, 535 Park 'N Dine, 210 Park Rowgue, 561 parley, 182 parlor, 266, 583 parlor-car, 147 parlour, 243, 395 Parry, 489 parson, 249, 280 part, 349 partiolist, 75 partridge, 7, 122, 123 party, 184 pas, 401 paseo, 153, 376 pash, 169, 561, 581, 586 pass, 335, 336 pass, to, 198, 227 passage, 547 passageway, 102 Passaicite, 549 pass-degree, 242 passel, 350

passenger-coach, 147 pass out, to, 198 past, 337 pastor, to, 140, 150 pastorium, 150, 179 pasture-lot, 121 Pat, 110, 519 Patapsco, 527 Patapsco Neck, 535 patent, 338 patent-engineer, 290 path, 247, 267, 334, 335, 336, 366 pathetiker, 463 patio, 152, 153 Patlow, 492 Pătrascu, 494 patriarch, 614 Patricius, 511 Patrick, 505, 511, 513 patrioteer, 180 patriotism, 339 patrolman, 86, 102 patroon, 108 patter, 169 Patterson, 494 Patuxent, 528 pau, 377 paugh, 482 Paul, 507, 515 pauperdom, 178 pavement, 33, 42, 235, 247 paw, 349 pawn-shop, 292 paw-paw, 104, 105, 536 pay, to, 433 Paya, 524 pay dirt, 100, 102, 145 paying-in-slip, 244 pay-off, 576 pay-roll, 239 peacharino, 176 P.D.Q., 92, 205, 208 Peabody, 482 peach-pit, 108 Peadar, 513 pea-nut, 114 pearl, 250, 367 pearline, 173 peart, 143 Peazzoni, 514 pebeco, 173 pecan, 104, 105 peck, 462 peculiar members, 303 ped, 436

pedagog, 400 pediatric-engineer, 290 Pedlar, 520 Pedro, 508, 509 peedoodles, 568 peek, 382 peek, to, 252 peek-a-boo, 252 Peekskill, 532 peep, to, 439 pee-pee, 265 peeve, to, 192 Pee Wee, 523 peg, 340 Peggy, 519 Peijariniemi, 492 Pekin, 525 pell-mell, 126 Pelto, 493 pemmican, 105 pen, 169 penalize, 340 penepne, 113 penitent-form, 250 penitentiary, 293 penitent-seat, 250 Pen-Mar, 537 pennant-winner, 191 penny, 101, 116, 267; -ante, 191, 267; -arcade, 267; -bank, 267; bill, 116; -in-the-slot, 267 Penn Yan, 537 Pennypacker, 480 pennyroyal, 346 pennyr'yal, 346 Penobscot, 527 pen-point, 239 Pentapang, 527 Péntek, 496 peon, 152 peonage, 152 people, 603 Peoria, 582 pep, 169, 170, 227, 263, 565 Pepper, 482 pepsin, 155 peptomint, 173 perambulator, 233, 256 perc, to, 192 Percival, 516, 519 Percy, 502, 516, 518 per-diem, 148 Peregrine, 516 Pereira, 494

Perey, 489 Pércz, 494 perfect, 367 perfectest, 463 perfectly, 372 perform an abortion, to, permanent-way, 147 Pernella, 524 Perry, 494 Pershin, 480 Pershing, 480 persimmon, 100, 104, 105, 231, 246 person, 184 personal, 256 persuasion-engineer, 200 Perth Amboy, 537 pesach, 217 pesky, 129, 231 Pet, 522 pet, to, 309 Pete, 508, 510, 512 Pete-in-the-Field, 492 Pete-in-the-Street, 492 Peter, 509, 513 peter out, to, 142 Peters, 490, 494 Peterson, 477, 489, 497 Petersson, 492 Petit, 481 Petoskey, 514 Petr, 511 petrol, 236, 256 Petrusiw, 490 Petrussylf, 459 Petryshak, 489 Petryshyn, 489 Pfannenstiel, 484 Pfau, 479 Pfeffer, 482 Pfeil, 484 Pfoersching, 480 Pfüger, 484 pfui, 220 Pfund, 485 ph, 383, 402 phalanx, 338 phantom, 383, 406 phenix, 394 phenomena, 412 phenomenons, 413 Ph.D., 272 phffft, 561 phial, 389, 390 Phi-Beta-Kappa-itis, 179

Phil, 519 Philadelphia, 522, 528: -n, 548 philanthropy, 292 Philip, 488, 506, 507 Phillips, 488 phizz, 260 Phlegar, 484 phlegm, 383; -cutter, 149 phlizz, 260 Phoenician, 551 phœnix, 394 phone, 169, 170, 228 phone, to, 191 phoney, 187 phonograph, 235, 604 phooey, 220 photo, 169, 170, 183, 558 photo, to, 199 photographic-engineer, 200 photoplay, 187 Phronic, 519 phthisic, 605 physician, 272 physick, 383, 386 piano, 338, 347 pianola, 172 pianologues, 171 Pibaudière, 481 pica, 250 picayune, 151 Piccolo, 493 pick a crow with, to, 568 pickaninny, 112, 372 Picketwire, 533 pick on, to, 227 Pictorial Review, 525 picture, 328, 352 picture-gallery, 584 picture-house, 237 picturize, to, 193 pie, 122, 235 piece, 308 piece-of-eight, 116 pie-counter, 148 pie-eyed, 568 Pierce, 507 pietje-kamaakal, 109 Pietroluongo, 494 Pietrus, 488 Pietruszka, 488 pifflicated, 568 Pig, 505 Pig Eye, 536 pigmy, 390, 392

pike, 169, 170 Pikler, 498 Pilipino, 375 pillar-box, 235 piller, 353 pimp, 309 pinch-hitter, 191 pinder, 113 pineapple, 580 pine-knot, 115 pin for home, to, 583 pin-head, 186 pinkster, 100 pint, 237, 243 pinto, 152, 153 Piotr, 510 pipe-of-peace, 106 pippin, 263 pique, 382 pisen, 346 Pism, 525 pismire, 302 piss, 308 pissoir, 304 pistarine, 116 pit, 108 pitcher, 235 pitchfork, to, 373 pitch-pine, 114 pitilacker, 175 Pitkäjärvi, 492 Pittsburgh, 529, 539 Pittsburgher, 549 Pittsburgh Landing, 529 pivotal, 231 placate, to, 118, 230 place, 123, 248 Placenta, 525 placer, 152 plaguy, 99 plain, 536 plaintiff, 386 planing-machine, 101 plank, 148 plank down, to, 142 planning-expert, 291 plant food, 292 Plant moon, 106 plastered, 568 plastic, 334 plate, 235 platform, 148, 228 platinum, 604 play ball, to, 191 playdom, 178 played-out, 143, 231

play golf some, to, 254 play hell, to, 314 play possum, to, 143, 198, play-room, 235 plaza, 152, 547 plea, 183, 375 plead, to, 433, 437 pleadings, 246 pleasd, 403 plebe, 170 pled, 433, 437 plesure, 403 plop, 184 plough, 96, 146, 383, 390, 393 plow, 381, 383, 386 plug along, to, 609 plumb, 143, 232 plumb, to, 192 plumb crazy, 143 plump, 143 plunder, 99, 101, 159 plunderbund, 219 plunge, 184 Plunkett-Ernle-Erle-Drax, 502 pluralist, 248 plus, 210, 211 plute, 169, 263 Plymouth Brethren, 249 Plymouth Rock, 527 pneumony, 360 poche, 158 pochen, 157 pochspiel, 157 pocketbook, 247 pocket-diary, 247 počkij, 216 poco, 152 podiatrist, 288 Podlesnik, 489 Podunk, 553, 554 Poe, 479 Po-ell, 503 poem, 341 Poh, 479 pohickery, 105 poifect, 367 poil, 367 Poincaré, 410 point, 242, 250 pointer, 227 Point Loma, 534 points, 147

poison, 346

poison-ivy, 246 Poke, 523 poke, 577 poker, 157, 591 Poker City, 536; Flat, 535 poke-weed, 114 polack, 296 pole, 368 police, 360, 604 policy, 222 politeness, 374 politician, 30, 245 Polk, 493 Polly, 519 poly, 577 pomp, 343 Pompey, 523 poncho, 152 pond, 115, 121 pone, 100, 105 pontiff, 386 Pontius Pilate, 578 pony up, to, 191, 562 pooldoo, 214 pool-room, 237 poorhouse, 243 poorlaw institution, 243 poorly, 467 poozly, 110 pop, 149, 170 pop-corn, 85, 115, 122, 229 Pope, 493 poppycock, 47, 144, 565 poque, 158 porch-climber, 243 pore, 349 pork-barrel, 148 porpoise, 383, 384 porque, 376 porridge, 116, 235 Portage, 535, 542 portage, 108, 151 porte-cochère, 409 porter, 235, 247 porterhouse, 231, 236 portière, 347, 409 Port Tobacco, 527, 537 Portugee, 111, 461 positiv, 403 Positive Wassermann, 525 Pospíšil, 512 possum, 104, 169, 170; -belly, 583 Possum Hollow, 554

post, 238, 256, 283 post-bag, 238 posterior, 380 postes, 360 post-free, 238 postilion, 393 postillion, 392, 393 postman, 85, 235, 238, Post No Bills, 239 postpaid, 238 postum, 172, 173 pot, 191 potato, 603; -bug, 114 potecary, 22 Poteet, 481 potlach, 150 potman, 236, 243 Potomac, 527 Potowanmeac, 527 Potunk, 553 Poughkeepsian, 549, 550 Poulos, 485, 486 Pound, 485 pound, 250, 462 pounding, 150 povidla, 216 Powell, 503 powerful, 99 powerize, to, 193 powerphobe, 570 Powhatan, 531 powwow, 105, 231 pox upon it, a, 317 practical, 336 practice, 393 practis, 401, 403 practise, 394 practise, to, 393 prairie, 57, 108, 151, 536; -dog, 151; -hen, 151; -schooner, 145 Prairie du Chien, 536 praline, 214 pram, 233 pre-, 181 prebendary, 248 precinct, 148 precisely, 253 predicate, to, 141 prefect, 268 preferd, 403 pregnant, 301, 310, 311 prelim, 170, 562 prélude, 347 première, 347

premiss, 392 Prentiss, 516 prepaid, 238 preparation-room, 287 preparatory, 325 prepare a body, to, 287 prepare a patient, to, prep school, 240 pres, 401 prescriptive, 118 presentation, 248 Preserved, 516 president, 11, 242, 244, 289 presidentiad, 75 presidential, 98, 119, 120, 165, 231 presidio, 152 prespiration, 353 press-agent, to, 195 press engineer, 291 pressmen, 228 press-representative, 289 Preston, 498 pretty, 340 pretty boy, 584 pretzel, 112, 295 Preussen, 296 preventable disease, 306 preventorium, 179 previous, 231 pre-Volstead, 181 pre-war, 181, 183 priceless, 269 prickly-heat, 116 Priest, 496 prig, 126 primarily, 324 primary, 148 primary school, 241 primate, 248 Prince, 485 Prince-Albert, 37 Princell, 492 Princess Anne, 537 Princeton, 528, 530 Princilla, 524 principal, 241, 242 Prins, 485 printery, 176 printing-engineer, 200 printorium, 179 Priscilla, 512 prise, to, 252 private, 256 private-bar, 243

prize, to, 252 probably, 376 probate a will, to, 246 probationary captain, 283; lieutenant, 283 probe, 182, 185 pro'bition, 353 prob'ly, 353 procede, 401 pro-chancellor, 241 proctor, 242 produce a certain state, to, 311 prof, 170 professor, 100, 242, 272 professoriat, 180 progenitor, 395 program, 389, 390, 396, 400, 401, 403, 406 programme, 239, 390, 393, 394 progress, to, 7, 15, 24, 117, 120 project, 588 prolog, 400, 403 prom, 170 promenade, 338 promis, 403 promotion-engineer, 201 promulgate, 325 promulge, to, 75 pronto, 152 proof, 344 proov, 381, 383 propaganda, 101, 196 proper, 465 prophecy, 394 Prophet, 524 proposal, 239 proposition, 31, 210, 227 props, 289 prosaic, 380 prosit, 157 prostitute, 293, 303, 305, protectograph, 173 protégé, 347, 409 protest, to, 195 Protestant Episcopal Church, 240 protozoa, 155 proud, 465 prove, 382, 383 prove, to, 433 prove a will, to, 246 proved, 433

proven, 433 pro-vice-chancellor, 242 provost, 242 prowler, 582 Prudence, 516 Prujín, 487 prushun, 582 pry, to, 252 psychological-engineer, 290 psychology, 155 psycho-neurosis, 293 psychopathic hospital, 203 psychopathic personality, 293 pub, 237, 243 public, 383 public-bar, 243 public comfort station, public company, 244 public elementary school, public-house, 237, 243 publicist, 288 publicity, 227 publick, 383 public relations counsel, 288 public school, 240 public-servant, 96, 243 publishment, 98, 141 puckerstopple, to, 568 Puckey-Huddle, 554 pudding, 155 puff-puff, 240 puffy, 558 pug, 169 puka, 377 Puke, 552 puke, 309 Pulkka, 493 Pulkkinen, 493 pull, 148, 227, 565 pull hard, to, 465 Pullman, 147, 238 pull the pin, to, 583 pull up stakes, to, 142, pull wool over his eyes, to, 142 pulmotor, 171 pulque, 152 pumpernickel, 155 Pumpkin Hollow, 554

pun, 169 punch-drunk, 562 punctuate, 352 pung, 117 pungy, 116, 117 punk, 305, 562, 573, 580, 582 punt, 248 pup, 580 pur, 403 Púrcell, 504 purp, 350 purse, 247 push, 609 push, to, 199 push-cart, 235 pushed, 438 pusher, 583 pushover, 560 pusht, 438 pussyfoot, to, 255 pussy-footer, 174 put, to, 198, 440, 604 put a bug in his ear, to, 142 put across, to, 227 putchamin, 105 put down, to, 234 put it across, to, 191 put it over, to, 191 put it through, to, 232 put one across, to, 191 put one over, to, 191 put on the spot, to, 580 put over, to, 198, 227, put the skids under, to, puzzledom, 178 pygmy, 390, 394 pyjama, 389 pyjamas, 391 pyrex, 173 Q, 582 q, 404 Q-room, 208 Qua, 524 quack, 184 Quaco, 524 quadril, 403 quadroon, 112 quahaug, 98, 106 quake, 183 Quaker City, 553 quality, 335

Quamana, 524

Quamina, 524 quandary, 126 quanity, 352 quantity, 335 quarrel, 341, 343 quarter, 255 quarter-days, 255 quarter hour, 251 quartern-loaf, 255 quarter note, 250 quarter of an hour, 251 quarter of nine, 250 quarter to nine, 250 quartet, 401, 403 quartette, 393 Quash, 524 quash, 335 quash, to, 199 Quashey, 524 quasihemidemisemiquaver, 250 quaver, 250 Quay, 524 Quebecer, 549 Québecois, 550 Oueener, 569 queer the pitch, to, 260 Ouentin, 513 -quest, 491 questionize, to, 141 quick, 420, 465, 466 Quico, 524 quien sabe?, 152 Quincyan, 549 quinine, 341 -quist, 491 quit, 433 quit, to, 199, 433 quite, 251 quitter, 37, 227 quiz, 30, 182 Quod, 524 Quomana, 524 quotes, 170 -qvist, 491 qw, 404 r, 335, 340, 348, 349, 350, 369, 375, 383, 600 rabbit, 123 Rabbit Ridge, 554 rabble-rouser, 186 Rabinovitch, 498 raccoon, 104 race-course, 237; -track, Rachel, 507

racket, 565, 566, 580 racketeer, to, 195, 565, radio, 226, 338, 559, 604 radiodor, 561 radioitis, 179 radiorator, 175 radioteria, 177 radiotrician, 288 radish, 339 Rac, 507 Rafael, 509 raft, 336 rag, 377, 584 ragamuffin, 128 rahaugcum, 104 rahrah-boy, 186 railroad, 57, 165, 239 railroad, to, 147, 195 railroading, 96 railroad-man, 147 railway, 239, 267 railway-carriage, 247 railway man, 228 railway-rug, 147 Raimund, 505 raincoat, 235 raise, to, 7, 30, 101, 434 raise Cain, to, 232 raised, 434, 436 rake-off, 62, 572 Raleigh, 541 Raleighite, 549 rally, 227 Ralph, 507, 509, 520 ram, 184, 302, 308 rambler, 219 rambler-society, 219 rambunctious, 47, 145, 175, 568 rambustious, 568 ran, 434, 440, 442 Rance, 519 ranch, 152, 231 ranch, to, 152 rancher, 152 ranchero, 98 ranch-house, 152 ranchman, 152 rancho, 98, 152 rancorous, 395 rancour, 395 Rand, 499 Randolph, 507, 516, 529 Randolph of Roanoke, 504

Ranft, 484 rang, 434 range, 145 Ranhojič, 488 ranker, 270 Ransom, 519 rap, to, 199 rape, 311 rapids, 115, 151 rapport, 75 rare, 346 rarowcun, 104 raspberry, 192 rat, 576 ratepayer, 235, 243 rates, 235, 243 rather, 336, 337, 371 rathskeller, 155, 412 Rat Lake, 536 Raton, 543 ratskeller, 112, 347 rattle, to, 227 rattled, 231 rattler, 169, 582 Rattles, 505 raugroughcum, 104 Raven's Eye, 537 ravioli, 222 raw, 349 ray, 246 Raymond, 505, 510, 515 razor-back, 114, 584 razz, to, 192 Razzle-Dazzle, 536 r.b., 209 rd, 438 re, 383, 399, 402, 411; -re, 386, 390, 393 reach-me-down, 239 reaction, 210, 588 Reada, 524 reader, 242 read for holy orders, to, 249 Readingite, 550 read the law, to, 240 ready, to, 195, 586 ready-made, 293 ready-tailored, 293 ready-to-put-on, 293 ready-to-wear, 293 real, 341, 466, 467 real-estate, 165; -agent, 85; -man, 285 real good, 467 reality, 353

really, 466, 467 really true, 467 realm, 384 real McCoy, 580 real nice, 467 real smart, 467 realtor, 179, 239, 284, 286 realtress, 287 rear, 346 Rebecca, 507 rebel, 582 rebound, 325 rebuilt, 293 recall, 245 receipt, 380 reception-clerk, 239 receptionist, 178 recess, 235, 325 reciet, 403 Reckawackes, 527 reckon, 259 reckon, to, 24, 99 recluse, 325 recognize, 447 recognize, to, 433 reconditioned, 293 reco'nize, 352 recontre, 400 recovered, 604 recreation-engineer, 200 Rector, 523 rector, 241, 242, 249 red, 403; -ball, 582; -cap, 573; -eye, 149, 568; -lead, 582 Redheffer, 505 Red Kettle, 514 red-light district, 304 reducathon, 180 reed-bird, 114 reefer, 582 reel, 235 ref, 580 reflection, 393 reflexion, 392, 393 reformatory, 292, 293 reform school, 292 refresher, 246 régime, 409 Reginald, 518 registered nurse, 240 regius, 242 regular, 148, 465 regular guy, 254 rehabilitation, 292 Reichman, 498

Reifschneider, 499 Reifsnider, 499 Reifsnyder, 499 Reiger, 481 Reilly, 499 Reindollar, 485 Reino, 510 Reiter, 499 relapse, 360 release, 191 releasement, 98, 141 reliable, 120, 121, 165, 168, 223, 224, 231 relief, 202 religion, 150 relm, 381, 384 reminisce, to, 192 remove, 268 rench, 161 rench, to, 434 renched, 434 rencounter, 400 rendezvous, 409 Renee, 507 Reno-vated, 561 rense, to, 434 rent, 438 reo, 173 repeater, 148 répertoire, 409 repertory, 409 reposing-room, 287 repossessed, 203 reptile, 341 requirement, 98 requisite, 383, 384 research, 325 resinol, 173 resolute, to, 141, 192 resolv, 403 respectablize, to, 193 respiratory, 325 restatorium, 179 restaurant, 292 restauranteria, 176 resteteria, 176 restorium, 179 rest-room, 308 résumé, 409 resurrect, to, 93, 141, 165 retainer, 246 Retha, 521 retiracy, 141 retire, to, 302 retiring-room, 304 retoric, 402

return, to, 245 Reuben, 515 reumatism, 402 reune, to, 192 reunion, to, 195 Reuss, 482 Rev. 268, 279, 281 Reverend, 280 Reverendissimus, 283 reviev, 403 revievd, 403 revolving-table, 254 Revs., 282 rh, 402 Rheinthaler, 485 Rhine wine, 240 Rhine-wine-cup, 85 Rhode Islander, 550 rhyme, 391 rib, 236 Riccardo, 509 Richard, 507, 509, 515 Richards, 499 Richman, 498 Richmond, 528; -er, 549; -ite, 549 rickey, 149 rid, 434 ridden, 428, 434 ride, to, 434 riffle, 115 rig, 377 right, 93, 253 right along, 253 right away, 25, 253 right good, 253 Right Hon., 276, 277 Right Honourable, 273 right now, 253 righto, 230, 265, 573 right off, 253 right often, 253 right-of-way, 147 right on time, 253 Right Rev., 282 right smart, 253 right there, 253 right well, 253 Right Worshipful, 279 rigor, 396 rigor mortis, 396 rigorous, 395 rigour, 395 Riker, 481 rile, 346, 422 rile, to, 434

riled, 434 rime, 391, 402 Rindkopf, 501 ring, 148 ring, to, 434 ringmaster, 583 ringster, 178 ripping, 264, 557 ripsniptiously, 568 rise, to, 245, 434 rised, 436 rising generation, 184 riss, 434 riter, 404 rithm, 402 ritschi, 159 ritualism, 349 ritzy, 464 River, 492 riveted, 389 rivetted, 389 riz, 416, 434 rize, 402 ro, 401 roach, 301, 304 road, 247, 547 road-agent, 37 road diversion, 236 road-louse, 186 roadster, 237 road-sweeper, 239 Roanoke, 532 Roanza, 524 roar, 349, 602 roast, 235 roast-beef, 155 roasting-ear, 115 rob, 343 robbed, 614 Robbins, 498 robd, 402 robed, 614 Robert, 506, 515 robustious, 568 Rochesterian, 549 rock, 7, 99, 101, 122, 123, 343 Rockaway, 527 Rockefeller, 483 rock-pile, 123 Rocky Mounter, 549 rod, 343 rode, 422, 434, 442 rodeo, 221, 558 rodéo, 347 Rodriguez, 514

rog, 402 Roger, 515 Rogers, 498 Roggenfelder, 483 Rogowsky, 498 rogue, 402 rohlík, 215 roil, 346 roil, to, 434 role, 409 rôle, 409 roll, 401 roller-coaster, 142, 237 rolling-country, 115 Rolyat, 538 romaine, 260 Roman, 551 Roman Catholic, 249 romance, 325 Rome, 530 Romiette, 521 Rondinone, 493 Rondy, 493 röntgenogram, 347 rood, 344 roof, 344 roof-garden, 583 rook, 344 rookie, 573 room, 344 room, to, 118, 196 room-clerk, 239 roomer, 235 rooming-house, 292 room-mate, 263 roorback, 148 Roos, 485, 491 Roosevelt, 480, 537 rooster, 85, 235, 302, 304. 308, 344; -swain, 301 root, 328, 344 root, to, 191 rooter, 191 rooves, 344 rope in, to, 142 Ros, 491 Rose, 485, 498, 499, 507 rose, 434, 436 Rosecrans, 480 Rosella, 521 Rosenbau, 480, 500 Rosenblum, 480 Rosenfeldt, 480 Rosenkrantz, 480 Rosenthal, 480 Rosenvelt, 480

rosinback, 584 Ross, 498 Rossacampo, 480 rot-gut, 566 Roth, 484 rôtisserie, 215 rotten, 309 Rotten Row, 105 rotter, 269 rough, 202, 383, 399 Rough-and-Ready, 536 rough cider, 244 rough-house, 92, 227 rough-neck, 144, 187 round-trip, 147 round-up, 145, 227 'rous mit 'im, 157 roustabout, 144, 263 route, 328 route de roi, 105 row, 126, 183, 247, 547 rowdy, 145, 231, 565 royal supreme knight commander, 289 Royalty, 505 Royce, 482 R.U., 209 rubarb, 402 rubberneck, 37, 62, 186, 220, 227, 557 rubberneck-wagon, 237 rubbers, 235 rubbish, 235 rube, 37, 170, 252 Rubinstein, 499 Ruby, 518 250; -nonpariel, ruby, 250 ruddy, 316 Rudolph, 505, 506 Rue, 495 ruf, 383, 399, 402, 403 Ruiz, 494, 514 rule, to, 199 rum, 244; -dealer, 244; -dumb, 158, 244; -evil, -hound, 244; 244; -trade, 244 rumble-seat, 237 rum-dealer, 244 rumour, 395 rump, 236 run, 115, 147, 235, 350, run, to, 148, 198, 232, 423,

434

runabout, 236 run for office, to, 245 rung, 434, 437, 441 run in, to, 198 run into the ground, to, runner-bean, 260 run slow, 202 rupture, 307 rurale, 221 rush, 242 Russ, 183 russet, 247 Russian, 296 rutabaga, 246 ruther, 359 Ruven, 506 ruz, 436 rv, 401 s, 335, 353, 375, 383, 386, 389, 394, 447, 448, 449, 484, 503 S.A., 208, 560 saace, 22 Saarikoski, 492 Sabany, 524 Sabbaday, 169 sabe, 152 Sabinoso, 534 Sacco, 494 sachem, 105, 106 sack, 101 sack-suit, 235 Sacramentan, 549 Sacramento, 535, 543 sacred-ox, 583 Sadie, 507 Sadye, 520 safe, 466 safen, to, 193 sagamore, 98, 106 Sage, 494 Sagebrush Center, 554 Saguache, 543 said, 404, 434, 614 Saint George, 496 Saint-Maure, 479 Saint Peter, 496 saints, 318 sala, 153 salad-basket, 260 salat, 360 salery, 360 sales-engineer, 291 saleslady, 294 salesman, 288

sales-promotion-engineer, saleswoman, 294 Sali, 510 Salida, 543 Salinan, 549 sallat, 359 Sällström, 490 Sally, 507 Sally Ann, 582 Sallyrose, 521 Salmon, 498 salmon, 337 Salomon, 498 saloon, 85, 149, 219, 237, 243; -bar, 243; -car, 237; -corner men, 256; -keeper, 150, 243 salt-lick, 116 saltwater-taffy, 37 salve, 335, 582 Sam, 519 Sambo, 524 sambuke, 151 samp, 106 sample-room, 86, 149, 292 Samuel, 506, 507, 515 San Anselmo, 534 San Antonio, 541 sanatoria, 412 San Bernardino, 543 Sanchez, 494 sand, 167, 580 sand-bag, to, 227 Sanders, 506 San Diegan, 549 Sandusky, 525 Sandy, 110, 295 Sandy Hook, 532, 535 sanemagogna, 317 San Franciscan, 549 San Francisco, 543 sang, 434, 442 Sanger, 482 Sänger, 482 sängerfest, 112, 157, 218 sanguinary, 316 sanitary-engineer, 289 sanitize, to, 193 San Jacinto, 543 San José, 542; -an, 549 sank, 434 San Luis, 543 San Matean, 549 San Patricio, 534 San Pedro, 543

Santa Clara, 542 Santa Claus, 108 Santa Cruzan, 549 Santa Fe, 542 Santa Margarita, 534 Santa Monica, 543 Santiago, 508 Sant Klaas, 108 sap, 169, 566; -head, 263 sapolio, 173 Sara, 507 Sarah, 507 sarge, 170 sarsaparilla, 112 sartain, 360 sartin, 339 sarve, 339 Sasha, 508 sass, 161, 339 sass, to, 434 sassafras, 112 sassed, 434 sassy, 360, 379 sat, 434, 439 satisfaction, 336 Saturday, 336 Satyra, 522 sauce, 328, 335, 339, 349 sauce, to, 434 saucy, 339 sauer-braten, 155, 411 sauer-kraut, 98, 112, 155, 219, 411 Saugonian, 550 Sault Ste. Marie, 542 Saumagen, 501 Sauny, 524 savagerous, 137, 141 Savannah, 525 savant, 75 saviour, 394, 395 savory, 395 savour, 395 saw, 349, 378, 420, 423, 434, 442 Sawney, 524 saw wood, to, 119, 198 Say!, 252 say, to, 434 say a mouthful, to, 257 says, 447 's blood, 312 's bloud, 312 SC, 402 scab, 37, 239

sca'cely, 350

scalawag, 145, 175, 231 Scaler, 488 scallywag, 231 scally-wampus, 145, 176 scalp, to, 117 scandihoovian, 296 scandinoovian, 296 scant, 128 scarce, 339, 466 scarcely, 466, 467 scarfeteria, 177 scarf-pin, 235 scary, 93, 143 scatter, 349 Scellato, 493 scenarioize, to, 193 scent-spray, 233 sch, 484 Schaefer, 485 Schaf, 487 schedule, 352; -time, 227 Schenectadian, 550 schepel, 110 scherzo, 347 schicker, 217 schiksa, 217 schlacht, 602 Schlachtfeld, 498 Schlegel, 484 Schleigh, 499 schlepper, 217 Schlesinger, 500 Schluter, 484 Schmetterling, 501 Schmidt, 477, 482 schmierkäse, 411 schmiessed, 218 schmus, 217 Schnäbele, 482 Schneider, 484, 487, 493, schnitzel, 155 schnookel, 218 schochet, 217 Schoen, 484 scholard, 22 scholom aleichim, 217 Scholtz, 483 Schön, 484, 500 school, 293 schoolmarm, 231, 350 schooner, 116, 149, 243, 372 schout, 109 Schrader, 483

Schroeder, 483

Schugren, 490 schul, 217 Schultz, 483 Schumacher, 485, 487 schützenfest, 157 Schuyler, 481, 498, 516 Schuylkill, 532 Schwab, 483 Schwaben, 296 Schwartz, 477, 485, 495 Schweiszhund, 501 schweizer, 155, 347 scientist, 559 scimetar, 390 scimitar, 300 scissor-bill, 582 scoffings, 582 scofflaw, 174 scolar, 401 Scomp, 495 scone, 233 scool, 401 scoon, to, 116 scooner, 116, 117 scoop, 583 scoot, to, 142, 232 scoovy, 296 score, to, 199 scout, 109 scow, 16, 108 scowegian, 296 scowoogian, 296 scrag-end, 236 scram, to, 560, 581 scrap, 231 scrape, 145 scratcher, 579 scratch-pad, 239 screw, 580, 581 screw-spanner, 235 scribbling-block, 239 scrimp, to, 129, 252 scrubwoman, 266 scrumdifferous, 145 scrumptious, 145 Scrymsoure-Steuart-Fothringham, 503 scule, 110 sculp, to, 192 se, 451 sea-board, 99 Seac, 524 sea-food, 247 Seagren, 490 sealed, 561

Seaman, 496

scamstress, 340 Sean, 513 Scars, 482 Seashore, 491 sea-shore, 99 seaside, 236 season-ticket-holder, 238 seat, 302 seater, 119 Scattleite, 549 Sebes, 496 secesh, 170 sech, 346 secondary-school, 241 second floor, 235, 238 second-hand, 293 second-year-man, 242 secretary, 267 secret disease, 304 section, 247 sedan, 237 seduced, 302, 310 scc, 375, 442 sec, to, 191, 434 seed, 434 see'd, 416 seed-ox, 302 seen, 420, 423, 434, 436, 441, 442 see the elephant, to, 142 see the light, to, 227 segankw, 104 segongw, 104 Segren, 490 seidel, 157, 243, 347 Selby, 501 selectman, 98, 116 self, 459 Sellstrom, 490 semester, 220, 242 semi-, 180, 181; -annual, 181; -breve, 250; -brevis, 250; -centennial, 181; -national, 181; -occasional, 96, 143, 181; -open-air, 181; -panic, 181; -quaver, 250; -wild, semidemisemiquaver, 250 semiminima, 250 seminar, 220 Senate, 57 senator, 395 sende, 438 senery, 402

senile 241

senior, 242 senior-prom, 242 señor, 152, 409 señorita, 152, 376 sensual, 352 sent, 438 sent down, to be, 243 sente, 438 sented, 402 Seosmh, 513 septer, 402 Seraphim, 516 Serb, 183 sergeant, 243 Seril, 522 serious, 466 serious charge, 304 serv, 403 servant, 228, 292 serve, 339 service, 210, 211 service, to, 192, 194 servile, 341 Scrvisk, 486 set, to, 199, 434, 439, 613 set-back, 167 settled, to be, 581 Seumas, 513 Sevčík, 512 Seventh Day Adventist, 250 sew, 383, 384 Sewell, 481, 496 Sewer, 504 scwerage, 235 sex glands, 307 sexual, 305 Seymour, 479, 506, 507, 516 sez, 434, 447 sez you, 222, 226 sh, 375, 382, 384 shabby, 126 shack, 37, 231, 582 shackle, to, 194 shackles, 582 shadchan, 216 shade, 375 shadow-boxing, 562 Shadrach, 515 shafts, 561 Shain, 500 shake, to, 434, 440 shake down, to, 578 shaken, 428, 434, 440 Shakespeare, 537

shal, 401, 403 shall, 24, 199, 200, 201, 420, 425, 445 sham, 126; -battle, 239; -fight, 239 shammy, to, 194 Shampoo, 495 Sham'un, 496 Shane, 484, 500 Shannon, 496 sha'n't, 445 shanty, 151, 231, 583; -man, 151; -town, 151 shanty, to, 151 Shanty Irish, 151 Shapiro, 500 share, 244; -holder, 244 shark, 582 Sharlot, 520 Sharon, 535 shavatorium, 179 shaveteria, 176 Shawangunk, 540 Shawnee-cake, 115 shaze, 382 she, 375, 448, 451, 458 Shean, 500 she-cow, 361 shed, 375, 579 Shedlicker, 488 sheenie, 295 sheep-dip-expert, 291 sheeps, 419 sheer-crapper, 359 Sheila, 513 shell, 243 Shellat, 493 shellbone, 236 shell-road, 116 Shepherd, 485 Sheppard, 485 Sher, 498 Sherman, 498, 508 sherry-cobbler, 149 Sherwood, 503 shet, 346, 434 shevaleer, 382, 384 Shevchynsky, 489 shew, to, 391 shillelah, 160 Shiltz, 483 shily, 392, 393 shim, 460 shin, to, 118 shine, 296 shine, to, 434

shined, 247, 434 shingle, 116; -engineer, shingles, 238 shinola, 173 Shin Pond, 536 shin up, to, 232 ship's-boat, 252 shipt, 402, 403 shirker, 372 Shirley, 506, 520 shirt, 302 shis, 460 shoat, 100, 128 shock-absorber, 237 shoe, 7, 12, 122, 158, 235, 247, 266, 391; -fixery, 176; -lace, 235; -rebuilder, 288; -string, 235; -studio, 292 shoe, to, 434, 439 shoed, 434 Shoemaker, 485 shoes, 85 shoeteria, 176 shofle, 218 Shogren, 490 sholder, 402 shoo-fly, 566 shook, 434 shooting, 236, 252 shootingest, 463 shoot the chutes, to, 198 shoot up in the burg, 40 shop, 122, 123, 265, 292; -walker, 234; -worn, 293 shoppe, 266 shopper, 266 shopping, 266 shore, 360; -dinner, 247 Short, 485 shortcake, 261 short-haul, 239 Shortie, 520 shot, 237 shot-gun, 144 Shoto, 495 should, 25, 445 should not ought, 445 shouldn't, 444 should of, 471 should ought, 424, 445 should've, 471 Shoultz, 483 shout, to, 150

show, to, 198, 248, 391, 434 show a yellow streak, to, 198 show business, 585 showdown, 30, 62, 191 Show Me, 552 shown, 434 show up, to, 198 shriek, 602 Shrinedom, 178 shrub, 149 shruffle, 119 Shuard, 503 shuck, 434, 440 shuffle, 126 shunt, 147 shur-on, 407 shut, 346, 359 shut, to, 434 shut-out, 562 shut out, to be, 191 shutted, 434 shuyster, 158, 229 Shy Beaver, 536 shyly, 393 shyster, 74, 86, 158, 178, 23 I si, 451 siad, 451 siblings, 460 sich, 360 Sicilian, 299 sick, 12, 62, 70, 127, 251; -bed, 251; -kidneys, 251; -leave, 251; -nerves, 251; -room, 251; -teeth, 25 I side-hill, 99 side-lot, 121 side-step, to, 227, 562 side-stepper, 37 side-swipe, 147 side-track, to, 147, 167, side-walk, 33, 42, 116, 235, sideways, 204, 468 Sidney, 479, 506, 507 sience, 402 sierra, 152 Sierraville, 534 siesta, 152 siffer, 159 Sighle, 513

sight-seeing-car, 237

signature, to, 195 signd, 403 sign-engineer, 200 Sigrid, 510 sig-sog, 375 silencer, 237 Silla, 524 Sillinger, 503 Silva, 494 silvan, 402 Silver, 494 Silverberg, 501 silver-fizz, 85 Silvernail, 485 Silvers, 490 silverside, 236 silverware, 235 Šilvestr, 511 Silvig, 493 Silvy, 493 Silwerovitch, 490 Siminowicz, 489 Simmons, 489 simonize, to, 193 simp, 169 Simpson, 514 simultaneous, 341 sinagog, 402, 403 sing, to, 434, 469 singan, 469 Singer, 482 singfest, 218 single, 585 singlet, 236, 239 single-track mind, 147 Sing-Sing, 532 sing-sing, 106 Sinjin, 503 sink, to, 434 sinker, 564 siphon, 389 Sir, 272, 273, 281 siren, 390 sirloin, 236 sissors, 402 sissy, 309 sit, 447 sit, to, 245, 422, 439 Sit 'N Eat, 210 sitting member, 245 sitting-room, 235 sit up and take notice, to, 227 Six, 537 Sixkiller, 514 six-shooter, 231

sixteenth note, 250 sixth form, 241 sixty-fourth note, 250 size up, to, 232 Sjörgren, 490 Sjöstrand, 491 Skála, 488 skan, 434 Skaneateles, 553 skate, 246, 375 skedaddle, 561 skedaddle, to, 145 skedannumi, 146 skeerce, 339 skeert, 360 skeet, 170 skeeter, 170 skeptic, 389, 394 -ski, 222 skibby, 295 skiddoo, 561 Skidoo, 534 skilful, 406 skilley, 580 skillful, 388, 406 skimp, to, 252 skin, 149 skin, to, 434 Skintown, 554 skipper, 583 skirt, 563, 576 skittle-alley, 236, 248 skittles, 248 skookum, 150 Skull Run, 537 skun, 434, 437 skunk, 104, 296 Skunk Center, 554 Skunktown, 536 -sky, 222 sky-scraper, 186, 228 Slabtown, 554 slacker, 565 slæpan, 437 slæpte, 437 Slagel, 484 slam, 184 slam the pill, to, 562 slander, 335 slang, 434, 555; -whanger, 99, 568 slash, 184 slate, 167, 183 Slättengren, 490 Slaughter, 525

Slazenger, 500

sled, 235 sledge, 235 sleek, 341 sleep, 375 sleep, to, 93, 196, 434, sleep-engineer, 289 sleeper, 170 sleep good, to, 254 Sleepy Eye, 536 sleigh, 108 slenderize, to, 193 slep, 352, 434, 437 slept, 434, 438 Slessinger, 500 Slettengren, 490 sleuth, 86 slew, to, 252 slew-foot, 252 slick, 24, 231, 341 slick up, to, 129 slid, 434 slide, 373 slide, to, 43**4** slightly-second, 293 slily, 392 Slim, 520 slim, 143; -attendance, 143; -chance, 143; -support, 143 sliness, 380 sling, 149; -shot, 239 sling, to, 434 slip, 120 slipova, 407 slipper, 122 sliver, 341 slo, 407 slops, 582 slough, to, 585 slow, 466 slowly, 466 sluck, 109 slug-fest, 218, 562 slum, 573, 580, 582, 584 slumber-robe, 288; -room, 287; -shirt, 288 slumgullion, 145 slum-joint, 579 slung, 434 slush, 602 slut, 305 Sluter, 484 sly, 373 sly, to, 373 slyly, 380

Smackover, 533 smale, 379 small, 143, 379; -pearl, 250; -pica, 250; -potatoes, 100, 145, 373 smart, oo smartski, 222 smash, 149, 184, 602 smearcase, 108, 112 smearkase, 411 Smed, 477 smell, 303 smell, to, 435 smell like a wet dog, to, 136 smelt, 435 smidgen, 361 smilefest, 218 smited, 436 Smith, 477, 478, 482, 486, 488, 489, 493, 494, 496, 498, 513, 514, 520; -Barry, 502; -dale, 546; of F, 504; -vale, 529 smithereens, 160 Smithson, 502 Smitty, 520 smog, 266 smoke, 296, 583; -eater, 563; -fest, 218 smoker, 169, 170 smoketeria, 177 smote, 436 smoulder, to, 126 Smudge, 520 Snabely, 482 snack-bar, 239 snag, 183, 231 Snailwell, 537 snake, 583; -fence, 145 snake's hips, 561 snap, 170 snappy, 464, 565 Snavely, 482 sneak, to, 435 sneez, 401 sneezd, 402 snew, 437 snicker, 129 snipe, 583 snitz, 157 snively, 482 snoop, to, 108 snooser, 296 snoot, 346, 570 snoozer, 583

snot, to, 309 snout, 346 snow, to, 437 snowball, 206 Snow moon, 106 snow-plow, 115 snow under, to, 227 snozzled, 568 snuck, 435 snug, 243 Snyder, 484, 493, 514 soap-box, 227 soar, 349 Soares, 495 s.o.b., 305, 316, 317 sobfest, 218 sob-sister, 186 sob-stuff, 232 soc, to, 216 Social Circle, 536 social disease, 304, 306 social-engineer, 200, 201 socialize, to, 588 Sociamelia, 524 society-engineer, 290 socio-religious-engineer, sockdolager, 145, 176, 568 socko, 184 sock-suspenders, 234 socony, 172 soda-biscuit, 235 soda-cracker, 235 soda-fountain, 228 sodalicious, 175 sodateria, 177 soda-water, 149 Soderstrom, 490 Sodom, 535 soe, 383, 384 soft, 465 soft-drinks, 149, 237 soft-pedal, to, 227 soi, 460 soirée, 409 sokol, 216 Sol, 519 sol. 402 soldier, 283 Soldiers Delight, 537 soli, 412 Solicitor, 524 solicitor, 245, 255 solid, 120 Solis Cohen, 501, 502 Solmson, 498

Solomon, 498, 506 solon, 183 so-long, 219 solos, 412 somber, 388 sombrero, 152 some, 254; -girl, -place, 204, 407; -pumpkins, 100 someone else's, 461 someone's else, 461 some(p)thing, 439 something else again, 217 someway, 407 somewheres, 468 somewheres else, 204 son, 460; -son, 491, 493 Sonia, 508 son-in-laws, 461 Sonntag, 485 son-of-a- -一,305 son-of-a-bitch, 305, 313, son-of-a-gun, 316, 317 Sons, 505 sons-in-law, 461 Soo, 542 soogan, 582 soon, 344 soot, 344, 383, 384 Sophia, 507 sophimore, 116 sophomore, 116, 242 Sophronia, 519 soprani, 412 sopranos, 412 sore, 349 sorry, 267 sorta, 443, 471 S.O.S., to, 196 So's your old man, 566 sot, 416, 434 sothers, 175 soul, 402 Soulé, 481 sound, 246 Soup Line, 582 sour, 149 source, 328, 349 soured, 561 South, 529 south-paw, 562 soveran, 384 sovereign, 384 sow, 302; -belly, 304; -bosom, 304

sowegian, 296 sox, 406, 407 spa, 338 space, 375 space-saving-engineer, spade, 296 spade, to, 16 spaghetteria, 177 spaghetti, 222 span, 108, 435 Spånberg, 490 spanner, 86 sparking-plug, 237 spark-plug, 237 Sparta, 530 Spartan, 551 spats, 169 speak, to, 435, 613 speakeasy, 160, 565 speakie, 187 speak loud, to, 465 spearmint, 173 spec, 170 special, to, 197 special delivery, 238 speciality, 415 specialty, 415; -shop, 266 specie, 111, 461 species, 461 specific blood-poison, 304; stomach, 307; ulcer, 307 speck, 100 spectroscope, 155 sped, 440 speech-day, 243 Speed, 496, 523 speed, to, 199, 435, 439 speedathon, 180 speed-cop, 92, 226 speeded, 435, 440 speeder, 439 speeding, 439 speed-limit, 439 speed-mania, 439 speed-maniac, 439 speedster, 178 speed-way, 227, 546 speek, 381 spell, to, 435 spellbind, to, 186 spellbinder, 167, 186 spelling-bee, 116 spelt, 435 Spencer, 516

spera, 376 spick, 296 spider, 27, 579 spiel, 577, 578 spiggoty, 296 spigot, 235 spike, 184 spile, 346 spile for a fight, to, 136 spill, to, 435 spill the beans, to, 198 spilt, 435 spin, to, 435 spindliest, 463 spirit lamp, 233 spirits, 244 spit, 309 spit, to, 435, 440 spitzbub, 157 splash, 602 splendiferous, 176 splendour, 395 split a ticket, to, 148 splurge, 142, 231 splurge, to, 141, 232 spoil, 346 spoil, to, 435 spoils-system, 148 spoilt, 435 Spokane, 542 spoke, 435 spondulix, 145 spong, 119 Spongberg, 490 spooffest, 218 spook, 35, 108, 231, 344 spool, 235 spoon, 344 sport, 155 sportdom, 178 sporting-goods, 237 sporting house, 304 sportive, 564 sports-requisites, 237 spot, to, 585 sprang, 435 spread, to, 439 spread-eagle, 144 spreaded, 439 spread oneself, to, 142, 232 spring, to, 435, 581 Springfield, 537 sprung, 435 spruts, 215

spry, 99

Spud, 520 spuke, 98 spun, 435 spunk, 567 spur, to, 199 spurious, 558 Spuyten, 533 squabery, 176 squalid, 343 squander, 343 squantersquash, 105 squarehead, 295, 296 square-meal, 145 square the beef, to, 556 squash, 104, 105, 169 squat, to, 118, 121, 581 squatter, 98, 121, 231 squaw, 105 squawk, 171 squaw-man, 150 squeak, 602 squealer, 562 Squedunk, 553 Squire, 278 Squirrel, 524 squirrel-whiskey, 149, 568 squunck, 104 SS, 401 stable-horse, 308 Stacros, 512 stadhuis, 100 staf, 406 staff, 242, 268, 335, 406 stage, 99 stage-manager, 280 stagger-soup, 568 stag-party, 144 Stäheli, 482 staircase, 235 stairs, 235, 462 stairway, 235 Staley, 482, 483 stalk, 349 stall, to, 263 stallion, 301, 302, 308 stall off, to, 562 stalls, 237 stalwart, 167 stamp, 334, 338 stampede, 152, 231 stamping-ground, 116 stamps, 346 stance, 562 stand, to, 245 standard, 241 standchen, 409

ständchen, 400 stand for, to, 227, 229 Standing Bear, 514 Standingdeer, 514 stand pat, to, 191 stand-patter, 187 standpoint, 120, 121, 158, 165, 231 standpunkt, 121 stang, 435 Stănilă, 494 Stanislaw, 510 stank, 435 Stanley, 494, 506, 510, 516 Stanton, 494 star, to, 199 stardom, 178 starry, 350 start, 349 start in, to, 198, 229 start off, to, 198 start out, to, 198, 229 statehouse, 109 statement, 118 Staten, 532 station, 147, 539; -agent, 238; -master, 238 statistics, 155 status, 338 statutory offense, 304 staunch, 335, 392 Staunton, 541 stave off, to, 99 stay, 373, 374 staylit, 407 stay put, 198, 227 St. Cyr, 482 St. Denis, 479 steady, 340, 383, 384, 397, steal, to, 435 steamboat, 57 steam-roller, 186, 196 steam-shovel, 239 -steam, 499 stean, 499 steddy, 384, 397 steering-committee, 148 Stehli, 483 Stein, 499 stein, 155, 243; 237, -stein, 499, 507 Steinmetz, 484 Steinway, 482 St. Elmo, 537 stem-winder, 96, 235, 239 stench, to, 196 Stenny, 486 steno, 169 stenog, 160 Stephen, 510, 512, 513 -ster, 178 Stern, 499 stern, 372 Steve, 510, 512 Stevens, 514 stew, 260, 345 steward, 245 stewed, 568 stick, 149 stick, to, 579 sticker, 229 Stick No Bills, 239 stick up, to, 558 sticky-back, 229 stiff, 563 stiff upper lip, 565 stile, 384 still better, 463 Stilton cheese, 261 Stimits, 484 stimulis, 413 stimulus-response-bond, 588 -stine, 499 sting, to, 435 Sting Tail, 514 stingy, 126 stink, 303, 309 stink, to, 435 stinkibus, 149 Stiobhan, 513 St. John, 496, 503 St. Joseph, 535; -ite, 549 St. Lawrence, 531 St. Leger, 503 St. Louis, 531, 541 stock, 128, 244 stockbroker, 244 stockholder, 244 Stockholm, 530 stocking, 378; -feet, 145 stockings, 302, 308 stocks, 244 Stock Yards, 536 stockyards, 462 stoker, 583 Stolar, 498 stole, 435, 437, 442 stomac, 401 stomach, 302, 310 stomps, 346

stonden, 442 stone, 99, 250, 308 stone-fence, 149 stones, 303 stone-wall, 149 stood, 442 stoop, 98, 108 stop, 602 stop-over, to, 147, 198 store, 7, 122, 123, 266, 292; -clothes, 145; -used, 293 stores, 234 storey, 390, 392 stork, 349 storked, 561 Storm, 492 storm, 578, 585, 602 story, 390 Stout, 537 St. Patrick, 535 St. Paul, 528, 531, 535 straddle, to, 148 straddling, 143 strafe, to, 573 straight, 149, 605 straight-ticket, 148 strap, 346 strap-hanger, 228 strata, 338, 412 stratas, 413 stratosphere, 559 Strauss, 500 Streed, 491 street, 544; -cleaner, 239; -railway, 239 Streeter, 489 strength, 360 strenuous, 558; -life, 174 stricken out, 246 Strid, 491 strife, 578 strike, to, 435 strike it rich, to, 142 strike oil, to, 167 strike out, to, 191 striker, 30, 573 string, 247; -bean, 235, 260 strings, 583 strip, to, 586 stripe, 585 Stritar, 489 Stromberg, 490 strong-arm-squad, 243 strop, 346 struck, 435 struck out, 246

struggld, 402 strychnine, 341 St. Therese, 543 stube, 219, 292 stubs, 244 stuccoed, 568 Studebaker, 485 Studebecker, 485 student, 375 Studie, 579 studio, 292 study for the ministry, to, study law, to, 240 study medicine, to, 240 stuf, 403 stump, to, 35, 93, 118, 228 stumped, 114 Stumptown, 537 stung, 435 stunk, 435 stunt, 159, 232 stuntfest, 218 Sturgeon moon, 106 Stuyvesant, 481 stye, 389 style, 384 style, to, 93, 194 subpena, 401 subsidize, 118 subsist, to, 196 succede, 401 successor, 395 succotash, 98, 100, 105 such, 346 Suciu, 494 Suck, 505 sucker, 37, 263, 556, 562, 576, 584 Sucker Branch, 535 suddint, 360 Sue, 507 suffragan, 248 Sug, 519 sugar, 585 sugar-basin, 235 sugar-bowl, 235 suicide, to, 167 suitatorium, 179 Suke, 519 Sukey, 519 sulfite, 394 sulfur, 402 Sullivan, 477, 500 Summer time, 234

Summit, 536 summon, 461 summons, 461 sump, 237 sundae, 86, 188, 190, 191 Sunday, 485 sunflower, 536 sung, 434, 441 sunk, 434 supawn, 106 181, 464; super-, 180, -American, 181; -cabinet, 181; -criminal, 181; -film, 181; -gang, 181; -highway, 181; -love, -perfect, 181; 181: -production, 181 supergobosnoptious, 145 supergobsloptious, 176 super-highway, 181 superintendent, 243, 288 superior, 395 supernaculum, 577 supper, 240 surallikus, 109 sure, 93, 103, 202, 262, 465, 467 sure-fire, 262 surely, 467 surface-protection-engineer, 290 survey, 325, 588 Susan, 519 Susanville, 534 suspectful, 175 suspenders, 85, 145, 235, 239, 391 suspicion, to, 25 Susquehanna, 528 susy, 359 sut, 383, 384 Sutter, 542 Suva, 488 suveran, 384 Svec, 487 Sven, 510 Svensson, 491 Swaffham, 537 swagger, 269 swaller, 353 swallow, 124 swam, 435 swamp, 246, 343 Swampskeeter, 552 swan, 343 Swanell, 522

swang, 435 swanga, 113 swank, 269, 568 Swanson, 492 swap, to, 126 Swashing Creek, 533 swatfest, 218 sweat, 309 sweat, to, 435 Swede, 240 sweep, to, 435 sweepstakes, 155 sweet, 247, 602; -corn, 240; -potato, 114, 246; -shop, 234 sweets, 41, 234 swell, 557 swell, to, 435 swelled, 438 Swensson, 492 swep, 435, 437 swerve, to; 252 Swiler, 485 swim, to, 435 swimmingly, 126 swim-suit, 233 swing, to, 435 switch, 146; -engine, 146; -man, 146; -yard, 146 switch, to, 147 switchback-railway, 237 swole, 435, 438 swollen, 435 Swope, 483 sword, 349 's wounds, 312 swum, 435, 441 swung, 435 sycamore, 536 Sydney, 508 Sylvester, 511, 519 Sylvia, 507, 509 Syphax, 524 syphilis, 304, 306 Sýr, 487 Syracuse, 530 syren, 389 Syringia, 522 syrup, 235 Szabó, 496 Szemán, 496 Szentgyörgyi, 496 Szentpétery, 496 Sztefan, 510 Szüle, 496 t, 335, 348, 352, 353, 360, Taoseño, 550

368, 375, 389, 401, 402, 447, 493, 600; -t, 438 Taaffe, 478 Taavetti, 510 tab, 229 table, to, 7, 117 taboobery, 175 Tacoma, 532; -n, 549 tactic, 111, 461 tactics, 461 Tadpole, 552 taffy, 235 Taft, 478 Tahoma, 532 tail, 308 take, to, 435, 604 take a back seat, to, 142 take a bath, to, 252 take a lunar, to, 250 take for a ride, to, 558, 580 taken, 428, 435 take on, to, 99 take silk, to, 246 take the cake, to, 232 take the first turning, to, take to the woods, to, 119 talented, 70, 98, 120, 223 Taliaferro, 503 talk, 183 talkfest, 218 talkie, 170, 187 talk-talk, 373 talk through your hat, to, 563 tall, 614 Tallahassee, 528 tallest, 420 tallow-pot, 583 Talmadge, 524 Talvi, 492 tamal, 152 tamale, 152 tamber, 409 Tamer, 524 tamer, 584 tammany, 106 tan, 247 tangle-foot, 167 tango, 155 tank, 582 tanked, 568 tank-town, 147 Taos, 541

tap, 235 tapioca, 112 tap-room, 243, 292 Tarheel, 552 tariff reform, 245 Tarkington, 513 tarn, 115 tarnal, 316, 317, 339 tarnation, 35, 316, 317 tart, 235, 305 tassel, 334 tasty, 93, 96 Tatiyopa, 514 taught, 349, 435 Taunton, 541 taut, 349 tavern, 123, 292 Tavia, 519 taw, 349 tay, 161 Taylor, 488, 496, 497, 499, 520, 529 taxed-paid, 194 taxes, 235 taxi, 374 taxi, to, 192 taximeter, 155 taxpaid, 194 taxpay, to, 194 taxpayer, 235 T.B., 208, 536 -te, 438 tea, 240; -cake, 233; -shop, teach, to, 435, 440 team, 7, 122 tear, to, 435 tear-bucket, 587 teat, 303, 309 tech, 346 technical - publicity - engineer, 291 tecnical, 401 Tecumseh, 517 Ted, 519 Teddy, 519 tee off, to, 562 teeth, 612 teetotal, 161 teetotaler, 145 tegua, 151 tel, 401, 403 telefone, 402, 403 telegraf, 402, 403 telegram, 147, 604 telegramme, 394

telegraph-blank, 247 telegrapher, 165, 324 telegraph-form, 247 telegraphic communication, 147 telegraphic dispatch, 147 teleology, 155 telephone, 591, 604 telescoped, 228 tell, to, 435 tell'd, 435 tell it to a judge, to, 561 Telluride, 536 Tell your troubles to a policeman, 566 Temba, 524 temporarily, 324 Tenah, 524 tend, to, 435 tended, 435 tender, 239 tender-foot, 145, 231 tenderloin, 236, 240 Ten Eyck, 481 Iron and Tennessee Coal, 525 Tennyson, 513 ten-pins, 237, 248 tent, 435 ten thirty, 251 tepee, 106 tequila, 152 Tera, 523 Terence, 505 Terjesen, 492 terminal, 147 Terra, 494 Terra Amarilla, 534 terrace, 547 terrapin, 104, 105, 246 Terre Haute, 541 Terry, 494 Tertzagian, 497 testicles, 307 tête, 214, 557 tête-a-tête, 409 Texarkana, 537 Texhoma, 537 Texico, 537 textil, 403 th, 335, 353, 367, 375, 382, -thal, 499, 500 Thalberg, 500 Thalheimer, 500 Thames, 540

than, 458, 464, 472 thanx, 406 that, 367, 452, 453, 454 that-a way, 471 that-ere, 452 thatn, 451, 454 that'n, 471 that-one, 451 that-there, 451, 452 that way, 561 -thau, 499 theatre, 325, 383, 388, 402 The Dalles, 537 thé dansant, 409 thee, 450, 454 the Hon., 275, 277, 278, their, 448, 456, 460 theirn, 448, 456, 461 theirs, 448 theirself, 419, 459 theirselves, 459 the limit, 227, 230 them, 420, 424, 448, 451, 452, 454, 455, 456 them-ere, 452 them'ere, 471 Themicoud, 479 them'n, 452 themselves, 459, 460 them-there, 451, 452 them-two, 449 thence, 468 Theodore, 507, 519 Theotiste, 522 there, 24, 375, 420, 467 Thermopolis, 530 thermos, 173 thés-dansants, 412 these, 451, 452 these-here, 451, 452 thesen, 451 These States, 75 these-yur, 452 thet, 365 they, 424, 448, 451 they is, 363 thief, 584 thin, 375 think, to, 435 thinnen, to, 193 third, 367 third-degree, 243, 264, 577 thirty-second note, 250 this, 452 this-and-that-way, 561

this-a way, 471 this-here, 424, 451, 452 thish-yur, 452 thisn, 451 this'n, 464 this-one, 451 thither, 468 tho, 399, 400, 402, 403, 406 thoid, 350, 367, 368 Thomas, 493, 506, 509, 515 Thompson, 439, 488 thon, 460 -thon, 180 thon's, 460 thoro, 400, 402, 403, 406 thorofare, 400, 403 thoroly, 400, 403 Thoroughgood, 479 Thorrel, 522 those, 451, 452, 460 thosen, 451 those-there, 451, 452 thou, 449, 450 thought, 435 thrash, 346 thread, 383, 384 threat, 384 thred, 384 Three Churches, 537 Three Fingers, 536 three-of-a-kind, 191 three-sheet, to, 585 threesome, 562 three - strikes - and - out, 191 Threetops, 539 thresh, 346 thret, 384 threw, 422, 435, 440 thro, 406 through, 605 throw, to, 435, 440 throw a rock, to, 123 throw a scare into, to, 198 throwed, 435, 440 thrown, 435 thru, 399, 400, 402, 403, 404, 406 thrung, 435 thruout, 400, 403 Thugut, 478 thum, 384, 402 thumb, 383, 384 thumb-tack, 239 thunder, 602

Thunichgut, 478

thunk, 435 Thurgod, 479 thusly, 467 Thusnelda, 522 ticket, 101; -agent, 238; -chopper, 239; -office, 147; -scalper, 145, 147 tickler, 145 tickrum-juckrum, 577 tiddy-bit, 361 tie, 147, 235 tie-pin, 235 tie-up, 239 Tildy, 519 tiles, 238 till, 160 till hell freezes over, 314 tillicum, 150 Tilman, 516 timbre, 409 tin, 228, 238, 375 tin-hat, 573 tinhorn, 263 tinker, 236 tin-key, 234 tinner, 236 tin-opener, 234 tinsmith, 236 tipe, 402 tiptoe, to, 192 tire, 389, 390, 394; -engineer, 290 tiro, 390 Tish, 519 tiswin, 151 tit, 309 tit for tat, 578 tithe, 248 title-holder, 562 tiz, 173 to, 401, 471 to a frazzle, 167 tobacco, 112, 152, 604 toboggan, 105 tocking, 378 to-day, 414 Todenacker, 479 toffee, 235 toggery, 266 to go big, 202 toilet, 304, 389, 391, 394 toilette, 389 Tola, 516 told, 352, 438 tole, 352, 435, 438

To Let, 266

Tolliver, 503 Tom, 512, 513, 519 tom, 402 tomahawk, 105, 372 tomahawk, to, 117 Tom-and-Jerry, 149 Tomas, 508 Tomáš, 511 Tomasini, 493 Tomaso, 509 Tomaszewski, 488 tomato, 112, 152, 334, 337 tomb, 402 tombé, 151 Tombstone, 536 Tom Collins, 149 tommy-rot, 564 to-morrow, 414, 605 tomtomery, 175 tongue, 383, 384, 399 tonguey, 129 tong, 162; -war, 162 to-night, 414 tonked, 260 tonsil-paint, 568 tonsorial-artist, 288; -studio, 202 tony, 96, 145, 464 took, 435 tooken, 442 toor, 382 Toothacher, 479 Toothpick, 552 toot sweet, 573 top, 237; -hole, 269; -kick, 573; -liner, 236; -round, 236; -side, 236 Topekan, 549 topped, to be, 581 topping, 269, 557 toppings, 583 topsy-turvy, 126 tore, 349, 435 Torial, 525 torn, 435 tornado, 152, 231, 339, 347 Torontonian, 549 torope, 105 torpedo, 580 torpor, 389, 395 tort, 349 tortilla, 152 tortillia, 152 tosh, 269 tote, to, 99, 119, 232 touch, 346

touchy, 126 tough, 609 tough guy, 39 toune, 379 tour, 382 tourist, 155 tovôdoun, 113 towards, 204, 468 towerman, 146 town, 379, 539 town-loafer, 156 Toycen, 492 T.R., 183 track, 238 track-walker, 146 Tracy, 504, 507 trade off, to, 232 tradesmen's-entrance, 266 traffic, 269, 406; -blockade, 239; -division, 243 trafic, 406 Trailing Arbutus, 521 train-boy, 147 trained-, 291 trained nurse, 240 trainer, 584 trait, 328, 348 tram-car, 247 tramp, 347, 581 Trampleasure, 503 tramway, 239 transom, 236 transportation, 31 trap, 260 trapee, 461 trapeze, 461 trapezist, 178 trash, 128 träumerei, 347 traveld, 403 traveldom, 178 traveler, 383, 388, 399 Travelers' moon, 106 traveling, 388 traveling salesman, 305 traveller, 383, 388, 389, 399 travelogue, 171 tray, 328 treacle, 62, 235 treasurer, 289 treated good, to be, 254 treatment, 293 tree-surgeon, 288 trefa, 217 tremendious, 353

trewe, 465 trez beans, 573 Triantafyllou, 485 tribunal, 325, 341 trick, 585 trigger-man, 580 trim, to, 562 Trinidad, 535 tripos, 243 tripper, 147 triscuit, 173 troble, 400 trocha, 221 Trojan, 551 trollop, 305 tromp, to, 346 Trosley, 503 Trotsky, 499 Trotterscliffe, 503 troubld, 403 trouble, 400, 578 Troy, 530 Trpaslik, 488 truck, 147 truckologist, 179 true, 345 true-blue, 143 true inwardness, 232 trufit, 407 trun, 435 truncheon, 243 trunk, 235 trunk-call, 86 trunk-line, 239 trust-buster, 429, 565 try, 170 try a case, to, 246 try and, 165 try out, to, 198, 227, 229 tsar, 390 tub, to, 269 tube, 266 tuck, 435 tuckhoe, 106 Tucson, 542; -ian, 548 Tuesday, 345 tuf, 381, 402, 403 Tug, 520 Tuisku, 492 tummy, 265, 310 tumour, 395 tumtum, 150 tung, 383, 384, 399, 402 Tunicotto, 478 tupelo, 106 turbot, 246

turfdom, 178 Turk, 183, 295 turkey, 582, 585 turkey-gobbler, 114 turn, to, 198 turn at the first corner, to, 253 turn down, to, 198, 227, 565, 609 turnfest, 218 turn him in, to, 581 turnip, 240 turnpike, 57, 99 turn up missing, to, 198 turnverein, 112, 157 turtle, 126 tut, 159 tuxedo, 173 T.V.A., 209 twang, 126 twelv, 401, 403 twelve-month, 250 twenty-three, 561 Twila, 521 twine, 247 Twisleton - Wykeham -Fiennes, 502 twist and twirl, 578 Twitty, 505 two-fer, 143 two-seater, 237 twosome, 562 two-step, 582 two-time loser, 580 typewrite, to, 192 typo, 170 tyre, 389, 390 Ťyson, 492 U, 170 u, 344, 359, 360, 383, 386, 393, 394, 395, 396, 399, 402, 441; ü, 482 Uarda, 522 Uberto, 509 ue, 402 ugly, 99 uh-huh, 56r Uhler, 484 U Kan Kom In, 546 uke, 585 ulk, 184 ultimatum, 339 umbrella, 603 umpire, 346 Unable-to-Fornicate, 514 uncle, 579

under-brush, 115 under-cut, 236, 240 undergraduate, 242 underground - railroad. underhanded, 165 underlay a cut, to, 261 underpinned, 120 underpinning, 128 undershirt, 236, 239 Undershirt Hill, 536 under-the-weather, 143, 232 Underwood, 489 Unéaukara, 527 Unceda, 537 unecda, 172, 209, 407 Uncedme, 200 union-suit, 236 uniquer, 463 Unisian, 548 unit, 116 Unitedstatesian, 548 Universal, 99 university, 292 university-man, 243 unjustest, 463 unloosen, 464 unloosen, to, 433 unmarried mother, 203 unmentionables, 303 unmixed, 379 Uno, 534 unrivaledest, 168 unrol, 380 Unsijärvi, 492 Unsuntabunt, 531 untaxpaid, 194 unwhisperables, 303 unworthy, 465 up, 402 up, to be, 245 up against, 227 up against a tough proposition, 227 up-lift, 62 up-line, 247 Upperco, 484 uppish, 567 Uprchl, 488 up-state, 93, 246 Upthegrove, 505 up to, 227 up to time, 238 uptown, 246 up-train, 247

U-Put-It-On, 200 Uretha, 522 U-Rub-It-In, 209 us, 447, 455, 458 us-all, 378 used, 203 used to be, 447 used to could, 99 usen't, 471 use'to, 471 ush, to, 192 Usher, 516 usher, 241, 245 us is, 363 us-two, 449 usually, 467 us-uns, 450 Utahna, 522 Utensil, 525 Utica, 530 utilize, to, 16 ♥, 375, 377, 401 vacation, to, 195, 237 vacationize, to, 193 Vaccarelli, 493 vaccine, 341 Vaćlav, 511 vag, 402 vagrate, to, 16 vague, 402 Väinö, 510 Valaria, 522 Valdez, 494 Valentino, 578 valeteria, 177 Vališ, 486 vallje, 119 vally, 402 valorous, 395 valour, 395 valspar, 173 vamoose, 152 vamos, 152 vamose, 152 vamose, to, 232 vamp, 169 vamp, to, 192, 565 van, 169, 481 Van Arsdale, 481 Van Buren, 481 Vanderbilt, 498 Van de Veer, 481 Vandiver, 481 vanilla, 349 Vannersdale, 481 Van Rensselaer, 481

Vanzetti, 494 vaporize, 395 vapour, 395 vaquero, 75, 152 variate, to, 99 variety, 237 Varlow, 522 vase, 337 vaseline, 172, 559 vase-line, 591 Vashti, 524 Vatslaw, 510 vaud, 237 vaude, 237 vaudevil, 401, 403 vaudeville, 237, 347, 411; -theatre, 237 Vavrinec, 511 vegetable, 376 vegetable-slice, 260 Veilchenduft, 501 Veldhuis, 485 Vella, 521 Velvalee, 522 Venables, 503 Venables-Vernon, 502 veneer, 155 venereal disease, 306 Venice, 530 Venton Orlaydo, 524 Ventura, 542 veranda, 393 verandah, 392, 393 verb, 375 verdrübt, 150 vereins, 412 verger, 248 veribest, 407 Verl, 522 Verla, 522 Verlie, 521 Vernon, 507 Versailles, 542 Versey, 522 vertebrae, 347 Vertie Ven, 524 Very Rev., 282, 283 Ves, 519 Vespasien, 304 vest, 236, 239, 377 vestry, 245 veteran, 255 Veverka, 512 vial, 389, 390 vicar, 220, 248, 249 vice-chancellor, 241

vice disease, 306 vice-president, 289 Victor, 507 victrola, 172 vie, to, 199 Viereck, 484 viertel, 250 vigilante, 152 vigorous, 395 vigour, 395 Vilda, 522 Villenol, 487 Vincent, 509, 511 Vincenzo, 509 Vinegar Bend, 56 violet, 341 Viol-Inn, 546 Virgil, 512 virgin, 305, 308 Virginia City, 530 virtue, 352 virtuosi, 412 virtuosos, 412 virtuous, 306 visa, 410 visé, 410 vision-engineer, 290 visit, 377 Vista, 521 Vitolo, 494 vivil, 173 Vivinne, 522 Vladimir, 511 Vladislaw, 510 Vlastimil, 511 vlei, 532 Vlk, 486 vly, 532 vnmixt, 379 vodvil, 411 Vogelgesang, 484, 485 void, to, 199 Vojtěch, 511 Vola, 521 Volodymyr, 511 Volz, 514 vomick, 360 voodoo, 113 vote, 245 Votruba, 487 vous tout, 450 voyageur, 108 Vrba, 512 Vunies, 525 vurry, 257 w, 351, 377, 404, 469

wa, 343 Waddell, 504 waffle, 108 Wagenaar, 485 Wagensbach, 487 wage-sheet, 239 waggon, 383, 390, 392 Wagner, 484, 485, 489 wagon, 85, 158, 383, 386, 389, 390, 396 Waguespack, 487 waikiki, 377 Waiter, 488 Waitr, 488 Waitzel, 522 Waive, 521 wake, to, 435 Walden Pond, 535 Waldo, 479 Waldow, 479 walk, 547 walkathon, 180 walk'd, 438 Walker, 514 walkfest, 218 walk the hospitals, to, 240 walk the ties, to, 147 walk through a fence like a falling tree through cobwebs, 137 walk tiptoe, to, 192 Wall, 516 Wallabout, 532 Wallace, 486, 510 Walla Walla, 537 Waller, 499 wallet, 247 Wall Street broker, 244 walnut, 124 Walter, 507, 510, 511, 515 waltz, 155 waltz, to, 194 wam, 184 wampum, 100, 106 wampum-keeper, 106 wan, 436, 440 wander, 343 wanderlust, 219; -club, 219; -er, 219; -ing, 219 wangle, to, 265 want, 343 wan't, 446 want-ad, 170 war, 344 warant, 406

warden, 242, 243

warder, 243 War Eagle, 537 Warfield, 498 warm, 252 warm (p) th, 439 warm-slaw, 108 Warner, 482 Wärner, 482 war-paint, 106 war-path, 106 Warrah, 524 warrant, 406 Warren, 516, 537 Warsaw, 530 was, 427 Wascott, 538 Wash, 519 wash, 343, 344 wash-basin, 231, 236 wash-bowl, 236 wash-hand-basin, 231 wash-hand-stand, 231, 236 Washington, 515, 519, 529, 537 Washingtonian, 552 washing-up bowl, 234 wash-rag, 236 wash-room, 304 wash-stand, 85, 231, 236 Wasil, 511 wassermann, to, 192, 197 waste-basket, to, 195, 236 waste-paper basket, 236 watch, 343, 344 watch-crystal, 239 watch-glass, 239 water, 343, 344, 349; -closet, 304, 310; -gap, -heater, 236; 115; -pitcher, 85; -shed, 115; wagon, 92 water, to, 228 Watkins Gully, 535 Watt, 553 Wauskakamick, 514 Wave, 522 way, 256, 547 way-bill, 146 way-car, 583 Wayman, 483 Wayne, 510, 512 Wayne Junction, 529 way-station, 238 W.C., 210, 304 wc, 447, 455 weakly, 467

weal, 351 weald, 115 wear, to, 436 Weasel, 552 weasle-word, 174 weather, 351, 603; -bureau, 239 Weaver, 485 Weber, 485 Web-feet, 552 wedding-engineer, 289 Weeda, 521 week-end, to, 195 Weems, 503 weep, to, 436, 437 Weigand(t), 499 weight, 237 Weil, 499 Weinberg, 499 weinstube, 210 weir, 248 Weisberg, 502 Weiss, 479, 485 wel, 383, 403 welded, 561 well, 103, 383 wellest, 463 well-heeled, 143 Wellington, 233, 513 well-posted, 143 Wemyss, 503 wen, 351 wench, 305 went, 424, 432, 442 weop, 437 wep, 436, 437 wepte, 437 wer, 401 were, 401, 428 Werkman, 485 Werner, 484 Wes, 511 Weshinawatok, 514 Wesley, 511, 513, 516, 517, 535 Wesley chapel, 210 Wesleyville, 535 West, 529 west, 377 westbound, 247 West End, 247 Westphal, 483 Westphal, 483 wet, 86, 191, 565 wet, to, 436 wether, 403

we-two, 449 we-uns, 450 Weymann, 483 wh, 351 Wham, 504, 536 whang-doodle, 176 whap, 98, 184 whar, 339 what, 335, 343, 452, 454 what-all, 450 whatdyecallem, 564 what'ell, 316 what price, 265 what the hell, 316 wheatena, 173 wheatlet, 173 wheat-pit, 144 wheel, 351 Wheeler, 496 wheel-horse, 148 Wheelingite, 549 Whelp, 552 when, 351 whence, 468 where, 24, 351, 467 Where am I at?, 258 where'bouts, 468 Where did you get that hat?, 566 Where do you get that stuff?, 566 whether, 351 Whetstone, 523 which, 452, 454 whichn, 454 which one, 454 while, 119, 351 While-U-Wait, 209 whiskers, 579 whiskey, 393, 394; -andsoda, 149, 236; -daisy, 149; -sour, 85 White, 485, 489, 493, 495, 499 white-collar, 239 white-face, 584 Whitehand, 493 Whitehill, 502 white-lion, 85 White Mountains, 532 Whiteneck, 479 white-plush, 149 White Sox, 407 White Thunder, 514 Whitetree, 514 white wagon, 556

whitewash, 101 whitewash, to, 118 white-wings, 186 whither, 351, 468 whittle, to, 128 whizz-bang, 573, 579 who, 201, 422, 452, 453, 454, 461, 600 who-all, 450 whole, 600 whole kit and boodle, 109 whole note, 250 whole-souled, 143, 231 whom, 201, 202, 453, 454, whoopee, 560 whooptician, 179 whore, 303, 305, 309, 310, 340 whoredom, 303 whortleberry, 114 whose, 452, 453 whosen, 452, 453 Wichita, 541 wick, 532 wid, 465 wide, 465 Wide Mouth, 537 wie geht's, 157 wiener, 155 wienerwurst, 112, 155 wienie, 155, 186 wierd, 402 wife, 302, 578 wig, 169 wigwam, 100, 105 wijk, 532 wikiwiki, 373 wil, 401, 403 Wilbur, 524 Wilburn, 516 wilcut, 407 Wild, 523 Wildeman, 561 wile, 351 Wilfred, 518 Wilhelm, 505 Wilkes-Barré, 537 will, 25, 199, 200, 201, 420, 445, 519 Will-A., 517 William, 505, 507, 509, 511, 513, 515, 516, 519, 520 Williams, 477 Williamsburg, 529

Willis, 507 Wilson, 477, 498, 520 wilt, to, 98, 128 wimmen, 383, 384 win, 248, 416, 436, 447 win, to, 436, 440 Winar, 488 wind, to, 198 windfall, 101 window-cleaning-engineer, 200 window-shade, 236 windscreen, 237 windshield, 237 wind up, to, 198 Windy City, 553 wing, 236 wing-ding, 579 wing her, to, 583 Winiarecki, 488 winned, 440 Winnipegger, 549 Winnipiseogee, 540 win out, to, 227 Winston-Salem, 537 Winter, 492 winterize, to, 193 wipe out, to, 578 wireless, 226, 559 wire-puller, 30, 167, 228 Wirt, 480 Wise, 479, 499 wise-crack, 263 wise-cracker, 585 wise-guy, 576 wiseheimer, 219 wisenheimer, 263 wise up, to, 263 wish, 352 wish, to, 436 wished, 436 wisht, 399, 403, 436 wisit, 377 Wistaria, 522 wit, 367 with, 367 wither, 351 without hardly, 470 witness-box, 246 witness-stand, 229, 246 Witt, 502 Wittenacht, 479 Wittkofsky, 502 wize, 402 W J, 517 w.k., 200

wo, 383, 401 wobble, to, 126 wobbly, 188, 190, 191, 581 woe, 383 woik, 367 woke, 435, 442 woken, 435 wold, 115 Wolf, 486, 490 wolf, 582 Wolfgang, 505 Wolfsohn, 498 woman, 303 womanishest, 463 woman of a certain class, 311 womb, 303 women, 383, 384 hockey, women's íce women's singles, 293 women's wear, 293 won, 436, 437, 440 wonderful, 464, 465 won't, 377 woodchuck, 105 wooden-house, 234 Woodhouse, 493 Woodrow, 512 Woods, 495 woods, 462 woodscolt, 308 woof, 344 woop-woop, 378 woozy, 568 wop, 45, 295 Worcester, 540 wore, 436 work, 367 workhouse, 243 Workman, 485 World War, 248 worm-fence, 145, 166 Worm moon, 106 worse, 463 worser, 420, 463 worshiper, 388 Wörth, 480 worth-while, 229 woud, 404 would, 25 woulda, 443, 471 would not, 469 wouldn't, 425, 471 would of, 471 would've, 471

Would you for fifty cents?, 566 wow, 184, 185, 564, 586 Wowk, 490 wowser, 265, 378 wrang, 436 wrangler, 221, 243 wrapping-engineer, 290 wrassle, 346 wrecketeria, 177 wrecking-crew, 146 wren, 209 wrestle, 346 wring, to, 436 write, to, 436, 613 writing-table, 254 written, 436, 442, 613 wrongd, 402 wrote, 424, 436, 442, 613 wroten, 442 wrung, 436 wust, 109 Wyoming, 526, 532 wytopitlock, 531 x, 404 XLent, 209 x-ray, to, 197, 559 Y, 170 y, 341, 345, 360, 364, 389, 394, 401, 402, 404, 446, 469; -y, 467, 548 yabo, 373 yacht, 397 yah, 353 y'all, 363, 449, 450 yam, 113, 246 Yank, 111 yank, to, 99, 111 Yankee, 110, 295 Yankel, 506 Yankelevitch, 498 yarb, 129, 351 yard, 547, 585 yawp, 75 ye, 454 yeah, 353 year, 379 yeare, 379 yearn, 406 yeggman, 263 yellow, 247 yellow-belly, 295, 562 Yellow Dog, 536 Yellow Robe, 514 yen, to, 162, 263

Yengees, 110

yentzer, 578 yep, 229, 353 yerba, 152 Yerma, 516 yern, 406 yes, 353 yes, to, 195 ves-indeedy, 161 yes-man, 563 Yes, we have no bananas, 561 yct, 340 Yetive, 522 yhar, 360 yid, 295, 305 yip, 577 yo, 360 yodel, 157 yok-a-mi, 162 yom kippur, 216 Yonaha, 524 Yonkers, 533; -ite, 549 York, 527; -er, 549 Yorktown, 529 Yosel, 506 yot, 397 you, 447, 450, 451, 454 you-all, 363, 449 you betcha, 258 y'ought, 450 you is, 363, 427 Young, 483, 491 Youngdahl, 491 young-horse, 580

young person, 184 Youngreen, 491 young-un, 309 your, 447, 449 youre, 449 youren, 449 youres, 449 you're telling me, 572 Your Honor, 279 yourn, 447 yours, 449 yous, 447, 451 You said a mouthful, 566 you said it, 561 you-three, 449 you-two, 449 you-uns, 450 you was, 428 you were, 428 Ýrgö, 510 Ysobel, 520 yu, 403 Yuhudi, 208 Yukkanatche, 514 yurp, 184 z, 375, 389, 394, 401, 510 Zaba, 488 Zach, 519 Zachariah, 519 Záchod, 487 Zajíc, 487 Zampariello, 493 Zannis, 521

zarape, 152, 153

Zděný, 486 zeal, 384 ZeBarney, 518 zeber, 385 zebra, 385 Zebulon, 515 Zechariah, 515 zed, 352 zee, 352 zeel, 381, 384 Zelény, 486 Zhinchak, 489 zig-zag, 375 Zilpah, 522 Zilvernagel, 485 Zimmermann, 485 Zion, 524 Zirst, 503 zombie, 587 zone, 239 Z00a, 524 zook, 577 Zotas, 522 zounds, 312, 317 zowie, 184, 564 Zulu, 296 Zurhorst, 503 Zwartefoote, 485 zwei, 157 zwei bier, 157 zwieback, 112, 155 zwok, 100 Zymole, 512

INDEX

History,

Agricultural

Aarkawa, S., 88 Aasen, Ivar, 89 n Abbott, Lyle, 180 n Abbreviations, 92, 204, 205, 209, 414, 542 n Abramson, S. H., 551 n, богп Absolon, William, 488 n, Académie Française, 63 n, 89, 192, 572 n Academy, projects for an American, 7, 49 Acadians, speech of, 638, 639 Accent, 324 ff, 503 Carl Ackerman, 172 n Acta Apostolicæ Sedis, 282 n Actors, speech of, 267, 331, 340 Adamic, Louis, 489, 667 Adams, Charles Francis, 276 n Adams, Franklin P., 269 Adams, James Truslow, 124 n, 569 n Adams, Joe, 490 Adams, John, 7, 49, 108, 119, 132, 147 Adams, John Quincy, 14, 49, 118 Addison, Joseph, 71, 126, 137, 438 n, 455, 472 Ade, George, 72, 257, 424, 560 Adjectives, 119, 143, 203, 459, 463 ff, 601 Adverbs, 253, 464 ff Advisory Committee on Spoken English, 329 Afrikaans, 622 Agar, Herbert, 44 Ager, Cecelia, 210 n

122 n Ahrend, Evelyn R., 371 n Aiken, Janet R., 337 n, 405 n, 422 n, 471, 598 n, 605 n, 606 n, 613 Aikman, Duncan, 551 n Ain't-less Week, 51 Alabama Christian Advocate, 281 n Alabama, 428 n; given-names in, 522, 523; place-names, 536; speech of, 257, 362 Albany Evening Journal, 147 n Aldington, Richard, 46 Alexander, Caleb, 385 Alexander, Donald M., 407 n Alexander, Henry, 333 n, 366 n, 367, 416 n, 428 n, 429 n, 435 n Alford, Henry, 27, 140, 184 Alger, John G., 84 Allard, Harry, 551 n Alldredge, D. C., 551 n Allen, Crombie, 604 n Allen, Frances Anne, 343 n Ware, Allen, Henry 551 D Allen, Hervey, 30 n Allen, W. H., 159 Allsop, Thomas, 28 Allvine, Glendon, 586 Alter. Nicholas M., 496 n, 682 n Manuel E., Amador. 605 n Amend, Ottilie, 586 n Ament. William S., 261 n American Academy of American Magazine, 10 n

Arts and Letters, 63, 66, 67, 331 American Academy of Arts and Sciences, 49 American Academy Languages and Belles Lettres, 49 American Association for the Study of the Feeble-minded, 175 Church American Monthly, 537 n Council American Learned Societies, 55, 58, 59, 483 n American Dialect Society, 52 ff, 58, 356 American Dictionary of the English Language, Webster's, 9, 134, 135, American difficulties with English, 260, 269 ff American Hebrew and Iewish Tribune, 298, 602 n Journal American of Philology, 364 n, 601 n, 617 n, 637 n, 638 n American-Language Legion, 83 American Law Review, 577 n Literature. American Sydney Smith on, 13 n; sales of in 1806, 17; rise of, 134 ff Literature, American 54 n, 261 n American Literature Group, Modern Language Association, 54 n

ii	Index	
		Anglistische Forschun-
American Medical Asso-		gen, 521 n, 538 n
ciation Style Book,	350 n, 352 n, 353 n, 354 n, 359 n, 360 n,	
411 n		1 7
American Mercury, 57 n,	1 1	1
77, 88 n, 149 n, 176 n,		1 ((0) 22 222 264
183 n, 210 n, 211 n,		/ -/- A
218 n, 288 n, 296 n,	371 n, 372 n, 375 n, 378 n, 405 n, 407 n,	
323 n, 329 n, 343 n, 350 n, 362 n, 363 n,	410 n, 416 n, 422 n,	
	426 n, 430 n, 431 n,	Anti-Jacobin Review,
369 n, 443 n, 449 n, 463 n, 477 n, 481 n,	432 n, 441 n, 443 n,	16 n, 19, 23
488 n, 524 n, 562 n,	444 n, 446 n, 449 n,	Anti-Semitism, 213, 497 n
577 n, 578 n, 582 n,	450 n, 453 n, 455 n,	
584 n, 586 n, 591 n,	463 n, 464 n, 466 n,	129, 358 ff, 429 n, 431 n,
594 n, 598 n, 605 n,	467 n, 478 n, 480 n,	435 n, 467 n, 470, 519,
610 n, 634 n, 640 n,	486 n, 488 n, 489 n,	521, 523
643 n, 644 n, 650 n,	490 n, 495 n, 500 n,	
651 n, 655 n, 656 n,	503 n, 505 n, 511 n,	America, 683 ff
662 n, 667 n	512 n, 514 n, 518 n,	Arakawa, Sawbay, 591,
American movies and	521 II, 522 II, 523 II,	692 n
talkies in England,	524 n, 525 n, 526 n,	Arbuthnot, John, 431 n
37 ff, 221, 225, 233	527 n, 530 n, 531 n,	Arcadian Magazine, 370 n
American Museum, 11 n	532 n, 537 n, 541 n,	Archaisms, 124 ff, 126,
American National Lan-	543 n, 546 n, 549 n,	144, 161, 168, 169, 232,
guage Magazine, 83	552 n, 553 n, 554 n,	617
American Philological	555 n, 556 n, 561 n,	
Association, 399	562 n, 568 n, 569 n,	Archiv für das Studium
American Philological	571 n, 572 n, 573 n,	der neueren Sprachen
Journal, 52	577 n, 579 n, 581 n,	und Literaturen, 86,
American Review of	584 n, 585 n, 586 n,	555 n, 641 n
History and Politics,	587 n, 588 n, 589 n,	Argentina, English in,
2I	591 n, 596 n, 605 n,	590, 604 n
American Roumanian	606 n, 607 n, 612 n, 613 n, 618 n, 625 n,	Argot, 556, 575 ff Arizona place-names,
News, 494 n, 509 n,		
653 American Speech, 10 n,		536, 542, 543 Arkansas, 428 n; place-
	644 n, 655 n, 685 n, 697 n	names in, 533, 535, 536,
12 n, 16 n, 29 n, 33 n,	Americanism, first use of	537, 538, 541; speech of,
36 n, 42 n, 51 n, 52, 54, 59, 72 n, 75 n, 79 n,	term, 6; definitions of,	357, 360 n
115 n, 137 n, 146 n,	62, 97 ff, 332; charac-	Arliss, George, 331
148 n, 150 n, 153 n,	ters of, 90 ff, 94	Armenian immigrants,
155 n, 157 n, 159 n,	Americophil Englishmen,	693; language in Amer-
171 n, 172 n, 176, 177,	44 ff	ica, 693; surnames, 495,
178 n, 179 n, 180 n,	Amerikan Suometar,	496, 497
181 n, 183 n, 185 n,	676	Armfield, Blanche Britt,
187 n, 188, 190 n, 193 n,	Ames, Nathaniel, 116	523 n
194 n, 195 n, 196 n,	Anbury, Thomas, 110	Armfield, H. T., 129
197 n, 199 n, 203 n,	Anderson, A. H., 627 n,	Armistead, George, 479
211, 215 n, 216 n, 218 n,	631 n	Army Medical Corps,
219 n, 237 n, 239 n,	Anderson, Maxwell, 265	306
257 n, 258 n, 261, 263,	Anderson, Thomas, 38	Army slang, 573 ff
272 n, 274 n, 282 n,	Andreen, Gustav, 624	Aron, Albert W., 620,
287, 292 n, 296 n, 303 n,	Andrews, Charlton, 325 n	621
305 n, 308, 309 n, 312 n,	Angel, Anne, 562 n	Article, definite, 161, 251,
313, 320 n, 321, 322 n,	Anglic, 405	279, 600; indefinite,
325 n, 327 n, 332 n,	Anglin, Margaret, 331	35x

Asbury, Herbert, 478 n, | 576 n Ashkenazim, 502, 506 Ashleigh, Charles, 581 n Associated Advertising, 170 n Associated Press, 256 n, 266 n Association for the Advancement of Colored People, 200 Association of Electragists International, 287 Astor, John Jacob, 206 Atcherley, Mary 694 Atherton, H. E., 321 n Atkins, Morris Fletcher, 551 n Atlanta Constitution, 548 Atlanta Journal, 548 Atlantic Monthly, 61, 73, 204, 254, 304, 322 11, 332 m, 340 n, 349 n, 361 n, 363 n, 393, 409, 531 n, 607 n Atlantica, 641 n Atlantis, 512, 685, 686 Atlas linguistique de la France, 89 n Audubon, J. J., 134 Austen, Jane, 431 n Austin, Mary, 153 n Australian English, 108, 576, 277, 316, 378 n, 578; spelling, 396 Austro-Hungarian immigrants, 213 and Printers' Authors' Dictionary, 389 ff Autocar, 259 n Automobile terms, 236 Avery, Elizabeth, 320 n Axley, Lowry, 361 m, 449 n Directory Ayer's Newspapers and Periodicals, 693 n Ayres, Harry Morgan, 321, 371, 372 Ayres, Leonard P., 603 Babbitt, E. H., 53, 170 n, 367, 569 n, 590 n Bache, Richard Meade,

337 n

Back-formation, 92, 111, Bartlett, J. R., his glos-168 ff, 183, 191 Baddour, S., 685 n Baedeker's United States. Baer, Arthur (Bugs), 560 Bailey, John, 34 n Bailey, Joseph G., 71 Baker, Anna R., 262 n Baker, Ernest A., 390 n Balassa, József, 682 n Baldwin, Joseph G., 72 Baldwin, Stanley, 228 Balfour, Earl of, 32, 33 n, 408 Ballard, Marshall, 541 n, 551 n Ballard, P. B., 198 n, 322 n, 460 n, 605 n Baltimore Evening Sun, 51 n, 146 n, 149 n, 288 n, 185 n, 260 n. 396 n, 410, 537 n, 560 n, 658 n Baltimore Post, 198 n Baltimore Sun, 485 n Banche, Henry, 89 Bancroft, Aaron, 15, 386 Bancroft, George, 135 Banking terms, 244 Baptist and Commoner, 338 n, 462 n Baptists, 280, 309, 462, 467 n, 524 Herman, Baradinsky, 551 n Barbey, J. E., 551 n Barde, Alexandre, 639 W., Bardsley, Charles 516 n Barker, E. O., 214 n Barker, Howard F., 477, 478, 479, 480, 481 n, 483, 503 n, 518 n, 581 n Barkley, Dorothy, 588 n Barlow, Joel, 15, 16 Barnes, Matthew, 412 n Barnes, Walter, 565 n Barnes, W. C., 543 n Barnett, A. G., 378 n Barr, Robert T., 392 n Barrère, Albert, 571 Barrows, Sarah T., 344 Barry, Jerome B., 375 n Barry, Phillips, 177 Bartlett, John H., 195 n

sary, 36, 61, 86, 98, 101, 139, 140, 157 n, 167, 301, 339 n, 430 n, 43 I n, 434 n, 435 n, 442, 539 n Bartosz, Adam, 674 Baruch, Sylvan, 203 n Baseball terms, 562 n Basic English, 603 ff Basshe, Emjo, 518 Baten, Anderson M., 572 n Batie, Russell V., 584 n Beath, P. R., 587 n Beck, N. B., 373 n, 695 n Beck, T. Romeyn, 35 n Beckman, Robert, 625 n, 626, 627 n Bede, the Venerable, 29 Beecher, Henry Ward, 140 Beirne, Francis E., 183 n Belfast Printing Trades Employers' Association, 389 Belgion, Montgomery, 263 n BellSystem Technical Journal, 200 n Bellamann, Henry, 173 n Belloc, Hilaire, 322, 343 Benchley, Robert, 305 n Bencze, John, 682 n Bendall, F. W. D., 39 Bennett, Arnold, 257 Bennett, James O'Donnell, 406 n Bennett, John, 113 n Bense, J. F., 111 n Jeremy, Bentham, 21, 119, 223 Bentley, Harold 153 n, 534, 651 n Berg, Ruben G:son, 624 n Berger, V., 530 n, 624 n Bergman, B. A., 442 n Bergmann, Karl, 574 n Bergström, G. A., 172 n Bernstein, Herbert B., 449 n, 588 n Better-Speech Week, 51 Betts, E. A., 424 n Bevans, C. A., 322 n Beverley, Robert, 115 Bevier, Thyra Jane, 444 n Beyer, H. Otley, 375 n

Bible, 42 n, 106, 127, 202 n, 303, 308 n, 398 n, 429 n, 436, 448, 465, 515, 535, 572, 601 Bible Belt, 309, 522 Biblical names, 515 Bicknell, Frank M., 256 Bierce, Ambrose, 556 n Billboard, 366 n Birmingham (Eng.) Gazette, 230 n Birss, John Howard, 553 n Bishop, W. W., 574 n Bissell. Clifford H., 391 n Bjarnason, J. M., 633 Black, Harry C., 552 n, 560 n *Blackshirt*, 38 n Blackwood's Magazine, 18 n, 23 Blaine, James G., 107 Blair, Hugh, 97 n Blanco, Enrique, 613 Blandford, F. G., 332 Thomas Blanton, 462 n Blashfield, Mrs. E. H., 63 Blauvelt, Hiram D., 569 n Blends, 171, 173, 317, 537 Blinn, Holbrook, 331 Bliven, Bruce, 257 Bloch, Israel, 551 n Bloom, Margaret, 370 n Bloomfield, Leonard, 58 n, 310 Blum, August, 619n Blumenfeld, D. L., 262 n Blumenfeld, R. D., 31 Boas, Louis A., 549 n Boas, F. S., 34 n Boggs, Ralph S., 327 n Bohannon, James A., 208 Bolgar, E. H., 682 n Bolingbroke, Henry, 126 Bonaparte, L.-L., 320 Bone, James, 294 n Bontz, Mable E., 551 n Book of Common Prayer, 204 Bookman, 31, 40, 77 n, 173 n, 584 n, 586 n Books, sales of in America, 17-19 Boot, H. E., 202 n

Booth, Alfred C., 551 n Booth, Ernest, 577 n, 578, 579 Borchard, D., 86 Bosson, Olaf E., 575 n Boston area, speech of, 333, 334, 335 ff, 344, 349, 601; surnames in, 477, 478 Boston Atlas, 205 Boston Brahmins, 139 Boston Evening Globe, 499 11 Boston Herald, 554 Boston Journal, 186 n Boston Transcript, 205 Boston Traveler, 187 n Boston University, 401 Botkin, B. A., 361 n Boucher, Jonathan, 34, 35 n, 120, 169, 313, 324 n, 354 Boulenger, Marcel, 149 n Boulevardier, 226 n Bowen, Ray P., 87 Bowker, R. R., 171 n Bowman, LeRoy E., 588 n Boxing argot, 562 Boy Scouts, 231 Boyd, Ernest, 41, 77, 78, 504, 513 n, 560 n Boyd, Stephen G., 527 n Boynton, H. W., 31 Bozart, 411 Brackbill, Hervey, 588 n Bradley, Helen Merrill, 550 n Bradley, Henry, 46 n, 272, 390, 400, 408, 448 n, 556, 559 n, 563 Brailsford, H. N., 40, 45 Brain Trust, 291, 305 Braley, Berton, 259 n Branco, A. S., 652 n Bratter, C. A., 87 Brauer, Dora Lee, 172 n Brazil, Portuguese in, 653 Bready, M. McIlvaine, 175 Brevoort, Henry, 17 Bridges, Robert, 32 n, 46, 328, 329, 349, 390, 405, 408 Brighouse, Harold, 228, 229 D

Bright, James W., 32 n, 53 n Brisbane, Arthur, 175 Bristed, C. A., 69 ff, 79, 140, 158 Briticisms in the United States, 202, 264 ff British Academy, 329 British Board of Trade, British Broadcasting Corporation, 48, 529 British Critic, 14, 15, 119 Broadway Journal, 552 Broadway slang, 560 ff Broderick, Henry, 551 n Brodhead, L. W., 538 n Brody, Alter, 369 n Bronson, L. K., 551 n Brooke, Rupert, 258 Brooklyn, surnames in, 478 n Brooks, Cleanth, Jr., 362, 363 n Brooks, J. G., 20 n, 302 n Brophy, John, 314, 519 n, 574 n Brown, Bertram H., 363 n, 449 n Brown, C. B., 17 Brown, Frederick 591 n, 692 n Brown, George William, 593 n Brown, Irving, 490 n Browne, C. F. (Artemus Ward), 71, 224, 429 n, 430 n, 434 n, 442 Browne, Thomas, 140 n Brownell, W. C., 63 n Bryant, W. C., 17, 120, 134, 135, 138, 184, 280, 342 n, 386 Brythonic Celtic, 682 Buchwald, Nathaniel, 636 n Buck, Gene, 560 Buckner, Mary Dale, 587 n Buffalo, Poles in, 675 Bug, Joshua, 310 n Bulgarian surnames. 489 Bulletin of the University of Arizona, 648 n Bulletin of the University

of South 113 n, 363 n Bulwer-Lytton, Edward, Burgess, Gelett, 173 n, 560 Burham, Josephine M., 178 n, 179 n Burke, Edmund, 459, 558 Burnham, Josephine M., 370 n Burns, E. L. M., 551 n, 637 n Burr, Aaron, 130, 148 Richard, Burton, 77, 169 n, 303 Burwell, M. A., 370 n Butcher, Jesse S., 332 n Butler, Joseph, 465 Butler, Kate L., 174 Butler, Mrs. Nicholas Murray, 207 n Butte (Mont.) Standard, 208 n Buxbaum, Katherine, 370 n, 521, 522 Steven T., Byington, 172 n, 183 n Byrantios, D. K., 685 Byron, Arthur, 331 Byron, Lord, 17 B.-Z. am Mittag, 483 n Cable, George W., 639 Cahan, Abraham, 498 n, 506, 635 n, 636 Cain, James M., 264 323 n Cairns, W. B., 14 ff, 16 n, 17, 18 n, 19, 23 California, 576; placenames in, 534, 535, 536, 542 Callahan, Patrick H., 273 n, 551 n Callender, Harold, 599 n Callender, N. R., 551 n Cambridge History of American Literature, 20 n, 71, 114 n, 126 n, 636 n, 224 n, 220 n, 630 n; of English Literature, 391, 413 Cambridge, R. O., 4 Campbell, George, 50 n, 97 n Campbell, John C., 361 n | Catholic World, 406 n

Carolina, | Campbell, J. L., 682 Campbell, Mrs. Patrick, Campbell - Bannerman, Henry, 183 n Campora, Vincenzo, 642 Camps, names of, 546 Canada, English in, 277, 338, 396, 609; French, 544 n, 636 ff; Gaelic, 682; spelling, 396 Canadian Geographical Society, 396 Canadian Historical Association, 396 Canadian Journal, 371 n Canal Zone, speech of, 548, 649 Canby, H. S., 34 n, 46 n Canning, George, 120 Canonge, Placide, 639 Cant, 556, 575 ff Capek, Thomas, 295 n Capitalization, 413 ff Capone, Al, 494 Carlisle Indian School, 513 Carlsmith, Carl S., 695 n Carlson, Gabriel, 626 Thomas, Carlyle, 178, 322 n Carnegie, Andrew, 400 Carnegie Corporation, 55 n, 58, 421 n Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, 421 n Carnival argot, 584 Carpenter, Charles, 361 n, 584 n Carpenter, William H., rog n Carr, H. J., 205 Carr, J. W., 53, 360 n Carroll, Lewis, 171 Carroll, T. W., 316 n of Carrollton, Carroll Charles, 504 Carruthers, C. H., 58 n Carter, C. W., 200 n Carter, Virginia, 569 n Cassell's New English Dictionary, 390, 391, 408 Cate, Garth, 180 n

Cautela, Guiseppe, 494 n, 644 n Cellini, Benvenuto, 296 n Central Conference of American Rabbis, 400 Century, 361 n Century Dictionary, 151 Čermak, Anton J., 658 Cesko-Ameriký Kalendář, sii n Chadbourn, Charles N., 285 Chamberlain, A. F., 638 n Chang Shih-chao, 690 Chao Yüan-jên, 691 Chapin, Sylva, 637 Chapman, Maristan, 360, 36 I Charters, W. W., 418, 419, 421, 425, 445, 447, 455, 458, 460, 463, 465, 468 Chaucer, Geoffrey, 128, 232, 296 n, 436, 465, 469, 608 Chautauqua, 221; argot of, 585 n Cheke, John, 379, 397 Cherry, Donald L., 538 n, 551 N Chesebrough, Robert A., 172 n Chester, Joseph L., 366 Chesterfield, Lord, 413 Chesterton, Cecil, 43 Chesterton, G. K., 185, 254, 256, 563 Chicago Daily News, 587 n Chicago Evening Post, 620 Chicago Herald and Examiner, 192 n, 562 n Chicago Inter-Ocean, 186, 562 n Chicago Radio Weekly, Chicago Record-Herald, 562 n Chicago, surnames in, Tribune,Chicago 263, 288, 406, 414, 620 Child, Francis J., 53, 399 Chin, A. Kaiming, 691 n China Critic, 691 n

China, English in, 590, 597, 598 Stu-Chinese Christian dent, 513, 691 n given-names, Chinese 513; immigrants, 691; language, 591; in America, 688 ff; loan-words from, 162, 373, 574 Chinook language, 150 language, Chitimacha 417 n Chopin, Kate, 630 Christian Disciple, 140 Christian Index, 281 n Christian Science Monitor, 377, 518 n Christian World, 571 Churchill, William, 372 n Ciarlantini, Franco, 641 n Cincinnati Enquirer, 208 n Cincinnati, surnames in, 477 Volksblatt, Cincinnati 620 Cinema, 262 n Films Cinematograph (English), Act 37 n, 38 n Circus argot, 584 Citizens, names applied to, 548 ff Civil War, 67, 72, 111, 164 ff, 212, 274, 287 n, 346, 502, 576 Clapin, Sylva, 106 n, 111 n Clark, C. H. (Max Adeler), 224 Clark College, 401 Clark, E. L., 551 n Clark, G. N., 111 n Clark, John Spencer, 76 n Clark, J. W., 587 n Clarke, Hewson, 575 Clarke, R. M., Jr., 569 n Clarke, W. E. C., 378 n Clarke, Tom, 277 n Classical Journal, 412 n Claxton, P. P., 296 n Georges, Clemenceau, Clemens, S. L. (Mark Twain), 13, 54 n, 67, 71, 72, 137, 167, 168,

411 n, 424, 429 n, 430 n, 442, 446 n, 447, 561 n, 567, 602 n, 614 Cleveland Plain Dealer, 205 n Climate, effects of, 323 words, 168 ff, Clipped 183 Clive, C. J., 240 Clough, Wilson O., 551 n, 589 n Coates, Foster, 315 Cobb, Collier, 364 n Cobb, Lyman, 60, 336, 385, 386, 387 Cockney English, 270, 327, 337, 352, 417, 426, Coelho, Arthur R., 216 n, 653 n Coffin, Harold, 691 n Cohan, George M., 111 Coit, J. Milnor, 268 Coke, Edward, 450 Colburn, Dorothy, 588 n Colby, Elbridge, 573 n Frank Moore, Colby, 196 n Cole, Hilda, 587 n Coleridge, S. T., 28, 165, 223, 431 n, 472 College Entrance Examination Board, 455 n College slang, 170, 559, 568 ff Collier's, 577 n Collins, F. Howard, 389 n Collinson, W. E., 232, 426 n, 574 n Collitz, Hermann, 531 n Collitz, Klara H., 171 n, 464 n Colonialism, 164 Colorado place-names, 537, 539, 542 Columbia, 322 n Columbia Broadcasting System, 332 Columbia University, 446 Columbus, 642 n Columbus (Ga.) Ledger, Combs, Josiah, 53, 332, 358, 435 n, 467 n, 470, 519 224, 251, 327, 351 n, Comfort, Anne W., 589 n

Comic-strip, influence of, Common speech, American. 416 ff Commonweal, 283 n, 288 n, 491 n Fund. Commonwealth Compendions Dictionary of the English Language, Webster's, 9 n Compounds, 114, 144. 186 Nellie Jane, Compton, 588 n Comstock Postal Act, 304 Conant, Louise, 218 n Concise Oxford Dictionary, 123 n, 222, 224, 230, 231, 251, 253, 263, 325, 388, 389, 390, 391, 400 Condon, E. A., 426 n Coneys, J. A., 551 n Congo French, 639 Congrés de la Langue Française au Canada, 638 n Congress, Continental, 4, 5, 8, 79 Congress, debates in, 139, 141, 201, 294, 300 Congressional Globe, 139, 518 Congressional Record, 142 n, 143, 194 n, 195 n, 198, 203, 203 n, 204 n, zion, 213 n, 275 11, 279, 291, 407, 410, 460 n, 462 n, 470, 481 n Congreve, William, 431 n Conkle, E. P., 584 n Connecticut, 434 n; placespeech of, 368 540; Connecticut Code of 1650, 121 n Conrad, Joseph, 455 n, 489 Conrad, Mrs. Joseph, 260 Consonants in American, 348 ff Constantine, Arthur, 650 n Contemporary Review. 188

Converse, Charles Cro- | Curme, George O., 319 n, | zat, 460 n Conway, Jack, 560 Conway, Kerry, 331 Cooke, Alistair, 48, 232, Coolidge, Calvin, 183 n Coolidge, Grace, 407 n Cooper, J. F., 16, 17, 21, 67, 68, 134, 135, 138, 330, 355, 369 Copland, Robert, 575 Cornell, Robert H., 170 n Corry, Harry, 551 n Costa, J. Da Providéncia, 595 n Couleer, E. Merton, 140 n Council of English, 33 Counter-words, 210 Cournos, John, 606 Cox, Harold, 608 n Coxe, A. Cleveland. 121 n, 223, 387 raigie, W. A., 34 n, Craigie, 55 ff, 127 fl, 129, 151, 219, 223, 228 n, 358 n Crane, W. W., 544 Crawford, Nelson Antrim, 304 n Creegan, J. S., 551 n Creighton, Robert E., 562 n Creole French, 638, 639 Crespigny, Claude 607 Criminal argot and cant, 556, 576 ff Critical Review, 14 Croatian immigrants, 667 Crocker, Lionel, 591 n Crockett, David, 187, 568 Crocssmann, Harley K., 177, 346 n, 551 n, 573 n Crofton, H. T., 696 n Croker, J. W., 455 n Croucher, E. J., 588 n Crowley, Leo T., 276 n Crowninshield, Gerald, 367 n Cuba, Spanish in, 650 Cummins, John William, 551 n Cunningham, Vincent, Curculakis, T. D., 486 n, 512 m, 687 m

422 n, 432 n, 461, 471 H., Curtiss, William 173 n Cushing Company, J. S., Custer, George A., 480 Cyrillic alphabet, 489 Czech given-names, 511; immigrants, 650; language in America, 655 ff; loan-words from, 216; surnames, 477, 486 ff Czecho-Slovak Student *Lise*, 216 n, 295 n, 448 n, 655 n Dabney, Virginius, 551 n Windsor P., Daggett, 366 n Dai Nippon Hogen Chidzu, 89 n Daily Svornost, 659 n d'Amboise, Georges, 572 II Dana, Charles A., 184 Dana, R. H., Jr., 156, 312, Daniel, Samuel, 614 Daniels, Thomas, 205 Danish immigrants, 628; language, 600, 601; in America, 627 ff; surnames, 477, 492 d'Annunzio, Gabriele, 601 Dano-Norwegian guage, 627 ff Darbinian, R., 497 n, 693 D'Arcy, William C., 170 n Darwin, Charles, 399 Daudet, Alphonse, 76 Dauncey, Enid C., 210 n Dauzet, Albert, 574 n Davenport-ffoulkes, Trevor, 504 n Davidson, Eugene, 551 n Davidson, George, 530 n Davidson, L. J., 587 n, 589 n Davies, Constance, 333 n

Davis, A. K., Jr., 365 n

Davis, Elmer, 288 n

573 n

Davis, Chester C., 276 n

Davis, Elrick B., 36n,

Davis, H. L., 150 n, 522 n Davis, Phillip, 178 n Davy, Humphrey, 390 n Dayton, O., Daily News, 146 n, 275 n Dealey, James Q., 551 n Dearborn Independent. Decatur (III.) Review. 260 Dechelette, François, 574 n Declaration of Independence, 5, 413 de Courmont, Felix, 639 Dedham Records, 379 de Filleneufve, Le Blanc, 639 Defoe, Daniel, 71, 472 deFord, Miriam Allen, 542 n, 546 n, 552 n de Gourmont, Rémy, 640 Deiler, J. Hanno, 487 n de Kruif, Paul, 485 n, 624 n de La Grasserie, Raoul, 557 n Delaney, Frank, 276 n Delano, Frederic A., 276 n Delaware place-names, 537, 538; speech of, 357 *Delineator*, 410 n, 569 n Delmarva, 538 Delta Карра Epislon Quarterly, 569 n Democratic Review, 387 n de Montigny, Louvigny, 495 n, 637, 638 n Denby Herald, 39 n Denmark, English in, 590, Dennis, C. T., 609 n Denver Rocky Mountain *News*, 450 n, 553 n Department of Commerce, 262 DeQuincey, Thomas, 472 Derby, George H., 71 de Roquigny, Jacques, 639 Derrick, Paul E., 262 n Dessewffy, A., 682 n Detroit Jewish Chronicle, 480 n Deutsche Grammophon Gesellschaft, 320

Dewey, Godfrey, 406 Dewey, John, 95 Dewey, Melvil, 196 n Dialect Notes, 3 n, 12 n, 20 n, 29 n, 35 n, 36 n, 52, 53, 54, 55 n, 59, 109 n, 153 n, Hon, 145 n, 159 n, 170 n, 173 n, 176 n. 180 n. 185 n, 187 n, 204 n, 209 n, 219 n, 214 n, 217 n, 222 n, 267, 295 n, 302 n, 303 n. 300 n. 313 n, 318 n, 336 n, 350 n, 352 n, 354 n, 356, 358 n, 361 n, 359 n, 360 n, 363 n, 364 n, 362 n, 366 n, 367 n, 370 n, 429 II, 371 n, 407 n, 434 II, 430 n, 432 n, 462 n, 464 n, 435 n, 467 n, 470 n, 495 n, 581 n. 569 n, 577 n, 588 n, 619 n, 584 n, б21 п, 627 n, б29 n, 631 п, 638 n Dialects, American, 90, 416 Dickens, Charles, 71, 223, 252, 271, 361, 417, 431 fl, 561 n, 575 n Dickinson, Lowes, 227 Dictionaries of Americanisms, 34 ff, 97 ff Dictionary of American Biography, 29, 40 Dictionary of American English on Historical Principles, 55 ff, 102, Dillon, Philip, R., 330 Dilnot, Frank, 45 Dilnot, George, 576 n Diminutives, 519 Dionne, N. E., 637 Disparagement, terms of, Disraeli, Benjamin, 225, 460 Dobbins, T. J., 172 n Dobson, Austin, 408 Dodge, David B., 316, 414 n Dodson, Edwin C., 418 n Doe, Jane, 502 n Domizio, Carlo di, 85 n

Dondore, Dorothy, 137 n Donlevy's Irish Catechism, 161 n Doolittle, James, 551 n Dorf, A. Th., 86 n Dorgan, T. A., 186 n, 560, Dorrance, W. A., 640 n Dothan (Ala.) Eagle, 548 Downing, Mortimer, 190 Doyle, Arthur Conan, 257 Doyle, Jack, 561 n Drachmann, Holger, 601 Drennan, C. M., 378 n Dresen, M. H., 180 n Drinking terms, 149, 243, 568 Drinkwater, John, 271 Dryden, John, 126 Dudek, J. B., 216 n, 295 n, 487, 488, 511, 512, 551 n, 655, 657, 659 Dugué, Oscar, 639 Duke, Alba W., 449 n Dumont-Wilden, M., 548 Dunglison, Robley, 35, ąб n Dunlap, Fayette, 495 n Dunlap, Maurice P., 376 n Dunlap, William, 10 n Dunn, Jacob P., 548 n Dunne, F. P., 96 Durham (N. C.) Sun, 300 Dutch immigrants, 623; language in America, 621 ff; loan-words from, 108 ff, 532, 637; placenames, 532, 547; surnames, 477, 479, 481, 485, 487 n Dutch philologians on American, 87 Dwight, Thomas, 22 Dwight, Timothy, 21, 68, Dykstra, B. D., 623 n Dyson, Verne, 690 n Eames, Hamilton, 262 n, 263 n Earthquake, Billy, 136

East and West, 633 n

East

608

Midland

dialect,

Records, Easthampton 434 II Eastman, George, 172 n Ecclesiastical Review, 589 n Ecclesiastical terms, 140, 150, 248, 273, 279 Ecker-Rácz, L. Lázzló, 496 Eclectic Review, 14, 16 n Eden, Anthony, 183 n Edgerton, William B., 362 n Edilbach, Gerold, 575 Edinburgh Master Printers' Association, 389 Edinburgh Review, 13 n, 14, 15, 16, 16 n, 126 n, 165 Edinburgh Scotsman, б82 n Publisher, Editorand 169 n, 288 n Edmont, E., 89 n Educator-Journal, 418 n Egan, Pierce, 562 n, 575 Eggleston, Edward, 370 n Edward Ehrensperger, C., 282 n Eichenauer, Charles F., 551 n Einarsson, Stefán, 631, Electric Congress, 559 Elementary English Re*view*, 424 n Elementary School Journal, 426 n Eliot, John, 105, 106 Eliot, Thomas Dawes, 202 Elliott, A. Marshall, 53 n. 637, 638 n Elliott, John, 385 Ellis, Alexander J., 320, 333 n, 398, 455 n Ellis, Havelock, 296 n Elwin, A. L., his glossary, 36, 99 Embalmers' Monthly, 287 Emerson, O. F., 53, 367 n, Emerson, R. W., 135, 138, 556 n Emerson College, 401 Encyclopaedia Britan-

nica, 43, 158, 556 n, European Magazine & 559 n, 659 n Engineering News-Record, 289 George England, Α., 366 n, 371 n English, 94 n, 155 n, 202 n, 211 n, 254, 311 n, 355, 390 n, 460 n, 597 n, боб п English as a world language, 590 ff English Association, 329 English Dialect Dictionary, 166 n, 363 English difficulties with American, 256 ff English Journal, 52, 56, 63 n, 66, 169 n, 200 n. 332 n, 423 n, 432 n, 433 n, 447 n, 521 n, 591 n English opposition to Americanisms, 3 ff, 12 ff, 165, 268, 388 place-names, English 535 n, 540 n English Place-Name Society, 527 n English Review, 47 English slang, 567, 568, 574 n, 576 English Studies, 300 n English travelers in America, 23 ff Englishe Studien, 362 n Entzler, Beverly, 525 n Ernst, C. W., 56 n Erskine, John, 322 Ervine, St. John, 251, 269, 270, 348 n, 353, 455 n Esnault, Gaston, 574 n Esperanto, 605, 606, 607 M., Espinosa, Aurelio 89 n, 647, 648, 650 n place-names Essex New England, 129 Estienne, Henri, 572 n Estonia, English in, 590, Etheredge, George, 455 Ethridge, George 460 Étude, 190 n Euphemisms, 251, 127, 284 ff, 316

London Review, 14, 16 n, 23, 224 Evans, Lewis, 4 Evans, Mary S., 371 n Evans, Medford, 362 n Evelyn, John, 431 n Everett, Edward, 21, 67, 80, 135 Everett, William, 107 Everyman, 582 n Ewen, C. L'Estrange, 501, 502 n, 518 n Expletives, 311 ff, 316 Fairfield, Edmund Burke, Fairweather, John, 190 n Far East, English in, 591, 597, 609, 611 Farley, James A., 275 Farm Journal, 193 Farmer, J. S., 36, 99, 101, 157 n, 204, 224, 294 n, 295 n, 314, 539 n, 570 Farrand, M. L., 569 n Farrar, F. W., 426 Faulkner, W. G., 37 Faust, A. B., 480 n, 483 n Fay, E. Stewart, 545 Feather, William, 261 Fechner, Robert, 276 n Federal Reserve Act, 266 Feeger, L. M., 551 n Feipel, Louis, 352 n, 531 Ferguson, Katherine, 550 n Ferguson, Miriam, 284 n Ferrazzano, Carlo, 641 Fessenden, T. G., 431 n Field, Eugene, 260 n Fields, Raymond, 551 n Hebdomadaire, Figaro 149 n Film Fun, 188 n Film records of pronunciation, 321 Final-G Week, 51 Finerty, James J., 577 n Finglish, 676 ff Finland, English in, 594 Finley, John H., 290 Finley, Ruth E., 303 n Finnish given-names, 510; immigrants, 675; lan-

guage in America, 675; surnames, 492 First Catholic Union, 661 Fishberg, Maurice, 497 n Fischer, W., 449 n Fischer. Walther, 86, 641 n Fishbein, Morris, 196 n, 197 n, 307, 443 n, 500 Fisher, Bud, 169 n Fisher, Sydney George, 125 n Fiske, John, 75, 76 Fithian, Philip Vickers. 365 n Fitzgerald, H. A., 486 n Fitzgerald, J. A., 426 n Flaten, Nils, 627, 629, 630 Flemish language, 622 Flesch, H., 501 Fletcher, John, 454 Fleur de Lis, 649 n Flint, James, 301 Flom, George T., 53, 492 n, 627, 628, 629, 630 Flügel, Felix, 86 Flynt, Josiah, 188 Folk etymology, 105 Folk-Say, 588 n Follin, Maynard D., 618 n Foote, William J., 517 n Forbes, John D., 206 n Forbes-Robertson, Johnston, 329 Ford, Michael A., 271 n Foreign observers, 85 ff Foreign Quarterly, 28 Foreign-born in America, Fortier, Edward J., 639 n Forum, 175, 223 n Fosdick, H. E., 30 Foster, J. A. B., 265 n Foster, R. F., 158 Fountain, J. H., 583 n Fowler, H. W., 47 n, 197, 200, 202 n, 203, 204, 210, 263, 282, 342, 394 n, 43 I N Fowler, H. W. and F. G., 33 n, 42, 200, 224, 263, 388, 394 n, 455 n, 459, 460, 470 n Fowler, Kenneth Α., 549 n

Fowler, W. C., 60, 98, 139, 140 Fox, Charles Edwin, 197 n Fox. Charles James, 348 Fox, John W., 358 n France, Anatole, 601 Francis, Alexander, 94 n Francis, J. Dwight, 238 n Franklin, Benjamin, 4n, 7, 11, 112, 117, 120, 124, 125, 126, 131, 335, 340, 380, 381, 383, 397, 398 Fraser, Edward, 574 n Fraser, John Foster, 47, 48 Frazier, W. L., 551 n Freeman, 368 n Freeman, Edward A., 274 Freeman, Merrill P., 530 n Freeman, Pearl, 226 n French-Canadian immigrants, 476 French language, 590, 591, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597 n, 601, 602 n; in America, 63 n, 636 ff; in Canada, loan-599; words from, 108, 151, 214, 382, 384, 395, 412, 572; place-names, 533, 535, 536, 539, 542, 543, 547; slang, 574 n; surnames, 479, 481, 495 French, N. R., 200 n Frey, Carroll H., 569 n Fries, C. C., 201 n Frisbie, W. A., 286 n Frith, Walter, 257 Frost, Robert, 75 Fuller, Torrey, 551 n Fullerton, Hugh, 562 n Funk, W. J., 560 Furdek, 661 Furnivall, F. I., 400 Furst, Hubert, 226 n Fwnetik Orthagrafi, 404

Gable, J. Harris, 586 n
Gaelic, influence of, 161,
451 n; language in
America, 682, 683; surnames, 504 n
Gaelic-speaking immigrants, 682
Gaffney, Wilbur, 461 n

Galsworthy, John, 257, 259, 260 Galt. John, 206 n Gandhi, Mahatma, 593 n Garay, Narcisco, 649 n Garland, Hamlin, 67 n, 151 n Garrick, David, 340 Gaverré, Charles E. A., Geddes, James Jr., 638 n Geeza, Vladimir, 490 n, 511 n, 667 n Geikie, A. S., 371 n Geller, David, 218 n Education General Board, 56, 58 Genthe, Arnold, 555 n Gentleman's Magazine, 14, 18 Geographic Board of Canada, 533 n George I, 343 George, W. L., 255, 288 Georgia, 429 n, 430 n Georgia place-names. 536; speech of, 3, 257, 362, 363 n Gepp, Edward, 129, 366 Gerard, W. R., 106 n German gipsies in Pennsylvania, 696 German given-names, 505; immigrants, 213, 214, 476, 616, 619; language, 590, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597 n, 600, 614; 601, 602 n, in America, 616 ff, 653; loan-words from, 109, 146, 154, 158, 176, 217, 370, 575, 578, 637; placenames, slang, 543; 574 n; surnames, 477, 479, 480, 482 ff German philologians on American, 85 ff German-American Annals, 370 n, 487 n Germany, English in, 500 Gibbons, John, 574 n Gibbs, Henry J., 38 n Giddings, Franklin H., 175 Gideon Society, 196 n Gifford, William, 19, 79 |

Gilbert, W. S., 141 n, 316 Gill, Alexander, 3 Gillette, J. M., 426 n Gillieron, J., 89 n Gipsies, 489, 575 Gipsy language, 696 Given-names, 505 ff Givens, Charles G., 577 n G. K.'s Weekly, 185 n Gladstone, W. E., 183 n. 208, 223 Glasgow (Scotland) Record, 296 n Glass, Montague, 369 n Gleason, James, 263, 560 Glossaries of Americanisms, 34 ff, 97 ff, 262 Goddard, Harold, 254 n Goddard, Henry II., 174 Godwin, Murray, 78 Goethe, J. W., 601 Goffin, R. C., 378 n, 450 n, Goidelic Celtic, 682 Goldberg, Isaac, 179 n Goldberg, Rube, 560 Golding-Bird, Cyril, 226 n Goldsmith, Oliver, 71 Goldstein, Fanny, 499 n Goldstrom, John, 627 n Golf argot, 562 Gollancz, Israel, 34 n Gonzalez, Ambrose E., 113 n, 363 n Goodmane, W. F., 302 Goodrich, Chauncey A., Goodspeed, Edgar J., 42 n Gordon, George, 127 n Gordon, William, 107 Gosse, Edmund, 408 Gould, E. S., 27 n, 121, 164, 165, 203, 204, 280, 342, 387 Government Printing Office, 393, 400 Gower, John, 128 Grafton, Samuel, 551 n Graham, William, 32 Grammar, vulgar American, 416 ff Grammar-books, 319 Grandgent, C. H., 32 n, 53, 58 n, 161, 336, 337, 350 n, 366, 400

Grand Rapids (Mich.) Evening Express, 218 n Graves, Robert, 314 Greek immigrants, 688: language, 79; in America, 685 ff; loan-words from, 382, 411, 412; surnames, 485 Greeley, Horace, 387, 412 Green, B. W., 365 n, 503 n Greene, Robert, 454 Greenough, J. B., 309 n Greet, W. C., 54, 320 n, 321, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365 n Gregg, Darrell L., 321 n Greig, J. Y. T., 43, 46 n, 66 n, 94 n, 186 n, 231, 328, 330, 453 n, 610 Grieg, Edvard, 478 Grigaitis, Pius, 673 n Grigson, Geoffrey, 43 Grimm, Jakob, 599 Griswold, Rufus Wilmot, Grose, Francis, 562 n, 567, Gross, Milt, 369 n, 560 Groton School, 268, 279, 520 n Grover, John S., 196 n Grumbine, Lec L., 370 n Gumbo, French, 639 Guiler, W. S., 424 n Gullah dialect, 113 Gustafson, Walter, 627 n

Hackett, Roger C., 265 n Hagan, J. Foster, 286 Haines, Henry H., 525 n Haines, Mahlon N., 551 n Hairenik, 497 n, 693 Hakluyt's Voyages, 112 Haldeman, S. S., 399, 483 n, 617 n Hale, Edward E., 153 n, 532 n Hale, Sarah Josepha, 303 Haliburton, Thomas C., 71, 140, 301, 429 n Hall, Basil, 25, 27 Hall, B. H., 569 n 61. Fitzedward, Hall. 166, 168 n, 472 Hall, Henry, 230

Hall, Joseph, 281 Hall, Julian, 548 n, 551 n Hall, Prescott E., 124, 154 n Halliwell-Phillips, J. O., 36, 128 Halpine, Charles G., 71 Hamilton, Alexander. 119, 130, 131 n Hamilton, Dr. Alexander, 274, 313 Hamilton, Marian, 588 n Hamilton, Thomas, 18, 23, 24, 27 Hammond, J. L., 30 Hampden, Walter, 331 Handbook of Simplified Spelling, 401 Hanemann, H. W., 306 n, Hanes, Mrs. F. M., 550 n Haney, John L., 325 n Hanley, Miles L., 58, 336 n, 379 n, 500 n, 552 n Hansen, Marcus L., 58 n Hanson, Charles L., 272 n Hanson, Frank, 623 n Hanson, Harry, 260 Hapgood, Norman, 276 n Harap, Henry, 423 n, 432 n, 433 n, 447 n Harbaugh, Heinrich, 617, 618 Harberton, Viscount, 407 n Harding, Warren Gamaliel, 203 Hardy, Thomas, 408 Harper, Francis, 364 n Harper, Robert S., 584 n Harper, W. R., 53 n Harper's Magazine, 62, 76 n, 77 n, 193, 316 n, бо2 n Harris, Rachel S., 366 n Hart, Horace, 389, 390 n Hart, James D., 567 n Harte, Bret, 96, 167, 168, Hartford Courant, 525 n Hartford Town Votes, 379 Hartford Wits, 71 H., Hartogensis,

218 n

Harvard University, 03 n. 125, 281 n, 387, 401 Harvey, B. T., 588 n Haugen, Einas, 89 n Hausen, T. Josephine, 370 n Hawaii, English in, 604 Hawaiian language, 693 ff Hawkins, Lewis, 305 n Hawthorne, Nathaniel. 43, 125, 134, 287 n Hay, John, 72 Hayden, Marie Gladys, 187 n Hays, Mrs. В. K., 462 n Hays, H. M., 619 Hazlitt, William, 558 Hazard, Ebenezer, 10 n Headline vocabulary, 181 ff, 199 Healey, Ellis, 230 n Healy, Jack, 588 n Hearn, Lafcadio, 639 Hearst, William R., 184 Hebrew language, loan-words from, 189 Heck, Henry J., 237 n, 464 n, 537 n, 562 n Hecker, E. A., 265 Heckewelder, John Gottlieb Ernestus, 110 Heckstall-Smith, Brooke, 226 n Heil, J. A., 366 n Heimskringla, 633 Hellyer, C. R., 577 n Hemingway, Ernest, 43 Hempl, George, 53, 350 n Hench, Atcheson 180 n, 181 n, 482 n Henderson, Leon, 194 Henley, W. E., 570 Henry, John, 131 n Herold, A. L., 20 n Herrick, Robert, 206 n Herrig, Ludwig, 86 Herry, Edward, 587 n Herschel, William, 223 Herzberg, Max J., 175 n Heshin, H., 216 n Hess, Charles E., 554 Hess, Irving C., 551 n Hewart, Lord, 294 n Hewlett, Maurice, 408 Heyne, Paul, 86

Hicklin, Maurice, 183 n, Hiemenga, John J., 485 n, 624 n Higginson, T. W., 366 Hildreth, Richard, 124 n Hill, A. A., 365 n Hills, E. C., 176, 178 n, 318 n, 179 n, 185 n, 446, 450 n, 464, 445, 636 Hillyard, Anna Branson, Hindi language, 591, 593 Hindustani language, 597 Hinke, W. J., 482 n Hinton, Eugene M., 424 n Hirsch, Heinrich Reinhold, 620 n *Hispania*, 592 n, 647 n Hitti, Philip K., 496, 684 Hoar, G. F., 366 Hoboes' argot, 581 ff Hodgin, Cyrus W., 530 n Hoffman, Josiah O., 10 n Hogan, Julia, 229 Hogue, Richard W., 190 n Holbrook, Stewart H., 582 n, 587 n Holladay, Lois, 606 n Holland, J. G., 429 n Holland, Lady, 120 n Hollister, Howard K., 368 n Hollywood, 39, 181, 195 n, 210 n, 267, 301, 305, 331, 567, 650, 658 n Holmes, Burton, 171 n Holmes, O. W., 71, 336, Holmes, Urban T., 524 Holter, Thorvald 215 n Holy Name Society, 313 Holzinges, John J., 369 n Honorifics, 124, 266 n, 268, 271 ff, 289 Hood, Thomas, 71 Hoops, Johannes, 54, 86 Hoover, Herbert C., 480 Hoover, Theodore J., 291 n Hopkins, Harry L., 276 n Hopwood, David, 378 n Theodore, Hornberger, 237 n

Horne, A. R., 617 n Hornung, E. W., 256 Horwill, H. W., 181, 226, 249, 255, 274 n, 546 Hospital terms, 240 Hotten, J. C., 571 Household terms, 233 ff Household Words, 575 n Housman, Laurence, 455 n Howard, Ebenezer, 546 Howard, Leon, 12 n, 16 n, Howe, E. W., 337 Howe, Will D., 71 Howell, Clark, 276 n Howells, W. D., 75, 76, 143 n, 168, 327 Hrdlička, Aleš, 488 n Hsiao, Y. E., 691 n Hu Shih, 688 Hughes, Charles Evans, Hughes, Rupert, 77 Hugo, Victor, 556 n Hujer, Oldrich, 659 n Hulbert, J. R., 57 Hume, David, 465 Hummel, Arthur W., 688 Humphreys, David, 35, 339 n, 432 n, 472, 567 Hungarian immigrants, 680; language, 602 n; in America, 653, 680 ff; surnames, 477, 495, 496 Records, Huntington 434 II Hutchinson, A. S. M., 455 D Hurwitz, Maximilian. 297 n Hutchinson, Thomas, 122 Huxley, T. H., 273, 470 Hyder, Clyde K., 551 n Hygeia, 287 n, 307 n, 588 n Hyman, Carolina Penna, Hyne, C. J. Cutcliffe, 256 Icelandic immigrants, 633; language in America, 631 ff Ichikawa, Sanki, 87, 88, 591, 692 n Idaho, given-names in,

522

Idiom Neutral, 605 Illinois place-names, 536, 538, 541; speech of, 357 Immigration Acts of 1921 and 1924, 212 Immigration to the United States, 212 ff Imperial Dictionary, 43 I II Improved Order of Red Men, 106 n Independent, 258 n Index Expurgatorius, W. C. Bryant's, 120, 184, 280; E. S. Gould's, 165; of Hollywood, 305 India, English in, 597 Indian languages, loanwords from, 104 ff, 110, 150, 189, 207, 221, 622; given-names, 513; placenames, 526, 527, 529, 531, 532, 539, 540, 541, 542 Indiana Magazine of History, 553 n Indiana, speech of, 357, Indianapolis News, 548 n Industrial Worker, 175 Industrialisti, 493 n, 510 n Infinitive, split, 203 Infixes, 315 Inge, W. R., 269 n, 312, Ingersoll, C. J., 21 Ingleman, Anna A., 350 n Innes, Guy, 378 n Intensives, 162, 210 Interlingua, 605, 606 International Conference on English, 32 ff International Convention for the Amendment of English Orthography, 399 International Correspondence Schools, 321 n International Phonetic Alphabet, 320 International Telecommunication Convention, 207 International Y.M.C.A. College, 401 Intonation, 322

given-names in, 521; place-names, 537, 538, 541 Iret, 605 n Irish English, 72, 345, 436 Irish given-names, 505, 513, 518; immigrants, 476; influence on American, 154, 160, 200 n, 252, 346, 451 n; surnames, 477, 479, 504 Irish Statesman, 78 Irish World, 412 n Irving, Washington, 16, 21, 67, 71, 110, 134, 135, 138, 149, 386, 526, 532 Irwin, Godfrey, 576 n, 581 n Isenberg, Meyer, 125 n Istochin, James R., 660 Italian given-names, 509; immigrants, 213, 214, 476, 646; language, 318, 590, 591, 593; in America, 640 ff; loan-words from, 222, 575; surnames, 493 ff Ives, George B., 201 n, 352 n, 393 n Ivey, Louise S., 660 n I.W.W., 175, 190, 581 Jackson, Andrew, 132, Jackson, Herbert Spencer, 177 Jackson, Louis E., 577 n Jacobs, Joseph, 502 n Jacobson, Eli B., 88n, 594 n Jacobson, J. N., 83 James, A. Lloyd, 34 n, James, Earle K., 591 n James, Henry, 43, 67, 138, 204, 349 Japan, American in, 87; English in, 590, 597, 598 Japanese, literature on Americanisms in, 87 Japanese immigrants, 692; language, 591, 593; in America, 691 ff; loanwords from, 188, 373 Jednota, 661 Jefferson, Thomas, 11, 14,

17, 20, 116, 118, 119, 120, 125 n, 130, 131, 132, 147, 224, 381, 413 Jeffrey, Francis, 126 n Jenifer, Daniel of St. Thomas, 518 Jerome, Jerome K., 564, 575 II Jerrold, Douglas, 71 Jersey Dutch, 622 Jespersen, Otto, 87, 93, 94 n, 169 n, 202 n, 446 n, 455 n, 461 n, 563, 593 n, 597 n, 599, 600, 601, 602, 605, 606 n, 613 n Jewish Daily Forward, 633 Encyclopedia, Jewish 507 n Jewish given-names, 506 ff; immigrants, 213, 214, 295, 368, 476, 633 ff, 675; surnames, 477, 487 n, 497 ff Jews in New York, 368 Joesten, Joachin, 296 n Johns Hopkins Alumni Magazine, 531 n John O'London's Weekly, 573 W., Johnson, Gerald 551 N Johnson, Guy B., 363 n Johnson, Harriet Lane, 479 Johnson, H. P., 362 n Johnson, Hugh S., 567 Johnson, James Weldon, 296 n, 300, 363 n Johnson, Magnus, 83 Johnson, Philander, 551 n Johnson, R. U., 32 n, 33 n, 34 n, 63 n Johnson, Samuel, 4, 9 n, 52, 93, 126, 137, 198 n, 350, 380, 381, 383, 384, 43 I II Johnson, Samuel, Jr., 385 Johnson, Spud, 550 William E. Johnson, (Pussyfoot), 255 Johnston, Harry, 171 n Toke-towns, 553 Jones, Alice M., 426 n Jones, Daniel, 202 n, 319 n, 323, 327, 329, 334 TL

Jones, Grover, 584 n Jones, Hugh, 49 Jones, J. J., 407 n Jones, Lloyd, 34 n Jonson, Ben, 97 n, 428 n, Jopson, N. B., 659 n Jordan, David Starr, 503 Jornal Portugues, 405 n. 509 n, 652 Journal of American Folk-Lore, 106 n Journal of American Insurance, 579 n Journal of Applied Psychology, 426 n Journal of Engineering Education, 291 n Journal of English and Germanic Philology, Journal of the American Medical Association, 196, 307, 394 n, 411, 500, 562 n Joyce, P. W., 160, 200 n, 249 n, 436, 451 n Judd, Charles H., 421 n Judd, Henry P., 373 n, 695 n Juiliter, Mrs. Pieter, 544 n Júlíus, N. Kristján, 633 Junco, Edith del, 593 n Kamitsch, G., 86

Kane, Elisha, K., 363 n, 576, 578, 579 K'ang Yu-wei, 690 place-Kansas, 428 n; names in, 537, 541 Kansas City, 418 Kansas Magazine, 304 n Kaplan, Albert, 217 n Karpf, Fritz, 86, 322 n Kartzke, Georg, 86 H. I., 497 n, Katibah, 513 n, 684 Katzenelenbogen, Uriah, 672 n Kay, Leon L., 221 n Keating, Isabelle, 316 n Mary Paxton, Keeley, 573 n Kellman, Frank A., 206 n Kelly, Loudon, 450 n Kelly, Obediah, 206

Kelly, Paul, 493 Kelly, R. S., 162 n Kelty, Paul R., 551 n Kemeny, George, 682 n Kendall, Amos, 207 Kennedy, A. G., 54, 333 n, 360, 363 n, 365 n, 366 n, 370 n. 371 n, 378 n, 398 n, 502 n, 405 II, 527 n, 571 n, 612 Kennedy, John P., 135 Kennedy, W. S., 73 n Kennell, Margaret, 514 n Kent, Hugh, 586 n Kent, James, 134 Kentucky, given-names in, 523; place-names, 535, 536, 539, 541; speech of, 257, 360, 361 n Kenyon, John S., 58 n, 320 n, 326 n, 327, 334 n, 349, 350 n, 540 n Ker, Edmund T., 527 n Ker, W. P., 308 Kidds, H. Kendall, 236 n Kiernan, James M. 186 n Killheffer, Marie, 366 n Killian, J. R., Jr., 179 n Kimber, Edward, 274 King, Don, 180 n King, Grace Elizabeth, 639 King, Lincoln, 460 n Kingsley, Walter J., 189, 190 Kipling, Rudyard, 256, 544, 560 n Kirkconnell, Walter, 601, Kirkconnell, Watson, 663 n Kirkpatrick, Helen P., 663 n Kittredge, George L., 53 n, 309 n Klaeber, Fr., 555 n Laffoon, Ruby, 273 n, 518 Klein, Nicholas, 581 n Lake, Charles Stewart, Kleiser, Grenville, 120 n Klimowicz, Paul, 675 n Lamb, Charles, 71 Klondike gold-rush, 221 Lambert, Claude, 574 n Knapp, Samuel Lorenzo, Lambert, M. B., 544 n, 134, 164 n Lamont, T. W., 34 n Knickerbocker Magazine, 156, 432 n Landor, W. S., 16, 390

Knight, 434 n Knight's Journal, Mme., 428 n Knights of Columbus, 297 Knights Templar, 273 Knortz, Karl, 86 Know Nothing movement, 476 n Knox, Adrian, 396 Knox, Alfred, 32 Kober, Arthur, 369 n Koenig, Walter, Jr., 200 n Köhler, Friedrich, 86 Kohler of Kohler, 504 Kökeritz, Helge, 333 n Koo, Vi-Kyuin Wellington, 513 Kormor, Hugo, 682 n Kormos, Hugo, 496 n Kostalek, J. A., 551 n Krapp, George Philip, 8 n, 34 n, 43, 55 n, 58 n, 71, 109, 110 n, 116 n, 119 n, 121, 129, 187 n, 211, 317, 323, 332, 333, 334, 335 n, 336, 338, 340, 344, 345, 350, 356, 358 n, 362, 363, 365, 398 n, 406, 428 n, 432 n, 444 n, 453, 455, 553, 562, 567, 568, 608 n, 612 Kretchman, H. F., 541 n Krieger, W. M., 298 n Kristensen, Evald, 86 n Kron, R., 85 Krug, Elsie Clark, 691 n Krumpelmann, John T., 202 n Kuethe, J. Louis, 569 n Kuhns, L. Oscar, 483 n Kuiper, B. K., 485 n, 624 n Kurath, Hans, 55, 58, 356, 356 n Lacher, J. H. A., 296 n, 480 n, 494 n

551 n

616 n

Sarah Kemple, | Landsmaal, Norwegian, 89 n Lane, George S., 639, 640 Lane, James W., 491 n Langdon, William M., Langenfelt, Gösta, 333 n Langereis, H. H. D., 624 n Language, 52, 159 n, 316 n, 636 n, 417 n, 593 n, 639 n, 647 n Monographs, Language 620 п Lanigan, George T., 425 Lanusse, Armand, 640 Larabee, H. A., 84 Lardner, Ring, 352 n, 424, 425, 429 n, 430 n, 431 n, 432 n, 433 n, 434 n, 436 n, 443 n, 445, 447, 455, 457, 458, 460, 465, 468, 469, 560 Larousse's Grand Dictionnaire Universal, 548 Larsen, Thorleif, 334 n, 339, 343, 347 Latham, H. S., 392 n Latil, Alexandre, 639 Latin, words from, 412, 413 Latin-America, English 591, 595 ff, 611; Spanish and Portuguese in, 89, 647, 649 ff Law terms, 240, 278 Lawrence, F. W., 530 n Laws to establish the American language, 81 ff Learned, M. D., 617 n Lederer, L. G., 198 n Lee, F. B., 370 n Lce, Gretchen, 585 Leech, Esther Gladys, 526 n Leechman, Douglas, 150 n Legal terms, 246 Lehman, Herbert H., 500 n Leland, C. G. (Hans Breitmann), 72, 224, 571, 696 n Lemaître, Charles, 639 LeMesurier, H. G., 263, Lemoine, Henry, 18

L'Enfant, Pierre-Charles, 546 Leonard, John M., 83 Leonard, S. A., 200 n, 203, 428 n. 430 n, 431 n, 432 n, 433 n, 434 n, 453 n Leplae, Hortense, 624 n Lepouzé, Constant, 630 Levering, Joshua, 480 Lewis, J. H., 198 n Lewis, R. G., 211 n Lewis, Sinclair, 67, 263, 285, 286, 546, 575 n Lewis, Wyndham, 46, 264, 329 n, 47, 330, 407 II Liang Chi-chao, 600 Liberty, 196 n, 561 n Libraries in America, 18 Lienan, F. W., 195 n Life and Letters, 48, 329 n Lighthall, W. D., 371 n Lilien, Ernest, 673, 675 n Lim-boon Keng, 597 Lin Yutang, 691 n Lincoln, Abraham, 157 n, 480 Lincoln's Gettysburg Address in Anglic, 405; in Panamane, 605 n Lindbergh, Charles A., Lindsay, Charles, 587 n Lindsay, Dorothy N., 580 n Lindsay, Vachel, 75, 77 Linguistic Atlas of the United States, 55 ff, 89, 321, 356 Linguistic Society of America, 58 Lins, James C., 617 n Linthicum, Richard, 95 n Lippincott's Magazine, 483 n, 544 n *Listener*, 48 n, 232 n Literary Digest, 44 n, 189 n, 190 n, 401, 560, 562 N Literary Digest International Book Review, 66 n, 611 n, 614 n Literary Magazine and British Review, 16 n Lithuanian

669; language in Amer- | London Review, 470 ica, 660 ff Little English, 605 n Little Review, 407 n Liu Fu, 691 Living Age, 210 n, 400, 558 n Livingston, Arthur, 41, 544 n, 640, 641, 643, 644 n, 645 n, 646 Lloyd-George, David, 183 n, 597 Loane, George G., 210 n Locke, D. R. (Petroleum V. Nasby), 71, 224 Locke, William J., 227 Lodge, H. C., 20 n, 20, 21, 131, 164 n, 203 Logan, George, 49 Lögberg, 633 Lomax, John A., 560 n Lomoe, Wallace, 492 n, багп London Association of Correctors of the Press, 389 London Athenaum, 258, 388 London Daily Chronicle, 171 n London Daily Express, 31, 39, 230 n, 310 London Daily Herald, 40 London Daily Mail, 37, 234 n, 348 n London Daily News, 38, 229 n, 572 n, 576 n London Daily Telegraph, 206 n, 207 n, 174 n, 226 n, 229, 293 London Evening Standard, 47, 141 n, 269 n, 353 n London Jewish Daily Post, 501 n London Mercury, 311 n London Morning Post, 43, 186 n, 222 n, 572 n London Nation, 41, 189, 390 n, 476 n, 595 n London New Statesman, 33, 44, 229 II London, New Witness, 43 London News Chronicle, 39, 229 n immigrants, | London Observer, 251 n

London Saturday view, 66 n, 225, 253 n, 269, 388 London Sketch, 240 London Spectator, 34, 230, 255 n, 269, 354n, 571, 595 n, 608 London Sunday Chroni*cle*, 315 n London Sunday Graphic, 48 n London Sunday Times, 190 n, 504 n London Times, 29, 33, 43, 44, 94 n, 194 n, 200, 210 n, 229 n, 230 n, 249, 260, 262 n, 282, 293, 329, 384 n, 388, 389, 390 n, 409 n, 413, 527 n, 556, 595 n Long, Frank D., 611 n Long, Percy W., 53, 55 n, 200 n Long, Theodore, 516 n Longfellow, H. W., 135, 138 Longstreet, Augustus B., 71, 72 Longstreet, James, 480 Lontos, Sotirios S., 485, 512, 685, 686, 687 n Loomis, C. P., 588 n Loos, Anita, 500 n Lopez, Vincent, 190 López-Penha, A. Z., 650 Lopushansky, Joseph and Michael, 587 n Lord's Prayer, 404 Lorimer, George Horace, 181 Los Angeles Examiner, 457 n Los Angeles Times, 304 Lossing, B. J., 131 Lostutter, Melvin, 551 n Louisiana, 434 n; French of, 113, 214 n, 638 ff; place-names in 533, 536, 537, 541, 542; speech of, 638, 639; surnames in, 487 n Louisiana Purchase, 111, Louisiana State University Bulletin, 362 n

Louisiana State Univer-Studies, 362 n, 638 n Herald-Post, Louisville 206 n Louisville Times, 561 n Louis-Jaray, Gabriel, 548 Louisbury, T. R., 61 ff, 69, 93 n, 101, 387, 400, 438, 452, 454, 608 n Lovell, Charles J., 216n, 495 n, 509 n Low, Sidney, 36, 37 Lowell, Amy, 63, 201 n, 430 n Lowell, J. R., 53 n, 71, 75, 119, 127, 155, 365, 366 n, 424, 429 n, 430 n, 431 n, 432 n, 435 n Lower, J. L., 32 n, 34 n Lowie, Robert H., 171 n Loyalists, American, 130, 141 n Lubbock, John, 399 Lüchow, August, 482 n Lüdeke, H., 87 Ludlow, Louis, 219 n Lund, Lenora, 525 n Lussan, A., 639 Luther, Martin, 575 Lutoslawski, Wincenty, 87 Lyell, Charles, 118 Lyell, Thomas R. G., 570 Lyman, Johnny, 560 Lynch, Charles, 141 n Lynchburg (Va.) News, 141 n Lynching, 141 n Lynd, Robert, 558 Maar, Charles, 530 n

Maar, Charles, 530 n Macaulay, Rose, 257 Macaulay, T. B., 120 n, 165, 223, 558 Macaulay, T. C., 606 n MacChesney, Nathan William, 286 Macdonald, J. A., 206 n, 511 n Macleish, Archibald, 43 Macmillan Company, 392 Macready, George, 525 n Macy, Randolph B., 303 Madariaga, Salvador de, 602

Madden, Henry, 522 n Madden, Henry Miller, 496 n Madison, James, 11 n Magyar Herald, 496 n Mahoney, Jeremiah T., 276 n place-Maine, 432 n; names in, 536, 539; speech of, 357 Malone, Kemp, 33, 52 n, 54, 322 n, 386 n, 443 n Mally, J. W., 669 n Manchester Guardian, 30, 228 n, 229 n, 586 n Guardian Manchester Weekly, 78, 571 n Sunday Manchester Chronicle, 38 Manchon, J., 555 n Manly, J. M., 32 n, 53, 56 Manship, Charles 551 n March, F. A., 53 n, 399, March, James E., 493 Marcy, William L., 79 Marines, U.S., 574 Marlowe, Christopher, 454 Marlowe, Julia, 331 Marquardt, Frederic S., 572 n Marquis, Don, 63 Marriott, John A. R., Marryat, Frederick, 25, 26, 248 n, 302, 330 n, 431 n, 481 Marsh, Edward, 258 Marsh, G. P., 60, 201, 324, Marshall, Archibald, 227, 311, 351 Marshall, John, 14, 15, 118, 119, 134 Marston, John, 558 Martin, J. V., 332 Maria Ewing, Martin, 530 n Martland, Harrison S., 562 n Marx, Julius H., 585 Maryland Archives, 115 Maryland, given-names in, 522; place-names.

535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542; speech of, 357; surnames, 483 n Masefield, John, 227 Mason, C. P., 495 n Mason, John M., 49 428 n; Massachusetts, place-names in, 541; speech of, 366 Massachusetts Tech, 401 Master Printers' and Allied Trades' Association of London, 389 Masters, Edgar Lec, 63, 75, 157 n, 201 n Mather, Cotton, 112, 126 Mather, George H., 238 n Mather, Increase, 115 Mathews, M. M., 5 n, 8 n, 9n, 35n, 57, 118n, 339 n, 354 n, 364 n, 568 n Matthews, Albert, 109 Matthews, Brander, 63 n, 65, 66, 67, 169 n, 173, 174, 185 n, 388, 410, 558, 565, 566, 611 Matthews, Cornelius, 156 Matthison, Edith Wynne, Matthison, Harriet E., 551 n Maugham, W. Somerset, 43 I N Maurer, David W., 577 n, 579 n, 584 n, 587 n Maurois André, 547 Mausser, O., 574 n Maverick, George M., Maverick, Maury, 116n. 121 n, 551 n Mawer, Allen, 527 n Maxfield, E. K., 369, 432 n, 450 n McAndrew, William, 272 McArthur, Lewis 151 n, 526, 534 n McCartney, Eugene S., 574 n McClintock, Theodore, 155 D McClure's Magazine, McCormick, Washington Jay, 81

McCullagh, Joseph S., 218 n McDermott, John Francis, 495 McDevitt, William, 404 McDowell, Tremaine, 72 n, 363 n McIver, M. E., 290 n McKinsey, Folger, 551 n McKinstry, H. E., 591 n, 650 n McKnight, George H., 117 n, 126 n, 127 n, 167, 309 n, 312, 379 n, 387 n, 398 n, 455 n, 556, 563, 564 n, 569 n, 608 n McLaughlin, Henry A., McLaughlin, W. A., 295 n McLay, W. S. W., 371 n McLellan, Howard, 577 n McMasters, J. B., 19 McPhce, M. C., 569 n McTcc, A. R., 588 n McWilliams, Carey, 135 n Mead, Leon, 173 n Meanings, changed, 121 ff, 144 Mearns, Hugh, 472 n Measures, names of, 255 Meats, names of cuts of, 236 n Medary, Samuel, 205 Medical Press. 40 n Medical terms, 411 Meekins, Lynn W., 38 n Meeks, J. L., 551 n Meine, F. J., 137 n Melbourne Herald, 378 n Mellen, Ida M., 546 n, 589 n Mellis, John C., 226 n Mellon, Andrew W., 80 William Meloney, Brown, 116 n Melville, A. H., 557 n Melville, Herman, 43, 54 n, 261 n Mencken; H. L., 32, 450 n, 505 n, 602 n, 613, 614 Menner, R. J., 322, 332 n, 349, 367 n, 416 n, 421, 423, 428 n, 429 n, 430 n, 433 n, 43 I II, 432 D,

434 n, 435 n, 440, 441, 442, 446, 453 Mercier, Alfred, 620 Meredith, Mamie, 137 n, 178 n. 272 n, 303 n, 505 n, 531 n, 537 n Merry, P. B., 208 n Merryweather, L. W., 313, 581 n Mesick, J. L., 20 n Methodists, 309, Metoula-Sprachführer, 85 Mexicans, 212 n Mexican War, 534 Mexico, Spanish in, 650, Meyer, A. W., 159 Meyer, Herman H. B., 266 n Meysenberg, Hermann U., 86 Michigan place-names, 533, 536, 538, 542 Middle English, 345, 427, 454, 457 n, 465, 469; pronunciation, 397 Migliaacio, Edoardo (Farfariello), 641 Milburn, George, 188, 584 n, 588 n Miller, Charles, 587 n Miller, Daniel, 617 n Miller, Edith, 419 n Miller, Edmund E., 42 n Miller, Edwin L., 211 n Miller, Jessie I., 550 n Milne, A. A., 455 n Millner, Isaac A., 633 n Milton, John, 117, 126, 128, 436, 459, 558 Mindi language, 622 Minneapolis Daily News, Minneapolis Real Estate Board, 285 Minneapolis Star, 162 n Minneapolis, surnames in, 477, 491 place-names, Minnesota 536, 538, 543 Mississippi place-names, 536, 537 n; speech of, 257 Missouri, 428 n; placenames in, 526, 533, 541,

542; speech of, 357, 360 n Missouri Alumnus, 370 n Missouri Historical Review, 526 n Mitchel, John Purroy, 29 I Mitchell, Anna C., 190 n Mitchell, E. P., 185 Mitchell, Samuel L., 10 n Mitteilungen der Akademie zur wissenschaftlichenErforschung, 483 n Mizner, Wilson, 560 Modern Language Association, 52 n, 55 n, 58, 431 n, 638 n Modern Language Notes, 52, 172 n, 180 n, 638 n Modern Philology, 100 n, 172 n, 358 n Modjeska, Helena, 489 Modjeski, Ralph, 489 Moen, N. T., 83 Moffat, Donald, 525 n Moffett, H. Y., 200 n, 203 Moffett, W. A., 196 n Molière, J. B., 572 n Mondell, Frank W., 474 Money, names of, 116 Monkhouse, Allan, 571 Monroe, B. S., 366 Monroe (Mich.) Evening News, 304 n Montaigne, Michel de, 96, 572 II place-names, Montana 535, 536 Charles, Montesquieu, Montgomery (Ala.) Advertiser, 300 Monthly Magazine and American Review, 11 Monthly Mirror, 15 Monthly Review, 14, 16 n Montreal Petit Journal, 533 n Moon, G. Washington, 27 n, 166 Moore, Francis, 3 Moore, H. E., 47 Moore, John M., 281 n Moore, Thomas, 19 Morávek, S., 661

Moravesky, E., 663 n More, Paul Elmer, 63 n More, Thomas, 465 Harry Morehouse, Gwynn, 472 n Morfill, W. R., 138 Morgan, B. Q., 199 n Mori, Masatoshi Gensen, 692 n Morison, S. E., 278 n, 281 n, 481 n Christopher, Morley, 391 n 589 n; Mormons, 140, their names, 516 Morris, Gouverneur, 116, Morrison, Estelle Rees, 449 n Morrison, Hugh, 494 n, 509 n, 649 n Morse, John T., 125 n Morse, Marion L., 197 n Morse, W. R., 569 n Mortimer, Raymond, 41 Morton, James F., 460 n Morton, John, 479 Moscow (Idaho) Star-Mirror, 549 Motherwell, Hiram. 586 n Moton, R. R., 300 Movie argot, 585; influence of, 37 ff, 221, 225, 233 Muir, P. H., 238 n Muirhead's London, 86 Mulcaster, Richard, 590, 614 Mullen, Kate, 370 n Müller, F. Max, 364 n, 426, 593 n Müller, Leo, 169 n Munroe, Helen C., 371 n Murfree, Mary (Charles Egbert Craddock), 358 n Murray, Gilbert, 408 Murray, James A. H., 389, 399 Murray, Lindley, 93 n, 380 Murry, Middleton, J. 42 n, 455 n Musical terms, 250 Musser, Benjamin, 589 n | Nelson, V. F., 579 n

Mutschmann, Heinrich, Nesom, W. E., 449 n 86, 87 Myers, Walter E., 173 n Nadanyi, Paul, 682 n Národné Noviny, 661 Nasal twang, 323, 330 Nashe, Thomas, 117 Nathan, Matthew, 277 n National Advisory Council on Radio in Education, 307 National Association of Real Estate Boards, 285, Broadcasting National Company, 332 National Council Teachers of English, 430 n, 51, 66, 428 n, 453 n, 431 n, 433 n, 455 n National Education Association, 400, 403 National Fertilizer Association, 292 National Geographic Magazine, 530 n National Institute of Arts and Letters, 475 National Selected Morticians, 287 National Slovak Society, Natural objects, names of, 114, 123, 246 Nautical terms, 116 Nautilus, 196 n Navas, J., 321 n Neal, John, 21, 429 n, 567 n Nebraska place-names, 537, 536, 538, 541; speech of, 461 Negative, double, 468 ff Nègre, 639 Negro American, 71, 212, 271, 316, 429 n, 431 n, 461; French, 639; givennames, 523 ff; surnames, 478 Negro languages, loanwords from, 112, 189 Neher, H. L., 426 n Nelson, Herbert U., 286

Nevins, Allan, 20, 26 n, 275 n, 302 New England, speech of, 58, 71, 124, 132, 335, 336, 357 ff, 365, 366, 428 n, 431 n, 434 n New England Magazine, 577 n New England Palladium, New Hampshire placenames, 538; speech of, 357 New Hampshire Spy, 569 n New Haven Records, 434 D New International Encyclopedia, 90, 196 n, 279 New Jersey Archives, 120 New Jersey, Dutch in, 622; place-names, 533; speech of, 257, 368, 360 New Life, 490 n, 511 n, 667 n New London Monthly Magazine, 68 New Masses, 368 New Mexico Historical Review, 151 n, 153 n, 371 n New Mexico placenames, 537, 541, 542, New Orleans, speech of, 409; surnames in, 477 NewRepublic, 95n, 257 n, 286, 368 New York, 428 n; placenames in, 530, 532, 535, 537, 539, 540; speech of, 257; surnames, 487 n New York Age, 296 n New York American, 206 n, 305, 410, 450 n, 588 n New York City, surnames in, 477, 478 New York Evening Post, 42 n, 75 n, 190, 217 n, 305, 342 n, 387

New York Evening Sun, New York Galaxy, 165 n. 199 n York Graphic, New 560 n New York Herald, 472 New York Herald Tribune, 180 n, 186 n, 260, 305, 331 n, 517 n New York *Mirror*, 561 n New York Nation, 190 n, 203, 259 n, 322 n, 364, 461 n, 515 n New York News, 415 n New York Staats-Zeitung, 620 New York Sun, 184, 185, 190 n, 305, 404, 473, 587 n, 638 n New York Telegraph, 305 New York Times, 65, 178 n, 196, 271 n, 297, 305, 307, 311, 299, 325 n, 413, 421 n, 426 n, 471 n, 478 n, 500 n, 586 n, 589 n, 599 n New York Tribune, 306, 387 New York World, 189 n, 260 n, 315, 370 n, 650 n New York World-Telegram, 196 n, 208 n, 305 New Yorker. 186 n. 220 n, 200 n, 292 II, 305 n, 369 n, 425 n, 457 n Newbolt, Henry, 32 n, Newell, R. H., (Orpheus C. Kerr), 531 Claude M., Newlin, 365 n, 370 n Newman, John, 472 News of the World, 226 n, 260 n, 310 Newspaper style, 137, 211; terms, 181 ff, 199 Newton, Simon, 515 n, 519 Niagara Falls, 136, 527, Nice. Margaret Morse, 426 n Nichols, E. J., 588 n

Nichols, Thomas L., 137 Nicholson, George A., 178 n Nicholson, Meredith, 472 Nicknames, 519 n, 552 Nieland, Dirk, 623, 624 n Niles' Register, 148, 226 Nippon Romazikwai, 602 Nisonen, Professor, 676 Nock, S. A., 86 Nöcl-Armfield, H. T., 366 Non-English languages in the United States, 616 ff Norman Conquest, 607 Norris, Charles G., 407 n Norris, George W., 210 n NorthAmerican view, 17, 21, 23, 67, 68, 75, 80, 119, 269 n, 337 n North Carolina, givennames in, 524; placenames, 536, 537, 538, 541; speech of, 257, 462 North Dakota placenames, 537 Northrup, C. S., 53, 588 n Charles Led-Norton, yard, 147 Norwalk records, 121 Norway, English in, 594 Norwegian immigrants, 476, 628; language in America, 627 ff; surnames, 492 Norwich (Eng.) East-News, Evening 229 n Queries, Notesand 180 n, 391, 692 n Nouns, 459, 461 ff, 566, 603 Novial, 605, 606 Novoye Russkaye Slovo, 663 n Theodore W., Noyes, 551 n Nugent, William Henry, 149 n, 562 n Nyland, W. A., 624 n Oberndorf, C. P., 497 n

O'Brien, Seumas, 407 n

O'Connor, Johnny, 560 O'Day, J. Christopher, 551 n O'Donnell, Jack, 306 n, 587 O'Flaherty, Hal, 263 Ogden, C. K., 545, 590 n, 593 n, 591 n, 592 n, 596 n, 598 n, 603, 604, 605, 689 n Ohio, 428 n; place-names in, 542; speech of, 357 Ohio Statesman, 205 O Independente, 495 n, · 509 n, 652 Oklahoma place-names, Ólafsson, Jón, 632 n Old English, 427, 428 n, 437, 438, 448, 459, 464, 465, 469 Oliphant, S. Grant, 484 Oliver, Robert E., 581 n O'London, John, 39 n Onions, C. T., 229, 571 Onomatopeia, 183, 184, 199 Oppenheimer, Reuben, 589 n Opportunity, 378 n Orbeck, Anders, 333 n, 366 Oregon Geographic Board, 534 Oregon Historical Ouarterly, 526 n Oregon place-names, 526, 534, 538, 539 Ormin, 397 Orosz, Anthony J., 682 n O'Rourke, L. J., 53 n, 421 Osborn, Chase S., 480 n Oscillograph records of American speech, 321 Osgood, C. G., 32 n Osgood, Henry Osborne, 190 n Osler, William, 193 Richard F., O'Toole, 591 n, 651 n Ottawa Journal, 371 Our Army, 573 n Outdoor Life, 237 n Outlook, 256 n Overman, Lee S., 192 n Owens, Bess Alice, 361 n Owens, Hamilton, 185 Oxford Dictionary, 6n, 22 n, 29, 30 n, 36 n, 55, 56, 57, 104, 108 n, 112, 118, 120 n, 140 n, 141 n, 142 n, 143 n, 145 n, 146, 150 n, 152, 155, 157, 158, 166, 167, 171 II, 185, 186 n, 187, 189, 190, 195 n, 206, 207 n, 210 n, 211 n, 216, 218 n, 219, 223 n, 225, 229, 252, 286, 295 n, 296 n, 297, 308 n, 342, 345 n, 389, 429 n, 467 n, 555, 567 n, 572 Oxford English, 328, 608, 609, 611; slang, 568 Oxford (N. C.) Public Ledger, 462 n Ozark dialect, 308, 428 n, 430 n, 450 n, 462, 463, 469 given-Pacific Coast, names on, 522

Padín, José, 596 n Page, Walter Hines, 95, 444 IL Paget, Ed. O., 86 Pall Mall Gazette, 193 n, Palmer, H. E., 319 n, 332 Palmer, M. H., 222 n Panama, Spanish in, 640 Panamane, 605 n Papers of the Michigan Academy of Science, Arts and Letters, 574 n Paradise of the Pacific, 694 Paris Figaro, 548 Paris Herald, 330 n Park, Robert E., 620 n Parmenter, C. E., 321 n, 322 n, 371 n Parran, Thomas, Jr., 307 Parry, Albert, 587 n Parton, James, 133 Partridge, Eric, 265 n, 314, 378 n, 519 n, 569 n, 570, 574 n, 575, 576 Parts of speech, interchange of, 92, 117, 374 Pascoli, Giovanni, 641, 642

Passing Show, 611 n Passy, P. E., 320 Pater, Walter, 388 Patriarchs Militant, 273 Pattee, F. L., 91 n Paulding, J. K., 16, 17, 19, 20 n, 21, 22, 23, 68, 71, 139 Pawlik, Martin, 86 Payne, L. W., Jr., 53 Peacock, T. L., 17 Pearce, T. M., 151 n, 153 II Peck, J. M., 35, 36 n Seminary, Pedagogical 557 n Pedagogues, their influence, 51 ff, 211, 220, 326, 33I, 332, 417, 458, 472, 473; 454, their language, 588 M., Peebles, Bernard 179 n Peel, Robert, 576 Pemberton, Alfred, 261 Pemberton, Max, 256 Pendleton, Paul E., 361 n Pennsylvania, 428 n, 616 ff Pennsylvania-Dutch, see Pennsylvania-German Pennsylvania German, 129, 154, 155, 159, 214, 544 n, 616 ff, 649 Pennsylvania Journal and Weekly Advertiser, 5 place-Pennsylvania names, 530, 536, 537, 539; speech of, 257; in, 482 ff, surnames 487 n. Pennypacker, Samuel W., 480, 616 Penzl, Herbert, 366 n *Pep*, 306 n Pérennes, P., 639 Perkins, Anne E., 196 n, 366 n Perry, Bliss, 63 n, 125, 204 II Pershing, J. J., 480 Peters, R. R., 551 n Petersburg, Va., Progress-Index, 446 Peterson, Mary B., 569 n Pettus, W. W., 691 n

Pfeiler, W. K., 85 Philadelphia, 305 n, 335, 457 n, 530; surnames in, 477 Evening Philadelphia Bulletin, 197 n North Philadelphia American, 401 Public Philadelphia Ledger, 305 Philippine Constitution, 376, 596 Philippines, English in, of. 596; languages 375 Phillips, Ze Barney T., 518 Philologians, their lack of interest in American, 52, 59 Philological Society of England, 399 Philological Quarterly, Philological Society of New York, 10 n Phipson, Evacustes, 267, 337 Phonetic alphabets, 320 Phonetic Society, 399 Phonograph records of American speech, 321 Phonographic Journal, Pickering, John, 17, 35, 50, 97, 107, 143, 354 Pickering, Timothy, 381 Pickford, Mary, 183 n Pidgin English, 597 128. Piers Plowman, 195 n, 572 Pike, Ruth Schad, 505 n Pingry, Carl, 569 n Pinkney, William, 120 Pirandello, Luigi, 40, 41 Pitman, Isaac, 398, 399 Pittsburgh Courier, 219 n, 300, 524 Place-names, 129, 525 ff Plimpton, E. A., 553 Plural, formation of, 412, 600, 612 Plymouth town records, 116 Pocket Oxford Dictionary, 263

Poe, Edgar Allan, 43, 138, 163, 175, 479 Poetry, 46 Polack, W. G., 200 n, 338 n Police terms, 243 Polish given-names, 510; immigrants, 213, 214, 675; language America, 673 ff; surnames, 477, 488 Political terms, 139, 147, 245, 284, 589 n Pollock, F. Walker, 239 n Polyglott Kuntze, 85 Pomeroy, Samuel C., 136 Pond, F. R., 588 n Pontiac (Mich.) Daily Press, 486 Pooley, Robert C., 200 n. 417 n, 467 n, 468 n, 472 Pope, Alexander, 126, 303, 431 n Pope, T. Michael, 254 n Popular Science, 290 n Port Folio, 11 Portland, Ore., Journal, 106 n Portland Oregonian, 193, 587 n Portmanteau words, 171 Portsmouth, R. I., Records, 379 Portugal, English in, 590, Portuguese given-names, 500; immigrants, 653; language, 591, 593; in America, 652 ff; loanwords from, 216; surnames, 494 Pory, John, 114 Post, Anita C., 648, 649 Post, Emily, 278 n, 311 Post, Hermann, 217 n Postoffice terms, 238 Pound, Ezra, 42 n, 461 n Pound, Louise, 34 n, 53 ff, 57, 75 n, 169 n, 172 n, 173, 176, 180 n, 195 n, 210 n, ziin, 219 n, 222 n, 274 n, 317, 332, 343, 350 n, 353, 407 D, 410, 412, 413, 461, 462, 463, 464 n,

505, 521, 538 n, 554, Psychological 562 n Pound, Olivia, 211 n Powell, J. W., 171 n Power, C. Oliver, 551 n Power, H. Darcy, 404 Power, William, 296 n Practical Phonetics Group, Modern Language Association, 320 Prance, C. R., 388 n Prefixes, 180 ff Prendergast, Joseph M., 543 n Prenner, Manuel, 568 n Prepositions, 203 Present-Day English Group, Modern Language Association. 52 n, 321, 385 President of the United States, 275, 275 n Prime, Sylvester, 364 n Prince, J. Dyneley, 110 n, 378 n, 621, 622 Pringle, Henry F., 186 n Printers' terms, 250 Prior, Matthew, 455 Prison argot, 580, 581 of the Proceedings American Philological Association, 370 n Proceedings of the New York Historical Society, 526 n Proceedings of the Pennsylvania German So*ciety*, 616 n, 617 n Profanity, 308, 311 ff, 316 Professional Engineer, 290 n Prohibition, 174n, 219, 255, 292, 480 Prokosch, Eduard, 58 n Pronouns, 201, 374, 378, 419 ff, 446 ff, 603 Pronunciation, American, 329 ff, 385, 611 Pronunciation, English, 329 ff Protestant Episcopal Church, 268, 273, 280 Providence, R. I., Journal, 522 n Psychoanalytic Review, 497 n

Clinic. 426 n Psychological Corporation, 421 n Public Health Reports, 279 n Public Printer, 393 Public School English. 327, 330, 608, 611 Publications of the Historical Society of New Mexico, 647 n Publications of the Modern Language Association, 49 n, 52 n, 64 n, 89 n, 201 n, 333 n, 359 n, 364 n, 381 n, 467 n, 519 n, 571 n Publications of the Texas Folk-Lore Society, 588 n Puerto Rico, English in, 595; Spanish, 649 Pulitzer, Joseph, 184, 315 Pullman Company, 147 n Pullman News, 584 n Pulvermacher, N., 507 n Punch, 224 n, 259 Punctuation, 414, 415 n Purchas's Pilgrimage, 105, 431 n Pure, Simon, 41 Puritans, 114, 313, 333, 515, 516 n Purvey, John, 448 Pusey, E. B., 223 Putnam, Ruth, 530 n

Quakers, 450 n, 589 n Quarterly Journal *Speech*, 363 n, 367 n Quarterly Journal Education, Speech 501 n, 602 n Quarterly Journal of the New York State Historical Association, 530 n Quarterly Review, 16, 19, 21, 22, 23, 78, 79, 118 n, 354 n, 574 Queen's Quarterly, 366 n Quil, Grace Yee, 691 n Quil, Rosalie Yee, 691 n Quiller-Couch, Arthur. 94, 227, 408

Quinn, Philip G., 551 n Quintilian, 97 n

Racketeers' argot, 579 Radio, 48, 306, 325 n, 329, 332, 347, 499 Radiographs, speech, 322 Radioland, 306 n, 587 n Railroad Men's Magazine, 583 n Railroad terms, 96, 146, 238, 247, 582, 583 Raleigh (N. C.) News, Raleigh, Walter, 46 n, 408 n Ramsay, David, 17 Ramsay, Robert L., 526 n Ramsaye, Terry, 187 n Ramus, Petrus, 455 n Randolph, Vance, 308, 359, 360, 428 n, 430 n, 450 n, 462, 463, 469, 569 n Randolph of Roanoke, John, 504 Ransom, J. C., 43 Rantamaki, John E., 676 Ratcliffe, S. K., 229 Rauch, E., 617 n, 618 Read, Allen Walker, 3 n., 10 n, 20 n, 29 n, 35 n, 49 n, 53, 57, 64 n, 70 n. 122 n. 181, 219, 274 n, 302 n, 303 n, 308, 313 n, 354 n, 370 n, 381 n, 385 n, 526, 527 n, 541 m Read, William A., 53, 362 n, 58 n, 352 n, 528 n, 638, 639, 640. Real Academia Española de la Lengua, 80 Realtors' Bulletin, 286 Received Standard English, 327 Reed, Margaret, 353 n Rees, John E., 530 n Reflex, 502 n Reid, Louise, 588 n Thomas R., Jr., Reid, 378 n Reinecke, John E., 372 n, 375 n, 691 n Remény, Joseph, 496 n, 544 n, 682 n

Reves, H. F., 556 n Review of Reviews, 376 n Revolution, American, 4 ff, 130, 131, 274 Revue de Dialectologie Romane, 647 Revue Philosophique, 557 II Emil, 489 n, Revyuk, 511 n, 664 given-Rhode Island, names in, 522; speech of, 357 Rice, Wallace, 43 I II, 432 n, 443 n, 455 n, 466 n Richardson, C. B. W., 588 n Richardson, Samuel, 460, Richmond Christian Advocate, 281 n Examiner, Richmond 298 n Richmond Times-Dispatch, 450 n Ridderhof, Corneil, 287 n Riedel, E., 214 n Riegel, Jean E., 550 n Rinaldi, Adelina, 646 n Ritchie, Eleanor L., 543 n Rittenberg, Louis, 602 n Ritter, O., 555 Rivard, Adjutor, 544 n Riverside Press, 202 Roback, A. A., 636 n Roberts, A. J., 83 Roberts, Owen J., 194 n Roberts, Willa, 106 n. 313 n Robertson, Ben, 691 n Robertson, D. M., 63 n Robertson, Stuart, 257 n, 398 n Robins, E. L., 292 Robinson, Andrew, 117 Rocha, João R, 495 n, 509 n, 652 Rockefeller Foundation, 58 Rockwell, Harold E., 182, 562 n Rogers, Will, 425 n, 455, Rollins, H. E., 522 n

Romanic Review, 544 n, 640 Rooney, Alicia L., 551 n Roosevelt, Franklin D., 183 n, 186 n, 275 n, 480 n, 567 Roosevelt, Theodore, 107, 174, 183 n, 400, 403, 430 n Rose, Howard N., 570 n Rosecrans, W. S., 480 Ross, A. C., 551 n Ross, Hal J., 180 n Ross, Nellie Taylor, 284 Rossi, Adolfo, 644 n Rotary International, 211, Rouquette, Adrien, 639 Rouquette, Dominique, 639 Rousscau, J. J., 126 Routh, James, 214 n Royal Academy of Dramatic Art, 329 Royal American Magazine, 8 Royal Commission on Venercal Diseases, 27 I N Royal Society of Canada, 396 Royal Society of Literature, 329 Royall, Anne, 369 Rubinstein, Anton, 499 Rumania, English in, 500 Rumanian given-names, 509; immigrants, 653; language in America, 653 ff; surnames, 494 Runyon, Damon, 560 Ruppenthal, J. C., 217n, 370 n, 484 Rush, Benjamin, 11 Ruskin, John, 460 Ruskowski, Carl J., 551 n Russell, G. Oscar, 58 n. 322 Russell, J. A., 569 n Russell, William, 337 Russia, American in, 88; English in, 594, 611 Russian given-names, 510; immigrants, 213, 663; language, 590, 592, 600,

602 n, 603; in America, 662 ff; loan-words from, 222; surnames, 489 Ruszkiewicz, A. E., 674 n Rutter, H. F., 234 n, 238 n Ryan, Frank, 82

Sacred Congregation of Rites, 282 Sadilek, Exha Akins, 146 n Saerprent, 627 n Sage, Evan T., 530 n Saineau, Lazar, 574 n Saint-Céran, Tullius, 639 Saintsbury, George, 408, 565 Salvation Army terms, 250, 283 Samolar, Charlie, 581 n San Francisco Chronicle, 353 n, 463 n San Francisco News, 462 n San Francisco, surnames in, 478 San José Mercury-Herald, 288 n Sandburg, Carl, 63, 74, 201 n, 263, 556 n Sanstedt, Knut, 607 Sarafian, K. A., 497 n Sargent, Epes W., 586 n Sargent, John S., 67 n Sargent, Porter E., 268 n Sato, II., 692 n Saturday Evening Post, 424 n, 577 n Saturday Review of Literature, 4 n, 41, 47, 79 n, 391 n Saul, Vernon W., 581 n Savage, Howard J., 569 n Savannah News, 267 n Sayce, A. H., 97, 319, 340 n, 398 n, 399, 437, 455 n, 471 Scandinavia, English in, given-Scandinavian names, 509; immigrants, 213; place-names, 543; surnames, 490 ff Scandinavian Studies and Notes, 492 n

Schele de Vere, Maximilien, 36, 99, 106 n, 108, 158, 442, 481, 482 n, Schiavo, Giovanni, 646 n Schilles, Paul S., 421 n Schlieder, Karl von, 109 n Schoch, Alfred D., 85 Schöer, Arnold, 87 Schonemann, A. C. E., 588 n Schönemann, Friedrich, 87 School and Society, 426 n School Journal, 272 n School Review, 332 n School terms, 240 ff Schoolcraft, H. R., 134, 526 Schoolmarm, see Pedagogues Schuette, Oswald F., 186 Schultz, J. R., 219 n, 313 n, 585 n Schultz, W. E., 569 n Schuyler, George S., 300 Schwery, Edgard, 593 n *Science*, 426 n Scientific Monthly, 265 n, 426 n Scotch, loan-words from, Scot's Magazine, 17 Scott, C. P., 30 Scott, F. N., 4n, 32n, 34 n, 64, 65, 66, 322 Scott, Walter, 17, 18 n Scottish Gaelic, 682, 683 Scribner's Magazine, 45, 228 n, 588 n Scripps Northwest League, 306 Scripture, E. W., 321 Seaman, H. W., 233 n, 252, 260, 315, 323 n, 329 n, 609 Seaman, Owen, 259 Seashore, C. H., 491 Sebastian, Hugh, 569 n Sechrist, Frank K., 557, Sedgwick, Anne Douglas, 455 n Seeley, J. R., 124 n Sélincourt, Basil de, 95 n,

394 n, 610

Senn, Alfred, 512 n, 669, 670, 673 n Senykoff, Sergei, 489 n, 663 n Sephardic Jews, 501, 506 Serbian immigrants, 667; surnames, 489 Serbo-Croat language in America, 667 ff Sewall, Samuel, 123 n, 429 n Sex Hygiene Movement, 306 Seybert, Adam, 13 n Seymour, Charlie, 562 n Shakespeare, William, 93, 125 n, 126, 127 n, 128, 232, 299, 303, 312, 379, 383, 431 n, 436, 450, 454, 465, 470, 557 n, 572, 608 Shanklin, Edwin Shanks, Edward, 46, 47 Shaw, G. B., 34 n, 40 n, 311, 329, 390, 610 Shaw, Henry Irving, 174 Shaw, H. W. (Josh Billings), 71, 442 Sheldon, E. S., 53 Shelley, P. B., 17, 432 n Shenton, Herbert Newhard, 591 n, 596, 606 Shepherd, William G., 579 n Sheridan, Thomas, 335 Sherman, L. Y., 192 n, 194 n Sherman, Stuart Pratt, Sherman, W. T., 517 Sherwood, Adiel, 364 n, 429 n, 430 n Edwin F., Shewmake, 365 n Shidler, John A., 569 n Shipman, S. S., 592 n Shoemaker, Henry W., 697 n Shoemaker, William H., 592 n Shorey, Paul, 63 n, 227, Short, O. D., 553 n Shorter, Clement K., 269 Sidmouth (England) Observer, 206 n

Sidney, F. H., 581 n, 584 n | Sidney, Philip, 459 Siegfried, André, 548 Sigourney, Lydia H., 134, Silberer, Abraham, 497 n Silveira, Peter L. 495 n, 509 n Silverman, Sime, 560, 586 n Simley, Anne, 629 Simmons, George H., 196 n, 197 n Simplified Spelling Board, 400, 406 Simplified Spelling Leag, 400 n Simplified spelling movement, 397 ff Simpson, J. H., 517 n Sims, J. G., Jr., 551 n Sinclair, May, 455 n Sizer, Miriam M., 521 n, Skeat, W. W., 90 n, 399, 400 Skelton, Reginald, 415 n Slang, 20, 555 ff Slavonic Review, 663 n Sloane, W. M., 63 n Slovak languages in America, 659 ff Slovenské Pohľady, 661 Smart, B. C., 696 n Smith, Alfred E., 183 n, 338, 368, 560 Smith, C. Alphonso, 72 n, 449 n Smith, Charles M., 85 n Smith, Clay, 190 n Smith College, 401 Smith, Edward Conrad, 589 n Smith, E. Peshine, 147 n Smith, Esther, 522 n Smith, John, 3, 104, 105, 107, 112 Smith, Joseph C., 569 n Smith, L. Pearsall, 46 n, 65, 155 n, 159, 178, 204, 329, 408, 409 n, 422 n Smith, Maurice G., 577 n Smith, Rebecca W., 364 n Smith, Reed, 113 n, 363 n Smith, Seba (Major Jack Downing), 71, 207

Smith, Sydney, 13 n, 19, 28, 225 Smith, Thomas, 398 Smith, William C., 372 n Smithsonian Institution, 106 n Sobel, B., 586 n Social Hygiene Bulletin, зоб п Social Register, 522 Société des Parlers de France, 80 Société du Parler Français au Canada, 638 n Society for Pure English, 46, 408 Society for the History of the Germans in Maryland, 483 n Söderblom, Nathan, 491 Sokolsky, George, 501 n Soldiers' terms, 265 Solis Cohen, Solomon, 298, 502 Sonkin, Robert, 369 n Soujanen, Reino 510 n South, speech of the, 334, 337, 347 South African English, 108; Dutch, 622 South Atlantic Quarterly, 113 n South Carolina placenames, 539, 541 South Carolina, speech of, 364 n, 365 South Dakota placenames, 537 South Holland language, 622 Southern English, 4ľ, 327 ff Southern given-names, Southey, Robert, 16, 19, 22, 117, 223 Southport Visiter, 329 Southwest Review, 129 n, 135 n, 567 n Spaeth, Sigmund, 425 n, 457 n Spanish given-names, 508; language, 509, 590, 591, 592, 593, 602 n, 603; in America, 647 ff; loan- | Steel and Garnet, 569 n

words from, 111 ff, 150, 152, 177, 220, 370, 376, 377, 558; place-names, 534, 539, 542, 547; surnames, 494 Spanish-American War, 184, 220 Spanish-speaking immigrants, 651 Spear, J. W., 551 n Spectator, 380, 438 n, 465 Speech tunes, 322, 362, 369 Spelling, 379 ff, 400, 603, 605, 612 Spelling-pronunciation, 324, 339, 340, 346, 352, Spelling Reform Association, 399 Spenser, Edmund, 590 S.P.E. Tracts, 46 n, 56 n, 64 n, 111 n, 127 n, 138 n, ISI II, 155 n, 197 n, 223 m, 228 n, 203 D. 272 n, 282, 328, 358 n, 378 n, 390 n, 408 n, 409 n, 410 n, 412 n, 450 n, 597 n, 666 n Spies, Heinrich, 87 Spiller, R. E., 130 n Sporting terms, 236, 248, 252, 562, 651, 652 Sprachatlas des Deutschen Reiches, 89 n Sprachführer, 85 Squire, J. C., 34 n, 42 n Stabell, Otto, 551 n Stahlberg, John A., 491 n, 626, 627 n Stallings, Laurence, 265 Stanculescu, George, 494 n, 509 n, 653, 654 Standard Dictionary, 144 n, 297, 411 n, 560 Standardization of English, 126 Stanley, Johnny, 560 Stanley, Marion E., 524 n Stars and Stripes, 574 n State Government, 276 n Steadman, J. M., Jr., 177 n, 211 n, 309, 310 n, 359 n Stebbins, Henry E., 38 n

Steele, Richard, 71, 126, 472, 569 Stefánsson, Vilhjálmar, 631, 632 Stein, Gertrude, 175 n Stein, Kurt M., 620 Stenton, F. M., 527 n Stephanchev, Stephen, 669 n Stephanovsky, Peter, 663 n Stephen, Leslie, 470 Stephens, Henry, 461 n Stephens, James, 455 n Stephenson, George M., 492, 624 Stephenson, R. M., 262 n Sterling, T. S., 242 n Stevens, Harry Mozely, 186 n Stevens, James, 587 n Stevenson, R. L., 194 n, 229, 470, 525 Stewart, Chalmers K., 587 n Stewart, George R., Jr., 548, 549 Stewer, Jan, 226 n Still, James A., 521 n, 522 Stinchcomb, James, 691 n Stinchfield, Sara M., 426 n St. Louis Globe-Democrat, 218 n St. Louis Republic, 189 St. Louis Westliche Post, 620 n Stockbridge, Frank Parker, 651 n terms, Stock-exchange 244 Stockholm, English in, 594 Stone, Walter C., 332 Storer, Edward, 41 Storfer, A. J., 208 n Stork, Willis, 562 n Story, W. W., 17 Stowe, Harriet Beecher, 363 n St. Paul Dispatch, 215 n St. Paul, surnames in, 477 St. Paul's School, 268 Strachey, Charles, 44 Strachey, William, 104

Stradonitz, Stephen Kekule von, 483 n Strakosch, Edgar, 187 Stranger, R., 587 n Straumann, Heinrich, 184 n Street names, 544 ff Streeter, Edward, 425 n Stretch-forms, 176 Stribling, T. S., 271 Strong, W. D., 371 n Struble, George G., 370 n, 375 n, 376 n Stuart, Charles, 291 n Stuart, J. E. B., 287 n Studi Baltici, 512 n, 669 n Studia Neophilologica, 333 n Studies in English Literature, 692 n Sturtevant, E. H., 53, 58 Style, American, 42 Style book of Detroit News, 414 n; Scripps-Howard papers, 415; of New York Herald Tribune, 415 n; or Chicago Tribune, 415 n; of New York News, 415 n Style manual of the Government Printing Office, 393 Su Chen Ho, 691 n Suburbs, names of, 546 Suffixes, 176 ff, 218, 219, 221, 222 Sullivan, A. E., 174 n, 226 n Sullivan, Joseph M., 577 n Sunday, William Α., 485 n Sunderland Echo, 228 n Sunnanfari, 632 n W., Suojanen, Reino 493 n, 676 n Supreme Court of the United States, 203 Supreme Court Reporter, 131 n, 194 n, 203 n Surgery, Gynecology and Obstetrics, 40 n Surnames, 474 ff; as givennames, 516 Survey, 293 n Sutherland, George, 131 n | T-Bone Slim, 175

Svoboda, 489 n, 511 n Swadesh, Morris, 417 n Swaen, A. E. H., 532 n Swann, Nancy Lee, 691 n Swanson, Gloria, 183 n. Swanson, Roy W., 215 n, 490, 491, 530 n, 543 n Swearing, 311 ff, 316 Sweden, English in, 607 Swedish immigrants, 476, 627; language, 594; in America, 624 ff; loanwords from, 215; surnames, 477, 490 ff Swedish-American Historical Bulletin, 530 n, 543 n Sweet, Henry, 333 n, 399, 438, 448 n, 452, 455 n, 456, 458, 469 Swem, E. G., 116 n, 365 n Swenson, Elaine, 604 n. 605 n Swenson English, 605 n Swift, Jonathan, 169 n, 459, 558, 569 Sydney Evening News, 378 n Sylcauga, Ala., News, 522 n Symonds, Percival M., 424 D Syrian given-names, 512; immigrants, 683; surnames, 477, 495, 496 World, Syrian 497 n, 513 n, 684 Sze, Sao-ke Alfred, 513 Taft, W. H., 403

Talkies, influence of, 38 ff Tall talk, 136 ff Tallichet, H., 153 n Talman, Charles Fitzhugh, 409 Tammany Hall, 148 Tank Corps, 202 Taos Valley News, 550 *Tatler*, 169 n Taylor, Bayard, 135, 219 Taylor, Jay L. B., 360 n, 430 n Taylor, William, 223 Taylor, W. O., 188

Teachers College Record, | 424 N Teachers of English, their number, 53 n "Ten Nights in a Barroom," 139 Tennessee, 428 n; givennames in, 521; placenames, 536, 544; speech of, 257, 360, 462 Tennyson, Alfred, 399, 431 n Testut, Charles, 639 Texas, given-names in, 522; place-names, 530 n, 536, 537, 540, 541; speech of, 357, 361 Thackeray, W. M., 431 n, 472 Theater terms, 236, 585 Thomas, C. K., 367 n, 368 n, 369 n Thomas, E. H., 150 n Thomas, Jameson, 39 Thomas, Rowland, 551 n Alexander Thompson, M., 598 n Thompson, Blanche Jennings, 406 n Thompson, Herbert M., Thompson, William F., 137 n Thompson, William H., 83, 272 Thorndike, A. H., 52 n Thorndike, E. L., 170 n, 421 D Thornton, R. H., 12, 14, 36, 37 n, 54 n, 100, 104, 105, 108 n, 112, 113, 115 n, 119 n, 128, 136, 139, 142, 143, 144 n, 146, 148, 149, 150, 151, 156, 157 n, 158, 167, 171 n, 204, 205, 252, 254, 295 n, 296 n, 301, 316, 352, 431 ni, 516, 570 Thugut, Franz Maria von, 478 Tibbals, Kate W., 450 n, 589 n Ticknor, George, 135 Tidewater gentry, 139 Time, 230 n, 280 Time and Tide, 230 n

Tinker, Edward Laroque, 639 n Tobin, Edward J., 455 n Tojo, M., 89 n Tokimasa, Aiko, 372 n, 375 n Toland, Leigh, 551 n Tomita, G., 88 Tooke, John Horne, 466 Toronto Saturday Night, 517 n Toronto Week, 371 n Town Topics, 157 Townsend, E. W., 367 n Trager, Geo. L., 334 n 543 n Tramps' argot, 581 ff Transactions of the Albany Institute, 35 n Translations into American, 40 ff Traubel, Horace, 73 Tressler, J. C., 63 n, 66 Treviño, S. N., 321 n, 322, 371 n Triad, 378 n, 397 Trollope, Frances, 301, 330 Trollope, Anthony, 224 Trumbull, J. H., 107, 399 Tsung-tse Yeh, 591, 689 Tucker, Gilbert M., 101, 128 n, 269, 295 n, 316, 395, 540 n Tucker, R. Whitney, 159 n Tucker, Thomas G., 228 n Tugwell, Rexford G., 276 n Tully, Jim, 151 n Turano, Anthony M., 640 n, 643, 644, 645 n Turkey, English in, 500, Turnbull, John, 111 Frederick Turner, J., 154 II Tyler, Royall, 365 Trace, Tysell, Helen 185 n, 426 n, 443 n Tyson, F. H., 277 n

Uhström, W. P., 555 n

Ukrainian given-names,

language in America, 663 ff Ulmer, J. R., 573 n Uncle Remus's Magazine, Uncle Shylock, 28 Tom's Cabin," "Uncle 135, 139 Underworld argot, 576 ff Ungdomsvännen, 624 n Unitedstatish, 77 University of Chicago Linguistic Studies in Germanic, 178 n University of Missouri Bulletin, 419 n University of Missouri Studies, 640 n North University of Carolina Magazine, 364 ri University ofTexas, 522 n University of Virginia, 93 n, 272 University terms, 241 ff Untermeyer, Louis, 74, 75 n Urbana, O., Citizen, 205 U. S. Geographic Board, 414, 489 n, 517 n, 526, 529, 531, 533, 535, 537, 538, 539, 542, 553, 653 n, 662 n U. S. Official Postoffice Guide, 157 n, 526, 528 U. S. Public Health Service, 411 U. S. State Department, 410 Vachell, Horace Annesley, 572 Vallée, 30б п. Rudy, 466 Valley German, 619 Van Andel, Henry J. G., 485 n, 623 Van Buren, Martin, 148 Van den Bark, Melvin, 370 n, 587 n Van Dam, B. A. P., 312 Van Doren, Carl, 91 n van Dyke, Henry, 63 n, 64, 65, 67 511; immigrants, 663; van Hinte, J., 623 n

Vowels, 320, 328, 330,

334 ff, 601, 612

Van Hoven, Harry, 586 n Van Lancker, J. L., 624 n Van Patten, Nathan, 363 n Van Riemsdyck, D. J., 624 n Van Stantvoord, George, Vancouver Sun, 206 n Vanity Fair, 269, 560 n, 586 n, 588 n Vapaa, Ivar, 493 n, 510 n, 680 n Variety, 193, 195 n, 210 n, 237 n. 282 n. 298 n, 307 n, 407, 500 n, 560, 577 n, 586 n Vasché, Joseph B., 543 Vassar College, 303 Vaughn, Herbert H., 640 n, 644 n Verband Deutscher Amateurphotographen-Vereine, 172 n Verb-phrases, 197 ff Verbs, 114, 117 ff, 140 ff, 191 1, 231, 250, 252, 374, 419 ff, 427 ff, 558, 566, 603, 613 Verger, V., 627 n Vestur-íslenska, 631, 632, 649 Vieni, Rosina, 642 Vigne, G. T., 26 Viking, Valdemar, 627 n, 630 n Virginia, 428 n. δīg; given-names in, 521, 523, 524; place-names, 538, 539, 541; speech of, 78, 337, 360, 365, 471, 503, 619 Virginia Literary Museum, 36 n Vizetelly, Frank H., 171 n, 188, 189, 332, 426 n, 593 Vocabularies, estimates of, 426, 603 Vogue-words, 210, 465 Voice, American, 322 Voight-Goldsmith, Frau, 86 Volapük, 605 Voltaire, 126, 413 Vossische Zeitung, 208 n

Vulgate, American, 138, 416 ff Wachtel, C. H., 675 n Waddel, Moses, 140 n Wade, Thomas, 691 Wagstaff, W. B., 34 n Wald, Lillian D., 487 n Waldo, John, 11 n, 17 Walker, Francis C., 334 n Walker, John, 339, 343, 345, 347, 349 n Wallace, Edgar, 257 Wallasey, chief constable of, 39 Waller, Edmund, 293 Wallis, Johannis, 201 n Walsh, Robert, Jr., 21 Walsh, Thomas J., 192 n Walwoja, 493 n, 510 n Wansey, Henry, 18 War Cry, 283 n War of 1812, 16, 67, 131, 330, 567, 639 Ward, Harry F., 461 n Ward, Mrs. Humphrey, Ward, Ned, 313 Ware, J. R., 141 n, 146 Warnock, Elsie L., 145 n, 176 n Washburn, Lennie, 562 n Washington, George, 118, 130, 381 Washington, Martha, 284 Washington Post, 460 n Washington Star, 496 n Washington State placenames, 538, 542 Hanes-Wer-Wasmuth. ner, 575 n Wasson, Mildred, 258 Watson, George, 57 Watson, H. B. Marriott, 257 Watson, J. A., 229 Watson, John B., 178 n Weather Bureau, 266 Webster, Daniel, 139 Webster, John, 454 Webster, Noah, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 25, 43, 52, 60, 93 n, 97 n, 109, 110, 118, 124, 131 n, 134, 135, 140,

151, 165, 269 n, 302 n, 303, 324 n, 335, 339 n, 340, 341, 345, 347, 352, 369, 379 ff, 399 ff, 417 n, 428 n, 429 n, 432 n, 470 n Webster's New International Dictionary, 113, 123 n, 145 n, 151, 156, 170 n, 175, 178 n, 187, 188, 189, 191, 193, 206, 216, 219, 225, 296 n, 207, 308 n, 320 n, 324 n, 342, 392 n, 393, 394 n, 407, 408 n, 411 n, 414 n, 460 n, 555 Webster's Spelling Book, 383, 385 Weekley, Ernest, 43, 126 n, 145 n, 156, 188, 197, 232, 545 n, 546, 547, 555, 607 Weeks, A. L., 415 n Weeks, John W., 198 n Wefald, Knud, 276 n Weil, Maurice K., 547 n Weinbaum, Mark, 663 n Weiss, George, Jr., 620 n Wellesley College, 401 Wells, H. B., 489 n, 636 n, Wells, H. G., 256 Welsh Language 682: America, surnames, 504 Wendell, Barrett. 134, 426, 455 n Wentworth, Harold, 172 n Wentworth, John, 8 Werner, W. L., 180 n, 561 n, 588 n Weseen, Maurice H., Wesley, John, 3, 384 West, Michael, 606 n West, M. P., 604 n West, Rebecca, 41 West, S. George, 595 n West Saxon dialect, 607 West Virginia placenames, 535, 536, 537; speech of, 360, 361 n West Virginia Review, 361 n Westendorff, Karl, 575 n Western American, 137, 327 n, 333, 356 ff, 366, 370 n Western emigration, 133 Western Union Telegraph Company, 157 n, 195 n, 316 n, 414 n Western Recorder, 338 n Westernisms, 133, 137, 153, 224 Westminster Gazette, 36, 45, 258 Westminster (Md.) Democratic Advance, 484 n Westricher dialect, 616, 617 Whaley, Marcellus S., 363 n Wharton, Morton Bryan, Whately, Roger, 306 n, 586 Wheatley, Katherine E., 362 n Wheeler, B. I., 53 n Wheeler, Kettredge, 183 n Wheeler, William Morton, 265 n Whewell, William, 559 Whibley, Charles, 31 Whicher, Mrs. Frances M., 71 Whicher, George F., 224 White, Charles, 186 n White, Frederick, 237 n White, Percy W., 584 n, 586 n White, R. G., 61, 62, 101, 118, 121, 158, 164, 165, 168 n, 199, 204, 268, 280, 297, 303 n, 304, 320, 323, 342, 345, 398 n, 540, 612 White, Walter, 141 n White, Wilfrid, 206 n Whitehead, Henry S., 378 n Whitman, Walt, 54 n, 67, 73, 74, 75, 137, 147 n, 156, 167, 175, 327, 363, 410, 526, 531 n, 552, 556 n Whitney, W. D., 53 n, 60,

164, 342, 399, 455 n, 559, 563 Who's Who, 503 n Who's Who in America, 475, 488 n, 503 n, 504, 515, 519 Who's Who in Engineering, 291 n Wignall, Ernest, 238 n Wilde, Oscar, 363 Wiley, H. A., 574 Wilkins, John, 398 Mabel Willebrandt, Walker, 284 Williams, Guy, 587 n Williams, R. O., 135, 253 Williams, Talcott, 196 n Williams, Whiting, 270 Wilson, A. J., 245 n Wilson, Charles M., 361 n Wilson, Edmund, Jr., 265 Wilson, G. M., 418 n Wilson, George P., 362 n Wilson, J. Dover, 34 n Wilson, W. A., 306 Wilson, Woodrow, his use of Americanisms, 40, 95, 207, 460, 597 Wimberly, Lowry Charles, 148 n, 589 n Winchell, Walter, 552 n, 560, 561 n, 586 Winer, Earl L. and Samuel G., 507 n Wingfield, Frederick S., Winslow, Thyra Samter, 282 n Wirt, William, 480 Wisconsin place-names, 533, 536, 537, 538, 542; speech of, 627 Wise, C. M., 362 n, 363 n Wise, H. P., 188 Wise, Isaac M., 297 n Witherspoon, John, 4 $f\!f$, 60, 64, 143, 169, 354, 428 n, 431 n, 434 n, 442, 452 n, 567 Withington, Frederick B., 373 n, 694 Withington, Robert, 172 n, 312 n Witman, Fred, 587 n

Wittmann, Elisabeth, 85, 170 n, 192 Witwer, H. C., 425 n Wolfe, George, 634 n Wolfe, Humbert, 230 n Wolverton, Charles, 188, 584 n Woman's Home Companion, 262 n Wood, Francis A., 145 n, 172 n Wood, William, 104 Woodhouse, Henry, 493 William, Woodridge, Woodward, William E., Woofter, Carey, 361 n Woolf, Virginia, 47 Worcester, J. E., 60, 93 n. 336, 386, 387 Word-Lore, 588 n Word Study, 175 n World Almanac, 230 n, 478 n, 515 n, 552 n, 593 n World War, 67, 84, 91, 180, 183, 209, 219, 225, 248, 254, 300, 499, 573, 574 Work, 587 n, World's 590 n Wright, Almroth, 273 Wright, Joseph, 363 Wright, Sewell Peaslee, 262 n Writer's Digest, 262 n, 584 n Writer's Monthly, 366 n Writers, their attitude toward Americanisms. 67 fF Wundt, Wilhelm, 426 Wycliffe, John, 128. 448 Wyld, H. C., 311 n, 326, 327, 333 n, 350, 441 n Wyoming place-names, 541; speech of, 457 n Yale Review, 173 n, 198, 639 n Yale University, 281 n, 345 Yankee dialect, 71, 365

Yankee-Dutch, 623, 649

"Yankee in England, | Yonkers Herald States- | Zarathustra, 642 n The," 35 Yartin, Joseph, 496 n, 682 n Yeaman, M. V. P., 211 n Yeats, W. B., 200 Yen, W. W., 591 Yiddish language in America, 633 ff, 653; loan-words from, 42, 189, 214, 216, 386, 369, 578, 580 Y.M.C.A., 84

man, 549 n Young, Arthur A., 691 n Young, Ella Flagg, 460 n Yugo-Slavic surnames, 489 Yule, Emma Sarepta, 375 n, 596 n Zachrisson, R. E., 333 n, 405, 607 n Zallio, A. G., 640 n Zandvoort, R. W., 611

Zeisberg, Carl, 471 Zeta Beta Tau fraternity, 499, 499 n, 507 Zeta Beta Tau Quarterly, 507 Zettel, Rose, 659 n Zetterstrand, E. A., 624 n Zhitlowsky, Ch., 634 Zipf, George Kingsley, 472

A Note on the Type in which this Book is Set

MQ

This book was set on the linotype in Janson, a recutting made direct from the type cast from matrices (now in possession of the Stempel foundry, Frankfurt am Main) made by Anton Janson some time between 1660 and 1687.

Of Janson's origin nothing is known. He may have been a relative of Justus Janson, a printer of Danish birth who practised in Leipzig from 1614 to 1635. Some time between 1657 and 1668 Anton Janson, a punch-cutter and type-founder, bought from the Leipzig printer Johann Erich Hahn the type-foundry which had formerly been a part of the printing house of M. Friedrich Lankisch. Janson's types were first shown in a specimen sheet issued at Leipzig about 1675. Janson's successor, and perhaps his son-in-law, Johann Karl Edling, issued a specimen sheet of Janson types in 1689. His heirs sold the Janson matrices in Holland to Wolffgang Dietrich Erhardt, of Leipzig.



Composed, printed and bound by The Plimpton Press, Norwood, Mass. Paper made by S. D. Warren Co., Boston. Designed by W. A. DWIGGINS.